## **EFFECT OF TOOL GEOMETRY**

## AND NOSE PROFILE MICRO-DEVIATION

# **ON SURFACE ROUGHNESS IN FINISH TURNING**

SUNG AUN NAA

UNIVERSITY SAINS MALAYSIA

2015

# EFFECT OF TOOL GEOMETRY AND NOSE PROFILE MICRO-DEVIATION ON SURFACE ROUGHNESS IN FINISH TURNING

by

SUNG AUN NAA

## Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements

for the degree of

doctor of Philosophy

AUG 2015

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mani Maran Ratnam. He was supervising me patiently, always guiding me in the right direction and make my Ph.D. experience productive and stimulating. I could not have finished my thesis successfully without his help. Prof. Dr. Mani Maran Ratnam is profound knowledge in image processing and his positive spirit has been a great source of inspiration to me. Special thanks are also given to my co-supervisor Dr. Loh Wei Ping for her help and encouragement in my work.

I would like to acknowledge the financial, academic and technical support of the University. This work was funded by the USM Fellowship and the Research University research grant.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family for all their love and encouragement. For my parents Sung Foo Heng and Ng Mooi See, husband William Lee Chiew Sing, brother Sung Yew Chong and Sung Yew Fong and sister Sung Aun Nee, I would like to thank for their unequivocal supports.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Acknowledgementii
Table of Contentsiii
List of Tablesviii
List of Figuresx
List of Algorithmsxvi
List of Symbolsxvii
List of Abbreviationsxxiv
Abstrakxxvi
Abstractxxvii

#### CHAPTER 1-INTRODUCTION

1.0	Background	1
1.1	Problem statement	5
1.2	Objective	7
1.3	Scope of research	8
1.4	Thesis Outline	8

#### CHAPTER 2- LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0	Overv	view	10
2.1	Factor	rs affecting surface roughness in finish turning	10
	2.1.1	Effect of tool geometry on surface roughness	12
		2.1.1(a) Machining theory based approach	12

		2.1.1(b) Empirical based approach	17
	2.1.2	Effect of nose profile micro-deviation on surface roughness	20
	2.1.3	Effect of chatter vibration on surface roughness	24
	2.1.4	Effect of tool wear on surface roughness	28
2.2	The ex	xisting basic model for $R_t$ , $R_a$ and $R_q$	29
	2.2.1	Approximation model	30
	2.2.2	Implicit model	31
2.3	Nose	profile extraction method for simulation study	34
2.4	The fi	nding from the literature	35
2.5	Chapt	er summary	39

#### CHAPTER 3-METHODOLOGY

3.0	Overv	iew41
3.1	Devel	op of new analytical models for surface roughness44
	3.1.1	Improved implicit basic model for <i>R</i> <sub>a</sub> 45
	3.1.2	Implicit three-parameter model for $R_a$ and $R_q$
		3.1.2 (a)Implicit three-parameter model for $R_a$ 47
		3.1.2 (b) Implicit three-parameter model for $R_{q$
3.2	Devel	op of Simulation 1 using ideal nose profile53
	3.2.1	Generation of surface roughness using Simulation 154
	3.2.2	Values of considered parameters used in Simulation 162
	3.2.3	Conditions for application of the analytical models and
		Simulation 163

3.3	Devel	velop of Simulation 2 using actual nose profile65						
	3.3.1	Nose profile extraction up to sub-pixel accuracy						
	3.3.2	The optimum nose radius determination77						
	3.3.3	Generation of polar-radius plot of the contact profile geometry82						
	3.3.4	Generation of surface roughness using Simulation 2						
3.4	Devel	op of Simulation 3 using actual nose profile by considering						
	chatte	r vibration						
	3.4.1	Filtering of the vibration signal						
	3.4.2	Reconstruction of displacement signal from the velocity signal94						
	3.4.3	Surface profile generation from the nose profile and the						
		vibration data97						
	3.4.4	Extraction of roughness profile from the unmodified profile100						
	3.4.5	Generation of surface roughness using Simulation 3103						
3.5	Simul	ation 4 using actual nose profile by considering chatter vibration						
	and no	ose wear111						
	3.5.1	Generation of surface roughness using Simulation 4112						
	3.5.2	Surface roughness prediction interval calculation119						
3.6	Exper	imental setup121						
	3.6.1	Experiment 1: to compare the roughness data with the						
		analytical models and Simulation 1122						
	3.6.2	Experiment 2: to compare the roughness data with						
		Simulation 2 to Simulation 4						

3.7	Chapter summary
CHAF	TER 4-RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
4.0	Overview127
4.1	Surface roughness data generated from surface roughness evaluation
	methods based on ideal nose profile
	4.1.1 Comparison of surface roughness data obtained from implicit
	basic model, approximation basic model and Simulation 1A128
	4.1.2 Comparison of surface roughness data obtained from
	three-parameter model and Simulation 1B133
	4.1.3 The applicable conditions of basic and three-parameter models135
	4.1.4 Effect of SCEA on surface roughness137
	4.1.5 Effect of nose radius on surface roughness
4.2	Comparison of surface roughness from analytical models, Simulation 1
	and experimental results141
4.3	Surface roughness data generated from simulation based on actual
	nose profile
	4.3.1 Tool nose radius assessment146
	4.3.2 Effect of nose profile micro-deviation on surface roughness147
	4.3.3 Effect of chatter vibration on surface roughness at different
	points of workpiece159
4.4	Comparison of surface roughness from Simulation 2 to Simulation 4 and
	experimental results

	4.4.1	Comparison surface roughness data obtained from Simulation 2
		to Simulation 4 and Experiment 2161
	4.4.2	Prediction intervals for surface roughness167
	4.4.3	The surface roughness values at different points of workpiece170
	4.4.4	The applications of Simulation 1C, Simulation 2 and
		Simulation 3171
4.5	Chapte	er summary
CHAPT	FER 5-	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
5.1	Conclu	usions
5.2	Recom	mendations for future works
Referer	nces	
Append	lices	
	Appen	dix A- Derivation of improved implicit basic model for $R_a$ 189
	Appen	dix B- Derivation of implicit three parameter model for $R_a$ 191
	Appen	dix C- Proof of prediction intervals equation194
	Appen	dix D- 24 sets of simulated and actual machined surface Profiles196
List of	Publica	ations

## LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Surface roughness data obtained from the basic models for feed rate is 0.3 mm/rev and nose radius is 0.4 mm	33
Table 2.2	The previous study on the effect of geometrical profile of the contact edge on the surface roughness based on machining theory approach	36
Table 3.1	The developed simulation methods	43
Table 3.2	The types of Simulation 1 based on different considered parameters	54
Table 3.3	Values of tool geometries and feed rate used in Simulation 1B	62
Table 3.4	The specifications of the tool used	78
Table 4.1	The minimum, maximum and mean values in absolute percentage difference $\Delta R_{(i-x)}$ and $\Delta R_{(S1A-i)}$ for $R_t$ , $R_a$ and $R_q$	131
Table 4.2	Comparison of $R_a$ and $R_q$ obtained from three-parameter models and Simulation 1B	134
Table 4.3	The included and major cutting edge angles from manufacturer	136
Table 4.4	The absolute percentage difference for the surface roughness obtained from evaluation methods and experiment, and its mean	143
Table 4.5	Analysis of the comparision of surface roughness data obtained from different simulation methods	156
Table 4.6	The minimum, maximum and mean values in RSD obtained from Simulation 3 and Simulation 4	160
Table 4.7	The test statistic $D_n$ data	168

Table 4.8	Analysis	of	24	surface	roughness	data	obtained	from	
	Simulation	n 2 to	o Sir	nulation 4	4				168
Table 4.9	The minin	num	, ma	aximum	and mean v	alues i	n RSD obt	ained	

170

from experiment data

ix

### LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	(a) The nose radius tolerance zone and (b) the nose profile micro-deviation in the zoomed view of the selected region of (a)	3
Figure 2.1	Ideal surface profile formation based on machining theory	13
1 iguie 2.1	Ideal surface prome formation based on machining theory	15
Figure 2.2	Illustration of inclination angle and rake angle	19
Figure 2.3	Image of a 3-D tool captured using the Alicona system	21
Figure 3.1	Flow of research methodology	41
Figure 3.2	Geometrical illustration of a surface profile that consists of elliptical arcs	45
Figure 3.3	Geometrical illustration of a surface profile that consists of circular arcs and straight lines	48
Figure 3.4	The flow chart of the algorithm of Simulation 1	55
Figure 3.5	The images of nose profiles for (a) Simulation 1A, (b) Simulation 1B and (c) Simulation 1C	55
Figure 3.6	Nose profile $Z_2$ (blue line) after rotation of inclination and rake angles on nose profile $Z_0$ (black line) (a) in top view and (b) in	
	isometric view	57
Figure 3.7	(a) The $x_d$ and $y_d$ vectors, (b) a respective tool profile	58
Figure 3.8	(a) The added $x_d$ vector, (b) the added $y_d$ vector and (c) the respective repetitive nose profiles	59

Figure 3.9	Condition for application of the analytical models and	
	Simulation 1A to Simulation 1C	64
Figure 3.10	The nose profile $P_e$	66
Figure 3.11	Image of the tool nose	67
Figure 3.12	The algorithm of the nose extraction	68
Figure 3.13	(a) The gradient magnitude image $U_m$ , (b) the enlarged view of the image $U_m$ and (c) the column of pixels obtained from the small rectangle in (b)	70
Figure 3.14	The digitized image $V_b$	72
Figure 3.15	The plot of nose profile $P_e$ (a) in x-y coordinates, (b) the plot superimposed onto the original image and (c) the plot in sub-pixel accuracy	76
Figure 3.16	Flow chart of the algorithm for optimum nose radius determination	77
Figure 3.17	Schematic diagram of a tool nose	79
Figure 3.18	RMSD versus predefined nose radius $r_p$	82
Figure 3.19	Schematic diagram of a tool nose with different labels	83
Figure 3.20	Polar-radius graph of a nose profile at rounded nose	84
Figure 3.21	The angles $\theta_{\rm L}$ and $\theta_{\rm M}$ determination	84
Figure 3.22	Polar-radius graph of the contact profile geometry	86
Figure 3.23	The algorithm of Simulation 2	87
Figure 3.24	Surface profile generated	88

Figure 3.25	The filtration of vibration signal to extract the chatter vibration	
	signal	90
Figure 3.26	Three types of mechanical vibration and their causes	93
Figure 3.27	Sample of displacement signal reconstruction from velocity signal	96
Figure 3.28	<ul><li>(a) Workpiece in the presence of chatter vibration in 3-D and</li><li>(b) open surface views. (c) Ideal surface profile in the absent</li></ul>	
	of chatter vibration. (d) Ideal surface profile in the presence of chatter vibration	98
Figure 3.29	(a) Unmodified profile and mean line and (b) roughness profile	101
Figure 3.30	Weighting function of Gaussian filter	102
Figure 3.31	Flow chart of the algorithm for Simulation 3	104
Figure 3.32	Noise velocity signal in the (a) time and (b) frequency domains	105
Figure 3.33	The amplitude count of the noise velocity signal in the frequency domain	105
Figure 3.34	Noisy velocity signal in the (a) time and (b) frequency domains	106
Figure 3.35	Clean velocity signal in the (a) frequency and (b) time domains	107
Figure 3.36	Displacement-time signal	108
Figure 3.37	(a) Unmodified profile and (b) Gaussian mean line	109
Figure 3.38	Roughness profile (a) with and (b) without end effects 110	
Figure 3.39	The image of a tool nose (a) after machining and (b) in the zoomed view of the selected region of (a).	113
Figure 3.40	The algorithm to determinate the nose profile $P_{df}$	114

Figure 3.41	The sample of original nose profile and best fitted nose profiles	
Figure 3.42	RMSD computation against translation value	117
Figure 3.43	The location of nose profile $P_{df}$ (point Z) that used to generate surface profile	117
Figure 3.44	The nose profiles $P_{dn}$ (green line), $P_{dw}$ (red line) and $P_{df}$ (blue line)	118
Figure 3.45	Close-up view of the workpiece and the tool	122
Figure 3.46	The setup of Experiment 2	124
Figure 4.1	(a) $R_t$ , (b) $R_a$ and (c) $R_q$ obtained from basic models and Simulation 1	131
Figure 4.2	Applicable conditions of basic and three-parameter models	136
Figure 4.3	Effect of SCEA on (a) $R_a$ and (b) $R_q$ for feed rate = 0.30 mm/rev	138
Figure 4.4	Effect of nose radius on (a) $R_a$ and (b) $R_q$ based on basic and three-parameter models for SCEA = 5°	140
Figure 4.5	(a) $R_t$ , (b) $R_a$ and (c) $R_q$ obtained from implicit basic model, three parameter model, Simulation 1, and experiment (nose radius = 0.4 mm, SCEA = 5°, inclination angle = -6° and rake angle = -6°)	142
Figure 4.6	The nose radius from actual nose profiles and the nominal radius	146
Figure 4.7	(a) Nose profile extraction using algorithm in <i>Alicona</i> system and (b) the corresponding extracted nose profile	148

Figure 4.8 Polar-radius plots the nose profile in the entire nose and at the contact edge for tool edges (a) no. 4, (b) no. 9, (c) no. 10 and (d) no. 14

149

151

154

163

- Figure 4.9 The nose profile at contact edge and the corresponding surface profile for (a) ideal nose profile, (b) nose profile with micro-deviation at the center of the contact edge and away from the center of the rounded nose, (c) nose profile with micro-deviation at the center of the contact edge and toward to the center of the rounded nose, and (d) nose profile with micro-deviation at the side of the contact edge
- Figure 4.10 (a)  $R_t$  obtained from Simulation 1C to Simulation 4, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-S1C)}$  for  $R_t$ , (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-S1C)}$  for  $R_t$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-S1C)}$  for  $R_t$  153
- Figure 4.11 (a)  $R_a$  obtained from Simulations 1C to Simulation 4, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-S1C)}$  for  $R_a$ , (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-S1C)}$  for  $R_a$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-S1C)}$  for  $R_a$
- Figure 4.12 (a)  $R_q$  obtained from Simulation 1C to Simulation 4, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-S1C)}$  for  $R_q$ , (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-S1C)}$  for  $R_q$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-S1C)}$  for  $R_q$  155
- Figure 4.13 (a)  $R_t$  obtained from Simulation 2 to Simulation 4 and Experiment 2, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-e)}$  for  $R_t$  (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-e)}$  for  $R_t$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-e)}$  for  $R_t$
- Figure 4.14 (a)  $R_a$  obtained from Simulation 2 to Simulation 4 and Experiment 2, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-e)}$  for  $R_a$  (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-e)}$  for  $R_a$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-e)}$  for  $R_a$  164

xiv

- Figure 4.15 (a)  $R_q$  obtained from Simulation 2 to Simulation 4 and Experiment 2, (b) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S2-e)}$  for  $R_q$  (c) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S3-e)}$  for  $R_q$  and (d) histogram of difference  $\Delta R_{(S4-e)}$  for  $R_q$  165
- Figure 4.16 Prediction intervals for (a)  $R_t$ , (b)  $R_a$  and (c)  $R_q$  169

## LIST OF ALGORITHMS

Algorithm 3.1	The algorithm to obtain image $V_b$	73
Algorithm 3.2	The algorithm to accept image $V_b$	75

#### LIST OF SYMBOLS

- 5X the magnification of the lens
- \* Convolution operator
- $\alpha$  Angle between left straight flank with vertical line (Figure 3.17)
- $\kappa_r$  Major cutting edge angle
- $\kappa_r'$  Side cutting edge angle
- $\lambda$  Inclination angle
- $\gamma$  Rake angle
- $\epsilon_r$  Included angle
- $\Omega$  Rotation angle to locate nose profile at appropriate side cutting edge angle
- $\phi$  Angle between *OL* and vertical line (Figure 3.21)
- $\theta_e$  Angle measured counterclockwise between the vertical line and the line connecting the point *O* to each nose profile point *P<sub>e</sub>* (Figure 3.19)
- $\theta_J$  Start angle of rounded nose of edge profile
- $\theta_K$  End angle of rounded nose of edge profile
- $\theta_L$  Start angle of tool-workpiece interface
- $\theta_l$  Angle between left straight flank and the horizontal line (Figure 3.19)
- $\theta_M$  End angle of tool-workpiece interface
- $\theta_r$  Angle between right straight flank and the horizontal line (Figure 3.19)
- $\psi_1$  Possibility of the data not come from a normally distributed population
- $\psi_2$  Possibility of the data  $R_{s(n_z+1)}$  will fall within the range

#### $\Delta T$ Sampling interval

- *a* Major semi-axis of elliptical arc
- $a_p$  Predefined value for *DFP*
- *b* Minor semi-axis of elliptical arc
- $c_t$  Constant to provide 50% transmission characteristic at the cut-off wavelength
- *D* Diameter of workpiece
- $d_a$  Difference between the *x*-coordinate ( $x_N$ ) of point *N* and *x*-coordinate ( $x_E$ ) of point *E* (Figure 2.1)
- $d_b$  Horizontal distance between the peak and adjacent valley of the arc of the surface profile at the cutting portion produced by the rounded nose (Figure 2.1)
- $d_e$  Difference between y-coordinate  $(y_e)$  of the nose profile and y-coordinate  $(y_l)$  of the last detected pixel at the probable edge point in a column
- *DFP* Difference between the *y*-coordinates of the first pixel at the probable edge point that detected from bottom up for two columns in image  $V_b$
- $D_n$  Lilliefors' test statistic
- *d<sub>s</sub>* Tool cutting path in the circumferential direction
- e Euler number
- E Intersection point of the minor cutting edge and rounded nose as (Figure 2.1)
- $e_u$  Threshold value to select the probable edge point in the tool image
- f Feed rate
- $g_1$  Gradients of left straight flank of a tool
- $g_2$  Gradients of right straight flank of a tool
- h(k) Displacement-frequency discrete signal

- h(p) Displacement-time discrete signal
- h(q) Displacement-frequency continuous signal
- h(t) Displacement-time continuous signal
- *i* Imaginary number
- *j* Row in image
- *L* Start point of tool-workpiece interface
- *l<sub>e</sub>* Surface roughness evaluation length
- $l_m$  Total row in image
- $l_n$  Total column in image
- $l_r$  Length of the tool cutting path in one revolution
- *l*<sub>s</sub> Surface roughness sampling length
- $l_w$  Length of machined part of a workpiece
- *M* End point of tool-workpiece interface
- $\overline{m_c}$  Moments along the pixels at the probable edge points in image  $V_b$ , c is 1, 2 or 3
- *N* Lowest point of the nose profile (Figure 2.1)
- $n_d$  Number of independent predicted data
- $n_p$  Point of workpiece
- $n_r$  Number of nose profile points  $P_e$  restricted in the rounded nose
- $n_s$  Number of selected points at the straight flanks
- $n_x$  Number of the pixels at the probable edge points in each column
- $n_z$  Sample size

- $p_1$  Ratio  $d_e$  to  $n_x$
- $P_d$  Rotated nose profile
- $P_{df}$  Nose profile contains the nose profile micro-deviation and nose wear
- $P_{dn}$  Rotated nose profile from new tool nose
- $P_{dw}$  Rotated nose profile from worn tool nose
- $P_e$  Nose profile
- $P_m$  Mean line workpiece profile
- *P<sub>r</sub>* Roughness workpiece profile
- $P_u$  Unmodified workpiece profile
- r Nose radius
- $R_a$  Arithmetic average roughness
- *r*<sub>e</sub> Radial distance
- *R<sub>max</sub>* Highest peak roughness
- *R<sub>min</sub>* Lowest valley roughness
- $r_n$  Nominal nose radius
- *r*<sub>opt</sub> Optimum nose radius
- $r_p$  Predefined nose radius
- $R_q$  Root-mean-square roughness
- $R_s$  Average Surface roughness data of a workpiece obtained from simulation
- $R_t$  Maximum peak-to-valley roughness
- $R_w$  Surface roughness data in each workpiece obtained from simulation
- $R_z$  Ten-point roughness

- *s*<sub>1</sub> First Sobel operator
- *s*<sub>2</sub> Second Sobel operator
- $s_k$  Sampling frequency
- $s_R$  Standard deviation of the average surface roughness values of different workpiece
- $s_w$  Standard deviation of the surface roughness values of different points at workpiece
- t Time
- T Time period
- *t.v* Translation value

 $t_{0.975,n_z-1}$  97.5% quantile of a Student's *t*-distribution with  $n_z$ -1 degrees of freedom

- *u* Spindle speed
- $U_m$  Image that having pixels with value represent the gradient of gray level in the *x*- and *y*-directions of the corresponding pixel in image  $V_{gs}$
- $U_x$  Image that having pixels with value represent the gradient of gray level in the *x*-direction of the corresponding pixel in image  $V_{gs}$
- $U_y$  Image that having pixels with value represent the gradient of gray level in the y-direction of the corresponding pixel in image  $V_{gs}$
- $V_b$  Image consists of nose edge band
- $v_c(k)$  Clean velocity-frequency discrete signal
- $v_c(p)$  Clean velocity-time discrete signal
- $v_e(k)$  Noise velocity-frequency discrete signal
- $v_e(p)$  Noise velocity-time discrete signal

- $V_{gs}$  Gray-scale image
- *v*(*p*) Velocity-time discrete signal
- v(q) Velocity-frequency continuous signal
- v(t) Velocity-time continuous signal
- $v_{y}(k)$  Noisy velocity-frequency discrete signal
- $v_y(p)$  Noisy velocity-time discrete signal
- *w* Weighing function
- $W_n$  Cutting edge normal plane
- $W_r$  Main reference plane
- $W_s$  Tool cutting edge plane
- $x_d$  x-coordinate of a point on the rotated nose profile  $P_d$
- $x_e$  x-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_e$
- $x_i$  Number of a column in an image
- x' Distance from the center (maximum) of the weighting function
- $y_d$  y-coordinate of a point on the rotated nose profile  $P_d$
- $y_{df}$  y-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_{df}$
- $y_{dw}$  y-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_{dw}$
- $y_{dn}$  y-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_{dn}$
- $y_e$  y-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_e$
- $y_J$  Approximate y-coordinate of the point J
- $y_i$  Number of a row in an image

- $y_K$  Approximate *y*-coordinate of the point *K*
- *y<sub>min</sub>* Minimum *y* value
- $y_N$  Maximum y-coordinate of a point on the nose profile  $P_e$