Kluwer Health. So VHT, Channon AA, Ali MM, Merdad L, Al Sabahi S, Al Suwaidi H, Al Ajeel A, Osman N & Khoja TAM (2018) Uptake of breast and cervical cancer screening in four Gulf Cooperation Council countries. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, 28 (5), pp. 451-456. This paper is not the copy of record and may not exactly replicate the final published version: https://doi.org/10.1097/CEJ.000000000000466

# Uptake of Breast and Cervical Cancer Screening in Four Gulf Cooperation **Countries**

# **Short Title: Uptake of Cancer Screening in GCC Countries**

Vivian H.T. So<sup>1</sup>, Andrew Amos Channon<sup>2\*</sup>, Mohamed M. Ali<sup>3</sup>, Leena Merdad<sup>4</sup>, Sultana Al Sabahi<sup>5</sup>, Huda Al Suwaidi<sup>6</sup>, Abdullah Al Ajeel<sup>7</sup>, Nabil Osman<sup>8</sup>, Tawfik A M Khoja<sup>9</sup>

# Channon@soton.ac.uk

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>PhD, Faculty of Health Sciences and Sport, University of Stirling, UK, Vivian.so@stir.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PhD, Department of Social Statistics and Demography, University of Southampton, UK, A.R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> PhD, World Health Organisation, Geneva, alim@who.int

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Doctorate of Science (SD), King Abdulaziz University (Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, lam641@mail.harvard.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> MSc, Center of Studies and Research, Ministry of Health, Muscat, Oman, alsabahis@hotmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Arab Board Family Medicine, PhD, Vulnerable Group Department, Community Development Authority, Dubai, UAE, alsuwaidi.huda71@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> MD Public Health, Health & Vital Statistics, National Center of Health Information, Ministry of Health, Kuwait, aalajeel@moh.gov.kw

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> BSc, Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia, abushadi@moh.gov.sa

<sup>9</sup> MBBS, DPHC, FRCGP, FFPH, FRCP, School of Public Health, Primary Care & Public Health, Imperial College, London, UK, <a href="mailto:khojatawfik@gmail.com">khojatawfik@gmail.com</a>

# **Source of Funding**

This paper is financially supported by the World Health Organisation Regional Office of Eastern Mediterranean, Cairo, Egypt.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

None declared

# **Abstract**

## **Objectives**

In Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) States there is limited information on national levels of mammography and Pap smear screening uptake. The aim of this study is to provide a baseline for national estimates for mammography and Pap smear screening and to explore associations between screening uptake and socio-economic factors.

#### Methods

The nationally representative World Health Survey+, implemented in 2008/9 in Kuwait,

Oman, Saudi Arabia and United Arab Emirates, was used. Uptake of Mammography and Pap
smear were estimated for each country, followed by the examination of associations
between screening and a range of socio-economic variables.

#### **Results**

Levels of breast and cervical cancer screening uptake within recommended intervals in all countries were low. The percentages of women aged 40-75 who had a mammogram were 4.9% in Saudi Arabia, 8.9% in Oman, 13.9% in the UAE and 14.6% in Kuwait. The percentages of women aged 25-49 who had a Pap smear test were 7.6% in Saudi Arabia, 10.6% in Oman, 17.7% in Kuwait and 28.0% in the UAE. Marital status, wealth, education, nationality and place of residence are associated with screening uptake, with the lower educated, poor and unmarried having the lowest percentages of uptake.

#### **Conclusions**

The four GCC countries need to set clear targets and increase the proportion of women who have regular breast and cervical cancer screening examinations. Health education campaigns and awareness programmes that are fully integrated into the health system are required to ensure women use services that are available to prevent breast and cervical cancers.

**Keywords**: Mass Screening; breast cancer; cervical cancer; Mammography; Papanicolaou test; health surveys; Gulf Cooperation Countries

# Introduction

In 2012, approximately 1.67 million new cases of breast cancer and 528,000 cases of cervical cancer were recorded worldwide (Ferlay et al., 2015). In the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) region breast cancer ranked first (24.2% of total diagnoses) and cervical cancer ranked seventh (2.9% of total diagnoses) for cancer incidence amongst females between 1998 and 2009 (Al-Othman et al., 2015). It has been estimated that there were 42,000 deaths due to breast cancer (13.9 deaths / 100,000 women) and 8,000 deaths due to cervical cancer (2.6 deaths / 100,000 women) in the WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region in 2012 (Ervik et al., 2016). There is evidence that in GCC countries both breast and cervical cancers are diagnosed later and affect younger groups than seen elsewhere (Al-Othman et al., 2015). Screening for frequently observed cancer types, such as cervical and breast cancer, is effective in ensuring early diagnosis and appropriate treatment (World Health Organization, 2015). However, the scarce information about the use of screening programmes for breast and cervical cancer within the GCC region indicates that these services are underutilised (El Bcheraoui et al., 2015).

Mammography and Papanicolaou (Pap) smear screening are recommended as the most effective general screening tests for reducing mortality from breast and cervical cancer (World Health Organization, 2013, 2014). The WHO recommends mammogram screening with an interval of two years for those aged 50-69, with screening programmes for those aged 40-49 and 70-75 in well-resourced settings with appropriate research and monitoring and evaluation (World Health Organization, 2014). Due to the slow-growing nature of most cervical cancers, early detection is known to greatly reduce mortality (Al Eyd and Shaik, 2012). WHO recommends a cervical screening interval of every three years for women aged

between 25 and 49 years old and a five-year interval for women aged 50 to 64 years old, if resources are available (World Health Organization, 2013).

Nationally representative information regarding screening for cancers in the GCC region is scarce. A nationally representative study on breast cancer screening from Saudi Arabia indicated very low levels of mammogram use amongst women aged over 50 years (El Bcheraoui et al., 2015). Further studies, conducted in a number of GCC countries, have focused on specific population groups and show that screening use is low (Bener et al., 2009, Ravichandran et al., 2011, Donnelly et al., 2012, Latif, 2014).

There is a consensus that cancer awareness is a driving factors for women to engage in screening activities (Ravichandran et al., 2011, Donnelly et al., 2012, Sait et al., 2012, Donnelly et al., 2015a). This knowledge is influenced by sociodemographic and cultural factors, with younger, more educated, married and employed women more likely to be aware of and use the available screening (Ravichandran et al., 2011, Donnelly et al., 2015a). The household context is also important within the GCC region, with studies identifying the importance of husbands and male household members in women's participation in screening (Al-Amoudi and Abdulijabbar, 2012, Donnelly et al., 2015b).

The current literature on breast and cervical cancer screening in the GCC region mainly focuses on a single country with a resulting lack of comparative information. Research is mainly conducted at the subnational level amongst specific age groups. A comparative study will identify areas of good practice within the region as well as providing data that can be used as a baseline to assess the efficacy of policies to increase screening use. This paper examines the screening levels and the relationship between background factors and screening for both breast and cervical cancer in four GCC countries (Kuwait, Oman, Saudi Arabia and UAE) using nationally representative survey data.

#### **Material and Methods**

Data

The World Health Survey Plus (WHS+) was conducted in Kuwait (2008), Oman (2008),
Saudi Arabia (2008) and UAE (2009)(World Health Ogranization, 2013). The survey covered
both the national and non-national adult populations in all four countries. Nationals and
non-nationals experience healthcare differently in the GCC region need to be analysed
separately. All surveys were nationally representative and conducted in person with full
ethical permissions. In all surveys except Kuwait, where simple random sample was used,
the survey design used 3-stage sample selection. Clusters (enumeration areas) were
randomly selected with probability proportion to size (PPS) then a fixed sample of
households were randomly selected from each cluster and eligible individuals were selected
using Kish-tables. In Oman and Saudi the sample was stratified by place of residence and in
UAE and Kuwait by nationality.

Three questions related to cervical and breast cancer screening were asked to eligible women during the individual interview:

- 1. When was the last time you had a pelvic examination, if ever?
- 2. The last time you had the pelvic examination, did you have a Pap smear test?
- 3. When was the last time you had a mammogram, if ever?

Further information to describe each procedure was also given if required for the respondent to identify if such an examination had occurred.

For the mammography analysis, the sample was restricted to women aged 40 to 75 years (for Oman the age range was 40 to 69 years due to those aged 70 or higher not being asked

this question). Table 1 gives the number of respondents by country and nationality. The time period for rescreening was selected to be two years following WHO recommendations (World Health Organization, 2014). Response rates to screening questions were high in all countries apart from UAE.

## ---Table 1 about here---

Females between the ages of 25 and 64 years were questioned about uptake of cervical cancer screening through their use of a Pap smear. A Pap smear interval of three years has been selected for those aged between 25 and 49 years old, while a five-year interval is selected for women aged between 50 and 64, again following WHO recommendations (World Health Organization, 2013). The number of women in this age range for each country, and who provided a valid answer to the above questions, are shown in Table 1. A woman was only asked whether she had a Pap smear if she were to have already stated that she had a pelvic examination, and hence if the response to having an examination was negative, she was recorded as not having a Pap smear.

Women aged 40-64 are expected to have both mammograms and Pap smear tests. If these are combined the overall coverage of cancer screening amongst this group can be calculated. This is conducted for each country and for nationals and non-nationals separately. To ascertain whether women are receiving screening at longer intervals than are recommended the percentages of women aged 40-64 who received both examinations within the last 5 years was calculated, as well as within the last 10 years.

Household (highest educational level of males within the household, wealth, place of residence) and individual socio-demographic variables (age, marital status, education and employment) were selected for analysis as they were hypothesised to be associated with

the uptake of regular screening. The highest education level among all male household members was used as a proxy for household influences on a woman's participation in screening. Wealth was calculated using principal components analysis on the assets held by the household to give a relative measure of wealth (Filmer and Pritchett, 2001), divided into tertiles of low, medium and high wealth. All results were calculated for national and non-national populations separately where possible. Each country's questionnaires contained the same questions, except that Kuwait did not collect information about place of residence as the country is almost 100% urban.

#### Analysis

All three outcomes (Mammography, Pap smear and both together) were coded as binary indicating if the screen had occurred within the requisite period of time. Due to the small percentage of women who had a mammogram in required intervals, the analysis for this only focused on differences by age and nationality. The association between age and mammography use was tested using the Fisher's exact test. For Pap smear screening weighted cross-tabulations were produced between the screening and different background variables. The association between each background variables and mammography use was tested using the chi-square test.

In order to account for the different sampling design in the survey, all analyses applied in this study were weighted accordingly. Data analysis was performed using STATA version 13 (StataCorp, 2013). It was not possible to calculate confidence intervals for UAE as there was a single female respondent within a strata within the age range of interest.

# **Results**

#### **Mammography**

The levels of women who had a mammogram within the last two years was low in all countries and for both nationals and non-nationals. The lowest was in Saudi Arabia, with a weighted percentage of 4.9% (95% CI: 3.4% to 6.8%), while the highest was in Kuwait, where almost 15% of women had been scanned (95% CI: 12.1% to 17.6%). In UAE only 33 women reported having a scan in the last two years out of a total sample size of 221 women (13.9%), while in Oman there were only 32 women with a scan out of 742 women in the sample (8.9%, 95% CI: 5.9% to 13.2%). Table 2 shows the percentage of women with a mammogram by nationality and age group. There is no clear and consistent evidence of differences by age group or nationality.

#### ---Table 2 about here---

#### Pap Smear Screening

A higher percentage of women had a Pap smear in the recommended intervals than was seen for mammography. The percentage with a Pap smear ranged from 7.6% (95% CI: 6.4% to 9.0%) in Saudi Arabia to 28.0% in the UAE. The corresponding percentages in Oman were 10.6% (95% CI: 8.6% to 13.0%) and 17.7% (95% CI: 15.6% to 20.0%) in Kuwait. The crosstabulations with background variables are shown in Table 3.

#### ---Table 3 about here---

There were a number of significant associations between the background variables and the reporting of a Pap smear within recommended intervals. Nationality was significant in both Oman and UAE, although non-national women were more likely to be screened in Oman and nationals in UAE. Marital status was significant in all countries, with married

women more likely to have been screened than those who were not married. There were also significant differences by place of residence in Oman, Saudi Arabia and UAE. Wealth was significantly related to cervical screening in all countries except Kuwait, although there was no consistent pattern across the countries regarding the direction of this relationship. Education was related to screening in both Oman and Saudi Arabia, with the most educated reporting the highest level of Pap screening.

# Overall Coverage

Overall the percentage of women between ages 40 and 64 who have had both mammograms and Pap smears is extremely low, with only 2.2% of women in Saudi Arabia meeting the recommendations, rising to 6.3% in Kuwait (Table 4). Extending the intervals makes little difference to the coverage, with a 10 year reference period increasing the percentages of those screened to 2.7% for Saudi Arabia and 8.1% for Kuwait.

#### ---Table 4 about here---

#### Discussion

The implementation of the WHS+ in the GCC region enables a wide range of comparative studies into different health outcomes in this region of the world. Comparing countries with similar backgrounds supports conclusions to be made about levels of health and health care in each country and the governmental policies that have been implemented. With the clear benefits of screening for both breast and cervical cancer for early diagnosis and treatment, alongside very limited national level data within the GCC countries, using the WHS+ to compare between these four countries should highlight good practice.

Rates of both mammography and screening for cervical cancer are extremely low in all countries under analysis. With a maximum percentage of women of relevant ages who have

been screened of 14.6% for a mammogram (in Kuwait), and 28.0% for a Pap smear (in UAE), it is clear that all countries need to improve screening rates. If narrower age groups are used to align with up-to-date screening guidelines (World Health Organization, 2013, 2014) the rates of mammography screening only rise to a maximum of 25.2% in the UAE for 50-64 year olds, and to 20.6% amongst 30-39 year olds for Pap smears in Kuwait. Comparator countries outside of the GCC region have much higher levels of screening (Kobeissi et al., 2012, OECD, 2015), indicating that there is scope for lessons to be learnt from countries with a higher screening rate through the adoption of new and updated policies.

There has been a debate about the efficacy of mammography at different ages, with a report concluding that the benefits of screening outweighing the risks of overtreatment for those aged 50-69 and 70-75 (Lauby-Secretan et al., 2015), with a limited reduction in risk seen for those aged 40-49. Yet the levels by age group for each of the countries indicate that women of all ages are screened at a far lower level than recommendations, leading to the conclusion that these low levels of screening are related to low levels of awareness of the availability of services and the potential benefits of the procedures. Clear requirements in each country for the frequency and ages where screening is beneficial would highlight best practice to women and may encourage greater participation in the programmes.

In the four countries under analysis here national health awareness campaigns to increase the rates of screening have been sporadic, especially at the time the data was collected. A study in Saudi Arabia indicated that the national breast cancer screening centre, established in 2007, was only effectively accessed by women from Riyadh, where it was based (Alhazmi, 2016). Further campaigns have been noted in all countries, especially focusing on mobile clinics, but the effectiveness of these to increase screening rates amongst women is unknown. In 2007 the Gulf Plan for Cancer Control emphasized that

there was a need for greater awareness of the risk factors for all cancers, and there has been further efforts to increase screening rates in all GCC countries, although the effectiveness of these efforts is currently unknown.

To encourage women to use screening programmes the underlying reasons why there was low uptake need to be investigated further. This study has indicated that there is a significant relationship between cervical cancer screening and marital status in all countries, with wealth, the level of education of the woman, place of residence and nationality also related in some countries. These are unadjusted relationships, so there may be some confounding that is not accounted for. Some of these relationships are simple to explain, with those from rural areas having poorer access to health facilities, while higher educated women are likely to be more informed about the benefits of screening and greater autonomy to attend. The relationship between marital status and Pap screens may be partly due to age, but there are known issues with unmarried women using the health system within the GCC region (Mobaraki and Söderfeldt, 2010). Further research looking at the barriers to screening will elucidate this relationship further.

This study only indicates the association of screening with a range of factors and cannot elucidate the underlying factors further. However, studies in the region have indicated a lack of knowledge about cancer and screening (Al-Meer et al., 2011), a lack of support from husbands (El Saghir et al., 2007) and from health providers (Bener et al., 2001, Al Sairafi and Mohamed, 2009), as well as fear of the process (Amin et al., 2009). A recent study into cervical cancer knowledge in Oman highlighted that specific knowledge of the signs and symptoms of the cancer, alongside that of the Pap smear itself, was low, especially amongst the least educated (Nasar et al., 2016).

#### Limitations

The estimates of women who have been screened are based on self-reported screening, which could suffer from reporting bias. For example, it is possible that the percentages of women who have Pap smears is higher than those recorded in the survey, with women not reporting for a number of reasons, such as that they were not formally told that a procedure was called a Pap smear when it was conducted. The question relating to Pap smears was only asked if a pelvic examination was conducted, which may have been misinterpreted and thus not reported. A further issue was with the high proportion of missing data in UAE, with almost half of the eligible women not answering questions about screening leading to limits to the generalizability of the results.. However, in the three other countries there was a low level of missing data, especially in Saudi Arabia and Oman. Finally, the data were collected between 2008 and 2009 and hence they may not reflect the current situation. Yet these data allow the establishment of a good baseline from which progress can be judged.

# **Conclusions**

Levels of cancer screening in the GCC countries analysed are low. Even using the most liberal definition of a 10 year window for screening, less than 10% of women aged 40 to 64 had both a mammogram and Pap smear. Although there are other tests that can be used for breast and cervical cancer identification, these low levels of the common screening tests may lead to a higher level of undetected cancers amongst women, with the higher mortality that will result. This is within the context of an ageing population and high non-communicable disease burden.

This study has shown that across Kuwait, Oman, Saudi Arabia and UAE there is a need for an increased awareness of cancer screening in the population. There needs to be a specific focus on expanding screening for both cervical and breast cancer to encourage women to

use the facilities that are available. Inequalities in use between population groups are observed, but with the low levels that are observed a key requirement is to improve utilisation in all groups through targeted public education campaigns in all four GCC countries, through a united action that brings together these countries.

# References

Al-Amoudi SM, Abdulijabbar HS (2012). Men's knowledge and attitude towards breast cancer in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal 33(5):547-550.

Al-Meer F, Aseel M, Al-Khalaf J, Al-Kuwari M, Ismail M (2011). Knowledge, attitude and practices regarding cervical cancer and screening among women visiting primary health care in Qatar. Eastern Mediterranean health journal 17(11):855.

Al-Othman S, Haoudi A, Alhomoud S, Alkhenizan A, Khoja T, Al-Zahrani A (2015). Tackling Cancer Control in the Gulf Cooperation Council Countries. The Lancet Oncology 16(5):246-257. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(15)70034-3.

Al Eyd GJ, Shaik RB (2012). Rate of Opportunistic Pap Smear Screening and Patterns of Epithelial Cell Abnormalities in Pap Smears in Ajman, United Arab Emirates. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal 12(4):473-478.

Al Sairafi M, Mohamed FA (2009). Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice Related to Cervical Cancer Screening among Kuwaiti Women. Medical Principles and Practice 18(1):35-42.

Alhazmi FG (2016). Comparison of Breast and Colorectal Cancer Screening Programs in the Netherlands and the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Cancer 4(1):157-165.

Amin TT, Al Mulhim A, Al Meqihwi A (2009). Breast cancer knowledge, risk factors and screening among adult Saudi women in a primary health care setting. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 10(1):133-138.

Bener A, Alwash R, Miller CJ, Denic S, Dunn EV (2001). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices related to breast cancer screening: A survey of Arabic women. Journal of Cancer Education 16(4):215-220. doi: 10.1080/08858190109528776.

Bener A, El Ayoubi H, Moore M, Basha B, Joseph S, Chouchane L (2009). Do we need to maximise the breast cancer screening awareness? Experience with an endogamous society with high fertility. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 10(4):1-6.

Donnelly TT, Al Khater A-H, Al-Bader SB, Al Kuwari MG, Malik M, Al-Meer N et al. (2015a).

Factors that Influence Awareness of Breast Cancer Screening among Arab Women in Qatar: Results from a Cross Sectional Survey. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 15(23):10157-10164. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.23.10157.

Donnelly TT, Al Khater A-H, Al-Bader SB, Al Kuwari MG, Al-Meer N, Malik M et al. (2012).

Breast cancer screening among Arabic women living in the State of Qatar: Awareness, knowledge, and participation in screening activities. Avicenna (2012):2. doi: 10.5339/avi.2012.2.

Donnelly TT, Al Khater AH, Al Kuwari MG, Al-Bader SB, Al-Meer N, Abdulmalik M et al. (2015b).

Do socioeconomic factors influence breast cancer screening practices among Arab women in Qatar?

BMJ open 5(1):e005596. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2014-005596.

El Bcheraoui C, Basulaiman M, Wilson S, Daoud F, Tuffaha M, AlMazroa MA et al. (2015).

Breast Cancer Screening in Saudi Arabia: Free but Almost No Takers. PLOS ONE 10(3):e0119051. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119051.

El Saghir NS, Khalil MK, Eid T, El Kinge AR, Charafeddine M, Geara F et al. (2007). Trends in epidemiology and management of breast cancer in developing Arab countries: a literature and registry analysis. International journal of surgery 5(4):225-233.

Ervik M, Lam F, Ferlay J, Mery I, Soerjomataram I, Bray F. Cancer Today. Cancer Today. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2016.

Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M et al. (2015). Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: Sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. International Journal of Cancer 136(5):E359-E386. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29210.

Filmer D, Pritchett L (2001). Estimating Wealth Effects Without Expenditure Data—Or Tears:

An Application To Educational Enrollments In States Of India\*. Demography 38(1):115-132. doi: 10.1353/dem.2001.0003.

Kobeissi L, Hamra R, Samari G, Koleilat L, Khalifeh M (2012). The 2009 Lebanese National Mammography Campaign: Results and Assessment Using a Survey Design. Epidemiology: Open Access 2(112).

Latif R (2014). Knowledge and attitude of Saudi female students towards breast cancer: A cross-sectional study. Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences 9(4):328-334. doi: 10.1016/j.jtumed.2014.05.004.

Lauby-Secretan B, Scoccianti C, Loomis D, Benbrahim-Tallaa L, Bouvard V, Bianchini F et al. (2015). Breast-Cancer Screening — Viewpoint of the IARC Working Group. New England Journal of Medicine 372(24):2353-2358. doi: doi:10.1056/NEJMsr1504363.

Mobaraki A, Söderfeldt B (2010). Gender inequity in Saudi Arabia and its role in public health/L'inégalité entre hommes et femmes en Arabie saoudite et ses conséquences sur la santé publique. Eastern Mediterranean health journal 16(1):113.

Nasar A, Waad A, Atheer A, Nasra A (2016). Awareness of Cervical Cancer and Pap Smear Testing Among Omani Women. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP 17(11):4825-4830.

 ${\sf OECD.\ Health\ at\ a\ Glance\ 2015:\ OECD\ Indicators.\ OECD\ Publishing,\ Paris 2015.}$ 

Ravichandran K, Al-Hamdan NA, Mohamed G (2011). Knowledge, attitude, and behavior among Saudis toward cancer preventive practice. Journal of family & community medicine 18(3):135-142. doi: 10.4103/2230-8229.90013.

Sait K, Bentley J, Anfinan N, Power P (2012). Cervical Cancer Prevention in Saudi Arabia: It is Time to Call for Action! The Open Women's Health Journal 6:1-5.

StataCorp. STATA Statistical Software: Release 13. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.; 2013.

World Health Ogranization. WHO Study on global AGEing and adult health (SAGE). 2013.

World Health Organization. WHO guidelines for screening and treatment of precancerous lesions for cervical cancer prevention. Geneva, Switzerland2013.

World Health Organization. WHO Position Paper on Mammography Screening. 2014.
World Health Organization. Cancer. Fact Sheet No 2972015.

Table 1: Analytic sample size for mammography and Pap smear screening, by country

	Kuwait	Oman	Saudi	UAE
Total households in survey	4389	5465	8988	3422
Total individuals in survey	3828	4717	8329	2579
Mammography				
Total females aged 40-75 in sample	851	743†	1223	453
Total respondents	697	742	1211	221
Response rate	81.9%	99.9%	99.0%	48.8%
Nationality				
National	555	664	964	133
Non-national	142	78	247	88
Pap smear screening				
Total females aged 25-64 in sample	1695	1657	2781	1128
Total respondents	1526	1655	2746	533
Response rate	90.0%	99.9%	98.7%	47.3%
Nationality				
National	1115	1457	2107	236
Non-national	411	198	639	297

<sup>\*</sup> All figures are unweighted.

<sup>†</sup> The age range for Oman was 40-69

Table 2: Percentage of women who received a mammogram in the two years prior to the survey, by nationality and age, for Kuwait, Oman, Saudi Arabia and UAE

		Ku	wait		Oman				Saudi	i Arabia		UAE					
Age		Had		p-		Had		p-		Had		p-		Had		p-	
group	n	scan	%	value*	n	scan	%	value*	n	scan	%	value*	n	scan	%	value*	
All ages	555	93	15.0%	0.083	664	19	5.6%	0.173	964	32	4.2%	0.194	133	20	15.4%	0.247	
40-49	248	32	11.0%		262	9	5.0%		465	12	3.2%		67	11	14.0%		
50-64	238	48	17.9%		304	10	7.9%		360	17	5.9%		52	9	21.3%		
65-75	69	13	16.6%		98	0	0.0%		139	3	3.1%		14	0	0.0%		
All ages	142	23	13.1%	0.168	78	13	23.0%	0.435	247	14	7.0%	0.100	88	13	12.3%	0.438	
40-49	95	12	11.7%		54	11	30.0%		149	10	8.2%		63	8	7.4%		
50-64	44	11	17.7%		23	2	4.2%		84	2	3.4%		21	4	34.9%		
65-75	3	0	0.0%		1	0	0.0%		14	2	15.1%		4	1	33.1%		
All ages	697	116	14.6%	0.023	742	32	8.9%	0.010	1211	46	4.9%	0.850	221	33	13.9%	0.523	
40-49	343	44	11.2%		316	20	13.2%		614	22	4.5%		130	19	10.1%		
50-64	282	59	17.9%		327	12	7.5%		444	19	5.4%		73	13	25.2%		
65-75	72	13	15.0%		99	0	0.0%		153	5	4.6%		18	1	7.1%		
	group  All ages  40-49  50-64  65-75  All ages  40-49  50-64  65-75  All ages  40-49  50-64	group n  All ages 555  40-49 248  50-64 238  65-75 69  All ages 142  40-49 95  50-64 44  65-75 3  All ages 697  40-49 343  50-64 282	Age Had group n scan  All ages 555 93  40-49 248 32  50-64 238 48  65-75 69 13  All ages 142 23  40-49 95 12  50-64 44 11  65-75 3 0  All ages 697 116  40-49 343 44  50-64 282 59	group         n         scan         %           All ages         555         93         15.0%           40-49         248         32         11.0%           50-64         238         48         17.9%           65-75         69         13         16.6%           All ages         142         23         13.1%           40-49         95         12         11.7%           50-64         44         11         17.7%           65-75         3         0         0.0%           All ages         697         116         14.6%           40-49         343         44         11.2%           50-64         282         59         17.9%	Age       Had       p-         group       n       scan       %       value*         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083         40-49       248       32       11.0%       11.0%         50-64       238       48       17.9%       17.9%         All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168         40-49       95       12       11.7%       11.7%         50-64       44       11       17.7%       17.7%         65-75       3       0       0.0%       0.023         All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023         40-49       343       44       11.2%       11.2%         50-64       282       59       17.9%       17.9%	Age       Had       p-         group       n       scan       %       value*       n         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083       664         40-49       248       32       11.0%       262         50-64       238       48       17.9%       304         65-75       69       13       16.6%       98         All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168       78         40-49       95       12       11.7%       54         50-64       44       11       17.7%       23         65-75       3       0       0.0%       1         All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023       742         40-49       343       44       11.2%       316         50-64       282       59       17.9%       327	Age       Had       p-       Had         group       n       scan       %       value*       n       scan         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083       664       19         40-49       248       32       11.0%       262       9         50-64       238       48       17.9%       304       10         65-75       69       13       16.6%       98       0         All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168       78       13         40-49       95       12       11.7%       54       11         50-64       44       11       17.7%       23       2         65-75       3       0       0.0%       1       0         All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023       742       32         40-49       343       44       11.2%       316       20         50-64       282       59       17.9%       327       12	Age       Had       p-       Had         group       n       scan       %       value*       n       scan       %         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083       664       19       5.6%         40-49       248       32       11.0%       262       9       5.0%         50-64       238       48       17.9%       304       10       7.9%         65-75       69       13       16.6%       98       0       0.0%         All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168       78       13       23.0%         50-64       44       11       17.7%       54       11       30.0%         50-64       44       11       17.7%       23       2       4.2%         65-75       3       0       0.0%       1       0       0.0%         All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023       742       32       8.9%         40-49       343       44       11.2%       316       20       13.2%         50-64       282       59       17.9%       327       12       7.5% <td>Age       Had       p-       Had       p-         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083       664       19       5.6%       0.173         40-49       248       32       11.0%       262       9       5.0%          50-64       238       48       17.9%       304       10       7.9%          65-75       69       13       16.6%       98       0       0.0%          All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168       78       13       23.0%       0.435         40-49       95       12       11.7%       54       11       30.0%          50-64       44       11       17.7%       23       2       4.2%          65-75       3       0       0.0%       1       0       0.0%          All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023       742       32       8.9%       0.010         40-49       343       44       11.2%       316       20       13.2%          50-64       282       59       17.9%       327       12</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149           50-64         44         11         17.7%         23         2         4.2%         84           65-75         3         0         0.0%         1         0         0.0%         14           All ages         697         116         14.6%         0.023         742         32         8.9%         0.010         1211           40-49         343         44</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had           group         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964         32           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465         12           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360         17           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139         3           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247         14           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149         10           50-64         44         11         17.7%         23         2         4.2%         84         2           All ages         697<!--</td--><td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         group         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964         32         4.2%           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465         12         3.2%           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360         17         5.9%           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139         3         3.1%           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247         14         7.0%           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149         10         8.2%           50-64         44         11</td><td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-</td><td>Age         Had         p-         Allae         p-         Allae         p-         Salae         p-</td><td>Age         Had         p-         All         p-         All         p-         52         p-         52         p-         52         p-         11         20         10         20         10         20         11         20         10         20         10         11         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10</td><td>Age         Had         p-         P-         Had         p-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         P-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Ad         p-</td></td>	Age       Had       p-       Had       p-         All ages       555       93       15.0%       0.083       664       19       5.6%       0.173         40-49       248       32       11.0%       262       9       5.0%          50-64       238       48       17.9%       304       10       7.9%          65-75       69       13       16.6%       98       0       0.0%          All ages       142       23       13.1%       0.168       78       13       23.0%       0.435         40-49       95       12       11.7%       54       11       30.0%          50-64       44       11       17.7%       23       2       4.2%          65-75       3       0       0.0%       1       0       0.0%          All ages       697       116       14.6%       0.023       742       32       8.9%       0.010         40-49       343       44       11.2%       316       20       13.2%          50-64       282       59       17.9%       327       12	Age         Had         p-         Had         p-           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149           50-64         44         11         17.7%         23         2         4.2%         84           65-75         3         0         0.0%         1         0         0.0%         14           All ages         697         116         14.6%         0.023         742         32         8.9%         0.010         1211           40-49         343         44	Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had           group         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964         32           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465         12           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360         17           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139         3           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247         14           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149         10           50-64         44         11         17.7%         23         2         4.2%         84         2           All ages         697 </td <td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         group         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964         32         4.2%           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465         12         3.2%           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360         17         5.9%           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139         3         3.1%           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247         14         7.0%           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149         10         8.2%           50-64         44         11</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         Allae         p-         Allae         p-         Salae         p-</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         All         p-         All         p-         52         p-         52         p-         52         p-         11         20         10         20         10         20         11         20         10         20         10         11         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10</td> <td>Age         Had         p-         P-         Had         p-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         P-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Ad         p-</td>	Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         group         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %         value*         n         scan         %           All ages         555         93         15.0%         0.083         664         19         5.6%         0.173         964         32         4.2%           40-49         248         32         11.0%         262         9         5.0%         465         12         3.2%           50-64         238         48         17.9%         304         10         7.9%         360         17         5.9%           65-75         69         13         16.6%         98         0         0.0%         139         3         3.1%           All ages         142         23         13.1%         0.168         78         13         23.0%         0.435         247         14         7.0%           40-49         95         12         11.7%         54         11         30.0%         149         10         8.2%           50-64         44         11	Age         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-         p-         Had         p-         p-	Age         Had         p-         Allae         p-         Allae         p-         Salae         p-	Age         Had         p-         All         p-         All         p-         52         p-         52         p-         52         p-         11         20         10         20         10         20         11         20         10         20         10         11         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10         10	Age         Had         p-         P-         Had         p-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         Mad         p-         P-         P-         Ad         p-         Ad         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Sale         p-         Ad         p-	

<sup>\*</sup> Fishers exact test was used

Table 3: Percentage of women who received a Pap smear within recommended intervals† prior to the survey by selected co-variates, for Kuwait, Oman, Saudi Arabia and UAE

			Kuwait			Oman			Saudi Aral	bia		UAE	
		Had Pap			Had Pap			Had Pap			Had Pap		
		Test	%	p-value*	Test	%	p-value*	Test	%	p-value*	Test	%	p-value*
<u>Total</u>		292	17.7%		133	10.6%		194	7.6%		139	28.0%	
	25-29 years old	43	14.2%	0.203	31	7.8%	0.525	30	5.4%	0.006	19	20.7%	0.320
	30-39 years old	113	20.6%		59	12.4%		97	9.2%		63	27.5%	
Age group	40-49 years old	80	18.8%		25	11.4%		51	9.2%		42	34.7%	
	50-64 years old	56	16.1%		18	9.8%		16	4.3%		15	32.3%	
Nationality	National	223	18.7%	0.118	103	8.4%	0.002	151	7.8%	0.480	72	33.2%	0.046
	Non-national	69	15.0%		30	18.1%		43	6.9%		67	23.3%	
Diagram of Davidson	Urban	292	100.0%		91	11.7%	0.004	171	8.3%	0.012	43	14.9%	<0.001
Place of Residence	Rural	NA	-		42	6.3%		23	4.6%		96	38.0%	
	Not Married	24	7.1%	<0.001	8	4.0%	0.010	13	3.0%	<0.001	13	16.1%	0.043
Marital status	Married	268	20.5%		125	12.4%		181	8.5%		126	30.4%	
	Low (Primary or less)	31	15.0%	0.268	58	6.5%	0.006	78	6.4%	0.041	28	25.5%	0.829
Education	Medium (Secondary)	144	19.6%		47	13.4%		58	7.5%		50	29.7%	
	High (College or above)	117	16.4%		28	15.4%		58	10.0%		61	27.9%	
Employment	Employed	126	17.1%	0.911	23	14.0%	0.216	32	7.5%	0.996	26	29.2%	0.025

	Unemployed	48	18.5%		13	6.6%		44	7.6%		14	11.9%	
	Homemaker/ retired	117	17.8%		97	10.7%		118	7.6%		99	31.8%	
Highest educational	Low (Primary or less)	106	19.2%	0.334	37	10.2%	0.840	60	5.7%	0.152	28	29.2%	0.031
level of a male in the	Medium (Secondary)	86	15.6%		2	7.4%		70	8.1%		35	17.7%	
household	High (College or above)	47	19.0%		68	10.8%		64	9.6%		76	32.8%	
	Low	70	14.5%	0.221	34	9.3%	0.024	21	2.5%	<0.001	61	39.9%	<0.001
Wealth	Medium	119	18.7%		31	6.5%		76	7.5%		31	17.3%	
	High	103	18.9%		68	13.1%		97	10.1%		47	28.7%	

<sup>\*</sup> Chi-square test was used

<sup>†</sup>Recommended intervals are: Age 25 to 49 years old – three years; Age 50 to 64 – five years.

Table 4: Percentage of women aged 40-64 who have received both mammography and Pap smear screening for different reference periods

	Kuwait		Or	man	Saudi	Arabia	UAE		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Count of women aged 40-64	768		644		1067		418		
Count of respondents to both questions	694	90.4%	643	99.8%	1057	99.1%	224	53.6%	
National									
Both screenings within :	44	6.20/	_	2.00/	4.4	4.00/	42	4.50/	
Recommendations*	41	6.3%	7	2.8%	11	1.9%	12	4.5%	
Last 5 years	51	7.6%	10	3.3%	12	2.0%	17	7.4%	
Last 10 years	54	8.0%	10	3.3%	14	2.3%	18	7.9%	
Non-national									
Both screenings within:	12	C 20/	7	11 70/	F	2.40/	7	2.50/	
Recommendations*	13	6.2%	7	11.7%	5	3.1%	7	3.5%	
Last 5 years	15	7.3%	7	11.7%	7	4.0%	7	3.5%	
Last 10 years	16	8.3%	8	14.8%	7	4.0%	8	3.6%	
Total									
Both screenings within:		6.20/	4.4	4 70/	4.6	2.20/	40	4.00/	
Recommendations*	54	6.3%	14	4.7%	16	2.2%	19	4.0%	
Last 5 years	66	7.5%	17	5.1%	19	2.5%	24	5.4%	
Last 10 years	70	8.1%	18	5.8%	21	2.7%	26	5.7%	

<sup>\*</sup> Mammography within two years, Pap smear within three years for those aged 40-49 years and within five years for those aged 50-64 years