

1 Substrate specificity and promiscuity of horizontally transferred  
2 UDP-glycosyltransferases in the generalist herbivore *Tetranychus*  
3 *urticae*

4  
5 Simon Snoeck<sup>a\*</sup>, Nena Pavlidi<sup>b\*</sup>, Dimitra Pipini<sup>c,d</sup>, John Vontas<sup>c</sup>, Wannes Dermauw<sup>a</sup> and Thomas Van  
6 Leeuwen<sup>a, b</sup>

7 <sup>a</sup>Department of Plants and Crops, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure links 653, 9000, Ghent, Belgium.

8 <sup>b</sup>Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics (IBED), University of Amsterdam (UvA), Science Park 904, 1908 XH,  
9 Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

10 <sup>c</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB), Foundation for Research and Technology (FORTH), University of Crete,  
11 Vassilika Vouton, 70013, Heraklion, Crete, Greece

12 <sup>d</sup>Pesticide Science Laboratory, department of Crop Science, Agricultural University of Athens, Iera Odos 75, 11855, Athens, Greece

13 \*both authors equally contributed

14  
15  
16 Corresponding authors:

17 Thomas Van Leeuwen

18 e-mail: [thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be](mailto:thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be)

19 postal address: Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent

20 telephone number: +32(0)9 264 61 43

21 Wannes Dermauw

22 e-mail: [wannes.dermauw@ugent.be](mailto:wannes.dermauw@ugent.be)

23 postal address: Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent

24 telephone number: +32(0)9 264 61 92

25  
26  
27 e-mail address of each author:

28 [simonp.snoeck@ugent.be](mailto:simonp.snoeck@ugent.be)

29 [nenapvld@gmail.com](mailto:nenapvld@gmail.com)

30 [dpipini@gmail.com](mailto:dpipini@gmail.com)

31 [vontas@imbb.forth.gr](mailto:vontas@imbb.forth.gr)

32 [wannes.dermauw@ugent.be](mailto:wannes.dermauw@ugent.be)

33 [thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be](mailto:thomas.vanleeuwen@ugent.be)

34  
35 Declarations of interests: none

36 Color figures: online only

## 37 Abstract

38 Uridine diphosphate (UDP)-glycosyltransferases (UGTs) catalyze the addition of UDP-sugars to small  
39 hydrophobic molecules, turning them into more water-soluble metabolites. While their role in  
40 detoxification is well documented for vertebrates, arthropod UGTs have only recently been linked to  
41 the detoxification and sequestration of plant toxins and insecticides. The two-spotted spider mite  
42 *Tetranychus urticae* is a generalist herbivore notorious for rapidly developing resistance to insecticides  
43 and acaricides. We identified a set of eight UGT genes that were overexpressed in mites upon long-  
44 term acclimation or adaptation to a new host plant and/or in mite strains highly resistant to acaricides.  
45 Functional expression revealed that they were all catalytically active and that the majority preferred  
46 UDP-glucose as activated donor for glycosylation of model substrates. A high-throughput substrate  
47 screening of both plant secondary metabolites and pesticides revealed patterns of both substrate  
48 specificity and promiscuity. We further selected nine enzyme-substrate combinations for more  
49 comprehensive analysis and determined steady-state kinetic parameters. Among others, plant  
50 metabolites such as capsaicin and several flavonoids were shown to be glycosylated. The acaricide  
51 abamectin was also glycosylated by two UGTs and one of these was also overexpressed in an  
52 abamectin resistant strain. Our study corroborates the potential role of *T. urticae* UGTs in  
53 detoxification of both synthetic and natural xenobiotic compounds and paves the way for rapid  
54 substrate screening of arthropod UGTs.

## 55 1. Introduction

56 Glycosyltransferases catalyze the transfer of sugar moieties from activated donor molecules to an  
57 acceptor molecule and are ubiquitous across all kingdoms of life (Lairson et al., 2008). Uridine  
58 diphosphate(UDP)-glycosyltransferases (UGTs) are the largest family of glycosyltransferases  
59 (Lombard et al., 2014) and catalyze the addition of UDP-sugars to small hydrophobic molecules. Next  
60 to important roles in biosynthesis, storage and transport of secondary metabolites, UGTs are, together  
61 with glutathione-S-transferases, also well-known as phase II enzymes in the detoxification process  
62 (Jancova et al., 2010). By catalyzing the conjugation of hydrophobic compounds with UDP sugars,  
63 more hydrophilic compounds are generated, that enhance excretion (Mackenzie et al., 1997). In  
64 contrast to human UGTs, the glycosylation of small hydrophobic compounds by arthropod UGTs has  
65 been poorly studied. Only recently, biochemical and functional studies could specifically link  
66 arthropod UGTs to the detoxification and sequestration of plant allelochemicals and insecticides  
67 (Highfill et al., 2017; Krempl et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). Krempl et al., 2016 detected glycosylated  
68 gossypol isomers in the feces of *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Heliothis virescens*, and showed that two  
69 UGTs were capable of glycosylating gossypol. Hence, they suggested that these UGTs might play a  
70 crucial role in gossypol detoxification in generalist herbivores utilizing cotton as a host plant.

71 The two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* (Chelicerata: Acari: Trombidiformes), is able  
72 to feed on more than 1100 plant species which belong to more than 140 different plant families  
73 (Migeon et al., 2018). Next to being extremely polyphagous, *T. urticae* is also considered as the  
74 ‘resistance champion’ among arthropods, as it has the most documented instances of resistance to  
75 diverse pesticides (Van Leeuwen et al., 2010; Van Leeuwen and Dermauw, 2016). Eighty UGT genes  
76 were earlier identified in the *T. urticae* genome, similar to *Bemisia tabaci* (81 UGTs), but a  
77 substantially larger number than any other arthropod species reported so far (Ahn et al., 2014; Chen et  
78 al., 2016). They are classified in seven distinct families (UGT201-207) and recent lineage-specific  
79 gene expansions have been reported for the subfamilies UGT201A, UGT201B and UGT202A.  
80 Moreover, it was shown that these *T. urticae* UGT genes were very likely acquired from bacteria  
81 through horizontal gene transfer (Ahn et al., 2014; Bajda et al., 2015; Van Leeuwen and Dermauw,  
82 2016). As a consequence, similar to bacterial UGTs, *T. urticae* UGTs do not harbor a signal peptide  
83 and a transmembrane domain (TM), which indicates that they are cytosolic enzymes (Ahn et al.,  
84 2014). This contrasts to other eukaryotes, where the N-terminal signal peptide is removed upon  
85 insertion of the UGT into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and a C-terminal TM domain anchors the  
86 protein to the ER (Ahn et al., 2012, 2014; Erb et al., 2009; Magdalou et al., 2010).

87 In this study, we identified a set of eight UGTs that were overexpressed in *T. urticae* upon  
88 long term plant acclimation/adaptation and/or resistance against certain acaricides. Next, we  
89 recombinantly expressed this set of *T. urticae* UGTs in *Escherichia coli* and determined their catalytic  
90 properties against model substrates, as well as examined their potential to conjugate an array of

91 secondary plant metabolites and acaricides. Nine enzyme-acceptor interactions were further  
92 characterized by determining their steady state kinetic parameters and their preferred UDP-donor  
93 substrate.

## 94 2. Material and Methods

### 95 2.1. *T. urticae* strains, chemicals and plant secondary metabolites

96 All *T. urticae* populations were described previously (Dermauw et al., 2013; Grbić et al., 2011;  
97 Jonckheere et al., 2016; Snoeck et al., 2018) and mass reared on their respective host plants at 26 °C  
98 ( $\pm 0.5$  °C), 60% relative humidity (RH) and 16/8 h light/dark photoperiod. For a more detailed  
99 description of each population, see Supplementary Table 1. All chemicals, including pesticides, and  
100 plant secondary metabolites used in this study were of analytical grade. Detailed information about  
101 suppliers and purity of substrates can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

### 102 2.2. UGT gene expression analysis

103 The UGT metanalysis of existing microarray gene expression data was performed in three different  
104 batches. An analysis of A) microarrays run with RNA from adult *T. urticae* females and the 1st array  
105 design (GPL15756/Agilent-028213; Dermauw et al., 2013), B) microarrays run with RNA from  
106 deutonymph *T. urticae* females and the 1st array design (GPL15756/Agilent-028213; Demaeght et al.,  
107 2013) and C) microarrays run with RNA from adult *T. urticae* females and the 2nd array design  
108 (GPL16890/Agilent-033850; (Jonckheere et al., 2016; Khalighi et al., 2015; Pavlidi et al., 2017;  
109 Snoeck et al., 2018; Wybouw et al., 2015, 2014)). For each analysis, raw intensity data were used as  
110 input for final processing and statistical analysis in limma (version 3.30.13) of the Bioconductor  
111 framework (Smyth, 2004). Prior to differential gene expression analysis, the probe sequences were  
112 remapped to the *T. urticae* genome annotation (cDNA sequences) of August 11, 2016 using Bowtie2-  
113 2.3.4.3 and following setting “--norc -f -a” (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012). Only the probes that  
114 aligned uniquely and without mismatches (probes having “AS:i:0” in the 1st optional field of the SAM  
115 output of Bowtie2) to the annotated genome (42577 probes for analysis A and B while 39650 probes  
116 for analysis C) were incorporated in the differential expression analysis. Next, background correction  
117 was performed by the ‘normexp’ method, using an offset of 50 (Ritchie et al., 2007). Background-  
118 corrected data were within- and between-array normalized (global loess and Aquantile, respectively)  
119 and quality was subsequently assessed using arrayQualityMetrics (Kauffmann et al., 2009). A linear  
120 model (using “lmFit” in the limma R package) was fitted to the processed data that treated the London  
121 (analysis A and C) or LS-VL (analysis B) strain as a common reference (cy3 channel in sample  
122 GSM980545-GSM980555 for analysis A, cy3 channel in sample GSM1065002-GSM1065006 for  
123 analysis B and cy3 channel in sample GSM1214964-GSM1214967, GSM2124774-GSM2124784,  
124 GSM1679383-GSM1679385 and GSM1633888- GSM1633891 for analysis C). Empirical Bayes  
125 moderated t-statistics (using the “eBayes” function in the limma R package; Smyth, 2004) was used to  
126 determine differences in transcript expression levels in reference to the London (adult females,

127 analysis A and C) or LS-VL (deutonymph females, analysis B) strain. UGT gene expression heatmaps  
128 were created in R (R Development Core Team, 2015) using the relative transcript levels ( $\log_2FC$ ) and  
129 the R package `gplots_3.0.1` (Warnes et al., 2016).

### 130 2.3. Phylogenetic analysis

131 *T. urticae* UGT protein sequences were derived from the ORCAE genome portal while those of  
132 *Panonychus citri* and *Panonychus ulmi* were obtained from Bajda et al., 2015 and Sterck et al., 2012.  
133 *Tetranychus evansi* UGTs were identified by mining the *T. evansi* transcriptome (Villarroel et al.,  
134 2016): *T. urticae* UGT protein sequences were used as query in a `tblastn` search (E-value threshold of  
135  $E^{-3}$ , BLAST 2.2.31+) against the *T. evansi* transcriptome. In cases where *T. evansi* contigs showed  
136 more than 95% identity at the nucleotide level, they were considered as allelic variants and the longest  
137 transcript was retained for further analysis using `cd-hit-est` with sequence identity threshold (-c) of  
138 0.95 (Fu et al., 2012). Open reading frames (ORFs) of *T. evansi* were identified using “EMBOSS  
139 6.6.0.0 `getorf`” integrated in the Mobyly portal framework (<http://mobyly.pasteur.fr/>). *T. evansi* contig  
140 sequences were manually corrected to contain the longest UGT encoding ORFs. Those ORFs that  
141 showed identical overlap and had the same `blastx` top-hit against the *T. urticae* proteome, were  
142 considered to be part of the same gene and were manually merged using `BioEdit v7.2.5` (Hall, 2013).  
143 Subsequently, ORFs were once more filtered for allelic variants using `cd-hit-est` (sequence identity  
144 threshold (-c) 0.95) and the longest ORF was retained for further analysis (Fu et al., 2012). Finally,  
145 only *T. evansi* ORFs longer than 150 AA were retained for phylogenetic analysis. *T. urticae* UGTs  
146 were aligned with those of *T. evansi*, *P. citri* and *P. ulmi* using the online version of MAFFT 7 with  
147 the E-INS-I iterative refinement method strategy, 1000 iterations and the option “reorder” (Katoh et  
148 al., 2002). A phylogenetic analysis was performed on the Cipres web portal using RAxML v8.2.10  
149 HPC2-XSEDE with the automatic protein model assignment algorithm using maximum likelihood  
150 criterion and 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Miller et al., 2010; Stamatakis, 2014). The LG + G protein  
151 model was selected as the best scoring model for maximum likelihood analysis. The resulting tree was  
152 midpoint rooted, visualized using MEGA7 (Tamura et al., 2013) and edited in Corel-DRAW Home &  
153 Student x7.

### 154 2.4. Cloning, functional expression and purification of recombinant UGTs

155 The cDNA sequences were amplified from the maize (*tetur05g09325*, *tetur01g05690* and  
156 *tetur05g05050*), tomato (*tetur05g00060* and *tetur22g00270*) and cotton (*tetur04g02350*) lines and  
157 from the London (*tetur22g00440*) and the MAR-AB (*tetur02g09850*) strain (Supplementary Table 3).  
158 For cDNA preparation, total RNA of adult spider mites was extracted using RNeasy Plus Mini kit  
159 (Qiagen, USA) and reverse transcribed using Maxima first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo  
160 Scientific). One microliter of the prepared cDNAs was used as the PCR template using the blunt-end  
161 Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Scientific) and specific primers (Supplementary  
162 Table 4). Conditions were 98 °C for 2 min, followed by 30 cycles of 98 °C for 15 sec, 60 °C for 30 sec,

163 72 °C for 30 sec. PCR product was purified using E.Z.N.A.® Gel Extraction Kit (Omega Bio Tek) and  
164 ligated into pET100/D-TOPO (Invitrogen Life Technologies), which allows expression of  
165 recombinant protein with an N-terminal 6x His tag, following manufacturer's instructions. TOP10  
166 competent *Escherichia coli* cells were transformed with the ligation reaction and the resulted colonies  
167 were screened by colony PCR using the cloning primers (Supplementary Table 4) for the presence of  
168 the inserts. At least 5 positive colonies were further grown on liquid cultures and the corresponding  
169 plasmids were extracted using E.Z.N.A.® Plasmid Mini Kit I (Omega Bio Tek) and sent for  
170 sequencing (Macrogen, The Netherlands). A clone of the correct DNA sequence was selected for  
171 heterologous expression. *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) STAR competent cells transformed with UGT-  
172 pET100/D-TOPO construct were grown over-night and used for the 1 to 50 inoculation of 2 L LB  
173 medium containing 100 mg/ml of ampicillin. The cultures were grown at 37 °C with shaking, until the  
174 absorbance at 595 nm reached 0.8-1. Then, the expression was induced by the addition of isopropyl- $\beta$ -  
175 -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. The cultures were further grow at 18 °C  
176 overnight and subsequently the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5000 g for 30 min. Cell  
177 pellets were re-suspended in 25 ml of sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (containing 20 mM sodium  
178 phosphate, 40 mM imidazole and 500 mM NaCl). Cells were lysed by 2 rounds of freezing/thawing  
179 followed by incubation with 10 mg/ml of lysozyme (Sigma Aldrich) on ice for 30 min and sonication.  
180 Cell lysate was centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4 °C for 30 min and the supernatant was used for the  
181 purification of the recombinant enzymes. Supernatant was loaded in 0.2 ml of pre-equilibrated Ni-  
182 NTA resin (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. Unbound proteins were washed with 30 ml  
183 (15 bed volumes) of 160 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4 and the recombinant enzyme was eluted  
184 using 500 mM imidazole in 160 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.4. Protein eluates were applied to  
185 PD-10 desalting columns (GE Healthcare) following manufacturer's protocol to remove imidazole,  
186 proteins were eluted with 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4 and stored at -20 °C in 25 mM DDT and 40%  
187 glycerol. Protein concentration was measured by Bradford assay (Bradford, 1976). Purified protein  
188 was run on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and subsequently a Western blot was performed using anti-His-tag  
189 primary antibodies (Bio-Rad) to verify the purity and potential proteolytic degradation of the  
190 recombinant protein. As negative controls for the downstream activity, assays fractions of non-induced  
191 constructs for *tetur05g09325* and *tetur01g05690* were purified following the procedure as described  
192 above except that IPTG was not added.

## 193 2.5. Activity assays and determination of specificities for model substrates

194 UGT activity was determined against the model substrates p-nitrophenol (Leszczynski and Dixon,  
195 1990), 1-naphthol and 2-naphthol (Sigma-Aldrich). All reactions were performed at 25° C in 0.1 M of  
196 sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 in the presence of 0.83 mM of UDP-glucose (Sigma-Aldrich), 16.7  
197 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.16 mM of the model substrate. The total reaction volume was 250  $\mu$ l and the  
198 incubation time 20 min. Depletion of p-nitrophenol was detected spectrophotometrically at 400 nm,



199 and depletion of 1- and 2-naphthol substrates was quantified after fast blue staining (55.6 mM Fast  
200 Blue RR crystalline, Sigma-Aldrich) as the resulted azo-dyes were monitored at 570 nm.

201 In addition to depletion, formation of reaction product was also monitored for 20 min to  
202 calculate the specific activity against each model substrate. The formation of the corresponding  
203 glucoside was detected spectrophotometrically at 295 nm for p-nitrophenol, while the conjugates of 1-  
204 naphthol and 2-naphthol were quantified by fluorescence spectrophotometry, using excitation/emission  
205 wavelength of 287/335 nm and 283/341 nm respectively, with 1 nm slit widths. For all experiments,  
206 measurements were performed in three independent replicates in 96-well plates (Greiner Bio-One)  
207 using a Bio-Tek Synergy H1 multimode microplate reader (Bio-Tek). Reactions without recombinant  
208 enzyme were included as a negative control for non-enzymatic glycosylation.

## 209 2.6. Treatment with $\beta$ -glucosidase

210 To further ensure that model substrates were glycosylated by *T. urticae* UGTs, a treatment with  $\beta$ -  
211 glucosidase (Sigma-Aldrich) was conducted after incubation of the model substrates p-nitrophenol and  
212 1-naphthol with the UGTs tetur05g09325 and tetur01g05690, respectively. UGT reactions were  
213 performed as described above (Section 2.5) and upon incubation of 20 min, the reaction mixture was  
214 boiled for 2 min to inactivate the UGT enzymes. Subsequently, 1 mg of  $\beta$ -glucosidase (Sigma-  
215 Aldrich) was added and incubation was continued for 1 h. Negative control reaction mixtures without  
216 recombinant enzymes were included and measurements were performed in 9 replicates. Model  
217 substrate concentrations were measured spectrophotometrically (Section 2.5) before and after  
218 incubation with  $\beta$ -glucosidase. R and the R package ggplot2\_2.2.1 were used to plot the results.

## 219 2.7. UDP-sugar preference

220 Ultra-pure UDP-glucose, UDP-glucuronic acid, UDP-galactose, UDP-N-acetylgalactosamine (UDP-  
221 GlcNAc) and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-galNAc) were purchased from the Promega  
222 corporation and were tested as potential UDP-sugar substrates for all functionally expressed enzymes  
223 by using either p-nitrophenol or 1-naphthol depending on the model substrate preference of each  
224 enzyme (Table 1). Model substrate depletion was monitored spectrophotometrically after 20 min of  
225 incubation as described above (Section 2.5). Measurements were performed in three independent  
226 replicates. Results were plotted in R with the R package ggplot2\_2.2.1.

## 227 2.8. UGT incubation and UDP-glo glycosyltransferase assay

228 Incubation of 50  $\mu$ M substrate, 0.1  $\mu$ g enzyme, 400  $\mu$ M UDP-sugar, in a total reaction volume of 125  $\mu$ l  
229 containing 0.1M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) and 16.7 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> at 25°C for 1 hour was  
230 performed. Enzyme reactions were stopped by the addition of the UDP Detection Reagent of the UDP-  
231 Glo™ glycosyltransferase assay (Promega), and detection of free-UDP was performed as described  
232 below. Negative controls used for the calculations consisted of all reaction components except the  
233 substrates, all reaction components except the UDP-sugar and all reaction components except the  
234 enzyme. In addition, another incubation set-up was tested for two enzymes with a relatively lower

235 activity (tetur04g02350 and tetur05g05050) similar to the former one, except for the amount of  
236 enzyme, which was elevated to 1  $\mu$ g.

237 The formation of free-UDP by the glycosyltransferase reaction was quantified by using the  
238 UDP-Glo™ Glycosyltransferase assay (Promega). This assay detects the UDP release by converting  
239 free-UDP to ATP which results in the generation of light in a luciferase reaction. Following the  
240 manufacturer's protocol, each glycosyltransferase reaction was combined in a ratio of 1:1 (25  $\mu$ L:25  
241  $\mu$ L) with the UDP-Glo™ Detection Reagent (three technical replicates) in independent wells of a  
242 white, flat bottom 96-(chimney)-well lumitrac medium binding assay plate (Greiner) and was allowed  
243 to incubate at room temperature for 1 hour. Subsequently, luminescence was measured in Relative  
244 Luminescence Units (RLU) with a Bio-Tek Synergy H1 multimode microplate reader (Bio-Tek) in  
245 triplicates. For absolute quantification, a UDP standard curve was determined (0-25 $\mu$ M UDP) and  
246 plotted with SigmaPlot 12.0 software (Fig. S1). The range of measurements was determined to be in  
247 the linear range of detection.

## 248 2.9. Substrate screening

249 The following potential substrates were tested in the substrate screening experiment with UDP-glucose  
250 as activated donor; 7-hydroxyflavone, abamectin, acequinocyl, atropine, azadirachtin, bifenazate,  
251 bifenthrin, caffeic acid, caffeine, capsaicin, catechol, chlorfenapyr, chlorogenic acid, chrysin,  
252 clofentezine, coumestrol, cyenopyrafen, cyflumetofen, DIMBOA, dopamine, eriodictyol,  
253 fenpyroximate, gossypol, hesperetin, hexythiazox, jasmonic acid, kaempferol, L-3,4-  
254 dihydroxyphenylalanine, l-canavanine, MBOA, methanol, naringenin, nicotinic acid, profenofos,  
255 pyflubumide, pyridaben, quercetin, rutin hydrate, salicylic acid, scopoletin, spirodiclofen and vanillin  
256 (Supplementary Table 5). All substrates were dissolved in methanol and glycosylation was quantified  
257 by using the UDP-Glo™ Glycosyltransferase assay (Promega) as described above. Plots were created  
258 in R (R Development Core Team, 2015) using R package ggplot2\_2.2.1.

## 259 2.10. Kinetic studies of specific enzymes-substrate combinations (9) and UDP- 260 sugar preference

261 Based on the substrate screening (indirect measurement of glycosylation by quantification of released  
262 free-UDP), steady-state kinetic parameters were determined for the UDP-glucose conjugation reaction  
263 of nine enzyme-acceptor combinations: tetur02g09850 – (capsaicin, kaempferol, abamectin),  
264 tetur22g00270 – (capsaicin, kaempferol), tetur22g00440 – (kaempferol), tetur04g02350 – (DIMBOA,  
265 kaempferol) and tetur05g00060 – (abamectin). Initial velocities were determined by using a constant  
266 concentration of UDP-glucose (400  $\mu$ M) while acceptors were used in the concentration range of 0-  
267 400  $\mu$ M, using UDP-Glo assay protocol and the reaction set-up described above. The Michaelis-  
268 Menten or Hill equation was fitted to the obtained data to define  $K_m$  and  $V_{max}$  parameters using  
269 OriginLab (OriginLab Corporation) (see Table 2 for the type of equation that was fitted).



270 Subsequently, ultra-pure UDP-glucose, UDP-glucuronic acid, UDP-galactose, UDP-N-  
271 acetylgalactosamine and UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (Promega) were tested as sugar donor using  
272 acceptor substrates in a final concentration of 200  $\mu$ M. A Wilcoxon rank sum test (pairwise  
273 comparison –  $p < 0.05$ ) was performed in R using the R package pgirmess (version 1.6.9) and the data  
274 was plotted using the R package gplots\_3.0.1 (Warnes et al., 2016; Giraudoux et al., 2018).

## 275 2.11. Image processing

276 CorelDRAW Home & Student  $\times 7$  was used for processing of images.

# 277 3. Results

## 278 3.1. Selection of glycosyltransferases

279 *T. urticae* UGTs were selected based on their expression profile in acaricide resistant strains or in mite  
280 lines acclimatized or adapted to a challenging host (Fig. 1, Fig. S2, Supplementary Table 6). Next to  
281 gene expression data, a phylogenetic analysis was used to select UGT genes that belonged to diverse  
282 UGT clades/subfamilies, including those of lineage-specific subfamilies (Fig. 2). *Tetur05g00060* and  
283 *tetur22g00270* were selected based on their high expression in the *T. urticae* line adapted to tomato.  
284 *Tetur01g05690*, *tetur05g05050* and *tetur05g09325* were highly expressed in a mite population  
285 acclimatized to maize. *Tetur04g02350* was highly expressed in a cotton acclimatized population.  
286 Finally, *tetur02g09850* and *tetur22g00440* were chosen because of their high expression in acaricide  
287 resistant strains MAR-AB, JP-R and MR-VP, and TU008R, respectively.

## 288 3.2. Cloning, heterologous expression and purification of *T. urticae* UGTs

289 Coding sequences of selected UGTs were successfully cloned into the pET100/D-TOPO expression  
290 vector and inspection of cloned sequences did not reveal any sequencing errors. IPTG induction of  
291 expression resulted in good levels of protein production. Although the majority of the expressed  
292 protein was found in the insoluble fraction, the remaining yield in the soluble fraction was sufficient to  
293 allow efficient metal affinity purification of recombinant enzymes. The overall amount of recombinant  
294 UGTs ranged from 4-10 mg derived from 2 L bacterial cultures. All UGTs were successfully purified  
295 close to homogeneity, as verified by obtaining a main band of the expected size after both SDS-PAGE  
296 as well as a Western-blot with anti-His-tag primary antibodies (Fig. S3, panel A and B).

## 297 3.3. Kinetic properties of recombinant *T. urticae* UGTs

298 The recombinant UGTs were assayed towards the model substrates p-nitrophenol, 1-naphthol and 2-  
299 naphthol to investigate whether they exhibited UDP glycosyltransferase activity (Table 1). All  
300 recombinant UGTs were capable of conjugating at least one of the model substrates. Nevertheless, for  
301 all model substrates tested, *tetur05g05050*, *tetur05g00060* and *tetur04g02350* showed low activity  
302 compared to the other recombinant UGTs. Incubation with a recombinant glutathione S-transferase,  
303 GSTd05, did not result in glycosylation of the model substrates (Fig S4, panel A and B), strongly  
304 indicating that the above measured activities are the result of recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzymes  
305

306 and not from *E. coli* background proteins. Elutions from non-induced constructs of tetur01g05690 and  
307 tetur05g09325 were also included as a control and resulted in a relatively low glycosylation of the  
308 model substrates compared to the induced constructs. A sign of leakage in expression which increased  
309 when the elution volume was increased from 12.5 to 25  $\mu$ l (Fig. S4, panel A and B). Finally, as beta-  
310 glucosidase is known to remove the glucose group of a glycosylated model substrate, we added this  
311 enzyme after incubation of model substrates with tetur01g05690 and tetur05g09325. The amount of  
312 non-glycosylated model substrate increased after incubation with beta-glucosidase in comparison to  
313 the amount of non-glycosylated model substrate before incubation with beta-glucosidase (Fig. S4,  
314 panel C).

### 315 3.4. Sugar selectivity of the recombinant UGTs

316 The model substrates p-nitrophenol and 1-naphthol were used to determine the sugar selectivity of the  
317 functionally expressed UGTs, depending on the acceptor preference of each enzyme (Table 1). Most  
318 enzymes were able to use multiple UDP-sugars as activated donor although five out of eight enzymes  
319 clearly preferred UDP-glucose (Fig. 3). For those UGTs that showed the lowest activity against any of  
320 the model substrates, tetur04g02350, tetur05g05050 and tetur05g00060, the preferred UDP-sugar  
321 could not be clearly determined. Interestingly, tetur22g0440 could only use UDP-glucose as an  
322 activated donor molecule. Based on the sugar selectivity experiment, UDP-glucose was chosen as  
323 UDP-sugar for the subsequent experiments (Section 3.5 and 3.6).

### 324 3.5. Substrate/acceptor specificity

325 The substrate promiscuity of selected *T. urticae* UGT enzymes was evaluated towards a diverse set of  
326 44 substrates (3 model substrates, 27 plant secondary metabolites and 14 acaricides) using the UDP-  
327 Glo™ glycosyltransferase assay (Promega) as a quick qualitative screening method. Methanol was  
328 used as a substrate solvent for all assays. Assays with tetur05g09325 caused very high (RLU)  
329 background values in the negative control (solvent without substrate), and this issue could not be  
330 overcome by using a different solvent (acetone, acetonitrile, DMSO or ethanol). Hence, this  
331 recombinant *T. urticae* UGT was excluded from the substrate screening.

332 Some common features emerged regarding substrate specificity of the recombinant UGTs, at  
333 least for the parameters of the set-up of this high-throughput screening (Fig. 4, Supplementary Table  
334 7). (1) Three UGTs (tetur02g09850, tetur22g00270 and tetur22g00440) could glycosylate multiple  
335 substrates of the flavonoid class of secondary plant metabolites. The flavonoids included in our  
336 screening were: 7-hydroxyflavone, chrysin, coumestrol, eriodictyol, hesperitin, kaempferol,  
337 naringenin, quercetin and rutin hydrate. (2) The latter three UGTs and tetur02g09850 in particular also  
338 glycosylated a broad spectrum of acaricides. (3) In contrast with the broad spectra of the earlier  
339 mentioned UGTs, the other recombinant UGT enzymes had a narrower substrate spectrum.  
340 Tetur05g00060 glycosylated three substrates (capsaicin, abamectin and cyenopyrafen) while  
341 tetur04g02350 and tetur05g05050 showed no activity towards any of the substrates.

342 Specific enzyme-substrate combinations of potential interest included: (1) tetur01g05690 and  
343 the substrate vanillin, which resulted in the highest amount of released free-UDP (~glycosylation) of  
344 all combinations tested (2) next to flavonoids, tetur02g09850 and tetur22g00270 also glycosylated  
345 capsaicin (3) the plant secondary metabolite DIMBOA was not glycosylated by any of the  
346 recombinant enzymes at the default parameters of our screening assay. However, upon augmentation  
347 of the enzyme amount from 0.1  $\mu\text{g}$  to 1  $\mu\text{g}$  for two enzymes with a relatively lower activity (Table 1),  
348 both DIMBOA and kaempferol were glycosylated by tetur04g02350 (Fig. S5).

### 349 3.6. Enzymatical characterization of the recombinant UGTs

350 Based on the results of the high-throughput screening, a subset of enzyme-acceptor combinations was  
351 further enzymatically characterized by determination of the steady-state kinetic parameters and  
352 presented in Table 2. Tetur02g09850 and abamectin had the highest affinity ( $K_m$ ) of all enzyme-  
353 substrate combinations tested ( $K_m 1.83 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{M}$ ). Additionally, tetur02g09850 had a high affinity  
354 for capsaicin ( $K_m 6.20 \pm 0.54 \mu\text{M}$ ). Abamectin and tetur05g00060 also resulted in a relatively good  $K_m$   
355 and the highest turnover number ( $k_{cat}$ ) observed of all enzyme-substrate combinations ( $K_m 7.91 \pm 0.13$   
356  $\mu\text{M}$  and  $k_{cat} 63.84 \text{ min}^{-1}$ ). Tetur22g00440 had the highest affinity of all four enzymes that were  
357 characterized with kaempferol ( $K_m 14.95 \pm 2.36 \mu\text{M}$ ). Finally, tetur04g02350 had a rather low affinity  
358 for DIMBOA ( $128.46 \pm 18.28 \mu\text{M}$ ).

359 Additionally, sugar selectivity of the recombinant UGTs was re-determined with the substrates  
360 from the selected subset of enzyme-acceptor combinations, instead of with the preferred model  
361 substrates as in Section 3.4. Out of the UDP-sugars tested (UDP-glucose, UDP-glucuronic acid, UDP-  
362 galactose, UDP-galNAc and UDP-GlcNAc), UDP-glucose was the preferred activated sugar donor for  
363 all enzyme-substrate combinations (Fig. S6).

## 364 4. Discussion

365 In arthropods, cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450s), glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) and  
366 carboxyl/cholinesterases (CCEs) are well-known players in the detoxification process of noxious  
367 compounds (Bajda et al., 2017; Després et al., 2007; Feyereisen et al., 2015; Li et al., 2007; Pavlidi et  
368 al., 2018). UGTs, like GSTs, act in phase II of the detoxification process and catalyze the  
369 glycosylation of compounds, making them more water soluble and resulting in more rapid excretion.  
370 However, in contrast to human UGTs, the role of arthropod UGTs in detoxification has been  
371 overlooked for many years. Recently, a number of UGT genes were found to be overexpressed in  
372 insecticide resistant and host plant acclimatized/adapted populations (Faucon et al., 2015; Kaplanoglu  
373 et al., 2017; Li et al., 2018, 2017; Snoeck et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2018) and the role of UGTs in  
374 detoxification of pesticides was investigated using inhibitors such as sulfinpyrazone and 5-nitrouracil  
375 (Li et al., 2017; Pan et al., 2018; Tian et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018). In addition, glycosylated plant  
376 toxins were detected in either feces or after incubation with homogenates of arthropods as UGT

377 enzyme source (Ahn et al., 2011; Kojima et al., 2010; Kreml et al., 2016; Maag et al., 2014; Sasai et  
378 al., 2009; Wouters et al., 2014) and in some cases specific insect UGTs could be linked with  
379 detoxification of toxic compounds (Highfill et al., 2017; Kreml et al., 2016; Li et al., 2017). In the  
380 genome of the spider mite *T. urticae*, 80 UGT genes were identified. Although their pattern of  
381 diversification as well as the observed plasticity of gene expression strongly suggests an important role  
382 in detoxification (Ahn et al., 2014; Snoeck et al., 2018), specific associations between *T. urticae* UGTs  
383 and the glycosylation of a certain compound are scarce. At present only one UGT (UGT201D3,  
384 corresponding to tetur04g02350) from the red morph of *T. urticae* has been partially characterized and  
385 was shown to be inhibited by the acaricide abamectin (Wang et al., 2018). In this study, we  
386 functionally expressed and characterized eight UGT genes that were highly expressed in *T. urticae*  
387 populations acclimatized/adapted to host plants or resistant to acaricides and that belonged to diverse  
388 UGT subfamilies, including lineage-specific UGT expansions (Fig. 2). In contrast to both insect and  
389 vertebrate UGTs, which are anchored in the endoplasmic reticulum, *T. urticae* UGTs are, like bacterial  
390 UGTs, cytosolic enzymes (Ahn et al., 2014). Hence, *T. urticae* UGTs could be readily functionally  
391 expressed in *E. coli* and all showed catalytic activity against at least one of the tested model substrates  
392 (p-nitrophenol, 1-naphthol and 2-naphthol, Table 1). Nevertheless, this does not rule out that eukaryotic  
393 expression systems (such as insect cells) could generate proteins with different properties. Except for  
394 tetur22g00440, recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzymes were able to use multiple UDP-sugars for  
395 glycosylation. Interestingly, all recombinant *T. urticae* UGTs could use UDP-glucose, with five out of  
396 eight enzymes having a distinct preference for UDP-glucose (Fig. 3). Hence, UDP-glucose was used  
397 as activated donor for all further glycosylation experiments. Biochemical studies in insects have  
398 shown that insect UGT enzymes also typically use UDP-glucose as the main activated donor for  
399 glycosylation (Ahn et al., 2012). Likewise, plant UGTs typically use UDP-glucose next to other  
400 donors such as UDP-rhamnose, UDP-arabinose, UDP-galactose, UDP-xylose, and UDP-glucuronic  
401 acid (Bowles et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2013). In contrast, vertebrate UGTs mainly utilize UDP-  
402 glucuronic acid (Bock, 2003).

403 A high-throughput substrate screening was performed to examine the substrate breadth of *T.*  
404 *urticae* UGTs by testing a diverse array of 44 substrates, comprising both plant metabolites and  
405 acaricides. To our knowledge, solely Luque et al., 2002 performed a similar experiment for a *Bombyx*  
406 *mori* UGT. While in the latter study, the amount of conjugated radio-labelled sugar was quantified  
407 after thin layer chromatography (TLC), we measured the release of free-UDP upon glycosylation  
408 spectrophotometrically, making a high-throughput set-up more feasible. In our substrate screening  
409 assay, detected free-UDP values ranged from 0.1 to 40  $\mu$ M. In several cases, none or a very low level  
410 of free-UDP was detected. However, this does not necessarily imply that glycosylation is absent or  
411 very low as evidenced by enzyme activity measurements with model substrates. For example,  
412 tetur01g05690, tetur02g09850 and tetur22g00270 showed low enzyme activity towards the model

413 substrate p-nitrophenol (Table 1), but only for one of these UGTs (tetur22g00270) free-UDP was  
414 detected in the substrate screening assay (Supplementary Table 7). Likewise, only for those UGTs that  
415 had a relatively high specific activity for the model substrates 1-naphthol and 2-naphthol, free-UDP was  
416 detected in the screening assay, suggesting that our screening assay is rather conservative. The low  
417 amount of conjugation in the high-throughput screening could be a consequence of substrate inhibition  
418 when acceptor concentrations are higher than optimal (Chaplin and Bucke, 1990), or UDP-glucose  
419 might not be the preferred activated donor for a specific UGT-acceptor combination (Fig. 3). In  
420 general, the parameters of our UGT screening assay were not optimal for all enzyme-substrate  
421 combinations included in the screening. However, this cannot be expected since we tested 44 different  
422 potential acceptors against seven recombinant enzymes. Hence, the magnitude of released UDP ( $\mu\text{M}$ )  
423 should not be interpreted/used as an absolute predictor of affinities for enzyme-substrate  
424 combinations. Although tetur02g09850 was able to glycosylate capsaicin > kaempferol > abamectin in  
425 the screening assay, 20.1  $\mu\text{M}$ , 16.5  $\mu\text{M}$  and 6.7  $\mu\text{M}$  (out of 50  $\mu\text{M}$ ) respectively, enzyme  
426 characterization showed that tetur02g09850 had the highest affinity for abamectin (Table 2).  
427 Nevertheless, all acceptor-enzyme combinations that were selected based on the screening assay for  
428 detailed kinetic studies showed affinities in the micromolar range. Hence, the substrate screening assay  
429 showed its value as a high-throughput method to test potential substrates for glycosylation.

430 Flavonoids are one of the major classes of plant secondary metabolites and are widely  
431 distributed in the plant kingdom. Most of them are present in the form of a glycoside under natural  
432 conditions (Bohm, 1998) and the majority of functions of flavonoids result from their strong anti-  
433 oxidative properties. They participate in plant protection against both biotic (herbivores and  
434 pathogens) and abiotic (UV, radiation and heat) stress (Dakora and Phillips, 1996; Mierziak et al.,  
435 2014). Furthermore, they are able to influence the behavior, growth and development of insects  
436 (Falcone Ferreyra et al., 2012; Mierziak et al., 2014; War et al., 2012). Nine flavonoids (7-  
437 hydroxyflavone, chrysin, coumestrol, eriodictyol, hesperitin, kaempferol, naringenin, quercetin and  
438 rutin hydrate) were included in our screening assay and all were glycosylated by at least one of the  
439 following recombinant *T. urticae* UGTs (tetur02g09850, tetur22g00270 and tetur22g00440) (Fig. 4).  
440 In arthropods, two *B. mori* UGTs were shown to glycosylate quercetin and/or naringenin (Daimon et  
441 al., 2010; Luque et al., 2002), but broad flavonoid substrate spectra like for *T. urticae* UGTs were not  
442 reported before. Nevertheless, such spectra have previously been described for certain plant, microbial  
443 and human UGTs (Hyung Ko et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2007; Modolo et al., 2007; Su  
444 et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2007). Kaempferol was selected for further detailed kinetic analysis since it is  
445 omnipresent in plants and fruits (Robards and Antolovich, 1997) and because this flavonoid was  
446 glycosylated by the afore mentioned UGT enzymes. From the characterized enzymes, tetur22g00440  
447 had the highest affinity and catalytic efficiency for kaempferol (Table 2).

448 Tetur22g00440 was also able to glycosylate chlorogenic acid, which contributes to the  
449 physiological defenses of maize. Other major players in the plant defense of maize are protein  
450 inhibitors, the flavonoid maysin and benzoxazinoids (BXs) (Meihls et al., 2012). BXs are synthesized  
451 constitutively and stored as an inactive form (BX-glucosides) in the plant cell. Upon tissue disruption,  
452 unstable aglycone BXs are released which are highly reactive and toxic to a wide range of arthropod  
453 herbivores including *T. urticae* (Bui et al., 2018; Morant et al., 2008; Niemeyer, 2009; Wouters et al.,  
454 2016). DIMBOA is the more prevalent BX in maize (Niemeyer, 2009), and a relatively slow, perhaps  
455 non-enzymatic breakdown of DIMBOA results in the formation of MBOA, another toxic BX  
456 (Grambow et al., 1986). Glycosylation of DIMBOA has been detected for *Mythimna separate*,  
457 *Spodoptera exigua*, *S. littoralis* and *S. frugiperda* (Glauser et al., 2011; Sasai et al., 2009; Wouters et  
458 al., 2014) and glycosylation of MBOA for *S. littoralis* and *S. frugiperda* (Maag et al., 2014). Although  
459 six of the recombinant UGT enzymes were upregulated in *T. urticae* upon long-term acclimation to  
460 maize (Fig. 1), none of them glycosylated DIMBOA or MBOA at the default parameters of the  
461 screening. Since two of our enzymes had shown lower activity against the model substrates (Table 1),  
462 all substrates were additionally screened after incubation with a ten-times higher amount of both  
463 enzymes. This resulted in glycosylation of DIMBOA by tetur04g02350, but both the affinity and  
464 turnover rate were rather low (Table 2). Additionally, tetur04g02350 was only marginally upregulated  
465 after long-term acclimation to maize ( $\log_2FC$  1.33). Hence, no strong conclusions can be made  
466 considering the involvement of tetur04g02350 in hostplant acclimation to maize.

467 The plant secondary metabolite capsaicin was glycosylated upon incubation with four  
468 recombinant UGTs: tetur01g05690, tetur05g00060, tetur02g09850 and tetur22g00270 (Supplementary  
469 Table 7). Capsaicin (capsaicinoids) is found solely in hot peppers (*Capsicum spp.*) and is known to  
470 deter oviposition (Cowles et al., 1989), inhibit feeding (Hori et al., 2011) and delay larval growth  
471 (Weissenberg et al., 1986) in insects. Glycosylation has been linked with the detoxification of  
472 capsaicin by the Lepidopteran species *Helicoverpa armigera*, *H. zea* and *H. assulta*, resulting in  
473 capsaicin glucoside, a more water-soluble compound than its aglycone and subsequently easier to  
474 excrete (Ahn et al., 2011). The enzyme characteristics of tetur02g09850 and tetur22g00270 in  
475 combination with capsaicin were determined since they resulted in a higher amount of glycosylation in  
476 the substrate screening assay. In contrast to tetur22g00270, tetur02g09850 had a strong affinity for  
477 capsaicin (Table 2) and although this might suggest a functional role in adaption, a more dedicated  
478 study is needed to draw any further conclusions.

479 The highest amount of glycosylation detected in the substrate screening assay resulted from  
480 the incubation of tetur01g05690 with vanillin (Fig. 4). Tetur02g09850 and tetur22g00270 were able to  
481 glycosylate vanillin too but at a lower rate under the default parameters of the screening assay.  
482 Vanillin is one of the most widely used flavors and aromas worldwide. Since multiple UGTs from  
483 different plants possess a high in vitro catalytic activity towards vanillin (Hansen et al., 2009; Jones,



484 1998; Jones et al., 1999; Song et al., 2016), vanillin has been suggested to be an ubiquitous metabolite  
485 that is easily converted by a number of plant UGTs (Song et al., 2016). This statement seems not to be  
486 constrained to the plant kingdom as nine human UGTs were able to glucuronidate vanillin (Yu et al.,  
487 2013) and in arthropods, a recombinant UGT of *Bombyx mori* was able to glycosylate vanillin (Luque  
488 et al., 2002). However, in the scope of this study, detoxification of toxic compounds by *T. urticae*  
489 UGTs, we decided to not analyze the latter enzyme-substrate combination in depth.

490 Tetur04g02350 was the highest upregulated UGT after long-term host plant acclimation on  
491 cotton (Fig. S2), and the most highly overexpressed UGT of all comparisons made in this study (Fig.  
492 1). The major defense compound of cotton is the toxic sesquiterpene dimer, gossypol (Dodou, 2005),  
493 which was partially metabolized by UGTs via glycosylation in *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Heliothis*  
494 *virescens* (Kreml et al., 2016). However, gossypol was not glycosylated by tetur04g02350 or any of  
495 the other recombinant *T. urticae* UGTs in this study.

496 Next to plant secondary metabolites, we also tested whether our set of recombinant *T. urticae*  
497 UGTs could glycosylate a selection of pesticides. The acetylcholinesterase inhibitor profenofos was  
498 glycosylated by tetur01g05690, tetur02g09850 and tetur22g0270. Profenofos is just like pyraclofos an  
499 organophosphate (MoA 1b, (IRAC, 2017)), and previously it was shown that glycosylation plays a  
500 role in pyraclofos resistance in *Musca domestica* (Lee et al., 2006). Besides profenofos, tetur02g09850  
501 glycosylated abamectin, bifenazate, cyflumetofen, pyflubumide and pyridaben. In contrast,  
502 tetur05g00060 only glycosylated abamectin. The chloride channel activator abamectin (IRAC Mode of  
503 Action group 6 (IRAC, 2017)), has been and is still widely used to control *T. urticae* and other  
504 phytophagous mite species and field resistance has been reported (Brown et al., 2017; Ferreira et al.,  
505 2015; Ilias et al., 2017; Memarizadeh et al., 2013; Riga et al., 2014). Glutamate-gated chloride channel  
506 target-site mutations have been associated with abamectin resistance in *T. urticae* (Dermauw et al.,  
507 2012; Kwon et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2014; Mermans et al., 2017; Wolstenholme and Rogers, 2005) as  
508 well as metabolic resistance by a P450 mono-oxygenase (CYP392A16), capable of metabolizing  
509 abamectin (Riga et al., 2014). Most recently, UGT201D3 (corresponding to *T. urticae* tetur04g02350,  
510 UGT 201 family) was specifically linked to abamectin resistance (Wang et al., 2018). In the latter  
511 study, the inhibition of 1-naphthol glycosylation was inhibited by abamectin ( $K_i = 9.9 \pm 6.2 \mu\text{mol/l}$ ), but  
512 the glycosylated product was not detected. Here, we performed detailed kinetic analysis for  
513 tetur02g09850 and tetur05g00060 with abamectin and both recombinant enzymes were able to  
514 glycosylate the acaricide. Tetur02g09850 had the highest affinity and tetur05g00060 the highest  
515 turnover number. Tetur02g09850 was also overexpressed ( $\log_2\text{FC } 2.20$ ) in the abamectin resistant  
516 strain MAR-AB (Dermauw et al., 2013) (Fig.1). Noteworthy, tetur02g09850 and tetur05g00060 both  
517 cluster in the UGT204 family, in contrast to UGT201D3 of Wang et al. 2018 (UGT 201 family, Fig.  
518 2). In conclusion, these results suggest a potential contribution of glycosylation to abamectin  
519 resistance in *T. urticae*.

## 520 5. Conclusion

521 Eight *T. urticae* UGTs were functionally expressed and all could use UDP-glucose as activated donor  
522 for the glycosylation of model substrates. A high-throughput substrate screening comprising of both  
523 toxic plant metabolites and pesticides led to the selection of nine enzyme-substrate combinations  
524 which were further enzymatically characterized. Strong affinities of the recombinant enzymes with  
525 both plant secondary metabolites as wells as an acaricide corroborate the potential role of *T. urticae*  
526 UGTs in detoxification.

## 527 6. Acknowledgments

528 The authors want to thank Wim Reubens, Wim Jonckheere and Nicky Wybouw for their help in  
529 establishing the *T. urticae* cotton, maize and tomato lines and Nicky Wybouw for assistance with the  
530 microarray analysis.

## 531 7. Funding

532 This work was supported by the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO) [grant [G009312N](#) to TVL and  
533 grant [G053815N](#) to TVL and WD] and the Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's  
534 Horizon 2020 research and innovation program [grant [772026](#)-POLYADAPT to TVL and [773902](#)-  
535 SuperPests to TVL and JV]. WD is a post-doctoral fellow of the Research Foundation Flanders.

536

537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610

## 8. References

- Ahn, S.-J., Badenes-Pérez, F.R., Reichelt, M., Svatoš, A., Schneider, B., Gershenzon, J., Heckel, D.G., 2011. Metabolic detoxification of capsaicin by UDP-glycosyltransferase in three *Helicoverpa* species. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* 78, 104–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.20444>
- Ahn, S.-J., Vogel, H., Heckel, D.G., 2012. Comparative analysis of the UDP-glycosyltransferase multigene family in insects. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 42, 133–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.11.006>
- Ahn, S.-J., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Heckel, D.G., Van Leeuwen, T., 2014. Bacterial origin of a diverse family of UDP-glycosyltransferase genes in the *Tetranychus urticae* genome. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 50, 43–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.04.003>
- Bajda, S., Dermauw, W., Greenhalgh, R., Nauen, R., Tirry, L., Clark, R.M., Van Leeuwen, T., 2015. Transcriptome profiling of a spirodiclofen susceptible and resistant strain of the European red mite *Panonychus ulmi* using strand-specific RNA-seq. *BMC Genomics* 16, 974. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2157-1>
- Bajda, S., Dermauw, W., Panteleri, R., Sugimoto, N., Douris, V., Tirry, L., Osakabe, M., Vontas, J., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A mutation in the PSST homologue of complex I (NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase) from *Tetranychus urticae* is associated with resistance to METI acaricides. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 80, 79–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.11.010>
- Bock, K.W., 2003. Vertebrate UDP-glucuronosyltransferases: functional and evolutionary aspects. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 66, 691–696. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952\(03\)00296-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-2952(03)00296-X)
- Bohm, B.A., 1998. Introduction to flavonoids, Chemistry and Biochemistry of Organic Natural Products. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Bowles, D., Lim, E.-K., Poppenberger, B., Vaistij, F.E., 2006. Glycosyltransferases of lipophilic small molecules. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 567–597. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105429>
- Brown, S., Kerns, D.L., Gore, J., Lorenz, G., Stewart, S., 2017. Susceptibility of twospotted spider mites (*Tetranychus urticae*) to abamectin in Midsouth cotton. *Crop Prot.* 98, 179–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CROPRO.2017.04.002>
- Bui, H., Greenhalgh, R., Ruckert, A., Gill, G.S., Lee, S., Ramirez, R.A., Clark, R.M., 2018. Generalist and specialist mite herbivores induce similar defense responses in maize and barley but differ in susceptibility to benzoxazinoids. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1222. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01222>
- Chaplin, M.F., Bucke, C., 1990. Enzyme technology. Cambridge University Press.
- Chen, W., Hasegawa, D.K., Kaur, N., Kliot, A., Pinheiro, P.V., Luan, J., Stensmyr, M.C., Zheng, Y., Liu, W., Sun, H., Xu, Y., Luo, Y., Kruse, A., Yang, X., Kotsedalov, S., Lebedev, G., Fisher, T.W., Nelson, D.R., Hunter, W.B., Brown, J.K., Jander, G., Cilia, M., Douglas, A.E., Ghanim, M., Simmons, A.M., Wintermantel, W.M., Ling, K.S., Fei, Z., 2016. The draft genome of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* MEAM1, a global crop pest, provides novel insights into virus transmission, host adaptation, and insecticide resistance. *BMC Biol.* 14, 110. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0321-y>
- Cowles, R.S., Keller, J.E., Miller, J.R., 1989. Pungent spices, ground red pepper, and synthetic capsaicin as onion fly ovipositional deterrents. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 15, 719–730. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01014714>
- Daimon, T., Hirayama, C., Kanai, M., Ruike, Y., Meng, Y., Kosegawa, E., Nakamura, M., Tsujimoto, G., Katsuma, S., Shimada, T., 2010. The silkmoth Green b locus encodes a quercetin 5-O-glucosyltransferase that produces green cocoons with UV-shielding properties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 11471–6. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000479107>
- Dakora, F.D., Phillips, D.A., 1996. Diverse functions of isoflavonoids in legumes transcend anti-microbial definitions of phytoalexins. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 49, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.1996.0035>
- Demaeght, P., Dermauw, W., Tsakireli, D., Khajehali, J., Nauen, R., Tirry, L., Vontas, J., Lümmer, P., Van Leeuwen, T., 2013. Molecular analysis of resistance to acaricidal spirocyclic tetrone acids in *Tetranychus urticae*: CYP392E10 metabolizes spirodiclofen, but not its corresponding enol. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 43, 544–554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2013.03.007>
- Dermauw, W., Ilias, A., Riga, M., Tsagkarakou, A., Grbić, M., Tirry, L., Van Leeuwen, T., Vontas, J., 2012. The cys-loop ligand-gated ion channel gene family of *Tetranychus urticae*: implications for acaricide toxicology and a novel mutation associated with abamectin resistance. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 42, 455–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2012.03.002>
- Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Rombauts, S., Menten, B., Vontas, J., Grbic, M., Clark, R.M., Feyereisen, R., Van Leeuwen, T., 2013. A link between host plant adaptation and pesticide resistance in the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, E113–22. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1213214110>
- Després, L., David, J.-P., Gallet, C., 2007. The evolutionary ecology of insect resistance to plant chemicals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22, 298–307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.02.010>
- Dodou, K., 2005. Investigations on gossypol: past and present developments. *Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs* 14, 1419–1434. <https://doi.org/10.1517/13543784.14.11.1419>
- Erb, A., Weiss, H., Härle, J., Bechthold, A., 2009. A bacterial glycosyltransferase gene toolbox: generation and applications. *Phytochemistry* 70, 1812–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.05.019>
- Falcone Ferreyra, M.L., Rius, S.P., Casati, P., Ferreyra, M.L.F., Rius, S.P., Casati, P., 2012. Flavonoids: biosynthesis, biological functions, and biotechnological applications. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2012.00222>
- Faucon, F., Dusfour, I., Gaude, T., Navratil, V., Boyer, F., Chandre, F., Sirisopa, P., Thanispong, K., Juntarajumnong, W., Poupardin, R., Chareonviriyaphap, T., Giroud, R., Corbel, V., Reynaud, S., David, J.P., 2015. Identifying genomic changes associated with insecticide resistance in the dengue mosquito *Aedes aegypti* by deep targeted sequencing. *Genome Res.* 25, 1347–1359. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.189225.115>
- Ferreira, C.B.S., Andrade, F.H.N., Rodrigues, A.R.S., Siqueira, H.A.A., Gondim Jr., M.G.C., 2015. Resistance in field populations of *Tetranychus urticae* to acaricides and characterization of the inheritance of abamectin resistance. *Crop Prot.* 67, 77–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CROPRO.2014.09.022>
- Feyereisen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., 2015. Genotype to phenotype, the molecular and physiological dimensions of resistance in arthropods. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 121, 61–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2015.01.004>
- Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S., Li, W., 2012. CD-HIT: Accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 3150–3152. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts565>
- Giraudoux, P., Antonietti, J.-P., Beale, C., Pleydell, D., Treglia, M., 2018. Package 'pgirmess': spatial analysis and data mining for field ecologists [WWW Document]. URL <http://giraudoux.pagesperso-orange.fr/>
- Glauser, G., Marti, G., Villard, N., Doyen, G. a., Wolfender, J.L., Turlings, T.C.J., Erb, M., 2011. Induction and detoxification of maize 1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones by insect herbivores. *Plant J.* 68, 901–911. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3113.2011.04740.x>
- Grambow, H.J., Lückge, J., Klausener, A., Müller, E., 1986. Occurrence of 2-(2-hydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-2H-1,4-benzoxazin-3-one)- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside in *Triticum aestivum* leaves and its conversion into 6-methoxy-benzoxazolinone. *Zeitschrift für Naturforsch. C* 41, 684–690. <https://doi.org/10.1515/znc-1986-7-804>

- 611 Grbić, M., Van Leeuwen, T., Clark, R.M., Rombauts, S., Rouzé, P., Grbić, V., Osborne, E.J., Dermauw, W., Ngoc, T., Cao, P., Ortego, F.,  
612 Hernández-Crespo, P., Diaz, I., Martínez, M., Navajas, M., Sucena, É., Magalhães, S., Nagy, L., Pace, R.M., Djuranović, S.,  
613 Smagghe, G., Iga, M., Christiaens, O., Veenstra, J. a., Ewer, J., Villalobos, R.M., Hutter, J.L., Hudson, S.D., Velez, M., Yi, S. V.,  
614 Zeng, J., Pires-daSilva, A., Roch, F., Cazaux, M., Navarro, M., Zhurov, V., Acevedo, G., Bjelica, A., Fawcett, J. a., Bonnet, E.,  
615 Martens, C., Baele, G., Wissler, L., Sanchez-Rodriguez, A., Tirry, L., Blais, C., Demeestere, K., Henz, S.R., Gregory, T.R., Mathieu,  
616 J., Verdon, L., Farinelli, L., Schmutz, J., Lindquist, E., Feyerreisen, R., Van de Peer, Y., Ngoc, P.C.T., Ortego, F., Hernández-Crespo,  
617 P., Diaz, I., Martínez, M., Navajas, M., Sucena, É., Magalhães, S., Nagy, L., Pace, R.M., Djuranović, S., Smagghe, G., Iga, M.,  
618 Christiaens, O., Veenstra, J. a., Ewer, J., Villalobos, R.M., Hutter, J.L., Hudson, S.D., Velez, M., Yi, S. V., Zeng, J., Pires-daSilva,  
619 A., Roch, F., Cazaux, M., Navarro, M., Zhurov, V., Acevedo, G., Bjelica, A., Fawcett, J. a., Bonnet, E., Martens, C., Baele, G.,  
620 Wissler, L., Sanchez-Rodriguez, A., Tirry, L., Blais, C., Demeestere, K., Henz, S.R., Gregory, T.R., Mathieu, J., Verdon, L.,  
621 Farinelli, L., Schmutz, J., Lindquist, E., Feyerreisen, R., Van de Peer, Y., 2011. The genome of *Tetranychus urticae* reveals  
622 herbivorous pest adaptations. *Nature* 479, 487–92. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10640>
- 623 Hall, T., 2013. BioEdit version 7.2.5. Ibis Biosci. Carlsbad, CA, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2004.06.001>
- 624 Hansen, E.H., Möller, B.L., Kock, G.R., Büchner, C.M., Kristensen, C., Jensen, O.R., Okkels, F.T., Olsen, C.E., Motawia, M.S., Hansen, J.,  
625 2009. De novo biosynthesis of vanillin in fission yeast (*Schizosaccharomyces pombe*) and baker's yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*).  
626 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 2765–2774. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02681-08>
- 627 Highfill, C.A., Tran, J.H., Nguyen, S.K.T., Moldenhauer, T.R., Wang, X., Macdonald, S.J., 2017. Naturally segregating variation at *ugt86dd*  
628 contributes to nicotine resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics* 207, 311–325. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.117.300058>
- 629 Hori, M., Nakamura, H., Fujii, Y., Suzuki, Y., Matsuda, K., 2011. Chemicals affecting the feeding preference of the Solanaceae-feeding lady  
630 beetle *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* 135, 121–131.  
631 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2010.01519.x>
- 632 Hyung Ko, J., Gyu Kim, B., Joong-Hoon, A., 2006. Glycosylation of flavonoids with a glycosyltransferase from *Bacillus cereus*. *FEMS*  
633 *Microbiol. Lett.* 258, 263–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00226.x>
- 634 Ilias, A., Vassiliou, V.A., Vontas, J., Tsagkarakou, A., 2017. Molecular diagnostics for detecting pyrethroid and abamectin resistance  
635 mutations in *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 135, 9–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2016.07.004>
- 636 IRAC, 2017. IRAC Mode of Action Classification Scheme.
- 637 Jancova, P., Anzenbacher, P., Anzenbacherova, E., 2010. Phase II drug metabolizing enzymes. *Biomed. Pap.* 154, 103–116.  
638 <https://doi.org/10.5507/bp.2010.017>
- 639 Jonckheere, W., Dermauw, W., Zhurov, V., Wybouw, N., Van den Bulcke, J., Villarroel, C.A., Greenhalgh, R., Grbić, M., Schuurink, R.C.,  
640 Tirry, L., Baggerman, G., Clark, R.M., Kant, M.R., Vanholme, B., Menschaert, G., Van Leeuwen, T., 2016. The salivary protein  
641 repertoire of the polyphagous spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*: a quest for effectors. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 15, 3594–3613.  
642 <https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.058081>
- 643 Jones, D.A., 1998. Why are so many food plants cyanogenic? *Phytochemistry* 47, 155–162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(97\)00425-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(97)00425-1)
- 644 Jones, P., Messner, B., Nakajima, J.I., Schäffner, A.R., Saito, K., 2003. UGT73C6 and UGT78D1, glycosyltransferases involved in flavonol  
645 glycoside biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 43910–43918. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M303523200>
- 646 Jones, P.R., Möller, B.L., Høj, P.B., 1999. The UDP-glucose-p-hydroxymandelonitrile-O-glycosyltransferase that catalyzes the last step in  
647 synthesis of the cyanogenic glucoside dhurrin in *Sorghum bicolor*. Isolation, cloning, heterologous expression, and substrate  
648 specificity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 35483–35491. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.50.35483>
- 649 Kaplanoglu, E., Chapman, P., Scott, I.M., Donly, C., 2017. Overexpression of a cytochrome P450 and a UDP-glycosyltransferase is  
650 associated with imidacloprid resistance in the Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1762.  
651 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01961-4>
- 652 Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K., Miyata, T., 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier  
653 transform. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 3059–3066. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436>
- 654 Kauffmann, A., Gentleman, R., Huber, W., 2009. arrayQualityMetrics - a bioconductor package for quality assessment of microarray data.  
655 *Bioinformatics* 25, 415–416. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn647>
- 656 Khalighi, M., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Bajda, S., Osakabe, M., Tirry, L., Van Leeuwen, T., 2015. Molecular analysis of cyenopyrafen  
657 resistance in the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 72, 103–112. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4071>
- 658 Kim, H.S., Kim, B.G., Sung, S., Kim, M., Mok, H., Chong, Y., Ahn, J.H., 2013. Engineering flavonoid glycosyltransferases for enhanced  
659 catalytic efficiency and extended sugar-donor selectivity. *Planta* 238, 683–693. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-013-1922-0>
- 660 Kim, J.H., Kim, B.G., Kim, J.A., Park, Y., Lee, Y.J., Lim, Y., Ahn, J.H., 2007. Glycosylation of flavonoids with *E. coli* expressing  
661 glycosyltransferase from *Xanthomonas campestris*. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 17, 539–542.
- 662 Kojima, W., Fujii, T., Suwa, M., Miyazawa, M., Ishikawa, Y., 2010. Physiological adaptation of the Asian corn borer *Ostrinia furnacalis*  
663 chemical defenses of its host plant, maize. *J. Insect Physiol.* 56, 1349–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinsphys.2010.04.021>
- 664 Krempl, C., Sporer, T., Reichelt, M., Ahn, S.-J., Heidel-Fischer, H., Vogel, H., Heckel, D.G., Joußen, N., 2016. Potential detoxification of  
665 gossypol by UDP-glycosyltransferases in the two Heliothine moth species *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Heliothis virescens*. *Insect*  
666 *Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 71, 49–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.02.005>
- 667 Kwon, D.H., Seong, G.M., Kang, T.J., Lee, S.H., 2010. Multiple resistance mechanisms to abamectin in the two-spotted spider mite. *J. Asia*  
668 *Pac. Entomol.* 13, 229–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2010.02.002>
- 669 Lairson, L.L., Henrissat, B., Davies, G.J., Withers, S.G., 2008. Glycosyltransferases: structures, functions, and mechanisms. *Annu. Rev.*  
670 *Biochem.* 77, 521–55. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.biochem.76.061005.092322>
- 671 Langmead, B., Salzberg, S.L., 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359.  
672 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>
- 673 Lee, S.-W., Ohta, K., Tashiro, S., Shono, T., 2006. Metabolic resistance mechanisms of the housefly (*Musca domestica*) resistant to  
674 pyraclofos. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 85, 76–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2005.10.005>
- 675 Leszczynski, B., Dixon, A.F.G., 1990. Resistance of cereals to aphids: interaction between hydroxamic acids and the aphid *Sitobion avenae*  
676 (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 18, 1189–1200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.1990.tb04191.x>
- 677 Li, X., Schuler, M. a, Berenbaum, M.R., 2007. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic resistance to synthetic and natural xenobiotics. *Annu.*  
678 *Rev. Entomol.* 52, 231–253. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.51.110104.151104>
- 679 Li, X., Shi, H., Gao, X., Liang, P., 2018. Characterization of UDP-glucuronosyltransferase genes and their possible roles in multi-insecticide  
680 resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 74, 695–704. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4765>
- 681 Li, X., Zhu, B., Gao, X., Liang, P., 2017. Over-expression of UDP-glycosyltransferase gene *UGT2B17* is involved in chlorantraniliprole  
682 resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (L.). *Pest Manag. Sci.* 73, 1402–1409. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4469>
- 683 Liu, F., Shi, X., Liang, Y., Wu, Q., Xu, B., Xie, W., Wang, S., Zhang, Y., Liu, N., 2014. A 36-bp deletion in the alpha subunit of glutamate-  
684 gated chloride channel contributes to abamectin resistance in *Plutella xylostella*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 153, 85–92.  
685 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.12232>
- 686 Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P.M., Henrissat, B., 2014. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in

2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D490–D495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178>

Luque, T., Okano, K., O'Reilly, D.R., 2002. Characterization of a novel silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) phenol UDP-glucosyltransferase. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269, 819–825. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0014-2956.2001.02723.x>

Maag, D., Dalvit, C., Thevenet, D., Köhler, A., Wouters, F.C., Vassão, D.G., Gershenzon, J., Wolfender, J.L., Turlings, T.C.J., Erb, M., Glauser, G., 2014. 3-β-d-Glucopyranosyl-6-methoxy-2-benzoxazinone (MBOA-N-Glc) is an insect detoxification product of maize 1,4-benzoxazin-3-ones. *Phytochemistry* 102, 97–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.03.018>

Mackenzie, P.L., Owens, I.S., Burchell, B., Bock, K.W., Bairoch, A., Bélanger, A., Fournel-Gigleux, S., Green, M., Hum, D.W., Iyanagi, T., Lancet, D., Louisot, P., Magdalou, J., Chowdhury, J.R., Ritter, J.K., Schachter, H., Tephly, T.R., Tipton, K.F., Nebert, D.W., 1997. The UDP glycosyltransferase gene superfamily: recommended nomenclature update based on evolutionary divergence. *Pharmacogenetics* 7, 255–69. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00008571-199708000-00001>

Magdalou, J., Fournel-Gigleux, S., Ouzzine, M., 2010. Insights on membrane topology and structure/function of UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 42, 159–166. <https://doi.org/10.3109/03602530903209270>

Meihls, L.N., Kaur, H., Jander, G., 2012. Natural variation in maize defense against insect herbivores. *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* 77, 269–283. <https://doi.org/10.1101/sqb.2012.77.014662>

Memarizadeh, N., Ghadamyari, M., Zamani, P., Sajedi, R.H., 2013. Resistance mechanisms to abamectin in Iranian populations of the two-spotted spider mite, *Tetranychus urticae* Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae). *Acarologia* 53, 235–246. <https://doi.org/10.1051/acarologia/20132093>

Mermans, C., Dermauw, W., Geibel, S., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A G326E substitution in the glutamate-gated chloride channel 3 (GluCl3) of the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* abolishes the agonistic activity of macrocyclic lactones. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 73, 2413–2418. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4677>

Mierziak, J., Kostyn, K., Kulma, A., 2014. Flavonoids as important molecules of plant interactions with the environment. *Molecules* 19, 16240–16265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules191016240>

Migeon, A., Nouguié, E., Dorkeld, F., 2018. Spider mites web: a comprehensive database for the Tetranychidae, in: *Trends in Acarology*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp. 557–560. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9837-5\\_96](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-9837-5_96)

Miller, M.A., Pfeiffer, W., Schwartz, T., 2010. Creating the CIPRES science gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees, in: 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop, GCE 2010. IEEE, New Orleans, LA, USA, pp. 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>

Modolo, L. V., Blount, J.W., Achnine, L., Naoumkina, M.A., Wang, X., Dixon, R.A., 2007. A functional genomics approach to (iso)flavonoid glycosylation in the model legume *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 64, 499–518. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-007-9167-6>

Morant, A.V., Jørgensen, K., Jørgensen, C., Paquette, S.M., Sánchez-Pérez, R., Møller, B.L., Bak, S., 2008. β-Glucosidases as detonators of plant chemical defense. *Phytochemistry* 69, 1795–1813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2008.03.006>

Niemeyer, H.M., 2009. Hydroxamic acids derived from 2-hydroxy-2h-1,4-benzoxazin-3(4h)-one: key defense chemicals of cereals. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 1677–1695. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf8034034>

Pan, Y., Tian, F., Wei, X., Wu, Y., Gao, X., Xi, J., Shang, Q., 2018. Thiamethoxam resistance in *Aphis gossypii* glover relies on multiple UDP-glucuronosyltransferases. *Front. Physiol.* 3, 322. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2018.00322>

Pavlidis, N., Khalighi, M., Myridakis, A., Dermauw, W., Wybouw, N., Tsakireli, D., Stephanou, E.G., Labrou, N.E., Vontas, J., Van Leeuwen, T., 2017. A glutathione-S-transferase (TuGSTd05) associated with acaricide resistance in *Tetranychus urticae* directly metabolizes the complex II inhibitor cyflumetofen. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 80, 101–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.12.003>

Pavlidis, N., Vontas, J., Van Leeuwen, T., 2018. The role of glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) in insecticide resistance in crop pests and disease vectors. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2018.04.007>

R Development Core Team, 2015. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. *R Found. Stat. Comput.* 1, 409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7>

Riga, M., Tsakireli, D., Ilias, A., Morou, E., Myridakis, A., Stephanou, E.G., Nauen, R., Dermauw, W., Van Leeuwen, T., Paine, M., Vontas, J., 2014. Abamectin is metabolized by CYP392A16, a cytochrome P450 associated with high levels of acaricide resistance in *Tetranychus urticae*. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 46, 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.01.006>

Ritchie, M.E., Silver, J., Oshlack, A., Holmes, M., Diyagama, D., Holloway, A., Smyth, G.K., 2007. A comparison of background correction methods for two-colour microarrays. *Bioinformatics* 23, 2700–2707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm412>

Robards, K., Antolovich, M., 1997. Analytical chemistry of fruit bioflavonoids. A review. *Analyst* 122, 11R–34R. <https://doi.org/10.1039/a606499j>

Sasai, H., Ishida, M., Murakami, K., Tadokoro, N., Ishihara, A., Nishida, R., Mori, N., 2009. Species-specific glucosylation of DIMBOA in larvae of the rice Armyworm. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 73, 1333–8. <https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.80903>

Smyth, G.K., 2004. Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat. Appl. Genet. Mol. Biol.* 3, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.2202/1544-6115.1027>

Snoeck, S., Wybouw, N., Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., 2018. Transcriptomic plasticity in the arthropod generalist *Tetranychus urticae* upon long-term acclimation to different host plants. *G3 (Bethesda)*, 8, 3865–3879. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200585>

Song, C., Hong, X., Zhao, S., Liu, J., Schulenburg, K., Huang, F.-C., Franz-Oberdorf, K., Schwab, W., 2016. Glucosylation of 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone, the key strawberry flavor compound in strawberry fruit. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 139–151. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.16.00226>

Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1312–3. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>

Sterck, L., Billiau, K., Abeel, T., Rouzé, P., Van de Peer, Y., 2012. ORCAE: online resource for community annotation of eukaryotes. *Nat. Methods* 9, 1041–1041. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2242>

Su, X., Shen, G., Di, S., Dixon, R.A., Pang, Y., 2017. Characterization of UGT716A1 as a multi-substrate UDP:flavonoid glucosyltransferase gene in *Ginkgo biloba*. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 2085. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02085>

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipiński, A., Kumar, S., 2013. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst197>

Tian, F., Wang, Z., Li, C., Liu, J., Zeng, X., 2018. UDP-glycosyltransferases are involved in imidacloprid resistance in the Asian citrus psyllid, *Diaphorina citri* (Hemiptera: Lividae). *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PESTBP.2018.12.010>

Van Leeuwen, T., Dermauw, W., 2016. The molecular evolution of xenobiotic metabolism and resistance in chelicerate mites. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 61, 475–498. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010715-023907>

Van Leeuwen, T., Vontas, J., Tsagkarakou, A., Dermauw, W., Tirry, L., 2010. Acaricide resistance mechanisms in the two-spotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae* and other important Acari: a review. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 40, 563–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2010.05.008>

Villarroel, C.A., Jonckheere, W., Alba, J.M., Glas, J.J., Dermauw, W., Haring, M.A., Van Leeuwen, T., Schuurink, R.C., Kant, M.R., 2016.

763 Salivary proteins of spider mites suppress defenses in *Nicotiana benthamiana* and promote mite reproduction. *Plant J.* 86, 119–131.  
764 <https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13152>

765 Wang, M.Y., Liu, X.Y., Shi, L., Liu, J.L., Shen, G.M., Zhang, P., Lu, W.C., He, L., 2018. Functional analysis of UGT201D3 associated with  
766 abamectin resistance in *Tetranychus cinnabarinus* (Boisduval). *Insect Sci.* 00, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1744-7917.12637>

767 War, A.R., Paulraj, M.G., Ahmad, T., Buhroo, A.A., Hussain, B., Ignacimuthu, S., Sharma, H.C., 2012. Mechanisms of plant defense against  
768 insect herbivores. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 7, 1306–20. <https://doi.org/10.4161/psb.21663>

769 Warnes, G.R., Bolker, B., Bonebakker, L., Gentleman, R., Liaw, W.H.A., Lumley, T., Maechler, M., Magnusson, A., Moeller, S., Schwartz,  
770 M., Venables, B., 2016. Package “ggplots”: Various R programming tools for plotting data. R Packag. version 2.17.0.  
771 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0022-3646.1997.00569.x>

772 Weissenberg, M., Klein, M., Meisner, J., Ascher, K.R.S., 1986. Larval growth inhibition of the spiny bollworm, *Earias insulana*, by some  
773 steroidal secondary plant compounds. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 42, 213–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1570-7458.1986.tb01024.x>

774 Wolstenholme, A.J., Rogers, A.T., 2005. Glutamate-gated chloride channels and the mode of action of the avermectin/milbemycin  
775 anthelmintics. *Parasitology* 131, S85–S95. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182005008218>

776 Wouters, F.C., Blanchette, B., Gershenson, J., Vassão, D.G., 2016. Plant defense and herbivore counter-defense: benzoxazinoids and insect  
777 herbivores. *Phytochem. Rev.* 15, 1127–1151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-016-9481-1>

778 Wouters, F.C., Reichelt, M., Glauser, G., Bauer, E., Erb, M., Gershenson, J., Vassão, D.G., 2014. Reglucosylation of the benzoxazinoid  
779 DIMBOA with inversion of stereochemical configuration is a detoxification strategy in lepidopteran herbivores. *Angew. Chem. Int.*  
780 *Ed. Engl.* 53, 11320–4. <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201406643>

781 Wybouw, N., Dermauw, W., Tirry, L., Stevens, C., Grbić, M., Feyereisen, R., Van Leeuwen, T., 2014. A gene horizontally transferred from  
782 bacteria protects arthropods from host plant cyanide poisoning. *Elife* 3, e02365. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02365>

783 Wybouw, N., Zhurov, V., Martel, C., Bruinsma, K.A., Hendrickx, F., Grbic, V., Van Leeuwen, T., 2015. Adaptation of a polyphagous  
784 herbivore to a novel host plant extensively shapes the transcriptome of herbivore and host. *Mol. Ecol.* 24, 4647–4663.  
785 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13330>

786 Xie, S., You, L., Zeng, S., 2007. Studies on the flavonoid substrates of human UDP-glucuronosyl transferase (UGT) 2B7. *Pharmazie* 62,  
787 625–9. <https://doi.org/10.1691/ph.2007.8.6779>

788 Yu, J., Han, J.-C., Hua, L.-M., Gao, Y.-J., 2013. In Vitro characterization of glucuronidation of vanillin: identification of human UDP-  
789 glucuronosyltransferases and species differences. *Phyther. Res.* 27, 1392–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.4885>

790  
791



## 792 9. Figures

### 793 **Fig. 1 Heatmap**

794 Expression heatmap of the recombinant UGTs in adult (or deutonymph\*) *T. urticae* females  
795 adapted to tomato and lima bean ( $\geq 30$  generations), long-term acclimatized to soy, cotton and  
796 maize ( $\geq 5$  generations) and resistant against acaricides (Supplementary Table 1). The  $\log_2$   
797 transformed fold changes are relative to adult *T. urticae* females of the susceptible London  
798 strain or susceptible deutonymph *T. urticae* females of the LS-VL strain.

799

### 800 **Fig. 2 Phylogenetic analysis of UGTs**

801 Maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of the UGTs of *P. citri*, *P. ulmi*, *T. evansi* and *T.*  
802 *urticae*. UGT families (UGT201-UGT207) are labelled in the phylogenetic tree. Functionally  
803 expressed enzymes are highlighted in green. Only bootstrap values higher than or equal to 65  
804 are shown. The scale bar represents 0.2 amino acid substitutions per site. Information and  
805 accession numbers of the used UGT sequences can be found in Supplementary Table 2.

806

### 807 **Fig. 3 Sugar-preference for model substrates**

808 Model substrate depletion after incubation with the respective recombinant *T. urticae* UGT  
809 enzyme and the activated donors (UDP-galactose, UDP-GalNAc, UDP-GlcNAc, UDP-  
810 glucose and UDP-glucuronic acid). The model substrate p-nitrophenol or 1-naphthol was used  
811 depending on the model substrate preference of the enzyme (Table 1). Error bars represent the  
812 standard deviation of the calculated mean of three to four independent replicates. Statistical  
813 differences were analyzed using the Wilcoxon rank sum test (pairwise comparison), and are  
814 indicated as different letters ( $p < 0.05$ ). Two enzymes were marked with an asterisk (\*) since  
815 they only had three replicates, which was insufficient to detect statistical differences.

816

### 817 **Fig. 4 Substrate screening assay**

818 Endpoint measurement of the release of free-UDP ( $\mu\text{M}$ ) after incubation of the respective  
819 recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzyme ( $0.1\ \mu\text{g}$ ) with 44 substrates (3 model substrates, 27 plant  
820 secondary metabolites and 14 acaricides) and UDP-glucose (Table S6). Release of free-UDP  
821 is directly linked with the activity of glycosyltransferases.

822

823

824 **10. Tables**

825 **Table 1 Specific activities of recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzymes for model substrates.**  
 826 Enzyme characteristics were measured at 25°C and calculated as  $\Delta\text{OD}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$  for the model  
 827 substrate p-nitrophenol and  $\Delta\text{RFU}/\text{min}/\text{mg}$  for the model substrates 1-naphthol and 2-  
 828 naphthol.

<b>enzyme</b>	<b>p-nitrophenol (<math>\Delta\text{OD}/\text{min}/\text{mg}</math>)</b>	<b>1-naphthol (<math>\Delta\text{RFU}/\text{min}/\text{mg}</math>)*10<sup>5</sup></b>	<b>2-naphthol (<math>\Delta\text{RFU}/\text{min}/\text{mg}</math>)*10<sup>5</sup></b>
<b><i>tetur05g09325</i></b>	18.92 ± 4.40	4 ± 0.12	0.15 ± 0.02
<b><i>tetur01g05690</i></b>	9.48 ± 1.23	350 ± 70	27 ± 2.00
<b><i>tetur02g09850</i></b>	8.30 ± 0.99	100 ± 10	20 ± 6.45
<b><i>tetur05g05050</i></b>	0.01 ± 0.00	n.d.	0.005 ± 0.001
<b><i>tetur04g02350</i></b>	0.02 ± 0.00	0.40 ± 0.05	0.02 ± 0.01
<b><i>tetur22g00270</i></b>	5.78 ± 0.88	120 ± 17	21 ± 8.00
<b><i>tetur22g00440</i></b>	1.25 ± 0.42	5 ± 0.47	0.64 ± 0.08
<b><i>tetur05g00060</i></b>	0.04 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.001	0.04 ± 0.008

829 \*n.d.: not detected

830

831

832 **Table 2 Steady-state kinetic parameters of recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzymes for the**  
 833 **conjugation of UDP-glucose to selected substrates.** Results were determined by varying the  
 834 concentration of substrates (1,5-200  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at fixed concentration of UDP-glucose (400  $\mu\text{M}$ ). All  
 835 values are means  $\pm$  SD of three independent experiments.

enzyme	substrate	equation	kinetic parameters			
			$K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	$V_{\max}$ (nmol UDP min <sup>-1</sup> ml <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{\text{cat}}$ (min <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1}$ min <sup>-1</sup> )
tetur02g09850	capsaicin	Michaelis-Menten	6.20 $\pm$ 0.54	0.22 $\pm$ 0.008	14.90	2.40
	kaempferol	Michaelis-Menten	34.45 $\pm$ 6.17	0.44 $\pm$ 0.02	29.81	0.86
	abamectin	Hills (n= 2.97 $\pm$ 0.29)	1.83 $\pm$ 0.06	1.83 $\pm$ 0.05	12.40	6.77
tetur22g00270	capsaicin	Michaelis-Menten	690.53 $\pm$ 180.77	0.90 $\pm$ 0.18	59.62	0.08
	kaempferol	Michaelis-Menten	31.69 $\pm$ 7.18	0.33 $\pm$ 0.02	21.86	0.68
tetur22g00440	kaempferol	Hills (n= 2.12 $\pm$ 0.23)	14.95 $\pm$ 2.36	0.33 $\pm$ 0.04	21.98	1.47
tetur04g02350	DIMBOA	Hills (n= 1.59 $\pm$ 0.08)	128.46 $\pm$ 18.28	0.13 $\pm$ 0.01	0.85	0.006
	kaempferol	Hills (n= 2.15 $\pm$ 0.11)	48.55 $\pm$ 1.38	0.26 $\pm$ 0.005	1.71	0.03
tetur05g00060	abamectin	Hills (n= 2.20 $\pm$ 0.07)	7.91 $\pm$ 0.13	0.96 $\pm$ 0.009	63.84	0.87

836

## 837 11. Supplementary Figures

### 838 **Fig. S1 Standard curve glycosyltransferase assay**

839 Free-UDP standard curve, Relative Luminescence Units (RLU) in function of free-UDP  
840 (range of 0-25 $\mu$ M). Values represent the mean of five replicates  $\pm$  SD. The regression line ( $R^2$   
841 0.9844) was added to the plot as well as the 99% confidence interval.

842

### 843 **Fig. S2 Heatmap**

844 Expression heatmap of all completely annotated *T. urticae* UGTs in adult (or deutonymph\*)  
845 *T. urticae* females adapted to tomato and lima bean ( $\geq$  30 generations), long-term  
846 acclimatized to soy, cotton and maize ( $\geq$  5 generations) and resistant against certain acaricides  
847 (Supplementary Table 1). The  $\log_2$  transformed fold changes are relative to adult *T. urticae*  
848 females of the susceptible London strain or the susceptible deutonymph *T. urticae* females of  
849 the LS-VL strain. NA values were colored in grey, functionally expressed UGT enzymes were  
850 underlined.

851

### 852 **Fig. S3 SDS-PAGE and western blot of the purified fractions of the recombinantly** 853 **expressed *T. urticae* UGTs**

854 Panel (A) contains SDS-PAGE result, Western blot is shown in panel (B). Lane 1: molecular  
855 weight marker (Precision Plus Protein<sup>TM</sup> All Blue Prestained Protein Standard, Bio-Rad,  
856 Belgium). Lane 2-9: purified His-tagged *T. urticae* recombinant UGTs. Lane 10: molecular  
857 weight marker (Precision Plus Protein<sup>TM</sup> Unstained Protein Standards, *Strep*-tagged  
858 recombinant, Bio-Rad, Belgium). 10  $\mu$ g protein diluted in PBS was loaded for each  
859 recombinant enzyme.

860

### 861 **Fig. S4 Validation UGT activity**

862 (A) 1-naphthol (OD 570 nm) spectrophotometrical measurement after incubation with the  
863 elution's (left 12.5  $\mu$ l, right 25 $\mu$ l) of the (not-) induced constructs of tetur01g05690, elution's  
864 of the construct GSTd05 (*E. coli* enzyme activity, control), and elution buffer (no enzyme  
865 construct/*E. coli*, control). (B) p-nitrophenol (OD 400 nm) spectrophotometrical measurement  
866 after incubation with the elution's (left 12.5  $\mu$ l, right 25 $\mu$ l) of the (not-) induced constructs of  
867 tetur05g09325, elution's of the construct GSTd05 used as control, and control elution's (no  
868 enzyme construct). (C) Model substrate was measured spectrophotometrically after  
869 incubation with the respective recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzyme and was subtracted with

870 the substrate measurement after an additional incubation step with beta-glucosidase after  
871 incubation with the UGT enzyme. P-nitrophenol or 1-naphthol was used depending on the  
872 model substrate preference of the enzyme (Table 1). Values represent the mean of nine  
873 replicates  $\pm$  SD.

874

#### 875 **Fig. S5 Enzyme-substrate screening assay**

876 Endpoint measurement of the release of free-UDP ( $\mu$ M) after incubation of the recombinant  
877 *T. urticae* UGT enzyme tetur04g02350 (1  $\mu$ g) with 44 substrates (3 model substrates, 27 plant  
878 secondary metabolites and 14 acaricides) and UDP-glucose. Release of free-UDP is directly  
879 linked with the activity of glycosyltransferases.

880

#### 881 **Fig. S6 Sugar-preference for specific substrates**

882 Endpoint measurement of the release of free-UDP ( $\mu$ M) after incubation with different  
883 activated donors (UDP-galactose, UDP-GalNAc, UDP-GlcNAc, UDP-glucose and UDP-  
884 glucuronic acid) and all enzyme-substrate combinations selected for steady-state kinetic  
885 analysis. Release of free-UDP is directly linked with the activity of glycosyltransferases.

886

## 887 **12. Supplementary tables**

888 **Supplementary Table 1 Detailed information of the strains used for the transcriptomic**  
889 **analysis of *T. urticae* UGTs (Fig. 1 and Fig. S2)**

890 **Supplementary Table 2 Sequence information and accession numbers of UGTs used in**  
891 **the phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2)**

892 **Supplementary Table 3 Nucleotide sequences of the recombinant *T. urticae* UGT genes**  
893 **used in this study**

894 **Supplementary Table 4 Primers used in this study**

895 **Supplementary Table 5 Compounds used in this study**

896 **Supplementary Table 6 Gene expression differences between the different *T. urticae***  
897 **populations/strains and the London or LS-VL strain**

898 **Supplementary Table 7 Endpoint measurement of the release of free-UDP ( $\mu$ M) after**  
899 **incubation of the respective recombinant *T. urticae* UGT enzyme with 41 different**  
900 **substrates (27 plant secondary metabolites and 14 acaricides) and UDP-glucose. Release**  
901 **of free-UDP is directly linked with the activity of glycosyltransferases. Values represent**  
902 **the mean of three replicates  $\pm$  SD.**