# Explosive instabilities of acoustic waves propagating in antiferromagnets under magnetic and elastic pumping

# V.L. PREOBRAZHENSKY<sup>a</sup>, V.V. ALESHIN<sup>b</sup>, Ph. PERNOD<sup>c</sup>

Joint International Laboratory on Critical and Supercritical Phenomena in Functional Electronics, Acoustics and Fluidics (LIA LICS): a. Wave Research Center, Prokhorov General Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, vladimir.preobrajenski@iemn.univ-lille1.fr b. Institute of Electronics, Microelectronics and Nanotechnology, UMR CNRS 8520, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France, vladislav.aleshin@iemn.univ-lille1.fr c. Institute of Electronics, Microelectronics and Nanotechnology, UMR CNRS 8520, Villeneuve d'Ascq, France, philippe.pernod@iemn.univ-lille1.fr

# Abstract:

We report results of our study for an explosive behavior of nonlinear ultrasound occurring when acoustic nonlinearity is efficiently modulated by another physical process. In the considered example, a Lamb wave propagates in an antiferromagnetic plate in the presence of a harmonically oscillating magnetic field and a shear resonance. In this situation, the magnetic pumping induces a backward phase conjugate Lamb wave. Thus the system supports three phonons: two of the Lamb waves and one of the shear resonant mode. We write out the equations of motions for the considered exemplar system, solve them numerically and then illustrate the explosive dynamics with a number of examples. It is shown that the explosive scenario can occur with a very low signal level i.e. Lamb waves amplitudes comparable to the spontaneous noise in the system. From the practical point, we propose an extremely effective channel for converting magnetic energy into mechanical energy. The considered nonlinearity modulation mechanism is possible to extend onto systems of different physical nature and to apply in acousto-electronics, electro- and hydrodynamics and in microsystems designing.

# Keywords: antiferromagnetic materials, explosive instability, three-phonon interaction

# **1** Introduction

Instabilities in dynamic systems are accompanied by giant amplitude growth. There are at least two confirmed types of behavior characterized by theoretically infinite amplitudes: exponential and explosive. The exponential instability appears when a linear parameter such as stiffness in oscillators

or sound velocity in acoustics is efficiently modulated by another physical process. This effect is usually called parametric amplification and is typical for a wide range of situations ranging from the classical pendulum with a variable string length to stimulated processes in laser physics [1], light scattering [2,3], acoustics [4], etc.

Our interest here is to another type of growing instabilities having the explosive behavior. In this case, an external process modulates not the linear parameter but the quadratic nonlinear coefficient. The difference between the "usual" parametric instability having the exponential character and the explosive effect of nonlinearity modulation can be understood using the Hamiltonian formalism. The classical Hamiltonian contains terms with two amplitudes in the former case and with three amplitudes in the latter case. Application of the appropriate resonance conditions produces terms containing a combination of two or three complex conjugate amplitudes, respectively. In a quantum counterpart of such an interaction between two or three phonons, two or three creation operators appear. The presence of the third creation operator explains an additional contribution to the amplification process and results in an explosive amplitude growth when theoretically infinite values are obtained at a finite moment of time, as it is for the mathematical singularity.

In our example described below, the third phonon represents a kind of additional feedback in the system that considerably alters its behavior. Its contribution mathematically resembles the Feshbach resonance [5,6] supporting the bound molecular states in the quantum system of ultracold atoms and is therefore referred to as the Feshbach resonance.

#### 2 Theoretical model

Our objective here mathematically describe a system in which the three-phonon interaction occurs that results in the appearance of the explosive instability. We will show that such a process takes place in an antiferromagnetic plate in which a Lamb wave propagates in the presence of pumping by means of an alternative magnetic field. In addition, a shear standing wave is to be generated. In this situation, another Lamb wave with the opposite propagation direction is spontaneously excited. The three phonons necessary for the explosive instability generation are coming from the two Lamb waves and from the shear resonance mode. The magnetic pumping action modulates the quadratic nonlinear parameter and actually provides energy for the explosive amplitude growth.

As a model medium we choose an antiferromagnetic crystal with the magnetic anisotropy of the "easy plane" type belonging to symmetry group  $D_{3d}^6$  (e.g.  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or FeBO<sub>3</sub>). The crystal has a shape of a plate cut in the basal plane normal to the crystallographic axis C<sub>3</sub> || *z* (see Fig. 1). We suppose that the plate is placed in a constant magnetic field  $\vec{H}$  parallel to *y*-axis and in a transversal RF magnetic field  $\vec{h}_p(t)$  parallel to the binary axis U<sub>2</sub> // *x* (see Fig. 1). The instability effect is produced by the interaction of the fundamental shear mode with the in-plane displacements parallel to the binary axis *x* and two asymmetric Lamb waves with polarization normal to the plane and with the wave vectors ±*k* parallel and antiparallel to the *x*-axis.

It is possible to show [7] that the potential energy density in the material has the form:

$$F = 2C_{44}u_{xz}^{2} + \Psi_{p}h_{p}(t)u_{xz}^{3}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\rho$  is density of the crystal,  $C_{44}$  is the shear elastic modulus and  $\Psi_p$  is the amplitude of interaction caused by modulation of the nonlinear elastic parameter  $C_{555}(\vec{H})$ :

$$\Psi_{p} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial H_{x}} C_{555} \left( \vec{H} \right)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

An explicit expression for  $\Psi_p$  applicable to the antiferromagnetic with the easy type magnetic anisotropy of  $D_{3d}^6$  symmetry in transversal alternative magnetic field is derived in [8]. In the particular case when the only nonzero strain component is  $U_{xz}$ ,  $\Psi_p$  equals to

$$\Psi_{p} = -16C_{44}\zeta^{4} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{H + H_{D}}{\left(\omega_{s0}/\gamma\right)^{2}} \Xi$$
(3)

where

$$\Xi = 1 - \frac{H_D H_E H_{ms}}{2(H + H_D)(\omega_{s0} / \gamma)^2},$$
(4)



Figure 1: System's geometry. Wave displacements  $\vec{u}_k$  and  $\vec{u}_{-k}$  for the Lamb waves with wave vectors  $\vec{k}$  and  $-\vec{k}$  are shown as well as wave displacement  $\vec{U}_{\Omega}$  for the shear mode. Magnetic fields  $\vec{H}$  and  $\vec{h}_p(t)$  are also plotted.

In Eqs. (3)-(4),  $\varepsilon = 2B_{14}/C_{44}$  is the spontaneous magnetostrictive strain,  $B_{14}$  is a magnetoelastic constant,  $H_E$ ,  $H_D$  and  $H_{ms}$  are exchange, Dzyaloshinsky and magnetoelastic effective fields, respectively,  $\omega_{s0}$  is the frequency of antiferromagnetic resonance,  $\gamma$  is the magneto-mechanical ratio,  $\zeta$  is the magnetoelastic coupling coefficient. The details of this derivation can be found in [7].

In Eq. (1), the pumping magnetic field that modulates the quadratic nonlinearity coefficient is chosen as

$$h_{p}(t) = h_{0}e^{i\omega_{p}t} + c.c.,$$
(5)

where  $\omega_p$  is pumping frequency,  $h_0$  is the magnetic field amplitude.

In order to show that the explosive instability appears in such a system, it is sufficient to assume that the displacement field has the following structure:

$$u_{x} = (De^{i\Omega t} + D^{*}e^{-i\Omega t})\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{l}z\right),$$
(6)

$$u_{z} = \left(Ae^{-ikx} + Be^{ikx}\right)e^{i\omega_{k}t}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{l}z\right).$$
(7)

Here the contribution  $u_x$  corresponds to the shear resonance mode with the frequency  $\Omega$  and amplitude D, while  $u_z$ -component describes the Lamb waves with the correspondent wave number k and frequency  $\omega_k$ . The Lamb waves have approximately vertical displacement since they are considered in the short-wave approximation in order to make use of the fact that wave interactions enhance when the wavelength decreases. Amplitude A of the forward wave is coming from the excitation signal while the backward wave of the amplitude B is not deliberately excited but appears spontaneously as it will be demonstrated. In Eqs. (6)-(7), l is the plate thickness.

The equations of motion corresponding to the potential energy density Eq.(1) have the form:

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial t^2} = C_{44} \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial z^2} + \frac{3}{2} \Psi_p h_p(t) u_{xz} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial z^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z \partial x} \right), \tag{8}$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial t^2} = C_{44} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_x}{\partial x \partial z} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \Psi_p h_p(t) u_{xz} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_z}{\partial z \partial x} \right).$$
(9)

Equations for amplitudes are obtained from Esq. (8)-(9) in the following way. First, Eq (8) is multiplied by  $\cos(\pi z/l)$ , Eq. (9) is multiplied by  $\sin(\pi z/l)$ , and both equations are integrated over the plate thickness i.e. for  $0 \le z \le l$ . Then two resulting equations are obtained, into which the explicit forms Eq. (6)-(7) have to be substituted. Since amplitudes *A*, *B*, and *D* evolve slowly in comparison to fast terms with frequencies  $\omega_k$ ,  $\omega_p$ , and  $\Omega$ , their double derivatives can be neglected. Finally, only resonant terms with

$$\omega_p - 2\omega_k - \Omega \approx 0. \tag{10}$$

should be retained. The eventual result for the slowly varying amplitudes is presented in the form of the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + \delta_1 A = -\frac{i}{\rho \omega_k l} \Psi_p h_0 k^2 D^* B^* e^{i(\omega_p - 2\omega_k - \Omega)t}, \qquad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} - v \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} + \delta_1 B = \frac{i}{\rho \omega_k l} \Psi_p h_0 k^2 D^* A^* e^{i(\omega_p - 2\omega_k - \Omega)t}, \qquad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \delta_2 (D - D_0) = -\frac{i}{\rho \Omega l} \Psi_p h_0 k^2 \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L A^* B^* e^{i(\omega_p - 2\omega_k - \Omega)t}, \qquad (13)$$

where damping factors  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  have been additionally introduced. Here *v* is the group velocity of the Lamb waves.

Here it is appropriate to mention that an attempt to build up the classical Hamiltonian corresponding to Eqs. (11)-(13) will produce a term containing  $h_0 e^{i\omega_p t} (d^* + d) a^* b^* + c.c.$ , where *a*, *b*, and *d* are the

canonical variables corresponding to amplitudes *A*, *B*, and *D*, respectively. The combination  $d^*a^*b^*$  has the quantum counterpart in the form of production of three phonon creation operators. This fact indirectly explains the explosive growth effect.

#### **3** Numerical example of the explosive instability

For the numerical analysis, it is convenient to rewrite Eqs. (11)-(13) in the following form:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} + \delta_1 A = -i\Phi B^* \left( D_0 + D \right)^*, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial B^*}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial B^*}{\partial x} + \delta_1 B^* = i \Phi A (D_0 + D), \qquad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + \delta_2 D = -i\mu \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L dx A^* B^*.$$
(16)

Here time *t* is measured in microseconds, *x* and *L* are normalized on the group velocity *v*, new amplitudes *A* and *B* are obtained by adding a factor  $k/\varepsilon$ , amplitude *D* is multiplied by  $\pi l\varepsilon/2$  ( $\varepsilon$  is the spontaneous magnetostrictive strain introduced above), detuning from resonance  $\Delta \omega_p = \omega_p - 2\omega_{k_0} - \Omega$  is neglected, the interaction amplitude  $\Phi$  is defined as

$$\Phi = \frac{2k\varepsilon}{\pi\rho v_g} \Psi_p h_0, \qquad (17)$$

and a new parameter  $\mu = \Phi \Omega / (8\omega_k)$  is introduced. Further, variable *D* present in Eqs. (14)-(17) is an additional component of the total shear mode amplitude  $D+D_0$ , where parameter  $D_0$  corresponds to a continuous excitation of the resonance mode by an external alternative force. Basically, in experiments such force is created by an additional alternative magnetic field applied at the eigenfrequency of the mode [7,9].

Equations (14)-(16) are to be completed by the boundary and initial conditions:

$$A|_{x=0} = A_0(t), A|_{t=0} = 0,$$
(18)

$$B\big|_{x=L} = 0, \ B\big|_{t=0} = 0, \tag{19}$$

$$D\Big|_{t=0} = D_0, \tag{20}$$

where  $A_0(t)$  is the amplitude of an incident wave at the entrance x=0 of the active zone.

Equations (14) and (15) describe the parametric phase conjugation of travelling waves through the presence of complex conjugate amplitudes in the right-hand sides. These conjugate amplitudes contribute into Eqs. (14) and (15) together with the shear excitation D and variable  $\Phi$  corresponding to the pumping magnetic field (see Eq. (17)). At the same time, Eq. (16) introduces a feedback effect into the system, when the signal (travelling Lamb waves) impacts the pumping (shear resonance). In the absence of the feedback effect, the amplitudes of Lamb waves would exponentially increase [10,11] once the threshold of parametric instability is reached. As we will show here, the addition of feedback in Eq. (16) considerably modifies the behaviour of the system. Due to the feedback, the exponential amplification scenario is followed by the explosive instability.

Accepting the following typical values of physical parameters of the problem:  $\omega_k/(2\pi)=20$  MHz,  $\Omega/(2\pi)=1$  MHz, acoustical quality factor of  $10^3$ ,  $v=10^5$  m/s, L=4 cm, H=0.5 kOe,  $h_0=40$  Oe, and magnetic parameters for the antiferromagnetic crystal taken from [7,12], we obtain the normalized parameters  $\delta_1=6\cdot10^{-2}$  ( $\mu$ s)<sup>-1</sup>,  $\delta_2=3\cdot10^{-3}$  ( $\mu$ s)<sup>-1</sup>, L=10  $\mu$ s,  $\Phi=10$  ( $\mu$ s)<sup>-1</sup>,  $\mu=6.25\cdot10^{-2}$  ( $\mu$ s)<sup>-1</sup> in Eqs. (14)-(16). In Fig. 2 below, t, x, and L are measured in microseconds.

In the boundary condition Eq. (18), an explicit form for  $A_0(t)$  should be set. In fact, in the situation of the giant amplification considered here the exact shape of the "starter" signal is not essential. We choose a Gaussian pulse of duration  $w=0.5 \ \mu s$  centered at  $t_0=2 \ \mu s$ . Two remaining parameters,  $A_0$  and  $D_0$ , determining the boundary conditions Eqs. (18)-(20) are already normalized on the spontaneous

magnetostrictive strain  $\varepsilon \approx 10^{-5}$ . Therefore  $A_0 = 10^{-2}$  taken here as an example corresponds to a low strain of about  $10^{-7}$ . The shear mode amplitude  $D_0$  plays the pole of a pumping; a chosen value  $D_0 = 5 \cdot 10^{-2}$  actually means that the considered pumping amplitude is quite low (about  $5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ ) and can be increased at least by a factor of  $10^1 - 10^2$ . The normalized amplitudes can reach values of order of  $10^2$  (physical strains about  $10^{-3}$ ); at higher strains the crystal fails.



Figure 2: Time dependencies for the amplitudes  $A \approx B$  at the centre of the plate i.e. at x=L/2 showing explosive (black curves) and exponential (gray curves) instabilities. The former case occurs in the presence of the Feshbach resonance i.e. when the additional resonant shear mode pumping is applied while the latter situation corresponds to the classical parametric interaction (no additional shear action, magnetic pumping only). The vertical axis is shown in the logarithmic scale. Sets (a)-(c) illustrate the process at different values of parameters  $\delta_1$ ,  $D_0$ , and  $A_0$ , respectively. The baseline curves (thick lines) are the same in all the three sets.

Figure 2 demonstrates the existence of the explosive instability at a given set of parameters (thick black line in each set (a)-(c)). At the beginning of the amplification process i.e. for 10  $\mu$ s<*t*<20  $\mu$ s in our example, the amplitudes *A* and *B* grow exponentially similarly to the case of the classical parametric interaction  $\omega_p = \omega_k + \omega_{-k}$  (thick gray line), when shear resonant feedback is absent i.e. *D* is kept constant,  $D=D_0$ , instead of considering the time-dependent evolution of *D* according to Eq. (16). The shear pumping (Feshbach-type resonance) starts playing its role at  $t\approx 22.5 \,\mu$ s when a singularity develops almost instantly. The Lamb wave amplitudes immediately reach values of  $10^2$ - $10^3$  in our example shown in Fig. 2 and then infinitely grow. It was also found that at large amplitudes  $A \approx B$ .

The three sets (a)-(c) in Fig. 2 illustrate the explosive instability dependencies on the system's parameters. In the considered example the "explosion" always occurs, but its time depends on  $\delta_1$  (set a),  $D_0$  (set b), and  $A_0$  (set c). If no acoustic attenuation  $\delta_1$  in the system is present, the explosion appears 2 µs earlier than in the baseline case (thick lines) but the general behaviour remains unchanged. Doubling the initial shear pumping amplitude  $D_0$  (set b) results in a considerable enhancement of the "explosive" properties; the instability appearance time becomes twice shorter. Finally (set c), the initial Lamb wave amplitude lowered in 10 times delays the explosion development but, again, does not alter the behaviour of the system.

Generally, Fig. 2 illustrates the efficiency of the Feshbach-type resonance for enhancing the instability. Indeed, when the singularity has developed, the amplification coefficient increase due to the nonlinear feedback is theoretically infinite and, in practice, is limited by the ultimate strength of the material or high-order nonlinear effects such as pumping exhaustion, nonlinear frequency shift etc. Those effects are not considered here.

#### 4 Conclusions

The analysis and numerical examples we present are related to systems with two-and three-phonon interactions. Two-phonon processes described here correspond to the classical parametric interaction of the kind  $\omega_p = \omega_k + \omega_{-k}$ , where the pumping wave of frequency  $\omega_p$  exponentially amplifies signals at frequencies  $\omega_k$  and  $\omega_k$ . In the considered case, Lamb waves of frequencies  $\omega_k$  and  $\omega_k$  propagate in a plate made of antiferromagnetic material in which a transverse alternative magnetic field of frequency  $\omega_p$  is applied. The situation changes considerably if an additional pumping channel is introduced in the form of a shear resonant mode of frequency  $\Omega$ . The corresponding three-phonon process  $\omega_p = \omega_k + \omega_{-k} + \Omega$  generates instabilities of much more "powerful" (explosive) type when time dependencies of signal amplitudes behave as a mathematical singularity. This offers an opportunity to convert the magnetic energy into mechanical energy in an extremely efficient manner.

An antiferromagnetic crystal excited in a way described here is only an example of a situation in which the derived explosive instability equations are applicable and the three-phonon interaction takes place. The considered nonlinearity modulation mechanism is possible to extend on systems of different physical nature and to apply in acousto-electronics, electro- and hydrodynamics and in microsystems designing.

### 5 Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the program of the Russian Academy of Sciences "Fundamental problems in acoustics of artificial and natural media".

#### References

[1] O. Svelto, Principles of lasers, Springer US, 2010.

[2] Y.R. Shen, N. Bloembergen, Theory of stimulated Brillouin and Raman scattering, Phys. Rev. A. 137 (1965) 1787–1799.

[3] V.V. Yakovlev, G.I. Petrov, H.F. Zhang, G.D. Noojin, M.L. Denton, R.J. Thomas, M.O. Scully, Stimulated Raman Scattering: Old Physics, New Applications, J. Mod. Opt. 56 (2009) 1970–1973.

[4] H.O. Berktay, Parametric amplification by the use of acoustic non-linearities and some possible applications, Journal of Sound and Vibration 2 (1965) 462–470.

[5] Ch.Chin, R. Grimm, P. Julienne, E. Tiesinga, Feshbach resonances in ultracold gases, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 1225–1286.

[6] Y. Ohashi, A. Griffin, Superfluidity and collective modes in a uniform gas of Fermi atoms with a Feshbach resonance, Phys. Rev. A 67 (2003) 063612.

[7] V.I. Ozhogin, V.L. Preobrazhensky, Nonlinear dynamics of coupled systems near magnetic phase transitions of the order-order type, Journ. of Magn. and Magn. Materials 1000 (1991) 544-571.

[8] V.L. Preobrazhensky, O. Yevstafyev, P. Pernod, O. Bou Matar, V. Berzhansky, Supercritical dynamics of magnetoelastic wave triad in a solid, Physics of Wave Phenomena 20 (2012) 1–8.

[9] Yu. Fetisov, V.L. Preobrazhensky, P. Pernod, Bistability in a nonlinear magnetoacoustic resonator, Journal of Communications Technology and Electronics 51 (2006) 218-230.

[10] P. Pernod, V.L. Preobrazhensky, Parametric phase conjugation of a wide band acoustic pulse in supercritical mode, Appl. Phys. Lett. 76 (2000) 387-389.

[11] A.P. Brysev, F.V. Bunkin, D.V. Vlasov, V.L. Preobrazhensky, L.M. Krytyansky, A.D. Stakhovsky, Regenerative mode of amplification of sound waves at wave phase conjugation in ferrite, Acoust. Phys. 34 (1988) 986-990.

[12] V.V. Moshkin, A.V. Moshkina, V.L. Preobrazhensky, P. Pernod, Cascade generation of a phase conjugate wave in a magnetoordered acoustic medium, Bulletin of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Physics) 79 (2015) 1246–1250.