



Cornell University  
ILR School

Cornell University ILR School  
**DigitalCommons@ILR**

---

Articles and Chapters

ILR Collection

---

2-2013

## How to Probe for Settlements on Grievances

Ken Margolies

*Cornell University*, [kam47@cornell.edu](mailto:kam47@cornell.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.ilr.cornell.edu/articles>



Part of the [Unions Commons](#)

Thank you for downloading an article from DigitalCommons@ILR.

**Support this valuable resource today!**

---

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the ILR Collection at DigitalCommons@ILR. It has been accepted for inclusion in Articles and Chapters by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@ILR. For more information, please contact [catherwood-dig@cornell.edu](mailto:catherwood-dig@cornell.edu).

If you have a disability and are having trouble accessing information on this website or need materials in an alternate format, contact [web-accessibility@cornell.edu](mailto:web-accessibility@cornell.edu) for assistance.

---

## How to Probe for Settlements on Grievances

### Abstract

[Excerpt] Experienced stewards know that sometimes you have to ask management a lot of questions if you want to reach your goal. There may be a way for both sides to come out satisfied, but you have to know how to determine if that's possible, and you can only do that by posing the right questions.

### Keywords

labor unions, union stewards, settlement, labor relations, grievances

### Disciplines

Unions

### Comments

#### Suggested Citation

Margolies, K. A. (2013). How to probe for settlements on grievances. *Steward Update Newsletter*, 24(1).

#### Required Publisher Statement

© [Union Communication Services](#). Reprinted with permission. All rights reserved.

# How to Probe for Settlements on Grievances

**G**rievance procedures were originally designed to help unions and management work out problems as close to the source of the issues as possible, and with a minimum of conflict.

But sometimes, management decides they want to frustrate the union, so they won't settle anything.

In those cases stewards may have to organize the members to pressure management into taking grievances seriously. There's an awful lot to be said for having a strong, committed membership putting its muscle into convincing management to do the right thing. There's nothing like having a determined group of workers standing outside a manager's door to make him sit up and take notice.

Another way lies in strengthening the contract, making things so airtight that management wouldn't dare to create problems for workers unless the employer has an unusually strong case.

However, in situations where management is willing to work things out, removing the obstacles to getting settlements might be the style stewards use in dealing with management. If all you do is press the reasons why your interpretation of the contract or version of the facts are the correct ones, you may not get to talking about possible settlements. At some point stewards need to question their management counterpart about what it would take to reach a fair resolution of the issue at hand. It might be the key to resolving more grievances.

Experienced stewards know that sometimes you have to ask management a lot of questions if you want to reach your goal. There may be a way for both sides to come out satisfied, but you have to know how to determine if that's possible, and you can only do that by posing the right questions.

**Sometimes stewards need to question their management counterpart about what it would take to resolve a problem.**

## More Than Just No

Here are a number of suggested questions that just might get management saying more than no to all your grievances.

■ *Do you understand the problem we are trying to solve with our remedy? How do you see that problem?*

Maybe the supervisor isn't clear on the real issue. Getting him to state it may open the door to a resolution.

■ *What about our proposed remedy do you have a problem with?*

Maybe the remedy's fine with him but one element sticks in his craw. You might be able to modify the one point, as

long as your basic issue is dealt with.

■ *Do you have other suggestions for how we can resolve this?*

Who knows, maybe he's got an approach that will be acceptable, or at least a place from which to start.

■ *What are you concerned would happen if you agreed to our proposed remedy?*

His answer may surprise you: It may be something you can assure him is not going to happen. He may be overestimating the impact. Or he may misunderstand the true nature of the remedy you're seeking.

■ *If we address your concern in that area, do you think we can reach agreement on the rest of our remedy?*

If you show him you can live with his concern, he'll be more prone to yield on yours.

■ *Why is that your position?*

Maybe he's locked into his position for a bad reason, one you can convince him is wrong, or which upper management wouldn't agree with.

■ *Can you explain how you arrived at that position?*

If you understand his logic you may be able to more successfully counter his

arguments. Or maybe he misunderstands or doesn't know about a basic fact in the case.

■ *This is very important to us. Are you saying you have no flexibility at all?*

If he indicates flexibility, you'll know you've got some room to operate. If he doesn't, at least you'll know you've hit a wall and can prepare for the next step.

■ *Make us a counterproposal on that.*

You may well not find his counterproposal acceptable, but it could open some new avenues leading to an acceptable deal.

■ *If we had some flexibility on X, would you have some flexibility on Y?*

You'll want to use this approach with caution, because once you hint that you might have flexibility on an issue, it's hard to take it back entirely. And be careful here that you don't get into trading grievances: The flexibility has to be within the case you're discussing.

■ *The grievance procedure is supposed to be a way for us to resolve problems. Why are you unwilling to look for a settlement?*

Put the onus on management to defend its unresponsiveness—that's a lot better than going around and around over the same facts. And maybe the answer to that question—if there is one—will open a window of opportunity. If he gives a specific reason for his unwillingness, it may be a reason you can get him to dismiss, or view differently in the light of suggestions or alternative approaches you may have.

## A Word of Caution Here

Before you probe management for a possible compromise, make sure you have discussed potential settlements with all the members involved in the case. Never make a final settlement offer without getting member approval and seriously considering, along with union officers, any precedents that might be set.

—Ken Margolies. The writer is a senior associate of the Worker Institute at Cornell University.