

# LJMU Research Online

Carvalho, JS, Graham, B, Rebelo, H, Bocksberger, G, Meyer, CFJ, Wich, SA and Kühl, HS

A global risk assessment of primates under climate and land use/cover scenarios

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/10665/

Article

**Citation** (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Carvalho, JS, Graham, B, Rebelo, H, Bocksberger, G, Meyer, CFJ, Wich, SA and Kühl, HS (2019) A global risk assessment of primates under climate and land use/cover scenarios. Global Change Biology. ISSN 1354-1013

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	A global risk assessment of primates under climate and land use/cover
2	scenarios
3	
4	Running title: Global change-related risks for primates
5	
6	Authors
7	Joana S. Carvalho <sup>*1</sup> , Bruce Graham <sup>1</sup> , Hugo Rebelo <sup>2,3</sup> , Gaëlle Bocksberger <sup>4</sup> , Christoph F. J.
8	Meyer <sup>5</sup> , Serge Wich <sup>6,7</sup> & Hjalmar S. Kühl <sup>4,8</sup>
9	<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Computing Science and Mathematics, University of Stirling, Stirling, United
10	Kingdom
11	<sup>2</sup> CIBIO/InBIO, Research Center in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, University of Porto,
12	Vairão, Portugal
13	<sup>3</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom
14	<sup>4</sup> Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany
15	<sup>5</sup> School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Salford, United Kingdom
16	<sup>6</sup> Faculty of Science, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, United Kingdom
17	<sup>7</sup> Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, Universiteit van Amsterdam,
18	Amsterdam, The Netherlands
19	<sup>8</sup> German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Halle-Leipzig-Jena, Leipzig,
20	Germany
21	*corresponding author: joana.coleoptera@gmail.com
22	
23	Keywords
24	Climate change, exposure, extinction risk, hazard, land use/cover change, primate
25	conservation, primate hotspots, species ranges

#### 26 ABSTRACT

27 Primates are facing an impending extinction crisis, driven by extensive habitat loss, land use change, and hunting. Climate change is an additional threat, which alone or in combination 28 with other drivers, may severely impact those taxa unable to track suitable environmental 29 conditions. Here, we investigate the extent of climate and land use/cover (LUC) change-30 related risks for primates. We employed an analytical approach to objectively select a subset 31 of climate scenarios, for which we then calculated changes in climatic and LUC conditions 32 33 for 2050 across primate ranges (N=426 species) under a best- and a worst-case scenario. Generalised linear models were used to examine whether these changes varied according to 34 35 region, conservation status, range extent, and dominant habitat. Finally, we reclassified primate ranges based on different magnitudes of maximum temperature change, and 36 quantified the proportion of ranges overall and of primate hotspots in particular that are likely 37 to be exposed to extreme temperature increases. We found that, under the worst-case scenario, 38 74% of Neotropical forest-dwelling primates are likely to be exposed to maximum 39 temperature increases up to 7°C. In contrast, 38% of Malagasy savanna primates will 40 experience less pronounced warming of up to 3.5°C. About one quarter of Asian and African 41 primates will face up to 50% crop expansion within their range. Primary land (undisturbed 42 43 habitat) is expected to disappear across species' ranges, whereas secondary land (disturbed habitat) will increase by up to 98%. With 86% of primate ranges likely to be exposed to 44 maximum temperature increases  $>3^{\circ}$ C, primate hotspots in the Neotropics are expected to be 45 46 particularly vulnerable. Our study highlights the fundamental exposure risk of a large percentage of primate ranges to predicted climate and LUC changes. Importantly, our findings 47 underscore the urgency with which climate change mitigation measures need to be 48 implemented to avert primate extinctions on an unprecedented scale. 49

# 50 INTRODUCTION

Global biodiversity is under serious assault due to a host of anthropogenic activities and 51 climate change (Schloss, Nuñez, & Lawler, 2012; Thomas et al., 2004). Climate change could 52 exacerbate the effects of the expected drastic alterations in land use during and beyond the 53 21<sup>st</sup> century (McClean et al., 2005). In combination, climate and land use/cover (LUC) 54 changes will have negative consequences for many wildlife species, likely driving the 55 extinction of many in the future (Gouveia et al., 2016; Struebig et al., 2015). Thus, when 56 trying to better understand variation in climate-related risks between taxa it is fundamental to 57 consider both the single effects and the synergistic interactions between climate and LUC 58 59 changes, especially because jointly these global change drivers will pose many challenges to species conservation in the future (Gouveia et al., 2016; Titeux et al., 2017). 60 Studies assessing climate change impacts on biodiversity are geographically biased towards 61 62 temperate regions, whereas biodiverse tropical and subtropical regions remain understudied (Pacifici et al., 2015). Although less pronounced changes in climate in the tropics than in 63 temperate regions have been forecast, many tropical species have already exceeded their 64 physiological tolerance limits to changing climatic conditions (Schloss et al., 2012), 65 highlighting that more research on tropical species is particularly urgent (Pacifici et al., 2015; 66 67 Tewksbury, Huey, & Deutsch, 2008). In addition to being charismatic animals, non-human primates (primates hereafter) are 68 considered flagship species in tropical forest ecosystems whose conservation importance 69 70 cannot be overstressed. Human activities have already taken a severe toll on primate populations, which are dwindling rapidly, as reflected in their alarming status on the IUCN 71 72 Red List (Estrada et al., 2017). This is despite the fact that some primates show a certain behavioural flexibility enabling them to adapt and survive in human-modified habitats 73 (Estrada et al., 2017; Estrada, Raboy, & Oliveira, 2012; Spehar et al., 2018). Several threats 74

including hunting, habitat loss, infectious disease epidemics, large-scale commercial logging, 75 76 and industrial agriculture are directly contributing to their decline, while others, such as human population growth and increased per capita demand do so indirectly (Estrada et al., 77 2017; Lehman, Fleagle, & Tuttle, 2006). Although all of the aforementioned are important 78 drivers of primate declines, ongoing climate change is a delocalized driver, likely contributing 79 to many of these threats (Gouveia et al., 2016; Graham, Matthews, & Turner, 2016; Lehmann, 80 Korstjens, & Dunbar, 2010; Ribeiro, Sales, De Marco, & Loyola, 2016; Wiederholt & Post, 81 2010). 82 Primates occur in four major geographic regions: Neotropics, mainland Africa (hereafter 83 84 Africa), Madagascar, and Asia, with most species inhabiting tropical moist lowland forests. More than half of all primate species are threatened with extinction, with 62% classified as 85 threatened and 5% listed as near threatened (Supporting Information Table S1). Madagascar 86 and Asia are hotspots of primate extinction risk (92% and 77% of threatened species, 87 respectively), while a comparatively lower percentage of species in the Neotropics and Africa 88 is threatened (44% and 41%, respectively) (Table S1) (Estrada et al., 2017). 89 Climate change is likely to have been an important factor in shaping the evolutionary history 90 of primates (Jablonski, Whitfort, Roberts-Smith, & Qinqi, 2000; Spehar et al., 2018), and is a 91 92 potential threat to primate populations and to the resilience of protected areas across their range (Africa (Lehmann et al., 2010), Asia (Struebig et al., 2015), Neotropics (Ribeiro et al., 93

2016) and Madagascar (Kamilar, 2017)). This is either due to its direct effects on primate

95 physiology, or indirectly through its influence on resource availability (Chapman et al., 2005;

96 Isabirye-Basuta & Lwanga, 2008; Wiederholt & Post, 2010). Schloss et al. (2012) assessed

97 the ability of mammals to keep pace with climate change, and found that most mammals in

the Amazon will not be able to disperse to suitable climates given the fast pace of forecast

99 changes. Moreover, their study suggested that the predicted magnitudes of climate change

# Global Change Biology

100	might exceed the physiological tolerance limits of many species. Among mammals, primates
101	are likely to be the most vulnerable group as they exhibit a number of traits that make them
102	highly susceptible to climate change, such as slow reproduction, low population densities,
103	dietary requirements, and thermoregulation, which limit their dispersal capacity (Schloss et
104	al., 2012). Accordingly, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) drew
105	attention to primates as the mammalian order with the lowest dispersal speed, underscoring
106	that many species likely face an elevated risk of extinction (IPCC, 2014).
107	Interestingly, a few primate taxa such as baboons occupy very large geographic ranges and
108	show environmental flexibility, which would make them physiologically less vulnerable to
109	climate change (Fuchs, Gilbert, & Kamilar, 2018). Ecological niche models have suggested
110	considerable primate range reductions rather than range expansion or stability, as well as loss
111	of habitat connectivity under climate change (Brown & Yoder, 2015; Gouveia et al., 2016;
112	Meyer, Pie, & Passos, 2014; Struebig et al., 2015). Importantly, loss of habitat and
113	connectivity in combination with climate change may severely impact those taxa unable to
114	track climatically-suitable habitats (Gouveia et al., 2016; Titeux et al., 2017).
115	Patterns of species co-occurrence in primates have been linked to biogeographic history,
116	interspecific competition, predation, and anthropogenic disturbance (Bello et al., 2015;
117	Jablonski et al., 2000; Kamilar, 2017; Spehar et al., 2018). Climate change could be an
118	additional factor shaping sympatric species diversity of primates in the future (Graham et al.,
119	2016; Pacifici, Visconti, & Rondinini, 2018), particularly by altering the structure and
120	composition of their habitats (Isabirye-Basuta & Lwanga, 2008; Jablonski et al., 2000).
121	Understanding how climate change is likely to affect primate hotspots, i.e. areas with highest
122	species richness, is relevant to ensure effective conservation efforts, however, such
123	assessments are currently lacking.

Page 6 of 36

Most assessments of future climate change-related risks, LUC change, or their combined 124 effects for primates to date were regional-scale analyses (Brown & Yoder, 2015; Gouveia et 125 al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2016), relied on earlier, now outdated IPCC 126 climate emission scenarios (Brown & Yoder, 2015; Graham et al., 2016; Meyer et al., 2014), 127 or did not consider mechanistically relevant variables representing seasonal variations or 128 extreme climate change (Graham et al., 2016; Lehmann et al., 2010; Pacifici et al., 2018). 129 130 Consequently, in this study we expanded on this earlier work and for the first time quantified climate-related risks of all 426 primate species currently available in the IUCN database 131 (IUCN, 2018) to changing climatic and LUC conditions predicted for the year 2050. We 132 133 modelled variation in hazard (magnitude of projected climate and LUC change) and exposure (likelihood to experience the hazard) risks (IPCC, 2014; Pacifici et al., 2018) in relation to 134 geographic region, conservation status, range extent, and predominant habitat, and quantified 135 the percentage of species ranges and primate hotspots likely to be exposed to extreme climate 136 changes. Specifically, we addressed the following questions: (1) Which regions are likely to 137 be most affected by altered temperature, precipitation and LUC conditions? (2) Will species 138 listed as threatened face greater risks to both global drivers than non-threatened species? (3) 139 140 Are small-range species more exposed to climate-related risks? (4) Will the synergistic effects 141 between climate change and habitat loss affect forest and savanna primates differently? (5) What proportion of species ranges will be exposed to extreme maximum temperature 142 increases? and (6) What proportion of primate hotspots will be affected by extreme warming? 143

144

## 145 MATERIALS AND METHODS

## 146 Primate data

147 Data on primate geographic ranges were compiled from the IUCN Red List of Threatened

148 Species database (IUCN, 2018). This database contains 426 primate species from 74 genera

and 16 families (Table S1), and also provides information about conservation status (critically 149 150 endangered (CR), endangered (EN), vulnerable (VU), near threatened (NT), least concern (LC) and data deficient (DD)) and range extent (km<sup>2</sup>). In addition, for each primate species, 151 we collated information on geographic region (Lehman et al., 2006), and predominant habitat 152 in its range. The latter was extracted from the land cover data provided by the MODIS-based 153 global land cover climatology dataset (Broxton et al., 2014). This dataset integrates global 154 land cover information from 10 years (2001-2010, at ~500 m resolution) and features 16 155 global land cover classes based on a supervised decision-tree algorithm. We reclassified these 156 into three land cover types: forest, savanna, and other (includes shrubland, grassland, wetland, 157 158 cropland, urban areas and snow), and extracted the average of each habitat type (in km<sup>2</sup>). Forest and savanna represent the most suitable habitats for primates (IUCN, 2018). 159 All spatial data were standardized to a resolution of 2.5 arc-minutes (~4.5 km at the equator 160 line) and projected into WGS84 Mercator geographic coordinate system. All analyses were 161 performed using the software ArcGIS (ESRI, 2011) and R (R Development Core Team, 162 2018). 163

164

# 165 Climatic variables and climate emission scenarios

Bioclimatic variables (hereafter climatic variables) based on temperature and precipitation for
current and future conditions were compiled from WorldClim (periods of 1950-2000 and
2050, respectively; version 1.4, available at www.worldclim.org; for more details see
(Hijmans, Cameron, Parra, Jones, & Jarvis, 2005)). All climatic variables (N = 19)
representing current conditions were extracted for each primate species' range.
As adopted by the IPCC for its Fifth Assessment Report (IPCC, 2014), a new set of global
climate change scenarios resulting from a combination of general circulation models (GCMs)

173 with mitigation policies regarding greenhouse gas emission scenarios (Representative

Concentration Pathways, RCPs (W/m<sup>2</sup>)) were compiled for 2050 (Table S2). RCPs explore 174 175 alternative technology and land use patterns, as well as socio-economic and climate policy (Moss et al., 2010; IPCC, 2014). These emission scenarios are based on natural and human-176 driven impacts on future radiative forcings, i.e. changes in the balance of incoming and 177 outgoing radiation to the atmosphere caused by changes in atmospheric components such as 178 carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide (Moss et al., 2010), to describe four different 21<sup>st</sup> 179 century pathways of greenhouse emissions: RCP 2.6, RCP 4.5, RCP 6.0 and RCP 8.5. RCP 180 2.6 represents a stringent mitigation scenario, RCP 4.5 and 6.0 are intermediate mitigation 181 scenarios, and RCP 8.5 is a low mitigation scenario with very high greenhouse emissions. 182 183 The IPCC recommends the use of a large ensemble of climate scenarios produced from combinations of 19 GCMs and 4 RCPs, however, many studies to date relied on climate 184 scenarios that were arbitrarily chosen (Baker et al., 2015; Garden, O'Donnell, & Catterall, 185 186 2015; Thuiller, 2004). Moreover, the magnitude of projected climate change is substantially affected by the choice of emission scenario by mid-21st century (IPCC, 2014). Thus, we used 187 k-means clustering (Casajus et al., 2016) to objectively select a subset of climate emission 188 scenarios. This method decreases the number of climate scenarios to evaluate while retaining 189 the central tendencies and coverage of uncertainty in future climatic conditions. Additionally, 190 191 it improves the representativeness of climate scenarios at the regional scale by avoiding the common misrepresentation of climate scenarios resulting from an arbitrary selection (Casajus 192 et al., 2016). All GCMs (N = 19) for RCPs 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5 were considered and extracted for 193 194 each primate species' range. We excluded RCP 2.6 because trends in greenhouse emissions predicted by the other RCPs better represent actual emissions since 2000 (Peters et al., 2011). 195 196

197 LUC data and future scenarios

#### **Global Change Biology**

Global LUC data for current conditions and 2050 projections were compiled from the Land 198 199 Use Harmonization Project (period of 1500-2100, at ~50 km resolution) (Chini, Hurtt, & Frolking, 2014; Hurtt et al., 2011), which smoothly combines LUC history data with future 200 scenario information from multiple GCMs into a consistent gridded set of LUC scenarios. 201 Project outputs informed the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report and LUC scenarios are the same 202 used to derive the climate scenarios. GCMs are combined with RCPs: IMAGE 2.6, MiniCam 203 204 4.5, AIM 6.0, and MESSAGE 8.5. The very low stabilization scenario IMAGE 2.6 predicts rapid conversion of primary vegetation, especially in the tropics, to crops and biofuels. In 205 contrast, MiniCam 4.5 predicts decrease in both cropland and pasture areas as a result of 206 207 reforestation programs, crop yield improvements and dietary shifts (Hurtt et al., 2011; Newbold et al., 2015). A decrease in pasture areas as a consequence of more intensive 208 husbandry and increase in cropland due to increasing food demand are predicted by AIM 6.0. 209 210 Widespread expansion of croplands and pasture areas due to increasing global human population is expected in the high-emission pathway MESSAGE 8.5. All scenarios project an 211 increase in wood harvesting, contributing to large increases in secondary land and, 212 consequently, to large reductions in primary land. For more detailed information on these 213 scenarios see Hurtt et al. (2011) and Chini et al. (2014). Of the five available land use states 214 215 we selected for this study those that best represent biomes where most primates occur: primary land, secondary land, and cropland. Primary land refers to the natural vegetation 216 (either forest or non-forest) undisturbed by humans, and secondary land corresponds also to 217 218 natural vegetation previously disturbed by human activities (e.g. agriculture or wood harvesting), but recovering, both since the simulation start year of 1500. Thus, primary land 219 and cropland represent the most and least suitable habitat for primates, respectively, with 220 secondary land occupying an intermediate position. 221

222

#### 223 Climate and LUC change-related risks for primates and their correlates

All climate (N = 19) and LUC variables (N = 3) for the current conditions were assessed for collinearity by conducting a spatial principal component analysis (PCA) (R package 'stats'). The variable with the strongest correlation for the first five principal components was selected. Only 30 future climate scenarios were available for the five climatic variables selected by the PCA (Table S2), and tested with the k-means clustering approach (Casajus et al., 2016).

Mean changes in climatic and LUC variables across each species' range between 2050 and 230 present were calculated. For that, only climatic variables selected in the PCA were considered 231 232 as well as each climate change scenario selected by the k-means clustering approach. To examine whether risks to changes in climatic and LUC conditions vary according to region 233 (Neotropics, Africa, Madagascar and Asia), conservation status (CR, EN, VU, NT, LC, DD), 234 range extent ( $<10x10^3$  km<sup>2</sup>,  $>10x10^3$  and  $<50x10^3$ ,  $>50x10^3$  and  $<25x10^4$ ,  $>25x10^4$  and 235  $<10x10^{5}$ ,  $>10x10^{5}$  and  $<40x10^{5}$ , and  $>40x10^{5}$ ) and predominant habitat (forest, savanna, and 236 other), we performed generalised linear models using R package 'glmulti' (Calcagno, 2013). 237 This package is optimized to deal with large candidate model sets and provides a flexible way 238 to carry out automated information-theoretic model selection and multi-model inference 239 240 (Calcagno & de Mazancourt, 2010). A Gaussian distribution with an identity link function was used, specifying interactions between all variables. Non-normally distributed residuals 241 for the climatic variables were corrected using a log-transformation in the models, but 242 243 untransformed values were used when plotted. For each response variable, a confidence set of candidate models was selected based on the Akaike Information Criterion ( $\Delta AIC_c \le 2$ ), and the 244 corresponding model-averaged regression coefficients and Akaike weights were calculated. 245 For each significant effect in the best model for each response variable, the corresponding 246 percentage of species affected was calculated. 247

248

# 249 Exposure risk of ranges and primate hotspots to extreme warming

Understanding of climate change-related risks is hampered by a lack of knowledge about the 250 precise magnitudes of change, however, it is accepted that risks will increase with rising 251 temperature (IPCC, 2014). According to the IPCC, moderate risks associated with extreme 252 climate change are expected with increases in global mean temperature of 1-2°C above pre-253 industrial levels, and high to very high risks with temperature rises 4°C or above. To represent 254 different levels of risk associated with climate change, we considered four magnitudes of 255 change in maximum temperature of the warmest month  $(T_{max})$  (< 2°C, > 2°C, >3°C, and >4°C) 256 257 under a worst-case scenario (RCP 8.5) to quantify the cumulative percentage of each species' 258 range (total and by family) likely to be exposed to these magnitudes by 2050 and, for each species' range, the number of sympatric primate species. For that, a spatial layer representing 259 changes in T<sub>max</sub> across primate ranges was reclassified into the aforementioned four 260 magnitudes of change and then superimposed on the primate ranges to extract the number of 261 pixels within each species' range that corresponded to each category. We further identified 262 those primate species likely to have more than 50% of their range exposed to extreme (>4°C) 263 increases in T<sub>max</sub>. Finally, the number of sympatric species was grouped into four classes (1-5, 264 265 6-10, 11-15, and 16-19) and for each magnitude of change in T<sub>max</sub> we quantified the percentage of overlapping range. 266

Previous studies have advocated greater consideration of variation or extremes in climatic conditions when modelling the impacts of climate change on primate distribution (Fuchs et al., 2018; Graham et al., 2016; Ribeiro et al., 2016). We therefore considered  $T_{max}$  as the most suitable proxy variable for assessing climate-change risk, given that high to very high risks are expected with temperature rises 4°C or above (IPCC, 2014), and the same magnitude of change was found for minimum temperature of the coldest month ( $T_{min}$ ) and no relevant

changes were observed for precipitation of the wettest month ( $P_{wet}$ ) (see Results). Only a worst-case scenario was considered for these analyses because our main interest here was to inform upstream planning (Lehmann et al., 2010; Ribeiro et al., 2016) and most countries where primates occur are suffering from high levels of corruption and weak governance and, consequently, low mitigation policies regarding climate change (Estrada et al., 2018; IPCC, 2014, 2018).

279

# 280 **RESULTS**

# 281 Selection of variables and future scenarios

282 The PCA captured 84% of the total variance in the first five principal components, which

were most strongly correlated with the following variables:  $T_{min}$  (-0.32, PC1: 40.6% of

variance), T<sub>max</sub> (-0.38, PC2: 19.7%), P<sub>wet</sub> (0.52, PC3: 12.5%), secondary land (-0.59, PC4: 6.0

285 %), and *cropland and primary land* (-0.56 and 0.56, respectively, PC5: 5.6%) (Table S3).

286 Reduction of 30 climate emission scenarios via k-means clustering resulted in six clusters

summarizing 86% of the variance and with sizes between one and six climate scenarios

(Table S4, Fig. S1). To simplify the interpretation of the results, and given that some

scenarios forecast the same magnitude of change (Fig. S2), below we only contrast predicted

- 290 outcomes under the best-case scenario (i.e. high mitigation scenario) and the worst-case
- scenario (i.e. low mitigation scenario) in modelling changes in climatic conditions (CCSM4
- 4.5 (hereafter CC 4.5) and HadGEM-ES 8.5 (hereafter HE 8.5), respectively) and in land

use/cover conditions (MiniCam 4.5 and MESSAGE 8.5, respectively) for the year 2050 (Fig.

294 S1, S2).

295

## 296 Climate change-related exposure risk of primate ranges

#### Global Change Biology

For  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{min}$ , under both scenarios, model selection provided overriding support ( $w_i = 0.76-0.96$ ) for region, conservation status, habitat and range size influencing risk exposure. For  $P_{wet}$ , region and habitat were identified as key predictors under both scenarios, however, there was some model selection uncertainty, especially for the best-case scenario (Table S5, S6).

Primate species will face an increase in T<sub>max</sub> and T<sub>min</sub> throughout their range of distribution 302 under both scenarios (Fig. 1a,b; Fig. S3). In the Neotropics, an increase of  $>2^{\circ}$ C in T<sub>max</sub> is 303 likely, with particularly dramatic increases of up to 7°C expected for Central and Northern 304 Brazil under the worst-case scenario. Forest primates will be the most affected by these 305 306 changes (74% of all Neotropical species) (Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). An increase in T<sub>max</sub> of up to 5°C is predicted for southern Africa (23% of all species), as well as for North-East and South-307 East Asia (23% of all species) under the worst-case scenario (up to 3°C in the best-case 308 scenario). In contrast, under both scenarios, changes are likely less pronounced in Madagascar 309 (up to 3.5°C), particularly for savanna primates (38% of all Malagasy species). Both scenarios 310 also project that primate species with larger ranges are likely to face an increase in T<sub>max</sub>. 311 Exposure risk did not vary significantly among species depending on their conservation status 312 313 under the worst-case scenario while those currently listed as LC (29% of all species) might 314 experience an elevated risk under the best-case scenario (Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). According to the worst-case scenario, T<sub>min</sub> is forecast to increase up to 5°C (up to 3°C in the 315 best-case scenario) in all major primate regions, particularly in Central Brazil and Africa, and 316 317 China (here affecting mostly primates living in less forested habitats, i.e. 18% of all Asian species) (Fig. 1a,b; Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). In contrast, the ranges of Neotropical savanna 318 primates will experience less marked increases of up to 2.5/4°C (best-/worst-case scenario; 319 20% of all Neotropical species). Again, Madagascar is likely to face only small increases up 320 to 3°C under the worst-case scenario (up to 1.5°C in the best-case scenario), affecting 51% of 321

non-forest Malagasy primates. Changes in T<sub>min</sub> will differentially affect species depending on

322

conservation status, and will influence primates with larger ranges more (Fig. S3; Table 1). 323 The best-case scenario predicts an increase up to 100 mm in Pwet across the ranges of Asian 324 and Malagasy primates in less forested habitats (18% and 51% of species, respectively) (Fig. 325 1a, S3; Table 1, S1). In contrast, decreases up to -200 mm are forecast for the same primate 326 ranges under the worst-case scenario (Fig. 1b). Decreases in Pwet are likely across most 327 primate ranges in the Neotropics (up to -100 mm), and in some coastal countries in West and 328 329 southern Africa (up to -150 mm) under both scenarios (Fig. 1a,b; Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). No significant differences in exposure risk with regard to Pwet were found for species 330 331 conservation status or range extent (Table 1). 332 LUC change-related exposure risk of primate ranges 333 Region and habitat were key correlates of predicted changes in cropland, secondary, and 334 335 primary land, being included in all best-supported GLMs (Table S5, S6). Most species' ranges are expected to face crop expansion under both scenarios, particularly in West and East Africa 336 (23% of total species) and in most of Asia (21% of non-forest Asian species) where large 337 increases in cropland of up to 50% are likely, and in the South-Eastern Neotropics (31% of 338 total species) with projected increases up to 25% (Fig. 2a,b; Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). Only up to 339 340 7% crop expansion is expected for Malagasy primate ranges (13% of Malagasy species living in less forested habitats) under the best-case scenario, and up to 25% under the worst-case 341 scenario. Interestingly, under the best-case scenario primate ranges in Central Africa and in 342 343 the North-Eastern Neotropics might see a substantial reduction of up to 50% in cropland area, in contrast with the forecast increases up to 25% under the worst-case scenario (Fig. 2a,b; Fig. 344 S3). 345

Increases in secondary land are likely to occur in all primate habitats across all regions (up to 346 347 90% and 60% under the best-case and worst-case scenarios, respectively), with the exception of Madagascar which could face losses up to 60% (affecting 51% of Malagasy species living 348 in less forested habitats) under the worst-case scenario, and West and North Africa with up to 349 40% reduction (23% of total species) under both scenarios (Fig. 2a,b; Fig. S3; Table 1, S1). In 350 contrast, primary land is bound to disappear in most primate ranges, regardless of the scenario 351 352 (Fig 2a,b). In this respect, most of the Neotropics (up to 98%; affecting most Neotropical nonforest primates, i.e. 26% of all Neotropical species), Africa (up to 95%; African forest 353 primates, i.e. 50% of all African species), and Northern Asia (up to 90%; Asian non-forest 354 355 primates, i.e. 19% of all Asian species) will suffer the most pronounced changes. Exposure risk to LUC changes was unrelated to range extent, even though primates with larger ranges 356 will be experiencing only mild reductions in primary land compared to those with smaller 357 ranges (Fig. S3, Table1). 358

359

# 360 Exposure risk of ranges and primate hotspots to extreme warming

Under the worst-case scenario, increases  $>2^{\circ}$ C in T<sub>max</sub> are predicted to affect primates 361 throughout nearly 100% of their ranges (Fig. 3, S4). Large fractions of the ranges of 362 Neotropical (86%) and African (61%) primates are likely to be exposed to >3°C warming, 363 while changes of this magnitude will only affect 36% and 25% of the ranges of Asian and 364 Malagasy primates, respectively (Fig. 3). At the family level, Cebidae and Atelidae in the 365 366 Neotropics (up to 25% of range) as well as Cercopithecidae in Africa and Asia (up to 38% and 30% of range, respectively) will be those most affected by increases in  $T_{max}$  of this 367 magnitude. Extreme (>4°C) T<sub>max</sub> increases are forecast for almost half (41%) of Neotropical 368 primate ranges, in contrast to only 5% for Africa and Asia. Malagasy primates are unlikely to 369 experience such extreme warming (Fig. 3). Again, ranges of the families Cebidae and 370

Atelidae are likely to be the most affected (up to 12%) by such extreme changes. Of the 42 371 species likely to experience an extreme increase in  $T_{max}$  (>4°C) in more than 50% of their 372 range 25 are currently listed as non-threatened, however, a considerable fraction (N = 15) is 373 already threatened and two are classified as DD (Fig. S4, Table 2). The dominant habitat of 374 these species is forest (N = 35), followed by savanna (N = 6) and other habitats (N = 1), and 375 nearly all of them are Neotropical species (N = 38; Asia: N = 3, Africa: N = 1). Eight 376 Neotropical species are likely to have their entire range exposed to T<sub>max</sub> extremes (Alouatta 377 discolour, Ateles marginatus, Callicebus baptista, C. moloch, Mico emiliae, M. humeralifer, 378 M. leucippe, Saguinus martinsi), as opposed to only one Asian species, Trachypithecus 379 380 laotum (Fig. S4, Table 2). With up to 19 sympatric primate species, Africa is the world's prime hotspot in terms of 381 primate richness, followed by Madagascar and the Neotropics with up to 15 sympatric 382 species, whereas Asian primate assemblages do not exceed 10 species (Fig. 4). For Africa, 383 those areas where the most primate hotspots occur represent 59% and 34% of primate ranges 384 that are likely to be exposed to increases in  $T_{max} > 2^{\circ}C$  and  $>3^{\circ}C$ , respectively, under the 385 worst-case scenario. For Madagascar, the equivalent figures are 40% and 14%, respectively. 386 387 Primate hotspots for Asia correspond to 29% and 5% of the ranges likely to be exposed to  $T_{max} > 3^{\circ}C$  and  $> 4^{\circ}C$ , respectively. In contrast, primate hotspots in the Neotropics will be most 388 imperilled, with 53% of ranges likely to be exposed to T<sub>max</sub> increases >3°C and 19% to 389 extreme warming (>4°C). 390

391

#### 392 **DISCUSSION**

Although we have presented results both for a high (RCP 4.5) and a low mitigation scenario (RCP 8.5), the latter probably represents the actual situation in most primate regions more accurately due to the weak mitigation policies in place in these countries (IPCC, 2014, 2018;

#### Global Change Biology

Peters et al., 2011). Moreover, no climate-related mitigation measures have been proposed 396 397 specifically for primates vet (Korstiens & Hillver, 2016). To best inform upstream planning, the results are thus discussed primarily under the assumption of a worst-case scenario as the 398 more likely outcome. Our findings suggest that most primate regions will be facing extreme 399 temperature increases, whereby Neotropical forest-dwelling primates will be most affected. In 400 addition, projected decreases in precipitation are likely to affect mostly Asian and Malagasy 401 402 species that inhabit less forested habitats. Moreover, our analyses indicate that warming will affect species irrespective of threat status and those with larger ranges will be more exposed 403 to anticipated temperature changes, whereas such a pattern was not evident for precipitation. 404 405 We further found that crop expansion is predicted to invade the majority of primate ranges, particularly in Africa, Asia and the Neotropics. Large increases in secondary land are 406 expected across all regions, while primary land will largely disappear, particularly where 407 408 primates are confined to forests and where less threatened species are presently found. Neotropical species are likely to be highly exposed to increases in  $T_{max} > 3^{\circ}C$  in most of their 409 ranges, and several species were identified whose entire range will be exposed to extreme 410 warming (>4°C). Finally, half of the area of primate hotspots in the Neotropics is predicted to 411 face warmings >3°C. 412

413

# 414 Climate change-related risks for primate ranges due to extreme warming

Our analyses revealed that among all major primate regions, Madagascar is likely to be the one that will be least affected by climate change. In contrast, effects are likely to be most pervasive in the Neotropics, exposing especially forest-dwelling primates to highly elevated  $T_{max}$  across their ranges. Conservation efforts should thus be focused on forest habitats to avert extinctions of Neotropical primates. Many ranges in Africa and Asia are also likely to be affected by climate change, in line with similar broad-scale trends reported by previous

studies. For example, Gaffney (2011) suggested that primate ranges in Central America, 421 422 North-West Africa and South-East Asia will be particularly impacted by climate change. Similarly, Graham et al (2016) found that Central America, the Amazon basin, North and East 423 Africa and East and South-East Asia will be climatically unsuitable for primates in the future. 424 Finally, Ribeiro et al (2016) suggested that species inhabiting the south-western regions of the 425 Neotropics, and particularly Amazonian primates, will probably be unable to keep pace with 426 427 climate change due to the high velocity of change expected in the tropics and poor dispersal abilities of species (Schloss et al., 2012). 428 Many species are considered to be at very high risk of extinction if exposed to global mean 429 430 temperatures over 4°C above pre-industrial levels (IPCC, 2014). No studies to date have quantified the thermal limits of primates to global warming, and only few have used thermal 431 indices to assess current climatic data against behavioural data (e.g. Pruetz, 2018; Tagg et al., 432

433 2018). Sherwood and Huber (2010) quantified the upper thermal limits in humans through a
434 temperature-humidity index that measures heat stress. They concluded that a global mean

435 warming of about 7°C would be intolerable by humans, given that metabolic heat dissipation

436 would become impossible under these extremes. Moreover, even temperature increases of 3-

4°C are likely to surpass the thermal tolerance and to create limitations to cooling in humans

(Sherwood & Huber, 2010). Despite the well-known behavioural flexibility of primates to

adapt to novel environmental conditions (Estrada et al., 2017, 2012; Fuchs et al., 2018;

437

438

Spehar et al., 2018), they have relatively limited dispersal abilities for their body size, slow reproduction, low population densities, dietary requirements, and thermoregulation, and many of them might already have surpassed their thermal tolerance to climate conditions. Even if some species migrate to more suitable areas or adapt *in situ*, the current human pressure on primate habitats as well as the predicted reduction of up to 86% of their range with  $>3^{\circ}$ C warming are likely to constrain their dispersal. Thus, we can expect that most, but in

particular Neotropical primate species, will be widely exposed to extreme changes in climatic
conditions, likely being highly vulnerable to and facing an elevated risk of extinction due to
climate change.

449

# 450 LUC change-related risks for primate ranges due to extreme warming

Recent global food crises have greatly contributed towards the intensification and major 451 452 expansion of tropical agriculture (Laurance, Sayer, & Cassman, 2014). Primates will experience future crop expansion throughout most of their ranges, particularly in Africa and 453 Asia where half of primate ranges will be lost due to agricultural expansion (Estrada et al., 454 2017, 2012; Wich et al., 2014). For the 21st century, Estrada et al (2017) predict that 68% of 455 the current range of primates will be under agriculture. In general, most primary land is likely 456 to disappear and will be replaced by secondary land in up to 98% of primate ranges. Despite 457 458 the ecological and behavioural resilience of some primate species to cope with anthropogenic habitat modification (Estrada et al., 2017, 2012; Fuchs et al., 2018; Spehar et al., 2018), 459 adverse side effects such as hunting, disease transmission, and human-primate conflicts will 460 exacerbate the vulnerability of primates to LUC change and potentially lead to regional 461 extinctions within their current distribution (Estrada et al., 2018; Gaffney, 2011; Struebig et 462 463 al., 2015). Moreover, greater increases in habitat loss are expected where climate and LUC changes act synergistically (Gaffney, 2011; Struebig et al., 2015), amplifying the importance 464 of expanding landscape connectivity among areas of suitable habitats for primates to ensure 465 466 their conservation.

467

# 468 Risks to primate hotspots due to extreme warming

469 Significant losses in terms of primate ranges are likely as a result of anticipated levels of

470 climate change, particularly in the Neotropics and Africa, in line with previous studies

(Graham et al., 2016; Pacifici et al., 2015; Ribeiro et al., 2016). Importantly, our study 471 472 quantified the percentage of range potentially exposed to different magnitudes of T<sub>max</sub> change, and >3°C warming is forecast for up to 86% of Neotropical primate ranges, and extreme 473 warming (>4°C) for almost half (41%) of their ranges. Ribeiro et al (2016) also predicted a 474 risk exposure up to 3.5°C in more than 80% of Amazon primate ranges under a worst-case 475 scenario. Moreover, our study suggests that primate hotspots in the Neotropics will to a 476 considerable extent (19% of ranges) be exposed to extreme warming (>4°C). Pacifici et al. 477 (2018) identified western Amazonia as well as central and eastern Sub-Saharan Africa as 478 important hotspots of mammals, including primates, that face an elevated risk from climate 479 480 change. Our study thus suggests that allocating effective conservation efforts across their 481 ranges based on primate hotspots is a key approach to minimizing the potential risk of climate change-driven primate extinctions (Graham et al., 2016). 482 483 Climate and LUC changes will alter patterns of plant species composition and productivity (Chapman et al., 2005), therefore likely leading to a reduction in resource availability for 484 primates (Wiederholt & Post, 2010). This in turn may exacerbate interspecific competition for 485 food (Rocha, Pinto, Boubli, & Grelle, 2015), compromising the persistence of sympatric 486 487 species and increasing primate vulnerability to climate change as many taxa will be unable to 488 track climatically-suitable habitats (Titeux et al., 2017). For example, Ateline primates are likely to be extremely affected by decreases in resource availability due to extreme climate 489 events (e.g. El Niño) (Wiederholt & Post, 2010). Climate-related mitigation measures for 490 491 primates are imperative not only to ensure their survival, but because the negative consequences with respect to ecosystem services provided by these flagship species could be 492 493 irreversible and other functional interactions could be lost (Bello et al., 2015). 494

# 495 Strategies to mitigate environmental change impacts on primates

#### **Global Change Biology**

Mitigation, together with adaptation to climate change, is an integrative approach 496 497 recommended by the IPCC which intends to reduce forecast climate change effects across different temporal and spatial scales (IPCC, 2014, 2018). The most efficient integration of 498 mitigation and adaptation strategies is strictly dependent on policies and cooperation in 499 governance at international, regional, and national scales. Effective conservation actions 500 across primate regions depend on the intrinsic environmental and socio-economic aspects of 501 502 each country (Estrada et al., 2018). However, lack of law enforcement, weak governance, and economic development locally, and demands for food and forest products globally, will 503 continue to boost pressures on primate populations (Estrada et al., 2018). 504 505 No climate-related mitigation measures have been proposed specifically for primates yet, however, suggested priority strategies for biodiversity conservation in general which may also 506 be applicable to primates include: forest preservation, restoration, reforestation and 507 508 afforestation, increasing habitat connectivity, and reintroduction and translocation (Korstjens & Hillyer, 2016). Because deforestation is a major contributor to climate change, global 509 initiatives for effective and sustainable landscape planning to conserve forests and carbon 510 stocks, e.g. through the United Nations REDD + programme, are considered important to 511 expand and connect forested habitats (Lecina-Diaz et al., 2018). Moreover, agroforests can 512 513 provide important habitats for primates and small-scale agroforestry can contribute to forest conservation and habitat connectivity (Estrada et al., 2012). Finally, translocations and 514 reintroductions of primates need to follow strict guidelines (IUCN, 2012) and should be 515 516 considered as a last resort. Importantly, most primates are currently distributed in protected areas rich in natural 517 resources (Estrada et al., 2018). Even in the context of limited funding and under growing 518

519 land use pressure, some protected areas in the tropics have been effective in protecting

520 biodiversity and ecosystems, promoting connectivity, and making a significant contribution to

long-term biodiversity conservation (CBD, 2010). However, one-third of protected areas are under intense human pressure globally (Jones et al., 2018). Given that climate change is likely to intensify levels of mobility in human populations (Tacoli, 2009), invasions of climate refugees into protected areas are likely to occur, consequently posing an additional threat to primates. Future studies assessing the effects of climate refugees on protected areas will be central for devising effective conservation strategies that mitigate detrimental impacts on primates and their habitats.

528

## 529 Study limitations

530 Uncertainty in projections of climate scenarios is widely documented (see Sokolov et al., 2009), and considerable efforts have been made to quantify it when predicting anthropogenic 531 global warming either taking into account mitigation policies (IPCC, 2014, 2018) or not 532 (Sokolov et al., 2009). In comparison to past IPCC scenarios, the new set of global climate 533 change scenarios 1) incorporates a substantially larger knowledge base of scientific, technical 534 and socio-economic literature, 2) better characterises the uncertainty in long-term projections, 535 and 3) improves both the simulation of continental-scale surface temperature and large-scale 536 537 patterns of precipitation (IPCC, 2014, 2018).

538 Importantly, the magnitude of projected changes is markedly affected by the choice of climate scenario, particularly by mid-21st century (IPCC, 2014). In agreement with a trend also 539 reported by Sokolov et al (2009), the worst-case scenario (HE 8.5) considered here forecast 540 changes in T<sub>max</sub> of up to 7°C across primate ranges. The best-case scenario, however, also 541 predicted extreme changes in T<sub>max</sub> up to 5°C (Fig. S3). Whereas uncertainties persist 542 regarding the magnitude of changes primates will be exposed to in the future, conservationists 543 should not ignore the likely profound effects of this global driver on primates and their 544 habitats, and it is vital that upstream planning take climate change effects into account to 545

minimize future losses of primate species. Our study focused on two key components of 546 547 climate change-related risks, exposure and hazard, and future work should consider how differences in species' life-history traits and behavioural flexibility affect their intrinsic 548 vulnerability (Lehmann et al., 2010; Pacifici et al., 2018). 549 Finally, the choice of the spatial resolution considered (~4.5 km grid) may explain the 550 differences in results observed for future scenarios. Randin et al (2009) compared the effects 551 552 of climate change on projected habitat loss at coarse (i.e. European scale, 10x10' grid cells) and local (25mx25m grid cells) scales, and found that all suitable habitats disappeared when 553 forecasting at the coarse scale, whereas most of the suitable habitats persisted at the finer 554 555 scale. It would be important to consider finer scales in future assessments of the effects of LUC change on primates. This will, however, require future scenarios for global LUC, which 556 incorporate more habitat types than are presently available. 557

558

# 559 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Tiago Marques and Kate Howie for statistical advice, and Jemma Window for help with the IUCN GIS layers. We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on an earlier version of the manuscript. JSC was funded by a University of Stirling Research Programme fellowship. BG is supported by the University of Stirling's 'Contextual learning and processing in humans and machines' Research Programme.

566

#### 567 **REFERENCES**

568 Baker, D. J., Hartley, A. J., Burgess, N. D., Butchart, S. H. M., Carr, J. A., Smith, R. J., ...

569 Willis, S. G. (2015). Assessing climate change impacts for vertebrate fauna across the

570 West African protected area network using regionally appropriate climate projections.

- 571 *Diversity and Distributions*, *21*(9), 991–1003.
- 572 Bello, C., Galetti, M., Pizo, M. A., Magnago, L. F. S., Rocha, M. F., Lima, R. A. F., ...
- Jordano, P. (2015). Defaunation affects carbon storage in tropical forests. *Science*
- 574 *Advances*, *l*(11), e1501105–e1501105.
- Brown, J. L., & Yoder, A. D. (2015). Shifting ranges and conservation challenges for lemurs
  in the face of climate change. *Ecology and Evolution*, 5(6), 1131–1142.
- 577 Broxton, P. D., Zeng, X., Sulla-Menashe, D., Troch, P. A., Broxton, P. D., Zeng, X., ...
- 578 Troch, P. A. (2014). A global land cover climatology using MODIS data. *Journal of*
- 579 *Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 53(6), 1593–1605.
- 580 Calcagno, V. (2013). glmulti: Model selection and multimodel inference made easy. R
- 581 *package version 1.0.7. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=glmulti.*
- 582 Calcagno, V., & de Mazancourt, C. (2010). glmulti: An R package for easy automated model
  583 selection with (generalized) linear models. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *34*(12), 29.
- 584 Casajus, N., Périé, C., Logan, T., Lambert, M., De, S., & Berteaux, D. (2016). An objective
- approach to select climate scenarios when projecting species distribution under climate
  change. *PLoS ONE*, 1–17.
- 587 CBD (2010). *The strategic plan for biodiversity 2011–2020 and the Aichi biodiversity targets*.
  588 Nagoya, Japan, 18 to 29 October 2010.
- 589 Chapman, C. A., Chapman, L. J., Struhsaker, T. T., Zanne, A. E., Clark, C. J., & Poulsen, J.
- 590 R. (2005). A long-term evaluation of fruiting phenology: Importance of climate change.
  591 *Journal of Tropical Ecology*, *21*(01), 31–45.
- 592 Chini, L. P., Hurtt, G. C., & Frolking, S. (2014). Harmonized global land use for years 1500-
- 593 *2100, V1*. Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National
- 594 ESRI (2011). ArcGIS Desktop: Release 10. Redlands, CA, USA: Environmental Systems
- 595 Research Institute.

596	Estrada, A.,	Garber, P.	A., Mittermeier	, R. A.,	Wich, S.,	Gouveia, S	S., Dobrovolski,	R.,
				, ,	, , ,		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

- 597 Setiawan, A. (2018). Primates in peril: The significance of Brazil, Madagascar,
- Indonesia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo for global primate conservation.
- *PeerJ*, *6*, e4869.
- 600 Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Rylands, A. B., Roos, C., Fernandez-Duque, E., Di Fiore, A., ...
- Li, B. (2017). Impending extinction crisis of the world's primates: Why primates matter. *Science Advances*, 3(1), e1600946.
- Estrada, A., Raboy, B. E., & Oliveira, L. C. (2012). Agroecosystems and primate
- 604 conservation in the tropics: A review. *American Journal of Primatology*, 74(8), 696–711.
- Fuchs, A. J., Gilbert, C. C., & Kamilar, J. M. (2018). Ecological niche modeling of the genus
  Papio. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *166*(4), 812–823.
- Gaffney, L. R. (2011). *Risks to global primate diversity from climate change and other pressures*. Durham Thesis. Durham University.
- 609 Garden, J., O'Donnell, T., & Catterall, C. (2015). Changing habitat areas and static reserves:
- 610 Challenges to species protection under climate change. *Landscape Ecology*, *30*(10),
  611 1959–1973.
- 612 Gouveia, S. F., Souza-Alves, J. P., Rattis, L., Dobrovolski, R., Jerusalinsky, L., Beltrão-
- 613 Mendes, R., & Ferrari, S. F. (2016). Climate and land use changes will degrade the
- 614 configuration of the landscape for titi monkeys in eastern Brazil. *Global Change*
- 615 *Biology*, *22*(6), 2003–2012.
- Graham, T. L., Matthews, H. D., & Turner, S. E. (2016). A global-scale evaluation of primate
  exposure and vulnerability to climate change. *International Journal of Primatology*,
- **618** *37*(2), 158–174.
- Hijmans, R. J., Cameron, S. E., Parra, J. L., Jones, P. G., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high
- 620 resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of*

- 621 *Climatology*, *25*(15), 1965–1978.
- Hurtt, G. C., Chini, L. P., Frolking, S., Betts, R. A., Feddema, J., Fischer, G., ... Wang, Y. P.
- 623 (2011). Harmonization of land-use scenarios for the period 1500–2100: 600 years of
- 624 global gridded annual land-use transitions, wood harvest, and resulting secondary lands.
- 625 *Climatic Change*, *109*(1–2), 117–161.
- 626 IPCC (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. Contribution of working groups I, II,
- 627 and III to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovenmental Panel on Climate Change.628 Geneva, Switzerland.
- 629 IPCC (2018). *Global warming of 1.5°C Summary for policymakers*. Geneva, Switzerland.
- 630 Isabirye-Basuta, G. M., & Lwanga, J. S. (2008). Primate populations and their interactions
- 631 with changing habitats. *International Journal of Primatology*, *29*, 35–48.
- 632 IUCN (2012). *IUCN guidelines for reintroductions and other conservation translocations*.
  633 Gland, Switzerland.
- 634 IUCN (2018). *IUCN Red List of threatened species. Version 2018.1.* Available:
- http://www.iucnredlist.org. Downloaded on 16 March 2018.
- Jablonski, N. G., Whitfort, M. J., Roberts-Smith, N., & Qinqi, X. (2000). The influence of life
- history and diet on the distribution of catarrhine primates during the Pleistocene in
- eastern Asia. *Journal of Human Evolution*, *39*(2), 131–157.
- Jones, K. R., Venter, O., Fuller, R. A., Allan, J. R., Maxwell, S. L., Negret, P. J., & Watson, J.
- E. M. (2018). One-third of global protected land is under intense human pressure.
- 641 *Science*, *360*(6390), 788–791.
- 642 Kamilar, J. M. (2017). Biogeography and primate biogeography. In *The international*
- 643 *encyclopedia of primatology* (pp. 1–4). Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- 644 Kamilar, J. M., & Ledogar, J. A. (2011). Species co-occurrence patterns and dietary resource
- 645 competition in primates. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *144*(1), 131–139.

- 646 Korstjens, A. H., & Hillyer, A. P. (2016). Primates and climate change: A review of current
- 647 knowledge. In S. A. Wich & A. J. Marshall (Eds.), *An introduction to primate*
- 648 *conservation* (p. 302). Oxford University Press.
- Laurance, W. F., Sayer, J., & Cassman, K. G. (2014). Agricultural expansion and its impacts
  on tropical nature. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *29*(2), 107–116.
- Lecina-Diaz, J., Alvarez, A., Regos, A., Drapeau, P., Paquette, A., Messier, C., & Retana, J.
- 652 (2018). The positive carbon stocks-biodiversity relationship in forests: Co-occurrence
- and drivers across five subclimates. *The Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*,
- 654 *99*(4), e01424.
- Lehman, S. M., Fleagle, J. G., & Tuttle, R. H. (2006). Biogeography and primates: A review.
- In S. M. Lehman & J. G. Fleagle (Eds.), *Primate biogeography: Progress and prospects*
- 657 (pp. 1–58). Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Lehmann, J., Korstjens, A. H., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2010). Apes in a changing world the
- effects of global warming on the behaviour and distribution of African apes. *Journal of Biogeography*, *37*(12), 2217–2231.
- McClean, C. J., Lovett, J. C., Küper, W., Hannah, L., Sommer, J. H., Barthlott, W., ... Taplin,
- J. R. D. (2005). African plant diversity and climate change. *Annals of the Missouri*
- 663 *Botanical Garden*. Missouri Botanical Garden Press.
- Meyer, A. L. S., Pie, M. R., & Passos, F. C. (2014). Assessing the exposure of lion tamarins
- 665 (*Leontopithecus* spp.) to future climate change. *American Journal of Primatology*, 76(6),
  666 551–562.
- Pacifici, M., Foden, W. B., Visconti, P., Watson, J. E. M., Butchart, S. H. M., Kovacs, K. M.,
- 668 ... Rondinini, C. (2015). Assessing species vulnerability to climate change. *Nature*669 *Climate Change*, 5(3), 215–224.
- Pacifici, M., Visconti, P., & Rondinini, C. (2018). A framework for the identification of

- 671 hotspots of climate change risk for mammals. *Global Change Biology*, 24(4), 1626–
- 672 1636.
- 673 Peters, G. P., Marland, G., Le Quéré, C., Boden, T., Canadell, J. G., & Raupach, M. R.
- (2011). Rapid growth in CO<sup>2</sup> emissions after the 2008–2009 global financial crisis.
- 675 *Nature Climate Change*, *2*, 2-4.
- 676 Pruetz, J. D. (2018). Nocturnal behavior by a diurnal ape, the West African chimpanzee (*Pan*
- *troglodytes verus*), in a savanna environment at Fongoli, Senegal. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *166*(3), 541–548.
- 679 R Development Core Team. (2018). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*.
- 680 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL
- 681 http://www.R-project.org/.
- Randin, C. F., Engler, R., Normand, S., Zappa, M., Zimmermann, N. E., Pearman, P. B., ...
- Guisan, A. (2009). Climate change and plant distribution: Local models predict highelevation persistence. *Global Change Biology*, *15*(6), 1557–1569.
- Ribeiro, B. R., Sales, L. P., De Marco, P., & Loyola, R. (2016). Assessing mammal exposure
  to climate change in the Brazilian Amazon. *PLOS ONE*, *11*(11), e0165073.
- Rocha, J. M. de A., Pinto, M. P., Boubli, J. P., & Grelle, C. E. V. (2015). The role of
- competition in structuring primate communities under different productivity regimes in
  the Amazon. *PLOS ONE*, *10*(12), e0145699.
- 690 Root, T. L., Price, J. T., Hall, K. R., Schneider, S. H., Rosenzweig, C., & Pounds, J. A.
- 691 (2003). Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature*, 421(6918),
  692 57–60.
- 693 Schloss, C. A., Nuñez, T. A., & Lawler, J. J. (2012). Dispersal will limit ability of mammals
- 694 to track climate change in the Western Hemisphere. *Proceedings of the National*
- 695 *Academy of Sciences*, *109*(22), 8606–8611.

696	Sherwood, S. C., & Huber, M. (2010). An adaptability limit to climate change due to heat
697	stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(21), 9552–9555.
698	Sokolov, A. P., Stone, P. H., Forest, C. E., Prinn, R., Sarofim, M. C., Webster, M., Jacoby,
699	H. D. (2009). Probabilistic forecast for twenty-first-century climate based on
700	uncertainties in emissions (without policy) and climate parameters. Journal of Climate,
701	22(19), 5175–5204.
702	Spehar, S. N., Sheil, D., Harrison, T., Louys, J., Ancrenaz, M., Marshall, A. J., Meijaard,
703	E. (2018). Orangutans venture out of the rainforest and into the Anthropocene. Science
704	Advances, 4(6), e1701422.
705	Struebig, M. J., Fischer, M., Gaveau, D. L. A., Meijaard, E., Wich, S. A., Gonner, C.,
706	Kramer-Schadt, S. (2015). Anticipated climate and land-cover changes reveal refuge
707	areas for Borneo's orang-utans. Global Change Biology, 21(8), 2891–2904.
708	Tacoli, C. (2009). Crisis or adaptation? Migration and climate change in a context of high
709	mobility. Environment and Urbanization, 21(2), 513-525.
710	Tagg, N., McCarthy, M., Dieguez, P., Bocksberger, G., Willie, J., Mundry, R., Boesch, C.
711	(2018). Nocturnal activity in wild chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes): Evidence for flexible
712	sleeping patterns and insights into human evolution. American Journal of Physical
713	Anthropology, 166(3), 510–529.
714	Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., & Deutsch, C. A. (2008). Putting the heat on tropical animals.
715	Science, 320(5881), 1296-1297.
716	Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y.
717	C., Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. Nature, 427(6970),
718	145–148.
719	Thuiller, W. (2004). Patterns and uncertainties of species' range shifts under climate change.
720	<i>Global Change Biology</i> , <i>10</i> (12), 2020–2027.

- 721 Titeux, N., Henle, K., Mihoub, J.-B., Regos, A., Geijzendorffer, I. R., Cramer, W., ...
- Brotons, L. (2017). Global scenarios for biodiversity need to better integrate climate and
  land use change. *Diversity and Distributions*, *23*(11), 1231–1234.
- Tylianakis, J. M., Didham, R. K., Bascompte, J., & Wardle, D. A. (2008). Global change and
  species interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecology Letters*, *11*(12), 1351–1363.
- 726 Wich, S. A., Garcia-Ulloa, J., Kühl, H. S., Humle, T., Lee, J. S. H., & Koh, L. P. (2014). Will
- oil palm's homecoming spell doom for Africa's great apes? *Current Biology*, 24(14),
  1659–1663.
- 729 Wiederholt, R., & Post, E. (2010). Tropical warming and the dynamics of endangered
- 730 primates. *Biology Letters*, *6*(2), 257–260.
- 731

733	Table 1. Results from generalised linear models assessing the effects of region, conservation
734	status, range extent and dominant habitat on changes in climatic and land use conditions under
735	the best-case (CC 4.5 and MiniCam 4.5, respectively) and worst-case (HE 8.5 and MESSAGE
736	8.5, respectively) scenarios. Only results for the best-fit model for each response variable are
737	shown here. Significant effects are highlighted in bold. See Table S5 and S6 for full model
738	selection results.

Response	Predictors	Predictor levels	Best-case scenario		Worst-case scenario	
variable			β	SE	β	SE
Max.		Intercept	0.297***	0.031	1.045***	0.025
temperature	Region	Asia	0.084**	0.030	-0.064*	0.031
		Madagascar	0.102**	0.034	0.001	0.035
		Neotropics	0.502***	0.028	0.2659***	0.030
	Conservation status	DD	0.079	0.046		
		EN	0.034	0.026		
		LC	0.116***	0.029		
		NT	0.075	0.040		
		VU	0.040	0.028		
	Habitat	Other	0.049	0.038	-0.067	0.040
		Savanna	<b>0.103</b> *	0.045	0.066	0.048
	Range	Range size**	1.8e-08*	8.4e-09	3.8e-08***	8.2e-09
	Interactions	Asia x Other	0.0200	0.058	0.041	0.062
		Madagascar x Other	-0.038	0.066	0.045	0.070
		Neotropics x Other	-0.350***	0.071	-0.158*	0.075
		Asia x Savanna	0.098	0.105	0.131	0.112
		Madagascar x Savanna	-0.130*	0.057	-0.164**	0.061
		Neotropics x Savanna	-0.453***	0.058	-0.260***	0.061
Min.		Intercept	0.472***	0.026	1.135***	0.029
temperature	Region	Asia	-0.260***	0.026	-0.247***	0.028
	-	Madagascar	-0.216***	0.029	-0.442***	0.032
		Neotropics	0.020	0.024	-0.114***	0.026
	Conservation status	DD	0.097*	0.039	0.137**	0.043
		EN	0.060**	0.022	0.010	0.024
		LC	0.082***	0.025	0.038	0.027
		NT	0.096**	0.033	0.033	0.037
		VU	0.050*	0.024	0.052*	0.026
	Habitat	Other	-0.099 **	0.032	-0.083*	0.035
		Savanna	-0.108**	0.038	-0.03	0.042
	Range	Range size	2.1e-08**	7.1e-09	2.6e-08***	7.9e-09
	Interactions	Asia x Other	0.194***	0.049	<b>0.122</b> *	0.055
		Madagascar x Other	0.134*	0.056	0.068	0.062
		Neotropics x Other	-0.090	0.0560	-0.035	0.066
		Asia x Savanna	0.204*	0.089	0.311**	0.099
		Madagascar x Savanna	0.210***	0.048	0.073	0.053
		Neotropics x Savanna	-0.086	0.049	-0.178**	0.054
		Intercept	2.743***	0.125	2.677***	0.148

	_					
Prec. wettest	Region	Asia	0.386*	0.160	0.218	0.203
month		Madagascar	-0.139	0.178	-1.152***	0.286
		Neotropics	-0.938***	0.169	-0.130	0.193
	Habitat	Other	-0.495*	0.211	-0.194	0.280
		Savanna	-0.534*	0.253	-0.819*	0.316
	Interactions	Asia x Other	0.504	0.316	1.103*	0.426
		Madagascar x Other	0.954*	0.389	1.766**	0.626
		Neotropics x Other	1.156*	0450	0.181	0.512
		Asia x Savanna	1.150*	0.561	0.982	0.676
		Madagascar x Sayanna	0.802*	0.315	1.196*	0.573
		Neotropics x Savanna	1.018**	0.345	0.056	0.433
Cropland		Intercent	-0.010	0.014	0 094***	0.014
Cropiana	Region	Asia	0.010	0.014	0.024	0.014
	Region	Madagascar	0.005	0.015	0.003	0.014
		Nastronias	0.005	0.013	0.033	0.010
	Concernation status	DD	0.020	0.012	-0.001	0.015
	Conservation status		-0.027	0.020	-0.01/	0.021
		EN	0.008	0.011	0.032	0.012
			-0.004	0.012	0.012	0.013
		NT	0.029	0.017	0.060**	0.018
		VU	-0.026*	0.012	0.005	0.013
	Habitat	Other	0.080***	0.016	0.080***	0.017
		Savanna	0.059**	0.019	0.010	0.022
	Interactions	Asia x Other	0.065*	0.026	0.053	0.027
		Madagascar x Other	-0.085**	0.028	-0.098***	0.029
		Neotropics x Other	-0.018	0.031	0.059	0.033
		Asia x Savanna	0.100*	0.046	0.012	0.049
		Madagascar x Savanna	-0.046	0.025	-0.045	0.026
		Neotropics x Savanna	0.001	0.025	0.100***	0.027
Secondary		Intercept	0.306***	0.025	0.078**	0.027
Land	Region	Asia	-0.086**	0.332	0.099**	0.034
	-	Madagascar	0.088*	0.035	-0.348***	0.039
		Neotropics	-0.075*	0.030	0.099**	0.033
	Habitat	Other	-0.273***	0.040	-0.231***	0.044
		Savanna	-0.205***	0.048	-0.053	0.052
	Interactions	Asia x Other	0.288***	0.063	0.242***	0.069
		Madagascar x Other	0.195**	0.068	0.307***	0 074
		Neotropics x Other	0.175***	0.075	0.075	0.082
		Asia x Savanna	0.189	0.113	-0.025	0.123
		Madagascar x Sayanna	0.166**	0.061	0.295***	0.067
		Neotropics x Savanna	0.100	0.001	0.275	0.007
			0.211	0.061	0.042	0.06/
Primary land	Desien	Intercept	-U./43	0.028	-U./ðy 0.260***	0.035
	Kegion	Asia	0.330	0.035	0.360	0.035
		Madagascar	0.043	0.039	0.033	0.039
	~ .	Neotropics	0.341***	0.034	0.348***	0.033
	Conservation status	DD			0.085	0.053
		EN			-0.047	0.030
		LC			0.037	0.033

		NT			0.025	0.046
		VU			0.007	0.032
	Habitat	Other	0.021	0.045	0.022	0.043
		Savanna	0.015	0.053	-0.043	0.052
	Range	Range size			-171.9	115.3
	Interactions	Asia x Other	-0.208**	0.070	-0.214**	0.068
		Madagascar x Other	0.129	0.075	0.130	0.072
		Neotropics x Other	-0.398***	0.083	-0.421***	0.081
		Asia x Savanna	-0.332**	0.125	-0.108	0.121
		Madagascar x Savanna	0.108	0.068	0.077	0.066
		Neotropics x Savanna	-0.317***	0.068	-0.361***	0.067
720	o . moment of an antimateor CE.	aton dond amon *n <0.05 **n <0.01 **	* <0.001			

739  $\beta$ : parameter estimates; SE: standard error; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01,\*\*\*p<0.001

- Table 2. List of the primate species likely to be most exposed to extreme climate change,
- 742 defined here as those species which are projected to experience increases in the maximum
- temperature of the warmest month ( $T_{max}$ ) above 4°C in more than 50% of their range under
- the worst-case scenario (HE 8.5).

Region/Family	Species	Conservation	Current	Current	Exposed
	-	status*	Range	Habitat	Range
			$(km^2)$		(%)
AFRICA					
Cercopithecidae	Macaca sylvanus	EN	95,557	other	76.8
ASIA	2		,		
Cerconithecidae	Trachynithecus laotum	VU	5 592	forest	100
Hylobatidae	Nomascus siki	EN	26 549	forest	67.3
Ilylooullaac	Nomascus leucogenys	CR	51 338	forest	66.9
NEOTROPICS	Tionaseus reacogenys	en	01,000	101050	00.9
Aotidae	Aotus azarae	LC	3.162.698	forest	75.0
	Aotus trivirgatus	LC	752,040	forest	61.1
Atelidae	Alouatta discolor	VU	375,736	forest	100
	Ateles marginatus	EN	524,096	forest	100
	Alouatta belzebul	VU	866,694	forest	82.1
	Ateles paniscus	VU	1.061,274	forest	81.8
	Alouatta macconnelli	LC	1.763,215	forest	67.8
	Alouatta caraya	LC	3.064,124	savanna	63.9
	Alouatta nigerrima	LC	236,116	forest	62.5
Callitrichidae	Mico emiliae	DD	151,986	forest	100
	Mico humeralifer	DD	63,580	forest	100
	Mico leucippe	VU	14,839	forest	100
	Saguinus martinsi	LC	42,109	forest	100
	Mico argentatus	LC	137,206	forest	99.9
	Mico rondoni	VU	70,575	forest	97.2
	Mico intermedius	LC	62,624	forest	97.0
	Saguinus niger	VU	587,634	forest	84.5
	Mico melanurus	LC	850,115	savanna	81.9
	Saguinus midas	LC	863,249	forest	76.3
	Callithrix penicillata	LC	1.309,803	savanna	74.8
	Mico mauesi	LC	29,586	forest	66.7
Cebidae	Sapajus apella	LC	3.355,096	forest	75.3
	Sapajus libidinosus	LC	2.612,534	savanna	67.6
	Saimiri ustus	NT	876,708	forest	65.9
	Cebus kaapori	CR	190,774	forest	62.3
	Saimiri sciureus	LC	4.419,721	forest	55.5
	Sapajus cay	LC	620,932	savanna	51.1
Pitheciidae	Callicebus baptista	LC	14,741	forest	100
	Callicebus moloch	LC	944,027	forest	100
	Chiropotes utahickae	EN	352,113	forest	99.7
	Callicebus hoffmannsi	LC	92,128	torest	96.3
	Chiropotes albinasus	EN	981,532	torest	86.3
	Pithecia pithecia	LC	1.105,061	torest	74.7
	Chiropotes chiropotes	LC	1.363,870	forest	73.6

Callicebus pallescens	LC	417,318	forest	73.5
Chiropotes satanas	CR	273,122	savanna	72.4
Callicebus cinerascens	LC	210,384	forest	69.0
Callicebus brunneus	LC	243,776	forest	67.6

745 \*CR: Critically Endangered, EN: Endangered, VU: Vulnerable, NT: Near Threatened, LC: Least Concern, DD:

746 Data Deficient

748	Figure 1. Projected changes in climatic conditions across primate ranges for 2050. Results are
749	only shown for the best-case scenario and worst-case scenario chosen to represent each
750	climatic variable in the future: CC 4.5 (i.e. CCSM4 RCP 4.5) and HE 8.5 (i.e. HadGEM-ES
751	RCP 8.5), respectively.
752	
753	
754	Figure 2. Projected changes in land use/cover (LUC) conditions across primate ranges for
755	2050. For each LUC variable, the results are shown for the best-case scenario (MiniCam 4.5)
756	and worst-case scenario (MESSAGE 8.5).
757	
758	
759	Figure 3. Cumulative percentage of range (total and by family) within each region likely to be
760	exposed to different magnitudes of change in the maximum temperature of the warmest
761	month (°C) under the worst-case scenario (HE 8.5) for 2050.
762	
763	
764	Figure 4. Percentage of primate range (by region) likely to be exposed to different magnitudes
765	of changes in the maximum temperature of the warmest month (°C) under the worst-case
766	scenario (HE 8.5) for 2050 across the different classes of primate species diversity (1-5, 6-10,
767	11-15, and 16-19 sympatric species).
768	