A NEW HYDROCARBON EMPIRICAL POTENTIAL FOR MOLECULAR DYNAMICS SIMULATION

TAN AI PING

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

A NEW HYDROCARBON EMPIRICAL POTENTIAL FOR MOLECULAR
DYNAMICS SIMULATION

TAN AI PING

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2017

Special dedicated to

My parents, Kim Yan and Siew Lee, whose blessings and patience;

My siblings, Ai Leng, See Chung, Ai Hui, Ai Yin, and See Wei, whose support and

encouragement;

And

My supervisors, Dr. Yeak Su Hoe and Associate Professor Dr. Riadh Sahnoun,

whose guidance;

Lead to achieve my doctoral degree

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ABSTRACT

Molecular dynamics utilize energy model to solve the Newton's equation of motion for a system of interacting particles. Ab-initio, semi-empirical and empirical approaches have been reported as main approaches to compute total energy of a system for describing its molecular structures and properties. In these approximation methods, the calculations achieved the level of accuracy in descending manner and in ascending order for computational time. Ab-initio approach also known as first principles method solved the complex energy evaluations in Schrödinger equation to account for electronic structures with limitation on the size of the system. Molecular mechanics (MM) is a conventional empirical approach that defined energy calculations in terms of functions with fitted parameters. The simple algorithm in MM allowed it to simulate larger system. Consequently, new potential function is always required either to produce higher accuracy result or to reduce the computational time. It is believed that there should be a compromise between the accuracy and the computational time depending on the simulation. The main contribution of this study is to propose a new hydrocarbon potential energy model which consist of bond stretching and angle bending function, where both functions are important components of short range potential for the force fields based on MM principle. The existing bond stretching and angle bending functions are found correlated to the piecewise polynomial concept. New models were then proposed based on piecewise polynomial concept and basic principles. Firstly, by neglecting the motion of electrons for fast computation purpose. Secondly, only the necessity independent variables are involved. Thirdly, structural properties such as symmetry and degeneracy are considered. In this regard, the interatomic distance was determined as the independent variable in bond stretching model since single independent variable is assumed sufficient in reproducing the chemical reaction for one motion involvement. Angle was selected as independent variable when the interactions were treated as a plane with triangle shape. However, there is more than one motion involvement in angle bending model, thus, the deviation for angle is also considered as independent variable. The selection rules were developed and independent variables were coupled with the interatomic distance to account for structural properties. Hence, the angle bending model is developed based on the triangle and selection rules. The parameters were estimated by using least square method. The proposed model was then compared with data collected from two well-established methods and applied to the carbon nanotube application for validation. Most of the results obtained achieved a good agreement except for carbon nanotube application where the discussions were given. Good agreement with data collection indicates that proposed models can be alternative solution to the existing force fields. The results are significant for advancement of new knowledge.

ABSTRAK

Dinamik molekul menggunakan model tenaga untuk menyelesaikan persamaan pergerakan Newton untuk sistem zarah yang berinteraksi. Ab-initio, semi-empirikal dan empirikal telah dilaporkan sebagai pendekatan utama untuk mengira jumlah sistem tenaga demi menggambarkan struktur dan sifat molekulnya. Dalam kaedah penghampiran ini, pengiraan mencapai tahap ketepatan mengikut tertib menurun dan masa pengiraan dalam urutan menaik. Pendekatan ab-initio juga dikenali sebagai kaedah prinsip pertama menyelesaikan penilaian tenaga yang rumit dalam persamaan Schrödinger yang mengambilkira struktur elektronik yang terhad pada saiz sistem. Mekanik molekul (MM) adalah pendekatan empirikal konvensional yang mendefinasi pengiraan tenaga sebagai fungsi parameter suaian. Algoritma yang mudah dalam MM membenarkan simulasi sistem yang lebih besar. Akibatnya, rekaan potensi baharu diperlukan sama ada untuk menghasilkan ketepatan yang lebih tinggi atau untuk mengurangkan masa pengiraan. Adalah dipercayai bahawa mesti ada tolak ansur antara ketepatan dan masa pengiraan yang bergantung pada simulasi. Sumbangan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mencadangkan model tenaga hidrokarbon baharu yang terdiri daripada fungsi regangan ikatan dan sudut lenturan, di mana kedua-dua fungsi adalah komponen penting bagi potensi berjarak pendek untuk medan daya berdasarkan prinsip MM. Fungsi ikatan regangan dan sudut lenturan didapati berkorelasi dengan konsep polinomial cebis demi cebis. Model baharu ini dicadangkan berdasarkan konsep polinomial cebis demi cebis dan prinsip-prinsip asas. Pertama, mengabaikan pergerakan elektron untuk tujuan kelajuan pengiraan. Kedua, melibatkan hanya pembolehubah bebas yang berkaitan. Ketiga, sifat-sifat struktur seperti simetri dan degenerasi dipertimbangkan. Dalam hal ini, jarak antara dua atom ditentukan sebagai pembolehubah bebas dalam model ikatan regangan kerana pembolehubah bebas tunggal diandaikan mampu untuk menghasilkan reaksi kimia bagi satu penglibatan gerakan. Sudut dipilih sebagai pembolehubah bebas apabila interaksi antaranya dianggap sebagai satah berbentuk segitiga. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat lebih daripada satu penglibatan gerakan dalam model lenturan sudut, oleh itu, sudut sisihan juga dipertimbangkan sebagai pembolehubah bebas. Peraturan pemilihan telah dibangunkan dan pembolehubah bebas digandingkan pula dengan jarak antara dua atom untuk menangani sifat-sifat struktur. Oleh itu, model lenturan sudut dibangunkan berdasarkan peraturan segi tiga dan pemilihan. Parameter tersebut dianggarkan dengan menggunakan kaedah kuasa dua terkecil. Model yang dicadangkan dibandingkan dengan data yang dikumpul dari dua kaedah yang mantap dan digunakan untuk aplikasi tiub nano karbon untuk pengesahan. Kebanyakan hasil diperoleh dicapai dengan baik kecuali untuk aplikasi tiub nano karbon di mana perbincangan telah diberikan. Persetujuan yang baik dengan data yang dikumpul menunjukkan bahawa model yang dicadangkan boleh menjadi penyelesaian alternatif kepada medan daya yang wujud. Hasil kajian ini adalah penting untuk kemajuan pengetahuan baharu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

LIST OF SYMBOLS

a	-	Second derivative of position with respect to time or acceleration
b	-	Third derivative of position with respect to time or the rate of change of acceleration
C	-	Cubic spline interpolant
$C(x)$	-	Cubic polynomial
d	-	Number of degree
E	-	Energy
e	-	Charge of the electrons
F	-	Universal functional
$F(x)$	-	Force function for a particle that located at coordinate x
f	-	Function
$G(\cos(\theta_{ijk}))$	-	Fifth-order polynomial
$\mathbf{g}$	-	Generalized derivative of variable vector
$H(x_{ik})$	-	Switching function
$H(x_{jl})$	-	Switching function
I	-	Integer
i	-	Integer
J	-	Integer
j	-	Integer
K	-	Integer
k	-	Integer
M	-	Total nuclei in a system
m	-	Integer

N	-	Total electrons in a system
n	-	Integer
p	-	Number of piecewise
Q	-	Repulsion parameter
$Q(N_i^t)$	-	Switching function for revised angular function
R	-	Distance between two particles or position, where particles are nuclei
$\mathbf{R}$	-	Position vector
r	-	Distance between two particles or position, where particles could be atoms or electrons.
$\mathbf{r}$	-	Position vector
S	-	Piecewise polynomial with least square approach
T	-	Kinetic energy of the electron
t	-	Time
$U(x)$	-	Potential energy function for a particle that located at coordinate x
v	-	First derivative of position with respect to time or velocity
W	-	Work done
$\mathbf{w}$	-	Variable vector
Z	-	Charge of the nuclei
a^C	-	Corrector for acceleration
a^P	-	Predictor for acceleration
b^C	-	Corrector for the rate of change of acceleration
b^P	-	Predictor for the rate of change of acceleration
E^{bend}	-	Energy calculation for angle bending interactions
E^{LJ}	-	Lennard-Jones 6-12 interaction function (non-bonded repulsion interactions)
$E^{non-bonded}$	-	Energy calculated for non-bonded interactions between atoms or groups
$E^{stretch}$	-	Energy calculation for bond stretching interactions

$E^{torsion}$	-	Energy calculation for torsional motion around single bonds interactions
E^{REBO}	-	REBO potential
k^{LJ}	-	Lennard-Jones coefficient
m^{LJ}	-	The sixth-power term in Lennard-Jones 6-12 interaction function
n^{LJ}	-	The twelfth-power term in Lennard-Jones 6-12 interaction function
r^{C}	-	Corrector for position
r^{P}	-	Predictor for position
v^{C}	-	Corrector for velocity
v^{P}	-	Predictor for velocity
V^{R}	-	Repulsion pair interaction
V^{A}	-	Attraction pair interaction
a_n	-	n-th fitting parameter
B_E	-	Bond Stretching Model (BSM) for approximating energy calculation
B_F	-	Bond Stretching Model (BSM) for approximating force calculation
B_n	-	Attraction parameters
b_{ij}	-	Bond order between atoms i and j
C_E	-	Penalty function for energy model
C_F	-	Penalty function for force model
$C_i(x_i)$	-	Cubic i-th piecewise polynomial
c_i	-	i-th coefficient
c_s	-	Coefficients for strain energy
c_{-1}	-	Coefficients for strain energy from previous step
c_{-2}	-	Coefficients for strain energy from two previous steps
D_c	-	Directive coefficient
D_e	-	Dissociation energy

E_b	-	Chemical binding energy in the Abell-Tersoff formalism
E_{DFT}	-	Total energy functional of the density
E_{EE}	-	Electron-electron repulsive energy
E_{elec}	-	Electronic energy
E_I	-	Energy for I-th data set
E_{II}	-	Classical interaction of nuclei with one another
$E_{M=0}$	-	First version of Angle Bending Model (ABM)
$E_{M=1}$	-	Equilateral model, part of second version of Angle Bending Model (ABM)
$E_{M=2}$	-	Isosceles model, part of second version of Angle Bending Model (ABM)
$E_{M=3}$	-	Scalene model, part of second version of Angle Bending Model (ABM)
E_{NE}	-	Nuclear-electron attraction energy
E_{NN}	-	Nuclear repulsion energy
E_s	-	Strain energy
E_{total}	-	Total energy
E_{XC}	-	Exchange-correlation energy
E_0	-	Ground state energy
E_{-1}	-	Previous stage strain energy
E_{-2}	-	Previous two stage strain energy
E_υ	-	A υ-representable energy functional
e_{ik}	-	Residuals for each piecewise polynomial of i-th observation based on k-th piecewise
e_{jik}	-	Unit vectors in the perpendicular direction to the planes jik
e_{ijl}	-	Unit vectors in the perpendicular direction to the plane ijl
F_I	-	Force for I-th data set

F_i	-	Force acting on i-th dynamics particle
$F_{ij}\left(N_i^t, N_j^t, N_{ij}^{conj}\right)$	-	Tricubic spline
f_i	-	Thomas-Fermi function
G_I	-	Molecular geometry for I-th data set
g_j	-	Derivative of j-th variable
k_f	-	Force constants
k_i	-	i-th function for Runge-Kutta integration
k_j	-	j-th coefficients for special case of polynomial function
m_e	-	Mass of electron
m_n	-	Mass of nucleus
m_i	-	Mass of i-th dynamics particle
$P_{ij}\left(N_i^C, N_i^H\right)$	-	Bicubic spline
P_m	-	m-th piecewise polynomial
P_m'	-	Differentiation form of piecewise polynomial
r_c	-	Cut-off points
r_e	-	Interatomic distance at equilibrium state
r_i	-	Position of i-th dynamics particle
r_{ij}	-	Interatomic distances between atoms i and j
r_{jk}	-	Interatomic distances between atoms j and k
r_{ki}	-	Interatomic distances between atoms k and i
r_{ij}'	-	Differentiation forms for interatomic distance with respect to the position of each atom
r_{LN}	-	Largest interatomic distance who is neighbour with θ_L
r_N	-	Interatomic distance that is neighbour to θ_L
T_a	-	Total atoms in a system
T_b	-	Number of building blocks that bundled as a group

$T_{ij}\left(N_i^t, N_j^t, N_{ij}^{conj}\right)$	-	Tricubic spline
T_p	-	Total interacting particles in a system
V_{EE}	-	Electron-electron repulsive energy
V_{eff}	-	Effective potential
V_{NE}	-	Nuclear-electron attraction energy
V_{XC}	-	Exchange-correlation potential
v_i	-	Velocity of i-th particle
w_j	-	j-th variable
x_i	-	Coordinate of i-th particle located on at x-axis
x_{ijk}	-	j-th independent variable for i-th observation based on k-th piecewise of the polynomial
x_j	-	Coordinate of j-th particle located on at x-axis
x_k	-	Coordinate of k-th particle located on at x-axis
x_n	-	n-th nodes
y_i	-	Coordinate of i-th particle located on at y-axis
y_{ik}	-	The dependent variable of i-th observation based on k-th piecewise
y_j	-	Coordinate of j-th particle located on at y-axis
y_k	-	Coordinate of k-th particle located on at y-axis
z_i	-	Coordinate of i-th particle located on at z-axis
z_j	-	Coordinate of j-th particle located on at z-axis
z_k	-	Coordinate of k-th particle located on at z-axis
b_{ij}^{DH}	-	bond order function account for the dihedral angle of carbon-carbon double bonds
b_{ij}^{RC}	-	influence towards the bond energy between bonding atoms i and j due to the possible presence of radical character and π bond conjugations
$b_{ij}^{\sigma-\pi}$	-	Bond orders depend on the local coordination and bond angles between bonding atoms i and j

$b_{ji}^{\ \sigma-\pi}$	-	Bond orders depend on the local coordination and bond angles between bonding atoms j and i
$D_{ij}^{\ min}$	-	Cut-off points of switching function
$D_{ij}^{\ max}$	-	Cut-off points of switching function
E_X^{Becke}	-	Energy contribution from Becke exchange functional
E_{XC}^{B3LYP}	-	Energy contribution from B3LYP
E_X^{HF}	-	Energy contribution from Hartree-Fock exchange functional
E_X^{LSDA}	-	Energy contribution from Local Spin Density Approximation exchange functional
E_C^{LYP}	-	Energy contribution from Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional
E_C^{VWN}	-	Energy contribution from Vosko-Wilk-Nusair correlation functional
$f_{ij}^{\ c}$	-	Switching function for pairwise interactions
$f_{ik}^{\ c}$	-	Switching function
$f_{il}^{\ c}$	-	Switching function
$f_{jl}^{\ c}$	-	Switching function
$g_C(\cos(\theta_{ijk}))$	-	Revised angular function
N_i^C	-	The numbers of nearest-neighbour carbon atoms for atom i
N_i^H	-	The numbers of nearest-neighbour hydrogen atoms for atom i
N_i^t	-	Total number of neighbours of bonded atoms i
N_{ij}^{conj}	-	A local measure of conjugation in the bonding atom i and j
$\hat{F}$	-	Summation of kinetic energy operator and electron-electron interaction potentials operator
$\hat{H}$	-	Hamiltonian operator
$\hat{T}$	-	Kinetic energy operator for the electrons

$\hat{H}_{elec}$	-	Electronic Hamiltonian operator
$\hat{V}_{EE}$	-	Electron-electron interaction potentials operator
$\hat{V}_{ext}$	-	External potential operator acting on the electrons due to nuclei
$\hat{V}_{NE}$	-	Electron-nuclei interaction potentials operator
$\vec{r}$	-	Vector that connecting the atoms
α	-	Repulsion parameter
β_n	-	Attraction parameters
Δa	-	Small change in acceleration
Δr	-	Change in position
Δr_N	-	Change in interatomic distance that is neighbour to θ_L
ΔT	-	Correction to the kinetic energy
Δt	-	Small change in time
$\Delta \theta_N$	-	Change in angle who is neighbour with θ_L
$\Delta U(x)$	-	Small change in potential energy function for a particle that located at coordinate x
ΔV_{EE}	-	Correction to the electron-electron repulsion energy
Δx	-	Small change in coordinate x
δE	-	Change in the energy
$\delta \rho$	-	small change in the true density
$d\boldsymbol{\tau}$	-	Volume element appropriate to the coordinate system
χ	-	System dependent parameter
ε	-	System dependent parameter
γ	-	Weighting parameter
μ	-	Lagrange multipliers
ψ	-	Wavefunction
ρ	-	Density
$\hbar$	-	Reduced Planck's constant, Planck's constant over 2π
θ_{ijk}	-	Angle of the bonds between atoms i and j and atoms j and k

θ_{jki}	-	Angle of the bonds between atoms j and k and atoms k and i
θ_{kij}	-	Angle of the bonds between atoms k and i and atoms i and j
θ_L	-	Largest angle in system with triangle structure
Θ_{ijkl}	-	Rotation angle around double bond
ε_{ik}	-	Positive residuals for each piecewise polynomial of i-th observation based on k-th piecewise
ε_0	-	Vacuum permittivity
ψ_0	-	Ground state wavefunction
ψ_{elec}	-	Electronic wavefunction
ρ_0	-	Density functional of the ground state energy
υ_{ext}	-	External potential

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABM	-	Angle Bending Model
AMBER	-	Assisted Model Building with Energy Refinement
BSM	-	Bond Stretching Model
B3LYP	-	Becke 3-parameters Exchange and Lee-Yang-Parr Correlation Hybrid Functional
CCH	-	One hydrogen and two carbon atoms interaction
CFF	-	Consistent Force Field
CH	-	Carbon-hydrogen bonding
CHARMM	-	Chemistry at HARvard Macromolecular Mechanics (Academic Version)
CHARMm	-	Chemistry at HARvard Macromolecular Mechanics (Commercial Version)
CHH	-	One carbon and two hydrogen atoms interaction
COSMIC	-	COmputation and Structure Manipulation In Chemistry
CVFF	-	Consistent – Valence Force field
DFT	-	Density Functional Theory
EFF	-	Empirical Force Field
ESFF	-	Extensible Systematic Force Field
HC	-	Hydrogen-carbon bonding
HHC	-	One carbon and two hydrogen atoms interaction
HCH	-	One carbon and two hydrogen atoms interaction
HF	-	Hartree-Fock
MD	-	Molecular Dynamics
MM	-	Molecular Mechanics
MMFF	-	Merck Molecular Force Field
MP	-	Møller-Plesset

MP4(SDQ)	-	Møller-Plesset Fourth Order Perturbation Theory with Single, Double and Quadruple Substitutions
OPLS	-	Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulation
QM	-	Quantum Mechanics
REBO	-	Reactive Empirical Bond Order
UFF	-	Universal Force Field
Br_2	-	Bromine molecule
C_2	-	Carbon-carbon bonding
Cl_2	-	Chlorine molecule
F_2	-	Fluorine molecule
H_2	-	Hydrogen molecule
I_2	-	Iodine molecule
N_2	-	Nitrogen molecule
O_2	-	Oxygen molecule

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter comprises of several sections. The first section introduces the main approaches for finding the potential energy and the basic principles of molecular mechanics approach for creating the functional forms that relevant to the research problem. In the subsequent sections, the problem statement, objectives, significance, and scope of this research are stated, respectively. Finally, the content is provided in the last section, organization of the thesis, for clarity purpose.

1.2 Background of the Problem

In molecular modelling, a wide variety of interatomic potentials in different functional form have been proposed for different intention over the years. These computational methods for finding the potential energy curve of molecular structures can be categorized into three main approaches, which are *ab-initio*, semi-empirical and empirical approaches. The word *ab-initio* is a Latin term means from the beginning or from first principles, thus, *ab-initio* approach also known as first principles theory. It takes Schrödinger equation as a starting point and solved the Schrödinger equation without the inclusion of empirical parameters in the equation (Yeak, 2006). Among the computational methods, the *ab-initio* approach able to

provide the highest level of accuracy in the energy evaluation. The variational principle and perturbation theory are two most notable methods used in the *ab-initio* approach to perform direct electronic structure calculations. However, the limitation of the *ab-initio* approach is size of the system. It just capable to solve the system comprising up to hundreds of atoms even facilitated it with the powerful computers. Even though semi-empirical approach is assigned for solving the larger molecular system, it is also high computing demands for most systems (Yeak et al, 2005; Yip, 2005). Hence, empirical approach is applied to model the system behavior which avoided quantum mechanics (QM) totally in the calculation (Young, 2001). The strengths and weaknesses for each approach have created critical breakthroughs into research area. Especially for *ab-initio* and empirical approaches, high accuracy and fast computational speed were concluded as two main characteristics for ones to select the proper interatomic potential for handling different problems. The former is critical for studying the electronic properties in computational chemistry and the latter is extremely demanding in materials science.

The simplification of energy system of molecular mechanics (MM) methods allows its utility in molecular dynamics (MD) simulation, where MD simulation required a large number of energy calculations. MD simulation is a computer simulation method for studying the atomics motions in a system. In principle, it is known that real materials shall behave according to the laws of quantum mechanics (QM), so that the electronic motions are included in the calculation. The simulation work in the classical mechanics ignore the electronic effects, ones might suspect that it is unable to validate with any real application. Born Oppenheimer approximation has diminished the skepticism by filling the gap between them. Hamiltonian in Schrödinger equation considered the motions of system contributes from two particles, that is nuclei and electrons. Born and Oppenheimer noticed that the mass of nuclei is much heavier than the mass of electrons, force is mass times acceleration, this indicates electron move at least 1000 times faster than nuclei. Therefore, in their approximation, they considered to separate the electronic part and nuclei part that this separation is approximately correct. Born Oppenheimer approximation treated nuclei are fixed with respect to electron interactions in the system, the electronic part can be solved at an accumulate set of fixed positions of the nuclei. Then, the nuclei

part can be solved by treated the electron in terms of an effective Hamiltonian with electronic energy. Solution for nuclei separation is indirectly equivalent to the MD, in which requires coordinates of atoms for calculating the energy system. This is an important remark that supports the diversity progress for the development of MD simulation using potential function of any force field approaches. Also, many force field methods have consistently increased in the progress of diversity, complexity, and sophistication over time.

One of the famous applications is the artificial products made by Carbon based nano-materials. NASA is still working on to turn science fiction concept into reality, which is to build an elevator to the Moon from Earth's surface (Artyukhov *et al*., 2013) by using Carbon based nano-materials. Hence, the time execution for energy calculation is extremely large. Empirical approach is able to handle system comprising up to a few millions of atoms (Yeak et al, 2005; Yip, 2005). The MM methods still widely extend and recommended today for use, especially for work before or after fabricated the nano-products, and for studying in planetary science. The similarity for both phenomena is treating an extraordinary large system, and thus, a simpler form of energy calculation for solving larger system is always required.

As mentioned before, any conventional molecular mechanics (MM) method in the empirical approach is an approximation solution that returns less accurate results, but yet, it is still a preferable option for solving the large system. The energy calculation in conventional MM methods can be subdivided into several functional forms with fitted parameters. Parameters of the potential were fitted accordingly to the experimental data in the calculation, so that the potential with parameters obtained able to approximate the experimental data. The basic principle of MM methods considered the energy calculation as the summation of bonded and non-bonded terms (Lewars, 2011). In fact, bonded and non-bonded terms also named as short range and long range potential in the different application fields. Both consist of several combinations of functional forms. The short range potential may comprises of 4 functional forms such as bond stretching, angle bending, dihedrals motion (improper or torsion dihedrals), and cross over terms of bond stretching,

angle bending and dihedrals motion. The long range potential may consist of the van der Waals, electrostatic and polarization interaction. Variety MM methods were categorized into three classes, where the first two classes only include the bonded terms in the calculation. The first class is called Class I or first-generation; no cross terms are involved this class and the rest of functional terms are able to be expressed in the form of quadratic Taylor series expansion or harmonic potential or polynomial with degree two. The second class is named as Class II or second-generation, it contains higher order in the Taylor series expansion or polynomial and the presence of cross terms in the potential function. Similarly, the third class is termed as Class III or third-generation which account for electronegativity, polarizability and hyperconjugation. Conventionally, classical force fields classified MM methods into either Class I or Class II (Schlick, 2010).

There are four significant factors that affect the performance in this procedure for construction of new potential. The complexity functional form of the energy expression is the first factor. The number of independent variables and the calculation for finding assigned independent variables in the functional forms are main concerns which affect the implementation for the complexity of the energy calculation. As a result, only essential independent variables are involved in the calculation. Second factor refers to the data selected to parameterize the constants. As mentioned earlier, an ideal situation for MM is to produce high accurate result whilst the time execution and complexity of potential function remain unchanged. The accuracy of the approximation solution is substantially depends on the accuracy of data collection. However, as discussed earlier, the *ab-initio* approach produced higher accuracy result for data collection due to it involved more independent variables in the calculation. Hence, there should have a compromise between the independent variables with the level of accuracy for the data selection. Thirdly, the fitting technique for parameters estimation, or more succinctly, the technique used to optimize constants from that data collection. Finally, ability of new potential to be applied in such a way that it show consistent with its strengths and weaknesses (Young, 2001).

In real situation and the limited resources, the experimental results are initially considered in averaging or ensemble amount as it is hard to probe the molecules especially for any unstable state. Therefore, molecular mechanics (MM) methods worked out by giving best description to the molecules that distorted from idealized geometry where molecules are initially distorted from stable state in order to study its behaviour near the equilibrium distance. Considered a ball attached to a fixed spring, Newton's third law states that whenever there is a force acted towards the ball, there exists an inner force in opposite direction which rebounces the ball back to the stable state (Leach, 2001). By treating particles like a ball attached to the spring, the ideal geometry or equilibrium distance separates the energy curve into two parts. The first part of energy curve illustrates the repulsion part, when a force is applied to the system in order to squeeze the particles uniformly, the particles tends to repulse each other in order to get back to the stable behaviour. The second part demonstrates the attraction part, when a force is enforced in the system to pull the particles away, the particles tends to attract each other in order to form the stable state. The repulsive and attractive forces are not uniform when same amount in increment of distance was applied (Hinchliffe, 2003). This implies that there must at least two different equations to distinguish these behaviors. Thus, piecewise polynomial (Davis, 1984) is found most suitable to capture this scenario.

Taking the affecting factors as considerations, in this thesis, piecewise polynomial is proposed to approximate the functional forms of bond stretching and angle bending, where only important independent variables are employed. The second-generation of reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential energy (Brenner *et al.*, 2002) is used as the main source of data collection for the parameters estimation, since it showed some flexibilities to be recognized as empirical potentials for the first-generation (Brenner, 1990), and semi-empirical for the improvement version of second-generation (Brenner *et al.*, 2002) for studying hydrocarbon compounds. The level of accuracy for second-generation of REBO potential (Brenner *et al.*, 2002) is in the middle range, where it allows forming and breaking for covalent bonding with associated changes in atomic hybridization within a classical potential. It is a powerful method in modelling complex chemistry for large system by providing a better definition for bond lengths, energies, and force

constants of molecules, as well as interstitial defect energies, elastic properties, and surface energies for different arrangement of carbon system. In numerical methods, there are plenty of choices for parameters estimation. The least square approach is well known as the best fitting technique, since it only gives unique solution by searching the global minima in the whole optimization process for parameters. If local minima should be allowed for filling the interstitial causes by independent variables in the optimization process, other methods such as truncated Newton method is a good option. The new model with fitted parameter sets is used to reproduce the set of experimental data. In this work, general piecewise polynomial is employed as fundamental principle for building new models. Theoretical derivations for comparing general piecewise polynomial with the existing potential functions are presented. Some derivations were utilized as a theoretical evident for describing the generalization of the new model. The new function for bond stretching interaction is found able to contribute itself as an alternative method in computational chemistry softwares. The flexibilities and weaknesses for general piecewise polynomial are discussed.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

The development of force fields or potential function is always required either to obtain result at high accuracy or to reduce the computational cost for large system. The present work intends to produce the force fields based on molecular mechanics (MM) principle. Conventional force fields in MM ignored the electron motions and expressed the energy calculation as the combination from several contributions of functions with fitted parameters, where only necessary independent variables are considered. Mimic the concept from crystal structure in solid state physics, electrons were replaced by atoms in a building block (imagine a box). The building block could be an atom or groups of atoms in specific location (Kittel, 1996). Thus, when identical building blocks were added continuously, the system forms the crystal structure in a 3-dimensional periodic array of atoms. In present study, single atom was treated in a building block. The system is said with an assortment of T_a

building blocks indicates that there is a total of T_a atoms in a system. If T_b building blocks are bundled together as a group where T_a is divisible by T_b, then, the energy calculation also expressed as summation of $\dfrac{T_a}{T_b}$ functions of bundled group. The problem statements can be written as below:

1. What is the necessary independent variable best describe for the case if $\dfrac{T_a}{T_b} = 1$, $T_a = T_b$ and two building blocks $T_b = 2$ are bundled together as a group? The energy as well as their forces of individual atom for each contribution can be approximated by using the proposed model that based on piecewise polynomials concept? How good is this empirical potential function for approximating the chemical reaction and structural property?

2. What are the essential independent variables best describe for the case if $\dfrac{T_a}{T_b} = 1$, $T_a = T_b$ and three building blocks $T_b = 3$ are bundled together as a group? The energy as well as their forces of individual atom for each contribution can be approximated by using the new model that based on piecewise polynomials concept? How good is this new empirical potential function for approximating the chemical reaction and structural properties?

3. If $T_b = 3$ building blocks are bundled together as a group, is it possible to approximate larger system?

1.4 Objectives of the Research

The classical short range potential in conventional molecular mechanics (MM) methods considered bond stretching, angle bending and dihedrals motion as three important contributions of energy evaluation in ascending order. The present work intends to study and develop models for covering first and second main models with its structural properties, respectively. Symmetry and degeneracy are two basic

structural properties to the bond stretching and angle bending interactions, especially the latter is significant to connect both interactions for validation purpose. A mathematical function is symmetric if there is an operation that alters its coordinates in some manner but leaves the graph of the function unchanged. The degeneracy of an energy level is defined as the number of distinct quantum states that share that energy (Cooksy, 2014). These fundamental definitions are widely applicable in quantum chemistry; nevertheless, the definitions for both properties have been slightly modified to suit the case studies in this work. Both properties are found can be achieved by choosing the appropriate independent variables and creating the proper selection rules for piecewise polynomial (Davis, 1984). The objectives of this research are summarized as:

1. To develop and implement Bond Stretching Model (BSM) for approximating energy and forces. In this regard independent variables to be employed must be determined and symmetry of structure considered.
2. To develop and implement Angle Bending Model (ABM) for approximating energy and forces. In this regard independent variables to be employed must be determined and symmetry of structure considered.
3. To propose degeneracy embedded in the independent variables for bond stretching and bond angle interaction.
4. To implement second-generation of reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential in the tension of carbon nanotube simulation for calibration purpose.

1.5   Significance of the Research

This work is significant for nanosized materials study. Products that fabricated by nanosized particles will exhibit different kind of properties from the products fabricated by larger particles, even both using same materials. These properties are called size-dependent properties. In the vast literature, empirical potentials for different intentions have been proposed and applied to explore the system properties especially for nanosized materials properties. New theories, new

concepts, new questions, new findings, new technologies and new products have been developed. These products are created to improve quality of life. In order to study the energy and motion for large system such as nanosized materials, new models for calculating the empirical potential and forces based on bond stretching and angle bending interaction are required, basically it needs to be:

1. Fast in calculation due to less memory.
2. Able to capture symmetry for the structure.
3. Embedded with degeneracy.
4. Ability to be generalized to general problem.

Flexibilities for the proposed potential based on piecewise polynomial (Davis, 1984) concept show that the models are potentially to be generalized to tackle for general problem. And also, there is still numerous ways to improve the model, for instance, the multiscale concept at critical region.

1.6 Scope of the Research

In this work, the attention are focused on interatomic interactions of 3-dimensional multi molecular based on the geometric structures of system. The factors such as degree of freedom for independent variables, accuracy for data collection and flexibility for fitting techniques which affect the performance and time execution in computation algorithm are reviewed and monitored. The new models with its associated independent variables based on approximation concept are proposed for energy and force calculations since it is considered simple computation algorithm. The new models are then used to approximate the bond stretching and bond angle interaction as well as study the structural properties. Structural properties such as symmetry for the geometric structures and degeneracy between bond stretching and bond angle interaction are emphasized with selection rules. The bond stretching model is applied with electronic structure calculation for generalization purpose. The tension for carbon nanotube is simulated by molecular dynamics (MD)

via short range potential namely second-generation of reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential.

1.7   Organization of the thesis

The pros and cons for three main approaches of energy evaluations as well as the significant linkage between classical and quantum mechanics were briefly discussed in this chapter. Chapter 2 introduces the relevant mathematical formulation in molecular computation. Chapter 3 consists of three sections. First section elucidates three main approaches for finding the energy calculation in different point of view; it starts with general problem and end up with the significant of this study. Second section reviews the remarkable evolution from molecular mechanics (MM) methods to molecular dynamics (MD) simulation where the recent development and applications of force fields are given. Third section discusses the main source of data collection, second-generation of reactive empirical bond order (REBO) potential energy. The development of REBO potential energy from the first-generation up to second-generation are described. Chapter 4 provides the ideas and basic principles employed for model development and formulations. The capability of general piecewise polynomial concept to reproduce predicted energies are theoretically derived and justified for bond stretching model (BSM) and angle bending model (ABM). Particular details such as how to determine and identify the essential independent variables involved in the function, and how to derive the differentiation forms that related to the forces calculation based on interatomic potential energy are also described in this chapter. Chapter 5 represents the results and discussions for BSM and ABM. The affecting factors of general piecewise polynomial are manipulated by using BSM. Lastly, conclusions, contributions, limitation and recommendations for this thesis are presented in last chapter.

REFERENCES

Abell, G. C. (1985). Empirical Chemical Pseudopotential Theory of Molecular and Metallic Bonding. *Physical Review B.* 31(10): 6184-6196.

Alder, B. J. and Wainwright, T. E. (1957). Phase Transition for A Hard-sphere System. *Journal of Chemical Physics.* 27: 1208-1209.

Allinger, N. L., Miller, M. A., Chow, L. W., Ford, R. A., and Graham, J. C. (1965). The Calculated Electronic Spectra and Structures of Some Cyclic Conjugated Hydrocarbons[1,2]. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 87(15): 3430-3435.

Allinger, N. L., Chen, K. S., Katzenellenbogen, J. A. Wilson, S. R., and Anstead, G. M. (1996a). Hyperconjugative Effects on Carbon-Carbon Bond Lengths in Molecular Mechanics (MM4). *Journal of Computational Chemistry.* 17(5-6): 747-755.

Allinger, N. L., Chen, K. S., and Lii, J. H. (1996b). An Improved Force Field (MM4) for Saturated Hydrocarbons. *Journal of Computational Chemistry.* 17(5-6): 642-668.

Allinger, N. L. (1977). Conformational Analysis. 130. MM2. A Hydrocarbon Force Field Utilizing V_1 and V_2 Torsional Terms[1,2]. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 99(25): 8127-8134.

Allinger, N. L., Yuh, Y. H., and Lii, J. H. (1989). Molecular Mechanics. The MM3 Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 111(23): 8551-8566.

Allinger, N. L., Zhou, X. F., and Bergsma, J. (1994). Molecular Mechanics Parameters. *Journal of Molecular Structure.* 312: 69-83.

Allured, V. S., Kelly, C., and Landis, C. R. (1991). SHAPES Empirical Force Field: New Treatment of Angular Potentials and Its Application to Square-planar Transition-metal Complexes. *Journal of the American Chemistry Society*. 113(1): 1-12.

Andrew, D. H. (1930). The Relation between the Raman Spectra and the Structure of Organic Molecules. *Physical Review*. 36: 544.

Artyukhov, V. I., Liu, Y., and Yakobson, B. I. (2013). Getting the Most Out of Nanotubes: Guidance from Fracture Physics and Atomistic Simulations. *The Journal of the International Space Elevator Consortium*. 2(1):3-10.

Barlow, S., Rohl, A. L., Shi, S. Freeman, C. M., and O'Hare, D. (1996). Molecular Mechanics Study of Oligomeric Models for Poly(ferrocenylsilanes) Using the Extensible Systematicm Forcefield (ESFF). *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 118(32): 7578-7592.

Becke, A. D. (1993). Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 98(7): 5648-5652.

Bernhardt, P.V. and Comba, P. (1992). Molecular Mechanics Calculations of Transition Metal Complexes. *Inorganic Chemistry*. 31(12): 2638-2644.

Brenner, D. W. (1990). Empirical Potential for Hydrocarbons for Use in Simulating the Chemical Vapor Deposition of Diamond Films. *Physical Review B*. 42(15): 9458-9471.

Brenner, D. W., Shenderova, O. A., Harrison, J. A., Stuart, S. J., Ni, B., and Sinnott, S. B. (2002). A Second-generation Reactive Empirical Bond Order (REBO) Potential Energy Expression for Hydrocarbons. *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*. 14: 783-802.

Brooks, B. R., Bruccoleri, R. E., Olafson, B. D., States, D. J., Swaminathan , S., and Karplus, M. (1983). CHARMM: A Program for Macromolecular Energy, Minimization, and Dynamics Calculations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 4(2): 187-217.

Brooks, B. R., Brooks III, C. L., MacKerell Jr., A. D., Nilsson, L., Petrella, R. J., Roux, B., Won, Y., Archontis, G., Bartels, C., Boresch, S., Caflisch, A., Caves, L., Cui, Q., Dinner, A. R., Feig, M., Fischer, S., Gao, J., Hodoscek, M., Im, W., Kuczera, K., Lazaridis, T., Ma, J., Ovchinnikov, V., Paci, E., Pastor, R. W., Post, C. B., Pu, J. Z., Schaefer, M., Tidor, B., Venable, R. M., Woodcock, H. L., Wu, X., Yang, W., York, D. M., and Karplus, M. (2009). CHARMM: The Biomolecular Simulation Program. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 30(10): 1545-1614.

Buehler, M. J. (2008). *Atomistic Modeling of Materials Failure.* United States: Springer Science+Business Media LLC.

Butcher, J. C. (1996). A History of Runge-Kutta Methods. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*. 247-260.

Burden, R. L. and Faires, J. D. (2010). *Numerical Analysis*. (9th ed.). USA: Brooks/Cole Cengage Learning.

Casewit, C. J., Colwell, K. S., and Rappe, A. K. (1992a). Application of a Universal Force Field to Organic Molecules. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 114(25): 10035-10046.

Casewit, C. J., Colwell, K. S., and Rappe, A. K. (1992b). Application of a Universal Force Field to Main Group Compounds. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 114(25): 10046-10053.

Che, Teh, C. R. (2005). *Kaedah Berangka Matematik untuk Sains dan Kejuruteraan Menggunakan Maple*. Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Clark, M., Cramer III, R. D., and Opdenbosch, N. V. (1989). Validation of the General Purpose Tripos 5.2 Force Field. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 10(8): 982-1012.

Comba, P. and Gyr, T. (1999). A Simple New Structural Force Field for the Computation of Linear Metallocenes. *European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*. 1999(10): 1787-1792.

Comba, P., Martin, B., and Sanyal, A. (2013). An Efficient Fluctuating Charge Model for Transition Metal Complexes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 34(18): 1598-1608.

Cooksy, A. (2014). *Physical Chemistry Quantum Chemistry and Molecular Interactions*. United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.

Cornell, W. D, Cieplak, P., Bayly, C. I., Gould, I. R., Merz, K. M., Ferguson, D. M., Spellmeyer, D. C., Fox, T., Caldwell, J. W., and Kollman, P. A. (1995). A Second Generation Force Field for the Simulation of Proteins, Nucleic Acids, and Organic Molecules. *American Chemical Society*. 117: 5179-5197.

Cramer, C. J. (2004). *Essentials of Computational Chemistry: Theories and Models*. (2nd ed.) England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Damm, W., Frontera, A., Tirado-Rives, J., and Jorgensen, W. L. (1997). OPLS All-Atom Force Field for Carbohydrates. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 18(16): 1955-1970.

Davis, M. E. (1984). *Numerical Methods and Modeling for Chemical Engineers*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Dillen, J. L. M. (1995a). An Empirical Force Field. I. Alkanes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 16(5), 595-609.

Dillen, J. L. M. (1995b). An Empirical Force Field. II. Crystalline Alkanes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 16(5): 610-619.

Dostrovsky, I., Hughes, E. D., and Ingold, C. K. (1946). Mechanism of Substitution at A Saturated Carbon Atom. Part XXXII. The Role of Steric Hindrance. (Section G) Magnitude of Steric Effects, Range of Occurance of Steric and Polar Effects, and Place of the Wagner Rearrangement in Nucleophilic Substitution and Elimination. *Journal of the Chemical Society*. 173-194.

Duan, Y., Wu, C., Chowdhury, S., Lee, M. C., Xiong, G. M., Zhang, W., Yang, R., Cieplak, P., Luo, R., Lee, T., Caldwell, J., Wang, J. M., and Kollman, P. A. (2003). A Point-charge Force Field for Molecular Mechanics Simulations of Proteins based on Condensed-phase Quantum Mechanical Calculations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 24(16): 1999-2012.

Engler, E. M., Andose, J. D., and Schleyer, P. v. R. (1973). Critical Evaluation of Molecular Mechanics. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 95(24): 8005-8025.

European Commission (2011). Commission Recommendation of 18 October 2011 on the Definition of Nanomaterial (2011/696/EU). *Official Journal of the European Union*. 54(L275), 38 – 40.

Fiolhais, C., Nogueira, F., and Marques, M. A. (2003). *A Primer in Density Functional Theory*. Springer.

Frenkel, D., and Smit, B. (2002). *Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications*. United States of America: Academic Press.

Frisch, A. (2009). *Gaussian 09 : User's Reference*. Wallingford, CT: Gaussian, Inc.

Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino,

J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J. A., Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Keith, T., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene, M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J. J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A. D., Farkas, O., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J. V., Cioslowski, J., and Fox, D. J. (2010). *Gaussian 09, Revision C.01*. Wallingford CT: Gaussian, Inc.

Gear C. W. (1997). *Numerical Initial Value Problem in Ordinary Differential Equations*. Englewood Cliffs, N. J.: Prentice-Hall.

González, M. A. (2011). Force Fields and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *EDP Sciences Collection SFN*. 12: 169-200.

Grassi, A., Lombardo, G. M., and Pappalardo, G. C. (1994). Derivation of MM2 Force-field Parameters for Polychlorophosphazenes $(NPCl_2)_n$ from Ab Initio Data. *Journal of Molecular Structure*. 311: 101-110.

Guarracino, F. and Walker, A. (1999). *Energy Methods in Structural Mechanics: A Comprehensive Introduction to Matrix and Finite Element Methods of Analysis*. Great Britain: Thomas Telford Limited.

Hagler, A.T., Lifson, S., and Dauber, P. (1979a). Consistent Force Field Studies of Intermolecular Forces in Hydrogen-Bonded Crystals. 2. A Benchmark for the Objective Comparison of Alternative Force Fields. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 101(18): 5122-5130.

Hagler, A. T., Dauber, P., and Lifson, S. (1979b). Consistent Force Field Studies of Intermolecular Forces in Hydrogen-Bonded Crystals. The C==O...H--O Hydrogen Bond and the Analysis of the Energetics and Packing of Carboxylic Acids. *Journal of the American Chemistry Society*. 101(18): 5131-5141.

Halgren, T. A. (1996a). Merck Molecular Force Field. I. Basis, Form, Scope, Parameterization, and Performance of MMFF94. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 490- 519.

Halgren, T. A. (1996b). Merck Molecular Force Field. II. MMFF94 Van Der Waals and Electrostatic Parameters for Intermolecular Interactions. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 520- 552.

Halgren, T. A. (1996c). Merck Molecular Force Field. III. Molecular Geometries and Vibrational Frequencies for MMFF94. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 553- 586.

Halgren, T. A. (1996d). Merck Molecular Force Field. V. Extension of MMFF94 Using Experimental Data, Additional Computational Data, and Empirical Rules. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 616-641.

Halgren, T. A. and Nachbar, R. B. (1996). Merck Molecular Force Field. IV. Conformational Energies and Geometries for MMFF94. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 587- 615.

Head-Gordon, M., Pople, J. A., and Frisch, M. J. (1988). MP2 Energy Evaluation by Direct Methods. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 153(6): 503-506.

Hehre, W. J., Radom, L., Schleyer, P. V. R., and Pople, J. A. (1986). *Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Theory*. (1st ed.) New York: Wiley.

Heinz, H., Lin, T.-J., Mishra, R. K., and Emami, F. S. (2013). Thermodynamically Consistent Force Fields for the Assembly of Inorganic, Organic, and Biological Nanostructures: The INTERFACE Force Field. *Langmuir*. 29(6): 1754-1765.

Heisenberg, W. (1925). Über quantentheoretische Umdeutung kinematischer und mechanischer Beziehungen. *Zeitschrift für Physik*. 33(1): 879-893.

Hendrickson, J. B. (1961). Molecular Geometry. I. Machine Computation of the Common Rings. *Journal of the American Chemistry Society*. 83(22): 4537-4547.

Hertwig, R. H. and Koch, W. (1997). On the Parameterization of the Local Correlation Functional. What is Becke-3-LYP? *Chemical Physical Letters*. 268: 345-351.

Hill, T. L. (1946). On Steric Effects. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 14(7): 465.

Hinchliffe A. (2003). *Molecular Modelling for Beginners.* England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Hockney R. W. (1970). The Potential Calculation and Some Applications. *Methods in Computational Physics*. 9: 136-211.

Hodak H. (2014). The Nobel Prize in Chemistry 2013 for the Development of Multiscale Models of Complex Chemical Systems: A Tribute to Martin Karplus, Michael Levitt and Arieh Warshel. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 426(1): 1-3.

Hohenberg, P. and Kohn, W. (1964). Inhomogeneous Electron Gas. *Physical Review*. 136(3): B864-B871.

Höweler, U., Angermund, K., Teipel, S., Wiesemann, F., and Krebs B. (1993). An Extended Force Field for Metal Complexes: Tripos 5.2-based Parameterization for 4- and 5-coordinated Copper (II). *Journal of Molecular Graphics*. 11(4): 274.

Hwang, M. J., Stockfisch,T. P., and Hagler, A. T. (1994). Derivation of Class II Force Fields. 2. Derivation and Characterization of a Class II Force Field, CFF93, for the Alkyl Functional Group and Alkane Molecules. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 116(6): 2515-2525.

Iijima, S. (1991). Helical Microtubules of Graphitic Carbon. *Nature.* 354: 56-58.

Jensen, F. (2007). *Introduction to Computational Chemistry*. (2nd ed.) England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Jorgensen, W. L. and Tirado-Rivers, J. (1988). The OPLS Potential Functions for Proteins. Energy Minimizations for Crystals of Cyclic Peptides and Crambin. *Journal of the American Chemistry Society*. 110(6): 1657-1666.

Kaminski, G. A., Friesner, R. A., Tirado-Rivers, J., and Jorgensen, W. L. (2001). Evaluation and Reparametrization of the OPLS-AA Force Field for Proteins via Comparison with Accurate Quantum Chemical Calculations on Peptides. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*. 105(28): 6474-6487.

Kettering, C. F., Shutts, L. W., and Andrews, D. H. (1930). A Representation of the Dynamic Properties of Molecules by Mechanical Models. *Physical Review*. 36: 531.

Kittel C. (1996). *Introduction to Solid State Physics*. (7th ed.) New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Kohn, W. and Sham, L. J. (1965). Self-consistent Equations Including Exchange and Correlation Effects. *Physical Review*. 140(4A): A1133.

Krishnan, R., Frisch, M. J., and Pople, J. A. (1980). Contribution of Triple Substitutions to the Electron Correlation Energy in Fourth-order Perturbation Theory. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 72: 4244-4245.

Krishnan, R. and Pople, J. A. (1978). Approximate 4th-order Perturbation-theory of Electron Correlation Energy. . *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry*. 14: 91-100.

Kutta W. (1901). Beitrag zur näherungsweisen Integration totaler Differentialgleichungen. *Z. Math. Phys*. 46: 435-453.

Leach, A. R. (2001). *Molecular Modelling: Principles and Applications*. (2nd ed.) England: Pearson Education Limited.

Lee, C., Yang, W., and Parr, R. G. (1988). Development of the Colle–Salvetti correlation–energy formula into a functional of the electron density. *Physical Review B*. 37(2): 785-789.

Levitt, M. and Lifson, S. (1969). Refinement of Protein Conformations using a Macromolecular Energy Minimization Procedure. *Journal of Molecular Biology*. 46: 269-279.

Lewars, E. G. (2011). *Computational Chemistry: Introduction to the Theory and Applications of Molecular and Quantum Mechanics*. (2nd ed.) Springer Science+Business Media.

Lifson, S. and Warshel, A. (1968). Consistent Force Field for Calculations of Conformations, Vibrational Spectra, and Enthalpies of Cycloalkane and *n*-Alkane Molecules. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. 49(11): 5116-5129.

Lifson, S., Hagler, A.T., and Dauber, P. (1979). Consistent Force Field Studies of Intermolecular Forces in Hydrogen-Bonded Crystals. 1. Carboxylic Acids, Amides, and the C==O...H-- Hydrogen Bonds. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 101(18): 5111-5121.

Lii, J. H. and Allinger, N. L. (1989a). Molecular Mechanics. The MM3 Force Field for Hydrocarbon. 2. Vibrational Frequencies and Thermodynamics. *American Chemical Society*. 111: 8566-8575.

Lii, J. H. and Allinger, N. L. (1989b). Molecular Mechanics. The MM3 Force Field for Hydrocarbon. 3. The van der Waals' Potentials and Crystal Data for

Aliphatic and Aromatic Hydrocarbons. *American Chemical Society*. 111: 8576-8582.

Lii, J. H., Chen, K. H., and Allinger, N. L. (2004). Alcohorls, Ethers, Carbohydrates and Related Compounds Part V.[2] The Bohlmann Torsional Effect. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*. 108(15): 3006-3015.

Lii, J. H. and Allinger N. L. (2008). The Important Role of Lone-Pairs in Force Field (MM4) Calculations on Hydrogen Bonding in Alcohols. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry A*. 112(46): 11903-11913.

Lim, C. T., Zhou, E. H., Li, A., Vedula, S. R. K., and Fu, H. X. (2006). Experimental Techiques for Single Cell and Single Molecule Biomechanics. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 26: 1278-1288.

Lim, T. C. (2003). Mathematical Connections between Bond-stretching Potential Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 33(1): 29-37.

Lim, T. C. (2004). Connections among Classical Interatomic Potential Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 36(3): 261-269.

Lim, T. C. (2008a). Improved Long Range Relationship between Parameters of the Morse and Rydberg Potential Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 43: 1573-1577.

Lim, T. C. (2008b). Obtaining the Morse Parameter for Large Bond-stretching Using Murrell-Sorbie Parameters. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 14: 103-108.

Lim, T. C. (2010). Modification of Morse Potential in Conventional Force Fields for Applying FPDP Parameters. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 47: 984-989.

Lim, T. C. (2011). Application of Extended-Rydberg Parameters in General Morse Potential Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*. 49: 1086-1091.

MacKerell, A. D., Bashford, D., Bellott, M., Dunbrack, R. L., Evanseck, J. D., Field, M. J., Gao, J., Guo, H., Ha, S., Joseph-McCarthy, D., Kuchnir, L., Kuczera, K., Lau, T. F. K., Mattos, C., Michnick, S., Nago, T., Nguyen, D. T., Prodhom, B., Reiher, W. E., Roux, B., Schlenkrich, M., Smith, J.C., Stote, R., Straub, J., Watanabe, M., Wiórkievicz-Kuczera, J., Yin, D., and Karplus, M. 1998. All-atom Empirical Potential for Molecular Modeling and Dynamics

Studies of Proteins. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*. 102(18): 3586-3616.

MacKerell, A. D. and Banavali, N. (2000). All-atom Empirical Force Field for Nucleic Acids: II. Application to Molecular Dynamics Simulations of DNA and RNA in Solution. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 21(2): 105-120.

Maier, J. A., Martinez, C., Kasavajhala, K., Wickstrom, L., Hauser, K. E., and Simmerling, C. (2015). ff14SB: Improving the Accuracy of Protein Side Chain and Backbone Parameters from ff99SB. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*. 11(8): 3696-3713.

Maple, J. R., Hwang, M.J., Stockfisch, T. P., Dinur, U., Waldman, M., Ewig, C. S., and Hagler, A. T. (1994). Derivation of Class II Force Fields. I. Methodology and Quantum Force Field for the Alkyl Functional Group and Alkane Molecules. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 15(2): 162-182.

Martin, M. G. (2006). Comparison of the AMBER, CHARMM, COMPASS, GROMOS, OPLS, TraPPE and UFF Force Fields for Prediction of Vapour-liquid Coexistence Curves and Liquid Densities. *Fluid Phase Equilibria*. 248(1): 50-55.

Martin, R. M. (2004). *Electronic Structure: Basic Theory and Practical Methods.* United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

Mayo, S. L., Olafson, B. D., and Goddard III, W. A. (1990). DREIDING: A Generic Force Field for Molecular Simulations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 94(26), 8897-8909.

McWeeny, R. (1992). *Methods of Molecular Quantum Mechanics*. (2nd ed.) London: Academic Press.

Mermin, N. D. (1965). Thermal Properties of the Inhomogeneous Electron Gas. *Physical Review*. 137, A1441.

Molecular Physics Laboratory, *Reactive Empirical Bond-order (REBO) Potential*. Franche-comte University, Available from: <http://www.ibrarian.net/navon/paper/Molecular_physics_laboratory.pdf?paperid=8923170>. [23 January 2006].

Momany, F. A. and Rone, R. (1992). Validation of the General Purpose QUANTA ® 3.2/ CHARMm ® Force Field. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 13(7): 888-900.

Morley, S. D., Abraham, R. J., Haworth, I. S., Jackson, D. E., Saunders, M. R., and Vinter, J. G. (1991). COSMIC(90): An Improved Molecular Mechanics Treatment of Hydrocarbons and Conjugated Systems. *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design*. 5: 475-504.

Morse, P. M. (1929). Diatomic Molecules according to the Wave Mechanics. II. Vibrational Levels. *Physical Review*. 34: 57-64.

Moynihan, T 2015, 'Scientists roll out world-class super-microscope to delve into new breakthroughs', *Mirror* 19 February. Available from: <http://www.mirror.co.uk/news/technology-science/science/scientists-roll-out-world-class-super-microscope-5186674>. [19 February 2015].

Møller, C. and Plesset, M. S. (1934). Note on An Approximation Treatment for Many-electron Systems. *Physical Review*. 46(7): 618-622.

Nash, S. G. (1984). Newton-type Minimization via the Lanczos Method. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM) Journal of Numerical Analysis*. 21: 770-788.

Nash, S. G. (2000). A Survey of Truncated-newton Methods. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*. 124: 45-59.

Nevins, N., Chen, K. S., and Allinger, N. L. (1996a). Molecular Mechanics (MM4) Calculations on Alkenes. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 669-694.

Nevins, N., Lii, J. H., and Allinger, N. L. (1996b). Molecular Mechanics (MM4) Calculations on Conjugated Hydrocarbons. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 695-729.

Nevins, N. and Allinger, N. L. (1996). Molecular Mechanics (MM4) Vibrational Frequency Calculations for Alkenes and Conjugated Hydrocarbons. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 17(5-6): 730-746.

Nilsson, L. and Karplus, M. (1986). Empirical Energy Functions for Energy Minimization and Dynamics of Nuclei Acids. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 7(5): 591-616.

Ogawa, T. and Nakano, T. (2010). The Extended Universal Force Field (XUFF): Theory and Applications. *Chem-Bio Informatics Journal*. 10: 111-133.

Oleinik, I. I. and Pettifor D. G. (1999). Analytic Bond-order Potentials beyond Tersoff-Brenner. II. Application to the Hydrocarbons. *Physical Review B.* 59(13): 8500-8507.

Pang, T. (2006). *An Introduction to Computational Physics.* (2[nd]ed.) United States of America: Cambridge University Press.

Patel, S. and Brooks III, C. L.(2004). CHARMM Fluctuating Charge Force Field for Proteins: I Parameterization and Application to Bulk Organic Liquid Simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry.* 25(1): 1-16.

Pettifor, D. G. and Oleinik, I. I. (1999). Analytic Bond-order Potentials Beyond Tersoff-Brenner. I. Theory. *Physical Review B.* 59(13): 8487-8499.

Pople, J. A., Binkley, J. S., and Seeger, R. (1976). Theoretical Models Incorporating Electron Correlation. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry.* 10: 1-19.

Press W. H., Teukolsky S. A., Vetterling W. T., and Flannery B. P. (1992). *Numerical Recipes in C: The Art of Scientific Computing* (2[nd]ed.) United States of America: Cambridge University Press.

Rafi, M., Al-Tuwirqi, R. ,Farhan, H., and Khan, I. A. (2007). A New Four-parameter Empirical Potential Energy Function for Diatomic Molecules. *Pramana Journal of Physics.* 68(6): 959-965.

Rahman, A. (1964). Correlations in the Motion of Atoms in Liquid Argon. *Physical Review A.* 136(2): A405-A411.

Rahman, A. and Stillinger, F. H. (1971). Molecular Dynamics Study of Liquid Water. *Journal of Chemical Physics.* 55(7): 3336-3359.

Rajapandian, V., Raman, S. S., Hakkim, V., Parthasarathi, R., and Subramanian, V. (2009). Molecular Mechanics and Molecular Dynamics Study on Azurin Using Extensible and Systematic Force Field (ESFF).

Ramraj, A., Raju, R. K., Wang, Q., Hillier, I. H., Bryce, R. A., and Vincent, M. A. (2010). An Evaluation of the GLYCAM06 and MM3 Force Fields, and the PM3-D* Molecular Orbital Method for Modelling Prototype Carbohydrate-aromatic Interactions. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling.* 29(3): 321-325.

Rappé, A. K., Casewit, C. J., Colwell, K. S., Goddard III, W. A., and Skiff, W. M. (1992). UFF, A Full Periodic Table Force Field for Molecular Mechanics

and Molecular Dynamics Simulations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 114(25): 10024-10035.

Robertson, M. J., Tirado-Rives, J., and Jorgensen, W. L. (2015). Improved Peptide and Protein Torsional Energetics with the OPLS-AA Force Field. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*. 11(7): 3499-3509.

Runge C. (1895). Ueber die numerische Auflösung von Differentialgleichungen. *Mathematische Annalen*. 46: 167-178.

Rybolt, T. R., Bivona, K. T., Thomas, H. E., and O'Dell, C. M. (2009). Comparison of Gas-solid Chromatography and MM2 Force Field Molecular Binding Energies for Greenhouse Gases on a Carbonaceous Surface. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*. 338(1): 287-292.

Ryjácek, F., Kubar, T., and Hobza, P. (2003). New Parameterization of the Cornell et al. Empirical Force Field Covering Amino Group Nonplanarity in Nucleic Acid Bases. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 24(15): 1891-1901.

Salomon-Ferrer, R., Case, D. A., and Walker, R. C. (2012). An Overview of the Amber Biomolecular Simulation Package. *WIREs Computational Molecular Science 2012*. DOI: 10.1002/wcms.1121.

Scale Diagram: Dominant Objects, Tools, Models, and Forces at Various Different Scales, 2007. Available from: <http://nanosense.sri.com/activities/sizematters/SM_ScalePoster.pdf>. [15 November 2007]

Scale Ladder – from Macro to Atomic, 2013. Available from: <http://sciencelearn.org.nz/Innovation/Innovation-Stories/Revolution-Fibres/Images/Scale-ladder-from-macro-to-atomic>. [29 November 2013].

Schlecht, M. F. (1998). *Molecular Modeling on the PC*. New York: Wiley-VCH.

Schlick, T. (2010). *Molecular Modeling and Simulation: An Interdisciplinary Guide.* (2nd ed.) Springer Science+Business Media.

Schoenberg, I. J. (1946). Contributions to the Problem of Approximation of Equidistant Data by Analytic Functions. Part A. –On the Problem of Smoothing or Graduation. A First Class of Analytic Approximation Formulae. *Quarterly Applied Mathematics*. IV(1): 45-99.

Schrödinger, E. (1926). Quantisierung als Eigenwertproblem. *Annalen der Physik*. 384(4): 361-376.

Shi, S., Yan, L., Yang, Y., Fisher-Shaulsky, J., and Thacher, T. (2003). An Extensible and Systematic Force Field, ESFF, for Molecular Modeling of Organic, Inorganic, and Organometallic Systems. *Journal of Computational Chemistry*. 24(9): 1059-1076.

Smith, A. E. and Coit, D. W. (1996). In Baeck, T., Fogel, D., and Michalewicz, Z. (Eds.) *Handbook of Evolutionary Computation* (Chapter C5.2). Bristol, U.K.: Oxford University Press and Institute of Physics Publishing.

Staroverov, V. N. (2013). In Sukumar, N. (Ed.) *A Matter of Density: Exploring the Electron Density Concept in the Chemical, Biological, and Materials Sciences* (pp. 125-156). Hoboken, N. J.: John Wiley & Sons.

Stewart, E. L., Nevins, N., Allinger, N. L., and Bowen, J. P. (1999). Molecular Mechanics (MM3) Calculations on Oxygen-containing Phosphorus (Coordination IV) Compounds. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*. 64(15): 5350-5360.

Stuart, S. J., Tutein, A. B., and Harrison, J. A. (2000). A Reactive Potential for Hydrocarbons with Intermolecular Interactions. *Journal of Chemical Physics*. 112(14): 6472-6486.

Swope W.C., Anderson H. C., Berens P. H., and Wilson K. R. (1982). A Computer Simulation Method for the Calculation of Equilibrium Constants for the Formation of Physical Clusters of Molecules: Application to Small Water Clusters. *Journal of Chemical Physics*. 76: 637-649.

Tafipolsky, M. and Schmid, R. (2009). A Consistent Force Field for the Carboxylate Group. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation*. 5(10): 2822-2834.

Tan, A. P. and Yeak, S. H. (2011). A New Hydrocarbon Empirical Potential for the Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Nanogear. *Proceeding of National Science Postgraduate Conference 2011*. 15-17 November 2011. Ibnu Sina Institute for Fundamental Science Studies, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia: 10-19. ISBN: 978-967-0194-10-3.

Tan, A. P. and Yeak, S. H. (2014a). A New Hydrocarbon Empirical Potential in Angle Bending Calculation for the Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *AIP Conference Proceedings. Volume 1605. Proceedings of the 21st National Symposium on Mathematical Sciences (SKSM21): Germination of*

Mathematical Sciences Education and Research towards Global Sustainability. 6-8 November 2013. The Gurney Resort Hotel & Residences Pulau Pinang, Malaysia: 203-208. DOI: 10.1063/1.4887589.

Tan, A. P. and Yeak, S. H. (2014b). A New Hydrocarbon Empirical Potential in HCH Case of Angle Bending Calculation for the Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Science Postgraduate Conference 2014 (ISPC2014): Science and Mathematics for Sustainable Society.* 10-12 March 2014. Ibnu Sina Institute for Fundamental Science Studies, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 UTM Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia: 895-906. ISBN: 978-967-0194-39-4.

Tan, A. P., Yeak, S. H., and Sahnoun R. (2016a). Pristine Study of Axial Tension Strain Energy Curve for Single-walled Carbon Nanotube Using Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Computer Science & Computational Mathematics (ICCSCM 2016).* 5-6 May 2016. Aseania Resort and Spa, Langkawi, Malaysia: 363-366. ISBN: 978-967-13798-4-4.

Tan, A. P., Yeak, S. H., and Sahnoun R. (2016b). Pristine Study of Axial Tensile Strain Energy Curve for Single-walled Carbon Nanotube Using Molecular Dynamics Simulation. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology.* 9(28). DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2016/v9i28/97775.

Tchougréeff, A. L. (2008). *Hybrid Methods of Molecular Modeling.* New York, United States: Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

Tersoff, J. (1986). New Empirical Model for the Structural Properties of Silicon. *Physical Review Letters.* 56(6): 632-635.

Tersoff, J. (1988a). New Empirical Approach for the Structure and Energy of Covalent Systems. *Physical Review B.* 37(12), 6991-7000.

Tersoff, J. (1988b). Empirical Interatomic Potential for Silicon with Improved Elastic Properties. *Physical Review B.* 38(14): 9902-9905.

Tersoff, J.(1988c). Empirical Interatomic Potential for Carbon, with Applications to Amorphous Carbon. *Physical Review Letters.* 61(25): 2879-2882.

Tersoff, J. (1989). Modeling Solid-state Chemistry: Interatomic Potentials for Multicomponent Systems. *Physical Review B.* 39(8): 5566-5568.

Tomitori, M. and Sasahara A. (2014). Microscopic Techniques Bridging between Nanoscale and Microscale with An Atomically Sharpened Tip-field Ion Microscopy / Scanning Probe Microscopy / Scanning Electron Microscopy. *Microscopy (Previously Journal of Electron Microscopy).* 63(Supplement 1): i11-i12.

Tosco, P., Stiefl, N., and Landrum, G. (2014). Bringing the MMFF Force Field to the RDKit: Implementation and Validation. *Journal of Cheminformatics.* 6: 37.

Urey, H. C. and Bradley, C. A. (1931). The Vibrations of Pentatonic Tetrahedral Molecules. *Physical Review.* 38: 1969.

Van Duin, A. C. T., Dasgupta, S., Lorant F., and Goddard, III W. A. (2001). ReaxFF: A Reactive Force Field for Hydrocarbons. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A.* 105(41): 9396-9409.

Verlet L. (1967). Computer "Experiments" on Classical Fluid. I. Thermodynamical Properties of Lennard-Jones Molecules. *Physical Review.* 159: 98-103.

Vinter, J. G., Davis, A., and Saunders, M. R. (1987). Strategic Approaches to Drug Design. I. An Integrated Software Framework for Molecular Modelling. *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design.* I(1): 31-51.

Vosko, S. H., Wilk, L., and Nusair, M. (1980). Accurate Spin-dependent Electron Liquid Correlation Energies for Local Spin Density Calculations: A Critical Analysis. *Canadian Journal of Physics.* 58(8): 1200-1211.

Weiner, S. J., Kollman, P. A., Nguyen, D. T., and Case, D. A. (1986). An All Atom Force Field for Simulation of Proteins and Nuclei Acids. *Journal of Computational Chemistry.* 7(2): 230-252.

Westheimer, F. H. and Mayer, J. E. (1946). The Theory of the Racemization of Optically Active Derivatives of Diphenyl. *The Journal of Chemical Physics.* 14: 733.

Wiberg, K. B. (1965). A Scheme for Strain Energy Minimization.Application to the Cycloalkanes. *Journal of the American Chemical Society.* 87(5): 1070-1078.

Yeak, S. H., Ng, T. Y., and Liew, K.M. (2005). Multiscale Modeling of Carbon Nanotubes under Axial Tension and Compression. *Physical Review B.* 72(16): 165401-165409.

Yeak, S. H. (2006). *Multiscale Molecular Modeling and Simulation of Carbon Nanotubes*. Ph.D. Thesis, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.

Yip, S. (Ed.) (2005). *Handbook of Materials Modeling/editor.* The Netherlands: Springer.

Young H. D., Freedman R. A., and Lewis Ford A. (2008). *University Physics with Modern Physics*. (12th ed.) United States of America: Addison-Wesley.

Young, D. C. (2001). *Computational Chemistry: A Practical Guide for Applying Techniques to Real-World Problems.* New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Zettili, N. (2009). *Quantum Mechanics: Concepts and Applications.* (2nd ed.) United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.