Posterior circulation stroke diagnosis using HINTS in patients presenting with acute vestibular syndrome: a systematic review

Kailash Krishnan ¹MRCP PhD; Kerolos Bassilious ¹BMBS; Erik Eriksen ²MD; Philip M Bath ^{1,3}DSc FMedSci; Nikola Sprigg ^{1,3}DM FRCP; Sigrun Kierulf Brækken² MD PhD; Hege Ihle-Hansen⁴ MD PhD; Morten Andreas Horn² MD PhD, Else Charlotte Sandset^{2,5} MD PhD ¹Stroke, Acute Medicine, Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, UK ²Department of Neurology, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway ³Stroke, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, University of Nottingham ⁴Department of Medicine, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway ⁵Research and Development, The Norwegian Air Ambulance Foundation, Oslo, Norway

Corresponding author: Else Charlotte Sandset Department of Neurology Oslo University Hospital Postboks 4950 Nydalen 0424 Oslo E-mail: else@sandset.net

Word count abstract: 255 Word count body: 2492

Key words: dizziness, vertigo, acute vestibular syndrome, nystagmus, HINTS, stroke

Abstract

Background

Acute vestibular syndrome (AVS); vertigo, nausea/vomiting, nystagmus and gait unsteadiness) is common and differentiating posterior circulation stroke from a peripheral cause can be challenging. The National Institute of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) does not include AVS and early computed tomography (CT) scanning cannot rule out acute ischaemia. A positive Head Impulse – Nystagmus – Test of Skew (HINTS) test suggests posterior circulation stroke in AVS when any of three signs are present: normal horizontal head impulse, gaze-direction nystagmus or eye skew deviation. This systematic review examined the **accuracy** of positive HINTS in identifying posterior circulation stroke in AVS patients.

Methods

We searched MEDLINE (1966 to 21 December 2017), EMBASE (1980 to December 2017), Web of Science and scanned bibliographies. Two authors independently screened relevant articles and extracted data. We included studies where HINTS was used to identify posterior circulation stroke with diagnosis confirmed using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Results

Six studies (n=644 patients) were identified. Acute stroke was confirmed in 200 (31.1%) patients. There was a 15-fold increased risk of posterior circulation stroke in patients with positive HINTS test compared to those with no abnormality (RR 15.84, 95% CI 5.25-47.79). For any stroke, the pooled sensitivity was 95.5% (95% CI 92.6-98.4%) and specificity was 71.2% (95% CI 67.0-75.4%).

Conclusion

The data suggest that the HINTS test as one element of clinical evaluation is useful to differentiate posterior circulation stroke from peripheral causes in AVS. Further

studies are needed to validate HINTS as clinical prediction tool in emergency department settings and selection of patients for reperfusion treatment.

Introduction

About 25% of all strokes affect the posterior circulation (POCS) and a large proportion of patients attend emergency departments.(1) Patients often present with a variety of symptoms, often with few clinical signs, (2) making the diagnosis challenging. Ongoing dizziness, nystagmus, nausea/vomiting, head motion intolerance or poor balance, collectively termed Acute Vestibular Syndrome (AVS),(3) is common, (4) and a substantial number have a cerebrovascular cause. (2,5) Up to 35% of strokes in such patients can be missed (5) and un-recognised cerebellar stroke on initial assessment is associated with an 8-fold increase risk of death.(2) Reperfusion therapy options requires rapid diagnosis, and secondary prevention is important as recurrent stroke and complications of posterior circulation stroke are reported to be as high as strokes affecting the anterior circulation. (6) Thus there is need for a clinical tool to diagnose acute posterior circulation stroke in AVS.

The Face Arm and Speech test (FAST) is not sensitive for the posterior circulation ischaemia, (7) and the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) does not include dizziness or vertigo as a manifestation of stroke or TIA. Computed tomography (CT) scans are widely used but may miss up to 60% of early posterior circulation ischaemia.(8) Cerebral magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is reported to be more sensitive (9), but may not be available acutely in many hospitals.

The Head Impulse – Nystagmus – Test of Skew (HINTS) test can be performed by the bedside where the presence of any of three oculomotor signs: normal horizontal head impulse, gaze-direction nystagmus or skew deviation suggests a central cause, including stroke in AVS.(10) We performed a systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of HINTS in diagnosing posterior circulation stroke in AVS.

Methods

Study selection

We searched MEDLINE (1966 to 21 December 2017), EMBASE (1980 to December 2017) and Web of Science to identify studies on clinical features and diagnostic evaluation. Two authors (KK and KB) independently screened titles and selected articles. No language restrictions were applied. Details of the search strategy are listed in Appendix 1.

Data extraction and management

We included patients with AVS in whom HINTS was performed primarily to identify POCS and where diagnosis (infarction or haemorrhage) was confirmed using cerebral MRI. We included patients with symptoms lasting one hour or more to focus on utility in emergency settings and generalisability of results in clinical practise.

Information from each extracted article included study type, number of patients, demographics, risk factors, inclusion criteria, stroke type (ischaemic or haemorrhagic) and proportion with positive and negative HINTS test results. We excluded studies with incomplete data, patients not evaluated in the acute phase (<=7 days), where HINTS was not performed, those that failed to confirm stroke on imaging or report on diagnostic accuracy. We resolved discrepancies through discussion.

Data synthesis

We defined the presence of any of three components suggestive of acute stroke on HINTS examination as positive: normal horizontal head impulse, nystagmus that changes direction with gaze, and skew deviation of the eyes. We reported the results as risk ratio (that is, the likelihood of stroke among test-positive patients to those who were negative) with 95% confidence intervals using random-effects model. We calculated pooled sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative likelihood ratios. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Heterogeneity

We considered an I^2 greater than 50% to infer significant heterogeneity.

Results

Our search identified 26,837 citations from which we found 2,562 articles. After screening 11 relevant articles, 6 studies met the inclusion criteria. Figure 1 shows the flow chart of included studies.

A total of 825 patients were identified but we included 644 patients: 63 patients were excluded as their symptoms had resolved by the time of examination (11), 48 patients did not have MRI whilst 70 patients were not tested with HINTS(12) (Table 1). Five studies were single-centre, prospective and observational, whilst one study was retrospective. All patients were evaluated in emergency departments and one study (12) also included outpatients and admissions.

The inclusion criteria differed between studies: one study included patients with more than one risk factor for stroke whilst another included patients with various neurological disorders, excluding only those with known multiple sclerosis, postural hypotension or trauma.(11) Hearing loss as an additional symptom of stroke in AVS was examined in two studies. (11, 13) Heterogeneity was apparent between studies (I² = 58%) (Figure 2) and therefore we assessed for potential causes using the QUADAS-2 tool(14) (Supplementary document).

Clinical features

Dizziness and vertigo, the most common symptoms in POCS, was present in all patients (Table 2). Ataxia was defined as the inability to walk 10 steps in tandem without a side step after 2 attempts in one study (12) and another used an ordinal 3-step scale based on severity (grade 1: mild to moderate imbalance when walking independently; grade 2: severe imbalance when standing and unable to walk without support; grade 3: falling when upright).(15)

Neuroimaging

Cerebral MRI scanning with diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) was used in all studies. In the Kerber study, patients underwent a research MRI when a clinical scan was not performed. MR cerebral angiography was performed in three studies and in the Choi et al study,(11) perfusion scanning was undertaken if the diagnosis of acute stroke remained unclear.

MRI scanning was performed at different time intervals:

 \leq 24 hours: two studies (11, 13)

> 24 hours: two studies (12, 16)

 \leq 48 hours: one study (15)

 \leq 84 hours: one study (11)

In one study, repeat MRI was performed in 15 AVS patients with positive HINTS in whom initial scans were normal.(13)

Outcomes

From the available data (n=644), just over half of all patients were male and the mean age was 58 years. In two studies where ABCD2 was reported, the median score was 4 (range 2-7). A positive HINTS test was found in 319 patients and acute POCS was confirmed in 200 patients (31.1%). Data on stroke type was available from 4 studies (n=150 patients): 139 ischaemic and 11 intracerebral haemorrhages. In one study, 14% of patients had an initial MRI scan which was negative, but were found to have an infarction on repeat imaging.

Lesion location was reported in 35 patients; the most common site was cerebellum followed by pons. The posterior inferior cerebellar artery was most commonly affected, followed by the anterior inferior cerebellar artery.

There was a 15-fold increased risk of POCS in patients with a positive HINTS test compared to those who were negative (R.R. 15.84, 95% CI 5.25-47.79; p<0.0001) (Figure 2). For any stroke, the pooled sensitivity using HINTS was 95.5% (95% CI 92.6-98.4%) and specificity 71.2% (95% CI 67.0-75.4%). The overall positive predictive value was 59.9% (95% CI 54.5-65.3%) and negative predictive value 97.2% (95% CI 95.4-99.0%).

Discussion

This systematic review found that the HINTS test appears reliable and effective in differentiating acute POCS from peripheral vestibular causes in symptomatic patients

with AVS. The majority of strokes were ischaemic suggesting that HINTS could be useful for early identification of potentially eligible patients for reperfusion therapy.

HINTS is quick, non-invasive and can be performed at the bedside. Although based on well-established neuroscientific concepts, the test is underutilised in emergency settings.(17, 18) The head-impulse component examines the connections between vestibular pathways and the brainstem.(19) The presence of direction changing nystagmus suggests damage to gaze circuits in the brainstem and cerebellum (20); skew deviation of the eyes indicates injury to the central otolithic connections to the brainstem.(21) Although we were unable to estimate the sensitivity of HINTS for each vascular territory, evidence suggests better yield in strokes involving posterior inferior cerebellar artery stroke compared to anterior inferior cerebellar artery.(2)

Using HINTS has its own limitations: it may be difficult to perform in very sick, nauseous patients, those with severe functional disability, under the influence of drugs or alcohol.(2, 22) Although the test is useful to identify stroke, a positive HINTS might also indicate other central causes in AVS. The presence of nystagmus which improves with visual fixation or positive Dix-Hallpike manoeuvre might indicate a vestibular disorder. It is suggested that the predictive value for diagnosing stroke could be improved by using Frenzel's glasses, opthalmoscopy, video nystagmography, Doppler ultrasound and brain perfusion scanning, but these methods need validation in future studies.(23-26)

Two studies in this review categorised patients as 'peripheral' AVS who had 'early' normal MRI and this may not have been appropriate. Evidence suggests that early MRI may not be sensitive in acute posterior circulation stroke(27) and studies have shown that up to 50% of lacunar infarctions can be missed within 48 hours of symptom onset.(27-29) One explanation could be that the structural changes visible on MRI images are delayed compared to clinical manifestations of brain dysfunction. It is possible that small infarcts cause reduced blood flow just enough to cause symptoms, but not enough to cause changes on MRI. (13, 30)

Although isolated dizziness or vertigo, transient or persistent, are common symptoms of POCS, such patients have been excluded in randomised controlled trials. (31-33)

The studies included in this review varied in design and diagnostic standard. Patient numbers were small, considering that POCS account for 25% of all strokes. The patients were highly selected and tested in single centres by experienced neurologists, which raises the issue of generalisability. Four studies in this review identified patients by referral from the emergency department, indications being uncertain diagnosis, presence of vascular risk factors and failure of symptom improvement. This may represent selection bias, as patients with mild strokes or without vascular risk factors may have been discharged without further evaluation.

In all except one study, there was no information on the time interval between AVS onset to time of assessment, which is highly relevant as the benefit of reperfusion therapies is highly time-dependent. The effect of such interventions in AVS patients need further exploration since the risk of poor outcome after acute POCS is reported to be similar to that for the anterior circulation. (6)

Future research

This systematic review has raised several questions for future research: the average age of patients was 58 years, and little is known about the accuracy of HINTS in older patients who comprise the largest proportion of stroke patients. The numbers are only likely to increase with an ageing population. More research is needed on the interrater reliability of HINTS, differences between specialists and non-specialists, training, patient cultural and language differences. Future studies should also address questions such as when to scan patients with AVS and how these impact resources between stroke services.

Conclusion

This systematic review suggests that the HINTS test appears to differentiate posterior circulation stroke reliably from peripheral causes in patients presenting with AVS. Further studies are needed to validate HINTS as clinical prediction tool in an emergency department setting and selection of patients for reperfusion treatment.

DISCLOSURES

None

REFERENCES

1. Nouh A, Remke J, Ruland S. Ischemic posterior circulation stroke: a review of anatomy, clinical presentations, diagnosis, and current management. Frontiers in Neurology. 2014.

2. Tarnutzer AA, Berkowitz AL, Robinson KA, et al. Does my dizzy patient have a stroke? A systematic review of bedside diagnosis in acute vestibular syndrome . Canadian Medical Association Journal. 2011;183(9):E571-E92.

3. Hotson JR, Baloh RW. Acute vestibular syndrome. New England Journal Of Medicine. 1998;339:680-5.

4. Paul NL, Simoni M, Rothwell PM. Transient isolated brainstem symptoms preceding posterior circulation stroke: a population-based study. Lancet Neurology. 2013;12:65-71.

5. Kerber KA, Brown DL, Lisbeth LD, et al. Stroke among patients with dizziness, vertigo and imbalance in the emergency department: a population-based study. Stroke. 2006;37:2484-7.

6. Flossman E, Rothwell PM. Prognosis of vertebrobasilar transient ischaemic attack and minor stroke. Brain. 2003;126:1940-54.

7. Banerjee G, Stone SP, Werring DJ. Posterior circulation ischaemic stroke. BMJ. 2018;361:k1185.

8. Hwang DY, Silva GS, Furie KL, et al. Comparative sensitivity of computed tomography vs. magnetic resonance imaging for detecting acute posterior fossa infarct. Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2012;42:559-65.

9. van Rooij FG, Vermeer SE, Goraj BM, et al. Annals of Neurology. 2015;25:1645-52.

10. Newman-Toker DE, Curthoys IS, Halmagyi GM. Diagnosing stroke in acute vertigo: the HINTS family of eye movement tests and the future of the 'Eye ECG'. Seminars of Neurology. 2015;35:506-21.

11. Choi J-H, Park M-G, Choi SY, et al. Acute transient vestibular syndrome: prevalence of stroke and efficacy of bedside evaluation. Stroke. 2017;48:556-62.

12. Kerber KA, Meurer WJ, Brown DL, et al. Stroke risk stratification in acute dizziness presentations. Neurology. 2015;85(21):1869-78.

13. Newman-Toker DE, Kerber KA, Hsieh Y-H, et al. HINTS outperforms ABCD2 to screen for stroke in acute continous vertigo and dizziness. Academic Emergency Medicine. 2013;20:987-96.

14. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011;155(8):529-36.

15. Carmona S, Martinez C, Zalazar C, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of truncal ataxia and HINTS as cardinal signs for acute vestibular syndrome. Frontiers in Neurology. 2016;7:125.

16. Chen L, Lee W, Chambers BR, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of acute vestibular syndrome at the bedside in a stroke unit. J Neurology. 2011;258:855-61.

17. Quimby AE, Kwok ESH, Lelli D, et al. Usage of the HINTS exam and neuroimaging in the assessment of peripheral vertigo in the emergency department. Journal of Otolaryngology. 2018;47:54.

18. McDowell T, Moore F. The under-utilisation of the Head Impulse Test in the emergency department. Canadian Journal of Neurological Sciences. 2016;43(3):398-401.

19. Halmagyi GM, Weber KP, Aw ST, et al. Impulsive testing of semicircular canal function. 2008;Progressive Brain Research(171):187-94.

20. Saglam M, Glasauer S, Lehnen N. Vestibular and cerebellar contribution to gaze optimality. Brain. 2014;137(Pt 4):1080-94.

21. Moncayo J, Bogousslavsky J. Eye movement abnormalities. Front Neurol Neurosci. 2012;30:13-6.

22. Grant AC, Biousse V, Cook AC, et al. Stroke-associated stuttering. Archives of Neurology. 1999;56(5):624-7.

23. Venhovens J, Meulstee J, Verhagen WIM. Acute vestibular syndrome: a critical review and diagnostic algorithm concerning the clinical differentiation of peripheral versus central aetiologies in the emergency department. J Neurology. 2016;263:2151-7.

24. Newman-Toker DE, Saber Tehrani AS, Mantokoudis G, et al. Quantitative Video-Oculography to help diagnose stroke in acute vertigo and dizziness. Stroke. 2013;44:1158-61.

25. Pietkiewicz P, Pepas R, Sulkowski WJ, et al. Electronystagmography versus videonystagmography in diagnosis of vertigo. International Journal of Occupational Medicine and Environmental Health. 2012;25(1):59-65.

26. Pallesen LP, Lambrou D, Eskandari M, et al. Perfusion computed tomography in posterior circulation stroke: predictors and prognostic implications of focal hypoperfusion. European Journal of Neurology. 2018;25(5):725-31.

27. Makin SD, Doubal FN, Dennis MS, et al. Clinically confirmed stroke with negative diffusion-imaging magnetic resonance imaging. Stroke. 2015;46(11):3142-8.
28. Oppenheim C, Stanescu R, Dormont D. False-negative diffusion-weighted

MR findings in acute ischaemic stroke. AJNR Am JNeuroradio. 2000;21:1434-40.

29. Morita S, Masanobu S, Lizuka K. False-negative diffusion-weighted MRI in acute cerebella stroke. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2011;38:577-82.

30. Tehrani ASS, Katah JC, Mantokoudis G, et al. Neurology. 2014;83:169-73.

31. Markus HS, van der Worp HB, Rothwell PM. Posterior circulation ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack: diagnosis, investigation and secondary prevention. Lancet Neurology. 2013.

32. Emsley HCA. Posterior circulation stroke: still a Cinderella disease. BMJ. 2013;346(f3552).

33. Gomez CR, Cruz-Flores S, Malkoff MD, et al. Isolated vertigo as a manifestation of vertebrobasilar ischaemia. Neurology. 1996;47(1):94-7.