This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:

Jugović, D., Uskoković, D., 2009. A review of recent developments in the synthesis procedures of lithium iron phosphate powders. Journal of Power Sources 190, 538–544. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.01.074



This work is licensed under a <u>Creative Commons - Attribution-Noncommercial-No</u> <u>Derivative Works 3.0 Serbia</u>.

A review of recent developments in the synthesis procedures of LiFePO₄ powders

Dragana Jugović^a and Dragan Uskoković^a

^aInstitute of Technical Sciences of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Knez Mihailova 35/IV, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia

Abstract

Olivine structure LiFePO₄ attracted much attention as a promising cathode material for lithium-ion batteries. The overwhelming advantage of iron-based compounds is that, in addition to being inexpensive and naturally abundant, they are less toxic than Co, Ni, and Mn. Its commercial use has already started and there are several companies that base their business on lithium phosphate technology. Still, there is a need for a manufacturing process that produces electrochemically-active LiFePO₄ at a low cost. Therefore the interest in developing new approaches to the synthesis of LiFePO₄ did not fade. Here is presented a review of the synthesis procedures used for the production of LiFePO₄ powders along with the highlights of doped and coated derivatives. Apart from already established conventional routes of preparation, numerous alternative procedures are mentioned.

Keywords: Olivine; Lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄); Cathode material

Corresponding author:

Dragana Jugović

Institute of Technical Sciences of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Knez Mihailova 35/IV, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia,

Phone: +381641177549, Fax: +381112185263, e-mail: djugovic@vinca.rs

1

1. Introduction

Lithium is a very attractive material for high energy density batteries due to its low equivalent weight and high standard potential. Lithium battery research began in the 1950s when it was noticed that Li-metal was stable in a number of nonaqueous electrolytes, such as fused salts, liquid SO₂, or organic electrolytes, such as LiClO₄ in propylene carbonate [1]. However, the development of rechargeable batteries was much slower [2]. The concept of lithium-ion batteries was first introduced into the market by Sony in 1992. Most of the research and commercial cathode materials for rechargeable lithium-ion batteries are lithium insertion compounds with layered, spinel, or olivine structure [3]. Figure 1 shows charge and discharge curves of the selected lithium insertion materials examined for advanced lithium-ion batteries [2]. A new class of material with an olivine structure, in particular LiFePO₄, attracted much attention as a promising cathode material for lithium-ion batteries due to its potentially low cost, environmental benigness, and the belief that it could have a major impact in electrochemical energy storage. The use of lithium iron phosphate as a cathode material, instead of expensive LiCoO₂, could reduce the cathode cost from 50% to 10% of the battery cost [4]. The olivine structure that typifies LiFePO₄ has a hexagonally-closepacked oxygen array, in which the octahedra share both edges and faces, Figure 2. The cation arrangement in LiFePO₄ differs significantly from that in the layered and spinel structures. There is no continuous network of FeO₆ edge-shared octahedra that might contribute to electronic conductivity; instead, divalent Fe²⁺ ions occupy corner-shared octahedra. The phosphorus ions are located in tetrahedral sites, and the lithium ions reside in chains of edge-shared octahedral. LiFePO₄ initially includes one Li⁺ ion per formula unit that can be extracted and transferred to the anode in the first charge process, compensating for the oxidation of iron (Fe^{2+} to Fe^{3+}). The theoretical capacity based on this one-electron reaction is 170 mAhg⁻¹, but the first attempts to de-insert Li from this material were limited to about 0.6 e⁻ owing to transport limitations of electrons and ions [5]. The main obstacle in reaching theoretical capacity is its low intrinsic electronic conductivity. Apart from that, lithium motion in the olivine crystal structure occurs through one-dimensional channels, with little possibility of crossing between channels [6]. These one-dimensional paths are particularly susceptible to blockage by defects and impurities [6, 7]. Several approaches were explored to solve the conductivity problem [8]. These approaches include the reduction of particle size and homogeneous particle size distribution [9]; coating the particles with carbon [10, 11], or co-synthesizing the compounds with carbon to surround each particle with a good electronic conductor [12]; tailoring the morphology and texture of the particle by low temperature synthesis routes [13]; and selective doping with supervalent cations to increase the intrinsic conductivity [14].

There are some excellent reviews on the properties of LiFePO₄ that deal with its structural, morphological, electrochemical, and other physical properties targeting its cathode application [3, 9, 15-17]. Nevertheless, little attention has been paid to the synthesis procedures. Therefore, we tried to summarize synthesis routes for obtaining LiFePO₄ powders, hoping it would be of use to those entering lithium-ion battery research. Since the discovery of the electrochemical properties of the olivine phase by Padhi et al. in 1997 [5], numerous ways of synthesis of the olivine-type LiFePO₄ were explored. It can be roughly distinguished between the ones starting from solid phase and the ones starting from solutions.

2. Solid-state chemistry

2.1. Solid-state reaction

Solid-state synthesis is a conventional method for preparing ceramics and includes several successive steps of intimate grinding and annealing of the stoichiometric mixture of starting materials. In general, in the case of LiFePO₄, the starting mixture consists of a stoichiometric amount of iron salt (Fe(II)-acetate, Fe(II)oxalate), a lithium compound (lithium carbonate or lithium hydroxide), and most commonly ammonium phosphate as a phosphorous source [5, 18-24]. The starting mixture firstly decomposes at the temperature of 300-400°C to expel the gases, and, after being reground, calcines at a temperatures ranging from 400 to 800°C for 10-24 h. Before the second grinding step, some carbon-containing compound, for example carboxylic acid [25], can be added to the precursor and can be employed as a carbon source in the LiFePO₄/C composite formation. The purity of the material depends on the growth parameters, such as the temperature of calcination, and exposure time [16, 26]. During calcination, due to iron oxidation state (2+), the use of inert (usually nitrogen or argon) or slightly reductive atmosphere (argon or nitrogen with the addition of hydrogen) is necessary. Still, the presence of the residual Fe³⁺ phase is possible and often reported. By calcining above 800 °C both trivalent Fe₂O₃ and Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ were formed [20]. Trivalent Fe might be formed by a small amount of oxygen included in inert gas flow and/or residual air trapped in the small pores of the particles [20]. Another disadvantage of the described method is uncontrollable particle growth and

agglomeration, so the application potential of large particles is limited by their small surface area [19, 20, 24].

2.2. Mechanochemical activation

A method to overcome this is to include mechanochemical activation in the process. While solid-phase reactions bind the interaction rate with the diffusion of one of the components, at first over the surface of another component, and then in the bulk through product layer, mechanical activation of a mixture involves continuous renewal of contact surfaces. During mechanochemical activation, particles of the powder undergo repeated welding, fracturing and rewelding in a dry high-energy, ball-milling vessel. This results in pulverization, intimate powder mixing, and then solid-state reaction to a new phase. Accordingly, when mechanochemical activation is applied to the synthesis of LiFePO₄, an improvement in electronic conductivity can be expected due to very small size of the particles and their large specific surface area [19, 27, 28]. However, no literature data have shown that single-phase lithium iron phosphate can be obtained by the mechanical alloying process alone without additional calcination at moderate temperatures. Nevertheless, it has been confirmed that it still provides an effective means in terms of homogeneity and particle size. It is also suitable for the preparation of LiFePO₄/C composites [10, 29-32], or composites LiFePO₄ with Fe₂P [12, 33], as well as for obtaining doped LiFePO₄ samples [14, 34]. The duration of both milling and annealing varies: from short milling time with long thermal treatment [28, 35, 36] to long milling time with short thermal treatment [19, 10], and all the cases inbetween [12, 14, 27, 32].

2.3. Carbothermal reduction

By adding a stoichiometric amount of carbon to the precursor mixture it is possible to use, beside Fe(II) salts, Fe(III) compounds such as cheap Fe₂O₃ [12, 29, 37] or FePO₄ [38-40]. In this manner, by thermal treatment at 900 °C, conditions for carbothermal reduction are accomplished and single-phase LiFePO₄/C composite can be obtained. Special care must be taken when choosing carbon proportion having in mind that with carbon content higher than the stoichiometric value second phase Fe₂P is observed [12, 29]. Total Fe³⁺ reduction can also occur at lower temperatures, but only with a polymer as a carbon source [38-44]. This time Fe³⁺ reduction occurs through the action of a reductive atmosphere created by the pyrolytic degradation of the organic carbon additive, not via carbothermal reaction [38]. However, the term "carbothermal reduction" is regularly used by the authors to describe both phenomena.

Concerning Patent Applications we can conclude that solid-state synthesis is adopted for the commercial scaled-up production of lithium iron phosphate powders [45, 46]. A123 Systems Inc. uses Li₂CO₃, iron(II) oxalate, and ammonium phosphate as reactants [45]. The dry components were weighed and mixed with a sufficient quantity of high purity acetone to create a free-flowing suspension, and the mixture was rollermilled in a sealed polypropylene jar using zirconia milling media for 24 hours, which resulted in a homogeneous and finely-divided precursor suspension. The precursor was thoroughly dried and then heat treated in a tube furnace under flowing argon gas, first at 350 °C for 10 h and then at 600 °C for 20 h. Through the use of high-purity acetone as the solvent and extended mixing to allow the starting components to undergo a gasevolving mechanochemical reaction, resulted in a precursor which upon firing yields low-carbon nanoscale phosphate with very high specific surface area [45]. Valence Technology Inc. prepares LiFePO₄ using carbothermal reduction via milling in a carbonaceous vessel of an iron(III) oxide containing precursor, followed by heating in inert atmosphere to achieve the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ without direct addition of a reducing agent to the reactants [46, 47]. Sony's original synthetic route for LiMPO₄type cathode composites involves high-energy ball milling of a precursor that contains metal phosphates and disordered conductive carbon [31].

The need for repeated recalcination and subsequent regrinding in order to improve the homogeneity of the final products in the solid-state reaction makes the procedure both time and energy consuming, and therefore commercially unsustainable. There is a need for new synthesis methods that reduce energy consumption, cost, and processing time.

2.4. Microwave processing

Microwave heating can ensure very fast and uniform heating through a selfheating process based on direct microwave energy absorption by the materials. Furthermore, microwave heating makes it possible to synthesize the target materials at temperatures lower than those required for furnace heating within a short period of time [48]. The limiting step in microwave processing is the adoption of starting reactants that have to be microwave susceptors. Higuchi et al. [48] were the first to apply microwave heating in the preparation of LiFePO₄. They prepared precursors by solid-state mixing and calcined it in a domestic microwave oven under inert atmosphere. Starting from lithium carbonate and ammonium phosphate as lithium and phosphorus source respectively, and by varying both iron source (iron lactate or iron acetate) and irradiation time, it was shown that the iron precursor acts as a microwave absorber [48]. Even after prolonged irradiation of the starting mixture with Fe(II) lactate as an iron source the reaction failed. However, after the addition of a small amount of iron metal powder, the reaction occurred. It means that the choice of the precursor iron compound is limited. The papers that followed [11, 49, 50] have shown that instead of an iron compound acting as a microwave susceptor it is possible to use activated carbon as both microwave absorber and a reducing agent. Carbon provides rapid heating and its partial oxidation to CO₂ produces a reductive atmosphere which preserves the iron(II) in its oxidation state, thereby enabling synthesis in air. More recently, Song et al. [11] irradiated in a domestic microwave oven a mixture of Li₃PO₄, Fe₃(PO₄)₂·8H₂O and carbon, previously ground in a high energy ball-mill, to obtain fine particles of LiFePO₄. Wang et al. [50] prepared LiFePO₄ by microwave irradiation of NH₄H₂PO₄, CH₃COOLi and FeC₂O₄·2H₂O mixed with different amounts of citric acid in a selfassembly carbon seal reactor. Most recent researches see microwave-assisted synthesis as a promising approach to mass $LiFePO_4$ production at low-energy cost for HEV application [51], starting from a solid mixture of Li₃PO₄, Fe₃(PO₄)₂·8H₂O, carbon, and glucose. A novel microwave-solvothermal approach to obtaining well-defined nanoparticles of LiFePO₄ with high crystallinity and controlled size in a short time (~5 min) in a non-aqueous solvent medium at temperatures as low as 300 °C, eliminating the need for an inert atmosphere or post annealing at elevated temperatures in reducing gas atmospheres, was recently presented. Subsequent ambient-temperature coating of the nanosize LiFePO₄ with a mixed conducting polymer to form a nanohybrid offers 95 % of theoretical capacity with excellent cyclability and rate capability [52].

3. Solution chemistry

Wet chemical preparation routes, such as hydrothermal/solvothermal, sol-gel, or co-precipitation methods, have an indisputable advantage over solid-state reactions in achieving better homogeneity and mixing of the starting compounds on molecular level.

3.1. Hydrothermal processing

Hydrothermal synthesis is quick, easy to perform, low-cost, energy-saving and easily scalable method to prepare fine particles. Yang et al. [53] originally showed that lithium iron phosphate could be synthesized hydrothermally, starting from FeSO₄, H_3PO_4 and LiOH mixed in molar ratio 1:1:3. FeSO₄ and H_3PO_4 solution were mixed first to avoid the formation of Fe(OH)₂ because it easily oxidizes to FeO(OH), then LiOH solution was added to the mixture, which was then hydrothermally processed at 120 °C for up to 5 hours. However, the capacity of the resulting lithium iron phosphate phase was not high, which was due to some lithium/iron disorder with around 7 % iron on the lithium sites, as later shown by the same group of authors [54]. As the structure has one-dimensional tunnels, any iron in lithium tunnels would severely limit lithium insertion and removal. It is therefore essential to ensure complete ordering of lithium and iron atoms. The firing of the hydrothermal material at 700 °C with carbonaceous materials resolved the disorder [55]. In the time of the study (2003), the authors

concluded that "for lithium iron phosphate hydrothermal synthesis is not a viable approach" [55], but later on, by modifying the synthesis conditions, came to optimal hydrothermal parameters for obtaining electrochemically active LiFePO₄ [56, 57]. It was found that the temperature of synthesis must exceed 175 °C to minimize iron disorder and obtain a material with correct lattice parameters and volume. Furthermore, reductants, such as ascorbic acid or sugar, prevent the formation of surface ferric films [58, 59]. Hydrothermal synthesis leads to well-crystalline material with particle size generally in the micron size, and with a thickness of a few hundred nanometers; fortunately the short dimension is the diffusion direction [59]. Apart from the abovementioned hydrothermal synthesis in subcritical water, there are studies dealing with the synthesis of LiFePO₄ in supercritical water with the same reactants [60]. It was shown that LiFePO₄ could only be obtained over a narrow range of pH at neutral or slightly basic conditions, while pH had little effect on particle size or shape [60]. Smaller and more uniform particles were obtained when the synthesis reaction was carried out in supercritical water rather than subcritical water [61]. Along with batch hydrothermal synthesis, the continuous hydrothermal technique was also applied to synthesize pure lithium iron phosphate nanoparticles, Figure 3 [62]. It was found that the flow rate of water has a significant effect on particle morphology. A comparison with results of batch synthesis shows that smaller and more uniform particles are obtained in continuous hydrothermal synthesis than in batch hydrothermal synthesis [62]. Hydrothermal synthesis in the presence of an organic surfactant compound such as CTAB (hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide) allowed the preparation of high surface area lithium iron phosphate powders with improved electrochemical performances [63]. CTAB pyrolysis enabled both the formation of a reductive atmosphere that prevents

 Fe^{2+} oxidation and *in situ* formation of carbon layer over the particle surface. Hydrothermal synthesis is also suitable for the preparation of metal-doped lithium iron phosphates [64].

3.2. Sol-gel processing

The motivation for sol-gel processing primarily lies in the fact that it ensures a potentially higher purity and homogeneity and lower processing temperatures than traditional ceramic powder methods [65]. Sol-gel processing enables the control of the structure of a material on a nanometer scale from the earliest stages of processing. Sols are dispersions of colloidal particles in a liquid. Colloids are solid particles with diameters of 1-100 nm. A gel is an interconnected, rigid network with pores of submicrometer dimensions and polymeric chains whose average length is greater than a micrometer. The term "gel" embraces a diversity of combinations of substances that can be classified in four categories: (1) well-ordered lamellar structures; (2) covalent polymeric networks, completely disordered; (3) polymer networks formed through physical aggregation, predominantly disordered; (4) particular disordered structures [6]. For the purposes of sol-gel synthesis of LiFePO₄ various solvents were used: N, Ndimethylformamide [16, 66, 67], water with ascorbic acid [67], or citric acid [22, 68-71] as chelating agent, ethylene glycol [72, 73], and ethanol [74]. Lithium acetate and iron (II) acetate [66, 67, 72], lithium phosphate and iron (III) citrate with phosphoric acid [22, 69, 75], lithium oxalate and iron (II) oxalate [73], lithium carbonate and iron (II) oxalate [71] are examples of some combination of lithium and iron sources, respectively. Thus obtained sols were dried until the solvent evaporated, which was

followed by calcination at temperatures from 500 to 700 °C in inert (argon, or nitrogen) [72, 73, 75], or slightly reductive atmosphere (argon/nitrogen containing 5-10% of hydrogen) [66, 74, 76]. Apart from homogeneous mixing of precursors at the molecular level, another significant advantage of sol-gel method is that surface carbon can be generated in situ when organic solvent [72, 76] or some carbon included compound (acetates, citrates, oxalates, etc) [71] are used. Along with the in situ formed carbon coating, iron phosphides can be generated, for instance when gel precursor made of iron(III) nitrate, 2-Methoxyethanol, and sucrose followed by carbothermal reduction reaction of xerogel precursor with Li, P resources is used [77]. Composites with carbon and iron phosphides can also be generated from an aqueous sol-gel method by using ethylene glycol as carbon source in a calcination atmosphere of $N_2 + 5$ vol.% H₂ [76]. The use of citrate in the preparation of the gel enables the formation of hierarchically organized pores in the meso and macro range, Figure 4 [69, 75]. These pores are decorated with conductive carbon, another citrate-degradation product, within micrometer-sized LiFePO₄ single crystals. In this way intertwined 3-D networks of ionic and electronic conductors are formed [75].

3.3. Precipitation method

The solution-based methods offer the advantages of optimum particle size, also ensuring phase purity. Phase pure, homogeneous, and well-crystallized lithium iron phosphate was synthesized by aqueous co-precipitation of an Fe(II) precursor material and subsequent heat treatment in nitrogen [78]. Heating the solution containing Li^+ , Fe²⁺, and P⁵⁺ ions above 105 °C with the pH value adjusted between 6 and 10 facilitates the formation of LiFePO₄ rather than the formation of Li_3PO_4 and $Fe_3(PO_4)_2$. The solution should also contain water-miscible boiling point elevation additive such as: ethylene glycol, diethylene glycol, N-methyl formamide, dimethyl formamide, particularly dimethyl sulfoxide [79]. After the temperature of the solution is increased to the solvent's boiling point, LiFePO₄ begins to precipitate. Afterward, the obtained precipitate is calcined at 500 °C at a slightly reducing atmosphere [79]. As the crystalline LiFePO₄ phase is already formed during the precipitation step, the temperature and the dwell time of the thermal treatment are significantly reduced compared to ceramic synthesis process. LiFePO₄ was also prepared by aqueous precipitation of FePO₄·H₂O from FeSO₄·7H₂O and NH₄H₂PO₄ with hydrogen peroxide as the oxidizing agent, followed by carbothermal reduction of a mixture made of precipitator iron(III) phosphate and lithium carbonate with carbon as the reducer [39]. Modifying the latter approach in the second stage of the synthesis where amorphous LiFePO₄ was firstly obtained at room temperature through lithiation of FePO₄·xH₂O using oxalic acid as a novel reducing agent, and then amorphous LiFePO₄ was calcined at 500 °C, nanocrystalline LiFePO₄ with enhanced electrochemical performance was formed [80].

LiFePO₄/C composite can also be successfully synthesized combining sonochemical precipitation and calcination [81]. Sonochemical reaction is a chemical reaction that is induced and/or catalysed by the application of powerful ultrasound radiation (20 kHz–10 MHz). The starting mixture, consisting of Li_3PO_4 and FeSO₄ in polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) aqueous solution, was sonochemically treated, which was followed by the calcination of the as-prepared powder at moderate temperature of 600 °C [81]. In this way both calcination time and synthesis time were shortened. The obtained powder, consisting of LiFePO₄ particles coated with carbon, showed appreciable discharge capacity with excellent retention [81]. On the thin particle edges (Figure 5) carbon film with a typical thickness of several nanometers can be observed.

3.4. Emulsion-drying method

Emulsion-drying method was also used for the preparation of LiFePO₄/C composite powder [82-84]. Stochiometric amounts of LiNO₃, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, and (NH₄)₂HPO₄ were dissolved in water, and the obtained solution was vigorously mixed with an oily phase to prepare a homogeneous water-in-oil emulsion [82, 83]. The oily phase was a mixture made of emulsifying agent named Tween 85 (Polyoxyethylene Sorbitan Trioleate) and kerosene [82, 83]. The precursor powders were obtained by dropping the emulsion into hot kerosene (170 to 180 °C). Water and kerosene contained in the emulsion were distilled through a spiral-type condenser and the resultant powder sank to the bottom of the reaction vessel. The latter powder contained kerosene and Tween 85 and was heated at 300 °C or 400 °C for a specific time in an air-limited box furnace. The dried emulsion precursor was calcined at different temperatures, and 750 °C was found to be the optimal calcination temperature [82]. LiFePO₄/C composite with nanometric dimensions and uniform size distribution was also prepared by n-octane/nbutyl/cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide microemulsion system [84]. The main advantage of this synthesis route is that the reactants are mixed on more homogeneous level and that grains are effectively inhibited from coalescence during the synthetic process.

3.5. Spray pyrolysis

Another solution-based approach in the synthesis of olivine type LiFePO₄ and LiFePO₄/C powders is spray pyrolysis, an effective technique for obtaining cathode powders with fine size and regular morphology. The starting solutions can be sprayed ultrasonically [85-87] or peristaltically [88] into the high-temperature reactor at temperatures from 450 to 650 °C by a carrier gas. The precursor solution can be made by dissolving stoichiometric amounts of lithium carbonate, iron(II) oxalate and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate in nitric acid with the addition of sucrose. In that case sucrose serves as a carbon source and enables the formation of reductive atmosphere so that air can act as a carrier gas [88]. LiFePO₄/carbon composite powder was also synthesized starting from Fe^{3+} precursor, for example by dissolving metal nitrates, phosphoric acid, ascorbic acid and table sugar in water [85, 89], or by a combination of spray pyrolysis with a planetary high-energy ball-milling followed by heat treatment [90]. In addition, ultrasonic spray pyrolysis can be a suitable method for obtaining metal-doped samples of LiFePO₄/carbon composite powders [89]. The as-sprayed fine powders are spherical in shape but with low crystallinity, so post-annealing process at temperatures ranging from 600-900 °C in inert or slightly reductive atmosphere is necessary. However, during calcination spherical morphology was changed [86].

4. Other synthesis routes

Apart from the above-mentioned methods that are already established at various research groups as preparation methods for obtaining well-ordered LiFePO₄ powders

with olivine structure, the quest for new alternative methods continues. One of them is the freeze-drying method [91]. A solution containing citric acid, ferrous acetate, lithium hydroxide, and ammonium dihydrogen phosphate is drop-by-drop frozen under liquid nitrogen and subjected to the freeze-drying process. Thus obtained powder is calcined two times, at 350 and 600 °C, and as a result LiFePO₄/carbon composite powder is obtained. The amount of carbon in the freeze-dried sample has been estimated to 16 wt%, and the presence of nitrogen has also been detected. For better utilization of active material it is advisable to reduce the carbon content to a lower value [91]. Highly crystalline LiFePO₄ material with single olivine phase has been successfully synthesized from amorphous FePO₄·4H₂O using a solid-liquid phase reaction with (NH₄)₂SO₃ as a reducing agent and subsequent thermal conversion [92]. Carbon-coated LiFePO₄ with improved electrochemical performances has been obtained by mixing the above product with sucrose, and by heating the mixture in argon at 700 °C [92]. Spherical micrometer particles of LiFePO₄ can be obtained by KCl molten salt method [93]. In comparison with the conventional solid-state reaction, the molten salt method shows accelerated reaction rate and controllable particle morphology [93]. The particles synthesized using this method are large, with spherical morphology increasing tap density, but also leading to poor electrochemical performance. LiFePO₄ nanoparticles with an average size of 300 nm have been synthesized by polyol process without further heating [94]. Iron(II) acetate, ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, and lithium acetate were added to tetraethylene glycol (TTEG). The temperature of the solution was kept at 320 °C for 16 h in a round-bottomed flask attached to a refluxing condenser. The resulting particles had various shapes with excellent discharge capacity at a small current rate [94]. Highly homogeneous nanocrystalline LiFePO₄/C composite can be

prepared by pyrolyzing the $\text{Li}^+-\text{Fe}^{3+}-\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ polyacrylates in reductive atmosphere, which were prepared via *in situ* polymerization of Li^+ , Fe^{3+} and PO_4^{3-} acrylates [95]. A low-cost LiFePO₄/C composite synthesis method uses raw Fe₂O₃ and Fe materials through rheological phase reaction under nitrogen atmosphere [96]. Another rapid route to form pure LiFePO₄ phase is electric discharge assisted mechanical milling (EDAMM) [97]. EDAMM combines the benefits of producing fine, highly reactive particles via mechanical milling, with the accelerated calcination characteristics enabled by the use of electric discharges. However, thus obtained powder has considerably smaller discharge capacity (80 mAhg⁻¹), but has excellent cyclability.

Conclusions

Critical to the success of new cathode materials is their preparation, which controls the morphology, particle size and cation order. A major difficulty related to the synthesis of orthophosphate LiFePO₄ comes from the existence of two oxidation degrees of iron in nature, namely Fe(II) and Fe(III), which makes the preparation of this material with reproducible electrochemical properties difficult. Solid-state chemistry has already established as conventional route for obtaining well-crystallized particles of LiFePO₄ with ordered structure. Uncontrollable particle growth and agglomeration, associated with solid-state synthesis, can be partially suppressed by using mechanochemical activation. However, there is a need for new synthesis methods that reduce energy consumption, cost, and processing time. Alternatively solution chemistry methods are developing with highlights on hydrothermal synthesis and sol-gel method.

It is most probably that hydrothermal synthesis will develop in commercially viable approach to the production of lithium iron phosphate powders.

Depending on the mode of preparation, different impurities can poison this material [67]. It was found that these impurities are often "invisible" for X-ray powder diffraction analyses, either because of their noncrystalline nature, or due to their nanometer size [16]. Mössbauer spectroscopy is more sensitive than the diffraction method to impurities with different valence states of Fe, because it is not based on coherent interaction with the periodic potentials of the lattice but involves direct scrutiny of the electronic states around Fe atoms [98, 20]. Magnetic measurements are beneficial for detecting ferric and/or ferrous nano-sized impurities, and are a sensitive tool to quantify clustering effects [26, 67, 99]. Another question that arises is: how to detect nonmagnetic impurities, such as Li₃PO₄, when their concentration is too small to be detectable by XRD. Raman or FTIR spectroscopic methods are unavoidable techniques to detect such impurities [16]. Therefore the combination of the abovementioned techniques is required for both phase purity check and the investigation of the structural properties at any length scale.

Acknowledgements

The Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia provided financial support under grant no. 142006.

References

 K. Brandt, Historical development of secondary lithium batteries, Solid State Ionics, 69 (1994) 173-183.

- [2] T. Ohzuku, R. J. Brodd, An overview of positive-electrode materials for advanced lithium-ion batteries, J. Power Sources, 174 (2007) 449-456.
- [3] M. S. Whittingham, Lithium batteries and cathode materials, Chem. Rev., 104 (2004) 4271-4301.
- [4] A. Ritchie, W. Howard, Recent developments and likely advances in lithium-ion batteries, J. Power Sources, 162 (2006) 809-812.
- [5] A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundswamy, J. B. Goodenough, Phospho-olivines as positive-electrode materials for rechargeable lithium batteries, J. Electrochem. Soc., 144 (1997) 1188-1194.
- [6] D. Morgan, A. Van der Ven, G. Ceder, Li conductivity in Li_xMPO₄ (M = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni) olivine materials, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 7 (2004) A30-A32.
- [7] M. S. Islam, D. J. Driscoll, C. A. J. Fisher, P. R. Slater, Atomic-scale investigation of defects, dopants, and lithium transport in the LiFePO₄ olivinetype battery material, Chem. Mater., 17 (2005) 5085-5092.
- [8] J. M. Tarascon, C. Delacourt, A. S. Prakash, M. Morcrette, M. S. Hegde, C. Wurm, C. Masquelier, Various strategies to tune the ionic/electronic properties of electrode materials, Dalton Trans., (2004) 2988-2994.
- [9] M. Gaberscek, R. Dominko, J. Jamnik, Is small particle size more important than carbon coating? An example study on LiFePO₄ cathodes, Electrochem. Commun., 9 (2007) 2778-2783.
- [10] S. Franger, C. Benoit, C. Bourbon, F. Le Cras, Chemistry and electrochemistry of composite LiFePO4 materials for secondary lithium batteries, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 67 (2006) 1338-1342.

- [11] M.-S. Song, Y.-M. Kang, J.-H. Kim, H.-S. Kim, D.-Y. Kim, H.-S. Kwon, J.-Y. Lee, Simple and fast synthesis of LiFePO₄-C composite for lithium rechargeable batteries by ball-milling and microwave heating, J. Power Sources, 166 (2007) 260-265.
- [12] Ch. W. Kim, J. S. Park, K. S. Lee, Effect of Fe₂P on the electron coductivity and electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄ synthesized by mechanical alloying using Fe³⁺ raw material, J. Power Sources, 163 (2006) 144-150.
- [13] M. Gaberscek, R. Dominko, M. Bele, M. Remskar, J. Jamnik, Mass and charge transport in hierarchically organized storage materials. Example: Porous active materials with nanocoated walls of pores, Solid State Ionics, 177 (2006) 3015– 3022.
- [14] S.-Y. Chung, J.T. Bloking, Y.-M. Chiang, Electronically Conductive Phospho-Olivines As Lithium Storage Electrodes, Nat. Mater., 1 (2002) 123-128.
- [15] J. B. Goodenough, Cathode materials: A personal perspective, J. Power Sour., 174 (2007) 996-1000.
- [16] C. M. Julien, A. Mauger, A. Ait-Salah, M. Massot, F. Gendron, K. Zaghib, Nanoscopic scale studies of LiFePO₄ as cathode material in lithium-ion batteries for HEV application, Ionics, 13 (2007) 395-411.
- [17] M. S. Whittingham, Y. Song, S. Lutta, P. Y. Zavalij, N. A. Chernova, Some transition metal (oxy)phosphates and vanadium oxides for lithium batteries, J. Mater. Chem., 15 (2005) 3362–3379.
- [18] A. K. Padhi, K. S. Nanjundaswamy, C. Masquelier, S. Okada, J. B. Goodenough, Effect of structure on the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ redox couple in iron phosphates, J. Electrochem. Soc., 144 (1997) 1609-1613.

- [19] S. Franger, F. Le Cras, C. Bourbon, H. Rouault, Comparison between different LiFePO₄ synthesis routes and their influence on its physico-chemical properties, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 252-257.
- [20] A. Yamada, S. C. Chung, K. Hinokuma, Optimized LiFePO₄ for lithium battery cathodes, J. Electrochem. Soc, 148 (2001) A224-A229.
- [21] D. Wang, X. Wu, Yh. Wang, L. Chen, Cracking causing cyclic instability of LiFePO₄ cathode material, J. Power Sources, 140 (2005) 125-128.
- [22] M. Koltypin, D. Aurbach, L. Nazar, B. Ellis, More on the performance of LiFePO₄ electrodes; the effect of synthesis route, solution composition, aging, and temperature, J. Power Sources, 174 (2007) 1241-1250.
- [23] N. J. Yun, H.-W. Ha, K. H. Jeong, H.-Y: Park, K. Kim, Synthesis and electrochemical properties of olivine-type LiFePO₄/C composite cathode material prepared from a poly(vinyl alcohol)-containing precursor, J. Power Sources, 160 (2006) 1361-1368.
- [24] M. Takahashi, Sh. Tobishima, K. Takei, Y. Sakurai, Characterization of LiFePO₄ as the cathode material for rechargeable lithium batteries, J. Power Sources, 97-98 (2001) 508-511.
- [25] G. Ting-Kuo Fey, T.-L. Lu, Morphological characterization of LiFePO₄/C composite cathode materials synthesized via a carboxylic acid route, J. Power Sources, 178 (2008) 807–814.
- [26] K. Zaghib, N. Ravet, M. Gauthier, F. Gendron, A. Mauger, J. B. Goodenough, C.
 M. Julien, Optimized electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄ at 60 C with purity controlled by SQUID magnetometry, J. Power Sources, 163 (2006) 560-566.

- [27] S. J. Kwon, Ch. W. Kim, W. T. Jeong, K. S. Lee, Synthesis and electrochemical properties of olivine LiFePO₄ as a cathode material prepared by mechanical alloying, J. Power Sources, 137, (2004), 93-99.
- [28] N. Kosova, E. Devyatkina, On mechanochemical preparation of materials with enhanced characteristics for lithium batteries, Solid State Ionics, 172, (2004), 181-184.
- [29] Ch. W. Kim, M. H. Lee, W. T. Jeong, K. S. Lee, Synthesis of olivine LiFePO₄ cathode materials by mechanical alloying using iron(III) raw material, J. Power Sources,146, (2005), 534-538.
- [30] H. Ch. Shin, W. Il Cho, H. Jang, Electrochemical properties of carbon-coated LiFePO₄ cathode using graphite, carbon black, and acetylene black, Electrochim. Acta, 52 (2006) 1472-1476.
- [31] A. Yamada, M. Hosoya, S.Ch. Chung, Y. Kudo, K. Hinokuma, K.-Y. Liu, Y. Nishi, Olivine-type cathodes achivements and problems, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 232-238.
- [32] J.-K. Kim, G. Cheruvally, J.-H. Ahn, G.-Ch. Hwang, J.-B. Choi, Electrochemical properties of carbon-coated LiFePO₄ synthesized by a modified mechanical activation process, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 69 (2008) 2371–2377.
- [33] W. Ojczyk, J. Marzec, K. Swierczek, W. Zajac, M. Molenda, R. Dziembaj, J. Molenda, Studies of selected synthesis procedures of the conducting LiFePO₄-based composite cathode materials for Li-ion batteries, J. Power Sources, 173 (2007) 700–706.
- [34] P. Subramanya Herle, B. Ellis, N. Coombs, L. F. Nazar, Nano-network electronic conduction in iron and nickel olivine phosphates, Nat. Mater., 3 (2004) 147-152.

- [35] C. H. Mi, X. G. Zhang, H. L. Li, Electrochemical behaviors of solid LiFePO₄ and Li_{0.99}Nb_{0.01}FePO₄ in Li₂SO₄ aqueous electrolyte, J. Electroanal. Chem., 602 (2007) 245-254.
- [36] Ch. Lai, Q. Xu, H. Ge, G. Zhou, J. Xie, Improved electrochemical performance of LiFePO₄/C for lithium-ion batteries with two kinds of carbon sources, Solid State Ionics 179 (2008) 1736–1739.
- [37] J. Barker, M. Y. Saidi, J. L. Swoyer, Lithium iron(II) phosphor-olivines prepared by a novel carbothermal reduction method, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 6 (2003) A53-A55.
- [38] N. Ravet, M. Gauthier, K. Zaghib, J. B. Goodenough, A. Mauger, F. Gendron, C.
 M. Julien, Mechanism of the Fe³⁺ reduction at low temperature for LiFePO₄ synthesis from a polymeric additive, Chem. Mater., 19 (2007) 2595-2602.
- [39] B. Q. Zhu, X. H. Li, Yh. X. Wang, H. J. Guo, Novel synthesis of LiFePO₄ by aqueous precipitation and carbothermal reduction, Mater. Chem. Phys., 98 (2006) 373-376.
- [40] C.H. Mi, G.S. Cao, X.B. Zhao, Low-cost, one-step process for synthesis of carbon-coated LiFePO₄ cathode, Mater. Lett., 59 (2005) 127–130.
- [41] L.N. Wang, X.C. Zhan, Z.G. Zhang , K.L. Zhang, A soft chemistry synthesis routine for LiFePO₄–C using a novel carbon source, Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 456 (2008) 461–465.
- [42] L.Wang, G.C. Liang, X.Q. Ou, X.K. Zhi, J.P. Zhang, J.Y. Cui, Effect of synthesis temperature on the properties of LiFePO₄/C composites prepared by carbothermal reduction, J. Power Sources (2008), doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.07.032

- [43] H.-p. Liu, Z.-x. Wang, X.-h. Li, H.-j. Guo, W.-j. Peng, Y.-h. Zhang, Q.-y. Hu, Synthesis and electrochemical properties of olivine LiFePO₄ prepared by a carbothermal reduction method, J. Power Sources, 184 (2008) 469–472.
- [44] X. Zhi, G. Liang, L. Wang, X. Ou, J. Zhang, J. Cui, The cycling performance of LiFePO₄/C cathode materials, J. Power Sources (2008), doi: 10.1016/j.jpowsour.2008.07.082
- Y.-M. Chiang, A. S. Gozdz, M. W. Payne, Nanoscale ion storage materials, United States Patent Application Publication US 2007/0190418 A1, International Publication Number WO 2008/109209 A2 (2008).
- [46] M. M. Saidi, H. Huang, Alkali-iron-cobalt phosphates and related electrode active material, U. S. Pat. No. 7422823 (2008).
- [47] M. M. Saidi, H. Huang, Synthesis of metal phosphates, U. S. Pat. No. 7060238B2 (2006).
- [48] M. Higuchi, K. Katayama, Y. Azuma, M. Yukawa, M. Suhara, Synthesis of LiFePO₄ cathode material by microwave processing, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 258-261.
- [49] K. S. Park, J. T. Son, H. T. Chung, S. J. Kim, C. H. Kim, C. H. Lee, H. G. Kim, Synthesis of LiFePO₄ by co-precipitation and microwave heating, Electrochem. Commun., 5 (2003) 839-842.
- [50] L. Wang, Y. Huang, R. Jiang, D. Jia, Preparation and characterization of nanosized LiFePO₄ by low heating solid-state coordination method and microwave heating, Electrochim. Acta, 52 (2007) 6778-6783.
- [51] S. Beninati, L. Damen, M. Mastragostino, MW-assisted synthesis of LiFePO₄ for high power applications, J. Power Sour., 180 (2008) 875–879.

- [52] A. Vadivel Murugan, T. Muraliganth, A. Manthiram, Rapid microwavesolvothermal synthesis of phospho-olivine nanorods and their coating with a mixed conducting polymer for lithium ion batteries, Electrochem. Commun., 10 (2008) 903–906.
- [53] S. Yang, P. Y. Zavalij, M. S. Whittingham, Hydrothermal synthesis of lithium iron phosphate cathodes, Electrochem. Commun., 3 (2001) 505-508.
- [54] S. Yang, Y. Song, P. Y. Zavalij, M. S. Whittingham, Reactivity, stability and electrochemical behavior of lithium iron phosphates, Electrochem. Commun., 4 (2002) 239-244.
- [55] S. Yang, Y. Song, K. Ngala, P. Y. Zavalij, M. S. Whittingham, Performance of LiFePO₄ as lithium battery cathode and comparison with manganese and vanadium oxides, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 239-246.
- [56] J. Chen, M. S. Whittingham, Hydrothermal synthesis of lithium iron phosphate, Electrochem. Commun., 8 (2006) 855-858.
- [57] J. Chen, S. Wang, M. S. Whittingham, Hydrothermal synthesis of cathode materials, J. Power Sources, 174 (2007) 442–448.
- [58] B. Jin, H.-B. Gu, Preparation and characterization of LiFePO₄ cathode materials by hydrothermal method, Solid State Ionics, 178 (2008) 1907–1914.
- [59] J. Chen, M. J. Vacchio, S. Wang, N. Chernova, P. Y. Zavalij, M. S. Whittingham, The hydrothermal synthesis and characterization of olivines and related compounds for electrochemical applications, Solid State Ionics, 178 (2008) 1676–1693.

- [60] J. Lee, A. S. Teja, Characteristics of lithium iron phosphate (LiFePO₄) particles synthesized in subcritical and supercritical water, J. Supercrit. Fluids, 35 (2005) 83-90.
- [61] J. Lee, A. S. Teja, Synthesis of LiFePO₄ micro and nanoparticles in supercritical water, Mater. Lett., 60 (2006) 2105-2109.
- [62] Ch. Xu, J. Lee, A. S. Teja, Continuous hydrothermal synthesis of lithium iron phosphate particles in subcritical and supercritical water, J. Supercrit. Fluids, 44 (2008) 92–97.
- [63] G. Meligrana, C. Gerbaldi, A. Tuel, S. Bodoardo, N. Penazzi, Hydrothermal synthesis of high surface LiFePO₄ powders as cathode for Li-ion cells, J. Power Sources, 160 (2006) 516-522.
- [64] X. Ou, G. Liang, L. Wang, Sh. Xu, X. Zhao, Effects of magnesium doping on electronic conductivity and electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄ prepared via hydrothermal route, J. Power Sources, 184 (2008) 543–547.
- [65] L. L. Hench, J. K. West, The sol-gel process, Chem. Rev., 90 (1990) 33-72.
- [66] N. Iltchev, Y. Chen, Sh. Okada, J. Yamaki, LiFePO₄ storage at room and elevated temperatures, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 749-754.
- [67] A. Ait Salah, A. Mauger, C. M. Julien, F. Gendron, Nano-sized impurity phases in relation to the mode of preparation of LiFePO₄, Mater. Sci. Eng., B, 129 (2006) 232-244.
- [68] K.-F. Hsu, S.-Y. Tsay, B.-J. Hwang, Physical and electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄/carbon composite synthesized at various pyrolysis periods, J. Power Sources, 146 (2005) 529-533.

- [69] R. Dominko, M. Bele, M. Gaberscek, M. Remskar, D. Hanzel, J. M. Goupil, S. Pejovnik, J. Jamnik, Porous olivine composite synthesized by sol-gel technique, J. Power Sources, 153 (2006) 274-280.
- [70] J.-K. Kim, J.-W. Choi, Gh. S. Chauhan, J.-H. Ahn, G.-Ch. Hwang, J.-B. Choi, H.-J. Ahn, Enhancement of electrochemical performance of lithium iron phosphate by controlled sol–gel synthesis, Electrochimica Acta, 53 (2008) 8258– 8264.
- [71] J.-K. Kim, J.-W. Choi, G. S. Chauhan, J.-H. Ahn, G.-Ch. Hwang, J.-B. Choi, H.-J. Ahn, Enhancement of electrochemical performance of lithium iron phosphate by controlled sol–gel synthesis, Electrochim. Acta, 53 (2008) 8258–8264.
- [72] J. Yang, J.J. Xu, Nonaqueous sol-gel synthesis of high-performance LiFePO₄, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett., 7 (2004) A515-A518.
- [73] Y. Sundaraya, K. C. Kumara Swamy, C. S. Sunandana, Oxalate based non-aqueous sol-gel synthesis of phase pure sub-micron LiFePO₄, Mater. Res. Bull., 42 (2007) 1942-1948.
- [74] D. Choi, P. N. Kumta, Surfactant based sol-gel approach to nanostructured LiFePO₄ for high rate Li-ion batteries, J. Power Sources, 163 (2007) 1064-1069.
- [75] R. Dominko, M. Bele, J.-M. Goupil, M. Gaberscek, D. Hanzel, I. Arcon, J. Jamnik, Wired porous cathode materials: A novel concept for synthesis of LiFePO4, Chem. Mater., 19 (2007) 2960-2969.
- [76] Y. Lin, M.X. Gao, D. Zhu, Y.F. Liu, H.G. Pan, Effects of carbon coating and iron phosphides on the electrochemical properties of LiFePO₄/C, J. Power Sources, 184 (2008) 444–448.

- [77] H. Liu, J. Xie, K. Wang, Synthesis and characterization of LiFePO₄/(C+Fe₂P) composite cathodes, Solid State Ionics, 179 (2008) 1768–1771.
- [78] G. Arnold, J. Garche, R. Hemmer, S. Strobele, C. Vogler, M. Wohlfahrt-Mehrens, Fine-particle lithium iron phosphate LiFePO4 synthesized by a new low-cost aqueous precipitation technique, J. Power Sources, 119-121 (2003) 247-251.
- [79] Ch. Delacourt, Ph.Poizot, Ch. Masquelier, Crystalline nanometric LiFePO₄, WO/2007/000251.
- [80] J.-Ch. Zheng, X.-H. Li, Zh.-X. Wang, H.-J. Guo, Sh.-Y. Zhou, LiFePO₄ with enhanced performance synthesized by a novel synthetic route, J. Power Sour., 184 (2008) 574–577.
- [81] D. Jugović, M. Mitrić, N. Cvjetićanin, B. Jančar, S. Mentus, D. Uskoković, Synthesis and characterization of LiFePO₄ /C composite obtained by sonochemical method, Solid State Ionics, 179 (2008) 415-419.
- [82] T.-H. Cho, H.-T. Chung, Synthesis of olivine-type LiFePO₄ by emulsion-drying method, J. Power Sources, 133 (2004) 272-276.
- [83] S.-T. Myung, Sh. Komaba, N. Hirosaki, H. Yashiro, N. Kumagai, Emulsion drying synthesis of olivine LiFePO₄/C composite and its electrochemical properties as lithium intercalation material, Electrochim. Acta, 49 (2004) 4213-4222.
- [84] Zh. Xu, L. Xu, Q. Lai, X. Ji, Microemulsion synthesis of LiFePO₄/C and its electrochemical properties as cathode materials for lithium-ion cells, Mater. Chem. Phys., 105 (2007) 80-85.

- [85] M.-R. Yang, T.-H. Teng, Sh.-H. Wu, LiFePO₄/carbon cathode materials prepared by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis, J. Power Sources, 159 (2006) 307-311.
- [86] M. Konarova, I. Taniguchi, Preparation of LiFePO₄/C composite powders by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis followed by heat treatment and their electrochemical properties, Mater. Res. Bull., 43 (2008) 3305–3317.
- [87] D. Jugović, N. Cvjetićanin, M. Mitrić, S. Mentus, D. Uskoković, Comparison between Different LiFePO₄ Synthesis Routes, Mater. Sci. Forum, 555 (2007) 225-230.
- [88] S. L. Bewlay, K. Konstantinov, G. X. Wang, S. X. Dou, H. K. Liu, Conductivity improvements to spray-produced LiFePO₄ by addition of a carbon source, Mater. Lett., 58 (2004) 1788-1791.
- [89] T.-H. Teng, M.-R. Yang, Sh.-H. Wu, Y.-P. Chiang, Electrochemical properties of LiFe_{0.9}Mg_{0.1}PO₄/carbon cathode materials prepared by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis, Solid State Commun., 142 (2007) 389–392.
- [90] M. Konarova, I. Taniguchi, Preparation of carbon coated LiFePO₄ by a combination of spray pyrolysis with planetary ball-milling followed by heat treatment and their electrochemical properties, Powder Technology (2008), doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2008.09.013.
- [91] V. Palomares, A. Goni, I. Gil de Muro, I. De Meatza, M. Bengoechea, O. Miguel,
 T. Rojo, New freeze-drying method for LiFePO₄ synthesis, J. Power Sources,
 171 (2007) 879-885.
- [92] Y. Wang, J. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Nuli, High-rate LiFePO₄ electrode material synthesized by a novel route from FePO₄·4H₂O, Adv. Funct. Mater, 16 (2006) 2135-2140.

- [93] J.-F. Ni, H.-H. Zhou, J.-T. Chen, X.-X. Zhang, Molten salt synthesis and electrochemical properties of spherical LiFePO₄ particles, Mater. Lett., 61 (2007) 1260-1264.
- [94] D.-H. Kim, J. Kim, Synthesis of LiFePO₄ nanoparticles and their electrochemical properties, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 68 (2007) 734-737.
- [95] Y.L. Cao, L.H. Yu, T. Li, X.P. Ai, H.X. Yang, Synthesis and electrochemical characterization of carbon-coated nanocrystalline LiFePO₄ prepared by polyacrylates-pyrolysis route, J. Power Sources, 172 (2007) 913–918.
- [96] H. Liu, D. Tang, The low cost synthesis of nanoparticles LiFePO₄/C composite for lithium rechargeable batteries, Solid State Ionics (2008), doi: 10.1016/j.ssi.2008.05.005.
- [97] S. A. Needham, A. Calka, G. X. Wang, A. Mosbah, H. K. Liu, A new rapid synthesis technique for electrochemically active materials used in energy storage applications, Electrochem. Commun., 8 (2006) 434-438.
- [98] A. S. Andersson, B. Kalska, L. Häggström, J. O. Thomas, Lithium extraction/insertion in LiFePO₄: an X-ray diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy study, Solid State Ionics, 130 (2000) 41-52.
- [99] A. Ait-Salah, K. Zaghib, A. Mauger, F. Gendron, C. M. Julien, Magnetic studies of the carbothermal effect on LiFePO₄, Phys. Stat. Sol., 203 (2006) R1-R3.

Figure captions

Fig.1. Charge and discharge curves of lithium insertion materials: (a) $Li[Ni_{1/2}Mn_{3/2}]O_4$, (b) $LiMn_2O_4$ -based material of lithium manganese oxide (LAMO), (c) $LiCo_{1/3}Ni_{1/3}O_2$, (d) $LiFePO_4$, and e) $Li[Li_{1/3}Ti_{5/3}]O_4$ examined in nonaqueous lithium cells [2].

Fig. 2. The olivine structure of LiFePO₄ (colour on the Web): showing the FeO_6 octahedra (grey), PO₄ tetrahedra (brown), and the one-dimensional tunnels in which the lithium ions reside.

Fig. 3. SEM micrograph of lithium iron phosphate powder synthesized in continuous hydrothermal synthesis at 573 K [62].

Fig. 4. SEM micrographs of LiFePO₄/C composite powder synthesized by sol-gel method showing a) porous "chess-like" structure with b) numerous apertures in the sub-micron range [69].

Fig. 5. TEM image of LiFePO₄/C composite obtained by sonochemical reaction. Arrows point out 2–3 nm thick layer of amorphous carbon [81].



Figure 1.



Figure 2.





Figure 4



Figure 5.