2017

REVIEW OF SOCIO-PSYCHOLOGICAL DETERMINANTS IN PUBLIC ENVIRONMENTAL BEHAVIOUR

Neo Sau Mei¹, Choong Weng Wai², Rahmalan Ahamad³ & Irina Safitri Zen⁴

 ^{1,2}Department of Real Estate, Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate
 ³Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science
 ⁴Centre for Innovative Planning & Development, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Faculty of Built Environment
 Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia
 Email: ¹neo0411_saumei@hotmail.com, ²cwengwai@utm.my, ³rahmalan@utm.my, ⁴irinasafitri@utm.my

Abstract

In the era of urbanism, the emerging and ongoing development has been trendy and frequently associated with adverse environmental impacts such as anthropogenic climate change and waste secretion. Poor environmental behaviours among public are accused for the negative impacts towards the environment. Considering that, it is importance to understand the interrelationship of socio-psychological factors to environmental behaviour for it will help the stakeholders such as policy makers and social marketers to form better strategies to foster pro-environmental behaviour among the public. Previously, researchers had explored socio-psychological factors such as environmental concern, attitude, intention, cognition, awareness and knowledge that affect their environmental behaviour. Based on the precedent studies, this paper aims to review the gaps among socio-psychological factors in determining the public environmental behaviour among Malaysian. Theories and concepts of environmentalism that are related to environmental behaviours are discussed and reviewed. The paper concludes by introducing importance of socio-psychological factors that are plausible to explain the causes of human environmental behaviour for the use of policy and decision making.

Keywords: Socio-psychological factors; environmental behaviour; policy and decision-making

1.0 INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, urbanism or urbanization has been gradually taken place to suffice the growing human populations. However, unsustainable urbanism can be devastating that lead to negative environmental impacts. Therefore, it is arguably as one of the central causes to anthropogenic pollutions such as air pollution, water pollution and climate change. Thereby, such human-driven environmental degradation is presumably to be ended by what has started it, notably human well-being conduct.

It is believed that positive human environmental behaviour plays indelible roles in solving environmental issues (Mobley *et al.*, 2010; Klöckner, 2013). Therefore, attention is allocated on what the influencing human factors

towards environmental behaviour. Considerable number of social researchers have been revolving in addressing human environmental behaviours towards environmental issues, as reflected by human socio-psychological factors including environmental awareness, environmental knowledge, environmental attitude and environmental concern. These socio-psychological factors are considered as social and cultural facets to influence an individual behaviour towards environment or nature. For instance, these factors interact and affect natural environment in a way that they have an impact on human environmental behaviour. The connectivity between the disciplines reveals a comprehensive view of environmental condition that could influence national development. Consequently,

relationship between public environmental behaviour and its influencing sociopsychological factors has a demand to be explored.

However, there have been studies focus on studying specific environmental behaviours such as water saving (Mondejar-Jimenez *et al.*, 2011), climate change (McCright, 2010), waste from electrical and electronic equipment (Afroz *et al.*, 2013), solid waste management (Desa *et al.*, 2011, 2012), recycling of solid waste (Jibril *et al.*, 2012), and municipal waste management (Saeed *et al.*, 2009).

However, limited social researcher had laid interest in investigating the socio-psychological factors that explain generalized environmental behaviour especially public environmental behaviour.

Noting that many social scientists have demonstrated various theories and hypothesis regarding interrelationships of sociopsychological factors and environmental behaviour, this paper intends to reiterate previous literature reviews in explaining environmental behaviour in the context of sociopsychological factors and identify the environmentalism theory that most adequate to be used as grounded theory to explain generalized (public) rather than specific environmental behaviour, with the involved socio-psychological variables from that grounded theory.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

Rigorous literature review was conducted in order to gather the articles that introduced theories and concept about the sociopsychological determinants in public environmental behaviour. Predominantly, the searching of articles was scoped by the information about the theories or concept that has been adopted to determine environmental behaviour. Furthermore, the search was conducted to indicate the environmentalism theories and concept that focused on public environmental behaviour. The time frame of the literature review was between 1975 and 2014. All the references and bibliographies including articles titles, authors and abstract were stored and managed by using Endnote.

3.0 **REVIEW OF THEORIES**

In reviewing precedent theories and hypotheses regarding environmental behaviour, this paper revolved on Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA), Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) and Theory of Responsible Environmental Behaviour (REB).

From studying the above mentioned environmentalism theories, the influencing socio-psychological factors of environmental behaviour were introduced and identified.

3.1 Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) and Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB)

In the study to rationalize the reason of people implementing positive environmental behaviour, previous researchers kept on applied, advocated, compared, re-examined, refined and modified environmentalism models from the simplest linear model to complicated structure of affecting variables over the years.

The extension theory of Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975; Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980) and Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) (Ajzen, 1991) was then developed to explain the linkage between attitude and action through behavioural intention. Both of the theory suggested that to address people behavioural action, the proposed action must be specific so that their personal attitude towards intentional behaviour can be evaluated reasonably to lead to a specific behaviour (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975; Ajzen & Fishbein, 1980). As shown in Figure 1, TRA model illustrates that that the environmental attitude can be a good predicting factor to behaviour in which behavioural intention as a function of personal attitude to environmental behaviour whereby subjective norm as the social environment that positively or negatively influential to an individual belief and perceptions. Figure 2 draws a detailed version of TRA where the belief and evaluations fundamentally affect a person attitude towards an specific action; meanwhile, normative belief influence the subjective norm respectively

whether a person is motivated to perform the specific behaviour or vice versa. However, TPB (Figure 3) was proposed as extension of TRA to address the limitations in TRA by incorporating additional perceived control over behavioural conduction as an affecting variable for shaping behavioural intention (Ajzen, 1985; 1991). Perceived behaviour control is regarded as a perquisite directly influencing variables in predicting behaviour but indirectly influence behaviour through behavioural intentions. It also represents the barriers and opportunities that could impact how likely a person to perform the behaviour. The proposal of perceived behaviour control in TPB enhances the prediction of compared specific behaviour with TRA (Madden et al., 1992).



Figure 1: Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975)



Figure 2: Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Ajzen & Fishbein 1980)



Figure 3. Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) (Ajzen, 1985;1991)

3.2 Theory of Responsible Environment Behaviour (REB)

Model of Responsible Environmental Behaviour (REB) (Figure 4) as developed by Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera's (1986/87) based on meta-analysis consisting of 128 empirical studies of environmental behaviour. Also, the proposal of REB model is based on Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) (Hines *et al.*, 1986/87; Hungerford & Volk, 1990; Mobley *et al.*, 2010).



Figure 4: Theory of Responsible Environmental Behaviour (Hines *et al.*, 1986/87)

The following sections postulate the REB model oriented affecting variables in predicting environmental behaviour:

Knowledge of issue: REB model proposed that knowledge people must possess of environmental issues cognition. They have to aware of the existence of environmental problems with their causes and consequences. This variable has also termed as Environmental Hungerford and Volk Awareness. (1990)articulated that in accessing the public citizenship, the initiating motivation for people environmental behaviour-shifted is by to originating from focus of issues, later, awareness and knowledge, and beyond of that. As defined by Kollmus and Agyeman (2002),environmental awareness is "knowing of the impact of human behaviour on the environment". It is synchronized with Schwartz's (1977) declaration of awareness of consequences (AC) in Norm-Activation Theory. AC is defined as how well one individual is aware of the negative consequences of environmental disasters when not acting sense of pro-socially Bamberg and Möser (2007) conduct meta-analysis to explore the determinants in predicting environmentally responsible behaviour with REB model-based and the findings prove that awareness to problem is an important variable.

Knowledge of action strategies: In REB model, people with knowledge of issues have to know series course of action accordingly to each

behavioural conduct in order to mitigate the bad consequences from environmental issues. The action factor which explains the how much skilful and knowledgeable to carry out the preservation behaviour, is proved to be the most insignificant to behaviour prediction (Chao, 2012). Therefore, the introduction of knowledge of action strategies is disparate from knowledge of issues and known as Environmental Knowledge. Without considerable knowledge of action strategies, the ability to take action is vulnerable and weak.

Attitude, locus of control and personal responsibility (personal factors): Personal factors category explains that "desire to act" drives people more likely to practice responsible environmental behaviour. It was proposed in REB model that people with positively-shifted attitude, internal locus of control and personal responsibility leads to positive environmental behaviour performance. The deficiency of these personal factors demotivates environmental behaviour and people less likely to perform behavioural action.

Verbal Commitment: In REB model, it verbal commitment is an expression of intention to act on in response to environmental issues. It is measured in communicated willingness to evaluate how much willing a person to convey environmental behaviour.

4.0 DISCUSSION

In comparing with the TPB and TRA, REB model might not been rigorously adopted in empirical study (Chao, 2012). Thus far, the application and testing of REB model is limited to water pollution among boaters (Cottrell & Graefe, 1997); environmental education (Hsu & Roth, 1998; Hsu, 2004); ecotourism (Chiu *et al.*, 2013); environmental responsible behaviour by reading environmental literature (Mobley *et al.*, 2010).

However, TPB is developed for explaining target behaviour rather than generalized behaviour, whereas REB model can be account for describing a generalized environmental behaviour that represented by several groups of

environmental behaviour (Chao, 2012). Considering that, REB model is potential to be used to measure generalized environmental behaviour but not just limited to specific environmental behaviour. Furthermore, different from TPB and TRA, the socio-psychological determinants in REB model is inclusive of both internal and external aspects of explaining generalized environmental behaviour. Internal aspects are referred to the physical and mental aspects of a person; meanwhile external aspects are regarded as environmental influence of an individual. Internal aspect that revealed in REB model is personality factors which including of attitude, locus of control and personal responsibility. External aspects that highlighted in the model are action skills, knowledge of action strategies and issues. It is important to include internal and external aspects in an environmental theory or concept to assist the presentation of socio-psychological factors that affect environmental behaviour in practice (Krajhanzl, 2010). Thus, REB model is suitable to be grounded theory in facilitating the orientation of socio-psychological variables that determine public environmental behaviour.

5.0 CONCLUSION

incorporating is expected by the It environmental theories and models, the study of the behaviourally driven factors will improve the effectiveness of environmental policy and guideline provision (Huffman et al., 2014). A model development to explain behaviour is paramount to present a holistic development of either effective strategies, approaches or program (Von Eye & Bergman, 2003; Lanza et al., 2010; Terzian et al., 2014). In other words, prediction of public' environmental behaviour by theories or models is for a better provision of environmental policy, guidelines, or approaches that might be beneficial in changing the behaviour towards environmental preservation.

In sum, socio-psychological factors that reviewed from this study are beneficial to propose a better theoretical framework to explain generalized environmental behaviour. In future study, environmentalism theoretical framework can be proposed based on REB model in order to be adopted as a sustainability assessment tool to measure public generalized environmental behaviour among Malaysian through nationwide survey.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to deliver the greatest appreciation to Ministry of Natural Resources Teknologi Universiti Malavsia and for supporting this study. Also, the authors would like to acknowledge that this work is supported by the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme with Cost Center No. R.J130000.7827.4F711 provided by Ministry of Higher Education, Acknowledge also Malaysia. goes to Department of Statistic of Malaysia for providing Malaysian census data and other valuable information.

REFERENCES

- Afroz, R., Masud, M. M., Akhtar, R., & Duasa,
 J. B. (2013). Survey and Analysis of Public Knowledge, Awareness and Willingness to Pay in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia – A Case Study on Household WEEE Management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52(0), 185-193.
- Ajzen, I. & Fishbein, M. (1980). Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Ajzen, I. (1985). From intentions to actions: A Theory of Planned Behavior. In J. Kuhl & J. Beckman (Eds.), Action-control: From Cognition to Behavior (pp. 11-39)
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational behavioral and human decision processes 50, 179-211
- Bamberg, S. & G. Möser (2007). Twenty Years After Hines, Hungerford, and Tomera: A New Meta-analysis of Psycho-social Determinants of Pro-environmental Behaviour. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*. 27(1), 14-25.
- Chao, Y.-L. (2012). Predicting People's Environmental Behaviour: Theory of Planned Behaviour and Model of Responsible Environmental Behaviour.

Environmental Education Research. 18(4), 437-461.

- Chiu, Y.-T. H., Lee, W-I., & Chen, T-H. (2013).
 Environmentally Responsible Behavior in Ecotourism: Exploring the Role of Destination Image and Value Perception. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*. 19(8), 876-889.
- Cottrell, S. P. & Graefe, A. R. (1997). Testing a Conceptual Framework of Responsible Environmental Behavior. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 29(1), 17-27.
- Desa, A., Kadir, N. B. y. A., & Yusooff, F. (2011). A Study on the Knowledge, Attitudes, Awareness Status and Behaviour Concerning Solid Waste Management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 18(0), 643-648.
- Desa, A., Kadir, N. B. y. A., & Yusooff, F. (2012). Waste Education and Awareness Strategy: Towards Solid Waste Management (SWM) Program at UKM. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 59(0), 47-50.
- Fishbein, M. & Ajzen, I. (1975). Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley
- Hines, J. M., Hungerford, H. R., Tomera, A. N. (1986/87). Analysis and Synthesis of Research on Responsible Environmental Behavior: A Meta-Analysis. *The Journal* of Environmental Education. 18(2), 1-8.
- Hsu, S. J. & Roth, R. E. (1998). An Assessment of Environmental Literacy and Analysis of Predictors of Responsible Environmental Behaviour Held by Secondary Teachers in the Hualien Area of Taiwan. *Environmental Education Research* 4(3), 229-249.
- Hsu, S.-J. (2004). The Effects of an Environmental Education Program on Responsible Environmental Behavior and Associated Environmental Literacy Variables in Taiwanese College Students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 35(2), 37-48.
- Huffman, A. H., Van Der Werff, B. R., Henning,J. B., & Watrous-Rodriguez, K. (2014).When do Recycling Attitudes PredictRecycling? An Investigation of Self-

reported Versus Observed Behavior. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 38(0), 262-270.

- Hungerford, H. R. & Volk, T. L. (1990). Changing Learner Behavior Through Environmental Education. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 21(3), 8-21.
- Jibril, J. D. a., Sipan, I. B., Sapri, M., Shika, S. A., Isa, M., & Abdullah, S. (2012). 3R s Critical Success Factor in Solid Waste Management System for Higher Educational Institutions. *Procedia - Social* and Behavioral Sciences, 65(0), 626-631.
- Klöckner, C. A. (2013). A Comprehensive Model of the Psychology of Environmental Behaviour—A Meta-Analysis. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(5), 1028-1038.
- Kollmuss, A. & Agyeman, J. (2002). Mind the Gap: Why do People Act Environmentally and What are the Barriers to Proenvironmental Behavior? *Environmental Education Research.* 8(3), 239-260.
- Krajhanzl, J. (2010). Environmental and Proenvironmental Behavior. *School and Health*, 21, 251-274
- Lanza, S. T., Patrick, M. E., & Maggs, J. L. (2010). Latent Transition Analysis: Benefits of a Latent Variable Approach to Modeling Transitions in Substance Use. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 40(1), 93-120.
- Madden, T. J., Ellen, P. S., & Ajzen, I. (1992). A Comparison of the Theory of Planned Behavior and the Theory of Reasoned Action. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 18(1), 3-9.
- McCright, A. M. (2010). The Effects of Gender on Climate Change Knowledge and Concern in the American Public. *Population and Environment*, 32(1), 66-87.

- Mobley, C., Vagias, W. M., & DeWard, S. L. (2010). Exploring Additional Determinants of Environmentally Responsible Behavior: The Influence of Environmental Literature and Environmental Attitudes. *Environment and Behavior*, 42(4), 420-447.
- Mondejar-Jimenez, J. A., Cordente-Rodriguez, M., Meseguer-Santamaria, M. L., & Gazquez-Abad, J. C. (2011). Environmental Behavior and Water Saving in Spanish Housing. *International Journal of Environmental Research*, 5(1), 1-10.
- Saeed, M. O., Hassan, M. N., & Mujeebu, M. A. (2009). Assessment of Municipal Solid Waste Generation and Recyclable Materials Potential in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. *Waste Management*, 29(7), 2209-2213.
- Schwartz, S.H. (1977). Normative Influences on Altruism, in: L. BERKOWITZ (Ed.) Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, Vol. 10 (New York, Academic Press).
- Terzian, M. A., Li, J., Fraser, M. W., Day, S. H., & Rose, R. A. (2014). Social Information-Processing Skills and Aggression: A Quasi-Experimental Trial of the Making Choices and Making Choices Plus Programs. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 25(3), 358-369
- Von Eye, A., & Bergman, L. R. (2003). Research Strategies in Developmental Psychopathology: Dimensional Identity and the Person-oriented Approach. *Development and Psychopathology*, 15(03), 553-580.