



### Article

## Patient Characteristics Affect Hip Contact Forces during Gait

De Pieri, E, Lunn, DE, Chapman, Graham, Rasmussen, KP, Ferguson, SJ and Redmond, AC

Available at http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/28376/

De Pieri, E, Lunn, DE, Chapman, Graham ORCID: 0000-0003-3983-6641, Rasmussen, KP, Ferguson, SJ and Redmond, AC (2019) Patient Characteristics Affect Hip Contact Forces during Gait. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 27 (6). pp. 895-905. ISSN 1063-4584

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.joca.2019.01.016

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/</a> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>



# Patient Characteristics Affect Hip Contact Forces during Gait

4 Enrico De Pieri \*<sup>1</sup>, David E. Lunn \*<sup>2,3</sup>, Graham J. Chapman <sup>2,3</sup>, Kasper P. Rasmussen <sup>4</sup>, Stephen J.

5 Ferguson <sup>1</sup> \*\*, Anthony C. Redmond <sup>2,3</sup>

6

- 7 \* equally contributed to this work
- 8 <sup>1</sup> Institute for Biomechanics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
- 9 <sup>2</sup> Institute for Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- 10 <sup>3</sup> NIHR Leeds Biomedical Research Centre, Leeds, UK
- <sup>4</sup> AnyBody Technology A/S, Aalborg, Denmark
- 12 \*\* corresponding author
- 13
- 14 Email addresses: <u>enrico.depieri@hest.ethz.ch;</u> <u>D.Lunn@leeds.ac.uk;</u> <u>G.J.Chapman@leeds.ac.uk;</u>
- 15 <u>kasperpihl.r@gmail.com; sferguson@ethz.ch; A.Redmond@leeds.ac.uk</u>
- 16
- 17 Corresponding author contact details:
- 18 Prof. Dr. Stephen J. Ferguson
- 19 Address: Hönggerbergring 64, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland
- 20 Phone number: +41 44 633 93 30
- 21 Email: sferguson@ethz.ch
- 22
- 23 Running Headline: Patient characteristics affect HCF

- 24 Abstract
- 25

26 **Objective:** To examine hip contact force (HCF), calculated through multibody modelling, in a large 27 total hip replacement (THR) cohort stratified by patient characteristics such as BMI, age and 28 function.

**Design:** 132 THR patients undertook one motion capture session of gait analysis at a self-selected walking speed. HCFs were then calculated using the AnyBody Modelling System. Patients were stratified into three BMI groups, five age groups, and finally three functional groups determined by their self-selected gait speed. Independent 1-dimensional linear regression analyses were performed to separately evaluate the influence of age, BMI and functionality on HCF, by means of statistical parametric mapping (SPM).

**Results:** The mean predicted HCF were comparable to HCFs measured with an instrumented prosthesis reported in the literature. The regression analyses revealed a statistically significant positive relationship between BMI and HCF, indicating that obese patients are more likely to experience higher HCF during most of the stance phase, while a statistically significant relationship with age was found only during the late swing-phase. Patients with higher functional ability exhibited significantly increased peak contact forces, while patients with lower functional ability displayed a pathological flattening of the typical double hump force profile.

42 Conclusions: HCFs experienced at the bearing surface are highly dependent on patient
43 characteristics. BMI and functional ability were determined to have the biggest influence on contact
44 force. Current preclinical testing standards do not reflect this.

45 Keywords: Total hip replacement, Hip contact force, Stratification, Biomechanics, Gait

46

47

#### 49 Introduction

50

51 Total hip replacement (THR) surgery is commonly regarded as one of the most successful elective orthopaedic surgeries of the 20<sup>th</sup> century <sup>1</sup>. It alleviates pain in patients suffering from debilitating 52 hip osteoarthritis and improves function. However there is some lifetime risk of implants requiring 53 54 revision, the rates of which are currently 4.4% at 10 years and 15% at 20 years<sup>2</sup>. Epidemiological studies have provided evidence to suggest that patient characteristics, such as age, BMI and gender 55 are important factors in the survivorship of hip implants<sup>2, 3</sup>. One in three patients undergoing THR at 56 57 < 50 years of age are expected to require revision surgery during their lifetime, with risks of one in five for patients 50 to 59 years, one in ten for patients 60 to 69 years, and one in 20 for patients  $\geq$  70 58 59 years <sup>4</sup>. The revision risk for younger patients is consistently higher than for older patients at all 60 time-points i.e. 5, 10, 15 and 20 years and gender also seems to affect risk<sup>2</sup>. Men aged younger than 70 years old have an increased revision risk compared to female patients, and at the age of 50 years 61 62 females have a 15% lower chance of revision compared to their male counterparts. BMI also 63 contributes to lifetime revision risk, with obese patients having twice the risk of revision at 10 years compared to healthy weight and overweight patients, and it has been suggested by Culliford et al.<sup>5</sup> 64 that for every unit increase of BMI, there is a 2% increased risk of revision of a THR. 65

The precise reason for these differences in revision rates between patient sub-groups is not clear, however the variations in revision rates suggest that the demands placed on the implant likely differ between patient groups. Due to the relatively small sample sizes typically employed in biomechanical studies of THR cases, few studies have explored how patient characteristics can differentially influence function post THR, and ultimately how those characteristics might affect what demand is placed on the implant.

73 In these few studies age and BMI have been shown to influence function in THR patients. In one analysis of a larger sample of patients from multiple retrospective studies, Foucher et al.<sup>6</sup> found that 74 75 older patients had limited hip sagittal ROM and hip power generation compared to younger patients who recovered better post-operatively. When stratifying gait function by age in a large cohort 76 (n=134) of THR patients, Bennett et al.<sup>7, 8</sup> reported that gait kinematics and kinetics were not 77 78 influenced by age, except for a reduced ROM exhibited in an 80 years and over age group, a finding also consistently observed in healthy control patients of a similar age range<sup>9</sup>. Foucher et al. <sup>6</sup> <sup>10</sup> 79 80 reported that BMI plays a role in recovery, with higher BMI patients having a reduced hip range of 81 motion (ROM) and hip abductor moment compared to healthy control participants. Furthermore, 82 lower BMI was associated with higher postoperative values of sagittal ROM, adduction moments, 83 and external rotation moments compared to THR patients with a higher BMI.

84

As described above, real-world patient function <sup>10</sup> and survivorship of the hip implant <sup>2</sup> is affected by 85 the characteristics of the patient, although this is not currently reflected in preclinical wear testing 86 87 standards such as ISO 14242. Current preclinical testing protocols use a stylised waveform vaguely 88 representing a 'standard' THR patient's walking cycle to test the wear properties of the implant. A recent study found that post-operative patient function accounts for 42% to 60% of wear, compared 89 to surgical factors which account for 10% to 33% of wear <sup>11</sup>, emphasising the importance of 90 91 understanding how gait varies between different patient groups. No previous studies have tried to 92 understand how patient characteristics affect the absolute forces at the bearing surface, forces 93 which arguably will have the most influence on *in vivo* wear rates. Instrumented implants have been used to calculate contact force at the bearing surface <sup>12, 13</sup>, however the data available from these 94 implants is limited to small numbers of patients and extrapolating these data to the wider patient 95 96 population is not appropriate. Modern computational models of the musculoskeletal system can be used to calculate joint contact forces and are becoming increasingly more clinically applicable <sup>14</sup>. 97 These models have the capability to calculate accurate joint contact forces in THR patients <sup>15</sup>, and 98

99 can be used to predict and compare contact forces in stratified samples derived from a large patient 100 cohort <sup>16</sup>. The primary aim of this study therefore, was to examine hip contact force (HCF), 101 calculated through multibody modelling, in a large THR cohort when stratified by patient 102 characteristics such as BMI, age and function.

103 Method

104

#### 105 *Patients*

106

107 132 THR patients were recruited into the study through a clinical database of surgical cases. 108 Inclusion criteria for the hip replacement group were; between 1-5 years THR post-surgery, older 109 than 18 years of age, no lower limb joint replaced other than hip joint(s), fully pain free and not 110 suffering from any other orthopaedic or neurological problem which may compromise gait. Ethical 111 approval was obtained via the UK national NHS ethics (IRAS) system and all participants provided 112 informed, written consent.

113

#### 114 Data Capture

115

116 Lower limb kinematics and kinetics were collected using a ten camera Vicon system (Vicon MX, Oxford Metrics, UK) sampling at 100Hz, integrated with two force plates (AMTI, Watertown, MA, 117 USA) capturing at 1000Hz in a 10m walkway. The operated limb (or most recently operated limb, in 118 119 bilateral cases) was used for analysis. All patients were allowed a familiarisation period prior to 120 completing 3-5 successful trials of each walking condition. A successful trial was defined as a clean foot strike within the boundary of the force plate. The CAST marker set was used to track lower limb 121 122 segments kinematics in six degrees of freedom, with four non-orthogonal marker clusters positioned 123 over the lateral thighs, lateral shanks and sacrum as described comprehensively elsewhere <sup>17, 18</sup>. Six

retroreflective markers were positioned on the first, second and fifth metatarsophalangeal joints as well as the malleoli and calcanei. Participants wore a pair of tight-fitting shorts and a vest onto which reflective markers were affixed using double-sided tape at bony anatomical landmarks to determine anatomical joint centres. Before walking trials commenced, a static trial was collected in an anatomical reference position.

129

#### 130 Data Processing

131

All markers were labelled and gap-filled using the spline fill function in Vicon Nexus 2.5 (Vicon MX, Oxford Metrics, UK), before the labelled marker coordinates and kinetic data were exported to Visual 3D modelling software (C-Motion, Rockville, USA) for further analysis. Kinematic data were filtered using a low-pass (6Hz) Butterworth filter. Ground reaction force (GRF) data were filtered using a low-pass Butterworth filter (25Hz) and heel strike and toe-off were determined using thresholds (>20N for heel strike and <20N for toe off) from the GRF.

138

#### 139 Musculoskeletal modelling

140

Musculoskeletal simulations were performed using commercially available software (AnyBody 141 Modeling System, Version 7.1, Aalborg, Denmark). A recently validated generic musculoskeletal 142 143 model <sup>19</sup> was scaled to match the anthropometrics of each patient. The scaling of the model segments was based on the marker data collected during a static trial <sup>20</sup>. Marker trajectories and GRF 144 data from each gait trial served as input to an inverse dynamics analysis, based on a 3<sup>rd</sup> order 145 146 polynomial muscle recruitment criterion, to calculate muscle forces and HCFs. A total of 494 gait 147 trials were processed and analyzed through the toolkit AnyPyTools (https://github.com/AnyBody-Research-Group/AnyPyTools). 148

The different components of HCFs, defined in a common femur-based reference frame <sup>12</sup> were computed for the operated limb over a gait cycle. The data were time-normalized from heel-strike (0%), through toe-off (60%), to heel strike (100%) and interpolated to 1% steps (101 points). An average per patient was then calculated based on the 3-5 trials collected.

153

#### 154 Stratification by patient characteristics

155

Patients were stratified by into three groups based on their BMI. BMI scores were calculated as measured weight divided by measured height squared (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). The three groups were; healthy weight (BMI  $\leq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ); overweight (BMI  $> 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$  to  $\leq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ) and obese (BMI  $> 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$ )<sup>21</sup>. Patients were also stratified by age into five groups; 1) age 54 to 64 years, 2) 65 to 69 years, 3) 70 to 74 years, 4) 75 to 79, and 5) 80 years and over.

161

162 Stratification by functional ability

163

A widely used alternative measure of overall functional ability is gait speed <sup>22, 23</sup>. There is some 164 negative overall correlation between chronological age and gait speed <sup>24</sup>, although age has been 165 166 shown to only explain 30% of the variance in gait speed <sup>25</sup>, suggesting that gait speed itself might be a unique differential indicator of function compared to age. Furthermore in a recent study <sup>26</sup> 167 suggested that patients walking at a higher gait speed is representative of the high functioning 168 169 patients compared to slower patients who would represent the low functioning patients. Therefore, 170 in the main analysis, in addition to the stratification by age, patients were also stratified into three functional strata determined by their self-selected gait speed. To define the functional strata, the 171 mean and standard deviations (SD) of the gait speeds for the whole cohort were determined. All 172 173 patients with a gait speed falling within 1SD of the mean were defined as normally functioning (NF).

Patients with a gait speed greater than 1SD above the mean were defined as high functioning (HF),
and those with a gait speed more than 1SD below the mean were defined as low functioning (LF).

#### 177 Data Analysis

178

Comparisons were made initially between the HCFs derived from the AnyBody model and the measured HCFs from the Bergmann Orthoload literature <sup>12</sup>. This was to compare absolute values and ranges between the two populations and to test the validity of the computational model outputs. Stratified mean peak values and 95% confidence intervals for the resultant force and the three force components are also reported.

#### 184 Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) analysis

185

The computed HCFs were analysed using Statistical Parametric Mapping <sup>27</sup> (SPM, <u>www.spm1D.org</u>, 186 187 v0.4, in the Python programming language, <u>www.python.org</u>). Independent linear regression analyses were performed to evaluate the influence of function, age, and BMI on the magnitude of 188 189 the HCFs, as well as on the individual force components. For each linear regression analysis, the t 190 statistic was computed at each point in the time series, thereby forming the test statistic continuum SPM{t}, technical details are provided elsewhere  $^{28-30}$ . Significance level was set at  $\alpha$ =0.01, and the 191 192 corresponding t\* critical threshold was calculated based on the temporal smoothness of the input 193 data through Random Field Theory. Finally, the probability that similar supra-threshold regions 194 would have occurred from equally smooth random waveforms was calculated. This analysis is based on the assumptions of random sampling and homology of data <sup>30</sup>, as well as normality in the data 195 196 distribution. Adherence to the latter assumption was tested by comparing the above-mentioned 197 parametric linear regression analyses with their non-parametric counterparts <sup>30</sup>. The good

agreement between the two types of analysis, in terms of number, temporal extent, and size of thesupra-threshold clusters, supports the validity of the assumption of data normality.

200 The results of the three independent, 1-dimensional linear regression analyses from SPM were 201 further verified by means of 0-dimensional multiple regression analyses. The additional analyses 202 were run in SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY, USA) at specific time points during 203 the gait cycle, corresponding with the peak loads during stance and the local minimum during mid-204 stance (15, 32, and 48% of the gait cycle). The force values for the 132 patients at each of these time 205 points, as well as the investigated predictor variables (BMI, age, and gait speed) were normally 206 distributed. Variance inflation factor (VIF) and Tolerance statistics revealed no multi-collinearity in 207 the data, while Durbin-Watson statistics confirmed no autocorrelation between residuals. The 208 assumptions of homoscedasticity and normal distributions of the residuals were also met.

209 **Results** 

210

#### 211 **Patient Demographics**

212

- 213 132 patients took part in the study and the demographics can be found in Table 1.
- 214 Insert Table 1 here -

#### 215 Musculoskeletal Model Simulations

216

217 The predicted contact forces showed comparable trends and values with measured hip contact force

- 218 data. The mean values were comparable with those in the Orthoload published data and the ranges
- 219 were generally wider as might be expected from a larger dataset <sup>12</sup> (Figure 1 and Table 2).

221 - Insert Table 2 and Figure 1 here -

222

#### 223 Peak Hip Contact Forces

224

Stratified mean peak values for the resultant force and the three force components are reported infull as supplementary data (Supplementary File Table 1).

#### 227 Statistical Parametric Mapping

228

229 The results of the comparator multiple linear regression analyses were in agreement with the 230 outcome of the SPM analysis, confirming a statistically significant positive relationship for both BMI 231 and gait speed with HCF during both the 1st peak and 2nd peak of the stance phase, and a statistically significant positive relationship for BMI and a negative one for gait speed during the mid-232 233 stance valley. For the SPM analysis, only differences which were statistically significant for more than 234 2% of the gait cycle are discussed. 235 236 BMI 237 238 There was a statistically significant relationship between BMI and the magnitude of the total HCF 239 (Figure 2a). Obese patients demonstrated significantly increased HCF throughout the loaded stance 240 phase (8.8 – 53.8%), mid-swing (74.6 – 79.3%), and terminal swing (88.7 – 100%). All the supra-

241 thresholds clusters exceeded the critical threshold t\*=3.676 with associated p-values <0.001, 0.003,

and <0.001 respectively.

The same trends were observed for the proximo-distal component (Figure 2b), for which the test statistics similarly exceeded the upper threshold t\*=+3.678 at 5.4 - 54.3% (p<0.001), 73.5 - 79.2% (p=0.001), 88.4 - 100% (p<0.001).

In the anteroposterior direction (Figure 2c), statistically significant negative relationship was found during loading response to mid-stance (10.6 - 29.9%), terminal stance (45.4 - 55.3%), and from midswing phase (72.2 - 100%). The clusters exceeded the threshold t\*= -3.667 with p-values <0.001. No significant difference was observed for the medio-lateral component (Figure 2d).

250

251 *Age* 

252

253 There was a statistically significant negative relationship between age and the magnitude of the total 254 HCF (Figure 3a), however this was limited to the terminal swing phase (90.7 – 98.7%), with the 255 cluster exceeding the critical threshold t\*=-3.660 with p<0.001. This indicates that younger patients 256 are more likely to experience higher contact forces during this phase. The same trend was observed 257 for the proximo-distal component, for which the test statistics similarly exceeded the lower 258 threshold  $t^{*}$ =-3.659 at 90.7 – 98.7% of the gait cycle, with an associated p-value <0.001 (Figure 3b), and for the medio-lateral component at 91.8 - 97.7% of the gait cycle (t\*=-3.633, p=0.002) (Figure 259 260 3d). In the anteroposterior direction, no statistically significant relationship was found (Figure 3c). 261

262 Function

263

The mean gait speed for the functional ability stratum was 0.82 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (SD; ±0.08), 1.10 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (±0.09) and 1.37 m.s<sup>-1</sup> (±0.09) for LF, NF and HF, respectively. There was a statistically significant relationship between functional ability and the magnitude of the total HCF (Figure 4a). Patients with a higher function demonstrated significantly increased HCF during initial contact to loading response (0 – 16% gait cycle), terminal stance to initial swing (43.8 – 74.1%), and terminal swing (87.8 – 100%). A statistically significant negative relationship was instead found during mid-stance (27.9-34.9%). All the supra-threshold clusters exceeded the critical threshold t\*= $\pm$ 3.668, with the chances of observing similar clusters in repeated random samplings being p<0.001.

272 The same trends were observed for the proximo-distal component (the dominant component in 273 terms of magnitude), with the corresponding supra-threshold ( $t>t*=\pm 3.666$ ) areas spanning from 0 – 15.3%, 45.1 - 73%, 87.7 - 100%, and 27.4 - 35%, respectively (Figure 4b). In the anteroposterior 274 275 direction, statistically significant negative relationship was found during initial contact to loading 276 response (0.6 – 16.3%) and terminal swing (91.6 – 100%), indicating that higher function 277 demonstrated a significantly increased posterior force during these phases (Figure 4c), while a 278 statistically significant positive relationship was found during mid-stance (27.3 – 45.9%). All the 279 clusters exceeded the critical threshold t\*=±3.658 with p-values <0.001. Statistically significant 280 positive relationships were observed for the medio-lateral component during initial contact to 281 leading response (0-19.8%), terminal stance to mid-swing (43.8 - 75.4%), and late swing phase (91.6 282 - 100%) (Figure 4d).

283

#### 284 **Discussion**

285

286 This is the first study to explore the effect of patient characteristics on joint loading through 287 multibody modelling in a large cohort. We found that resultant HCF varies between different patient 288 groups and identified systematic differences between strata for BMI and functional ability. The BMI 289 strata displayed statistically significant differences in the resultant force throughout most of stance 290 phase. Few differences were observed between the age strata, whereas the functional strata, 291 represented by gait speed, displayed the greatest range of statistically significant differences across 292 the time series (over approx. 60% of the whole gait cycle). Patients with a high functionality had 293 increased peak loads during the stance phase of the gait cycle, while low functioning patients 294 displayed a pathological HCF, with a flattening of the typical double hump (Figure 4a). These trends 295 were similar when observing the difference in the proximo-distal component of the HCF, albeit 296 unsurprisingly considering this is the main contributor to the resultant HCF. Our average peak HCF 297 (2449N) was of a similar magnitude to the HCFs measured with instrumented implants by Bergmann et al. <sup>12</sup> (2225.7N) (Table 2). No past research has considered the effect of patient characteristics on 298 299 HCF and comparison to previous literature is difficult. However, previous work has found that joint kinematics and forces acting around the joint are affected by different patient characteristics <sup>6-8</sup> and 300 altered gait variables can affect the magnitude of joint contact forces <sup>31</sup>, and therefore this variability 301 302 in HCF would be expected.

303

304 **BMI** 

305

306 We found a systematic trend for HCFs to increase with an increasing BMI, and this was expected due 307 to the increase in body mass which has been previously reported to increase linearly with joint 308 contact force <sup>32</sup>. These systematic changes in magnitude are a consistent finding in the literature 309 comparing obese and healthy weight participants when force data are non-normalised, and the 310 differences between BMI groups tend to disappear when normalised to body mass <sup>33</sup>, which is 311 common practice in the biomechanical literature exploring function. In our study we specifically chose not to normalise HCF to body weight, as we were interested in the absolute magnitude of the 312 313 real world forces to which the bearing surface would be exposed. Analysing non-normalised HCFs may help to explain observed BMI dependant revision rates <sup>2</sup>, as increased loads in preclinical 314 hardware simulator testing has been shown to increase wear volume and wear particle size <sup>34</sup>. 315

316

317 *Age* 

318

319 When stratified by age there were very few differences observed in HCF in our patient cohort, with 320 statistically significant differences only found during the terminal swing phase in the proximo-distal

321 and resultant forces (90.7 – 98.7%) and medio-lateral component (91.8 – 97.7%), where the hip is relatively unloaded. Differences in terminal swing phase may be related to the capacity for 322 323 individuals to energetically drive the limb forward. Compared to the functional strata, the temporal 324 range of significance was much less, indicating that grouping patients by age, as a measure of 325 function, does not differentiate well between patients. No other study has considered the effect of 326 age on HCF measures specifically, however in a gait study using conventional motion capture analysis, Bennett et al.<sup>7,8</sup> observed little kinematic or kinetic differences between age groups in THR 327 328 patients. As noted previously, the absolute risk of revision in younger patients, can be up to ten times higher than in older patients<sup>2</sup> and it is likely that other factors such as overall activity level in 329 330 younger patients being higher or younger patients undertaking more demanding adverse loading 331 activities may contribute more than age-related variability in loads during normal walking.

332

#### 333 Functional ability

#### 334

Our results suggest the functional capability of the patient, identified by biomechanical 335 336 characteristics, best identifies differences between patient groups. When stratifying patients by gait 337 speed, not only were peak forces increased in the HF group, but the waveform in the LF group 338 displayed pathological patterns with a flattening of the transition phase between the two peaks of 339 axial forces (Figure 4a). A trend was also observed in joint contact forces derived at different walking 340 speeds, with the slower walking speeds exhibiting a reduced force during the transition between the 341 peaks <sup>35</sup>. This GRF/HCF waveform has been associated with pathological symptoms in patients with OA or other neurological pathologies <sup>36</sup>, suggesting that amongst our patient cohort, all of whom 342 343 during screening had self-reported as well-functioning, were patients who were indeed pathological, 344 identified by different HCF waveforms. Furthermore, those with higher walking speeds exhibit increased GRFs and joint moments <sup>37</sup>, a trend also observed in our HCFs in the function strata. 345 346 Patient characteristics such as age and BMI are often controlled for in preclinical testing, whereas

the real-world functional capability of THR patient is frequently overlooked. Our results suggest that
the functional capability of patients could be the most influential factor in determining forces at the
bearing surface.

350

#### 351 *Limitations*

352

Previous work has identified that simulating different activities in preclinical testing also leads to 353 increased wear volume <sup>38</sup>. In the current study we only analysed walking and in reality patients 354 perform a number of other daily tasks which can change the overall loading conditions <sup>39</sup>. Walking is 355 the most commonly performed daily task <sup>40</sup> however, and it is reasonable to suggest that walking 356 would have a clinically relevant impact on implant performance post-surgery. Within the multibody 357 358 modelling, a number of simulations were run from scaled generic models, and a certain level of error 359 associated with soft-tissue artefacts and the lack of subject-specific bone geometry and muscle physiology information might persist. These models have been previously validated against in-vivo 360 data from different subjects however <sup>14, 15, 19</sup> with good agreement. The overall agreement with the 361 362 range of measurements from instrumented patients further supports the validity of the current 363 models' predictions.

364 It could be expected that follow up time could have an effect on patient gait and hip contact force 365 and short-term follow up has shown as much <sup>31, 41</sup>. However, patients were recruited between 1-5 366 years post operatively in an attempt to avoid abnormalities due to post-surgery recovery and 367 patients mean follow-up time were similar in all groups (Table 1).

Finally, as this study was exploratory in nature we did not analyse any interactions between the strata. It would be expected that there could be some interactions, for example, between age and function <sup>23</sup>, which could potentially be more clinically relevant. However the analysis of interactions is not possible in *spm1D* and therefore we decided to keep the focus of the paper on the temporal

analysis in the individual strata, as this is relevant for other applications where full waveform data isrequired, such as preclinical testing.

- 374
- 375

376 In conclusion, we have found that the HCF predicted at the bearing surface is highly dependent on 377 the characteristics of the patient. Conversely, current preclinical laboratory testing standards reflect only one loading scenario while our study has shown systematic differences in loading patterns 378 between patient groups (Figures 2-4). To our knowledge these differences are also not considered 379 380 in any *in-silico* wear prediction models, although more complex waveforms, compared to ISO, have resulted in greater predicted differences wear volume<sup>42, 43</sup>. By extension, if future modelling included 381 382 patient variability, our data suggest that it is possible that differences in wear rates would also be 383 predicted. We have to accept that failure of an implant is multi factorial and patient factors and 384 surgical factors need to be taken into consideration. However if pre-clinical testing were robust 385 enough to check how implants would perform in different types of patients then patient-dependant 386 failures could potentially be better predicted. Importantly, patient variability is not considered at all 387 in current preclinical hardware simulator testing, which determines whether a device new to market 388 is fit for purpose. It was beyond the realm of this work to test this experimentally in full, but if the 389 loading profiles generated in this study were used in preclinical hardware tests, it would be expected 390 that the variability between patient groups found in this study would also be seen in experimental wear testing <sup>44</sup>. There is certainly a movement towards using different/updated testing procedures 391 392 with a number of authors suggesting wear testing under more adverse loads is warranted <sup>44</sup>. Improved preclinical testing, both in silico and in vitro, using more patient stratified waveforms 393 394 would highlight where and in whom failures are more likely to occur, allowing for better implant 395 design and more informed decision making at the time of THR planning for surgeons. Future work 396 should focus on using patient specific waveforms for in vitro testing to check whether the 397 differences observed in this study influence experimental wear rates.

#### 398 Acknowledgements

399

400 This study was supported by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) 401 under grant agreement no. GA-310477 LifeLongJoints and by the Leeds Experimental Osteoarthritis 402 Treatment Centre which is supported by Arthritis Research UK (grant no. 20083). This research is 403 also supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) infrastructure at Leeds. The 404 views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, 405 the National Institute for Health Research or the Department of Health. 406 We would like to thank AnyBody Technology A/S for all the technical support, and particularly 407 Morten E. Lund, for developing the toolkit AnyPyTools and helping with automatizing such a large-408 scale analysis. 409

#### 410 Author contributions

411

All authors were involved in the conception and design of the study. DEL and EDP performed data acquisition, data processing and analysis. All authors were involved in interpreting the data, revising the manuscript for critically important intellectual content and approved the final version to be submitted.

416

#### 417 **Role of the funding source**

418

The funding source had no role in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of the

420 data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

422	Com	peting interest statement		
423				
424	The au	thors have no competing interests to declare		
425				
426	Sum	olementary data		
426	Supt			
427				
428	Supple	ementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version.		
429	Data a	ssociated with this research, in C3d format, can be found at <u>https://doi.org/10.5518/345</u> . This		
430	data c	an be subsequently used with AnyBody Modelling software to calculate joint contact forces.		
431	Musculoskeletal models for all trials in the data repository have been implemented with the			
432	AnyBo	dy Modelling software and are freely available at Zenodo ( DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1254286 )		
433				
434	Refe	rences		
434 435	Refe	rences		
	<b>Refe</b> 1.	<b>rences</b> Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement.		
435				
435 436		Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement.		
435 436 437	1.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519.		
435 436 437 438	1.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519. Bayliss LE, Culliford D, Monk AP, Glyn-Jones S, Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, et al. The effect		
435 436 437 438 439	1.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519. Bayliss LE, Culliford D, Monk AP, Glyn-Jones S, Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, et al. The effect of patient age at intervention on risk of implant revision after total replacement of the hip or		
435 436 437 438 439 440	1. 2.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519. Bayliss LE, Culliford D, Monk AP, Glyn-Jones S, Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, et al. The effect of patient age at intervention on risk of implant revision after total replacement of the hip or knee: a population-based cohort study. The Lancet; 389: 1424-1430.		
435 436 437 438 439 440 441	1. 2.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519. Bayliss LE, Culliford D, Monk AP, Glyn-Jones S, Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, et al. The effect of patient age at intervention on risk of implant revision after total replacement of the hip or knee: a population-based cohort study. The Lancet; 389: 1424-1430. Towle KM, Monnot AD. An Assessment of Gender-Specific Risk of Implant Revision After		
435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442	1. 2.	Learmonth ID, Young C, Rorabeck C. The operation of the century: total hip replacement. Lancet 2007; 370: 1508-1519. Bayliss LE, Culliford D, Monk AP, Glyn-Jones S, Prieto-Alhambra D, Judge A, et al. The effect of patient age at intervention on risk of implant revision after total replacement of the hip or knee: a population-based cohort study. The Lancet; 389: 1424-1430. Towle KM, Monnot AD. An Assessment of Gender-Specific Risk of Implant Revision After Primary Total Hip Arthroplasty: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. The Journal of		

- Culliford D, Maskell J, Judge A, Arden NK. A population-based survival analysis describing the
  association of body mass index on time to revision for total hip and knee replacements:
  results from the UK general practice research database. BMJ Open 2013; 3.
- Foucher KC. Identifying clinically meaningful benchmarks for gait improvement after total
  hip arthroplasty. J Orthop Res 2016; 34: 88-96.
- 451 7. Bennett D, Humphreys L, O'Brien S, Kelly C, Orr JF, Beverland DE. Gait kinematics of age452 stratified hip replacement patients--a large scale, long-term follow-up study. Gait Posture
  453 2008; 28: 194-200.
- 8. Bennett D, Ryan P, O'Brien S, Beverland DE. Gait kinetics of total hip replacement patients-A
  large scale, long-term follow-up study. Gait Posture 2017; 53: 173-178.
- 456 9. Nigg BM, Fisher V, Ronsky JL. Gait characteristics as a function of age and gender. Gait &
  457 Posture 1994; 2: 213-220.
- 458 10. Foucher KC, Freels S. Preoperative factors associated with postoperative gait kinematics and
  459 kinetics after total hip arthroplasty. Osteoarthritis and Cartilage 2015; 23: 1685-1694.
- 460 11. Ardestani MM, Amenabar Edwards PP, Wimmer MA. Prediction of Polyethylene Wear Rates
- 461 from Gait Biomechanics and Implant Positioning in Total Hip Replacement. Clin Orthop Relat
  462 Res 2017; 475: 2027-2042.
- 463 12. Bergmann G, Bender A, Dymke J, Duda G, Damm P. Standardized Loads Acting in Hip
  464 Implants. PLOS ONE 2016; 11: e0155612.
- Bergmann G, Deuretzbacher G, Heller M, Graichen F, Rohlmann A, Strauss J, et al. Hip
  contact forces and gait patterns from routine activities. Journal of Biomechanics 2001; 34:
  859-871.
- 468 14. Fregly BJ, Besier TF, Lloyd DG, Delp SL, Banks SA, Pandy MG, et al. Grand challenge
  469 competition to predict in vivo knee loads. J Orthop Res 2012; 30: 503-513.

- 470 15. Fischer MCM, Eschweiler J, Schick F, Asseln M, Damm P, Radermacher K. Patient-specific
  471 musculoskeletal modeling of the hip joint for preoperative planning of total hip arthroplasty:
  472 A validation study based on in vivo measurements. PLOS ONE 2018; 13: e0195376.
- 473 16. Saxby DJ, Modenese L, Bryant AL, Gerus P, Killen B, Fortin K, et al. Tibiofemoral contact
  474 forces during walking, running and sidestepping. Gait & Posture 2016; 49: 78-85.
- 475 17. Benedetti MG, Catani F, Leardini A, Pignotti E, Giannini S. Data management in gait analysis
  476 for clinical applications. Clinical Biomechanics 1998; 13: 204-215.
- 477 18. Cappozzo A, Catani F, Croce UD, Leardini A. Position and orientation in space of bones during
  478 movement: anatomical frame definition and determination. Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)
  479 1995; 10: 171-178.
- 480 19. De Pieri E, Lund ME, Gopalakrishnan A, Rasmussen KP, Lunn DE, Ferguson SJ. Refining muscle
  481 geometry and wrapping in the TLEM 2 model for improved hip contact force prediction. PLoS
  482 ONE In Press.
- 483 20. Lund ME, de Zee M, Andersen MS, Rasmussen J. On validation of multibody musculoskeletal
  484 models. Proc Inst Mech Eng H 2012; 226: 82-94.
- 485 21. Organization WH. Obesity and overweight. Fact sheet no. 311. Updated January 2015. World
  486 Health Organization.[Cited: 2015 November 20] Available from: <u>http://www</u>. who.
  487 int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en 2015.
- 488 22. Middleton A, Fritz SL, Lusardi M. Walking speed: the functional vital sign. J Aging Phys Act
  489 2015; 23: 314-322.
- 490 23. Studenski S, Perera S, Patel K, et al. Gait speed and survival in older adults. JAMA 2011; 305:
  491 50-58.
- 492 24. Bohannon RW, Williams Andrews A. Normal walking speed: a descriptive meta-analysis.
  493 Physiotherapy 2011; 97: 182-189.

- 494 25. Alcock L, Vanicek N, O'Brien TD. Alterations in gait speed and age do not fully explain the 495 changes in gait mechanics associated with healthy older women. Gait & Posture 2013; 37: 496 586-592.
- O'Connor JD, Rutherford M, Bennett D, Hill JC, Beverland DE, Dunne NJ, et al. Long-term hip 497 26. loading in unilateral total hip replacement patients is no different between limbs or 498 499 compared to healthy controls at similar walking speeds. Journal of Biomechanics 2018; 80: 8-15.
- 500
- 501 27. Friston KJ, Holmes AP, Worsley KJ, Poline JP, Frith CD, Frackowiak RS. Statistical parametric 502 maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. Human brain mapping 1994; 2: 189-503 210.
- Pataky TC. Generalized n-dimensional biomechanical field analysis using statistical 504 28. 505 parametric mapping. J Biomech 2010; 43: 1976-1982.
- 506 29. Pataky TC. One-dimensional statistical parametric mapping in Python. Comput Methods 507 Biomech Biomed Engin 2012; 15: 295-301.
- 508 30. Pataky TC, Vanrenterghem J, Robinson MA. Zero- vs. one-dimensional, parametric vs. non-509 parametric, and confidence interval vs. hypothesis testing procedures in one-dimensional biomechanical trajectory analysis. J Biomech 2015; 48: 1277-1285. 510
- 511 31. Wesseling M, de Groote F, Meyer C, Corten K, Simon JP, Desloovere K, et al. Gait alterations 512 to effectively reduce hip contact forces. J Orthop Res 2015; 33: 1094-1102.
- 32. 513 Sanford BA, Williams JL, Zucker-Levin AR, Mihalko WM. Hip, Knee, and Ankle Joint Forces in 514 Healthy Weight, Overweight, and Obese Individuals During Walking. In: Doyle B, Miller K, 515 Wittek A, Nielsen PMF Eds. Computational Biomechanics for Medicine. New York, NY:
- 516 Springer New York 2014:101-111.
- 517 33. Lerner ZF, Browning RC. Compressive and shear hip joint contact forces are affected by pediatric obesity during walking. Journal of Biomechanics 2016; 49: 1547-1553. 518

- 519 34. Bowsher JG, Hussain A, Williams PA, Shelton JC. Metal-on-metal hip simulator study of 520 increased wear particle surface area due to 'severe' patient activity. Proceedings of the 521 Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine 2006; 220: 522 279-287.
- 523 35. Georgios G, Ilse J, Mariska W, Sam VR, Sabine V. Loading of Hip Measured by Hip Contact
  524 Forces at Different Speeds of Walking and Running. Journal of Bone and Mineral Research
  525 2015; 30: 1431-1440.
- 526 36. Perry J, Davids JR. Gait analysis: normal and pathological function. Journal of Pediatric 527 Orthopaedics 1992; 12: 815.
- Ardestani MM, Ferrigno C, Moazen M, Wimmer MA. From normal to fast walking: Impact of
  cadence and stride length on lower extremity joint moments. Gait & Posture 2016; 46: 118125.
- 531 38. Fabry C, Herrmann S, Kaehler M, Woernle C, Bader R. Generation of Physiological Movement
  532 and Loading Parameter Sets for Preclinincal Testing of Total Hip Replacements With Regard
  533 to Frequent Daily Life Activities. Bone & Joint Journal Orthopaedic Proceedings Supplement
  534 2013; 95: 194-194.
- 535 39. Varady PA, Glitsch U, Augat P. Loads in the hip joint during physically demanding 536 occupational tasks: A motion analysis study. Journal of Biomechanics 2015; 48: 3227-3233.
- Morlock M, Schneider E, Bluhm A, Vollmer M, Bergmann G, Müller V, et al. Duration and
  frequency of every day activities in total hip patients. Journal of Biomechanics 2001; 34: 873881.
- 540 41. Colgan G, Walsh M, Bennett D, Rice J, O'Brien T. Gait analysis and hip extensor function early
  541 post total hip replacement. Journal of Orthopaedics 2016; 13: 171-176.
- 542 42. Liu F, Fisher J, Jin Z. Effect of motion inputs on the wear prediction of artificial hip joints.
  543 Tribology International 2013; 63: 105-114.

544	43.	Gao L, Wang F, Yang P, Jin Z. Effect of 3D physiological loading and motion on
545		elastohydrodynamic lubrication of metal-on-metal total hip replacements. Med Eng Phys
546		2009; 31: 720-729.
547	44.	Zietz C, Fabry C, Reinders J, Dammer R, Kretzer JP, Bader R, et al. Wear testing of total hip
548		replacements under severe conditions. Expert Review of Medical Devices 2015; 12: 393-410.
549		
550		
551		
552		
553		
554		

#### 556 Figure Legends

557

**Figure 1.** Predicted HCF across the patients' cohort compared to the measured HCF from the Orthoload dataset (<u>https://orthoload.com/test-loads/standardized-loads-acting-at-hip-implants/</u>) <sup>12</sup>. Resultant force (blue) and single components – proximo-distal (red), antero-posterior (orange), medio-lateral (green) – are reported as mean across the cohort (solid line) and overall range of variation (shaded area) and compared to the corresponding mean and range of variations from the Orthoload measurements (in grey). Peak values reported in Table 2 are indicated in each plot.

564

**Figure 2.** Predicted hip contact forces across patients reported as a) resultant magnitude, and individual components: b) proximo-distal, c) antero-posterior, and d) medio-lateral component. The patients were stratified in *Healthy Weight* (blue), *Overweight* (purple) and *Obese* (red) according to 568 their BMI score. The upper panels report the averages for each patient strata (solid line) and their 569 relative 95% confidence intervals. Additionally, the loading profile from the ISO14242-1 testing 570 standard (dashed grey line) is compared to the proximo-distal forces for each group. The 571 corresponding lower panels report the results of the SPM linear regression analysis. The significance 572  $\alpha$ -level was set to 0.01 for each analysis and the corresponding threshold t\* are reported (horizontal 573 dashed lines). Whenever the test statistics continuum SPM{t} exceeds the threshold, significance is 574 reached and the p-values associated with the supra-threshold clusters (shaded grey areas) are 575 reported.

576

577 Figure 3. Predicted hip contact forces across patients reported as a) resultant magnitude, and 578 individual components: b) proximo-distal, c) antero-posterior, and d) medio-lateral component. The 579 patients were stratified according to their age in five groups: 54:64 (orange), 65:69 (red), 70:74 580 (grey), 75:79 (blue) and ≥80 (green). The upper panels report the averages for each patient strata 581 (solid line) and their relative 95% confidence intervals. Additionally, the loading profile from the 582 ISO14242-1 testing standard (dashed grey line) is compared to the proximo-distal forces for each 583 group. The corresponding lower panels report the results of the SPM linear regression analysis. The 584 significance  $\alpha$ -level was set to 0.01 for each analysis and the corresponding threshold t\* are 585 reported (horizontal dashed lines). Whenever the test statistics continuum SPM{t} exceeds the threshold, significance is reached and the p-values associated with the supra-threshold clusters 586 587 (shaded grey areas) are reported.

588

**Figure 4.** Predicted hip contact forces across patients reported as a) resultant magnitude, and individual components: b) proximo-distal, c) antero-posterior, and d) medio-lateral component. The patients were stratified in Low Functioning (purple), Normal Functioning (blue) and High Functioning (green) according to their self-selected gait speed. The upper panels report the averages for each

patient strata (solid line) and their relative 95% confidence intervals. Additionally, the loading profile from the ISO14242-1 testing standard (dashed grey line) is compared to the proximo-distal forces for each group. The corresponding lower panels report the results of the SPM linear regression analysis. The significance  $\alpha$ -level was set to 0.01 for each analysis and the corresponding threshold t\* are reported (horizontal dashed lines). Whenever the test statistics continuum SPM{t} exceeds the threshold, significance is reached and the p-values associated with the supra-threshold clusters (shaded grey areas) are reported.

**Table 1.** Patient demographics for each classification strata. Values are reported as mean (SD) unless

#### 602 otherwise stated.

		Number of	Female:Male	Age (Years)	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Post-surgery
		patients				(Years)
All		132	66:66	71.6 (7.6)	28.2(3.8)	2.8 (1.4)
BMI	Healthy Weight	29	18:11	70.1(8.2)	23.4(1.2)	2.6(1.2)
	Overweight	67	31:36	73.2(7.2)	27.6(1.3)	2.8(1.4)
	Obese	36	17:20	69.7(7.0)	33.2(2.2)	3.0(1.6)
Age	54-64	22	11:11	60.4 (2.9)	28.5(5.3)	2.9(1.5)
	65-69	37	17:20	67.0(1.4)	28.9(3.4)	2.8(1.6)
	70-74	23	14:9	72.3(1.0)	27.8(4.2)	2.1(1.1)
	75-79	28	14:14	77.4(1.2)	28.2(3.0)	2.7(1.3)
	>=80	22	10:12	82.4(3.0)	27.1(2.7)	3.0(1.5)
Function	HF	18	7:11	69.3(6.1)	27.1(2.8)	3.6(1.4)
	NF	97	48:49	71.3(7.7)	28.2(3.8)	2.7(1.4)
	LF	17	11:6	75.8(6.3)	29.3(4.4)	2.7(1.2)

**Table 2**. A comparison of measured peak contact forces <sup>12</sup> and the calculated peak contact forces form our study. Values are reported as mean and ranges (min-max). The reported values are highlighted in the corresponding graphs in Figure 1.

Dataset	Peak resultant force	Peak resultant	Peak	Peak	Peak posterior	Peak Anterior	Peak	Peak
	1 <sup>st</sup> peak (R1) (min-	force 2nd peak (R2)	Proximal/Distal	Proximal/Distal	force(P1) (min-	forces (A1)	Medial/Lateral	Medial/Lateral
	max range)	(min-max range)	force 1st peak	force 2nd peak	max range)	(min-max range)	force 1st peak	force 2nd peak
			(PD1) (min-max	(PD2) (min-max			(ML1) (min-max	(ML2) (min-max
			range)	range)			range)	range)
LLJ dataset	2449.1	2279.0	2254.3	2197.3	-466.1	-60.5	826.0	599.0
	(1310.9 , 3913.5)	(1093.8 , 3920.5)	(1179.8 , 3694.4)	(1030.8 , 3849.1)	(-838.0 , -232.9)	(-365.3 , 297.2)	(459.4 <i>,</i> 1353.5)	(273.2 , 1063.3)
Orthoload	2225.7	2149.9	2085.8	2073.6	-405.7	23.5	641.3	600.0
	(1793.4 , 3147.0)	(1721.2 , 2546.8)	(1670.1 , 3006.5)	(1643.8 , 2475.2)	( -650.4 , -111.4)	(-193.0 , 211.7)	(366.7 , 819.5)	(341.1 , 807.2)