1	Refining predictions of population decline at species' rear edges						
2							
3	Albert Vilà-Cabrera <sup>1,*</sup> , Andrea C. Premoli <sup>2</sup> and Alistair S. Jump <sup>1,3</sup>						
4							
5	<sup>1</sup> Biological and Environmental Sciences. Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling,						
6	Stirling, FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK						
7	<sup>2</sup> Universidad Nacional del Comahue, INIBIOMA-CONICET, Quintral 1250, 8400 Bariloche,						
8	Argentina						
9	<sup>3</sup> CREAF Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona 08193, Catalonia, Spain						
10	* Corresponding author: Vilà-Cabrera, A. (albert.vilacabrera@stir.ac.uk)						
11							
12	Running head: Rear edge population decline						
13	Keywords: biogeography, biotic interactions, climate change, land-use, marginal, population						
14	ecology, population genetics, relict population						
15	Paper type: Opinion						
16							
17	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Vilà-Cabrera, A, Premoli, AC, Jump, AS.						
18	Refining predictions of population decline at species' rear edges. <i>Glob Change Biol</i> . 2019; 25: 1549–1560, which has been published in final form at <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14597</u> . This article may						
19	be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for self- archiving.						
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

## 26 Abstract

According to broad-scale application of biogeographical theory, widespread retractions of species' rear edges should be seen in response to ongoing climate change. This prediction rests on the assumption that rear edge populations are 'marginal' since they occur at the limit of the species' ecological tolerance and are expected to decline in performance as climate warming pushes them to extirpation. However, conflicts between observations and predictions are increasingly accumulating and little progress has been made in explaining this disparity. We argue that a revision of the concept of marginality is necessary, together with explicit testing of population decline, which is increasingly possible as data availability improves. Such action should be based on taking the population perspective across a species' rear edge, encompassing the ecological, geographical and genetic dimensions of marginality. Refining our understanding of rear edge populations is essential to advance our ability to monitor, predict and plan for the impacts of environmental change on species range dynamics. 

#### 51 Introduction

Climate change impacts species performance and distribution across the globe (Parmesan & 52 Yohe, 2003). Biogeographical theory suggests that rising global temperatures should drive 53 54 species to move poleward and upward in elevation as they track the climates to which they are adapted. Therefore, it is reasonable to expect that population loss and range retractions 55 should be seen in the most low-latitude, drought-prone areas of a species' distribution (the 56 57 rear edge, Hampe & Petit, 2005), given that widespread climate-driven extinction has been predicted (Thomas et al., 2004; Urban, 2015). However, assumptions of declining rear edge 58 59 population performance are a long-lasting legacy of uncritical application of the centreperiphery hypothesis (Brown, 1984; Safriel, Volis, & Kark, 1994). This prediction assumes 60 that rear edge populations are fundamentally at higher risk of extinction than those 61 62 populations at the core of the species' range. This elevated extinction risk is attributed to the expectation that they occur in less favourable climates (or habitats) and are more at risk from 63 demographic stochasticity because of lower and highly variable population sizes. 64 65 Consequently, widespread 'marginality' is predicted at the species' rear edge, i.e. decreased population performance because populations occur at the limits of the species' physiological 66

67 68 and ecological tolerance.

The assumption of rear edge population decline in response to climate change appears well
supported in the literature (e.g. Allen et al., 2010; Carnicer et al., 2011; Feeley et al., 2011;
Lesica & Crone, 2016; Marqués, Camarero, Gazol, & Zavala, 2016; Reich et al., 2015).
However, such support is often derived from an amalgamation of case-studies of decline,
risking inaccurate predictions when attempting to extrapolate regionally across the rear edge
of a species distribution. 'Marginality' at the population level is determined by the interaction
of a variety of constraints, including climate and local-scale environmental conditions, habitat

76 fragmentation, species traits, physiology and biotic interactions, as well as population 77 demography and genetics. At the same time, anthropogenic land-use changes shape how species are distributed, and their legacies strongly influence population dynamics. All 78 79 together result in ecological and evolutionary mechanisms that are dependent upon far more than the biogeographical location of a population (Hampe & Petit, 2005; Pironon et al., 2016; 80 Sexton, Mcintyre, Angert, & Rice, 2009). Consequently, conflicts between predictions and 81 82 observed population responses are increasingly accumulating (e.g. Bertrand et al., 2011; Cavin & Jump, 2017; Doak & Morris, 2010; Granda et al., 2018; Rabasa et al., 2013; 83 84 Rapacciuolo et al., 2014). Here we examine the potential reasons for this disparity by decomposing the causes of marginality and discuss why simplifying assumptions on 85 marginality have implications for predicting species' range shifts. We propose a generally 86 87 applicable rationale for research design and analysis to better integrate population-level responses into a biogeographical context of species decline. Our focus is on plant – and 88 especially tree - species because of the abundance of data available and the key roles forests 89 90 play in global carbon and hydrological cycles and maintaining biodiversity. We argue that, as data availability increases, greater emphasis should be placed on recognising the scale-91 92 dependency of the factors determining population dynamics, which is fundamental in highly heterogeneous regions like the rear edges, where global change is strongly altering the 93 94 structure and function of forest ecosystems.

95

# 96 Empirical evidence in agreement with biogeographical theory

A broad range of studies in the literature provides empirical evidence of declining rear edge
populations relative to those of the range-core or across low-altitude relative to high-altitude
areas in concordance with biogeographical predictions. For example, sudden population
mortality associated with elevated drought stress at species rear edges has been observed in

101 forest ecosystems across the globe (Allen et al., 2010). Equally, evidence of population decline that heralds range retractions is often provided by dendroecological approaches. For 102 example, Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) forests in the Gúdar range (southern Iberian Range, 103 104 Iberian Peninsula) are representative populations of the species' rear edge. The species occurs in a mountainous orography, where low-altitude, dry-edge populations coexist with a more 105 drought-tolerant pine species, the black pine (Pinus nigra subsp. salzmannii). In accordance 106 with biogeographical predictions, Scots pine growth is enhanced by temperature at mid- and 107 upper elevations, and constrained because of enhanced drought stress at low-elevations. In 108 109 these low-altitude areas, where both species co-occur, black pine is more resilient than Scots pine to extreme drought events, suggesting that future changes in species composition are 110 likely (Marqués et al., 2016). Experimental evidence of species' responses to climate 111 112 manipulation also supports biogeographical predictions. For example, in situ experimental warming in northern Minnesota, North America, showed reductions in photosynthesis and 113 growth near warm range limits and increases near cold range limits in juvenile trees of 11 114 boreal and temperate forest species (Reich et al., 2015). Species' range shifts predicted by 115 biogeographical theory have been observed in biodiversity hotspots like the Tropical Andes. 116 Elevational shifts during a 4-year period were assessed for 38 tree genera across an 117 elevational gradient from 950 to 3400 m in Manu National Park in south-eastern Peru. Mean 118 migration rate was 2.5–3.5 vertical metres upslope per year and low-elevation genera also 119 120 increased in abundance in most of the study plots. However, the rate of elevational migration 121 was lower than predicted according to the temperature increase in the region, suggesting a lagged response to climate change of primary tropical montane forests (Feeley et al., 2011). 122 123

124 Why disparities between biogeographical theory and population ecology matter

Four complementary explanations drawn from empirical evidence clarify why rear edgepopulation performance can deviate from biogeographical predictions:

127 (i) Geographical and ecological edges do not always overlap at the population scale

128 Assuming a complete overlap of geographical and ecological range limits at the rear edge of a species' distribution may explain counterintuitive population responses. For example, 129 decline in the abundance of plant species with an arctic-alpine and boreal distribution across 130 western North America has been observed across rear edge populations occurring in the 131 northern Rocky Mountains. Although the overall trend of species' abundance decline is in 132 133 agreement with biogeographical predictions, 50% of monitored populations remained stable or even increased in abundance (Lesica & Crone, 2016). Therefore, decreased population 134 performance at rear edges cannot be assumed because ecological and geographical range 135 136 margins do not always overlap.

137 (ii) Interactions among ecological factors determine population dynamics

Species distributions and population dynamics are determined by complex interactions ofecological factors (Harper, 1977). For example, soil phosphorus strongly limits tropical tree

140 distributions along a gradient of dry-season moisture along the Panama Canal (Condit,

141 Engelbrecht, Pino, Pérez, & Turner, 2013) and, in Mediterranean communities, several plant

species only survive at the drier edge of their ranges in communities beneath the facilitative

143 effects of the shrub "retama amarilla" (Retama sphaerocarpa) (Armas, Rodríguez-

144 Echeverría, & Pugnaire, 2011). However, such complexity is typically simplified in large-

scale studies because of methodological limitations when trying to represent population-level

146 processes over broader spatial scales. Consequently, disparities between population responses

147 and biogeographical predictions are likely to be common. For example, elevational range

shifts inferred from adult and juvenile abundance in Mediterranean, temperate and boreal tree

species in Europe are idiosyncratic rather than consistent with temperature-based predictions

150 (Rabasa et al., 2013). Similarly, downslope shifts in elevation are as common as upslope

shifts across a broad range of taxa in California (Rapacciuolo et al., 2014). Common

152 explanations for these unexpected responses are factors such as human land-use, water

balance or soil quality, species physiological and dispersal traits, demographic dynamics and

biotic interactions (Rabasa et al., 2013; Rapacciuolo et al., 2014).

155 *(iii) Decoupling between microclimates and macroclimates* 

Large-scale predictions from bioclimatic models are generally derived from coarse gridded 156 climatic data because fine-resolution or microclimatic data are rarely available over large 157 158 spatial scales. Organisms, however, respond to their local environment. For instance, microclimatic variation due to topographic factors is generally not captured by the resolution 159 of interpolated climatic data while differences between regional free-air and local 160 161 temperatures may amount to several degrees (Dobrowski, 2011). At finer scales, biophysical processes have impressive effects. For example, structural characteristics of old-growth 162 forests may provide microclimates cooler by as much as 2.5°C across forest stands (Frey et 163 al., 2016). Therefore, it is not surprising that climate at resolution of 100 or more meters 164 poorly explains variation of leaf and wood traits across populations of temperate and 165 Mediterranean trees (Vilà-Cabrera, Martínez-Vilalta, & Retana, 2015). In the context of 166 marginality, a highly illustrative example of mismatch between micro- and macroclimates is 167 the persistence of rear edge populations such as the stands of pedunculated oak (Quercus 168 169 robur L.) in Jerte valley, western Iberian Peninsula (Moracho, Moreno, Jordano, & Hampe, 2016) which has a regional climate significantly hotter and dryer than that tolerated by this 170 species. Consequently, a decoupling between micro- and macroclimates has strong 171 172 implications for climate-based predictions on population decline (Hampe & Jump, 2011). (iv) Evolutionary processes 173

174 Populations (or genotypes) are adapted to a specific range of ecological conditions and, consequently, each individual within a species may experience stress from climate change 175 (Harte, Ostling, Green, & Kinzig, 2004). Therefore, the existence (or lack) of genetic 176 adaptations to climatic stress may also explain some of the former unexpected responses. For 177 example, greenhouse experiments show that dry-edge populations of the spurge olive 178 (Cneorum tricoccon), a Mediterranean evergreen shrub with a narrow distribution, exhibit 179 180 more drought-tolerant phenotypes, and growth of individuals inhabiting drier habitats is less affected by drought stress (Lázaro-Nogal et al., 2016). However, most empirical evidence on 181 182 spatial variation of key species traits comes from observations across broad latitudinal gradients. For example, rear edge populations of the European beech tree show higher 183 resistance to xylem embolism relative to mid-latitude, range-core populations (Stojnić et al., 184 185 2018). Yet, a proper understanding on whether variation in this and other traits relevant for species persistence occurs across rear edge populations is lacking. 186

187

The former explanations point to two subtly interrelated aspects that, if not acknowledged, 188 strongly limit our understanding of marginality, and our ability to predict population loss. 189 190 First, marginality is a multidimensional property of populations that encompasses ecological, geographical, and genetic components. Second, methodological limitations and lack of data 191 192 restrict our capacity to link population ecology with biogeography (but see SDMs accounting 193 for phenotypic plasticity and local adaptation in Benito Garzón, Robson, & Hampe, 2019). Consequently, local predictions of rear edge decline only based on distribution patterns at the 194 regional scale become unrealistic (Thuiller et al., 2008). Overcoming such limitations is 195 196 essential to reconcile population ecology with biogeographical theory at species' rear edges to enable a predictive understanding of their dynamics, function and management (Mouquet 197 et al., 2015). 198

199

## 200 Refining our predictive understanding of rear edge population decline

We propose a rationale that integrates the ecological, geographical and genetic dimensions of 201 202 marginality to determine the regional- and local-scale mechanisms shaping the probability of persistence (or extinction) of rear edge populations (Figure 1). Importantly, the scale-203 dependency of ecological mechanisms influencing the persistence probability of populations 204 205 may result in contrasting predictions between the regional and local scales. Consequently, we argue that a hypothesis-driven approach is necessary, with population decline tested rather 206 207 than assumed according to predicted marginality. At the core of the rationale lies a data-208 driven methodology that permits the incorporation of increasingly available data sources into experimental study design. Essentially, each marginality dimension can be inferred from 209 210 multiple ecological components (e.g. climatic range, landscape connectivity, community 211 composition, human-driven habitat degradation, etc.) across the species' rear edge. The distribution and edges of these components and their interactions can be identified and 212 populations categorized across marginality types (Figure 2A) ensuring that, at the regional 213 scale, the entire rear edge structure is represented (Figure 1). At the same time, population 214 215 and individual parameters need to be measured with replication within- and compared across marginality types to ensure a balanced sampling and accurate parameter assessment (Figure 216 217 2B). Observed population responses are then contrasted with regional-level predictions and, 218 if disparities arise, local-scale mechanisms need to be considered (Figure 2B). We demonstrate how application of this rationale improves understanding of marginality and 219 highlights the need to consider the scale-dependency of ecological suitability. 220 221

# 222 (i) Conceptualising the dimensions of marginality

223 Our understanding of marginality as a multidimensional concept, the rear edge structure, as well as the regional- and local-level hypotheses of population decline are illustrated in Figure 224 1. In analogy with the limits of the realized niche (Hutchinson, 1957), abiotic and biotic 225 226 factors define ecological marginality at the regional and local scales. The regional climate (or macroclimate) of the population location relative to the edge of the species' climatic 227 distribution (or the threshold of species' climatic tolerance) is used to infer ecological 228 marginality at the regional scale, while the range of population-scale habitat characteristics 229 (e.g. microclimate, soil quality, land-use history) is used to derive local ecological 230 231 marginality. Population decline is thus predicted to occur at the extremes of these factors, e.g. 232 drier climates, poor soils or intense disturbance. Rear edge populations occur along bioclimatic transition zones (Jump, Mátyás, & Peñuelas, 2009), where species climatic 233 234 suitability decreases and habitat heterogeneity is high over small spatial scales. Consequently, 235 changes in the composition of communities can occur abruptly with shifts in habitat quality such that community composition can be used alongside abiotic conditions to infer ecological 236 237 marginality. At the landscape scale, the composition of communities surrounding the focal rear edge population is used to infer regional-scale ecological marginality, which increases 238 approaching the transition between bioclimatic zones. At the local scale, the community 239 composition is used to infer interactions among organisms - within or across trophic levels -240 potentially determining ecological marginality. If co-occurring species, relative to the focal 241 242 one, are competitors under an ecological advantage (e.g. drought-tolerant) or antagonists (e.g. biotic agents), such biotic interactions result in increased local ecological marginality. 243 Contrary, biotic interactions result in decreased local ecological marginality if beneficial 244 245 effects can emerge from species coexistence (e.g. facilitation, mutualism, or complementarity). 246

247

248 The rear edge is typically made up of populations of variable size and connectivity, defining a fragmented landscape (Hampe & Petit, 2005; Jump et al., 2009). Therefore, the spatial 249 250 distribution, size and connectivity of populations (i.e. habitat configuration) are used to infer 251 regional-scale geographical (and genetic) marginality. Increased fragmentation and isolation as a consequence of either natural processes or anthropogenic impacts, result in decreased 252 population performance. This detrimental effect is associated with an altered habitat leading 253 254 to edge effects (Murcia, 1995), increased metapopulation dynamics due to dispersal limitation (Hanski, 1991), disrupted biotic networks and novel interactions or invasion 255 256 (Hagen et al., 2012), and the loss of genetic variation and individual fitness because of increased chance of genetic drift and inbreeding (Templeton, Shaw, Routman, & Davis, 257 1990). However, in parallel with deviation of local ecological conditions from the regional 258 259 scale, population responses that are the product of local-scale mechanisms (e.g. local 260 adaptation) or biotic interactions (e.g. mutualistic symbioses) may contradict predicted marginality based on habitat configuration alone. 261

262

(ii) Quantifying marginality and testing regional-scale hypotheses of population decline 263 Marginality can be quantified along multiple axes at the regional scale using existing data 264 sources, allowing hypothesis-testing on the regional mechanisms determining population 265 decline (Figure 1). Climatic and geographic range-edges may not completely overlap (Cavin 266 267 & Jump, 2017; Chardon, Cornwell, Flint, Flint, & Ackerly, 2015). Consequently, while geographical ranges frequently correlate with climate at the continental scale, it cannot be 268 assumed that all rear edge populations are climatically limited. This idea can be understood, 269 270 for example, from the variable relationship between the climatic characteristics and geographical location of populations of the European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) tree from the 271 Iberian Peninsula to Northern Scotland. Populations inhabiting dry and wet sites relative to 272

the species' climatic distribution can be found at the rear edge with contrasting implications
for population performance (Cavin & Jump, 2017). Large-scale forest inventories or remotely
sensed data layers such as land-cover maps can be used to determine geographical
marginality, with gridded climate data used to infer ecological marginality relative to the
climatic distribution of the species (Figure 2A). The interaction between both types of
marginality results in variable predicted extinction risk across the rear edge (Figure 1).

At rear edges, abrupt bioclimatic transitions may not be explained by climate alone. For 280 281 example, the pine-cloud forest ecotone on the windward slopes of the Cordillera Central, Dominican Republic, is primarily a result of high-elevation fire regimes. Declining 282 temperature and precipitation with elevation together with trade wind inversion, and small-283 284 scale variation in topography and vegetation determine fire occurrence and ecotone formation 285 (Martin, Sherman, & Fahey, 2007). Existing data sources that incorporate species composition data (e.g. inventories and land-cover maps) can be used to infer bioclimatic 286 287 transitions at the landscape scale, and thus refine predictions on ecological marginality based on climate alone (Figure 2A; Figure 1). This idea can be exemplified by the exceptional range 288 289 retraction of ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) after a severe drought in mid-1950s at the ecotone between this species and piñon-juniper woodland (Pinus edulis and Juniperus 290 monosperma) in northern New Mexico (Allen & Breshears, 1998). Forest dieback 291 292 predominantly concentrated in low-altitude, drought-prone populations, but more climatically favourable areas along the entire altitudinal gradient were also affected likely because of a 293 competitive disadvantage relative to more drought-tolerant species. The interaction between 294 295 climate and community composition at the regional scale reflects a mosaic of ecological conditions at rear edges not only dependent on climate (Figure 1), and should, therefore, be 296 incorporated into empirical study design (Figure 2A). 297

298

Populations at similar levels of ecological marginality are at higher risk of extinction with 299 300 increasing geographical (and genetic) marginality at the regional-scale (Figure 1). Spatialpattern and landscape-connectivity GIS analyses (e.g. Wegmann et al., 2018) on land-cover 301 maps and other remote-sensing derived-sources can be used to accurately infer habitat 302 configuration and test predictions of decreased population performance (Figure 2A). 303 304 Population fragmentation is associated with ecological edge effects (Murcia, 1995). For example, in tropical montane forests in the Bolivian Andes, temperature gradients from the 305 306 edge to the interior of forest patches are equivalent to a 100-m shift in elevation. Higher temperatures at forest edges cause warmer and drier habitats with corresponding elevation of 307 drought stress, changes in species composition and increased fire risk (Lippok et al., 2014). 308 309 Fragmentation may also strongly decrease individual fitness and alter population dynamics 310 through rapid genetic changes. For example, loss of large-vertebrate dispersers because of human-driven habitat fragmentation across Brazilian Atlantic rainforests is associated with a 311 rapid (< 100 years) evolutionary seed size reduction in a keystone palm species (*Euterpe* 312 edulis). Seed size reduction results in increased seed vulnerability to desiccation and 313 decreased seedling growth. At the same time, genetic diversity among seedlings in 314 fragmented (defaunated) sites is lower than in non-fragmented sites. Altogether, these 315 impacts have strong implications for population dynamics under predicted drier conditions in 316 317 the studied forests (Carvalho, Galetti, Colevatti, & Jordano, 2016; Galetti et al., 2013). 318 Shifting to the population perspective: refocusing on local-scale hypotheses 319 320 Framing hypotheses of population decline based on marginality predicted at the regional-

321 scale can result in disparities between regional predictions and observed population

322 responses. Such disparities demonstrate the need to refocus studies exploring rear edge

performance on local-scale hypotheses (Figure 1; Figure 2B). Below we first address the
strong influence that anthropogenic land-uses and their legacies have on our understanding of
marginality and their likely prominent role to explain the mismatch between predictions and
observations. Thereafter, we illustrate with selected examples from the literature how rapidly
increasing data availability can be harnessed for the evaluation of local-scale mechanisms
across marginality-types (Figure 2B), thereby refining our predictive understanding of rear
edges.

## 330 (i) Anthropogenic land-uses and their legacies

331 Anthropogenic land-use during the last few hundred years has altered the realised niche of species and consequently their contemporary distribution is often not in equilibrium with the 332 range of ecological conditions they are able to exploit. For example, using 'pre-settlement' 333 334 vegetation estimations inferred from survey records (1830-1910), and historical climate and contemporary data, Goring & Williams (2017) demonstrated that human land conversion 335 shifted the past distribution of some tree genera in Midwestern United States, from drier and 336 warmer climates in the past to wetter and cooler conditions today. Land-use changes and 337 associated habitat modifications, therefore, complicate the identification of 'ecological edges' 338 of a species' distribution (Figure 1). Anthropogenic land-use also interacts with climate 339 change impacts on population dynamics. For example, human-driven forest loss prevails in 340 warmer (low-latitude or altitude) regions and, rather than climate change, recent habitat loss -341 342 quantified from ~30-m resolution data generated from Landsat image analysis – explains the biotic attrition observed in these areas (Guo, Lenoir, & Bonebrake, 2018). On the other hand, 343 tree species plantations for wood or food production and fire suppression can contribute to 344 345 species expansion beyond their climatic limits, but increase the risk of dieback episodes and wildfires during extreme dry years (Maranz, 2009; Nowacki & Abrams, 2015; Sánchez-346 Salguero, Navarro-Cerrillo, Swetnam, & Zavala, 2012). At the same time, socioeconomic 347

348 changes can lead to widespread forest expansion over abandoned land (Meyfroidt & Lambin, 2011). For example, the combination of forest inventory data with historical and modern 349 land-cover maps generated form aerial images shows that the ~25% of current forests in the 350 351 Iberian Peninsula, the rear edge of several temperate and boreal tree species, are growing on former agricultural and grazing land abandoned after the 1950s (Vilà-Cabrera, Espelta, 352 Vayreda, & Pino, 2017). Consequently, anthropogenic habitat modification and its legacies 353 354 represent a critical dimension of marginality as they may intensify, confound or delay climate-driven population decline at rear edges. 355

## 356 (ii) Population demography and structure

Forest inventory networks are very useful for assessing recent demographic dynamics over 357 large geographical scales. However, the spatiotemporal resolution and the quantity of data are 358 359 limited and need to be complemented with more detailed data and studies. Long-term 360 population responses can be better understood taking advantage of the increasing availability of dendroecological data over large geographical areas (e.g. Sánchez-salguero et al., 2017), 361 while field-based investigations can inform on particular persistence mechanisms such as 362 compensatory changes in demographic rates (Doak & Morris, 2010) or stabilising processes 363 (e.g. competition release) after extreme drought events (Lloret, Escudero, Iriondo, Martínez-364 Vilalta, & Valladares, 2012). However, detailed information on population structural 365 characteristics including human uses needs to be assessed using inventory data and, together 366 367 with observed population demography, explicitly placed in the context of past management and its legacy. Such characterisation of population structure is essential given that, for 368 example, regular forest management (e.g. thinning) can assist a species to persist under 369 370 chronic climatic stress (Linares, Camarero, & Carreira, 2009), delaying or even concealing the decline of the species if the less vigorous individuals are removed. However, when forest 371 use is abandoned and the stand matures this beneficial effect can reverse due to greater 372

physiological constraints associated with larger trees (D'Amato, Bradford, Fraver, & Palik,
2013). If coupled with long-term acclimation to favourable water availability, such structural
shifts (i.e. bigger stems and higher leaf area) may lead to greater demand of water resources
that are not available during extreme drought (Jump et al., 2017), resulting in increased
population decline even across better-quality habitats (Figure 1).

# 378 (iii) Local-scale environmental conditions

379 Rear edges mostly occur within areas of high habitat heterogeneity at small spatial scales (Hampe & Petit, 2005). Micro-topography is an important driver of small-scale variation in 380 381 habitat quality, and it can be modelled from existing data such as high-resolution digital elevation models (DEM) derived from remote sensing. For example, Adams et al. (2014) 382 used 1-m resolution DEM to show how micro-topographic control on moisture conditions 383 384 mediates tree growth and water-use responses to drought near the elevational range-limits of lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) and ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) in the Gordon Gulch 385 catchment, Colorado. Such topographic variability together with a range of other physical 386 (e.g. lithology, edaphic characteristics) and biophysical factors (e.g. vegetation structure and 387 traits) facilitates the existence of microrefugia (Figure 1; McLaughlin et al., 2017). For 388 instance, rock outcrops and associated habitat can create microclimates 4.9 °C cooler, 12% 389 wetter, and less variable than the climate of the surrounding habitat. This microclimate is 390 associated to the persistence of a rear edge population of *Podocarpus lambertii* at the species' 391 392 drier range-edge located in a semiarid region in Brazil (Locosselli, Cardim, & Ceccantini, 2016). Microclimate data can be derived from local networks of climate data loggers and 393 combined with remotely sensed topographical and vegetation structural data. Improvements 394 395 in data resolution are essential in highly variable regions in terms of habitat conditions, where the potential for microclimatic buffering strongly relies on microrefugia occurrence and 396 human impacts on habitat structure. For example, along a land-use intensity gradient in 397

Borneo, from unlogged old-growth forests to mature oil-palm plantations, canopy structure
and topography are strong drivers of small-scale variation in understory temperature and
vapour pressure deficit. Assessing and modelling variation in microclimatic conditions is
critical in regions like the lowland tropics, where many species reach their thermal tolerance
limits (Jucker et al., 2018).

# 403 (iv) Biotic interactions

404 Alterations to species coexistence can reflect an altered habitat, for example, such that more drought- and shade-tolerant species gain a competitive advantage. For example, the local 405 406 coexistence between the boreal pine species Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris) and Mediterranean oak species (e.g. *Quercus ilex* and *Q. pubescens*) can be observed along altitudinal gradients 407 in many European mountain systems, such as the Pyrenees. Oak seedling abundance and 408 409 performance are higher under drought-induced Scots pine decline but this association is not 410 only restricted to the most drought-prone stands at low-altitudes. Habitat deterioration and past species-selective management explain observed community dynamics at the local scale 411 412 (Galiano, Martínez-Vilalta, Eugenio, Granzow-de la Cerda, & Lloret, 2013). The local community composition can be directly obtained from inventory data or field-based 413 sampling, directly informing on ecological marginality, supporting a better understanding of 414 marginality-type (Figure 1; Figure 2A). 415

416

Large-scale inventories are useful to assess how variation in biotic interactions scale-up over
broad geographical areas, for example, those involving antagonistic interactions such as
insect and fungal damage on trees (e.g. Carnicer et al., 2011). Although these large-scale
analyses are often based on categorical data or species relative abundance, they provide a first
identification of the spatial variation in species assemblages and should be used for setting
more detailed experiments and studies on relevant biotic interactions. For example,

423 uncommon or novel interactions can be established if climate change or anthropogenic landuses, like fire suppression, shift the identity of coexisting species. Experimental evidence 424 demonstrates that the performance of populations failing to migrate as temperature increases 425 426 will be strongly reduced by novel competitors migrating upwards in elevation (Alexander, Diez, & Levine, 2015). Other more complex situations, e.g. coevolution in mutualistic 427 symbioses, need specific approaches but existing information can support hypothesis 428 429 development and experimental design. For example, the structural characteristics of droughttolerant, moth-susceptible pinyon pine (Pinus edulis) individuals differ from drought-430 431 intolerant, month-resistant ones at the edge of the pine species' physiological tolerance in Northern Arizona. This information supported Gehring et al. (2017) to demonstrate that under 432 drought stress, interactions between plant genotype, resistance to herbivory and mutualistic 433 434 fungi operate differentially among individuals, providing an interpretation for landscape-scale 435 patterns of population decline. Drought-tolerant, moth-susceptible trees have higher growth and survival than drought-intolerant, moth-resistant ones, and this differential performance 436 437 correlates with distinct, genetically-based ectomycorrhizal communities. (v) Population genetics matters but within a context of ecological change 438

The putative long-term stability of relict populations during Quaternary climatic oscillations 439 - the result of microrefugia occurrence and evolutionary processes (Hampe & Jump, 2011; 440 Hampe & Petit, 2005; Woolbright, Whitham, Gehring, Allan, & Bailey, 2014) - is an 441 442 excellent example of the mismatch between predictions and observed responses at rear edges (Figure 1). Relict populations reinforce the idea that species' extinction risk depends on the 443 interaction between population genetics and ecology. However, it has long been recognised 444 445 that negative ecological impacts (e.g. demographic decline, restriction to dispersal, disruption of community dynamics) can often outweigh genetic factors in a context of rapid 446 environmental change (Lande, 1988). Studies addressing questions of genetic marginality 447

448 primarily need to account for species-specific ecological requirements and demography. For example, along fragmented forests in southern Australia, decreased pollen diversity and 449 increased selfing associate with fragmentation for two insect-pollinated eucalypt tree species, 450 451 but not for a bird-pollinated one (Breed et al., 2015). Moreover, where fragmentation drives decreased genetic diversity and increased risk of inbreeding, population performance is not 452 necessary reduced if, for instance, functional genetic variation is not altered (Reed & 453 454 Frankham, 2001), genotypes are adapted to the local habitat (Kawecki, 2008) or the mating system evolves to ensure population viability (Ouayjan & Hampe, 2018). Furthermore, the 455 456 amount of genetic variation (functional or neutral) and the degree of evolutionary adaptation to a marginal habitat may not matter when rapid environmental change drives abrupt shifts in 457 population demography and increases species' regional extinction risk (Lande, 1988) (Figure 458 459 1). Consequently, while population genetics can contribute toward refining predictions of rear 460 edge population decline, it should be considered in the context of population ecology, with the focus on variation of functionally relevant phenotypic traits and demographic 461 462 performance.

463

# 464 A population-focused study at the species' rear edge

The European beech (Fagus sylvativa L.) tree is drought-sensitive and it is expected to be 465 particularly vulnerable to deteriorating water balance across rear edge populations occurring 466 467 in the north-eastern Iberian Peninsula. To highlight this approach to experimental design we used different existing data sources: (i) three regional forest inventories (the Ecological and 468 Forest Inventory of Catalonia, the Spanish National Forest Inventory, and the Catalan 469 Inventory of Singular Forests); (ii) an 8 m<sup>2</sup> resolution land-cover map (Land Cover Map of 470 Catalonia); and (iii) 1 km<sup>2</sup> resolution gridded layer of the ratio of annual precipitation to 471 potential evapotranspiration derived from the WorldClim database. Using these data, we 472

473 selected 40 beech populations classified into four main population types according to ecological marginality, based on climate and community composition, and geographical 474 (genetic) marginality, based on plot spatial distribution (Figure 1 and 2). At each location we 475 476 assessed population decline parameters, i.e. adult mortality and canopy defoliation based on measurements in one point in time (see Supporting information Appendix S1) and tested 477 regional hypotheses on population decline (Figure 1). The direct comparison among 478 479 marginality types provides evidence on two fundamental aspects. First, population decline seems to be occurring regionally but especially across ecologically marginal areas within the 480 481 continuous range (Figure 3A), rather than at geographical edges where population extinction is first predicted to occur. Second, isolated populations inhabiting marginal habitats show 482 lower levels of mortality and canopy decline than expected, which also are comparable to 483 484 those observed in populations occurring across better-quality habitats. This mismatch 485 between predictions and local observation is consistent with recent evidence showing high stability of rear edge beech populations (Cavin & Jump, 2017; Hacket-Pain & Friend, 2017; 486 487 Stojnić et al., 2018).

488

We also show that differences across populations are mediated by the variability of decline 489 along gradients resulting from interactions among marginality dimensions (Figure 3B). First, 490 fragmentation and climate interact to explain patterns of population decline, evidencing 491 492 regional population loss and local population retention. Second, climate and landscape-scale community composition interact to explain trends in population decline that might seem 493 counterintuitive based on the effects of the dimensions separately. Broadly, mortality 494 495 increases while approaching the transition area between bioclimates (i.e. from temperate to Mediterranean) across populations located in relatively wet habitats and, to the contrary, it 496 decreases while approaching the transition area between bioclimates across populations 497

located in dry habitats, with a trend from continuous-range to isolated populations (Figure
3B). All together, these results provide evidence on three main aspects. First, the mosaic of
ecological conditions at the species' rear edge where climate alone cannot explain population
responses. Second, the putative persistence of some relict populations across the species' rear
edge. Third, the uneven but predictable pattern of population decline across populations, that
can occur also in better-quality habitats.

504

This simple study-case application demonstrates that some disparities between predictions 505 506 and observations can be reconciled accounting for simple interactions among marginality components, and that the potential scale-dependency of the mechanisms involved in 507 population decline is a critical issue for modelling species distributions and regional 508 509 biodiversity patterns at rear edges (Figure 1). By incorporating existing data sources to better 510 infer the ecological structure of species rear edges through marginality-type classification and taking a hypothesis-driven approach, the rationale provided is flexible enough to be 511 applicable to field-based approaches, in situ or controlled-condition experimentation, 512 population genetic studies and approaches accounting for land-use changes, and allows better 513 integration of population ecology and biogeography. 514

515

## 516 Conclusions

Taking the population perspective on marginality is challenging for empirical studies yet it is both possible and essential for our understanding of rear edge dynamics. It is of primary importance to determine interactions among ecological mechanisms driving population decline and the influence of anthropogenic land-use. Similarly, scaling-up the complexity of marginality to broader scales presents a critical challenge for biogeographical studies. The problem of data resolution driving a mismatch between regional predictions and local

523 observations can be improved as data availability increases, which is critical to plan for climate change impacts. For example, if management and conservation decisions are to be 524 based on predictions and the actions implemented 'locally', we must know the spatial 525 526 resolution of data that is needed to accurately predict rear edge dynamics. At the same time, data availability is distributed unevenly across spatial scales, systems and world regions, with 527 regional scales, plant species and the Northern Hemisphere over-represented. Local 528 529 environmental monitoring is essential to avoid scale-dependent hazards, and large-scale and systematic sampling protocols in the Southern Hemisphere and across taxa other than plants 530 531 are needed. Increasingly, application of remote sensing methodologies and modelling can help fill data gaps, although ground truth data are still required. Importantly, the rationale 532 presented allows the incorporation of other marginality dimensions not considered here. For 533 534 example, it is critical to account for biological invasions, including novel competitors and pathogens, or nitrogen deposition and nutrient limitation. Such progress is essential to better 535 understand and predict the impacts of a warming climate and how it interacts with other 536 537 environmental changes to drive population retention or loss at species' rear edges.

538

## 539 Acknowledgements

We thank people involved in the study-case presented: S. García, C. Mercer and S. Nieto 540 contributed in fieldwork sampling, and P. Ruiz-Benito and A. Guardia kindly provided and 541 542 helped in managing forest inventory and land-cover data. We also thank local stakeholders for their support and providing valuable information. The comments of four anonymous 543 reviewers contributed to improve the manuscript. AVC was funded by the European Union's 544 545 Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 656300, and the 50th Anniversary Fellowship programme of the University of 546 Stirling. AJ and AP thank Santander Universities for travel funding. 547

#### 548

## 549 **References**

550	Adams, H. R.	, Barnard, H. R	., & Loomis, A	. K. (2014	). Topography	alters tree growth-
	,	/ /	, , ,		/ / / / /	

- climate relationships in a semi-arid forested catchment. *Ecosphere*, *5*(11), art148.
- 552 https://doi.org/10.1890/ES14-00296.1
- 553 Alexander, J. M., Diez, J. M., & Levine, J. M. (2015). Novel competitors shape species'
- responses to climate change. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14952
- Allen, C. D., & Breshears, D. D. (1998). Drought-induced shift of a forest-woodland ecotone:
- 556 Rapid landscape response to climate variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 557 *Sciences*, 95(25), 14839–14842. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.25.14839
- Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M.,
- 559 ... Cobb, N. (2010). A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality
- 560 reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*,

561 259(4), 660–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001

- 562 Armas, C., Rodríguez-Echeverría, S., & Pugnaire, F. I. (2011). A field test of the stress-
- 563 gradient hypothesis along an aridity gradient. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 22(5), 818–

564 827. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2011.01301.x

565 Benito Garzón, M., Robson, T. M., & Hampe, A. (2019). ΔTraitSDM: species distribution

566 models that account for local adaptation and phenotypic plasticity. *New Phytologist*, 0–

- 567 2. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15716
- 568 Bertrand, R., Lenoir, J., Piedallu, C., Dillon, G. R., De Ruffray, P., Vidal, C., ... Gégout, J. C.
- 569 (2011). Changes in plant community composition lag behind climate warming in
- 570 lowland forests. *Nature*, 479(7374), 517–520. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10548
- 571 Breed, M. F., Ottewell, K. M., Gardner, M. G., Marklund, M. H. K., Dormontt, E. E., &
- 572 Lowe, A. J. (2015). Mating patterns and pollinator mobility are critical traits in forest

- 573 fragmentation genetics. *Heredity*, *115*(2), 108–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2013.48
- 574 Brown, J. H. (1984). On the Relationship between Abundance and Distribution of Species.

575 The American Naturalist, 124(2), 255. https://doi.org/10.1086/284267

- 576 Carnicer, J., Coll, M., Ninyerola, M., Pons, X., Sánchez, G., & Peñuelas, J. (2011).
- 577 Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality
- 578 with increased climate change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 579 *Sciences of the United States of America*, *108*(4), 1474–1478.
- 580 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010070108
- 581 Carvalho, C. S., Galetti, M., Colevatti, R. G., & Jordano, P. (2016). Defaunation leads to
- 582 microevolutionary changes in a tropical palm. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 1–9.
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31957
- Cavin, L., & Jump, A. S. (2017). Highest drought sensitivity and lowest resistance to growth
- suppression are found in the range core of the tree Fagus sylvatica L. not the equatorial
- <sup>586</sup> range edge. *Global Change Biology*, *23*, 362–379. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13366
- 587 Chardon, N. I., Cornwell, W. K., Flint, L. E., Flint, A. L., & Ackerly, D. D. (2015).
- 588 Topographic, latitudinal and climatic distribution of Pinus coulteri: Geographic range
- limits are not at the edge of the climate envelope. *Ecography*, *38*(6), 590–601.
- 590 https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.00780
- 591 Condit, R., Engelbrecht, B. M. J., Pino, D., Pérez, R., & Turner, B. L. (2013). Species
- 592 distributions in response to individual soil nutrients and seasonal drought across a
- 593 community of tropical trees. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
- 594 United States of America, 110(13), 5064–5068.
- 595 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1218042110
- 596 D'Amato, A., Bradford, J. B., Fraver, S., & Palik, B. J. (2013). Effects of thinning on drought
- 597 vulnerability and climate response in north temperate forest ecosystems. *Ecological*

- 598 *Applications*, *23*, 1735–1742.
- Doak, D. F., & Morris, W. F. (2010). Demographic compensation and tipping points in
  climate-induced range shifts. *Nature*, 467(7318), 959–962.
- 601 https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09439
- 602 Dobrowski, S. Z. (2011). A climatic basis for microrefugia: The influence of terrain on
- climate. *Global Change Biology*, *17*(2), 1022–1035. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652486.2010.02263.x
- 605 Feeley, K. J., Silman, M. R., Bush, M. B., Farfan, W., Cabrera, K. G., Malhi, Y., ... Saatchi,
- 606 S. (2011). Upslope migration of Andean trees. *Journal of Biogeography*, 38(4), 783–
- 607 791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02444.x
- 608 Frey, S. J. K., Hadley, A. S., Johnson, S. L., Schulze, M., Jones, J. A., & Betts, M. G. (2016).
- 609 Spatial models reveal the microclimatic buffering capacity of old-growth forests.
- 610 *Science Advances*, 2, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501392
- Galetti, M., Guevara, R., Cortes, M. C., Fadini, R., Von Matter, S., Leite, A. B., ... Jordano,
- 612 P. (2013). Functional Extinction of Birds Drives Rapid Evolutionary Changes in Seed
- 613 Size. *Science*, *340*(6136), 1086–1090. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1233774
- 614 Galiano, L., Martínez-Vilalta, J., Eugenio, M., Granzow-de la Cerda, Í., & Lloret, F. (2013).
- 615 Seedling emergence and growth of Quercus spp. following severe drought effects on a
- 616 Pinus sylvestris canopy. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 24(3), 580–588.
- 617 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2012.01485.x
- 618 Gehring, C. A., Sthultz, C. M., Flores-Rentería, L., Whipple, A. V., & Whitham, T. G.
- 619 (2017). Tree genetics defines fungal partner communities that may confer drought
- 620 tolerance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *114*(42), 11169–11174.
- 621 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704022114
- 622 Goring, S. J., & Williams, J. W. (2017). Effect of historical land-use and climate change on

- tree-climate relationships in the upper Midwestern United States. *Ecology Letters*, 20(4),
- 624 461–470. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12747
- Granda, E., Alla, A. Q., Laskurain, N. A., Loidi, J., Sánchez-Lorenzo, A., & Camarero, J. J.
- 626 (2018). Coexisting oak species, including rear-edge populations, buffer climate stress
- 627 through xylem adjustments. *Tree Physiology*, *38*(2), 159–172.
- 628 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx157
- 629 Guo, F., Lenoir, J., & Bonebrake, T. C. (2018). Land-use change interacts with climate to
- 630 determine elevational species redistribution. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 1–7.
- 631 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03786-9
- Hacket-Pain, A. J., & Friend, A. D. (2017). Increased growth and reduced summer drought
- 633 limitation at the southern limit of Fagus sylvatica L., despite regionally warmer and drier
- 634 conditions. *Dendrochronologia*, 44, 22–30.
- 635 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2017.02.005
- Hagen, M., Kissling, W. D., Rasmussen, C., De Aguiar, M. A. M., Brown, L. E., Carstensen,
- 637 D. W., ... Olesen, J. M. (2012). *Biodiversity, Species Interactions and Ecological*
- 638 *Networks in a Fragmented World. Advances in Ecological Research* (Vol. 46).
- 639 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396992-7.00002-2
- Hampe, A., & Jump, A. S. (2011). Climate Relicts: Past, Present, Future. Annual Review of
- *Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 42(1), 313–333. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev ecolsys-102710-145015
- Hampe, A., & Petit, R. J. (2005). Conserving biodiversity under climate change: The rear
- edge matters. *Ecology Letters*, 8(5), 461–467. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-
- 645 0248.2005.00739.x
- Hanski, I. (1991). Single-Species Metapopulation Dynamics: Concepts, Models and
- 647 Observations. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 42(1–2), 17–38.

- 648 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1991.tb00549.x
- 649 Harper, J. L. (1977). *Population biology of plants*. London: Academic Press.
- Harte, J., Ostling, A., Green, J. L., & Kinzig, A. (2004). Climate change and extinction risk.
- 651 *Nature*, *430*(6995), 34–34. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02718
- Hutchinson, G. E. (1957). Population studies animal ecology and demography concluding
  remarks. *Cold SpringHarb.Symp. Quant. Biol.*, 22, 415–427.
- Jucker, T., Hardwick, S. R., Both, S., Elias, D. M. O., Ewers, R. M., Milodowski, D. T., ...
- 655 Coomes, D. A. (2018). Canopy structure and topography jointly constrain the
- 656 microclimate of human-modified tropical landscapes. *Global Change Biology*, 24(11),
- 657 5243–5258. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14415
- Jump, A. S., Mátyás, C., & Peñuelas, J. (2009). The altitude-for-latitude disparity in the range
- retractions of woody species. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 24(12), 694–701.
- 660 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.007
- Jump, A. S., Ruiz-Benito, P., Greenwood, S., Allen, C. D., Kitzberger, T., Fensham, R., ...
- 662 Lloret, F. (2017). Structural overshoot of tree growth with climate variability and the
- global spectrum of drought-induced forest dieback. *Global Change Biology*, 23(9),
- 664 3742–3757. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13636
- 665 Kawecki, T. J. (2008). Adaptation to marginal habitats. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution,
- 666 *and Systematics*, *39*(1), 321–342.
- 667 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095622
- 668 Lande, R. (1988). Genetics and demography in biological conservation. Science (New York,
- 669 *N.Y.*), *241*(4872), 1455–1460. Retrieved from
- 670 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3420403
- 671 Lázaro-Nogal, A., Matesanz, S., Hallik, L., Krasnova, A., Traveset, A., & Valladares, F.
- 672 (2016). Population differentiation in a Mediterranean relict shrub: the potential role of

- local adaptation for coping with climate change. *Oecologia*, *180*(4), 1075–1090.
- 674 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3514-0
- 675 Lesica, P., & Crone, E. E. (2016). Arctic and boreal plant species decline at their southern
- range limits in the Rocky Mountains. *Ecology Letters*, 166–174.
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12718
- Linares, J. C., Camarero, J. J., & Carreira, J. A. (2009). Plastic responses of Abies pinsapo
- 679 xylogenesis to drought and competition. *Tree Physiology*, 29(12), 1525–1536.
- 680 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpp084
- Lippok, D., Beck, S. G., Renison, D., Hensen, I., Apaza, A. E., & Schleuning, M. (2014).
- Topography and edge effects are more important than elevation as drivers of vegetation
- patterns in a neotropical montane forest. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 25(3), 724–733.
- 684 https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12132
- 685 Lloret, F., Escudero, A., Iriondo, J. M., Martínez-Vilalta, J., & Valladares, F. (2012).
- 686 Extreme climatic events and vegetation: The role of stabilizing processes. *Global*
- 687 *Change Biology*, *18*(3), 797–805. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02624.x
- Locosselli, G. M., Cardim, R. H., & Ceccantini, G. (2016). Rock outcrops reduce
- temperature-induced stress for tropical conifer by decoupling regional climate in the
- 690 semiarid environment. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 60(5), 639–649.
- 691 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-015-1058-y
- Maranz, S. (2009). Tree mortality in the African Sahel indicates an anthropogenic ecosystem
- displaced by climate change. *Journal of Biogeography*, *36*(6), 1181–1193.
- 694 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02081.x
- Marqués, L., Camarero, J. J., Gazol, A., & Zavala, M. A. (2016). Drought impacts on tree
- growth of two pine species along an altitudinal gradient and their use as early-warning
- 697 signals of potential shifts in tree species distributions. *Forest Ecology and Management*,

- 698 381, 157–167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.09.021
- 699 Martin, P. H., Sherman, R. E., & Fahey, T. J. (2007). Tropical montane forest ecotones:
- 700 Climate gradients, natural disturbance, and vegetation zonation in the Cordillera Central,
- 701 Dominican Republic. *Journal of Biogeography*, *34*(10), 1792–1806.
- 702 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01726.x
- 703 McLaughlin, B., Ackerly, D. D., Klos, P. Z., Natali, J., Dawson, T. E., & Thompson, S. E.
- 704 (2017). Hydrologic refugia, plants and climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 23,

705 000–000. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13629

- 706 Meyfroidt, P., & Lambin, E. F. (2011). Global Forest Transition: Prospects for an End to
- 707 Deforestation. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, *36*, 343–371.
- 708 https://doi.org/doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-090710-143732
- 709 Moracho, E., Moreno, G., Jordano, P., & Hampe, A. (2016). Unusually limited pollen
- 710 dispersal and connectivity of Pedunculate oak (Quercus robur) refugial populations at
- the species' southern range margin. *Molecular Ecology*, 25(14), 3319–3331.
- 712 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13692
- 713 Mouquet, N., Lagadeuc, Y., Devictor, V., Doyen, L., Duputié, A., Eveillard, D., ... Loreau,
- M. (2015). Predictive ecology in a changing world. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 52(5),
- 715 1293–1310. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12482
- 716 Murcia, C. (1995). Edge effects in fragmented forests: implications for conservation. *Trends*
- 717 *in Ecology & Evolution*, *10*(2), 58–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)88977-6
- 718 Nowacki, G. J., & Abrams, M. D. (2015). Is climate an important driver of post-European
- vegetation change in the Eastern United States? *Global Change Biology*, 21(1), 314–
- 720 334. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12663
- 721 Ouayjan, A., & Hampe, A. (2018). Extensive sib-mating in a refugial population of beech
- 722 (Fagus sylvatica) growing along a lowland river. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 407,

- 723 66–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.07.011
- Parmesan, C., & Yohe, G. (2003). A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
  across natural systems. *Nature*, 421(6918), 37–42. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286
- Pironon, S., Papuga, G., Villellas, J., Angert, A. L., García, M. B., & Thompson, J. D. (2016).
- 727 Geographic variation in genetic and demographic performance: new insights from an old
- biogeographical paradigm. *Biological Reviews*. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12313
- Rabasa, S. G., Granda, E., Benavides, R., Kunstler, G., Espelta, J. M., Ogaya, R., ...
- 730 Valladares, F. (2013). Disparity in elevational shifts of European trees in response to
- recent climate warming. *Global Change Biology*, *19*(8), 2490–2499.
- 732 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12220
- 733 Rapacciuolo, G., Maher, S. P., Schneider, A. C., Hammond, T. T., Jabis, M. D., Walsh, R. E.,
- 734 ... Beissinger, S. R. (2014). Beyond a warming fingerprint: Individualistic
- biogeographic responses to heterogeneous climate change in California. *Global Change*

736 *Biology*, 20(9), 2841–2855. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12638

- 737 Reed, D. H., & Frankham, R. (2001). How Closely Correlated Are Molecular and
- 738 Quantitative Measures of Genetic Variation? *Evolution*, *55*(6), 1095–1103.
- 739 Reich, P. B., Sendall, K. M., Rice, K., Rich, R. L., Stefanski, A., Hobbie, S. E., &
- 740 Montgomery, R. A. (2015). Geographic range predicts photosynthetic and growth
- response to warming in co-occurring tree species. *Nature Climate Change*, 5(2), 148–
- 742 152. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2497
- Safriel, U. N., Volis, S., & Kark, S. (1994). Core and peripheral populations and global
  climate change. *Israel Journal of Plant Sciences*, *42*, 331–345.
- 745 Sánchez-salguero, R., Camarero, J. J., Carrer, M., Gutiérrez, E., Alla, A. Q., & Andreu-
- hayles, L. (2017). Climate extremes and predicted warming threaten Mediterranean
- 747 Holocene firs forests refugia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.

- 748 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708109114
- 749 Sánchez-Salguero, R., Navarro-Cerrillo, R. M., Swetnam, T. W., & Zavala, M. A. (2012). Is
- drought the main decline factor at the rear edge of Europe? The case of southern Iberian
- pine plantations. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 271, 158–169.
- 752 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.01.040
- 753 Sexton, J. P., Mcintyre, P. J., Angert, A. L., & Rice, K. J. (2009). Evolution and ecology of
- species range limits. *Annual Review of Ecology & Systematics*, 40, 415–436.
- 755 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120317
- 756 Stojnić, S., Suchocka, M., Benito-Garzón, M., Torres-Ruiz, J. M., Cochard, H., Bolte, A., ...
- 757 Delzon, S. (2018). Variation in xylem vulnerability to embolism in European beech
- from geographically marginal populations. *Tree Physiology*, *38*(2), 173–185.
- 759 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpx128
- 760 Templeton, A. R., Shaw, K., Routman, E., & Davis, S. K. (1990). The Genetic Consequences
- of Habitat Fragmentation. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, 77(1), 13–27.
- Thomas, C. D., Cameron, A., Green, R. E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L. J., Collingham, Y.
- 763 C., ... Williams, S. E. (2004). Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature*, 427(6970),
- 764 145–148. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature02121
- 765 Thuiller, W., Albert, C., Araújo, M. B., Berry, P. M., Cabeza, M., Guisan, A., ...
- 766 Zimmermann, N. E. (2008). Predicting global change impacts on plant species'
- 767 distributions: Future challenges. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and*
- 768 Systematics, 9(3–4), 137–152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2007.09.004
- 769 Urban, M. C. (2015). Accelerating extinction risk from climate change. Science, 348(6234),
- 571–573. Retrieved from http://science.sciencemag.org/content/348/6234/571.abstract
- Vilà-Cabrera, A., Espelta, J. M., Vayreda, J., & Pino, J. (2017). "New Forests" from the
- Twentieth Century are a Relevant Contribution for C Storage in the Iberian Peninsula.

773	Ecosystems.	20(1).	130-143.1	nttps://doi.or	g/10.10	007/s10021-	016-0019-6
	2000,000,000,000,		100 1.011		, <u> </u>		010 001/ 0

- Vilà-Cabrera, A., Martínez-Vilalta, J., & Retana, J. (2015). Functional trait variation along
- environmental gradients in temperate and Mediterranean trees. *Global Ecology and*
- 776 *Biogeography*, 24(12), 1377–1389. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12379
- Wegmann, M., Leutner, B. F., Metz, M., Neteler, M., Dech, S., & Rocchini, D. (2018). r.pi:
- A grass gis package for semi-automatic spatial pattern analysis of remotely sensed land
- cover data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9(1), 191–199.
- 780 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12827
- 781 Woolbright, S. A., Whitham, T. G., Gehring, C. A., Allan, G. J., & Bailey, J. K. (2014).
- 782 Climate relicts and their associated communities as natural ecology and evolution
- 183 laboratories. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 29(7), 406–416.
- 784 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.05.003
- 785
- 786
- 787
- 788
- 789
- 790
- 791
- 792
- 793
- 794
- 795

796

798 Figure captions

Figure 1. Conceptual representation of the structure of species' rear edges and 799 persistence probability of populations. Marginality and the interactions among its 800 801 dimensions, together with the regional- and local-level hypotheses on population decline are represented. Regional-level predictions: (i) the geographical edge (horizontal dashed line) 802 represents the threshold between continuous range and isolated populations. Geographical 803 (and genetic) marginality are higher with increasing fragmentation and population isolation; 804 (ii) the climatic edge (vertical continuous line) represents the threshold of species' climatic 805 806 tolerance. Ecological marginality is higher below this threshold. The direction of the line (bottom-right to top-left) represents higher abundance below the climatic edge in isolated 807 populations relative to continuous range populations; (iii) the ecological edge (vertical dashed 808 809 line) represents the threshold of species' ecological tolerance and a bioclimatic transition. It is 810 defined by the interaction between the climatic edge and the community composition at the regional and/or local scale. Ecological marginality is higher below this threshold. The 811 direction of the line (bottom-right to top-left) represents higher population abundance below 812 the ecological edge in isolated populations relative to continuous range populations. Local-813 level predictions: the persistence probability may be higher or lower than expected at the 814 regional scale because of population-level mechanisms. For a detailed description of 815 mechanisms and examples, see section Shifting to the population perspective: refocusing on 816 817 local-scale hypotheses.

Figure 2. Guidelines for empirical study design. (A) The distribution of marginality
dimensions can be inferred from existing data sources (e.g. macroclimate, habitat
configuration, community composition). The position of populations relative to the
geographical, climatic and ecological edges is used to classify them into marginality-types
according to the criteria of the flow diagram shown. The ecological edge results from the

823 interaction between the climatic edge and the community composition at the regional and/or local scale. The interaction between ecological marginality and geographical (and genetic) 824 marginality results in four main marginality-types (see also Figure 1). (B) Population decline 825 826 can be tested according to the predicted marginality-types, based on a balanced experimental 827 design. Population/individual parameters need to be measured and regional-level hypotheses tested. Disparities between observed population responses and regional-scale predictions 828 829 indicate that local-scale hypotheses need to be considered. For a practical application of this guidelines see section A population-focused study at the species' rear edge. 830

831 Figure 3. Population decline of the European beech tree across marginality types and

**gradients.** (A) Tree mortality and canopy decline as a function of the four population

833 marginality-types that result from the interaction between geographical (genetic) marginality

834 (isolated/continuous range) and ecological marginality (ecologically-marginal/non-

ecologically marginal); (B) population mortality across the gradients related to interactions

between (i) climate (water balance expressed as the ratio of annual precipitation to potential

837 evapotranspiration, P/PET) and geographical isolation (number of beech plots within a radius

of 5 km around each sampled beech population), and (ii) climate (P/PET) and regional

community composition surrounding sampled populations (% of Mediterranean communities

relative to the total number of plots within a radius of 1.7 km around each beech population).

641 Geographical, climatic and ecological edges (see Fig. 1 and 2) were derived from plot-level

data of the Ecological and Forest Inventory of Catalonia and the Spanish National Forest

843 Inventory, and 1-km<sup>2</sup> resolution interpolated climate derived from the WorldClim database

844 (see supplementary material).

845

846

848	Supporting information	
-----	------------------------	--

849 Appendix S1. List and details of studies assessing rear edge population decline.

- 850 Appendix S2. Methodology used in the population-focused study presented.

## 852 Graphical abstract

- 853 Climate change is expected to drive population loss at the species' rear edge, however,
- disparities between predictions and observations are accumulating. We argue for a revision of
- the concept of marginality together with an explicit testing of population decline across the
- species' rear edge, given the scale-dependency of the ecological mechanisms determining
- population dynamics. Such progress is possible as data availability improves and essential to

858 better predict the consequences of species range shifts.

- -



# 894 Figure 2

(a) Example of classification of populations into population marginality-types



(b) Empirical study design for hypotheses testing on population decline at rear edges





- **.** .

# 912 Figure 3

(a) Mortality and canopy decline according to population marginality-type



(b) Mortality variation across population marginality-type

