COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

<u>Title:</u> Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Systematic Review of the Literature From 2009 Through 2014.

Authors: Keith D. Cicerone, PhD^{1,2}, Yelena Goldin, PhD^{1,2}, Keith Ganci, PhD³, Amy

Rosenbaum, PhD⁴, Jennifer V. Wethe⁵, PhD., Donna M. Langenbahn, PhD^{6,7}, James F. Malec,

PhD^{5,9}, Thomas F. Bergquist, PhD⁵, Kristine Kingsley, PsyD^{6,7}, Drew Nagele, PsyD^{10,11}, Lance

Trexler, PhD^{8,9}, Michael Fraas, PhD¹², Yelena Bogdanova, PhD^{13,14}, J. Preston Harley, PhD¹⁵.

Affiliations:

- 1. JFK Johnson Rehabilitation Institute, Hackensack Meridian Health System
- 2. Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School
- 3. Charlotte Center for Neuropsychological Services
- 4. Park Terrace Care Center
- 5. Mayo Clinic College of Medicine and Science
- 6. Rusk Rehabilitation at NYU Langone Health
- 7. New York University School of Medicine
- 8. Rehabilitation Hospital of Indiana
- 9. Indiana University School of Medicine
- 10. Beechwood NeuroRehab
- 11. Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine
- 12. Western Washington University
- 13. Boston University School of Medicine
- 14. VA Boston Healthcare System

This is the author's manuscript of the article published in final edited form as:

Cicerone, K. D., Goldin, Y., Ganci, K., Rosenbaum, A., Wethe, J. V., Langenbahn, D. M., ... Harley, J. P. (2019). Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Systematic Review of the Literature From 2009 Through 2014. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2019.02.011

15. Advocate Christ Medical Center

None

Disclosures:

Corresponding Author:Keith D. Cicerone, Ph.D.JFK Johnson Rehabilitation Institute2048 Oak Tree RoadEdison, NJ 08820(732) 906-2640Keith.Cicerone@hackensackmeridian.org

Acknowledgement of CRTF members who contributed as article Reviewers: Quratulain Khan,

PhD, Summer Ibarra, PhD, Devan Parrott, PhD, Brenda Swartz, PsyD, Teresa Ashman, PhD,

Joshua Cantor, PhD., Christopher Carter, PhD

Acknowledgement of assistance with the preparation of manuscript: Jaclyn Danyo, Alyssa Ettore

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

2

3 Evidence-based cognitive rehabilitation: Systematic review of the literature from 2009 through

4 2014.

5

6 ABSTRACT

7

8 **Objective:** To conduct an updated, systematic review of the clinical literature, classify studies

9 based on the strength of research design, and derive consensual, evidence-based clinical

10 recommendations for cognitive rehabilitation of people with TBI or stroke.

Data Sources: Online Pubmed and print journal searches identified citations for 250 articles

12 published from 2009 through 2014.

13 Study Selection: 186 articles were selected for inclusion after initial screening. 50 articles were

14 initially excluded (24 healthy, pediatric or other neurologic diagnoses, 10 non-cognitive

15 interventions, 13 descriptive protocols or studies, 3 non-treatment studies). 15 articles were

16 excluded after complete review (1 other neurologic diagnosis, 2 non-treatment studies, 1

17 qualitative study, 4 descriptive papers, 7 secondary analyses). 121 studies were fully reviewed.

18 Data Extraction: Articles were reviewed by CRTF members according to specific criteria for

19 study design and quality, and classified as providing Class I, Class II, or Class III evidence.

20 Articles were assigned to 1 of 6 possible categories (based on interventions for attention, vision

and neglect, language and communication skills, memory, executive function, or comprehensive-

22 integrated interventions).

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

23	Data Synthesis: Of 121 studies, 41 were rated as Class I, 3 as Class Ia, 14 as Class II, and 63 as
24	Class III. Recommendations were derived by CRTF consensus from the relative strengths of the
25	evidence, based on the decision rules applied in prior reviews.
26	Conclusions: CRTF has now evaluated 491 papers (109 Class I or Ia, 68 Class II, and 314 Class
27	III) and makes 29 recommendations for evidence-based practice of cognitive rehabilitation (9
28	Practice Standards, 9 Practice Guidelines and 11 Practice Options). Evidence supports Practice
29	Standards for attention deficits after TBI or stroke; visual scanning for neglect after right
30	hemisphere stroke; compensatory strategies for mild memory deficits; language deficits after left
31	hemisphere stroke; social communication deficits after TBI; metacognitive strategy training for
32	deficits in executive functioning; and comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation
33	to reduce cognitive and functional disability after TBI or stroke.
34	Key Words: Brain injuries; Stroke; Practice guidelines as topic; Rehabilitation.
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

46 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- 47 ABI acquired brain injury
- 48 APT Attention Process Training
- 49 BHW Behavioral Health Workshop
- 50 CO-OP Cognitive Orientation to Occupational Performance
- 51 CRTF Cognitive Rehabilitation Task Force
- 52 CVA cerebrovascular accident
- 53 DTI Diffusion Tensor Imaging
- 54 FA fractional anisotropy
- 55 FIM Functional Independence measure
- 56 GMT Goal Management Training
- 57 IOM Institute of Medicine
- 58 MRI magnetic resonance imaging
- 59 MST metacognitive strategy training
- 60 NFT neurofunctional training
- 61 PDA personal data assistant
- 62 PCS post-concussion symptoms
- 63 PM prospective memory
- 64 PST problem solving therapy
- 65 PTSD post-traumatic stress disorder
- 66 RCT randomized controlled trial
- 67 SE supported employment
- 68 SOT standard occupational therapy

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 69 TBI traumatic brain injury
- 70 tDCS transcranial direct current stimulation
- 71 TPM Time Pressure Management
- 72 VR virtual reality
- 73 WM working memory
- 74
- 75

4

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

7	c
1	σ

77

The Cognitive Rehabilitation Task Force (CRTF) of the American Congress of 78 Rehabilitation Medicine, Brain Injury Special Interest Group, has previously published three 79 systematic reviews of cognitive rehabilitation after TBI or stroke ¹⁻³ Our intent has been to 80 summarize the existing literature in order to provide evidence-based recommendations for the 81 82 clinical practice of cognitive rehabilitation. We have consistently attempted to base our recommendations on the best available scientific evidence, to be applied in conjunction with 83 clinical judgment and patients' preferences and values. Since our initial efforts there has been a 84 proliferation of reviews of the literature regarding the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation. 85 Some of these reviews have maintained a pragmatic, clinical focus while others have emphasized 86 the methodologic rigor of studies and often reached the conclusion that there is insufficient 87 evidence to guide clinical practice. This represents a form of therapeutic nihilism that ignores a 88 basic tenet of evidence-based practice: to utilize the *best available* scientific evidence to support 89 clinical practice. While we support the goals of conducting research of high methodologic 90 quality⁴, we continue to believe that the extant evidence allows for the extrapolation of useful 91 clinical recommendations from the scientific literature. The CRTF therefore conducted the 92 93 current review in order to identify the best available scientific evidence to inform the clinical practice of cognitive rehabilitation. This effort is distinct from most other reviews in its emphasis 94 on the development of practical, evidence-based guidelines, to be used in conjunction with 95 clinical judgment and patient preferences. 96

97 The current paper is an updated systematic review of the literature published from 2009
98 through 2014 addressing cognitive rehabilitation for people with TBI or stroke. We included

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

studies where at least the majority of participants had sustained either traumatic brain injury 99 (mild, moderate or severe) or stroke. Our emphasis on these conditions is based on their clinical 100 prevalence of acquired cognitive deficits and participation in neurorehabilitation, and is 101 consistent with our prior reviews (while other CRTF reviews have addressed other medical 102 conditions). We reviewed and analyzed studies that allowed us to evaluate the effectiveness of 103 behavioral interventions for cognitive limitations. Whenever possible we analyzed studies based 104 105 on comparisons with alternative non-treatment or alternative treatment conditions. We included a range of outcomes representing physiologic function, subjective report or objective measures of 106 neurocognitive impairments, activity limitations or social participation among participants 107 examined during either acute or post-acute stages of recovery. We integrated these findings in 108 our current practice recommendations. 109

110

111 METHODS

112

The development of evidence-based recommendations followed our prior methodology 113 for identification of the relevant literature, review and classification of studies, and development 114 of recommendations. These methods are described in more detail in our initial publication.¹ For 115 116 the current review, online literature searches using PubMed were conducted weekly using the terms cognitive rehabilitation brain injury and cognitive rehabilitation stroke. For our previous 117 reviews we utilized a larger and more diverse set of search terms, and we initially included these 118 terms in our current search strategy. However, early in this process we observed that the broader 119 search terms appeared to have equivalent sensitivity and greater specificity for the identification 120 of relevant citations. We also screened 7 rehabilitation and neuropsychology journals through 121

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

monthly subscriptions. The references from relevant identified articles were also screened. The 122 use of multiple search methods should assure that a comprehensive search was conducted with 123 little if any systematic bias. Articles were assigned to 1 of 6 possible categories (based on 124 interventions for attention, vision and neglect, language and communication skills, memory, 125 executive function, or comprehensive-integrated interventions) that specifically address the 126 rehabilitation of cognitive disability. For this review we did not include studies of aphasia 127 rehabilitation after stroke, but concentrated on functional communication deficits. We based this 128 decision on the large number of studies addressing aphasia rehabilitation, most of which 129 concerned highly specific linguistic deficits and interventions and were felt to be of limited direct 130 relevance to our current objectives. 131

Articles were reviewed by 2 CRTF members who completed a Study Review form and 132 abstracted according to specific criteria: subject characteristics (age, education, gender, nature 133 and severity of injury, time postinjury, inclusion/exclusion criteria); treatment characteristics 134 (treatment setting, target behavior or function, nature of treatment, sole treatment or concomitant 135 treatments); methods of monitoring and analyzing change (e.g. change on dependent variable 136 over course of treatment; pretreatment and posttreatment tests on measures related to target 137 behavior; patient, other, or clinician ratings related to target behaviors; change on functional 138 139 measures; global outcome status); maintenance of treatment effects; statistical analyses performed; and evidence of treatment effectiveness (e.g. improvement on cognitive function 140 being assessed, evidence for generalized improvement on functional outcomes). Each study was 141 classified as providing Class I, Class II, or Class III evidence, as described below. Seven CRTF 142 reviewers were experienced in the process of conducting a systematic review of cognitive 143 rehabilitation studies. An additional 14 reviewers were trained to review and classify articles for 144

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

the purpose of this systematic review. These reviewers attended at least one in-person training
session through the CRTF and achieved consensus with experienced reviewers on at least 4
articles before serving as independent reviewers. In addition to completing the Study Review
form, each reviewer also completed a rating of Quality Criteria ⁴ for each study. This material
will be submitted for separate publication.

The CRTF initially identified citations for 250 published articles. We included articles 150 published between 2009 and 2014 inclusive (including articles published electronically through 151 this period); we stopped identifying potential articles on December 15, 2015. The abstracts or 152 complete articles were reviewed in order to eliminate articles according to the following 153 exclusion criteria: (1) nonintervention articles, including nonclinical experimental manipulation, 154 (2) theoretical articles or descriptions of treatment approaches, (3) review articles, (4) articles 155 without adequate specification of interventions, (5) articles that did not include participants 156 157 primarily with a diagnosis of TBI or stroke, (6) studies of pediatric subjects, (7) single case reports without empirical data, (8) non-peer reviewed articles and book chapters, (9) articles 158 describing pharmacologic interventions, and (10) non-English language articles. 159

Based upon initial review of abstracts or full articles we eliminated 64 reviews published 160 between 2009 and 2014. We eliminated an additional 50 articles based on other exclusion criteria 161 162 (17 studies of participants with other neurologic diagnoses, 10 non-cognitive interventions, 8 descriptive studies, 3 non-treatment studies, 5 experimental manipulations with healthy subjects, 163 5 treatment protocols, 2 pediatric subjects). An additional 8 articles were excluded after complete 164 review (1 with other neurologic diagnosis, 2 non-treatment studies, 1 qualitative study, 2 165 treatment protocols and 2 descriptive papers). We also identified 7 papers representing secondary 166 analyses (2 imaging findings, 2 analyses of patient characteristics, and 3 follow-up studies of 167

8

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

prior RCTs); these 7 papers were not classified based on level of evidence but were used toinform our findings and recommendations.

We fully reviewed and evaluated 121 studies. For these 121 studies, the level of evidence 170 was determined based on criteria used in our prior reviews.¹⁻³ Well-designed, prospective, RCTs 171 were considered class I evidence; studies using a prospective design with quasi-randomized 172 assignment to treatment conditions were designated as class Ia studies. Given the inherent 173 174 difficulty in blinding rehabilitation interventions, we did not consider this as criterion for class I or Ia studies, consistent with our prior reviews. Class II studies consisted of prospective, 175 nonrandomized cohort studies; retrospective, nonrandomized case-control studies; or multiple-176 baseline studies that per- mitted a direct comparison of treatment conditions. Clinical series 177 without concurrent controls, or single-subject designs with adequate quantification and analysis 178 were considered class III evidence. Studies that were designed as comparative effectiveness 179 studies but did not include a direct statistical comparison of treatment conditions were 180 considered class III. Disagreements between the 2 primary reviewers (as occurred for 14 articles) 181 were first addressed by discussion between reviewers to correct minor sources of disagreement, 182 and then by obtaining a third review. 183

Of the 121 studies included for analysis in the current review, 41 were rated as class I, 3 as class Ia, 14 as class II, and 63 as class III. The overall evidence within each predefined area of intervention was synthesized and recommendations were derived from the relative strengths of the evidence. The level of evidence required to determine Practice Standards, Practice Guidelines, or Practice Options was based on the decision rules applied in our initial review (Table 1). All recommendations were reviewed for consensus by the CRTF through face-to-face discussion. COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

191	INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE
192	
193	RESULTS
194	
195	Rehabilitation of Attention
196	
197	We reviewed 13 studies (5 Class I $^{5-9}$, 1 Class II 10 and 7 Class III 11 17) addressing the
198	remediation of attention. Four studies (1 Class I ⁵ , 1 Class II ¹⁰ , and 2 Class III ^{11,14}) evaluating
199	direct attention training using APT provide additional evidence that APT can improve
200	performance on training tasks and direct measures of global attention. A Class I study ⁵
201	compared APT and standard care for hospitalized stroke patients an average of 18 days after a
202	stroke. Participants who received APT demonstrated greater improvement on a composite
203	measure of attention although broader functional outcomes did not differ. This finding is
204	consistent with existent evidence suggesting limited benefits of APT compared with standard
205	brain injury rehabilitation during acute recovery.
206	Two studies (one Class II ⁶ , one Class III ¹¹) utilized single subject designs to investigate
207	the functional benefits of APT as a component of treatment for language deficits. The Class II
208	study used APT-3, which incorporates direct attention training and metacognitive strategy
209	training, to improve reading comprehension in 4 chronic ischemic stroke patients with mild to
210	moderate aphasia ⁶ . All 4 participants demonstrated improvement on select standardized
211	measures of attention, while modest gains in reading comprehension were obtained by 2
212	participants. The authors suggest that improvements in allocation of attention and self-

10

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

213 monitoring may underlie improvements in reading comprehension although there is limited214 evidence for transfer of attention training to functional cognition.

Computer-based working memory training. Two Class I studies evaluated whether computer-215 based working memory training (Cogmed QM) can increase WM performance, and lead to 216 generalized improvements.^{7,8} *The* samples in both studies included individuals with mixed 217 acquired brain injuries, a majority with a diagnosis of stroke. In one study, participants 218 219 demonstrated significant improvement on the trained working memory tasks, untrained working memory tasks, and self-reported cognitive difficulties in everyday living situations, and WM-220 related occupational performance.⁷ The second Class I study investigated WM training in 221 conjunction with standard outpatient rehabilitation, compared with standard rehabilitation alone.⁸ 222 Despite isolated benefits on screening measures of attention and higher cognitive functioning for 223 the WM intervention group, there was no difference between groups on an aggregate WM 224 measure or self-rated executive problems after treatment, making it difficult to attribute specific 225 benefits to the WM intervention. There is Class III evidence (including follow-up ¹⁸ to a Class I 226 study⁸) suggest generalized improvements in self-reported cognitive problems in daily 227 functioning, fatigue, and occupational performance after WM training with Cogmed.^{17, 18} 228 A Class I study evaluated computer-based WM training (a component of RehaCom) 229 combined with training in semantic structuring and word fluency, compared with "standard 230 memory therapy" focused on learning strategies.⁹ WM training resulted in significant 231 improvements on working memory and word fluency, as well as on PM performance, indicating 232 both a direct benefit and generalization of training effects. 233

Specificity of direct attention training. Vallat-Azouvi and colleagues ^{15, 16} conducted a number
 of single-subject studies that addressed the specificity of training for discrete components of

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

working memory impairment (verbal maintenance, visuospatial maintenance, central executive)
after TBI or stroke. The results suggest greater efficacy of "modular" training for each
component, with less specificity of benefits on self-reported generalization to everyday working
memory difficulties. These findings are consistent with the fundamental assumptions of processspecific cognitive training.

241 *Neuroplasticity and direct attention training.* Two Class III studies ^{12, 13} incorporated

242 neuroimaging to investigate whether computer-based attention training (combined with strategy

training ¹²) can contribute to functional restoration and reintegration of neural networks

244 following brain injury. These studies demonstrated training-induced changes in

neuropsychological performance that corresponded with white matter microstructural changes as
measured by DTI-derived FA, ¹² and redistribution of the cerebral attention network marked by
decreased activation of the frontal lobe and increased activation of the anterior cingulate cortices
and precuneus. ¹³

Metacognitive strategy training. One Class I study of metacognitive strategy training extends 249 findings from an earlier review supporting the effectiveness of TPM, a cognitive strategy used to 250 compensate for mental slowness/slow information processing.⁶ The study used a multicenter, 251 randomized, single-blind control trail to investigate the effects of 10 hours of TPM training 252 compared with usual care in a sample of stroke patients at least 3 months post stroke. 253 Participants in both groups showed an improvement in their use of strategies and reported 254 significantly fewer complaints following treatment. However, the TPM group showed 255 significantly greater use of strategies, and at 3-month follow-up, significantly faster task 256 completion indicating greater efficiency in performing everyday tasks. 257

258

INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

Recommendations. The CRTF has previously recommended that treatment of attention deficits
should incorporate both direct attention training and metacognitive strategy training to increase
task performance and promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI (*Practice Standard*).
The present results support extending the recommendation to individuals with stroke during the
post-acute stages of recovery (Table 2).

Improvements in working memory are evident after training on specific, "modular" 264 components of working memory, whether this is achieved through the use of either computer-265 based or therapist-administered interventions. The evidence also suggests improvement on 266 patient-reported outcomes of everyday activities after working memory training. ^{3, 15, 18} Based on 267 this recent evidence, we recommend that direct attention training for specific "modular" 268 impairments in WM, including the use of computer-based interventions, be considered to 269 enhance both cognitive and functional outcomes during post-acute rehabilitation for acquired 270 brain injury (Practice Guideline) (Table 2). This Guideline refines and replaces our previous 271 option for the treatment of global attention impairments through computer based interventions. 272 The CRTF continues to emphasize the importance of therapist involvement and intervention to 273 promote awareness and generalization (e.g., metacognitive strategy training) over the stand-alone 274 use of computer-based tasks. 275

There continues to be insufficient evidence to indicate differential benefits of direct attention training compared with standard (in-patient) brain injury rehabilitation on functional outcomes during acute recovery from TBI or stroke, although this training may improve specific aspects of attention and there is no indication that the incorporation of direct attention training during acute rehabilitation has negative or adverse effects.

281

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

282 Rehabilitation of Visuospatial Functioning

283

We reviewed 7 Class I studies $^{19-25}$ and 6 Class III $^{26-31}$ studies in the area of visual 284 functioning, with 10 of these studies addressing the remediation of visual neglect after right 285 hemisphere stroke, consistent with the emphasis of the previous CRTF review. Rehabilitation of 286 neglect through practice in visual scanning after right hemisphere stroke has been a 287 recommended as a Practice Standard, and this receives continued support in the current review. 288 ^{19,20, 22} More recent research has focused on enhancements of scanning procedures and on 289 alternative procedures. Polanowska and colleagues ¹⁹ provided Class I evidence that left hand 290 stimulation improved outcomes of scanning training for left-sided neglect compared to scanning 291 training alone. A Class I study by Pandian and colleagues ²³ reported that limb activation with 292 mirror therapy (attempting to move the paretic upper extremity to mimic movements of the 293 nonparetic limb reflected in a mirror on the side of the paretic limb) reduced left neglect 294 compared to a sham treatment in an RCT. This study, and an additional Class III study using 295 contralateral limb activation and arm vibration.²⁸ support prior evidence suggesting the benefits 296 of forced activation of the affected limb in conjunction with visual scanning training for left 297 neglect. 32 298

One study that supports the efficacy of visual scanning failed to show a benefit of adding a divided attention task to single-task visuospatial training for neglect. ²⁰ In a class III study, motor imagery failed to improve performance on most neglect measures. ²⁷

Although a physical rather than a cognitive intervention, right hemi-field eye patching was found to reduce left visuospatial neglect compared to standard care in an RCT ²¹ and at an equivalent level to visual scanning training in another RCT. ²² Class III evidence was reported

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

for improving neglect through a pointing exercise, ³⁰ tDCS in addition to scanning training, ²⁹
and a series of interventions that included optokinetic stimulation, prismatic adaptation, and
transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. ²⁶ The CRTF elects not to provide
recommendations regarding these physiological interventions. Two systematic reviews ^{33, 34}
provide additional evidence regarding non-cognitive interventions (e.g. prism adaptation, tDCS,
drugs) in the rehabilitation of neglect.

311 Several studies addressed the application of visuospatial interventions to functional limitations¹⁹,²⁰ and were unable to document generalization of neglect rehabilitation to 312 functional activities. However, it is very likely that neither study was adequately powered to 313 find an effect on functional measures that are affected by factors other than the direct effect of 314 the treatment studied. One Class III study suggests that cognitive interventions that incorporate 315 skill remediation and metacognitive strategies may facilitate return to driving after TBI or stroke. 316 ³¹ Two follow-up studies ^{35, 36} described long term maintenance of the positive effects of driving 317 simulator training on return to driving originally reported in a RCT.²⁵ 318

Computerized interventions to expand the visual field in cases of hemianopsia was offered as a Practice Option in the previous EBR based on a single RCT, pending replication. However, Modden and colleagues ²⁴ were unable to demonstrate an effect for two computerized interventions to remediate hemianopsia compared to standard occupational therapy. Although this RCT may have been underpowered, results challenge the previous recommendation and are more consistent with clinical wisdom regarding the irreversibility of visual field loss secondary to stroke.

326

INSERT TABLE 3 ABOUT HERE

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

Recommendations. There is continued support for the use of visual scanning to improve left 327 visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke as a Practice Standard (Table 3). The inclusion of left 328 hand stimulation or limb activation in visual scanning training should be considered to increase 329 efficacy of rehabilitation for neglect after right hemisphere stroke (Practice Guideline). Based on 330 current evidence, as well as prior research suggesting that functional improvements are 331 associated with compensation, the CRTF does not now recommend the use of computer-based 332 training to extend visual fields. 333 334 **Rehabilitation of Memory Deficits** 335 336 The CRTF reviewed 7 Class I studies,³⁷⁻⁴³ 7 Class II studies⁴⁴⁻⁵⁰ and 6 Class III studies⁵⁰⁻ 337 ⁵⁶ addressing remediation of memory. Many of these studies focused on specific types of 338 memory impairments rather than global memory functioning. Consequently, the CRTF has 339 organized the more recent studies by the type of memory functioning to be improved. The 340 studies fall into three major categories of functional memory problems 1) prospective 341 remembering; 2) recall of information for the purpose of performing everyday tasks; and 3) 342 memory for routes and navigation. All of the studies utilized a variety of memory strategies 343 previously discussed by the CRTF. 344 *Prospective memory.* PM is defined as the ability to recall and execute at a future time an 345 intention. There is strong evidence from Class I studies to support assistive technology training 346 as a way to improve the likelihood of future intentions being carried out.³⁸⁻⁴¹ Lemoncello and 347

349 participants with audiovisual reminders at scheduled prospective times on a person's home

348

colleagues ⁴⁰ demonstrated the use of a novel assistive technology device which prompts

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

350 television screen. Results showed significant advantage of PM prompting compared to a no prompting condition. Two Class I studies ^{38, 39} suggest that use of a PDA compared with non-351 electronic memory compensations may lead to fewer functional memory failures and less use of 352 internal memory compensations, with no differences in general memory performance. The 353 majority of participants in these studies had sustained a TBI, although several studies also 354 included participants who had sustained a stroke. ^{39,40} These results are supported by Class II ⁵⁰ 355 and Class III ⁵²evidence demonstrating improved task completion with the use of a PDA. 356 Shum and colleagues ⁴³ examined compensatory PM training to maximize use of a diary 357 or organizational device for writing reminders, appointments, and note-taking to minimize PM 358 failure, with or without self-awareness training. Training in compensatory strategies was found 359 to increase note-taking independently of self-awareness training. Bergquist and colleagues ³⁷ 360 compared two internet-based interventions on memory performance and use of compensations to 361 carry out meaningful activities in daily life: active calendar acquisition training, compared with 362 use of a diary-only to log day-to-day events. There were no differences on compensation use; the 363 authors suggested that both conditions may have had a therapeutic effect by focusing on recall of 364 future events and historical information. Results of these interventions are notable in light of 365 evidence that the use of external memory compensations (e.g. checking things off on a calendar) 366 is a stronger predictor of activity limitations after TBI than the degree of cognitive impairment ⁵⁷ 367 and may not require changes in awareness. 368

369 One Class I study ⁴² used visual imagery as the main ingredient in the PM training, based 370 on the idea that visual imagery can strengthen the cue-action association, compared with a 371 control condition of brief education. Individuals with moderate to severe TBI's were trained to 372 make associations between prospective cues and an intended action. Visual imagery training

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

appeared to improve PM functioning by strengthening the "memory trace" and "automatic
recall" of intentions. Generalization was demonstrated by participants making fewer PM failures
in their daily lives. Two Class II studies ^{45, 46} investigated self-imagination as a mnemonic
strategy to enhance episodic memory, with respect to a PM task. Participants who were trained
on a self-imagination technique demonstrated a 66% advantage in prospective remembering,
compared with just using rote rehearsal.

379 *Improving memory for everyday tasks*. Two Class II studies evaluated group-based memory training techniques to improve recall of information for the purpose of performing everyday 380 tasks, compared with no intervention, after a TBI⁴⁹ or single stroke.⁴⁴ O'Neill and colleagues 381 ⁴⁹ used a group training intervention focused on internal memory strategy training and found 382 improvement on everyday memory measures, with greater effect for mild and moderately 383 impaired participants. Miller and colleagues⁴⁴ studied the use of a group memory training 384 program patients during the chronic stage of recovery after a single stroke. The intervention 385 included education about memory and the use of both internal/mental strategies and external 386 compensatory aides. Results included significant improvement on measures of delayed recall and 387 assessments of PM, with more marked gains for individuals with higher education or higher 388 measured intelligence. Shorter time post stroke was associated with less improvement of PM. 389 Memory for routes and navigation. Limited evidence was available to support the use of 390 memory training strategies to improve memory for routes and navigation. One Class II study⁴⁸ 391 suggests that the benefits of errorless learning extend to practical route memorization. One Class 392 III study⁵¹ suggests that intensive training in virtual navigational tasks may result in an 393 enhancement of memory function for adults with acquired brain injury. 394

395

INSERT TABLE 4 ABOUT HERE

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

396 **Recommendations.** In prior reviews, the CRTF has consistently recommended a Practice Standard of compensatory memory strategy training for mild memory impairments after TBI, 397 including the use of internalized strategies and external compensations. Current evidence 398 supports the use of visual imagery, association techniques, and the use of assistive technology for 399 the treatment of prospective remembering difficulties in persons with mild memory impairment 400 (Practice Standard) (Table 4). These recommendations are consistent with a recent systematic 401 review of neuropsychological rehabilitation for PM deficits. ⁵⁸ Memory strategy training is also 402 recommended for the improvement of recall in the performance of everyday tasks in people with 403 mild memory impairments after TBI (Practice Standard). Current evidence supports the use of 404 group-based memory strategy training for the purpose of improving PM and recall in the 405 performance of everyday tasks after TBI, and extends this recommendation to the treatment of 406 people with mild to moderate memory impairments after stroke (Practice Option). Current 407 408 findings are consistent with prior evidence suggesting that internal strategies are more effective for participants with less severe memory impairments and greater cognitive reserve. 409 In previous reviews, the CRTF focused its recommendations on particular techniques for

In previous reviews, the CRTF focused its recommendations on particular techniques for improving memory function, such as the use of errorless learning techniques and externallydirected assistive devices for patients with moderate to severe memory impairments. Current literature suggests increased emphasis on use of assistive technology and remote treatment delivery using the Internet, but no new evidence to support changing prior recommendations.

416 Rehabilitation of Communication and Social Cognition

417

418 We reviewed 2 Class I 59,60 studies, 1 Class II 61 study, and 5 Class III $^{62-66}$ studies in the area of

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

20

419 communication, predominantly after TBI. One Class III investigation included 5 participants
 420 with right-hemisphere CVA. ⁶⁴

Remediation for specific language impairments. One Class II study ⁶¹ examined the 421 effectiveness of a structured cognitive-based approach to improving reading comprehension 422 compared to a no-strategy control condition, after TBI or stroke. The treatment condition 423 consisted of learning a reading strategy implemented at three different phases in the reading 424 process: pre-reading, during reading, and post-reading. The results indicate that the treatment 425 strategy was associated with greater immediate and delayed recall of information, greater 426 efficiency of delayed recall (as measured by the time taken to recall units of information), and 427 increased accuracy of sentence verification. The authors emphasize the need to match reading 428 comprehension strategies to patient-specific needs and abilities as a more clinically effective 429 430 approach.

Lundgren and colleagues ⁶⁴ and Brownell and colleagues ⁶⁵ provide Class III evidence to 431 support the treatment of metaphor interpretation following right-hemisphere CVA and TBI, 432 respectfully. Lundgren and colleagues ⁶⁴ examined whether a structured intervention focused on 433 improving use of semantic associations could improve oral interpretations of metaphors in 5 434 participants with right hemisphere CVA. Significant improvement on oral metaphor 435 interpretation was noted though little improvement was demonstrated on an untrained line 436 orientation task. In the second investigation, Brownell and colleagues ⁶⁵ investigated the 437 effectiveness of the same metaphor interpretation task with a group of 8 subjects 3-20 years 438 following moderate to severe TBI. Six of the 8 participants demonstrated significant 439 improvements in oral metaphor interpretation with 3 out of the 6 demonstrating maintenance 440 effects at 3-4-month follow-up. 441

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

Specific treatments for remediation of emotional perception deficits. Two Class I studies ^{59,60} 442 and 1 Class III study ⁶⁶ provide support for the remediation of emotional perception deficits 443 following ABI. McDonald and colleagues ⁶⁰ randomized 20 participants to either an intervention 444 group or a wait-list group. Treatment involved a manualized program to improve the ability to 445 perceive and distinguish between prosodic emotional cues. Group differences in test performance 446 favored the treatment group; however, only 6 of the subjects allocated to the treatment group 447 448 demonstrated measurable improvements on test scores. None of the participants demonstrated a treatment effect at one-month follow-up. 449

Neumann and colleagues ⁵⁹ randomized a group of 71 participants with TBI to either one 450 of two treatment groups or a cognitive-training control group. All treatments were provided 451 through one-on-one computer-assisted interventions facilitated by a therapist The first treatment 452 taught participants to recognize emotions from facial expressions (Faces). The second treatment 453 taught participants to infer emotions from contextual cues presented in a story format (Stories). 454 Participants in the control condition played a variety of online, publicly available computer 455 games that targeted cognitive skills but did not provide any type of emotion-related training. On 456 tests of facial emotion recognition, there was a significant main effect reported between the 457 Faces group and the control group, but not between the Stories group and the control group. 458 There were no significant main or interaction effects between Faces, Stories and control 459 conditions on the ability to infer emotions from stories, and no generalization to measures of 460 empathy or neuropsychiatric behaviors. These findings replicate a previous Class III 461 investigation.⁶⁶ The authors indicate that facial emotion recognition training is effective for 462 individuals with TBI and that benefits of treatment can be maintained up to 6 months following 463 intervention. However, they indicate that the training failed to show a generalization effect to 464

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

22

465 emotion perception based on contextual cues. The authors suggest that group treatment may
466 provide an opportunity to practice emotion recognition in a functional setting and subsequently
467 promote generalization of performance.

Group treatment for social communication deficits. Braden and colleagues ⁶³ conducted a 468 Class III feasibility investigation with pre-post and six-month follow-up assessments to 469 determine the effectiveness of a group interactive structured treatment approach combined with 470 471 individual treatments for improving social skills following TBI. This study extends the findings of a previous RCT study by the same researchers ⁶⁷ to 30 participants with post-acute TBI with 472 identified social communication deficits plus a history of psychiatric/psychological disorder or 473 substance abuse or those with additional neurological complications, such as stroke, hypoxia, 474 multiple sclerosis or others (TBI-Plus). Results demonstrated that, following a 13-week group 475 social communication skills intervention, the TBI-plus participants made statistically significant 476 477 gains on subjective social communication skills and quality of life measures, which were maintained at 6-month follow-up. Additional Class III⁶² evidence provides support for the 478 effectiveness of group treatment for remediation of social communication deficits following TBI. 479

480

INSERT TABLE 5 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The CRTF previously recommended cognitive interventions for specific
 language impairments such as reading comprehension and language formulation after left
 hemisphere stroke or TBI (*Practice Guideline*). A well-designed Class II study ⁶¹ provides
 additional evidence to support this recommendation (Table 5).

The CRTF previously recommended as a *Practice Standard* specific interventions for
functional communication deficits, including pragmatic conversational skills following TBI.
Two Class III studies reporting the effectiveness of metaphor interpretation training following

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

488	right hemisphere stroke 64 and TBI 65 provide support for this recommendation. One Class I 59
489	and one Class III study ⁶⁶ suggest that specific intervention to improve the recognition of
490	emotions from facial expressions may be effectively incorporated as component of the Practice
491	Standard for treating functional communication deficits after TBI (Table 5). However, the CRTF
492	notes that this effect may be specific to this training and does not generalize to training emotional
493	perception based on prosodic or semantic-contextual cues, nor to empathy or neuropsychiatric
494	behaviors.

Two Class III studies ^{62, 63} support the recommendation (*Practice Option*) for groupbased interventions for the remediation of language deficits after left hemisphere stroke and for
social-communication deficits after TBI.

498

499 Rehabilitation of Executive Functioning

500

The CRTF reviewed 15 Class I ⁶⁸⁻⁸² or Class Ia ⁸³⁻⁸⁵ studies, 3 Class II ⁸⁶⁻⁸⁸ studies, and 19 Class III ^{89–107} studies of interventions for executive functioning. The central aspect of most of these interventions is the facilitation of *metacognitive knowledge* (awareness) and metacognitive self-regulation (e.g., goal setting, planning, initiation, execution, self-monitoring, and error management). Many of these interventions addressed multiple aspects of executive dysfunction within an integrated treatment approach.

Goal Management Training. We reviewed 2 Class I studies,^{69, 70} 1 Class II study,⁸⁶ and 1 Class
 III study ⁹³ addressing the remediation of executive functioning using GMT.

A Class I study ⁶⁹ investigated the effectiveness of GMT compared to BHW control
group in a mixed population. GMT produced significant benefits on sustained attention and

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

behavioral regulation, while no differences were seen in the BHW group for any of the tasks.
Unfortunately, neither group demonstrated significant improvements on self-reported problems
in everyday functioning. However, a Class II study⁸⁶ showed GMT to be effective in improving
the skills needed for every day financial management on participants' self-selected functional
goals that were a focus of treatment.

Novakovic-Agopian and colleagues conducted a Class I study⁷⁰ to determine the 516 517 feasibility of an intervention directed at "goal-oriented attentional self-regulation skills" with individuals with chronic brain injury and mild to moderate difficulties in executive functioning. 518 The group-based intervention focused on attention regulation (including mindfulness exercises) 519 and use of a metacognitive strategy ("stop-relax-refocus") as well as the application of training to 520 individual goals. The executive intervention was compared with didactic brain injury education. 521 Participants exhibited a decrease in task failures on a complex functional task following goal-522 oriented attention training, related to protection of working memory from distractions. These 523 gains were maintained at 5-week follow-up. A subset of participants was administered 524 functional MRI during a visual selective attention task, pre and post treatment, to examine 525 changes in neural processing.¹⁰⁸ Modulation of neural processing in extrastriate cortex was 526 enhanced by attention training. Neural changes in prefrontal cortex, a proposed mediator for 527 attention regulation, were inversely related to baseline state. These results suggested that 528 enhanced modulatory control over visual processing and a rebalancing of prefrontal functioning 529 may underlie improvements in attention and executive control. A subsequent modularity 530 analysis¹⁰⁹ demonstrated that the modularity of brain network organization at baseline predicted 531 improvement in attention and executive function after cognitive training, with higher baseline 532 modularity related to greater adaptation in response to goal training. 533

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

A systematic review of GMT noted that for most studies that demonstrated effectiveness of GMT, it was part of an intervention that incorporated PST focused on personal goals, and included application of GMT to daily life tasks.¹¹⁰

The CRTF reviewed additional Class I⁶⁸ and Class Ia⁸³ studies that reflect these 537 treatment components. Spikman and colleagues ⁶⁸ conducted a multicenter study to evaluate the 538 effects of treatment for dysexecutive problems on daily life functioning after acquired brain 539 injury. The multi-faceted intervention incorporated aspects of GMT⁶⁹ and PST¹¹¹ in a general 540 planning approach in three stages (information and awareness; goal setting and planning; 541 initiation, execution and regulation). The experimental intervention was compared with an 542 individually administered, computerized cognitive training package consisting of several 543 repetitive cognitive tasks aimed at improvement of general cognitive functioning, with no 544 therapist-directed strategic approaches to the tasks. Improvements in executive functions and 545 546 resumption of social roles (based on structured interview) were observed after both treatments; participants in the multi-faceted treatment demonstrated larger benefits, and maintained gains, in 547 their ability to set and accomplish real-life goals, regulate a series of real-life tasks, and resume 548 effective social roles. The reliance on therapists' ratings and lack of blind outcome assessments 549 limits the interpretation of these results. Cantor and colleagues⁸³ also evaluated a multi-faceted 550 intervention that incorporated metacognitive skills that could be applied across a range of real-551 life activities through PST, attention training, and emotional regulation. In comparison with a 552 wait-list control group, the experimental intervention produced significant benefits on self-553 reported executive functioning and problem solving, but not on other measures of 554 neuropsychological functioning, attention, awareness, self-efficacy, emotional regulation, 555 participation or quality of life. 556

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

Metacognitive strategy training. One Class I,⁸¹ 1 Class II ⁸⁵ and 3 Class III studies ^{89,90,92} 557 addressed the remediation of executive functioning using specific aspects of metacognitive 558 strategy training. The Class III single-case studies evaluated the effectiveness of metacognitive 559 strategy training for improving on-line awareness and self-management of errors during 560 functional activities. ^{89, 90, 92} For example, Ownsworth and colleagues⁹⁰ examined the use of MST 561 to improve performance on a cooking task through therapist-guided evaluation and feedback 562 using the "pause, prompt, praise" technique.¹¹² Individuals receiving MST demonstrated a 563 significant reduction in error frequency, a significant decrease in therapist checks, and a 564 significant increase in self-corrected errors on the cooking task; participants who only received 565 behavioral practice demonstrated no difference in self-corrected errors and greater reliance on 566 therapist checks. 567

A Class I study by Schmidt and colleagues⁸¹ also utilized the "pause, prompt, praise" 568 technique during a meal preparation task to investigate the effects of video-and-verbal feedback, 569 verbal feedback alone, or experiential feedback on error management in participants with TBI 570 with impaired self-awareness. Participants were typically seen during postacute rehabilitation, 571 several years after sustaining moderate to severe TBI, and exhibited deficits in intellectual and 572 emergent (online) awareness. Participants in the video-and-verbal feedback group showed 573 significantly improved online awareness, measured by the number of errors during task 574 completion, than either of the comparison interventions. Further, the video-and-verbal feedback 575 group demonstrated greater intellectual awareness after treatment, with no increase in emotional 576 distress or changes in their perceptions of recovery or rehabilitation. 577

578 *Cognitive Orientation to Occupational Performance*. A number of the studies cited above
579 were directed at the application of MST to functional task performance. ^{81, 86, 90} Along this line,

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

601

there was a notable emergence of research on the effectiveness of an approach integrating functional skills training and metacognitive strategy training through CO-OP. This procedure includes client centered goal setting, particularly in relation to performance of functional activities, and the use of a global metacognitive strategy of Goal-Plan-Do-Review. The remediation of specific cognitive components or impairments is avoided in favor of interventions directly at the level of relevant client-centered functional activities.

586 We reviewed 11 studies investigating the effectiveness of CO-OP after TBI or stroke,
587 involving 3 Class I ⁷¹⁻⁷³, 1 Class Ia ⁸⁴ studies, 1 Class II ⁸⁷, and 6 Class III ⁹⁴⁻⁹⁹ studies.

Dawson and colleagues adapted an occupation-based strategy training based on the CO-588 OP for patients with executive dysfunction after TBI.^{84, 94} A Class Ia pilot RCT was conducted 589 for patients with chronic TBI, all of whom were at least 1-year post injury and an average of 10 590 vears post injury.⁸⁴ The experimental intervention included the identification of meaningful 591 problems in each participant's everyday life, translated into functional goals (e.g., keep papers 592 organized; schedule activities to avoid fatigue), and application of guided discovery and the 593 metacognitive problem-solving strategy to the goals being trained. Participants who received the 594 intervention demonstrated improved performance and satisfaction on trained goals compared 595 with the comparison group. In addition, the intervention resulted in improvement on untrained 596 goals, suggesting near transfer of training, as well as participants reporting increased levels of 597 participation, suggesting generalization of the training to participants daily functioning. 598 Two Class I studies^{71,72} evaluated the CO-OP intervention compared with SOT to 599 improve performance on functional goals and transfer to untrained activities for people living in 600

or more than six months post-stroke.⁷¹ Participants in both conditions chose their own treatment

the community after a single stroke. Participants were either less than three months post-stroke ⁷²

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

603 goals; however, in the SOT condition treatment plans were completely therapist driven with an emphasis on impairment-based training whereas in CO-OP therapists helped participants create 604 their own performance plans (guided discovery), taught participants a global metacognitive 605 strategy (goal-plan-do-review) to create and evaluate those plans, and focused entirely on 606 activity-level interventions. In both studies, significant benefits of CO-OP over SOT were 607 apparent on participant and therapist ratings of performance of self-selected activities, as well as 608 greater transfer to untrained activities. An additional Class I study⁷³ compared CO-OP with an 609 attention control condition (reflective listening) among patients after acute stroke who were 610 receiving inpatient rehabilitation. Participants who received CO-OP showed significant 611 improvements on executive cognitive measures as well as reduced disability in activities of daily 612 living (FIM Scores) at 3 and 6 months after admission, with increasing differences between 613 614 groups over the 6-month study period.

These studies suggest that a combination of functional skills training at the activity level, 615 and incorporation of metacognitive strategies is related to improved performance on trained 616 tasks, and greater transfer of training to untrained tasks, although the specific effective 617 ingredients of the CO-OP procedure have not been isolated. Rotenberg-Shpigelman and 618 colleagues⁸² conducted a Class I study of NFT that incorporated errorless learning (as opposed to 619 trial-and-error learning or error management training) and repeated practice and "overlearning" 620 of task performance. This approach is consistent with the evidence that even people with severe 621 memory and executive impairments can be trained on new routines using errorless learning ⁵⁵ 622 and that, once learned, these routines can be carried out in novel contexts. The NFT approach 623 places little demands on the cognitive, emotional and physical resources of participants with 624 severe neurologic disabilities, in contrast to the cognitively-demanding use of metacognitive 625

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

strategies inherent in the CO-OP intervention. A sample of community dwelling chronic stroke 626 survivors attending day rehabilitation (at least one-year post-stroke) received either NFT or 627 treatment as usual (a combination of traditional outpatient therapies). Participants who received 628 NFT showed greater improvements on trained tasks, while neither condition demonstrated 629 improvements on untrained tasks, an outcome that was expected to occur in accordance with the 630 principles of NFT. The investigators suggested that NFT may have more specific effects than 631 632 CO-OP and be less limited in its applicability to patients with more severe cognitive impairment. These studies also suggest that the effects of intervention on untrained functional tasks 633 requires the incorporation of deliberate efforts to promote transfer and generalization, including 634 the use of a general metacognitive strategy for planning, implementing and self-monitoring 635 performance of functional activities. 636

Reasoning, problem solving, and executive regulation of attention. One Class I study ⁷⁴ 637 examined a top-down strategy (remembering general concepts without emphasizing details) to 638 improve gist-reasoning in participants with chronic TBI. The intervention group improved on 639 gist-reasoning, executive control and verbal working memory, and endorsed significant 640 functional changes in community functioning 6 months-post training., Fong and Howie⁸⁵ 641 evaluated an intervention combining multiple components of problems solving, compared with a 642 conventional treatment (including repetitive practice of functional skills or cognitive tasks). The 643 problem-solving intervention produced marginal benefits on paper-and-pencil reasoning tasks 644 but these benefits did not transfer to real-life situations. 645

646 Several Class I ^{76, 77} and Class III ¹⁰¹ studies have examined the effects of treatment on 647 participants with acquired brain injury ability to manage multiple, simultaneous task demands as 648 an aspect of executive functioning. These studies demonstrated highly specific effects on

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

649 performing trained dual tasks (particularly simultaneous cognitive and motor tasks), with little 650 generalization to broader executive abilities or everyday functioning. An additional Class I study 651 noted above ²⁰ failed to show a benefit of divided attention training on visuospatial treatment for 652 neglect.

Computer-assisted treatment. The CRTF reviewed three Class I⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰ studies and 1 Class III 653 study ¹⁰⁰ addressing computer-based cognitive rehabilitation of executive functioning, including 654 the use of virtual reality (VR) environments. One study reported benefits of computer-based 655 cognitive exercises when combined with standard inpatient stroke rehabilitation.⁷⁸ Spikman and 656 colleagues found similar effects of computer-based treatment with metacognitive strategy 657 training on discrete measures of executive functioning.⁶⁸ The use of VR was more effective than 658 psychoeducation in enhancing problem solving skills⁷⁹ but not significantly better than SOT in 659 improving everyday executive function performance.⁸⁰ The use of VR represents a potentially 660 fruitful area for further study. ^{78-80, 100} At present, there is insufficient evidence to support a 661 recommendation for computer-based cognitive rehabilitation specifically for deficits in executive 662 functioning. 663

Emotional regulation. There is increasing recognition of the association between 664 metacognitive and emotional regulation, including a specific relationship of alexithymia 665 (difficulty identifying emotions) and multiple aspects of executive functioning. ¹¹³⁻¹¹⁵ Spikman 666 and colleagues ¹¹⁶ conducted a secondary analysis of their RCT for dysexecutive problems⁶⁸ to 667 examine patient characteristics related to treatment outcomes. Pre-treatment emotion recognition 668 performance predicted post-treatment resumption of roles and everyday executive functioning. In 669 addition, worse pre-treatment emotion recognition skills negatively affected treatment-induced 670 learning of compensatory strategies for executive dysfunction, whereas pre-treatment 671

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

dysexecutive deficits did not. These findings suggest that deficits in emotional regulation may 672 play a critical role in patients' ability to apply a strategy for the planning and regulation of 673 complex tasks, and may require specific interventions. ^{59,60} 674 Although treatment for difficulties in emotional regulation has been incorporated into 675 some multi-faceted interventions for executive dysfunction ^{68, 70, 83, 117-119} this requires additional 676 research. Several Class III studies ¹⁰³⁻¹⁰⁵ evaluated group-based interventions for emotional 677 regulation, specifically directed at self-management of anger and aggression. The interventions 678 included techniques to increase awareness of emotion, manage the expression of anger, problem 679 solving and cognitive restructuring. Treatment effects were limited to the experience and control 680 of anger and aggressiveness with no effect on other aspects of behavioral regulation or emotional 681

682 symptoms.

A systematic review suggested some benefit of external compensations for milder forms of apathy (diminished initiation, sustained activity and goal-directed behavior) after traumatic brain injury.¹²⁰ A single-case study incorporating external compensation and motivational interviewing demonstrated a strong and specific effect on sustained activity and subjective apathy.¹⁰²

688

INSERT TABLE 6 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The CRTF has previously recommended MST (self-monitoring and selfregulation) as a Practice Standard for treating deficits in executive functioning after TBI, including impairments of emotional self-regulation, and as a component of interventions for deficits in attention, neglect, and memory. Current evidence suggests that the incorporation of formal protocols for PST and GMT, and their application to everyday situations and functional activities, should be considered as components of MST during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

(Table 6). ^{68-70, 83, 85, 86} Emerging Class I evidence^{71-73, 84} supports the incorporation of MST into
occupation-based treatment for practical goals and functional skills to promote both acquisition
and transfer of functional skills during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI and stroke. Additional
Class I evidence ⁸¹ suggests that explicit (verbal-and-video) performance feedback should be
considered to facilitate the positive effects of metacognitive strategy training (Practice
Guideline) (Table 6).

Indirect evidence from Class I studies^{70, 83} supports the existing Practice Option
indicating that group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of executive and
problem solving deficits after TBI.

For patients with severe cognitive (executive) deficits, including limitations of emergent 704 awareness and use of compensatory strategies, the use of direct, skill-specific training including 705 errorless learning may be considered to promote performance of specifically trained functional 706 tasks, with no expectation of transfer to untrained activities.⁸² While the direct evidence for NFT 707 is limited to participants with chronic stroke, the CRTF considered that there is a sound clinical 708 rationale and indirect evidence for applying this recommendation to the treatment of people with 709 severe cognitive impairments after TBI (Practice Option). There is preliminary evidence 710 suggesting that MST as a component of training on functional activities may increase the 711 effectiveness of acute rehabilitation for patients with cognitive impairment after stroke (Practice 712 Option) (Table 6). 713

- 714
- 715 Comprehensive Rehabilitation Programs
- 716

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

717	In our initial review we included a discussion of both multi-modal interventions and
718	comprehensive-holistic programs. In the current review, all of the multi-modal interventions
719	were computerized, which is a noteworthy shift in current treatment trends. Modular approaches
720	to cognitive remediation are typically aimed at a single cognitive impairment; patients with
721	multiple impairments may receive a mix of modular treatments that target several cognitive
722	impairments. ¹²¹ Comprehensive-holistic programs typically target specific cognitive
723	impairments but also provide individual and group therapies that address self-awareness of the
724	impact of cognitive deficits, interpersonal and emotional functioning, and psychological coping
725	through an organized and integrated therapeutic environment. ¹²¹ The CRTF reviewed 5 Class I
726	¹²²⁻¹²⁶ , 2 Class II and 20 Class III ¹²⁹⁻¹⁴⁸ studies of comprehensive rehabilitation through either
727	multi-modal or comprehensive-holistic programs.
728	Multi-modal, computer-based interventions. In this section we include discussion of 3 Class I

¹²²⁻¹²⁶ and 4 Class III ¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁴⁸ studies of multi-modal computer-based programs for the remediation
of cognitive skills. Some utilized computer-based retraining packages that are meant to be
administered or directed by a rehabilitation professional. ^{124, 126, 146} Others utilized commercially
available computer-based brain training programs that patients could potentially initiate or direct
with little, if any, therapist involvement. ^{145, 147, 148}

Two of the most encouraging and rigorous studies utilized the RehaCom Software
package. Lin and colleagues ¹²⁶ conducted a Class I study that demonstrated not only the
effectiveness of computerized cognitive rehabilitation for deficits in memory and executive
functioning, but also the changes in cerebral functional connectivity that may underlie posttraining improvements during the post-acute period of recovery (6-10 months after a first stroke).
Participants were randomized to receive 60 hours of computerized cognitive retraining with

33

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

34

740 RehaCom or no treatment. Treatment recipients showed improvements in attention, memory and increased functional connectivity of the hippocampus with frontal and parietal cortical areas, 741 while the control group demonstrated decreased hippocampal-cortical connectivity. Moreover, 742 improvements in neuropsychological performance correlated with increased functional 743 connectivity. This finding is supported by a Class III study¹⁴⁶ demonstrating improvements in 744 attention/working memory and new learning and memory after treatment through RehaCom. An 745 additional Class I study¹²⁴ demonstrated benefits on cognitive and daily functioning from broadly 746 defined, therapist-directed computer-based treatments as an adjunct to "standard 747 neurorehabilitation" for participants with TBI or stroke during post-acute recovery. It is notable 748 that the RehaCom package incorporates components that have contributed to the efficacy of 749 other rehabilitation techniques, including: repeated stimulation, intensity of training, adjusting 750 task difficulty to the patient's performance, feedback, therapist involvement, and simulated 751 752 functional tasks. Comprehensive-Holistic Neuropsychological Programs. The CRTF reviewed 2 Class I^{122, 123}, 753

2 Class II^{127, 128} and 16 Class III¹²⁹⁻¹⁴⁴ studies of comprehensive-holistic rehabilitation. A pilot 754 RCT investigated CogSMART, a didactic approach toward development of compensatory 755 strategies for management of PCS, PM, attention and vigilance, learning and memory, and 756 problem solving.¹²² This investigation was conducted with Veterans with chronic PCS an average 757 758 of 4 to 5 years after primarily mild TBIs. All participants were seeking employment and received one year of SE. For the first 3 months, some participants were randomly assigned to receive 759 CogSMART for 1 hour per week in addition to the 2 SE weekly visits; the control group 760 received enhanced SE of 2 additional visits per week to control for nonspecific effects. 761 CogSMART was effective in reducing PCS and improving PM at the end of treatment,¹²² and 762
COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

these benefits were maintained at completion of the 12 month SE program. ¹⁴⁹ Improvement in
PCS was seen primarily in affective symptoms, to less extent in cognitive symptoms, with no
effect on somatic symptoms. CogSMART participants also reported greater subjective quality or
life after SE although there were no differences between conditions on competitive work
attainment. Co-morbid PTSD was evident in 74 percent of Veterans in this study. Veterans with
greater PTSD and depression severity reported greater PCS at all assessment points, however
CogSMART-related improvements in PCS did not vary as a result of psychiatric
symptomatology. ¹⁵⁰ Results from these studies are consistent with an earlier Class I study ¹⁵¹ and
suggest that psychoeducation and strategy training ^{122,133,149, 150} may be an effective adjunct or

stand-alone program for reducing PCS after mild TBI. In addition, the presence of co-morbid 772 PTSD or depressive symptoms should not preclude participation in cognitive rehabilitation 773 interventions in this population.¹⁵⁰ 774

Current findings from 1 Class II¹²⁸ and 2 Class III^{138,139} studies support and extend 775 existing evidence showing that individualized comprehensive multidisciplinary 776 neurorehabilitation programs may lead to significantly improved short and long term functional, 777 cognitive and psychosocial outcomes in the areas of independent living, societal participation 778 (including occupational functioning), and self-reports of emotional well-being and quality of life. 779 Findings from several Class III studies suggest these programs may also lead to reduced 780 caregiver burden (both in terms of emotional burden and psychological health)¹²⁹ and a 781 significant reduction of societal costs.¹³⁰ These findings apply to in individuals with both 782 traumatic and non-traumatic brain injuries, regardless of severity or time post injury.¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ 783 However, findings from several Class III studies suggests starting rehabilitation earlier post 784

of

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

injury is associated with greater improvements in mood, cognitive functioning, quality of life
 ^{138,142} and better functional outcomes^{140, 141} than treatment that begins late post-injury.

The Class II study by Vestri and colleagues¹²⁷ compared patients with acquired brain 787 injury, primarily TBI and stroke, who received either multidisciplinary individual treatments 788 only or combined individual and group treatments, Participants in both conditions improved, 789 with less functional impairment after treatment for those receiving combined individual and 790 group interventions. Additional Class III evidence ⁹¹ indicates that structured group treatment, 791 within an outpatient rehabilitation setting, improves self-awareness and the effective use of 792 metacognitive strategies for people one or more years after an acquired brain injury. These 793 results are consistent with existing evidence that group intervention improves psychological 794 well-being following acquired brain injury^{67,117,152} Evidence from several Class III studies 795 suggests that rehabilitation programs incorporating goal directed treatments with an emphasis on 796 individualized client centered goal setting may significantly improve goal attainment ^{131,132,135} 797 and translate to greater levels of residential independence and occupational functioning.^{135, 136} 798

799

INSERT TABLE 7 ABOUT HERE

Recommendations. The current evidence is consistent with our existing recommendation that 800 post-acute, comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation should be provided to 801 reduce functional, cognitive and psychosocial disability after TBI (Practice Standard). Whereas 802 the previous research focused on individuals with TBI, the present results support extending the 803 recommendation to individuals with both traumatic and non-traumatic brain injuries, regardless 804 of severity or time post injury.^{128,138-141} Comprehensive neuropsychological programs should 805 integrate individualized interventions to address cognitive and interpersonal functioning after 806 acquired brain injury. Such interventions should be goal directed and emphasize individualized 807

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

808	client centered goal setting to promote enhanced residential independence and occupational
809	functioning ^{135,136} (Practice Option) (Table 7). Group interventions may be considered as part of
810	comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation to address the functional application of
811	specific interventions and improve psychological well-being ^{67, 91, 117, 127, 152} (Practice Option).
812	While not a formal recommendation, the CRTF recognizes that the presence of PCS and co-
813	morbid psychiatric symptomatology should not preclude participation in cognitive rehabilitation
814	that includes psychoeducational and cognitive strategy training after mild to moderate TBI. ^{122,150}
815	Based on 2 Class I ^{124,126} and one Class III ¹⁴⁶ study, multi-modal, computer-assisted
816	cognitive retraining with the active involvement and direction of a rehabilitation therapist is
817	recommended as a component of neurorehabilitation for the remediation of attention, memory,
818	and executive function deficits following stroke or TBI. Computer-assisted cognitive retraining
819	programs should stimulate the cognitive domains of interest, adapt task difficulty to the patient's
820	level of performance, and provide feedback and objective performance data (Practice Guideline)
821	(Table 7).

822

823 **DISCUSSION**

824

Together with our prior reviews, the CRTF has now evaluated 491 interventions (109 Class I or Ia, 68 Class II, and 314 Class III) that address the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation after TBI or stroke. Based on these cumulative reviews, the CRTF makes 29 recommendations for evidence-based, clinical practice of cognitive rehabilitation (9 Practice Standards, 9 Practice Guidelines and 11 Practice Options). Several trends are apparent in the current review of the literature, which are reflected in the current recommendations. There is a

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

831	trend toward increased specificity of interventions within the broad domains of functioning,
832	which is consistent with efforts to specify the active ingredients of rehabilitation treatments. ¹⁵³
833	For example, several studies examined treatment of working memory ^{7,8} or specific aspects of
834	working memory, ^{15,16} within the broader domain of rehabilitation for attention. Several new
835	recommendations are made based on specific aspects of metacognitive strategy training such as
836	prompting for error recognition ⁹⁰ and providing specific forms of feedback ⁸¹ as active
837	components of occupational therapy interventions, and specific training in facial emotion
838	recognition as an active component of pragmatic communication treatment. ⁵⁹
839	There is a trend toward the incorporation of interventions for emotional regulation within
840	cognitive rehabilitation. ^{59,68,83,116} This is consistent with a central tenet of holistic
841	neuropsychological rehabilitation ^{117,154} as well as increased recognition of the interaction of
842	cognitive and emotional regulation as an integral aspect of cerebral organization. ¹⁵⁵ While
843	difficulties with emotional regulation may mediate the effectiveness of cognitive
844	rehabilitation, ¹¹⁶ psychiatric co-morbidities may not. ^{63,150, 154}
845	Computer-based cognitive interventions represent a larger number of studies in the
846	current review than in prior reviews, directed at both specific cognitive impairments as well as
847	incorporating interventions across multiple cognitive domains. Computer-based cognitive
848	training can improve traditional rehabilitation of cognitive functions by enhancing the
849	consistency and precision through more immediate feedback, systematized delivery, and
850	difficulty level adjustments. The continuous, adaptive adjustment of task difficulty based on a
851	patient's performance is critical for promoting neuroplasticity. ¹⁵⁷ The use of tasks with
852	equivalent content that do not include adaptive adjustment of task difficulty produce less
853	improvement and transfer of cognitive functioning. ¹⁵⁸⁻¹⁶¹ Computer-based cognitive

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

interventions also have the potential to bridge some common gaps in treatment access for 854 individuals with brain injury, including restrictions imposed by disability-related limitations, 855 geographical barriers, funding restrictions, and time constraints of complex contemporary 856 lifestyle. ^{162,163} Unfortunately, proper scientific examination and evidence of efficacy has 857 traditionally lagged behind the rapid expansion of computerized brain training programs with 858 claims to change brain structure and function.¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁶⁶ The CRTF found evidence that computer-859 860 based direct attention training for modular impairments in working memory can improve specific cognitive functions and generalize to improved subjective complaints. ^{7, 18} The use of direct 861 attention training for specific "modular" impairments in working memory, including the use of 862 computer-based interventions, as a component of post-acute rehabilitation of individuals with 863 acquired brain injury has therefore been upgraded to a Practice Guideline. The current Practice 864 Standard continues to emphasize that treatment of attention deficits should incorporate both 865 direct attention training and metacognitive strategy training, to increase task performance and 866 promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI or stroke during the post-acute stages of 867 recovery. New evidence on multi-modal computerized training of attention, memory, and 868 executive functions indicates that this type of intervention is effective (Practice Guideline) for 869 individuals with stroke and TBI when managed by a rehabilitation clinician and when the 870 program adheres to the principles of neuroplasticity (direct stimulation of a cognitive domain, 871 ongoing adaptive adjustment of task difficulty, and immediate objective feedback on task 872 performance).¹⁵⁷ 873

874 There continues to be evidence to support the use of group-based interventions across 875 cognitive domains, although the direct evidence to distinguish the specific effects or comparative 876 effectiveness of group-based and individual interventions remains limited. ^{127,152} The existing

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

evidence suggests that a combination of individual and group-based treatment may increase 877 effectiveness. Group-based interventions appear to provide increased contextualization and 878 support for social interaction, psychological adaptation and maintenance of goals. ^{67, 91, 144} Our 879 current review found sufficient evidence for group interventions that target impairments of 880 memory, language and social communication deficits, as well as for increasing awareness,⁹¹ goal 881 management ^{70, 136} and emotional regulation ⁶⁸ aspects of executive functions. With respect to 882 883 memory, like the studies on individual cognitive rehabilitation, the evidence on group interventions also suggests that internal memory strategies are more effective in people with 884 either TBI or stroke who have mild to moderate impairment of memory.⁴⁴ Improvement in goal 885 management was demonstrated not only on performance of a complex functional task, but also 886 on fMRI following group treatment incorporating regulation of attention through mindfulness 887 training and metacognitive strategies.^{70,108,109} These new findings provided the basis for a 888 Practice Option for group treatment for aspects executive function impairment following TBI. 889 More generally, the CRTF recognizes that group interventions provide the opportunity for the 890 person to interact with others with similar deficits, ^{91, 144} which may be therapeutic in ways 891 beyond just cognitive functioning, as suggested by the research on the efficacy and effectiveness 892 of holistic comprehensive neuropsychological rehabilitation programs.^{83,117} 893

Evidence regarding patient characteristics that influence treatment effectiveness remains limited. Compared to prior reviews, the current review includes a greater percentage of studies assessing stroke and mixed acquired brain injury populations. As such, there are several instances in which prior recommendations have now been extended for utilization for people who sustained a stroke. In terms of time post injury, this and previous reviews include studies spanning the full spectrum of recovery from acute to chronic populations, and has found

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

evidence that cognitive rehabilitation can lead to clinically significant improvements even years
after the initial injury.^{117, 140,141, 144} As noted above, cognitive rehabilitation can be effective for
people with physical and psychological co-morbidities in addition to TBI. ^{63,150, 154} Finally, this
review provides evidence that various cognitive rehabilitation interventions can be effectively
tailored to individuals across levels of injury severity and across levels of neurocognitive
impairment. ^{55, 56, 82}

906 The bulk of studies included in this review compare the effectiveness of cognitive rehabilitation interventions to either no treatment or standard treatment alone. While this helps 907 elucidate the utility of cognitive rehabilitation and offers treatment recommendations based on 908 observed cognitive impairments, it does not speak to the specific patient characteristics or modes 909 of treatment delivery that likely play a role in mediating intervention success. Further, it does not 910 allow for a comparative assessment of different cognitive interventions across and within patient 911 912 impairment profiles. The CRTF recommends that future research be directed towards identifying those specific patient characteristics (i.e., psychological insight; residual cognitive 913 reserve; psychiatric comorbidity) and treatment delivery variables (i.e., frequency and intensity) 914 that might influence one's response to particular treatments. 915

916 *Limitations*

917 There are several significant limitations to the current systematic review. The review 918 covers only the literature published (print or electronic) through 2014 and identified by 919 December 15, 2015. This results in a significant gap in the published literature that may inform 920 our clinical recommendations. This largely reflects the time and labor required by members of 921 the CRTF, and our attempts to maintain an acceptable level of rigor and quality to 922 recommendations. It is our hope that readers of these reviews will adopt a similar process of

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

clinical and scientific inquiry to examine the current literature. Second, different methodologies 923 for conducting systematic reviews have occurred since our initial publication almost 20 years 924 ago. However, the CRTF has elected to use our extant procedures in order to maintain the 925 consistency of methods and recommendations among our reviews. More specifically, despite our 926 attempts to maintain a level of rigor, we did not include any formal assessment of risk of bias in 927 our evaluation of studies for this review. We recognize that the failure to include formal 928 assessment of study quality in this systematic review may influence the precision, applicability 929 and confidence in our results and recommendations.¹⁶⁷ It is worth noting that a prior review 930 addressing methodological study quality⁴, including the formal assessment of risk of bias, 931 supported the clinical recommendations from our prior systematic reviews.¹⁻³ 932

933 *Conclusions*

In our initial review, we concluded that "cognitive rehabilitation should always be 934 directed toward improving everyday functioning, and should include active attempts to promote 935 generalization or directly apply compensatory strategies to functional contexts." Evaluation of 936 rehabilitation effectiveness typically occurs at the impairment level, with the expectation that this 937 will translate into changes in daily functioning. However, this expectation is a limiting factor in 938 evaluation of rehabilitation effectiveness. For example, the IOM report on cognitive 939 rehabilitation therapy for TBI¹²¹ noted that "there is evidence from controlled trials that internal 940 memory strategies are useful for improving recall on decontextualized, standard tests of memory, 941 [but] there is limited evidence that these benefits translate into meaningful changes in patients' 942 everyday memory either for specific tasks/activities or for avoiding memory failures. Therefore, 943 an increased emphasis on functional patient-centered outcomes would allow for a more 944

945 *meaningful translation from cognitive domain to patient functioning*" (pg. 13). This will require

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

ongoing development of interventions and outcome measures that address the application of 946 cognitive abilities to performance of activities in everyday functioning. The use of subjective 947 patient-reported outcomes should provide a direct measure of "meaningful changes" in patients 948 everyday functioning, including symptoms, functional status, and health-related quality of life.¹⁶⁸ 949 Unfortunately, reliance on subjective outcomes is typically "downgraded" from a 950 methodological perspective on the basis of risk of "bias" and threats to external validity. This is 951 952 an issue that extends beyond cognitive rehabilitation to the nature and measurement of meaningful rehabilitation outcomes, and the question of which outcomes we (and the patients we 953 serve) value. Outcomes should also be "meaningful" in relation to the designated targets of an 954 intervention, presumed mechanisms of change, and anticipated effects of the intervention.¹⁵³ For 955 example, research that is intended to demonstrate that a cognitive intervention promotes 956 neuroplasticity will necessarily assess changes in functional cerebral connectivity (for example), 957 958 but should not be required to demonstrate changes at the participation level as an indication of a valid treatment effect. In clinical practice, it is the responsibility of the clinician to make overt 959 the targets of the intervention and to make sure that any evidence-based intervention is relevant 960 to the person's everyday functioning. We believe that the current review and recommendations 961 continue to move the field forward and will contribute toward the evidence-based practice of 962 cognitive rehabilitation. 963

964

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

965		REFERENCES
966		
967	1.	Cicerone, KD, Dahlberg C, Kalmar K, Langenbahn DM, Malec JF, Bergquist TF et al:
968		Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Recommendations for Clinical Practice. Arch
969		<i>Phys Med Rehabil</i> 2000, 81: 1596-615.
970	2.	Cicerone, KD, Dahlberg C, Malec JF, Langenbahn DM, Felicetti T, Kneipp S, et al:
971		Evidence-Based Cognitive Rehabilitation: Updated Review of the Literature 1998 through
972		2002. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2005; 86: 1681-92.
973	3.	Cicerone KD, Langenbahn DM, Braden C, Malec JF, Kalmar K et al: Evidence-Based
974		Cognitive Rehabilitation: Updated Review of the Literature from 2003 through 2008. Arch
975		<i>Phys Med and Rehabil</i> 2011; 92: 519-30.
976	4.	Cicerone KD, Azulay J, Trott C. Methodological quality of research on cognitive
977		rehabilitation after traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2009; 90: S52-9.
978	5.	Barker-Collo SL, Feigin VL, Lawes CM, Parag V, Senior H, Rodgers A. Reducing attention
979		deficits after stroke using attention process training: A randomized controlled trial. Stroke
980		2009; 40: 3293-8.
981	6.	Winkens I, Van Heugten CM, Wade DT, Habets EJ, Fasotti L. Efficacy of time pressure
982		management in stroke patients with slowed information processing: a randomized controlled
983		trial. Arch Phys Med & Rehabil 2009; 90: 1672-9
984	7.	Lundqvist A, Grundstro K, Samuelsson K, Ronnberg J. Computerized training of working
985		memory in a group of patients suffering from acquired brain injury. <i>Brain Inj</i> 2010; 24:
986		1173-83.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 987 8. Akerlund E., et al., Can computerized working memory training improve impaired working memory, cognition and psychological health? Brain Inj 2013; 27: 1649-57. 988
- 9. Richter KM, Modden C, Eling P, Hildebrandt H. Working memory training and semantic 989
- structuring improves remembering future events, not past events. Neurorehab Neural Repair 990 2015; 29: 33-40. 991
- 10. Lee JB, Sohlberg MM. Evaluation of attention training and metacognitive facilitation to 992 993 improve reading comprehension in aphasia. Am J Sp Lang Path 2013; 22: S318-33.

- 11. Youse KM, Coelho CA. Treating underlying attention deficits as a means for improving 994
- conversational discourse in individuals with closed head injury. NeuroRehab 2009; 24: 355-995 364 996
- 12. Nordvik, JE, Schanke, A-K, Walhovd K, Fjell, A, Grydeland, H, and Landrø, NI. Exploring 997 the relationship between white matter microstructure and working memory functioning
- 999 following stroke: A single case study of computerized cognitive training. Neurocase 2012;
- 1000 18: 139-15.

998

- 13. Kim YH, Yoo WK, Ko MH, Park CH, Kim ST, Na DL. Plasticity of the attentional network 1001
- after brain injury and cognitive rehabilitation. Neurorehab Neural Repair 2009; 23: 468-77 1002
- 14. Zickefoose, S., Hux, K., Brown, J., and Wulf, K. Let the games begin: A preliminary study 1003
- using Attention Process Training-3 and Lumosity brain games to remediate attention deficits 1004 1005 following traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2013; 27(6): 707-716.
- 15. Vallat-Azouvi C, Pradat-Diehl P, Azouvi P. Rehabilitation of the central executive of 1006
- 1007 working memory after severe traumatic brain injury: two single-case studies. Brain Inj 2009; 23: 585-94. 1008

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1009 16. Vallat-Azouvi C, Pradat-Diehl P, Azouvi P. Modularity in rehabilitation of working
 1010 memory: A single-case study. *Neuropsychol rehabil* 2014; 24: 220-37.
- 1011 17. Johansson B, Tornmalm M. Working memory training for patients with acquired brain

1012 injury: effects in daily life. *Scand J Occup Ther* 2012; 19: 176-83

- 1013 18. Bjorkdahl, A., Akerlund, E., Svensson, S., and Esbjornsson, E. A randomized study of
- computerized working memory training and effects on functioning in everyday life for
 patients with brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1658-65.
- 1016 19. Polanowska K, Seniow J, Paprot E, Lesniak M, Czlonkowska A. Left-hand somatosensory
- 1017 stimulation combined with visual scanning training in rehabilitation for post stroke
- 1018 hemineglect: a randomized, double blind study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 364-82.
- 1019 20. van Kessel, ME, Geurts, AC, Brouwer, WH, and Fasotti, L. Visual scanning training for
- neglect after stroke with and without a computerized lane tracking dual task. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013; 7: 1-11.
- 1022 21. Tsang MHM, Sze KH, Fong KNK. Occupational therapy treatment with right half-field eye-
- patching for patients with subacute stroke and unilateral neglect: a randomised controlled
 trial. *Disabil Rehabil* 2009; 31: 630-7.
- 1025 22. Ianes P, Varalta V, Gandolfi M, Picelli A, Corno M, Di Matteo A, Fiaschi A, Smania N.
- 1026 Stimulating visual exploration of the neglected space in the early stage of stroke by hemifield
- 1027 eye-patching: a randomized controlled trial in patients with right brain damage. *Eur J Phys*

1028 *Rehabil Med* 2012; 48: 189-96.

- 1029 23. Pandian. Mirror therapy in unilateral neglect after stroke (MUST trial): A randomized
- 1030 controlled trial. *Neurology* 2014; 83: 1012-7.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1031 24. Modden C, Behrens M, Damke I, Eilers N, Kastrup A, Hildebrandt H. A randomized
- 1032 controlled trial comparing 2 interventions for visual field loss with standard occupational
- 1033 therapy during inpatient stroke rehabilitation. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2012; 26: 463-9.
- 1034 25. Akinwuntan AE, DeWeerdt W, Feys H, Pauwels J, Baten G, Arno P, Kiekens C. Effect of
- simulator training on driving after stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Neurology* 2005; 65:
 843-50.
- 1037 26. Beschin N, Cocchini G, Allen R, Sala SD. Anosognosia and neglect respond differently to
 1038 the same treatments. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 22: 550-62.
- 1039 27. Leifert-Fiebach G, Welfringer A, Babinsky R, Brandt T. Motor imagery training in patients
 1040 with chronic neglect: a pilot study. *Neurorehabilitation* 2013; 32: 43-58.
- 1041 28. Pitteri, M., Arcara, G., Passarini, L., Meneghello, F., and Priftis, K. Is two better than one?

1042 Limb activation treatment combined with contralesional arm vibration to ameliorate signs of

1043 left neglect. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2013; 7: 1-10.

- 1044 29. Brem AK, Unterburger E, Speight I, Lutz J. Treatment of neglect with tCDS and cognitive
- training: a single-case study. *Front Syst Neurosci* 2014; 8: 180 doi:
- 1046 10.3389/fnsys.2014.00180
- 1047 30. Mancuso M, Pacini M, Gemignani P, Bartalini B, Agostini B, Ferroni L, Caputo M, Capitani
- 1048 D, Mondin E, Cantagallo A. Clinical application of prismatic lenses in the rehabilitation of
- neglect patients. A randomized controlled trial. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med* 2012; 48: 197-208.
- 1050 31. Klonoff, P.S., et al. The relationship of cognitive retraining to neurological patients' driving
- status: the role of process variables and compensation training. *Brain Inj* 2010; 24: 63–73.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1052 32. Bailey MJ, Riddoch MJ, Crome P. Treatment of visual neglect in elderly patients with stroke:
- 1053 a single-subject series using either a scan and cueing strategy or a left-limb activation

1054 strategy. *Phys Ther* 2002; 82: 782-97.

- 1055 33. Kerkhoff G, Schenk T. Rehabilitation of neglect: an update. *Neuropsychologia* 2012; 50:
 1056 1072-9.
- 1057 34. Fasotti L, van Kessel M. Novel insights in the rehabilitation of neglect. *Front Hum Neurosci*1058 2013; 7: 780.
- 1059 35. Devos H, Akinwuntan AE, Nieuwboer A, Ringoot I, Van Berghen K, Tant M, Kiekens C, De
- Weerdt W. Effect of simulator training on fitness-to-drive after stroke: a 5-year follow-up of
 a randomized controlled trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2010; 24: 843-50.
- 1062 36. Devos H., Akinwuntan AE, Nieuwboer A Tant M, Truijen S, DeWit L et al. Comparison of1063 the effect of two driving retraining programs on on-road performance after stroke.
- 1064 *Neurorehab Neural Repair* 2009 23: 699-705.
- 1065 37. Bergquist T, Gehl C, Mandrekar J, Lepore S, Hanna S, Osten A, Beaulieu W. The effect of
- 1066 internet-based cognitive rehabilitation in persons with memory impairments after severe
- traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2009; 23: 790-9
- 1068 38. Lannin N, Carr B, Allaous J, Mackenzie B, Falcon A, Tate R. A randomized controlled trial
- 1069 of the effectiveness of handheld computers for improving everyday memory functioning in
- 1070 patients with memory impairments after acquired brain injury. *Clin Rehabil* 2014; 28: 470.
- 1071 39. De Joode EA, Van Heugten CM, Verhey FRJ, Van Boxtel MPJ. Effectiveness of an
- 1072 electronic cognitive aid in patients with acquired brain injury: a multicentre randomised
- 1073 parallel-group study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23: 133-56.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 40. Lemoncello R, Sohlberg MM, Fickas S, Prideaux J. A randomized controlled crossover trial
- 1075 evaluating Television Assisted Prompting (TAP) for adults with acquired brain injury.

1076 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 825-46.

- 1077 41. Yip BCB, Man DWK. Virtual reality-based prospective memory training program for people
- 1078 with acquired brain injury. *Neurorehabilitation* 2013; 32: 103-15.
- 42. Potvin MJ, Rouleau I, Senechal G, Giguere JF. Prospective memory rehabilitation based on
 visual imagery techniques. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 899-924
- 1081 43. Shum D, Fleming J, Gill H, Gullo MJ, Strong J. A randomized controlled trial of prospective
- 1082 memory rehabilitation in adults with traumatic brain injury. *J Rehabil Med* 2011;43:216-23
- 1083 44. Miller LA, Radford K. Testing the effectiveness of group-based memory rehabilitation in

1084 chronic stroke patients. *Neuropsych Rehabil* 2014. 24: 721-37.

- 1085 45. Grilli MD, McFarland CP. Imagine that: self-imagination improves prospective memory in
- memory-impaired individuals with neurological damage. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21:
 847-59.
- 1088 46. Grilli MD, Glisky EL. The self-imagination effect: benefits of a self-referential encoding
- strategy on cued recall in memory-impaired individuals with neurological damage. *J Int*
- 1090 *Neuropyschol Soc* 2011; 17: 929-33.
- 1091 47. McDonald A, Haslam C, Yates P, Gurr B, Leeder G, Sayers A. Google calendar: a new
- 1092 memory aid to compensate for prospective memory deficits following acquired brain injury.
- 1093 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2011; 21: 784-807.
- 48. Lloyd J, Riley GA, Powell TE. Errorless learning of novel routes through a virtual town in
 people with acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 98-109.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1096 49. O'Neil-Pirozzi TM, Strangman GE, Goldstein R, Katz DI, Savage CR, Kelkar K, Supelana
- 1097 C, Burke D, Rauch SL, Glenn MB. A controlled treatment study of internal memory
- 1098 strategies (I-MEMS) following traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2010; 25: 43-
- 1099 51.
- 1100 50. Dowds, MM, Lee PH, Sheer JB, O'Neil-Pirozzi TM, Xenopoulos-Oddsson A, Goldstein R,
- 1101 Zainea KL, Glenn MB. Electronic reminding technology following traumatic brain injury:
- effects on timely task completion. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2011; 26: 339-47.
- 1103 51. Culley C, Evans JJ. SMS text messaging as a means of increasing recall of therapy goals in
- brain injury rehabilitation: a single-blind within-subjects trial. Neuropsychol Rehabil 2009;
 20:103-19
- 52. Svoboda E, Richards B, Leach L, Mertens V. PDA and smartphone use by individuals with
 moderate-to-severe memory impairment: application of a theory-driven training programme.

1108 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 22: 408-27

- 1109 53. Caglio M, Latini-Corazzini L, D'Agata F, Cauda F, Sacco K, Monteverdi S, Zettin M, Duca
- 1110 S, Geminiani G. Virtual navigation for memory rehabilitation in a traumatic brain injured
- 1111 patient. *Neurocase* 2012; 18: 123-31.
- 1112 54. Brindley R, Bateman A, Gracey F. Exploration of use of SenseCam to support
- 1113 autobiographical memory retrireval within a cognitive-behavioral therapeutic intervention
- following acquired brain injury. *Memory* 2011; 19:745-757
- 1115 55. Ferland, M.B., Larente, J., Rowland, J., and Davidson P. Errorless (re)learning of daily living
- 1116 routines by a woman with impaired memory and initiation: Transferrable to a new home?
- 1117 Brain Inj 2013; 27: 1461–1469, 2013.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 56. Stringer AY. Ecologically-oriented neurorehabilitation of memory: robustness of outcome
 across diagnosis and severity. Brain Injury. 2011; 25:169–178.
- 1120 57. Yutsis M, Bergquist T, Micklewright J, Gehl C, Smigielski J, Brown AW. Pre-treatment
- 1121 compensation use is a stronger correlate of measures of activity limitations than cognitive
- impairment. *Brain Inj* 2012; 26: 1297-306.
- 1123 58. Mahan S, Rous R, Adlam A. Systematic review of neuropsychological rehabilitation for
- 1124 prospective memory deficits as a consequence of acquired brain injury. *J Int Neuropsychol*
- 1125 *Soc* 2017; 23: 254-65.
- 1126 59. Neumann D, Babbage DR, Zupan B, Willer B. A randomized controlled trial of emotion
- recognition training after traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2015; 30: E12-23.
- 1128 60. McDonald S, Togher L, Tate R, Randall R, English T, Gowland A. A randomised controlled
- trial evaluating a brief intervention for deficits in recognizing emotional prosody following
- severe ABI. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 23: 267-86
- 1131 61. Griffiths GG, Sohlberg MM, Kirk C, Fickas S, Biancarosa G. Evaluation of use of reading
- 1132 comprehension strategies to improve reading comprehension of adult college students with
- 1133 acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2016; 26:161-90
- 1134 62. Gabbatore I, Sacco K, Angeleri R, Zettin M, Bara BG, Bosco FM. Cognitive pragmatic
- 1135 treatment: A rehabilitative program for traumatic brain injury individuals. *J Head Trauma*
- 1136 *Rehabil* 2014; 30: E14-28.
- 1137 63. Braden C, Hawley L, Newman J, Morey C, Gerber D, Harrison-Felix C. Social
- 1138 communication skills group treatment: a feasibility study for persons with traumatic brain
- injury and comorbid conditions. *Brain Inj* 2010; 24: 1298-310.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1140 64. Lundgren K, Brownell H, Cayer-Meade C, Milione J, Kearns K. Treating metaphor
- 1141 interpretation deficits subsequent to right hemisphere brain damage: preliminary results.

1142 *Aphasiology* 2011; 25: 456-74.

- 1143 65. Brownell H, Lundgren K, Cayer-Meade C, Milione J, Katz DI, Kearns K. Treatment of
- metaphor interpretation deficits subsequent to traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*2012; 28: 446-52.
- 66. Radice-Neumann D, Zupan B, Tomita M, Willer B. Training emotional processing in persons
 with brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2009; 24: 313-23.
- 1148 67. Dalhberg CA, Cusick CP, Hawley LA, Newman JK, Harrison-Felix CL, Whiteneck GG:
- 1149 Treatment efficacy of social communication skills training after traumatic brain injury: a
- randomized treatment and deferred treatment controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007;
 88: 1561-73.
- 1191 00. 1901 79.
- 1152 68. Spikman JM, Boelen DHE, Lamberts KF, Brouwer WH, Fasotti L. Effects of a multifaceted
- treatment program for executive dysfunction after acquired brain injury on indications of
- executive functioning in daily life. *J Int Neuropyschol Soc* 2010; 16: 118-29.
- 1155 69. Levine B, Schweizer TA, O'Connor C, Turner G, Gillingham S, Stuss DT, Manly T,
- 1156 Robertson IH. Rehabilitation of executive functioning in patients with frontal lobe brain
- damage with goal management training. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2011; 5:9. doi:
- 1158 10.3389/fnhum.2011.00009
- 1159 70. Novakovic-Agopian T, Chen AJW, Rome S, Abrams G, Castelli H, Rossi A, McKim R, Hills
- 1160 N, D'Esposito M. Rehabilitation of executive functioning with training in attention
- regulation applied to individually defined goals: a pilot study bridging theory, assessment,
- and treatment. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 201; 26:325-38.

	\frown	\sim			m.h I					Τ.	\frown			D M	1.10
\mathbf{A}			H.	P			$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$	А				к		F.	
							10.00		U 8			1.0	0.0		

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1163 71. .Polatajko HJ, McEwen SE, Ryan JD, Baum CM. Pilot randomized controlled trial
- 1164 investigating cognitive strategy use to improve goal performance after stroke. *Am J Occup*

Ther 2012; 66: 104-9.

- 1166 72. McEwen S, Polatajko H, Baum C, Rios J, Cirone D, Doherty M, Wolf T. Combined
- 1167 cognitive- strategy and task-specific training improve transfer to untrained activities in
- 1168subacute stroke: An exploratory randomized controlled trial. Neurorehab Neural Rep 2014;
- 116929: 526-36.
- 1170 73. Skidmore ER, Dawson DR, Butters MA, Grattan ES, Juengst SB, Whyte EM, Begley A,
- Holm MB, Becker JT. Strategy Training Shows Promise for Addressing Disability in the
 First 6 Months After Stroke. *Neurorehab Neural Rep* 2014; 28: 378-387.
- 1173 74. Vas AK, Chapman SB, Cook LG, Elliott AC, Keebler M. Higher-order reasoning training
- 1174 years after traumatic brain injury in adults. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2011; 26:224-39
- 1175 75. Vas A, Chapman S, Aslan S, Spence J, Keebler M, Rodriguez-Larrain G, Rodgers B, Jantz T,
- 1176 Martinez D, Rakic J, Krawczyk D. Reasoning training in veteran and civilian traumatic brain
- 1177 injury with persistent mild impairment. *Neuropsych Rehabil* 2016; 26:502-31
- 1178 76. Evans JJ, Greenfield E, Wilson BA, Bateman A. Walking and talking therapy: improving
- 1179 cognitive-motor dual-tasking in neurological illness. *J Int Neuropyschol Soc* 2009; 15: 1121180 20.
- 1181 77. Couillet J, Soury S, Lebornec G, Asloun S, Joseph PA, Mazaux JM, Azouvi P. Rehabilitation
- of divided attention after severe traumatic brain injury: a randomised trial. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20:321-39.

oke A

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1184 78. Prokopenko SV, Mozheyko EY, Petrova MM, Koryagina TD, Kaskaeva DS, Chernykh TV,
- 1185 Shvetzova IN, Bezdenezhnih AF. Correction of post-stroke cognitive impairments using

1186 computer programs. *J Neurol Sci* 2013; 325:148-53.

- 1187 79. Man, D.W.K, Poon, W.S., and Lam, C. The effectiveness of artificial intelligent 3-D virtual
- reality vocational problem-solving training in enhancing employment opportunities for
- 1189 people with traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1016-25.
- 1190 80. Jacoby M, Averbuch S, Sacher Y, Katx N, Weiss PL, Kizony R. Effectiveness of executive
- 1191 functions training within a virtual supermarket for brain injury; a pilot study. *IEEE Trans*
- 1192 Neural Syst Rehabil Eng 2013; 21: 182-90
- 1193 81. Schmidt J, Fleming J, Ownsworth T, Lannin NA. Video feedback on functional task
- 1194 performance improves self-awareness after traumatic brain injury: A randomized controlled

trial. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair* 2013; 27: 316-24.

- 1196 82. Rotenberg-Shpigelman S, Bar-Haim Erez A, Nahaloni I, Maeir A. Neurofunctional treatment
- 1197 targeting participation among chronic stroke survivors: a pilot randomised controlled study.
- 1198 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2012; 22: 532-49.
- 1199 83. Cantor, J., Ashman, T., Dams-O'Connor, K., Dijkers, M.P., Gordon, W., et al. Evaluation of
- 1200 the STEP intervention for executive dysfunction after traumatic brain injury: a randomized
- 1201 controlled trial with minimization. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; 95: 1-9.
- 1202 84. Dawson, D.R., Binns, M.A., Hunt, A., Lemsky, C., and Polatajko, H.J. Occupation-Based
- Strategy Training for Adults With Traumatic Brain Injury: A Pilot Study. *Arch Phys Med Rehab* 2013; 94: 1959-63.
- 1205 85. Fong, Howie Effect of explicit problem solving using a multicomponential approach after
- ABI. *Am J Occ Ther* 2009; 63: 525-53.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1207 86. Grant M, Ponsford J, Bennett PC. The application of Goal Management Training to aspects
- 1208 of financial management in individuals with traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*

1209 2012; 22:852-73.

- 1210 87. Skidmore Developing complex interventions: Lessons learned from a pilot study examining
 1211 strategy training in acute stroke. *Clin Rehabil* 2014; 28: 378-87.
- 1212 88. Taylor WJ, Brown M, William L, McPherson KM, Reed K, Dean SG, Weatherall M. A pilot
- 1213 cluster randomized controlled trial of structured goal-setting following stroke. *Clin Rehabil*
- **1214** 2011; 26:327-38.
- 1215 89. Toglia J, Johnstone MV, Goverover Y, Dain B. A multicontext approach to promoting
- transfer of strategy use and self-regulation after brain injury: An exploratory study. *Brain Inj*2010; 24: 664-77.
- 1218 90. Ownsworth T, Quinn H, Fleming J, Kendall M, Shum D. Error self-regulation following
- 1219 traumatic brain injury: a single case study evaluation of metacognitive skills training and

behavioural practice interventions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20: 59-80.

- 1221 91. Lundqvist A, Linnros H, Orlenius H, Samuelsson K. Improved self-awareness and coping
- strategies for patients with acquired brain injury—a group therapy programme. *Brain Inj*
- **1223** 2010: 24:823-32.
- 92. McPherson KM, Kayes N, Weatherall M. A pilot study of self-regulation informed goal
 setting in people with traumatic brain injury. *Clin Rehabil* 2009; 23: 296-309.
- 93. Waid-Ebbs JK, Daly J, Wu SS, Berg WK, Bauer RM, PerlsteinWM, Crosson B. Response to
 goal management training in veterans with blast-related mild traumatic brain injury. *J Rehab Res Dev*; 51: 1555-66.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1229 94. Dawson DR, Gaya A, Hunt A, Levine B, Lemsky C, Potlajko H. Using the Cognitive
- 1230 Orientation to occupational performance (CO-OP) with adults with executive dysfunction
- following traumatic brain injury. *Can J Occup Ther* 2009; 76: 115-27.
- 1232 95. McEwen SE, Polatajko HJ, Davis JA, Huijbregts M, Ryan JD. There's a real plan here, and I
- am responsible for that plan: participant experiences with a novel cognitive-based treatment
- approach for adults living with chronic stroke. *Disabil Rehabil* 2010; 32: 540-50.
- 1235 96. McEwen SE, Polatajko HJ, Huijbregts MPJ, Ryan JD. Inter-task transfer of meaningful,
- 1236 functional skills following a cognitive-based treatment: results of three multiple baseline
- design experiments in adults with chronic stroke. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20:541-61.
- 1238 97. Henshaw E, Polatajko H, McEwen S, Ryan JD, Baum CM. Cognitive approach to improving
- 1239 participation after stroke: two case studies. *Am J Occup Ther* 2011; 65:55-63.
- 1240 98. Skidmore ER, Holm MB, Whyte EM, Dew MA, Dawson D, Becker JT. The feasibility of
- 1241 meta-cognitive strategy training in acute inpatient stroke rehabilitation: case report.
- 1242 Neuropsychol Rehabil 2011; 21: 208-23.
- 99. Ng EMW, Polatajko HJ, Marziali E, Hunt A, Dawson DR. Telerehabilitation for addressing
 executive dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27:548-64.
- 1245 100. Rand D, Weiss PL, Katz N. Training multitasking in a virtual supermarket: a novel
 1246 intervention after stroke. *Am J Occup Ther* 2009; 63: 535-42.
- 1247 101. Kim GY, Han MR, Lee HG. Effect of Dual task rehabilitative training on cognitive and
 1248 motor function of stroke patients. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2014; 26: 1-6.
- 1249 102. Lane-Brown A, Tate R. Evaluation of an intervention for apathy after traumatic brain
- 1250 injury: a multiple-baseline, single-case experimental design. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2010;
- 1251 25: 459-69.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1252 103. Aboulafia-Brakha T, Greber Buschbeck C, Rochat L, Annoni JM. Feasibility and initial
- efficacy of a cognitive-behavioural group programme for managing anger and aggressiveness
 after traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23: 216-33.
- 1255 104. Walker, A., Nott, M., Doyle, M., Onus, M., McCarthy, K., and, Baguley, I.J.
- 1256 Effectiveness of a group anger management programme after severe traumatic brain injury.
- 1257 Brain Inj 2013; 24: 517–24.
- 1258 105. Hart, T., Vaccaro, M. J., Hays, C., & Maiuro, R. D. Anger self-management training for
- people with traumatic brain injury: A preliminary investigation. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*2012; 27: 113–22.
- 1261 106. Tsaousides T, D'Antonio E, Varbanova V, Spielman L. Delivering group treatment via
 videoconference to individuals with traumatic brain injury: A feasibility study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2014; 24: 784-803.
- 1264 107. Sweeney, S., Kersell, D., Morris, R.G., Manly, T., and Evans, J.J. The sensitivity of a
- 1265 virtual reality task to planning and prospective memory impairments: Group differences and
- the efficacy of periodic alerts on performance. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010: 20: 239–63.
- 1267 108. Chen AJW, Novakovic-Agopian T, Nycum TJ, Song S, Turner GR, Hills NK, Rome S,
- 1268Abrams GM, D'Esposito M. Training of goal-directed attention regulation enhances control
- 1269 over neural processing for individuals with brain injury. *Brain* 2011; 134: 1541-54.
- 1270 109. Arnemann KL, Chen AJW, Novakovic-Agopian T, Gratton C, Nomura EM, D'Esposito
- 1271 M. Functional brain network modularity predicts response to cognitive training after brain
- injury. *Neurology* 2015; 84: 1568-74.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

1274 of executive functions: as systemic review of effectiveness in patients with acquired brain

1275 injury. *Disabil Rehabil* 2014; 36: 105-16.

- 1276 111. von Cramen DY, Mathes-von Cramen, Mai N. Problem solving deficits in brain injured
 1277 patients. A therapeutic approach. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 1991; 1: 45-64.
- 1278 112. Ownsworth T, Fleming J, Desbois J, Strong J, Kuipers P. A metacognitive contextual

1279 intervention to enhance error aware- ness and functional outcome following traumatic brain

injury: a single case experimental design. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2006; 12: 54-63.

- 1281 113. Koven NS, Thomas W. Mapping facets of alexithymia to executive dusfunction in daily
 1282 live. *Personal Ind Diff* 2010; 49: 24-8.
- 1283 114. Henry JD, Phillips LH, Crawford JR, Theodorou G. Cognitive and psychosocial

1284 correlates of alexithymic following traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychologia* 2006; 44: 621285 72.

115. Neumann D, Zupan B, Malec JF, Hammond F. Relationships between alexithymia, affect
recognition and empathy after traumatic brain injury. *J Jead Trauma Rehabil* 2014; 29: E18-

1288 27.

1289 116. Spikman JM, Boelen D, Pijnenborg G, Timmerman ME, van der Naalt J, Fasotti, L. Who

benefits from treatment for executive dysfunction after brain injury? Negative effects of

emotion recognition deficits. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2013; 23:1-22, 2013

1292 117. Cicerone KD, Mott T, Azulay J, Sharlow-Galella M, Ellmo WJ, Pariadise S, Friel JC. A

- 1293 randomized controlled trial of holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation after traumatic brain
- 1294 injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2008; 89: 2239-49.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1295 118. Dams-O'Conner K, Gordon W. Integrating Interventions after traumatic brain injury: A
 1296 synergistic approach to neurorehabilitation. *Brain Impair* 2013; 14: 51–62.
- 1297 119. Rath JF, Simon D, Langenbahn DM, Sherr RL, Diller L. Group treatment of problem-
- solving deficits in outpatients with traumatic brain injury: a randomized outcome study.
- 1299 *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2003; 13: 341-488.
- 120. Lane-Brown A, Tate R. Apathy after acquired brain impairment: a systematic review of
 non-pharmalogical interventions. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2009; 19: 481-516.
- 1302 121. Koehler R, Wilhelm E, Shoulson I (Eds) Committee on Cognitive Rehabilitation Therapy
- 1303 for Traumatic Brain Injury, Institute of Medicine. *Cognitive Rehabilitation Therapy for*
- 1304 *Traumatic Brain Injury: Evaluating the Evidence*. 2011; Washington, DC: The National
- 1305 Academies Press
- 1306 122. Twamley 2014a Cognitive symptom management and rehabilitation therapy
- 1307 (CogSMART) for veterans with traumatic brain injury: pilot randomized controlled trial. J
- 1308 *Rehabil Res Dev* 2014; 5: 59-70.
- 1309 123. Powell LE, Glang A, Ettel D, Todis B, Sohlberg MM, Albin R. Systematic instruction for
- individuals with acquired brain injury: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Neuropsychol*
- 1311 *Rehabil* 2012; 22:85-112.
- 1312 124. DeLuca RD, Calabro RS, Gervbasi G, De Salvo S, Bonnano L, Corallo F, De Cola MC,
- 1313 Bramanti P. Is computer-assisted training effective in improving rehabilitative outcomes after
- brain injury? A case-control hospital-based study. *Disabil Health J* 2014; 7: 356-60.
- 1315 125. Kim BR, Chun MH, Kim LS, Park JY. Effect of virtual reality on cognition in stroke
- 1316 patients. Ann Rehabil Med 2011; 35: 450-459

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1317 126. Lin A, Tao J, Gao Y, Yin D, Chen A, Chen L. Analysis of central mechanism of
- 1318 cognitive training on cognitive impairment after stroke: Resting state functional magnetic
- resonance imaging study. *J Int Med Res* 2014; 42: 659-68.
- 1320 127. Vestri A, Peruch F, Marchi S, Frare M, Guerra P, Pizzighello S, Meneghetti S,
- 1321 Nuttbrown A, Marinuzzi A. Individual and group treatment for patients with acquired brain
- injury in comprehensive rehabilitation. *Brain Inj* 2014; 28: 1102-8.
- 1323 128. Geurtsen GJ, Van Heugten CM, Martina JD, Rietveld AC, Meijer R, Geurts AC. A

1324 prospective study to evaluate a residential community reintegration program for patients with

- 1325 chronic acquired brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2011; 92: 696-704.
- 1326 129. Geurtsen GJ, Van Heugten CM, Meijer R, Martina JD, Geurts ACH. Prospective study of
- a community reintegration programme for patients with acquired chronic brain injury: effects
- 1328 on caregivers' emotional burden and family functioning. *Brain Inj* 2011; 25: 691-7.
- 1329 130. Van Heugten CM, Geurtsen GJ, Derksen RE, Martina JD, Geurts ACH, Evers SMAA.
- 1330 Intervention and societal costs of residential community reintegration for patients with
- acquired brain injury: a cost analysis of the brain integration programme. *J Rehabil Med*
- 1332 2011; 43: 647–52.
- 1333 131. Rasquin SMC, Bouwens SFM, Dijcks B, Winkens I, Bakx WGM, Van Heugten CM.
- 1334 Effectiveness of a low intensity outpatient cognitive rehabilitation programme for patients in
- the chronic phase after acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychol Rehabil* 2010; 20: 760-77.
- 1336 132. Brands IMH, Bouwens SFM, Wolters Gregorio G, Stapert SZ, Van Heugten CM.
- 1337 Effectiveness of a process-oriented patient-tailored outpatient neuropsychological
- 1338 rehabilitation programme for patients in the chronic phase after ABI. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*
- 1339 2012; 23: 202-15.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

1340	133. Huckans M, Pavawalla S, Demadura T, Kolessar M, Seelye A, Roost N, Twamley EW,
1341	Storzbach D. A pilot study examining effects of group-based Cognitive Strategy Training
1342	treatment on self-reported cognitive problems, psychiatric symptoms, functioning, and
1343	compensatory strategy use in OIF/OEF combat veterans with persistent mild cognitive
1344	disorder and history of traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev 2010; 47: 43-60.
1345	134. Rand D, Eng JJ, Liu-Ambrose T, Tawashy AE. Feasibility of a 6-month exercise and
1346	recreation program to improve executive functioning and memory of individuals with
1347	chronic stroke. Neurorehab Neur Rep 2010; 24:722-29.
1348	135. Doig E, Fleming J, Kuipers P, Cornwell P, Khan A. Goal-directed outpatient
1349	rehabilitation following TBI: a pilot study of programme effectiveness and comparison of
1350	outcomes in home and day hospital settings. Brain Inj 2011; 25: 1114-25.
1351	136. Bergquist TF, Micklewright JL, Yutsis M, Smigielski JS, Gehl C, Brown AW.
1352	Achievement of client-centered goals by persons with acquired brain injury in
1353	comprehensive day treatment is associated with improved functional outcomes. Brain Inj
1354	2012; 26: 1307–14.
1355	137. Kendrick D, Silverberg ND, Barlow S, Miller WC, Moffat J. Acquired brain injury self-
1356	management programme: a pilot study. Brain Inj 2012; 26:1243-9.
1357	138. Caracuel A, Cuberos-Urbano G, Santiago-Ramajo S, Vilar-Lopez R, Coin-Megias MA,
1358	Verdejo-Garcia A, Perez-Garcia M. Effectiveness of holistic neuropsychological
1359	rehabilitation for Spanish population with acquired brain injury measured using Rasch
1360	analysis. Neurorehabil 2012; 30: 43-53.

61

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1361 139. Leon-Carrion J, Dominguez-Morales MR, Martin JMB, Leon-Dominguez U. Recovery
- 1362 of cognitive function during comprehensive rehabilitation after severe traumatic brain injury.

1363 *J Rehabil Med* 2012; 44: 505-11.

- 1364 140. Leon-Carrion J, Machuca-Murga F, Solic-Marcos I, Leno-Domingues U, Domingues-
- Morales MR. The sooner patients begin neurorehabilitation, the better their functional
 outcome. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 1119-23.
- 1367 141. Hayden ME, Plenger P, Bison K, Kowalske K, Masel B, Qualls D. Treatment effect
- 1368 versus pretreatment recovery in persons with traumatic brain injury: a study regarding the
- effectiveness of postacute rehabilitation. *PMR* 2013; 5:319-27
- 1370 142. Saux G, Derney I, Rojas G, Feldberg Cognitive rehabilitation therapy after ABI in
- Argentina: Psychosocial outcomes in connection with the time elapsed before treatmentinitiation. *Brain Inj* 2014; 28: 1447-54.
- 1373 143. Pouliquen U, Etcharry-Bouyx F, Pinon K, Patureau F, Petit A, Lambert A, Richard I.
- 1374 Post-acute assessment programme for patients with traumatic brain injury: Measuring the gap
- between patients' expectations on entering and end of programme recommendations. *Brain*
- 1376 *Inj* 2013; 27:789–92.
- 1377 144. Lexell EM, Alkhed AK, Olsson K. The group rehabilitation helped me adjust to a new
- life: experiences shared by persons with an acquired brain injury. *Brain Inj* 2013; 27: 529-37.
- 1379 145. Sullivan KW, Quinn JE, Pramuka M, Sharkey LA, French LM. Outcomes from a pilot
- study using computer-based rehabilitative tools in a military population. *Ann Rev Cyberther Telemed* 2012; 181:71-77.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1382 146. Fernandez E, Bringas ML, Salazar S, Rodriguez D, Garcia ME, Torres M. Clinical
- 1383 impact of RehaCom Software for cognitive rehabilitation of patients with acquired brain

1384 injury. *MEDICC Rev* 2012; 14: 32-5.

- 1385 147. Lebowitz MS, Dams-O'Connor K, Cantor JB. Feasibility of computerized brain
- 1386 plasticity-based cognitive training after traumatic brain injury. 2012;49:1547-56.
- 1387 148. Li K, Robertson J, Ramos J, Gella S. Computer-based cognitive retraining for adults with
- 1388 chronic acquired brain injury: a pilot study. *Occup Ther Health Care* 2013; 27:333-44.
- 1389 149. Twamley, E. CogSMART Compensatory Cognitive Training for TBI: Effects over 1
- 1390 year. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2014; 30: 391-401.
- 1391 150. Walker KH, Jak AJ, Twamley EW. Psychiatric comborbidity effects on compensatory
- cognitive training outcomes for veterans with traumatic brain injuries. *Rehabil Psychol* 2015;
 60: 303-8.
- 1394 151. Tiersky LA, Anselmi , Johnston MV, Kurtyka J, Roosen E, Schwartz T, DeLuca J. A trial
 1395 of neuropsychologic rehabilitation in mild-spectrum traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med*
- 1396 *Rehab* 2005; 86: 1565-74.
- 1397 152. Ownsworth T, Fleming J, Shum D, Kuipers P, Strong J. Comparison of individual, group
- and combined intervention formats in a randomized controlled trial for facilitating goal
- 1399 attainment and improving psychosocial function following acquired brain injury. *J Rehabil*1400 *Med* 2008; 40: 81-8.
- 1401 153. Hart T, Tsaousides T, Zanca JM, Whyte J, Packel A, Ferraro M, Dijkers MP. Toward a
 1402 theory-driven classification of rehabilitation treatments. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2014; 95:
 1403 533-44.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1404 154. Ben-Yishay Y, Daniles-Zide E. Examined lives: outcomes after holistic rehabilitation.
 1405 *Rehab Psychol* 2000; 45: 112-29.
- 1406 155. Dolcos F, Iordan AD, Dolcos S. Neural correlates of emotion-cognition interactions: A
- review of evidence from brain imaging investigations. *J Cog Psychol* 2011; 23: 669-94.
- 1408 156. Pagulayan KF, O;Neil M, Williams RM, Turner AP, Golsham S, Roost MS et al. Mental
- 1409 health does not moderate compensatory cognitive training efficacy for veterans with a history
- 1410 of mild traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2017; 98: 1893-6.
- 1411 157. Cramer SC, Sur M, Dobkin BH, O'Brien C, Sanger TD, Trojanowski, JQ et al. Harnessing
- neuroplasticity for clinical applications. *Brain*. 2011; 134: 1591–1609.
- 1413 158. Dahlin E, Backman L, Neely AS, Nyberg L. Training of executive component of working
- 1414 memory: subcortical areas mediate transfer effects. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* 2009; 27: 405-19
- 1415 159. Westerberg H, Klingberg Y. Changes in cortical activity after training of working

1416 memory—a single subject analysis. *Physiol Behav* 2007; 92: 186-92.

- 1417 160. Persson J, Reuter-Lorenz PA. Gaining control: training executive functions and far
- transfer of the ability to resolve interference. *Psychol Sci* 2008; 19: 881-8.
- 1419 161. Takeuchi H, Taki Y, Kawashima R. Effects of working memory training on cognitive
- 1420 functions and neural systems. *Rev Neurosci*. 2010; 21: 427-49.
- 1421 162. Powell JM, Machamer JE, Temkin NR, Dikmen SS. Self-Report of extent of recovery
- and barriers to recovery after traumatic brain injury: a longitudinal study. Arch Phys Med
- 1423 *Rehabil* 2001; 82: 1025-30.

COGNITIVE REHABILITATION: 2009 - 2014

- 1424 163. O'Callaghan AM, McAllister L, Wilson L. Experiences of care reported by adults with
- traumatic brain injury. *International Journal of Speech-Language Pathology*. 2010; 12:
- 1426 107-123.
- 1427 164. Fernandez A. The business and ethics of the brain fitness boom. *J Amer Soc Aging*. 2011;
 1428 35: 63-69.
- 1429 165. Hurley D. Can you make yourself smarter? *New York Times Magazine*. 2012 April 18.

1430 166. Owen AM, Hampshire A, Grahn JA, Stenton R, Dajani S, Burns AS, Howard RJ, Ballard

1431 CG. Putting brain training to the test. *UKPMC Funders Group* 2010; 465: 775-8.

- 1432 167. Viswanathon M, Patnode C, Berkman ND, Bass EG, Chang S, Hartling L et al. Assessing
- the risk of bias in systematic reviews of health care interventions. AHRQ Publication No. 17
- 1434 (18)-EHCO36-EF. Rockville, MD. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: December
- 1435 2017.

1436 168. Ahmed S, Berzon RA, Revicke DA, Lenderking WR, Moinour CM, Basch E, Reeve BB,

- 1437 Wu AW. The use of patient-reported outcomes (PRO) within comparative effectiveness
- research: Implications for clinical practice and health care policy. *Med Care* 2012; 50: 1060-
- 1439 70.

Table 1. Definition of Levels of Recommendations

Practice Standards:

Based on at least one, well-designed Class I study with an adequate sample, with support from Class II or Class III evidence, that directly addresses the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing substantive evidence of effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Practice Guidelines:

Based on one or more Class I studies with methodological limitations, or well-designed Class II studies with adequate samples, that directly address the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing evidence of probable effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Based upon Class II or Class III studies, , that

Practice Options:

directly address the effectiveness of the treatment in question, providing evidence of possible effectiveness to support a recommendation that the treatment be specifically considered for people with acquired neurocognitive impairments and disability.

Chilling and a second

Table 2: Recommendations for treatment of attention deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Treatment of attention deficits should incorporate both direct attention	Practice Standard
training and metacognitive strategy training to increase task performance	
and promote generalization to daily functioning after TBI or stroke during	
the post-acute stages of recovery.	
Direct attention training for specific "modular" impairments in working	Practice
memory, including the use of computer-based interventions, should be	Guideline
considered to enhance both cognitive and functional outcomes during	
post-acute rehabilitation for acquired brain injury.	

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Visuospatial rehabilitation that includes visual scanning training is	Practice Standard
recommended for left visual neglect after right hemisphere stroke	
The use of isolated microcomputer exercises to treat left neglect after	Practice Guideline
stroke does not appear effective and is not recommended	
Left hand stimulation or forced limb activation may be combined with	Practice Guideline
visual scanning training to increase the efficacy of treatment for	
neglect after right hemisphere stroke	
Electronic technologies for visual scanning training may be included in	Practice Option
the treatment of neglect after right hemisphere stroke	
Systematic training of visuospatial deficits and visual organization	Practice Option
skills may be considered for persons with visual perceptual deficits,	
without visual neglect, after right hemisphere stroke as part of acute	
rehabilitation	
Specific gestural or strategy training is recommended for apraxia	Practice Standard
during acute rehabilitation for left hemisphere stroke	

Table 3: Recommendations for treatment of visuoperceptual deficits

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Memory strategy training if recommended for the improvement of	Practice Standard
prospective memory in people with mild memory impairments after	R'
TBI or stroke, including the use of internalized strategies (e.g., visual	Q
imagery, association techniques) and external memory	
compensations (e.g. notebooks, electronic technologies)	
Memory strategy training if recommended for the improvement of	Practice Standard
recall in the performance of everyday tasks in people with mild	
memory impairments after TBI, including the use of internalized	
strategies (e.g., visual imagery, association techniques) and external	
memory compensations (e.g. notebooks)	
Use of external compensations with direct application to functional	Practice Guideline
activities is recommended for people with severe memory deficits	
after TBI or stroke.	
For people with severe memory impairments after TBI, errorless	Practice Option
learning techniques may be effective for learning specific skills or	
knowledge, with limited transfer to novel tasks or reduction in	
overall functional memory problems.	
Group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of	Practice Option
mild to memory deficits after TBI or stroke, including the	
improvement of prospective memory and recall of information used	

Table 4: Recommendations for treatment of memory deficits
in the performance of everyday tasks.	

Contraction of the second

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Cognitive-linguistic therapies are recommended during acute and post-	Practice Standard
acute rehabilitation for language deficits secondary to left hemisphere	R
stroke.	
Specific interventions for functional communication deficits, including	Practice Standard
pragmatic conversational skills and recognition of emotions from facial	
expressions, are recommended for social communication skills after TBI.	
Cognitive interventions for specific language impairments such as reading	Practice
comprehension and language formulation are recommended after left	Guideline
hemisphere stroke or TBI.	
Treatment intensity should be considered a key factor in the rehabilitation	Practice
of language skills after left hemisphere stroke.	Guideline
Group based interventions may be considered for remediation of language	Practice Option
deficits after left hemisphere stroke and for social-communication deficits	
after TBI.	
Computer-based interventions as an adjunct to clinician-guided treatment	Practice Option
may be considered in the remediation of cognitive-linguistic deficits after	
left hemisphere stroke or TBI. Sole reliance on repeated exposure and	
practice on computer-based tasks without some involvement and	
intervention by a therapist is not recommended.	

Table 5: Recommendations for Remediation of Communication and Social Cognition

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Metacognitive strategy training (self-monitoring and self-regulation) is	Practice Standard
recommended for the treatment of mild-moderate deficits in executive	RÍ
functioning, including impairments of emotional self-regulation, during	
post-acute rehabilitation after TBI. Metacognitive strategy training may	
incorporate formal protocols for problem solving and goal management,	
and their application to everyday situations and functional activities,	
during postacute rehabilitation after TBI.	
Metacognitive strategy training should be incorporated into occupation-	Practice
based treatment for practical goals and functional skills for patients with	Guideline
mild-moderate deficits in executive functioning after TBI and stroke.	
Explicit (verbal-and-video) performance feedback should be considered to	Practice
as a formal component of Metacognitive strategy training during	Guideline
postacute rehabilitation for individuals with impaired self-awareness after	
TBI.	
Group-based interventions may be considered for remediation of mild-	Practice Option
moderate deficits in executive functioning (including deficits in	
awareness, problem solving, goal management and emotional regulation)	
during post-acute rehabilitation after TBI.	
For patients with severe cognitive (executive) deficits after stroke or TBI,	Practice Option

Table 6: Recommendations for treatment of executive function deficits

including limitations of emergent awareness and independent use of	
compensatory strategies, the use of skill-specific training including	
errorless learning may be considered to promote performance of	
specifically trained functional tasks, with no expectation of transfer to	
untrained activities	
Metacognitive strategy training may be considered as a component of	Practice Option
occupation-based treatment during acute rehabilitation to reduce	
functional disability for patients with cognitive impairment after stroke.	

<text>

Intervention	Level of
	Recommendation
Comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation is	Practice Standard
recommended during postacute rehabilitation to reduce cognitive and	RÍ
functional disability for persons with TBI or stroke, regardless of severity	
or time post injury	
Multi-modal, computer-assisted cognitive retraining with the involvement	Practice
and direction of a rehabilitation therapist is recommended as a	Guideline
component of neurorehabilitation for the remediation of attention,	
memory, and executive function deficits following stroke or TBI.	
Computer-assisted cognitive retraining programs should stimulate the	
cognitive domains of interest, adjust task difficulty based on patient's	
level of performance, and provide feedback and objective performance	
data	
Integrated treatment of individualized cognitive and interpersonal	Practice Option
therapies is recommended to improve functioning within the context of a	
comprehensive neuropsychological rehabilitation program, and facilitate	
the effectiveness of specific interventions. Such interventions should be	
goal directed and emphasize individualized client centered goal setting to	
promote enhanced residential independence and occupational functioning	
Group-based interventions may be considered as part of comprehensive-	Practice Option
holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation to improve functional	

Table 7. Recommendations for comprehensive-holistic neuropsychological rehabilitation

awareness, strategy use, functional independence and psychological well	
being after TBI or stroke	

HIP MARKER