

Rainwater-Simons-type convergence theorems for generalized convergence methods

Jan-David Hardtke

Abstract

We extend the well-known Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem to various generalized convergence methods such as strong matrix summability, statistical convergence and almost convergence. In fact we prove these theorems not only for boundaries but for the more general notion of (I)-generating sets introduced by Fonf and Lindenstrauss.

Keywords: Boundary; (I)-generating sets; Rainwater's theorem; Simons' equality; strong matrix summability; statistical convergence; statistically pre-Cauchy sequence; almost convergence

AMS Subject Classification (2000): 46B20; 40C05; 40C99

1 Introduction

First let us fix some notation: Throughout this paper we denote by X a Banach space, by X^* its dual and by B_X its closed unit ball. If C is a convex subset of X , then $\text{ex } C$ denotes the set of extreme points of C . We write $\text{co } A$ for the convex hull of a subset A of X and \overline{A} for its closure in the norm topology. Finally, for a subset B of X^* we denote by \overline{B}^* its weak*-closure.

Now recall the notion of boundary: If X is defined over the real field and K is a weak*-compact convex subset of X^* , a subset B of K is said to be a boundary for K provided that for every $x \in X$ there exists a functional $b \in B$ with $b(x) = \sup_{x^* \in K} x^*(x)$. In case $K = B_{X^*}$ this means that every element of X attains its norm on some functional in B . Then B is simply called a boundary for X .

It easily follows from the Krein-Milman theorem that $\text{ex } B_{X^*}$ is always a boundary for X . Rainwater's theorem (cf. [17]) states that a bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is weakly convergent to $x \in X$ if it is merely convergent to x under every functional $x^* \in \text{ex } B_{X^*}$. The proof is an application of the Choquet-Bishop-de-Leeuw theorem (cf. [16]) combined with Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. In [18] and [19] Simons has proved a generalization of Rainwater's theorem to arbitrary boundaries. In fact he even proved a stronger statement, namely the following

Theorem 1.1 (Simons, cf. [19]). *If B is a boundary for the weak*-compact convex subset $K \subseteq X^*$ then*

$$\sup_{x^* \in K} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_n) = \sup_{x^* \in B} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_n) \quad (1)$$

holds for every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X .

The equality (1) is often referred to as Simons' equality. The proof given in [19] is based on very elementary methods and actually works for boundaries of arbitrary subsets of X^* (which not even need to be weak*-compact or convex) but we are only interested in the above special case. From Theorem 1.1 it is clear that Rainwater's theorem holds true for every boundary B of the space X .

Next we recall the definition of (I)-generating sets given by Fonf and Lindenstrauss in [7] (here X can be a real or complex space): Let K be a weak*-compact convex subset of X^* and $B \subseteq K$. Then B is said to (I)-generate K provided that whenever B is written as a countable union $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ we have that $K = \overline{\text{co}} \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}^* B_n$.

We clearly have

$$\overline{\text{co}} B = K \Rightarrow B \text{ (I)-generates } K \Rightarrow \overline{\text{co}}^* B = K,$$

but none of the converses is true in general (cf. the examples in [7]). Further note that B (I)-generates K iff the following holds: Whenever B is written as an increasing union of countably many subsets $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}^* B_n$ is norm-dense in K .

Now if B is a boundary for K it follows from the Hahn-Banach separation theorem that $\overline{\text{co}}^* B = K$. In [7, Theorem 2.3] it is proved that B actually (I)-generates K . Together with the observation that for a norm separable (I)-generating subset B of K we already have $\overline{\text{co}} B = K$ (cf. [7, Proposition 2.2, (a)]), this leads to a proof of James' celebrated compactness theorem in the separable case (cf. [8, Theorems 5.7 and 5.9] or the introduction of [11]). In fact one even gets stronger versions of James' theorem for separable spaces (cf. [7]).

In [15] Nygaard proved that the statement of the Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem holds for every set B that (I)-generates B_{X^*} and used this observation combined with the result of Fonf and Lindenstrauss to give a short proof of James' reflexivity criterion in case B_{X^*} is weak*-sequentially compact. Independently in [11] Kalenda introduced the concept of (I)-envelopes and studied the possibility of proving the general James' compactness theorem by these methods. The studies were continued in [12]. In particular he implicitly proved that the (I)-generation property is equivalent to Simons' equality (cf. [11, Lemma 2.1]). Another, explicit proof of this fact may be found in [1, Theorem 2.2].

All we want to do in this note is point out that the Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem for (I)-generating sets carries over to various generalized convergence methods, so in the next section we briefly discuss some of the most common such methods.

2 Generalized convergence methods

Consider an infinite complex matrix $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$. A sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of scalars is said to be A -convergent (or A -summable) to s , if the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k$ is convergent for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}s_k = s$.

The matrix A is called regular if every sequence which is convergent in the ordinary sense is also A -convergent to the same limit. According to a well-known theorem of Toeplitz A is regular iff the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{nk} = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The most prominent example of a regular matrix is the Cesàro matrix $C = (c_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $c_{nk} = 1/n$ for $k \leq n$ and $c_{nk} = 0$ for $k > n$. We refer the reader to [20] for more information on regular summability matrices. It is clear that the Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem carries over to matrix summability methods, but less evident that it also holds for the following methods.

If A is a regular positive matrix (i.e., $a_{nk} \geq 0$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$) and $p > 0$, then the sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be strongly A - p -convergent to s provided that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}|s_k - s|^p < \infty$ for each n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}|s_k - s|^p = 0$. The strong A - p -convergence is a linear consistent summability method and the strong A - p -limit of a sequence is unique if it exists. For some results on strong matrix summability we refer to [20] (with index $p = 1$) or [10].

In [14] Maddox introduced and studied a more general form of strong matrix summability, replacing the index p by a sequence of indices: If A is a positive infinite matrix and $\mathbf{p} = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of strictly positive numbers, then the sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be strongly A - \mathbf{p} -convergent to s if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}|s_k - s|^{p_k} < \infty$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}|s_k - s|^{p_k} = 0$. Again A - \mathbf{p} -convergence is a linear method, provided the sequence \mathbf{p} is bounded.

Another common generalized convergence method is that of statistical convergence introduced by Fast in [6]: A sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of (real or complex) numbers is called statistically convergent to s if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n|\{k \leq n : |s_k - s| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$. More generally one can consider A -statistical convergence for a positive regular matrix A : The sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is A -statistically convergent to s if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk}\chi_{B_\varepsilon}(k) = 0$, where $B_\varepsilon = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : |s_k - s| \geq \varepsilon\}$ and for

$M \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ the symbol χ_M denotes the characteristic function of M . For $A = C$, the Cesàro matrix, we have the ordinary statistical convergence. It is easy to check that A -statistical convergence is a linear consistent method and that the A -statistical limit is uniquely determined whenever it exists. In [4] Connor proved the following connection between statistical and strong Cesàro convergence:

Theorem 2.1 (Connor, cf. [4]). *Let $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of numbers, $p > 0$ and s a number. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strongly p -Cesàro convergent to s , then it is also statistically convergent to s .*
- (ii) *If $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and statistically convergent to s , then it is also strongly p -Cesàro convergent to s .*

Virtually the same proof as given in [4] also works for A -statistical and strong A - p -convergence in case of an arbitrary positive regular matrix A . In particular, A -statistical and strong A - p -convergence are equivalent on bounded sequences (for this see also [5, Theorem 8]) and hence for any two indices $p, q > 0$ strong A - p - and strong A - q -convergence are equivalent on bounded sequences.

We further recall the notion of statistically pre-Cauchy sequences, introduced in [2]: A sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of scalars is called statistically pre-Cauchy if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n^2 |\{(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2 : |s_i - s_j| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. It is proved in [2] that a statistically convergent sequence is statistically pre-Cauchy, whereas the converse is not true in general, but under certain additional assumptions (cf. [2, Theorems 5 and 7]). Also, the following analogue of theorem 2.1 holds:

Theorem 2.2 (Connor et al., cf. [2]). *A sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is statistically pre-Cauchy if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i, j \leq n} |s_i - s_j| = 0. \quad (2)$$

The converse is true if $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded.

It is easy to deduce from the classical Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem the fact that a bounded sequence in X is weakly Cauchy iff it is a Cauchy sequence under every functional in B , where B is any boundary for X . In section 3 we shall see that the same statement holds if one replaces ‘Cauchy sequence’ by ‘statistically pre-Cauchy sequence’ and B is any (I)-generating subset of B_{X^*} .

Still the reader may wonder why statistically pre-Cauchy sequences are not simply called ‘statistically Cauchy’. The reason is that there exists

another notion of statistically Cauchy sequences introduced in [9], which turns out to be equivalent to statistical convergence (cf. [9, Theorem 1]), but this criterion is difficult to apply if one has no idea what the statistical limit might look like. This was the main motivation for introducing the concept of statistically pre-Cauchy sequences in [2].

More information on statistical convergence can be found in [4], [5] and [9]. For some applications of statistical convergence in Banach space theory see also [3].

Finally, let us discuss the notion of almost convergence. For this we first recall the definition of a Banach limit: If $L : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear functional with $L(\mathbf{1}) = 1$, $\mathbf{x} \geq 0 \Rightarrow L(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ and $L(T\mathbf{x}) = L(\mathbf{x})$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^\infty$, where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots)$ and $T : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ denotes the shift operator (i.e., $(T\mathbf{x})(n) = \mathbf{x}(n+1)$), then L is called a Banach limit. The existence of a Banach limit can be easily proved using the Hahn-Banach extension theorem.

In [13] Lorentz defined a bounded sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers to be almost convergent to $s \in \mathbb{R}$ if $L(s_k) = s$ for every Banach limit L . It is easy to see that every convergent sequence is also almost convergent (to the same limit). For an easy example showing that the converse is not true, note that the sequence $(1, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ is almost convergent to $1/2$. In general Lorentz proved that almost convergence is equivalent to ‘uniform Cesàro convergence’ in the following sense:

Theorem 2.3 (Lorentz, cf. [13]). *A bounded sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is almost convergent to $s \in \mathbb{R}$ iff*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n s_{k+l} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} s \text{ uniformly in } l \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3)$$

Lorentz then introduced the notion of F_A -convergence, replacing the Cesàro matrix in (2) by an arbitrary regular matrix A :

A bounded sequence $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be F_A -convergent to s if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_{k+l} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} s \text{ uniformly in } l \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

In particular, Lorentz characterized those regular matrices A for which F_A - and almost convergence are equivalent. We refer to [13] for information on this subject. Further references for generalized convergence methods can be found in the literature mentioned above.

3 Extending the convergence theorem

We now prove a general theorem, resembling 1.1, from which the extended forms of the convergence theorem will easily follow. We denote by τ_p the topology of pointwise convergence on ℓ^∞ .

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a weak*-compact convex subset of X^* and B an (I)-generating subset of K . Further, let $P : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ be a map with $P(0) = 0$. Denote by P_n the map $\mathbf{x} \mapsto |(P\mathbf{x})(n)|$ and suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For each n the map P_n is convex and lower semicontinuous with respect to τ_p on every bounded subset of ℓ^∞ .*
- (ii) *There exists $M \geq 0$ with $P_n(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) \leq M(P_n\mathbf{x} + P_n\mathbf{y})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \ell^\infty$.*
- (iii) *P is continuous at 0 with respect to the norm topology of ℓ^∞ .*

Then for every bounded sequence $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X we have

$$\sup_{x^* \in K} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x})) \leq M \sup_{x^* \in B} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x})), \quad (4)$$

where $x^*(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the sequence $(x^*(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. Denote the supremum on the right hand side of (4) by S . If $S = \infty$ the statement is clear, so we may assume $S < \infty$. Now take $x^* \in K$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ and fix a constant $R > 0$ with $\|x_n\| \leq R$ for all n . Define for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$B_N = \{y^* \in B : P_n(y^*(\mathbf{x})) \leq S + \varepsilon \forall n \geq N\}.$$

Then $B_N \nearrow B$ and since B (I)-generates K it follows that

$$\overline{\bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \overline{\text{co}}^* B_N} = K. \quad (5)$$

By (iii) we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\mathbf{y} \in \ell^\infty$ we have

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq \delta \Rightarrow \|P\mathbf{y}\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

By (5) there exists an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and a functional $\tilde{x}^* \in \overline{\text{co}}^* B_N$ with $\|x^* - \tilde{x}^*\| \leq \delta/R$. From (i) and the definition of B_N we conclude that

$$P_n(\tilde{x}^*(\mathbf{x})) \leq S + \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N. \quad (7)$$

Now for all $n \geq N$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x})) &\stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} M(P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{x}^*(\mathbf{x})) + P_n(\tilde{x}^*(\mathbf{x}))) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} M(P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{x}^*(\mathbf{x})) + S + \varepsilon) \leq M(S + 2\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds because of $\|x^*(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{x}^*(\mathbf{x})\|_\infty \leq \|x^* - \tilde{x}^*\|R \leq \delta$ and (6). So we can conclude $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(x^*(\mathbf{x})) \leq M(S + 2\varepsilon)$ and since ε was arbitrary the proof is finished. \square

We hasten to add that the above proof is nothing but a very slight modification of the argument for the first implication in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.2], so we do not claim any credit for originality here.

We can now collect some corollaries. First we consider strong matrix summability and related methods as described in the previous section.

Corollary 3.2. *Let B be an (I) -generating subset of the weak*-compact convex set $K \subseteq X^*$, $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a positive regular matrix and $\mathbf{p} = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in \mathbb{R} with $p_k \geq 1$ for all k and $r = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_k < \infty$. Then for every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X we have*

$$\sup_{x^* \in K} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |x^*(x_n)|^{p_k} \leq 2^{r-1} \sup_{x^* \in B} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |x^*(x_n)|^{p_k}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. Define $P : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ by $(P\mathbf{x})(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |\mathbf{x}(k)|^{p_k}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\mathbf{x} \in \ell^\infty$. Since for each $p \geq 1$ the function $t \mapsto t^p$ is convex, it follows that the map P is coordinatewise convex. Moreover, it is easy to see that each coordinate function of P is actually continuous with respect to τ_p on every bounded subset of ℓ^∞ , thus P satisfies the condition (i) of theorem 3.1. The condition (iii) is easily seen to be fulfilled as well. Finally, because of the convexity of $t \mapsto t^p$ for $p \geq 1$, we have $|a + b|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|a|^p + |b|^p)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $p \geq 1$ and it follows that P also satisfies the condition (ii) with $M = 2^{r-1}$. Theorem 3.1 now yields the desired inequality. \square

For a constant sequence \mathbf{p} even more is true:

Corollary 3.3. *Let K, B and A be as in Corollary 3.2. Then for each $p \geq 1$ and every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X , the following equality holds:*

$$\sup_{x^* \in K} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |x^*(x_n)|^p = \sup_{x^* \in B} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |x^*(x_n)|^p. \quad (9)$$

Proof. This time we define $P : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ by

$$(P\mathbf{x})(n) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} |\mathbf{x}(k)|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \ell^\infty.$$

The Minkowski inequality implies that P fulfils (ii) with $M = 1$ and conditions (i) and (iii) are fulfilled as well, so (8) follows from Theorem 3.1. \square

Now we can extend the Rainwater-Simons convergence theorem to strong matrix summability methods (and en passant also to statistical convergence).

Corollary 3.4. *Let $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a positive regular matrix, B an (I) -generating subset of B_{X^*} and $\mathbf{p} = (p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of real numbers with $q = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_k > 0$ and $r = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} p_k < \infty$.*

Then a bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is strongly A - \mathbf{p} -convergent to $x \in X$ under every functional $x^ \in X^*$ if (and only if) it is strongly A - \mathbf{p} -convergent to x under every functional in B .*

The same statement also holds for A -statistical convergence.

Proof. In case $q \geq 1$ this is immediate from Corollary 3.2. In general we can simply replace the sequence \mathbf{p} by $(p_k/q)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and get the same result, because for bounded sequences strong A - p - and strong A - s -convergence are equivalent for any $p, s > 0$ by the remark following Theorem 2.1. The case of A -statistical convergence also follows from this remark. \square

The case of statistically pre-Cauchy sequences can be treated in much the same way.

Corollary 3.5. *Let B be an (I) -generating subset of B_{X^*} and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a bounded sequence in X such that $(x^*(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is statistically pre-Cauchy for all $x^* \in B$. Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ‘weakly statistically pre-Cauchy’, i.e. $(x^*(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is statistically pre-Cauchy for every $x^* \in X^*$.*

Proof. Define $P : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ by

$$(P\mathbf{x})(n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j \leq n} |\mathbf{x}(i) - \mathbf{x}(j)| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \ell^\infty$$

and apply Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 to get the desired conclusion. \square

Next we consider the case of F_A -convergence.

Corollary 3.6. *Let B be an (I) -generating subset of the dual unit ball B_{X^*} and $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a regular matrix. Further, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in X as well as $x \in X$ such that $(x^*(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is F_A -convergent to $x^*(x)$ for all $x^* \in B$.*

Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is F_A -convergent to x under every functional $x^ \in X^*$.*

In particular this is true for the method of almost convergence.

Proof. We define $P : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$ by

$$(P\mathbf{x})(n) = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \mathbf{x}(k+l) \right| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \ell^\infty.$$

Then P fulfils the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 (with $M=1$ in (ii)) and so the assertion easily follows. The ‘in particular’ part follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

Let us finish this note with an application of Corollary 3.4. As mentioned before, Nygaard proved in [15] that a Banach space X whose dual unit ball is weak*-sequentially compact is reflexive if B_X (I)-generates $B_{X^{**}}$ (we consider X canonically embedded into its bidual) and independently Kalenda proved a more general result in the same direction (cf. [11, Corollaries 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7]). In [12] he proved that every non-reflexive Banach space can be renormed such that the unit ball in this renorming does not (I)-generate the respective bidual unit ball. Our Corollary 3.8 below can be viewed as a modest generalization of Nygaard's result.

First a little remark is necessary: If A is a positive regular matrix and $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of non-negative real numbers which is A -convergent to zero, then it is not too hard to see that 0 is a cluster point of $(s_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ (in the ordinary sense). Keeping this in mind, it is easy to prove the following: If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in X which is strongly A -convergent to $x \in X$ under every $x^* \in X^*$, then x is a weak cluster point of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Now we can proceed with the promised corollaries.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that B is an (I)-generating subset of B_{X^*} and that $M \subseteq X$ is bounded. If for each sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in M there is a positive regular matrix A and an $x \in X$ such that $(x^*(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strongly A -convergent to $x^*(x)$ for all $x^* \in B$, then M is relatively weakly compact. In particular, M is relatively weakly compact if each sequence in M has a subsequence that is statistically convergent to some $x \in X$ under every functional in B (i.e. if M is 'statistically sequentially compact' in the topology of pointwise convergence on B).*

Proof. From Corollary 3.4 and the above remark we conclude that M is relatively weakly countably compact and hence also relatively weakly compact by the Eberlein-Shmulyan theorem. \square

From Corollary 3.7 our reflexivity result now immediately follows.

Corollary 3.8. *Suppose that B_X (I)-generates $B_{X^{**}}$ and that for each sequence $(x_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in B_{X^*} there is a positive regular matrix A and an $x^* \in X^*$ such that $(x_n^*(x))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is strongly A -convergent to $x^*(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then X is reflexive. In particular, X is reflexive if B_X (I)-generates $B_{X^{**}}$ and B_{X^*} is 'weak*-statistically sequentially compact'.*

Proof. From Corollary 3.7 it follows that B_{X^*} is weakly compact, thus X^* and hence also X is reflexive. \square

References

- [1] B. Cascales, V.P. Fonf, J. Orihuela, S. Troyanski: Boundaries of Asplund spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(6):1346-1368, 2010
- [2] J. Connor, J. Fridy and J. Kline: Statistically Pre-Cauchy Sequences, *Analysis* 14:311-317, 1994
- [3] J. Connor, M. Ganichev and V. Kadets: A characterization of Banach Spaces with Separable Duals via Weak Statistical Convergence, *J. Math. Analysis and Appl.*, 244:251-261, 2000
- [4] J. Connor: The statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences, *Analysis* 8:47-63, 1988
- [5] J. Connor: Two valued measures and summability, *Analysis* 10:373-385, 1990
- [6] H. Fast: Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.*, 2:241-244, 1951
- [7] V.P. Fonf and J. Lindenstrauss: Boundaries and generation of compact convex sets, *Israel J. Math.*, 136:157-172, 2003
- [8] V.P. Fonf, J. Lindenstrauss and R.R. Phelps: Infinite dimensional convexity, in *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces*, vol.1 (W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, eds.), North-Holland, 599-670, 2001
- [9] J.A. Fridy: On statistical convergence, *Analysis* 5:301-313, 1985
- [10] H.J. Hamilton and J.D. Hill: On strong summability, *Amer. J. Math.*, 6:588-594, 1938
- [11] O.F.K. Kalenda: (I)-envelopes of closed convex sets in Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, 162:157-181, 2007
- [12] O.F.K. Kalenda: (I)-envelopes of unit balls and James' characterization of reflexivity, *Studia Math.*, 182(1):29-40, 2007
- [13] G.G. Lorentz: A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, *Acta Math.*, 80(1):167-190, 1948
- [14] I.J. Maddox: Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*, 18(2):345-355, 1967
- [15] O. Nygaard: A remark on Rainwater's theorem, *Annales Mathematicae et Informaticae*, 32:125-127, 2005
- [16] R.R. Phelps: Lectures on Choquet's theorem, *Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies* vol.7, 1966

- [17] J. Rainwater: Weak convergence of bounded sequences, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 14:999, 1963
- [18] S. Simons: A convergence theorem with boundary, Pacific J. Math., 40:703-708, 1972
- [19] S. Simons: An eigenvector proof of Fatou's lemma for continuous functions, Math. Intelligencer, 17:67-70, 1995
- [20] K. Zeller and W. Beekmann, Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1970

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN
ARNIMALLEE 6, 14195 BERLIN
GERMANY
E-mail address: hardtke@math.fu-berlin.de