

# **DEVELOPMENT OF DROUGHT RISK MAP OF ZAYANDEHRUD DAM CATCHMENT USING WATER RESOURCE APPROACH PROCESS**

**YOUSEF MORADI SHAHGHARYEH**

**UNIVERSITI SAINS MALAYSIA**

**2016**

**DEVELOPMENT OF DROUGHT RISK MAP OF ZAYANDEHRUD DAM  
CATCHMENT USING WATER RESOURCE APPROACH PROCESS**

by

**YOUSEF MORADI SHAHGARYEH**

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the  
degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**April 2016**

## **STATEMENT**

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the Universiti Sains Malaysia. It is the result of my own work and contains not anything which is the outcome of work done in association except where precisely specified in the text.

Yousef Moradi Shahgharyeh

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is dedicated to the soul of my late Mother and my Father for their endless inspiration and moral support during all my life, to my wife for patience and stands with the long my absence, to our children in who's their innocent look.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

It is a pleasure to thank those people who assisted me to fulfil this thesis.

Firstly, I am very appreciative to my supervisor; Professor Ir. Dr Md Azlin Bin Md. Said and CO-Supervisor Professor Dr Ismail Bin Abustan, for their guidance in direction and technique throughout my PhD and also particular thanks are due for their valuable comments on the first draft of the dissertation.

Especially thanks to Professor Dr S. Eslamian field supervisor and Associated Professor M. Nasri for their endless energy during site and field investigation and also their excellence and immense discussion.

Thank you to my colleagues in the department of disaster management governor office of Esfahan province, with whom I was able to discuss and share ideas. I am also indebted to many others in the Esfahan Regional Water Company (ERWC), particularly to Mr Asadi, Department water resource investigation for their support in building and installation of field equipment.

Finally, I would like to thank H. Nakhkub, for his support, his essential work in collection of survey and control field data.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement.....	ii
Table of Contents .....	iii
List of Tables .....	viii
List of Figures .....	xi
List of Abbreviations .....	xvii
Abstrak .....	xix
Abstract .....	xxi

### CHAPTER 1 -INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background.....	1
1.2	Water problems as a major challenge.....	2
1.3	Hazard, vulnerability and risk analysis.....	7
1.4	The Objectives.....	7
1.5	Thesis structure.....	8

### CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Drought.....	10
2.2	Drought Classification concepts.....	11
	2.2.1 Drought descriptions .....	11
	2.2.2 Drought types classification .....	11
	2.2.3 Indicators in Drought.....	15
	2.2.4 Drought indices .....	16

2.3	Rainfall Variability Index (RVI) .....	21
2.4	Drought management and risk assessment.....	22
2.4.1	Drought hazard .....	25
2.4.1.1	Severity and frequency .....	25
2.4.1.2	Climate change and droughts .....	25
2.4.1.3	Drought and ENSO .....	27
2.4.2	Drought vulnerability .....	30
2.4.2.1	Causal and impact assessment.....	30
2.4.2.2	Impact assessment .....	30
2.4.2.3	Temporal Trends .....	31
2.5	Effect of Geology and Geomorphology on balance of water resources ...	31
2.6	Mutual impact of drought and land degradation .....	33
2.6.1	Land Degradation .....	35
2.6.2	Drought and Groundwater - The vulnerability of aquifers.....	42
2.6.3	Land Use and Land Cover (LU/LC) Accelerate Drought .....	45
2.7	Impact of Drought on the Streamflows, Wetlands, and Reservoirs .....	47
2.7.1	Drought influence the Streamflows and Aquatic Systems .....	48
2.8	Causal Relationships .....	54
2.9	Hierarchical approach in water Allocation.....	56
2.10	Water Resources Approach Process model (WRAP model).....	62

## CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

3.1	Introduction .....	64
3.2	Methodology.....	64
3.3	Materials and Data Collection .....	67

3.3.1	Collection and Compilation of Data.....	68
3.3.2	Sufficiency of Data.....	69
3.3.3	Study Area and data base .....	71
3.3.4	Parameters determined using GIS .....	73
3.3.4.1	Remote Sensing .....	73
3.3.4.2	Data pre-processing.....	74
3.4	Description of the Zayandehrud Dam Catchment (ZDC) .....	83
3.5	Landform as basic data .....	85
3.5.1	Topography .....	85
3.5.2	Morphometric Parameters .....	87
3.5.3	Slope.....	92
3.6	Geological information of ZDC .....	96
3.6.1	Seismotectonic and water resources.....	102
3.7	Landform of ZDC.....	104
3.8	Climatology .....	108
3.8.1	Precipitation.....	108
3.8.2	Evapotranspiration.....	118
3.9	Aridity Index (AI).....	121
3.10	Hydrology system of ZDC .....	125
3.10.1	Runoff data in ZDC.....	125
3.10.2	Regional distribution of snowmelt-dominated runoff.....	127
3.10.3	Ground water .....	130
3.10.4	Sediment sources and sediment deliver.....	132
3.11	Standardised Precipitation Index .....	134
3.11.1	Introduction to EasyFit 5.5.....	139
3.11.2	Goodness of Fit Tests .....	142
3.12	Drought indices of standardised streamflow index .....	143



3.12.1	Model calibration .....	145
3.13	Time Series Homogenisation .....	146
3.14	Statistical tests for trend analysis.....	150
3.14.1	Mann-Kendall test .....	150
3.14.2	Sen’s estimator of slope.....	153
3.14.3	Pre-Whitening and its effect .....	154
3.15	Drought Risk Assessment.....	157
3.15.1	Drought Vulnerability Assessment.....	157
3.15.2	Drought Hazard Assessment .....	164
3.15.3	Drought Risk Map .....	165
3.16	Water Resources Approach Process .....	166
3.17	Summary .....	168

#### CHAPTER 4 –RESULT AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Analyse the drought trend and its impact the ZDC.....	169
4.1.1	Spatial patterns of precipitation indices .....	169
4.1.2	Homogeneity test.....	172
4.1.3	Trend Analysis and Serial correlation effect on Drought.....	186
4.1.4	Trends in the Rainfall .....	192
4.1.5	Seasonal and Annual rainfall Pattern trends.....	200
4.2	SPI Characteristics.....	202
4.2.1	Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) Distribution over ZDC .....	203
4.2.2	Temporal variation of drought .....	206
4.2.3	SPI spatial interpolation .....	213
4.3	Streamflow Analysis .....	218
4.3.1	Dam Inflow Characteristics .....	225
4.4	Analysis of SPI and SSFI relations.....	229

4.5	Drought Impacts .....	236
4.5.1	SPI Relationship with Groundwater .....	236
4.5.2	The SPI and the Groundwater Table .....	236
4.5.3	Soil Erosion Risk Assessment in ZDC.....	239
4.6	Drought Risk Map defining steps .....	242
4.6.1	Drought Hazard Maps .....	242
4.6.2	Drought Vulnerability Map.....	246
4.6.3	Drought Risk Map.....	248

## CHAPTER 5 –CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMENDATIONS

5.1	Summary.....	250
5.2	Conclusions of the study .....	252
5.2.1	To analyse the drought trend and impact of ZDC.....	253
5.2.2	To develop drought hazard and drought vulnerability maps.....	254
5.2.3	To develop Susceptibility and Risk Map of ZDC .....	256
5.3	Recommendations for future works .....	257
	REFERENCES.....	258

## APPENDICES

Appendix A

Appendix B

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

## LIST OF TABLES

Page		
Table 2.1	Drought characteristics and parameters	14
Table 2.2	The characteristics of some present and past drought indices	19
Table 2.3	Top 10 groundwater-abstracting countries as of 2010	44
Table 2.4	Example applications of system dynamics as a convenient	57
Table 2.5	Example applications of system dynamics in integrated	58
Table 3.1	List of Data Sources	70
Table 3.2	Characteristics of spatial (Spectral Resolutions & Spatial Resolutions)	74
Table 3.3a	Characteristics and physiographic catchment parameters	90
Table 3.3b	Characteristics and physiographic catchment parameters	91
Table 3.3c	Characteristics and physiographic catchment parameters	91
Table 3.4	Slope Classification of catchment and subcatchment	93
Table 3.5	Area and present of Eras of Geological map	101
Table 3.6	Landform Area of ZDC	106
Table 3.7	Data of Landforms Area of West Region	107
Table 3.8	Features of climatological gauge stations	109
Table 3.9	Annual average Precipitation (mm) of the ZDC	111
Table 3.10	Weighted average precipitation in Sub-Catchments	113
Table 3.11	Summarised Climatic factors over the ZDC	116
Table 3-12	Monthly evapotranspiration in ZDC	120
Table 3.13	climate classification	122

Table 3.14	climate classification	123
Table 3.15	Characteristics of Hydrometry stations over ZDC	125
Table 3.16	Monthlyl average dam inflow (m <sup>3</sup> /sec.)	126
Table 3.17	Annual average dam inflow (m <sup>3</sup> /sec.) at gauge sites of ZDC	126
Table 3.18	The specifications of Zayandehrud Dam	126
Table 3.19	Long Term Snow Height (cm) at Koohrang Station	129
Table 3.20	Summarised data related to Damaneh Aquifer	131
Table 3.21	Sediment Discharge during flooding at	133
Table 3.22	SPI classification (McKee et al., 1993)	136
Table 3.23	Parameter Coefficients SPI values	138
Table 3.24a	Characteristics of selected statistical methods of homogeneity test	148
Table 3-24b	Characteristics of selected statistical methods of homogeneity test	149
Table 3.25	Basic concepts of trend analyse	151
Table 3.26	Area statistics weighting and normalised scoring of Geo. factors	161
Table 3.27	Area statistics weighting and normalised scoring of Landform Fac.	162
Table 3.28	Area statistics weighting and normalised scoring of Slops factors	162
Table 3.29	Area statistics weighting and normalised scoring of Erosion fac.	162
Table 3.30	Area statistics, weighting and normalised scoring of Gro.wat. fac.	163
Table 3.31	Area statistics, weighting and normalised weighted given to DVI	163
Table 3.29	Area statistics, weighting, and normalised weighted given to DHI	165
Table 4.1a	Results of the absolute homogeneity tests and classification of the rainfall stations	173

Table 4.1b	Results of the absolute homogeneity tests and classification of the rainfall stations	174
Table 4.1c	Results of the absolute homogeneity tests and classification of the rainfall stations	175
Table 4.2a	Homogeneity Test on Monthly and Annual Inflow to Zayandehrud Dam	179
Table 4-2b	Homogeneity Test on Monthly and Annual Inflow to Zayandehrud Dam	180
Table 4.3a	Homogeneity Test on Annual Inflow to ZD at Streamflow Gauges	182
Table 4.3b	Homogeneity Test on Annual Inflow to ZD at Streamflow Gauges	183
Table 4.4	Normality test and white noise tests, results of the analysis	189
Table 4.5	Result analysis of monthly dam inflow series Normality and white noise test	191
Table 4.6	Mann-Kendall Trend Test on Rainfall Station / Two-tailed test	193
Table 4.7	Variations of annual precipitation trends (%) in stations during 1971–2014	195
Table 4.8	Distribution Parameters of precipitation over ZDC	204
Table 4.9	SPI P-Value for Gamma and GEV distributions	204
Table 4.10	Detail analysis of Goodness of Fitting in ZDC (Rejected)	205
Table 4.11	Detail analysis of Goodness of Fitting in ZDC (Accepted)	205
Table 4.12a	Drought Classification at rain stations of ZDC	209
Table 4.12b	Drought Classification at rain stations of ZDC	209
Table 4.13	Severely and Extremely dry years at stations of ZDC	210

Table 4.14	Descriptive statistics of Annual River discharge series at the ZDC stations (1971-2014), Tunnel II (1985-2014)	219
Table 4.15	Values of statistics parameters based on the Mann-Kendall's and Sen's trend test for Annual River discharge series	220
Table 4.16	Streamflow Trend variations at river gauges of ZDC (m <sup>3</sup> /sec.)	220
Table 4.17	Monthly streamflow variations of total Dam inflow (1985-2014)	228
Table 4.18	Relationships between SPI and SSFI for several time scales	231
Table 4.19	Soil Degradation in ZDC (km <sup>2</sup> )	239
Table 4.19	Percentage of area under different drought risk categories in ZDC	239
Table 4.20	Percentage of area under different drought risk categories in ZDC	248

## LIST OF FIGURES

	Page	
Figure 1.1	Location of Case Study, Gavkhoni Basin and Iran	5
Figure 2.1	The sequence for the occurrence of different drought types	13
Figure 2.2	Characteristics of local drought events	15
Figure 2.3	Components of Drought Risk Assessment (DRA)	24
Figure 2.4	Examples of Important Environmental Issues in Africa	41
Figure 2.5	Annual Cost of Environmental degradation (UNEP, 2008)	41
Figure 2.6	Intensity of Ground abstraction by the year 2000(in mm/year)	43
Figure 2.7	Groundwater abstraction trends in Selected.	44
Figure 2.8	Groundwater abstraction trends in Iran (in km <sup>3</sup> per year).	45
Figure 2.9	Damaneh Marshland in 2002 along its desertification	51
Figure 2.10	Zayandehrud socio-economic subsystem	59
Figure 2.11	Interaction of viability loops in the real world	60
Figure 2.12	The process of learning for sustainable development	61
Figure 2.13	CLD of agricultural sub-system	61
Figure 3.1	Main flowchart of research	66
Figure 3.2	Flowchart and Methodology GIS used relevant to originate data	72
Figure 3.3	Digital Elevation Model of the study area ZDC	78
Figure 3.4	Flow direction model of ZDC	79
Figure 3.5	FCC of Landsat-TM RG, 164/037-17/09/1990	80
Figure 3.6	FCC of Landsat-TM (1999) Image	81

Figure 3.7	Landsat-TM Image (2002)	82
Figure 3.8	Sub-Catchment of Zayandehrud Dam Catchment	84
Figure 3.9	Hypsometric diagram of Zayandehrud Dam Catchment	86
Figure 3.10	Hypsometric diagram of Zayandehrud Dam Catchment	86
Figure 3.11	Height classification area of ZDC	87
Figure 3.12	Area of Sub-Catchments in ZDC	88
Figure 3.13	Slope classes (%) and catchment area in km <sup>2</sup>	93
Figure 3.14	These diagrams exhibited slope percentage of subcatchment	94
Figure 3.15	Slope map of ZDC	95
Figure 3.16	Tectonic and Seismotectonic	97
Figure 3.17	Geological map of ZDC	98
Figure 3.18	The geological stratigraphy and formation Column	99
Figure 3.19	The outcrop area of Geological Stratigraphy and formations	100
Figure 3.20	Stratification of historical geology Era (%)	101
Figure 3.21	Seismotectonic map of ZDC	104
Figure 3.22	Landform classification in the ZDC	105
Figure 3.23	Landform Area of Z D C	107
Figure 3.24	The location of Rain gauge and Hydrometry Sites in the ZDC	110
Figure 3.25	The bar chart of yearly precipitation over the ZDC	112
Figure 3.26	Power correlation between height and precipitation in ZDC	114
Figure 3.27	Precipitation gradient configured rely on the Altitude gradient	114



Figure 3.28	Iso- Hyetograph and gradient precipitation over the ZDC	115
Figure 3.29	The amount of average seasonal precipitation over ZDC	115
Figure 3.30	Annual and moving average precipitation in Koohrang station	117
Figure 3.31	Temperature variations which represent condition of ZDC	117
Figure 3.32	Annual Evapotranspiration EP over ZDC	121
Figure 3.33	Rainfall Variability index at Koohrang station (1970-2014)	122
Figure 3.34	Aridity Classification of ZDC (1970-2014)	124
Figure 3.35	River and Hydrometric Stations of ZDC	127
Figure 3.36	Monthly snow height at Koohrang Sub-catchment	129
Figure 3.37	Average groundwater usage (1978-2012) Source: ESRW	130
Figure 3.38	Standardised Precipitation Index (SPI) process	135
Figure 3.39	Critical Path Method (CPM)	141
Figure 3.40	Flowchart of analysing trend test of precipitation and streamflows	156
Figure 3.41	Conceptual model of Vulnerability (Metzger, 2004)	158
Figure 3.42	Grid Cell Map of ZDC (Metzger, 2004)	160
Figure 3.43	Water Resources Approach Process Model (WRAP Model)	167
Figure 4.1	The spatial pattern of the seasonal precipitation over ZDC	170
Figure 4.2	Seasonal precipitation distribution at stations of ZDC	171
Figure 4.3	Homogeneity Tests of Precipitation at Stations of ZDC	176
Figure 4.4	Classification of Homogeneity and Heterogeneity rainfall stations	177
Figure 4.5	Homogeneity test on monthly and annual inflow to ZD	181

Figure 4.6	Classification of Homogeneity and Heterogeneity discharge	184
Figure 4.7	Homogeneity test on annual streamflow at stations of ZDC	185
Figure 4.8	Normality test and white noise test at stations of ZDC	188
Figure 4.9	Normality test and white noise test, result of the analysis of monthly dam inflow series	190
Figure 4.10	Mann-Kendall trend test on rainfall station over the ZDC	194
Figure 4.11	Spatial pattern of the statistics of the Sen's slope trend test for the annual rainfall of ZDC	196
Figure 4.12	Absolute magnitude variations of precipitation trends (Decrease, Increase), 1971–2014	197
Figure 4.13	Variations of annual precipitation trends (%)	197
Figure 4.14	Averaged time series of annual precipitation anomalies (Bars) and are moving averages (solid curves)	199
Figure 4.15	Standardised precipitation and LOWESS trend curves at ZDC spring, winter, autumn, summer, and annual	201
Figure 4.16	P-Value for annual Precipitation at Stations over ZDC	202
Figure 4.17	Comparison of SPI variation at selected station over ZDC	207
Figure 4.18	Comparison of two streamflow gauges in same period that fitted to SPI variations and drought periods	207
Figure 4.19	Anomaly of annual streamflows at stations of ZDC	208
Figure 4.20	Variation of different Event at ZDC Stations	211
Figure 4.21	Percentages of Drought Event in ZDC (1971-2014)	211
Figure 4.22	Comparison of SPI and SSFI Indices in different types of drought	212
Figure 4.23	Average long term SPI over the ZDC	212

Figure 4.24	Iso-Frequency drought map years (1971-2014)	214
Figure 4.25	Iso-Frequency drought map years (1971-2014)	215
Figure 4.26	Magnitude drought over ZDC through 1971-2014	215
Figure 4.27	Spatial pattern of number of different types of Drought over ZDC	216
Figure 4.28	Variation Distribution of drought in different return periods	217
Figure 4.29	Mann-Kendall trend at 9 streamflow stations and average annual dam inflow	221
Figure 4.30	Spatial pattern of the statistics of the trend tests for the annual inflow to Zayandehrud Dam series	222
Figure 4.31	Seasonal Mann-Kendall trend test at gauges of main streamflow to ZD	224
Figure 4.32	Absolute magnitude of streamflow variation coefficient through period of 1971-2014	224
Figure 4.33	Standardised monthly streamflow LOWESS Curve toward Dam	226
Figure 4.34	Monthly Streamflow Trends based on the mass balance measuring from Reservoir (1985-2014)	227
Figure 4.35	Monthly average Dam inflow	228
Figure 4.36	Comparison of SPI and SSFI in ZDC	231
Figure 4.37	Fitted curves of SPI Value and SSFI Value (Main Catchment)	231
Figure 4.38	Fitted curves of SPI Value and SSFI Value (Main Catchment)	232
Figure 4.39	Correlation between SPI Value and SSFI Value over ZDC (1971-2014)	232
Figure 4.40	Correlation between SPI Value and SSFI Value over ZDC (1971-2014)	233

Figure 4.41	Fitted curves of SPI Value and SSFI Value in Pelasjan Subcatchment (1971-2014)	233
Figure 4.42	Correlation of SPI Value and SSFI Value in Pelasjan Subcatchment (1971-2014)	234
Figure 4.43	Correlation of SPI Value and SSFI Value in Pelasjan Subcatchment (1971-2014)	234
Figure 4.44	Correlation of SPI Value and SSFI Value in Dimeh Subcatchment and Zayandehrud River (1971-2014)	235
Figure 4.45	Fitted curves of SPI Value and SSFI Value in Dimeh Subcatchment and Zayandehrud River (1971-2014)	235
Figure 4.46	Comparison SPI Value and Groundwater Variation	237
Figure 4.47	Iso-Curve of Groundwater Table in metre	237
Figure 4.48	Subsidence and piezometric drawdowns of Damaneh aquifer	238
Figure 4.49	Sketch of Damaneh Subsidence area	238
Figure 4.50	Soil erosion risk map of ZDC	241
Figure 4.51	Spatial extent of Moderate drought	242
Figure 4.52	Spatial extent of severe drought	243
Figure 4.53	Spatial extent of extremely drought	243
Figure 4.54	District level drought hazard Map	245
Figure 4.55	District level drought vulnerability Map	247
Figure 4.56	District level Drought Risk Map	249

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR	Autoregressive
BMI	Bhalme and Mooley Index
CMI	Crop Moisture Index
CN	Curve Number
D	Drought Duration
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DM	Drought Magnitude
DRA	Drought Risk Assessment
DTMs	Digital Terrain Models
EARC	Esfahan Agricultural Research Centre
ENSO	El Nino and Southern Oscillation
EVM	Extreme Value Model
GAHP	Gamma Highest Probability
GIS	Geographical Information System
GS	Ghalehshahrokh Station
GSI	Geological survey of Iran
IA	Integrated Assessment
IAERI	Iranian Agricultural Engineering Research Institute
IAMs	Integrated Assessment Models
IBWT	Interbasin Water Transfer
ICM	Integrated catchment management
IDNDR	International Decade for Natural Disaster Reduction
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change(
IWMI	International Water Management Institute

IWRM	Integrated Water Resource Management
LCk	L-Coefficient of Kurtosis
LC-LU	Land Cover and Land Use
LCs L	L-Coefficient of Skewness
LCv	L-Coefficient of variation
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LMRDs	L-Moment Ratio Diagrams
LR	Langan River
MDP	Maximum Daily Precipitation
MLE	Maximum Likelihood Estimates
MARRA	Multivariate Regional Regression Analysis
MRRMs	Multivariate Regional Regression Models
MSE	Mean Squared Error
NCDC	National Climatic Data Centre
NDMC	National Drought Mitigation Centre
NRI	National rainfall index
PA	Precipitation anomaly
PAC	Precipitation anomaly classification
PDSI	Palmer Drought Severity Index
PN	Percentage of normal
PNP	Percent of Normal Precipitation
PR	Pelasjan River
RDI	Reclamation drought index
RFFA	Regional Flood Frequency Analysis
RS	Remote Sensing
RVI	Rainfall Variability Index
S	Severity

SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar
SCI	Statistical Centre of Iran
SCS	Soil Conservation Systems
SNHT	Standard Normal Homogeneity Test
SPEI	Standardised Precipitation Evapotranspiration Index
SPI	Standardised Precipitation Index
SR	Samandgan River
SS	Suspended Solids
SSFI	Standardised Streamflow Index
SSI	Standardised Soil Moisture Index
SWSI	Surface water supply index
SWSI	Surface Water Supply Index
TM	Thematic Mapper
UNEP	United Nations Environment Programme
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
WDS	Water-Distribution System
WRAP	Water Resources Approach Process
ZD	Zayandehrud Dam
ZDC	Zayandehrud Dam Catchment
ZR	Zayandehrud River

**PEMBANGUNAN MAP KEMARAU RISIKO ZAYANDEH RUD DAM  
TADAHAN AIR MENGGUNAKAN SUMBER PENDEKATAN PROSES**

**ABSTRAK**

Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk menambahbaik metodologi untuk menilai tren kemarau dan memahami kaedah yang sesuai, bergantung kepada keadaan sebenar kawasan tadahan untuk mengurangkan impak kemarau. Sebanyak 15 stesen meterologi dan 9 tolok aliran air di sekitar Tadahan Empangan Zayandehrud (ZDC), di tengah Iran telah pilih untuk dianalisa. Rangka kerja yang dipilih untuk kajian ini ialah model Proses Pendekatan Sumber Air (WRAP), satu teknik hybrid, data geologi dan morfometri di gunakan untuk lebih memahami impak dan tren kekurangan air. Penilaian index kemarau meteorologi sedia ada, iaitu Indeks Hujan Piawai (SPI), Indeks Skor Z (ZSI) dan Desil telah gunakan untuk menilai kesesuaian penentuan keadaan kemarau. Penggunaan Indeks Hujan Piawai (SPI) didapati sesuai untuk menilai kemarau meteorologi di Iran. SPI juga sesuai untuk mengenalpasti titik permulaan dan tamat sejarah kemarau. Untuk menganalisa aliran, Indeks Aliran Piawai di gunakan. Beberapa plot serak dan rajah lengkung SPI dan SSFI menunjukkan keputusan yang berkait rapat. Penilaian hujan, ujian, Mann–Kendall (MK), cerun Sene dan ujian LOWESS digunakan untuk menganggar signifikan tren temporal kedua-dua hujan dan aliran. Satu proses parametrik separa dan parametrik berkaitan dengan kaedah anggaran bergerak berintegrasi autoregresi pecahan dan ujian penskalaan MK digunakan untuk menilai signifikan tren temporal. Keputusan kajin untuk majoriti aliran siri masa berbanding hujan dalam masa kemarau menunjukkan tren selari, menunjukkan majoriti air larian pada masa susulan yang pendek dan kurang aliran penyusupan ke hilir. Kebanyakan stesen hujan dan tolok aliran menunjukkan tren meningkat. Perubahan yang tinggi berlaku di sebelah barat