

Blood pressure levels and risk of cardiovascular disease mortality among Japanese men and women

著者(英)	Kazumasa YAMAGISHI, Shinobu Sawachi, Akiko Tamakoshi, Hiroyasu Iso
journal or publication title	Journal of hypertension
volume	37
number	7
page range	1366-1371
year	2019-07
権利	(C) 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.
URL	http://hdl.handle.net/2241/00155164

doi: 10.1097/HJH.0000000000002073

1 **Title page**

2

3 **Blood pressure levels and risk of cardiovascular disease mortality among Japanese**

4 **men and women: the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer**

5 **Risk (JACC Study)**

6

7 **Running head:** Blood pressure and CVD mortality

8

9 Kazumasa YAMAGISHI^{a*}, Shinobu SAWACHI^{a†}, Akiko TAMAKOSHI^b, and Hiroyasu

10 ISO^c; for the JACC Study Group

11

12 ^a Department of Public Health Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, and Health Services

13 Research and Development Center, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

14 ^b Department of Public Health, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine,

15 Sapporo, Japan.

16 ^c Public Health, Department of Social and Environmental Medicine, Osaka University

17 Graduate School of Medicine, Suita, Japan

18

19 †Yamagishi and Sawachi contributed equally.

20

21 **Funding**

22 The JACC Study has been supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the
23 Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT,
24 Monbu Kagaku-sho), Tokyo [Grant numbers 61010076, 62010074, 63010074, 1010068,
25 2151065, 3151064, 4151063, 5151069, 6279102, 11181101, 17015022, 18014011,
26 20014026, 20390156, 26293138 and 16H06277], and Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry
27 of Health, Labour and Welfare, Health and Labour Sciences Research Grants, Japan
28 [H20–Junkankitou (Seishuu)–Ippan–013, H23–Junkankitou (Seishuu)–Ippan–005,
29 H26–Junkankitou (Seisaku)–Ippan–001 and H29–Junkankitou (Seishuu)–Ippan–003].

30

31 **Conflict of interest**

32 The authors declared no conflict of interest. Shinobu Sawachi is presently employed by
33 Sanofi K.K.

34

35 ***Address for correspondence:**

36 Kazumasa Yamagishi, MD, PhD

37 Department of Public Health Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba

38 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, 305-8575, Japan

39 Phone: +81-29-853-2695, Fax: +81-29-853-2695

40 Email: yamagishi.kazumas.ge@u.tsukuba.ac.jp

41

42 Reprints not available.

43

44 **Word count:** 3,051 words, 2 tables, 1 figure, 1 supplementary table

45

46

47

48 **ABSTRACT** (248/250 words)

49 **Objective.** To examine the association of blood pressure with cardiovascular mortality
50 in real-world settings and investigate whether that association varied by use of
51 antihypertensive medication at baseline.

52 **Methods.** Data from 27,728 Japanese men and women, aged 40-79 years, free of stroke,
53 coronary heart disease, cancer, and kidney disease at entry (1988-1990) were used in
54 this study. Mortality surveillance was completed through 2009, resulting 449,800
55 person-year follow-up. Hazard ratios for cardiovascular mortality were analysed by
56 blood pressure category (based on 2018 European guidelines) at admission.

57 **Results.** There were 1,477 deaths from cardiovascular diseases. Relative to high-normal
58 blood pressure at admission, the multivariable hazard ratios (95% confidence intervals)
59 of cardiovascular disease were: 0.85(0.69-1.04) for optimal blood pressure;
60 0.96(0.81-1.15) for normal blood pressure; 1.26(1.09-1.46) for Grade 1 hypertension;
61 and 1.55(1.31-1.84) for Grade 2-3 hypertension. A similar linear association was
62 observed among persons not taking antihypertensive medication at admission. Among
63 patients treated for hypertension, a U-shaped association with cardiovascular disease
64 mortality was observed; hazard ratios =2.31(1.25-4.27), 1.68(1.05-2.69),
65 1.56(1.10-2.22), and 1.63 (1.13-2.36), respectively. Similar patterns were observed for

66 stroke and coronary heart disease, although not always statistically significant.

67 **Conclusions.** Blood pressure categories at baseline were linearly and positively
68 associated with cardiovascular disease mortality overall and also among participants not
69 taking antihypertensive medication. A higher risk of mortality from cardiovascular
70 disease was observed among patients already treated for hypertension with optimal and
71 normal blood pressures than those with high-normal blood pressure, suggesting the
72 importance of careful monitoring of blood pressure and comorbidities of such patients.

73

74 **Keywords:** hypertension; cerebrovascular disease; epidemiology; follow-up study

75 **Introduction**

76 It is well known that high blood pressure (BP) increases the risk of cardiovascular
77 disease (CVD) [1], and that treatment of hypertension reduces that risk [2]. Clinical
78 trials have shown that treating hypertension to below-normal BP levels is better for the
79 prevention of coronary heart disease or stroke among patients with high cardiovascular
80 risk [3]. On the other hand, several prospective cohort studies have shown that among
81 patients treated for hypertension treatment to low BP levels was associated with
82 increased risk of coronary heart disease and/or stroke compared with treatment to
83 moderate BP levels [4-8].

84 The causal relations need to be determined through randomized controlled
85 trials, as observational study designs have inevitable drawbacks (eg confounding
86 factors). As mentioned, some clinical trials have shown the benefit of lowering BP
87 below ‘normal’ levels among strictly selected patients [3]. However, in general practice,
88 patients with hypertension alongside comorbidities such as atherosclerosis, atrial
89 fibrillation, and heart failure are sometimes unintentionally treated to low BP levels,
90 which could lead to an elevated risk of CVD. Clinical trials are typically performed
91 under ‘ideal’ trial conditions (following strict inclusion/exclusion criteria and a rigid
92 protocol), and their results may not be generalizable to general practice where patients

93 might exhibit hypertension together with such comorbidities as mentioned above. In
94 addition, many trials, such as the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes
95 (ACCORD) [9] or the Systolic Blood Pressure Intervention Trial (SPRINT) [10], with
96 careful monitoring of adverse events, involved patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) or
97 high cardiovascular risk. However, despite the possibility of confounding, results from
98 observational studies of the general population may better reflect clinical realities.

99 Therefore, the objective of the current study is to provide reliable information
100 on the relationship between baseline BP levels and long-term mortality from CVD in a
101 large sample and use differential analysis to examine whether the association varied by
102 antihypertensive medication use. Our intention is not to try to prove or disprove whether
103 hypertension should be treated aggressively, but rather to shed light on the outcomes of
104 patients treated for hypertension in a general (Asian) population.

105

106 **Methods**

107 ***Study Cohort and Baseline Questionnaire***

108 Data of the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk
109 (JACC Study), a large nationwide community-based prospective study of Japanese
110 women and men, were used in our analysis. The JACC study was initiated in 1988-1990,

111 and involved 110,585 individuals (46,395 men and 64,190 women) aged 40 to 79 years
112 living in 45 communities across Japan, who participated in municipal health screening
113 examinations and completed self-administered questionnaires about their lifestyles and
114 medical histories of previous CVD and cancer [11]. Prior to completing the
115 questionnaire, the participants or community representatives provided informed consent
116 to be involved in this epidemiological study, based on guidelines of the Council for
117 International Organizations of Medical Science [12]. The JACC Study protocol was
118 approved by the institutional review boards of Hokkaido University, Osaka University,
119 and the University of Tsukuba.

120 Data on blood pressure were available for 29,928 individuals (10,884 men and
121 19,044 women) from 30 communities who participated in health examinations
122 conducted by municipal governments. After excluding 2,200 individuals from the
123 analysis because of previous history of stroke, coronary heart disease, cancer, or kidney
124 disease at the time of baseline inquiry, 27,728 individuals (10,091 men and 17,637
125 women) were included in the study.

126 Baseline BP was measured as a part of health screening examinations. As standard,
127 BP was measured by trained observers using standard mercury sphygmomanometer on
128 the right arm of seated participants after 5 minutes rest. The modified classification of

129 BP from the 2018 European Society of Hypertension-European Society of Cardiology
130 guidelines [13] was used for classification. The optimal BP was defined as systolic
131 pressure <120 mmHg and diastolic pressure <80 mmHg; normal BP as systolic pressure
132 <130 mmHg and diastolic pressure <85 mmHg; high-normal BP as systolic pressure
133 130-139 mmHg or diastolic pressure 85-89 mmHg; Grade 1 hypertension as systolic
134 pressure 140-159 mmHg or diastolic pressure 90-99 mmHg; Grade 2 hypertension as
135 systolic pressure 160-179 mmHg or diastolic pressure 100-109 mmHg; and Grade 3
136 hypertension as systolic pressure \geq 180 mmHg or diastolic pressure \geq 110 mmHg.
137 Information on antihypertensive medication use and history of DM diagnosis were
138 obtained from questionnaires.

139

140 ***Mortality Surveillance***

141 To ascertain deaths among the cohort, a systematic review of death certificates, all of
142 which were forwarded to the local public health centre in each community was
143 conducted. It is believed that all cohort deaths were recorded, except for those
144 participants who died after moving from their original community, in which case the
145 participants' data were censored. The date of moving from the community was verified
146 using population-registration documents. Mortality data were sent centrally to the

147 Ministry of Health and Welfare, and the underlying cause of death was coded for
148 National Vital Statistics according to the International Classification of Disease, 10th
149 Revision. The mortality follow-up continued through 2009 (except for 3 communities
150 censored at the end of 1999, 1 community at the end of 2003, and 2 communities at the
151 end of 2008). The median follow-up was 18.5 years.

152

153 *Statistical Analysis*

154 Person-years of follow-up were calculated from the date of the baseline questionnaire to
155 the date of death, emigration from the community, or the end of 2009 (or 1999, 2003,
156 2008), whichever occurred first. The BP exposure was analysed using the 5 categories
157 of the 2018 European Society of Hypertension-European Society of Cardiology [13].
158 Because of the relatively low percentage of the categories of individuals with Grade 3
159 hypertension, the categories of Grade 2 and Grade 3 hypertension were combined. The
160 category of high-normal BP was used as the reference.

161 Age-adjusted means and proportions of selected cardiovascular risk factors were
162 compared across the categories of BP. Cox proportional hazards model were used to
163 calculate the age-, sex-, and multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95%
164 confidence intervals (CI), by stratification for area (7 regional classifications commonly

165 used in Japan: Hokkaido, Tohoku, Kanto, Chubu, Kinki, Chugoku, and Kyushu).
166 Adjusting factors included body mass index (kg/m^2 , sex-specific quartiles), total
167 cholesterol levels (mmol/L , sex-specific quartiles), history of DM (yes versus no),
168 history of kidney diseases (yes versus no), smoking status (never, ex-smoker, or current
169 smoker of 1-19 and ≥ 20 cigarettes per day), and alcohol intake category (never,
170 ex-drinker, and current drinker at 1-45 and ≥ 46 g ethanol per day). Cardiovascular
171 mortality as the underlying cause included: stroke (International Classification of
172 Disease, 10th Revision, codes I60 to I69), coronary heart disease (codes I20 to I25) and
173 total CVD (codes I01 to I99). We confirmed that there was no violation of the
174 proportional hazards assumption.

175

176 **Results**

177 The overall prevalence of hypertension (systolic BP \geq 140 mmHg and/or diastolic BP
178 \geq 90 mmHg and/or use of antihypertensive medication) in this population was 42.3%. As
179 Table 1 shows, the prevalence of anti-hypertensive medication use, DM, and currently
180 drinking, and the mean values of total cholesterol and body mass index were higher in
181 persons with Grade 2-3 hypertension than those with lower BP.

182 During the maximum of 21.6 (median 18.5) years of follow-up of 27,728
183 individuals aged 40 to 79 years, 5,239 participants died and 1,309 participants had
184 relocated. The fatalities included 1,477 deaths from CVD; 682 deaths from stroke and
185 304 deaths from coronary heart disease.

186 The Figure shows the crude mortality rate, and Table 2 shows
187 multivariable-adjusted HRs of total CVD mortality according to baseline BP category.
188 The crude cardiovascular mortality rates, in all baseline BP categories, were higher
189 among patients treated for hypertension than those untreated (Figure), implying that
190 treated patients already had higher a risk at baseline. As shown in Table 2, higher BP
191 categories were associated linearly and positively with risk of CVD mortality. Relative
192 to high-normal BP, the multivariable HRs were 0.85 (95% CI: 0.69-1.04) for optimal
193 BP, 0.96 (0.81-1.15) for normal BP, 1.26 (1.09-1.46) for Grade 1 hypertension, and 1.55

194 (1.31-1.84) for Grade 2-3 hypertension. A similar linear association was observed
195 among persons with no baseline antihypertensive medication use. Among those treated
196 for hypertension at baseline, however, a U-shaped association with CVD mortality was
197 observed. The multivariable HRs were: 2.31 (1.25-4.27), 1.68 (1.05-2.69), 1.56
198 (1.10-2.22), and 1.63 (1.13-2.36), respectively. Similar relations, but not always
199 statistically significant, were separately observed for stroke and coronary heart disease
200 (Supplemental Table). These trends were essentially similar when men and women were
201 analysed separately (not shown in tables).

202

203 **Discussion**

204 In this large and long-term prospective cohort study of a Japanese general population
205 aged 40-79 years, we confirmed a linear relation between higher BP category at baseline
206 and risk of total CVD mortality. On the other hand, among participants treated for
207 hypertension, we found a U-shaped relation with a nadir at high-normal BP. That
208 association was similarly observed for mortality from stroke and coronary heart disease.
209 The high mortality among patients with hypertension treated to below normal BP levels
210 is unlikely to be causal, due to the ‘real-life’ nature of the observational data used in our
211 study. Our findings suggest the importance of careful monitoring of BP and
212 comorbidities of patients already undergoing treatment for hypertension who have low
213 BP levels at initial presentation, which is in line with the European Guidelines for the
214 management of arterial hypertension recommendations which state: “Importantly, the
215 impact of BP-lowering on the well being of the patient should be closely monitored,
216 because the increased risk of adverse events (e.g. injurious falls) with lower BP values
217 could be more pronounced in older patients in the real-life setting than in the closely
218 monitored conditions of randomized controlled trials”.[13]

219 A recent study pooling 2 randomized controlled trials (SPRINT and ACCORD)
220 demonstrated that intensive treatment targeting <120 mmHg systolic BP significantly

221 lowered risk of CVD mortality (HR = 0.83 [0.74-0.92]) compared to standard treatment
222 targeting <140 mmHg [3]. A network meta-analysis of 42 trials including 144,220
223 patients found the lowest incidence and mortality from CVD in the 120 to 124 mmHg
224 systolic BP category compared with the higher categories, although they did not
225 examine the risk below 120 mmHg [14]. Our results do not conflict with the results of
226 these trials. The purpose of the clinical trials was to prove the benefit of BP-lowering
227 treatment among high-risk patients under ideal clinical trial conditions. For example,
228 participants in SPRINT were required to have an increased risk of CVD, such as clinical
229 or subclinical CVD (except for stroke), chronic kidney disease, high Framingham risk
230 score, or age of 75 years or older [10]. In that trial, patients who had DM or a history of
231 stroke were excluded. Participants in ACCORD all had DM, and one of the following:
232 CVD, anatomical evidence of atherosclerosis, albuminuria, left ventricular hypertrophy,
233 or at least 2 conventional cardiovascular risk factors (dyslipidemia, hypertension,
234 smoking, or obesity) [9]. The purpose of these trials was to explore the effect of
235 intensive BP lowering in high-risk patients. On the other hand, observational studies, by
236 their nature, cannot prove causality or address the optimal level to reduce high BP, but
237 may more accurately reflect the clinical reality. Patients treated for hypertension to low
238 BP levels may have had a higher prevalence of end-organ defects such as carotid

239 atherosclerosis, cardiac hypertrophy, or chronic kidney disease and, thus, carried a
240 higher risk of CVD. Physicians were likely to aggressively treat hypertension in those
241 patients. However at the baseline of this study (1988-1990), under the criteria of
242 hypertension of $\geq 160/95$ mmHg, the aggressive treatment of hypertension was less
243 common in general practice than it is nowadays. Rather, in general practice,
244 hypertension is typically treated by physicians who are not necessarily experts of
245 cardiology. Patients treated for hypertension may have comorbidities that are likely
246 cause low BP such as atherosclerosis, atrial fibrillation, or heart failure. These patients
247 are sometimes unintentionally treated to below normal BP levels, in which the risk of
248 mortality from CVD increases.

249 As stated, observational data and clinical trial data both have their advantages and
250 disadvantages. Our observational data perhaps more accurately reflect the natural course
251 of a general community-dwelling population, whereas clinical trial data involves a more
252 selected sample of patients who met certain inclusion/exclusion criteria. Accordingly,
253 observational data cannot prove the causality while the trial data have restrictions in
254 terms of generalizability. The U-shape associations among patients already treated for
255 hypertension in the present study do not disprove the beneficial effect of

256 anti-hypertensive medication, but do shed light on the long-term outcomes of patients
257 with hypertension who were under treatment at baseline.

258 Further limitations of the present study should be noted. First, the information on
259 BP and antihypertensive medication use was obtained at baseline only, and thus changes
260 in BP and continued medication use were not taken into account. The nature of the
261 observational study does not allow for controlling variables that may influence
262 behaviour. Second, while we had information on current antihypertensive medication
263 use at baseline, we had no information on the type, dosage, and duration of the drugs
264 prescribed at and after baseline and on hospitalization. In the 1990s, calcium channel
265 blockers were the first-choice antihypertensive drugs in Japan. A previous study showed
266 that survivors of coronary heart disease who took calcium channel blockers had an
267 excess risk of total mortality, with plausible explanations including the established
268 proischemic effect, negative inotropic effects, marked hypotensive effect, and
269 prohemorrhagic effects of these drugs [15]. Assuming these effects may be broadly
270 general, they may in part explain our finding of excess risk of CVD mortality associated
271 with the aggressive treatment of hypertension. Third, we do not have patient data on
272 hypertension-associated end organ damage such as might be provided by
273 electrocardiograms, echocardiograms, or ultrasound imaging of the carotid arteries,

274 which may mediate the risk of CVD mortality. Fourth, the number of cardiovascular
275 deaths was small—especially among patients treated for hypertension—although the
276 present study was large for an Asian cohort study of BP-CVD associations stratified by
277 treatment. Lastly, the results are based on mortality from CVD rather than its incidence,
278 which could possibly result in reduced accuracy of diagnosis. In Japan, specification of
279 underlying causes of death is reported to be reasonable accurate [16,17], although
280 inaccurate in some instances (eg out of hospital sudden deaths of unspecified origin).
281 Such misclassification of cardiovascular deaths could differ by BP category, meaning
282 that our results would be biased.

283 In conclusion, our results suggest that higher BP categories are linearly and
284 positively associated with risk of CVD mortality among patients not treated with
285 antihypertensive medication. However, among treated individuals with optimal and
286 normal BP levels, we found an excess risk of mortality from total stroke and total CVD
287 compared with treated individuals with high-normal BP. The present observation
288 highlights the importance of careful monitoring of the BP and comorbidities of patients
289 already treated for hypertension who exhibit lower BP levels.

290

291 **Acknowledgments**

292 The authors sincerely appreciate Dr Kunio Aoki and Dr Yoshiyuki Ohno, Nagoya
293 University School of Medicine, and to Dr Haruo Sugano, Cancer Institute, Tokyo, who
294 greatly contributed to the initiation of JACC Study. The authors also thank Dr Aaron R.
295 Folsom, University of Minnesota, for valuable comments on this manuscript, and Dr
296 Tomomi Kihara and Dr Midori Takada for technical assistances, and Mr Thomas
297 Mayers, Medical English Communications Center, University of Tsukuba for editorial
298 assistance. The whole members of JACC Study was presented in:
299 <https://publichealth.med.hokudai.ac.jp/jacc/member.html>

300

301 **Figure legend**

302 Crude mortality rates from total cardiovascular disease according to blood pressure
303 categories among participants with or without treatment for hypertension.

304 References

305

- 306 1. Shimamoto T, Komachi Y, Inada H, Doi M, Iso H, Sato S, et al. Trends for
307 coronary heart disease and stroke and their risk factors in Japan. *Circulation*.
308 1989;79:503-515.
- 309 2. Law MR, Morris JK, Wald NJ. Use of blood pressure lowering drugs in the
310 prevention of cardiovascular disease: meta-analysis of 147 randomised trials in the
311 context of expectations from prospective epidemiological studies. *BMJ*.
312 2009;338:b1665.
- 313 3. Aggarwal R, Steinkamp J, Chiu N, Petrie B, Mirzan H. Intensive blood
314 pressure targets for diabetic and other high-risk populations: A pooled individual patient
315 data analysis. *Hypertension*. 2018;71:833-839.
- 316 4. Samuelsson OG, Wilhelmsen LW, Pennert KM, Wedel H, Berglund GL. The
317 J-shaped relationship between coronary heart disease and achieved blood pressure level
318 in treated hypertension: further analyses of 12 years of follow-up of treated
319 hypertensives in the Primary Prevention Trial in Gothenburg, Sweden. *J Hypertens*.
320 1990;8:547-555.
- 321 5. D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Kannel WB, Cruickshank JM. Relation of low

- 322 diastolic blood pressure to coronary heart disease death in presence of myocardial
323 infarction: the Framingham Study. *BMJ*. 1991;303:385-389.
- 324 6. Ogiwara T. Practitioner's Trial on the Efficacy of Antihypertensive Treatment in
325 the Elderly Hypertension (The PATE-Hypertension Study) in Japan. *Am J Hypertens*.
326 2000;13:461-467.
- 327 7. Ogiwara T, Matsuoka H, Rakugi H. Practitioner's Trial on the Efficacy of
328 Antihypertensive Treatment in Elderly Patients with Hypertension II
329 (PATE-hypertension II study) in Japan. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2011;11:414-421.
- 330 8. Asayama K, Satoh M, Murakami Y, Ohkubo T, Nagasawa SY, Tsuji I, et al.
331 Cardiovascular risk with and without antihypertensive drug treatment in the Japanese
332 general population: participant-level meta-analysis. *Hypertension*. 2014;63:1189-97.
- 333 9. ACCORD Study Group. Effects of intensive blood-pressure control in type 2
334 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:1575-1585.
- 335 10. SPRINT Research Group. A randomized trial of intensive versus standard
336 blood-pressure control. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373:2103-2116.
- 337 11. Tamakoshi A, Ozasa K, Fujino Y, Suzuki K, Sakata K, Mori M, et al. Cohort
338 profile of the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study at final follow-up. *J Epidemiol*.
339 2013;23:227-232.

- 340 12. International guidelines for ethical review of epidemiological studies. *Law*
341 *Med Health Care* 1991;19:247-258.
- 342 13. Williams B, Mancia G, Spiering W, Agabiti Rosei E, Azizi M, Burnier M, et al.
343 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: The Task Force
344 for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Cardiology and
345 the European Society of Hypertension *J Hypertens* 2018; 36:1953-2041.
- 346 14. Bundy JD, Li C, Stuchlik P, Bu X, Kelly TN, Mills KT, et al. Systolic blood
347 pressure reduction and risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality: A systematic review
348 and network meta-analysis. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2017;2:775-781.
- 349 15. Furberg CD, Psaty BM, Meyer JV. Nifedipine. Dose-related increase in
350 mortality in patients with coronary heart disease. *Circulation.* 1995;92:1326-1331.
- 351 16. Hasuo Y, Ueda K, Kiyohara Y, Wada J, Kawano H, Kato I, et al. Accuracy of
352 diagnosis on death certificates for underlying causes of death in a long-term
353 autopsy-based population study in Hisayama, Japan; with special reference to
354 cardiovascular diseases. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1989;42:577-584.
- 355 17. Saito I. Review of death certificate diagnosis of coronary heart disease and
356 heart failure in Japan. *Jpn J Public Health [Nihon Kosshu Eisei Zasshi].*
357 2004;51:909-916.

TABLE 1 Age- and sex-adjusted baseline characteristics according to blood pressure category.

Blood pressure category	No. of participants	Age	Men (%)	Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Antihypertensive medication (%)	Current smoker (%)	Current drinker (%)	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	Body mass index (kg/m ²)	Diabetes mellitus (%)
Total											
Optimal	5717	53	28	109	67	3	24	37	4.98	21.9	2.5
Normal	5771	55	35	122	74	6	22	40	5.08	22.8	2.5
High normal	5740	57	38	132	79	9	22	40	5.15	23.2	3.0
Grade 1 hypertension	7349	59	40	144	86	18	22	42	5.19	23.8	3.6
Grade 2-3 hypertension	3151	61	43	167	95	31	22	43	5.23	24.3	4.1
No medication use											
Optimal	5316	53	28	109	67	0	24	37	4.97	22.0	2.2
Normal	5178	55	36	122	75	0	22	40	5.07	22.8	2.0
High normal	4968	57	38	132	79	0	22	40	5.14	23.2	2.4
Grade 1 hypertension	5649	58	41	144	86	0	21	42	5.19	23.7	2.8
Grade 2-3 hypertension	2042	60	44	166	95	0	22	43	5.22	24.3	3.1
Antihypertensive medication use											
Optimal	85	61	26	111	68	100	23	39	5.01	23.3	7.9
Normal	265	62	27	123	75	100	19	37	5.19	24.0	6.2
High normal	484	62	36	133	78	100	19	41	5.22	23.9	7.3
Grade 1 hypertension	1345	62	35	146	85	100	21	41	5.22	24.1	6.8
Grade 2-3 hypertension	985	62	41	169	95	100	21	40	5.27	24.2	6.5

Blood pressure category was defined as follows: optimal =systolic blood pressure less than 120 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure less than 80 mmHg; normal =120-129 mmHg and/or 80-84 mmHg; high normal =130-139 mmHg and/or 85-89 mmHg; Grade 1 hypertension =140-159 mmHg and/or 90-99 mmHg; Grade 2-3 hypertension =at least 160mmHg and/or 100

TABLE 2. Multivariable hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals of cardiovascular disease mortality.

Blood pressure category	Person years (PY)	No. of deaths	Crude death rate (/1000PY)	Total cardiovascular disease		HR2*	95%CI	
				HR1*	95%CI			
Total								
Optimal	96,836	148	1.53	0.84	(0.69-1.03)] 0.90 (0.77-1.06)	0.85	(0.69-1.04)
Normal	96,176	214	2.23	0.95	(0.80-1.14)		0.96	(0.81-1.15)
High normal	94,711	274	2.89	1.00			1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	115,434	533	4.62	1.32	(1.14-1.53)		1.26	(1.09-1.46)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	46,643	308	6.60	1.67	(1.42-1.97)		1.55	(1.31-1.84)
No medication use								
Optimal	89,893	118	1.31	0.81	(0.65-1.02)] 0.86 (0.72-1.02)	0.77	(0.61-0.97)
Normal	86,169	163	1.89	0.89	(0.73-1.09)		0.88	(0.71-1.08)
High normal	82,260	212	2.58	1.00			1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	89,446	337	3.77	1.20	(1.01-1.42)		1.19	(1.00-1.42)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	30,839	188	6.10	1.62	(1.33-1.98)		1.61	(1.32-1.97)
Antihypertensive medication use								
Optimal	1,333	14	10.50	2.34	(1.27-4.31)] 1.84 (1.20-2.81)	2.31	(1.25-4.27)
Normal	4,119	33	8.01	1.69	(1.06-2.68)		1.68	(1.05-2.69)
High normal	7,410	40	5.40	1.00			1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	19,965	159	7.96	1.58	(1.12-2.24)		1.56	(1.10-2.22)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	13,771	110	7.99	1.63	(1.13-2.35)		1.63	(1.13-2.36)

* HR1: Stratified by area and adjusted for age and sex; HR2: further adjusted for body mass index, serum total cholesterol levels, history of diabetes, smoking status and alcohol intake.

Blood pressure category was defined as follows: optimal =systolic blood pressure less than 120 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure less than 80 mmHg; normal =120-129 mmHg and/or 80-84 mmHg; high normal =130-139 mmHg and/or 85-89 mmHg; Grade 1 hypertension =140-159 mmHg and/or 90-99 mmHg; Grade 2-3 hypertension =at least 160mmHg and/or 100 mmHg, respectively.

Supplemental table. Multivariable hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals of mortality from stroke and coronary heart disease.

Blood pressure category	Person years (PY)	No. of deaths	Crude death rate (/1000PY)	Stroke				Coronary heart disease					
				HR1*	95%CI	HR2*	95%CI	No. of deaths	Crude death rate (/1000PY)	HR1*	95%CI	HR2*	95%CI
Total													
Optimal to normal	193,012	171	0.89	1.03	(0.81-1.31)	1.04	(0.82-1.32)	60	0.31	0.61	(0.43-0.86)	0.64	(0.45-0.90)
High normal	94,711	116	1.22	1.00		1.00		67	0.71	1.00		1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	115,434	253	2.19	1.49	(1.19-1.86)	1.39	(1.11-1.73)	106	0.92	1.06	(0.78-1.44)	1.02	(0.75-1.39)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	46,643	142	3.04	1.81	(1.41-2.31)	1.65	(1.29-2.13)	71	1.52	1.48	(1.06-2.08)	1.39	(0.99-1.96)
No medication use													
Optimal to normal	176,061	133	0.76	0.98	(0.75-1.29)	0.94	(0.72-1.24)	43	0.24	0.53	(0.36-0.80)	0.54	(0.36-0.81)
High normal	82,260	89	1.08	1.00		1.00		52	0.63	1.00		1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	89,446	155	1.73	1.32	(1.01-1.71)	1.30	(1.00-1.69)	74	0.83	1.05	(0.73-1.50)	1.04	(0.73-1.48)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	30,839	91	2.95	1.83	(1.36-2.46)	1.84	(1.36-2.48)	42	1.36	1.38	(0.91-2.08)	1.32	(0.87-2.00)
Antihypertensive medication use													
Optimal to normal	5,452	22	4.04	1.74	(0.94-3.23)	1.70	(0.91-3.17)	9	1.65	2.30	(0.85-6.22)	2.29	(0.84-6.26)
High normal	7,410	19	2.56	1.00		1.00		7	0.94	1.00		1.00	
Grade 1 hypertension	19,965	82	4.11	1.72	(1.04-2.85)	1.67	(1.01-2.78)	27	1.35	1.43	(0.62-3.29)	1.41	(0.61-3.27)
Grade 2-3 hypertension	13,771	49	3.56	1.60	(0.94-2.73)	1.57	(0.91-2.68)	26	1.89	1.79	(0.77-4.16)	1.79	(0.76-4.19)

* HR1: Stratified by area and adjusted for age and sex; HR2: further adjusted for body mass index, serum total cholesterol levels, history of diabetes, smoking status and alcohol intake.

Blood pressure category was defined as follows: optimal to normal =systolic blood pressure less than 130 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure less than 85 mmHg; high normal =130-139 mmHg and/or 85-89 mmHg; Grade 1 hypertension =140-159 mmHg and/or 90-99 mmHg; Grade 2-3 hypertension =at least 160mmHg and/or 100 mmHg, respectively.

-

-

-