

**LOW DIMENSIONAL CARBON BASED MATERIALS FOR LOW
PRESSURE MEASUREMENT APPLICATION**

by

MUHAMMAD ANIQ SHAZNI BIN MOHAMMAD HANIFF

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

NOVEMBER 2015

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, all praise and thanks are only for Allah, the One who, by His blessing and favor in all circumstances, including giving me the ability to study and write this thesis.

I would like to express my deepest and sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Professor Dr. Ishak Abdul Azid and Professor Dr. Mohd. Zulkifly Abdullah for their tremendous guidance and support throughout my postgraduate candidature period. Their breadths of knowledge, enthusiasm and dedication have been of great value for me. I would also like to express my appreciation to my co-supervisor, Dr. Lee Hing Wah from Nanoelectronics Lab, MIMOS Berhad for his guidance and useful ideas in handling and exploring the functional nanomaterials and the fabrication processes. I am also thankful to Dr. Daniel Bien Chia Sheng, manager for Nanoelectronics Lab, MIMOS Berhad, for sharing his expertise and supports especially in MEMS/NEMS fabrication process.

I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to my colleagues, Dr. Khairul Anuar Wahid, Ir. Amirul Abd Rashid, Ms. Lee Mai Woon, and Ms. Norlida Ramli for their grateful discussions, useful suggestions, and assistance on my research progress. Thanks are also to all students and staffs of Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) and MIMOS Berhad, those who assisted me either directly or indirectly for this research.

I owe my loving thanks to my parents, wife, and family who always are on my side. Without their sacrifice, understanding and motivation, it would have been impossible for me to accomplish this thesis. Last but not least, my sincere thanks, compliments and regards to anyone who had helped and supported me in one way or another.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxviii
ABTRAK	xxx
ABSTRACT	xxxii
CHAPTER 1 – INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Background of piezoresistive pressure sensors	1
1.1 Classification of pressure sensors	2
1.2 Need for low dimensional materials as functional sensing components	4
1.3 Need for flexible substrate as functional diaphragm structure	6
1.4 Problem statement	7
1.5 Research objectives	9
1.6 Thesis outline	10
CHAPTER 2 – LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.0 Overview	11
2.1 Exploration of functional piezoresistive materials for pressure sensor: from bulk to nanoscale technology	11
2.1.1 Basic structure of piezoresistive pressure sensors	12
2.1.2 Recent progress of piezoresistive pressure sensors	13
2.1.3 Piezoresistive effect	23

2.2	Synthesis of low dimensional materials as functional piezoresistive components	30
2.2.1	0-D nanomaterials	31
2.2.2	1-D nanomaterials	33
2.2.3	2-D nanomaterials	35
2.3	Development of functional diaphragm for piezoresistive pressure sensor	37
2.3.1	Material selection of diaphragm: from silicon to polymer technology	38
2.3.2	Design consideration of diaphragm	40
2.3.3	Modeling and finite element analysis (FEA) of diaphragm structure	42
2.3.4	Recent progress on diaphragm structure for piezoresistive pressure sensor	43
2.4	Fabrication of pressure sensors: from rigid to flexible substrates	45
2.5	Findings from literature review	47
2.6	Summary	49
CHAPTER 3 – METHODOLOGY		50
3.0	Overview	50
3.1	Overall methodology of sensor design concept	50
3.2	Synthesis of low dimensional carbon based materials	52
3.2.1	Synthesis of 0-D carbon-capped nanoparticles by PECVD	52
3.2.2	Synthesis of 1-D vertically aligned MWCNT by PECVD	55
3.2.3	Synthesis of 1-D horizontally networked MWCNT by PECVD	56
3.2.4	Synthesis of 2-D graphene ultra-thin films by HFTCVD	57
3.3	Characterization techniques	59

3.3.1	Structural analysis	59
3.3.2	Electrical properties	63
3.4	Finite element analysis (FEA) with CoventorWare®	63
3.4.1	Design considerations	64
3.4.2	Design and modeling	65
3.4.3	Mesh specification and generation	66
3.4.4	Mechanical simulation of diaphragm structure	68
3.4.5	Electrical simulation of interdigitated electrodes (IDE) array	68
3.5	Flexible pressure sensor design	69
3.5.1	Primary design: flexible pressure sensor with reinforced diaphragm structure	70
3.5.2	Secondary design: flexible pressure sensor with cross-beam diaphragm structure	71
3.6	Device fabrication process	72
3.6.1	Fabrication of interdigitated electrode (IDE) array and terminal electrode on flexible substrate through laminating method	73
3.6.2	Fabrication of flexible pressure sensor with reinforced diaphragm structure through chemical based transfer printing method (primary design)	75
3.6.3	Fabrication of flexible pressure sensor with cross-beam diaphragm structure through physical based transfer printing method (secondary design)	77
3.7	Electromechanical experimental setup of flexible pressure sensor	79
3.6.1	Characterization of piezoresistive components	79
3.6.2	Flexible pressure sensor experimental setup	80
3.8	Summary	81

CHAPTER 4 – STUDY OF LOW DIMENSIONAL CARBON BASED MATERIALS	82
4.0 Overview	82
4.1 Carbon-capped nanoparticles (0-D configuration)	82
4.1.1 Morphology of carbon-capped nanoparticles	83
4.1.2 Electrical properties of carbon-capped nanoparticles	92
4.2 Vertically aligned MWCNT (1-D configuration)	96
4.2.1 Catalyst formation	96
4.2.2 Morphology of nanotube growth	99
4.2.3 Electrical properties of vertically aligned MWCNT	110
4.3 Horizontally networked MWCNT (1-D configuration)	113
4.3.1 Catalyst formation	113
4.3.2 Morphology of nanotube growth	116
4.3.3 Electrical properties of horizontally networked MWCNT	124
4.4 Graphene ultra-thin films (2-D configuration)	127
4.4.1 Morphology of graphene ultra-thin films	127
4.4.2 Electrical properties of graphene ultra-thin films	133
4.5 Findings from results of study of low dimensional carbon based materials	134
4.6 Summary	135
CHAPTER 5 – FLEXIBLE PRESSURE SENSOR WITH LOW DIMENSIONAL CARBON BASED MATERIALS	137
5.0 Overview	137
5.1 Finite element analysis with CoventorWare®	137
5.1.1 Verification of simulation procedures	137
5.1.2 Reinforced diaphragm structure with interdigitated electrode (IDE) array for flexible pressure sensor	139

5.1.3	Design guideline	144
5.2	Characterization of the fabrication process	145
5.2.1	Laminating of IDE array and terminal electrode on flexible substrate	145
5.2.2	Transfer-printing method of low dimensional carbon based materials	146
5.3	Electromechanical characterization of fabricated flexible pressure sensor	152
5.3.1	Characterization and performance of carbon-capped nanoparticles based flexible pressure sensor (0-D configuration)	152
5.3.2	Characterization and performance of carbon nanotubes based flexible pressure sensor (1-D configuration)	162
5.3.3	Characterization and performance of graphene based flexible pressure sensor (2-D configuration)	173
5.4	Validation of the experimental results	181
5.4	Comparison of pressure sensing performance and gauge factor calculation	182
5.5	Summary	186
CHAPTER 6 – CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK		188
6.1	Conclusions	188
6.2	Recommendations for future work	189
REFERENCES		191
PUBLICATIONS LIST		213

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1	Summary of recent development of piezoresistive pressure sensors from previous published literatures	21
Table 2.2	Summary of the reported gauge factor of piezoresistive materials by previous published literatures	30
Table 2.3	Summary of types of functional diaphragm and substrate reported for piezoresistive pressure sensors by previous published literatures	40
Table 3.1	Experimental conditions for deposition of TiN, Co, Fe and Au thin film using a RF magnetron sputtering system	53
Table 3.2	Summary of nominal mesh specification and generation for the modeled structure considered in the FEA	67
Table 3.3	Geometrical parameters considered for reinforced diaphragm structure incorporated with IDE array considered in the mechanical simulation	68
Table 3.4	Material properties used for the solid model in CoventorWare® (Gaitas and Gianchandani, 2006; Giancoli, 2009; and Wu et al., 2009)	68
Table 3.5	Summary of the geometrical parameters of reinforced diaphragm structure incorporated with IDE array considered in the electrical simulation	79
Table 3.6	Final design specification of the flexible pressure sensor with reinforced diaphragm structure incorporated with IDE array	71
Table 3.7	Final design specification of the flexible pressure sensor with cross-beam structure	72
Table 4.1	Temperature-dependence of average particle size and average interparticle distance after plasma pretreatment in hydrogen	84

atmosphere for 10 minutes at initial film thickness of 4 nm. The radio frequency (RF) power is fixed at 200 W

Table 4.2	Average particle size and average interparticle distance of 4 nm metallic thin films after annealing at 700 °C in different atmospheres for 10 minutes	86
Table 4.3	Characteristics of surface morphologies of carbon-capped Co-Fe and Au-Fe NP as a function of initial film thickness. (<i>d</i> denotes initial film thickness)	90
Table 4.4	Comparison of electrical characterization of carbon- capped and noncarbon- capped Co-Fe and Au-Fe NP based on the van der Pauw method. (<i>d</i> , R_s , R_0 and MR denote initial film thickness, sheet resistance, initial resistance and magnetoresistance)	95
Table 4.5	Formation of the pre-treated Co-Fe catalyst NP after short 10 minutes plasma treatment in the presence of hydrogen gas at temperature of 700°C. (<i>d</i> denotes initial film thickness)	99
Table 4.6	Growth rate of vertically aligned MWCNT as a function of initial catalyst thickness at different gas pressure. The growth duration of the samples was fixed at 5 minutes	102
Table 4.7	Comparison between average particle size and outer nanotube diameter as a function of initial catalyst thickness for (a) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (b) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP	105
Table 4.8	Raman spectra of the vertically aligned MWCNT grown on different initial Co-Fe catalyst thickness at gas pressure of 500 mTorr. (<i>d</i> denotes initial film thickness)	109
Table 4.9	Characterization of Raman spectra of the MWCNT grown on 6 nm-thick Co-Fe catalyst NP at different gas pressure	110
Table 4.10	Electrical characterization of the resultant vertically aligned MWCNT from Hall-effect measurement based on van der Pauw method. (<i>P</i> , <i>d</i> , R_s , R_0 and MR denote gas pressure, initial	112

	film thickness, sheet resistance, initial resistance and magnetoresistance, respectively)	
Table 4.11	Characteristics of catalyst particle formation at different initial catalyst thickness. The initial Au-Fe thickness was fixed at 1:1 ratios (d denotes initial film thickness)	116
Table 4.12	Formation of horizontally networked MWCNT grown on Au-Fe (1:1) catalyst NP supported-TiN layer. (d denotes initial film thickness)	118
Table 4.13	Raman spectra of resultant nanotube growth at different initial Au-Fe (1:1) catalyst thickness	122
Table 4.14	Formation of as-grown horizontally networked MWCNT on initial 10 nm-thick Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst supported-TiN thin films at various $\text{NH}_3/\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas ratios	124
Table 4.15	Electrical characterization of horizontally networked MWCNT grown on initial 10 nm-thick Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP based on the van der Pauw method. (R_s , R_0 and MR denote sheet resistance, initial resistance and magnetoresistance)	126
Table 4.16	Raman spectra and AFM measurement of the as-grown graphene on Cu substrate and the as-transferred graphene on SiO_2/Si (100) substrate based on laser wavelength of 473 nm	132
Table 4.17	Sheet resistance of as-transferred graphene on SiO_2/Si (100) substrate as a function of Cu foils substrate temperature. The measurements were taken based on two electrodes with a gap of 1 mm	134
Table 5.1	Comparison of deflection results between MemMECH solver and analytical model by Timoshenko (1959) for the square diaphragm structure	138
Table 5.2	Comparison of stress results between MemMECH solver and analytical model by Timoshenko (1959)	138

Table 5.3	Comparison of current and resistance results between MemMECH and classical analytical model	139
Table 5.4	Experimental conditions and geometry of the resulting carbon-capped nanoparticle array measured by AFM for Au-Fe@C and Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array (d denotes to initial film thickness)	154
Table 5.5	Experimental conditions and the performance of fabricated flexible pressure sensors for Au-Fe@C and Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array with different morphologies (d denotes to initial film thickness, TCR denotes to temperature coefficient of resistance)	158
Table 5.6	Experimental conditions and the performance of fabricated carbon nanotube-based flexible pressure sensors with different morphologies	173
Table 5.7	Experimental conditions and the performance of fabricated graphene-based flexible pressure sensors with different morphologies	176
Table 5.8	Major pressure sensor parameters and sensitivity in the present study compared to those reported in published literatures	183
Table 5.9	Comparison of gauge factor of the piezoresistive components	186

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	The schematic diaphragm of pressure regimes and the respective applications (Je et al., 2013; Pang et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2011; Kaltenbrunner et al., 2013; Schwartz et al., 2013; Saito et al., 2011; and Munteanue et al., 2009)	3
Figure 1.2	Typical scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of low dimensional materials: (a-d) 0-D configuration (Pan et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2014; Pazos-Pérez et al., 2010; and Medforth et al., 2009), (e-h) 1-D configuration (Cao et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2012; Bao et al., 2011; and Li et al., 2013) and (i-l) 2-D configuration (Li et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2010; Gautam et al., 2005; and Mou et al., 2012)	5
Figure 2.1	Illustration of a typical diaphragm based micromachined piezoresistive pressure sensor with piezoresistors as sensing element	12
Figure 2.2	(a) The schematic of 1-D SiNW embedded with groove and rib structures on the circular diaphragm (released from the back side) and (b) the spot view of 1-D SiNW patterned along [110] direction after etching back top passivation layers (2.5 μm Si_3N_4 and 0.4 μm SiO_2). Inset I: the close-up view of the micro-groove; inset II: the cross-sectional view of the multilayer diaphragm and inset III: a TEM image for the cross-sectional of the nanowire (Zhang et al., 2014)	14
Figure 2.3	(a) AFM image of a SWCNT connection between two metal electrodes (Liu and Dai, 2002). (b) Schematic of the SWCNT based pressure sensor. The inset shows SEM images of a device consisting of an alumina membrane with electrically contacted SWCNT (Stampfer et al., 2006). (c) SEM images of SWCNT resistor network between two electrodes on the test structure (Cullinan and Culpepper, 2010). (d) Optical image of circularly arrangement electrodes with dielectrophoresis SWCNT	15

	integration. The inset shows the SEM image of a bridged electrode gap with a good SWCNT alignment (Burg et al. 2011). (e) Optical image of carbon fiber assembled between two electrodes (Park et al., 2006)	
Figure 2.4	(a) Fabricated piezoresistive pressure sensor with vertically aligned MWCNT forest. SEM image shows the high-density of as-grown MWCNT (Bsoul et al. 2011). (b) Schematic of a cross-sectional view of the CNTF/PDMS hybrid on a wavy structured substrate. SEM images of the CNTF transferred to the PDMS surface and the wavy structured Si substrate (Lim et al., 2011). (c) SEM image of CMC/CNF structures as piezoresistive component in between sandwiched-like structure of PDMS (Su et al., 2012)	17
Figure 2.5	(a) Optical image of graphene meander patterns piezoresistors on silicon nitride square membrane and schematic of the suspended membrane under the applied pressure (Zhu et al., 2013). (b) Schematic of graphene based pressure sensor and SEM images of suspended graphene as membrane and piezoresistive component (Smith et al., 2013). (c) Photograph of the compressed RGO-PU-HT sponge and the corresponding SEM image of fractured microstructures (Yao et al., 2013)	18
Figure 2.6	(a) Schematic of flexible pressure sensor based on ETP-MCNP layers. (b) Photograph of actual device on flexible substrate. The inset shows the SEM image of ETP-MCNP drop-casted layer on flexible substrate (Segev-Bar et al., 2013)	19
Figure 2.7	Morphology of metallic nanoparticles synthesized by sputtering method: (a) Pt, (b) Cr, (c) Co, (d) Fe, (e) Ni and (f) Pt-Co nanoparticles (Tanner et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2014; Lucas et al., 2010; Vystavel et al., 2003; Petersen and Mayr, 2008; and Ebbing et al., 2011)	32
Figure 2.8	SEM images of 1-D MWCNT growth by CVD method: (a-b) vertically aligned MWCNT (Ren et al., 1998), (c-d) high-dense vertically aligned MWCNT (Liu et al., 2008) and (e-f) ultra-long	34

horizontally aligned MWCNT (Zhang et al., 2013)

Figure 2.9	Typical graphene grown on Cu film or Cu foil substrate by CVD method by varying the growth time: (a) 1 min, (b) 2.5 min, (c) 10 min and (d) 60 min, respectively (Li et al., 2009)	36
Figure 2.10	(a) Overview of the piezoresistive pressure sensors with CBM structure by microscope and SEM image of single chip (Tian et al., 2012). (b) Overview of the stretchable contact pressure sensors with piezoresistive electrode on micro-pyramid array. Finite element analysis (left) showing stress distributions and SEM images (right) showing the geometrical change of the pyramid-shaped piezoresistive electrode in response to pressure. The sensing mechanism is based on the changes of electrode interface which influence the current path (Choong et al. 2014)	44
Figure 2.11	Typical fabrication process flow of flexible pressure sensor by photolithography: (a) Preparation of PI (Kapton E® by Dupont) substrate, (b) PECVD of SiN _x on PI substrate, (c) PECVD of a:Si-H and n-type doping, (d) deposition, lithography and etching of chromium (Cr) electrode, (e) RIE etching in doped a:Si-H and (f) PECVD of SiN _x top layer (Lim et al., 2005)	46
Figure 3.1	Overall flow chart of methodology used in the present research	51
Figure 3.2	Synthesis process flows of 0-D carbon-capped nanoparticles by PECVD	52
Figure 3.3	(a) Schematic representation and (b) image of the radio frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering system (Edwards TF-600, Edwards)	53
Figure 3.4	(a-d) Schematic representation of the fabrication process flow of micro-gratings structure. (e) Optical image of the photoresist patterned on Si (100) substrate. (f) Optical images of the fabricated micro-grating structure after lift-off process. (g) Step height measurement by AFM system	53

Figure 3.5	(a) Schematic representation and (b) photograph image of the PECVD system (Oxford Instruments, Nanofab-700)	54
Figure 3.6	Flow chart of synthesis process of 0-D carbon-capped nanoparticles	55
Figure 3.7	(a) Synthesis process flows of 1-D vertically aligned MWCNT by PECVD and (b) process flow chart	56
Figure 3.8	(a) Synthesis process flows of 1-D horizontally networked multiwalled carbon nanotubes by PECVD and (b) process flow chart	57
Figure 3.9	(a) Schematic representation and (b) photograph image of the HFTCVD system	58
Figure 3.10	(a) Synthesis process flows of 2-D graphene ultra-thin films by HFTCVD and (b) process flow chart	59
Figure 3.11	Photograph image of (a) FESEM system (JEOL, JSM-7500F)	60
Figure 3.12	(a) Schematic representation of AFM under semi-contact mode. (b) Photograph image of AFM system (NT-MDT, NTEGRA Spectra). The inset shows the stage of the AFM system. (c) AFM image of a sample calibration with a cross-sectional measurement	62
Figure 3.13	(a) Schematic representation and (b) photograph image of Hall effect measurement system (Ecopia, HMS5300)	63
Figure 3.14	Finite element analysis methodology of CoventorWare®	64
Figure 3.15	Schematic representations of modeled structure in CoventorWare. (a) The flat diaphragm and (b) the proposed reinforced diaphragm incorporated with IDE array for flexible pressure sensor. (w , l , w_{pc} , l_{pc} , w_e , g_e , h_e and h_d denotes to diaphragm width, diaphragm length, piezoresistive component width, piezoresistive component length, electrode finger width, electrode finger gap, electrode finger thickness, and diaphragm	66

thickness, respectively)

Figure 3.16	Manhattan bricks meshing of (a) the modeled flat square diaphragm and (b) the reinforced diaphragm structure incorporated with IDE array in CoventorWare	67
Figure 3.17	Boundary conditions of the model. (a) Active parts of the diaphragm and the IDE array showing fixed and surface loadpatch. The piezoresistive component is suppressed in the mechanical simulation. (b) An excitation voltage of 1 V is applied at one end surface of IDE array for electrical simulation	69
Figure 3.18	Schematic representation of flexible pressure sensor with reinforced diaphragm structure	70
Figure 3.19	Schematic representation of flexible pressure sensor with cross-beam structure	72
Figure 3.20	Schematic representation of fabrication process flows for flexible pressure sensor with cross-sectional view for (a) primary design and (b) secondary design	74
Figure 3.21	Schematic representation of fabrication process flows for flexible pressure sensor with reinforced diaphragm structure for (a) 0-D carbon-capped nanoparticles, (b) 1-D carbon nanotubes and (c) 2-D graphene ultra-thin films	76
Figure 3.22	Photograph of suspended piezoresistive components covered with PMMA on etching solution for (a) 0-D carbon capped nanoparticles, (b) 1-D carbon nanotubes and (c) 2-D graphene ultra-thin films	77
Figure 3.23	Schematic representation of fabrication process flows for flexible pressure sensor with cross-beam diaphragm structure	78
Figure 3.24	(a) Schematic representation and (b) photograph image of pressure sensor experimental setup used in this research	81
Figure 4.1	FESEM images of 4 nm metallic thin films on TiN/SiO ₂ /Si	84

(100) substrate at different substrate temperatures: (a-d) as-deposited at room temperature (RT), (e-h) 300 °C, (i-l) 500 °C and (m-p) 700 °C. The plasma pretreatment time was fixed at 10 minutes with radio frequency (RF) power of 200 W in hydrogen atmosphere

Figure 4.2	FESEM images of 4 nm metallic thin films on TiN/SiO ₂ /Si (100) substrate that annealed at 700 °C for 10 minutes in different annealing atmospheres: (a-d) vacuum, (e-h) argon (Ar) plasma, (i-l) ammonia (NH ₃) plasma and (m-p) hydrogen (H ₂) plasma. The radio frequency (RF) power is fixed at 200 W	85
Figure 4.3	FESEM images of morphology evolution through plasma pretreatment and carbon nucleation process at initial 8-nm thick (a-c) Au-Fe film and (d-f) Co-Fe film	87
Figure 4.4	Schematic representation of nucleation mechanism of carbon-capped NP: (a) Deposition of thin films, (b) plasma pretreatment and (c) nucleation of carbon. (d) TEM image of cross-sectional view of carbon-capped NP	88
Figure 4.5	FESEM images of carbon-capped metallic NP at initial film thickness, $d = 4, 6, 8$ and 10 nm: (a-d) Co-Fe@C (1:4 ratios), (e-f) Co-Fe@C (1:1 ratios), (i-l) Au-Fe@C (1:4 ratios) and (m-p) Au-Fe@C (1:1 ratios)	89
Figure 4.6	AFM images of carbon-capped metallic NP from Co-Fe and Au-Fe thin films at initial film thickness: (a-d) 4 nm and (e-h) 10 nm. The z-axis indicates the nanoparticle height	91
Figure 4.7	Raman spectra of carbon-capped Co-Fe and Au-Fe NP at initial film thickness of 4 and 10 nm	92
Figure 4.8	Formation of (a-b) carbon-capped Au-Fe (1:4 ratios) NP and (c-d) noncarbon-capped Au-Fe (1:4 ratios) NP at initial film thickness of 4 nm and 10 nm	94
Figure 4.9	FESEM and AFM images of the catalyst NP formation: (a-d)	97

	Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (e-h) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) NP at different initial catalyst thickness. The insets show the size and height distribution of particles	
Figure 4.10	FESEM images of the corresponding MWCNT grown on (a-d) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (e-h) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP at different initial catalyst thickness. The images were taken from the top of the MWCNT. The acetylene pressure in the chamber was fixed at 500 mTorr	100
Figure 4.11	Cross-sectional images of vertically aligned MWCNT grown on 6 nm-thick: (a-c) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (d-f) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP at different gas pressure for 5 minutes growth duration. The inset shows the images under low-magnification of $5,000\times$ with a $5\ \mu\text{m}$ scale bar	101
Figure 4.12	FESEM images of vertically aligned MWCNT grown on 6-nm thick: (a) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (b) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP. The images were taken at an inclined angle of 30° . (c) FESEM images of scratched vertically aligned MWCNT, (d) a close-up view taken from the top of MWCNT and (e) the remains of Co-Fe catalyst NP on the substrate after peeled-off nanotubes	103
Figure 4.13	Schematic representation of the proposed growth mechanism for vertically aligned MWCNT by acetylene decomposition	104
Figure 4.14	HRTEM images of the resultant vertically aligned MWCNT grown on 6 nm-thick (a) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (b) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP. Their corresponding high resolution images of MWCNT: (c) Co-Fe (1:4 ratios) and (d) Co-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP. The circles indicate the presence of disorder carbon at the both outer and inner tube walls	107
Figure 4.15	Raman spectra of the vertically aligned MWCNT growth on different initial thickness of Co-Fe catalyst NP at 500 mTorr gas pressure. The feature marked with '*' at $303\ \text{cm}^{-1}$ arises from	108

Si/SiO₂ substrate (Temple et al., 1973)

Figure 4.16	Raman spectra of the vertically aligned MWCNT growth on 6 nm-thick Co-Fe catalyst NP supported-TiN layer at different gas pressure. The feature marked with '*' at 303 cm ⁻¹ is from Si/SiO ₂ substrate (Temple et al., 1973)	109
Figure 4.17	Electrical properties of vertically aligned MWCNT grown on 6 nm-thick Co-Fe catalyst NP: (a) Sheet resistance, (b) sheet concentration and (c) magnetoresistance (MR) as a function of gas pressure	111
Figure 4.18	FESEM images of the morphology of catalyst formation after 10 min plasma treatment at 700°C: (a-d) SEM images of the plasma-treated Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP at different initial catalyst thickness	114
Figure 4.19	FESEM images of plasma pretreated samples at initial film thickness of approximately 5 nm: (a) single Fe nanoparticles and (b) single Au clusters on the TiN/SiO ₂ /Si (100) substrate	115
Figure 4.20	AFM images of Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst formation at different initial catalyst thickness. The insets show the size and height distribution of particles	116
Figure 4.21	FESEM images of the corresponding MWCNT grown on Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP at different initial catalyst thickness. The images were taken from the top of the substrate plane	117
Figure 4.22	FESEM images of horizontally networked MWCNT grown on 10-nm thick Au-Fe (1:1) catalyst NP: (a) before and (b) after peeled-off nanotubes. The image of before peeled-off nanotubes was taken at an inclined angle of 15°	118
Figure 4.23	Schematic representation of growth mechanism for horizontally networked MWCNT grown on 10 nm-thick Au-Fe catalyst NP	119

by decomposition of acetylene

- Figure 4.24 HRTEM images of as-grown MWCNT over supported Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP: (a-b) central hollow structure for thinner tube-walls, (c) structural defects-induced from angular bends structure of nanotube, (d) amorphous carbon-capped Au clusters and (e) close-up view. The arrows indicate the presence of amorphous carbon layer on the surface of Au clusters 120
- Figure 4.25 Raman spectra of horizontally networked MWCNT on Au-Fe (1:1 ratios) catalyst NP at different initial catalyst thickness. The weak peak of the Raman mode with a feature marked of ‘*’ at 2330 cm^{-1} arises from ambient N_2 (molecular) gas in the air surrounding the sample 121
- Figure 4.26 FESEM images of MWCNT grown on initial 10 nm-thick Au-Fe (1:1) catalyst NP at different $\text{NH}_3/\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas ratios: (a) 0 (ammonia-free), (b) 2 and (c) 4. The flow rate of C_2H_2 was fixed at 50 sccm 123
- Figure 4.27 Raman spectra of MWCNT grown on initial 10 nm thick Au-Fe catalyst nanoparticles at different $\text{NH}_3/\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas ratios. The weak peak of the Raman mode with a feature marked of ‘*’ at 2330 cm^{-1} arises from ambient N_2 (molecular) gas in the air surrounding the sample 124
- Figure 4.28 Sheet resistance, magnetoresistance (MR) and sheet concentration as a function of $\text{NH}_3/\text{C}_2\text{H}_2$ gas ratios 125
- Figure 4.29 Optical, AFM and FESEM images of graphene prepared by HFTCVD. (a) Large-area as-deposited graphene films deposited on Cu substrate (inset shows optical image graphene on Cu substrate). (b) AFM image of as-deposited graphene. (c-e) FESEM images of graphene films deposited on Cu substrate at different substrate temperature. The circle indicates a graphene domain. The straight lines across the images represent the surface of Cu substrate. (f-h) Their corresponding high magnification images (20,000 \times). Wrinkles where the graphene 128

domains have presumably joined with a dark patch indicated by the circle representing a few layers are highlighted

- Figure 4.30 Optical, FESEM, and AFM images of as-transferred graphene on SiO₂/Si (100) substrate. (a-c) Optical images of resultant as-transferred graphene from graphene deposited on Cu foil at different substrate temperature. (d-f) FESEM images at high magnification (50,000×). The circle represents the structural defects from nano-dots. (g-i) the corresponding AFM images with *x*-cross section profile of 750, 850 and 1000 °C, respectively 130
- Figure 4.31 Raman spectra of graphene ultra-thin film on: (a) Cu foil substrate and (b) SiO₂/Si (100) substrate, as a function of substrate temperature 131
- Figure 5.1 (a) Ratio of deflection to thickness (w_0/h_d) as a function of applied pressure for a plane diaphragm. (b) Ratio of diaphragm to thickness (w_0/h_d) as a function of applied pressure for reinforced diaphragm structure with IDE array. (c) Nonlinearity as a function of applied pressure. (d) Normalized stress as a function of applied pressure. (e-f) Deflection distribution of the plane diaphragm and the reinforced diaphragm structure with IDE array 141
- Figure 5.2 (a) Normalized deflection and (b) normalized nonlinearity as a function of applied pressure at $h_c/h_d = 0.5$. The total thickness of reinforced diaphragm with IDE array ($h_c + h_d$) is fixed at 100 μm 143
- Figure 5.3 (a) Normalized resistances as a function of number of electrode finger. The width (w_e) and gap (g_e) of electrode fingers are fixed at 600 μm and 200 μm , respectively. (b) Normalized resistance as a function of ratio of width to gap (w_e/g_e). The number of electrode finger is fixed at 8 144
- Figure 5.4 (a) Photograph of the fabricated IDE array and terminal electrode on flexible polyimide substrate, (b) optical image of 146

	the IDE array, (c) FESEM image of the contact pads, (d) an electrode finger with close-up view and (e) wire bond on the contact pads	
Figure 5.5	FESEM images of the micro-patterned aligned MWCNT at different growth time of 5 and 15 minutes: (a-b) as-grown MWCNT on Co-Fe catalyst/TiN/SiO ₂ /Si (100) substrate and (c-d) as-transferred MWCNT onto the flexible substrate (thermal release tape). The inset shows the close-up view of vertically aligned nanotubes	148
Figure 5.6	Photograph of the transferred micro-patterned aligned MWCNT onto arbitrary flexible substrates: (a-b) thermal release tape, (b) transparent adhesive film and (c) polyimide adhesive film	149
Figure 5.7	Characterization of the device fabrication process via physical-based transfer method: (a) Photograph image of the transferred MWCNT onto flexible polyimide substrate. (b) FESEM image of the transferred cross lines of MWCNT at low magnification and (c-d) top view of images of the transferred vertically aligned MWCNT and horizontally networked MWCNT, respectively. The inset shows the close-up image at high magnification	150
Figure 5.8	Characterization of the device fabrication process via chemical-based transfer method: (a-c) Photograph of the transferred carbon-capped nanoparticle (0-D configuration), MWCNT (1-D configuration) and graphene ultra-thin film (2-D configuration) onto flexible polyimide substrate with integrated IDE microstructure and (d-f) the corresponding FESEM images of the transferred nanomaterials. (g-h) Photograph and optical image of misalignment of the as-transferred MWCNT	151
Figure 5.9	FESEM and AFM images of carbon-capped nanoparticle array on flexible pressure sensor device at different initial film thickness: (a-c) (g-i) Au-Fe@C and (d-f) (j-l) Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array. The AFM scanning area is 5 μm × 5 μm. For thinner film, the nanoparticles are small and compact. Thicker films lead to the formation of larger nanoparticles	153

Figure 5.10	AFM characterizations of carbon-capped nanoparticle array on flexible pressure sensor at different morphologies. The void images by post-processing software (Nova, NT-MDT) for (a-c) Au-Fe@C and (d-f) Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array. The scale bar is 1 μm . Void distribution for (g-i) Au-Fe@C and (j-l) Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array	154
Figure 5.11	(a-b) <i>I-V</i> characteristics of the fabricated flexible pressure sensor with Au-Fe@C (A1, 4 nm; A2, 6 nm; A3, 8 nm) and Co-Fe@C (B1, 4 nm; B2, 6 nm; B3, 8 nm) nanoparticle array at different morphologies. (c-d) Relative resistance changes as a function of temperature for sensor devices with Au-Fe@C and Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array. The insets show the temperature-dependence of resistance (e-f). Resistance is a function of relative humidity for sensor devices with Au-Fe@C and Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array	156
Figure 5.12	Relative resistance changes as a function of the applied pressure for flexible pressure sensor device with (a) Au-Fe@C and (b) Co-Fe@C nanoparticle array at different morphologies	157
Figure 5.13	(a) Schematic representation of the flexible pressure sensor with carbon-capped nanoparticles as piezoresistive component. (b) Circuit model	159
Figure 5.14	Schematic illustration of the geometry change of the carbon-capped nanoparticle array under applied pressure. (a) Small-sized nanoparticles with high density of void from thinner nanoparticle array. (b) Large-sized nanoparticles with low density of void from thicker nanoparticle array. The interparticle distance in the unstrained nanoparticle array is d and the distance changes to $d + \Delta d$ while it is strained under applied pressure, ΔP	160
Figure 5.15	(a) Multi-cycle operations of repeated loading and unloading for the fabricated Au-Fe@C nanoparticle-based flexible pressure sensor. (b) Hysteresis characteristic of fabricated flexible	161

pressure sensor with A1 morphology. The inset shows the relative hysteresis as a function of applied pressure. (c) Resistance change as a function of applied pressure in low pressure regimes (< 10 kPa). (d) The response time of pressure loading and unloading of ~ 10 kPa. (e) Resolution of the fabricated pressure sensor as a function of pressure step

- Figure 5.16 (a) Photograph of carbon nanotubes-based flexible pressure sensor. (b-c) FESEM images of the transferred vertically aligned MWCNT and horizontally networked MWCNT and (d-e) their corresponding close-up images. (f) Raman spectra of the as-grown and the as-transferred nanotubes. (g) I - V characteristics. (G1 and G2 denotes as-grown vertically aligned and horizontally networked MWCNT, whereas T1 and T2 denotes as-transferred vertically aligned and horizontally networked MWCNT) 163
- Figure 5.17 Pressure sensing performance of carbon nanotubes-based flexible pressure sensor. (a-b) Relative change in resistance of flexible pressure sensor as a function of applied pressure incorporated with vertically aligned and horizontally networked MWNCT, respectively. (c-d) The multi-cycle operation of repeated loading and unloading pressure at a minimum value. (e-f) FESEM images of deformed nanotube network for vertically aligned and horizontally networked MWCNT, respectively 165
- Figure 5.18 (a) I - V characteristics, (b) relative resistance change as a function of temperature and (c) humidity-dependence of resistance in sensor at temperature of 298 K. (**a** and **b** denotes vertically aligned MWCNT and horizontally networked MWCNT) 168
- Figure 5.19 Schematic representation of circuit model for 1-D carbon nanotubes based flexible pressure sensor 170
- Figure 5.20 Pressure sensing performance of carbon nanotube-based flexible pressure sensor with IDE structure: (a-b) Relative change in 169