RHEOLOGICAL AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL FLUID WITH SUPERPARAMAGNETIC MAGHEMITE NANOPARTICLES

SITI ASMA' BINTI NIKMAT LEONG

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

RHEOLOGICAL AND STABILITY PROPERTIES OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL FLUID WITH SUPERPARAMAGNETIC MAGHEMITE NANOPARTICLES

SITI ASMA' BINTI NIKMAT LEONG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2018

Specially dedicated to My beloved mother, father, husband, son and all my family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to praise the Almighty Allah SWT for the blessing and the opportunity given to pursue and complete my Ph.D. thesis.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my main supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Pakharuddin bin Mohd Samin for his tremendous support during my entire study. His guidance, support and advice throughout this study was invaluable. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Ani binti Idris and Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Saiful Amri bin Mazlan for their excellent guidance on this research. They are truly a great advisors and mentors that always support and motivate me. I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for funding my Ph.D. study.

Appreciation is also given to my fellow friends especially my lab mates from Analysis Lab for their moral support and encouragement during my study. I must also express my appreciation to colleagues in the Vehicle System Engineering (VSE) research laboratory for helping me in completing my research.

A special appreciation to my beloved husband, Hafizuddin bin Ibrahim and my dearest son, Harraz Amsyar bin Hafizuddin for the love, moral support, encouragement and patience. Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved mother, Normah binti Sahrom, my father, Nikmat Leong bin Abdullah and my siblings for the continuous prayer, motivation and understanding that have made me through this journey. Thank you so much for everything.

ABSTRACT

This research is focused on the development of a new magnetorheological (MR) fluid which contains maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles so as to improve its performance. The performance of MR fluid is presented in terms of physical and rheological properties and its application in MR device. In this work, the γ -Fe₂O₃ has been synthesized using co-precipitation method and coated with oleic acid. Two types of MR fluids were prepared, bidisperse MR fluid containing carbonyl iron (CI) microparticles substituted with γ -Fe₂O₃ and MR fluid utilizing γ -Fe₂O₃ additive. MR fluid containing γ -Fe₂O₃ showed great improvement exhibiting reduced sedimentation rate and enhanced re-dispersibility. During the period of 50 hours, the bidisperse MR fluid with 5 wt% of γ -Fe₂O₃ reduced 15% of sedimentation rate and MR fluid with 1 wt% of γ -Fe₂O₃ additive reduced 9.6% of sedimentation rate compared to pure CI MR fluid. The rheological properties of the MR fluid were analyzed with respect to the rheological models of Bingham Plastic, Herschel Bulkley and Casson models. The rheological properties of bidisperse MR fluid revealed that the substitution of 5 wt% γ -Fe₂O₃ increased the yield stress by 8.5% but further substitution of γ -Fe₂O₃ would slightly decrease the yield stress. On the other hand, the MR fluid added with γ -Fe₂O₃ additive showed improvement in yield stress over the entire range of magnetic field applied. The results indicated that the addition of 1 wt% of γ -Fe₂O₃ in MR fluid increased the yield stress by 11.7%. The performance of MR fluid using MR valve equipped with a hydraulic bypass damper resulted in improvement of damping force when γ -Fe₂O₃ is added. The MR fluid with 1 wt% γ -Fe₂O₃ additive improved the maximum damping force up to 11.1% compared to the pure MR fluid. Therefore, the substitution and addition of γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles in the MR fluid improved both its physical and rheological properties, hence it can potentially be used in commercial application as a simple and reliable damping device.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini diberi tumpuan kepada penghasilan bendalir magnetorheologi (MR) baru yang mengandungi nanopartikel *maghemite* (γ -Fe₂O₃) untuk meningkatkan prestasinya. Prestasi bendalir MR ditunjukkan dari segi sifat fizikal dan reologi dan aplikasinya dalam peranti MR. Dalam kajian ini, γ -Fe₂O₃ telah disintesis dengan menggunakan kaedah pemendakan dan dilapisi dengan asid oleik. Dua jenis bendalir MR disediakan, bendalir campuran MR yang mengandungi micropartikel besi karbonil (CI) digantikan dengan γ -Fe₂O₃ dan bendalir MR yang ditambah dengan bahan tambahan γ -Fe₂O₃. Bendalir MR yang mengandungi γ -Fe₂O₃ menunjukkan peningkatan di mana kadar pemendapan dikurangkan dan penyebaran semula dipertingkatkan. Dalam tempoh 50 jam, bendalir campuran MR dengan 5% γ -Fe₂O₃ mengurangkan 15% kadar pemendapan manakala bendalir MR dengan 1% bahan tambahan γ -Fe₂O₃ mengurangkan 9.6% daripada kadar pemendapan berbanding bendalir MR CI tulen. Sifat-sifat reologi dari bendalir MR dianalisis dengan model rheologi iaitu model Bingham Plastic, Herschel Bulkley dan Casson. Sifat rheologi bendalir campuran MR menunjukkan bahawa penggantian 5% γ -Fe₂O₃ meningkatkan tegasan alah sebanyak 8.5% tetapi penggantian γ -Fe₂O₃ seterusnya akan mengurangkan sedikit tegasan alah. Sebaliknya, bendalir MR yang ditambah dengan bahan tambahan γ -Fe₂O₃ menunjukkan penambahan tegasan alah apabila kekuatan medan magnet yang berbeza dikenakan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan 1% γ -Fe₂O₃ dalam bendalir MR meningkatkan tegasan alah sebanyak 11.7%. Prestasi bendalir MR menggunakan injap MR yang dilengkapi dengan peredam pintasan hidraulik menghasilkan peningkatan daya redaman apabila y-Fe₂O₃ ditambah. Bendalir MR dengan bahan tambah 1 % γ-Fe₂O₃ meningkatkan daya redaman maksimum hingga 11.1% berbanding bendalir MR tulen. Oleh itu, penggantian dan penambahan nanopartikel γ -Fe₂O₃ dalam bendalir MR menambah baik ciri fizikal dan rheologinya, maka ia berpotensi untuk digunakan dalam aplikasi komersil sebagai peranti redaman yang ringkas dan boleh dipercayai.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	CLARATION	ii
	DED	DICATION	iii
	ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABS	TRACT	v
	ABS	TRAK	vi
	TAB	BLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	Γ OF TABLES	xi
	LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST	Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST	Γ OF SYMBOLS	Xx
	LIST	Γ OF APPENDICES	xxii
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement	5
	1.3	Research Objectives	7
	1.4	Research Scope	7
	1.5	Significance of Research	8
	1.6	Outline of Thesis	8
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	10
	2.1	Introduction	10
	2.2	Origin of Magnetism	10
		2.2.1 Field Dependent Magnetization	14
	2.3	Magnetorheological Fluids	18
		2.3.1 Magnetic Microparticles	21
		2.3.2 Carrier Liquid	22
		2.3.3 Additives	23

2	2.4	Magne	etic Nanoparticles	24
		2.4.1	Magnetic Nanoparticles Synthesization	27
			method	
		2.4.2	Magnetic Nanoparticles Stabilization	29
			Method	
2	2.5	The U	tilization of Nanoparticles in MR Fluids	32
		2.5.1	MR Fluid Stability	33
		2.5.2	MR Fluid Rheological Behaviour	40
2	2.6	Flow I	Behaviour	48
		2.6.1	Constitutive Model	50
2	2.7	The M	lagnetorheological Valve	51
2	8	Chapte	er Summary	54
R	RESE	ARCH	METHODOLOGY	54
3	.1	Introd	uction	54
3	.2	Resear	rch Methodology Flow Chart	54
3	.3	Materi	ials Selection	56
3	5.4	Synthe	esis of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticle	58
		3.4.1	Surface Coating	58
3	5.5	Prepar	ration of MR Fluid utilizing γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	60
		Nanop	particle	00
		3.5.1	Bidisperse MR Fluid	60
		3.5.2	MR Fluid added with γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticle	61
			Additive	
3	.6	Charao	cterization of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticle	62
		3.6.1	Structural Analysis	62
		3.6.2	Phase Identification Analysis	63
		3.6.3	Morphology Study	64
		3.6.4	Magnetic Properties	64
3	5.7	Charao	cterization of MR Fluid utilizing γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	63
		Nanop	particle	05
		3.7.1	Morphology Study	64
		272		<i>~</i> 4

3

3.7.2 Magnetic Properties 64

3.8	Physical Properties	64
	3.8.1 Density Measurement	64
	3.8.2 Sedimentation Rate	65
	3.8.3 Re-dispersibility	66
3.9	Magnetic Rheological Properties	68
3.10	Application of MR Fluid	69
3.11	Chapter Summary	71
RESU	ULTS AND DISCUSSION	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Characterization of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticles	73
4.3	Characterization of MR Fluid utilizing γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	Nanoparticles	79
4.4	Physical Properties	84
	4.4.1 Density Measurement	84
	4.4.2 Sedimentation Rate	84
	4.4.3 Re-dispersibility	87
4.5	Magnetic Rheological Properties	91
	4.5.1 Off-state Condition	91
	4.5.2 On-state Condition	96
4.6	Dynamic Yield Stress	103
	4.6.1 Yield stress Estimation using Bingham	
	Plastic Constitutive Model	103
	4.6.2 Yield stress Estimation using Herschel	
	Bulkley Constitutive Model	107
	4.6.3 Yield Stress Estimation using Casson	
	Constitutive Model	111
4.7	Comparison of Bidisperse MR Fluid and MR Fluid	119
	added with γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ Nanoparticles Additive	
4.8	Performance of MR Fluid in terms of Damping	
	Force	121
4.9	Chapter Summary	132

4

6	CON	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	133
	6.1	Introduction	133
	6.2	Conclusion	133
	6.3	Recommendations for Future Works	136
TEDENCES			120

139

154-159

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Different types of magnetic behaviour	13
2.2	Summary comparison of the magnetic nanoparticle	
	synthetization method	29
3.1	List of chemicals used in the preparation of MR fluids	57
3.2	Type of MR fluids samples prepared in the experiment	61
4.1	Sedimentation rate of bidisperse MR fluid and MR fluid	
	with γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ as additive	86
4.2	Values of yield stress and R ² for bidisperse MR fluid	
	estimated by constitutive models at different magnetic	
	field applied	116
4.3	Values of yield stress and R ² for MR fluid with different	
	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration estimated	
	by constitutive models at different magnetic field	117
4.4	Comparison of yield stress for all MR fluid samples	
	estimated by Bingham Plastic model at 0.71 T	120
4.5	Maximum damping force at different current applied	
	and percentage increment compared to pure CI MR fluid	
	for all MR fluids at frequency 0.5Hz	131
4.6	Maximum damping force at different current applied	
	and percentage increment compared to pure CI MR fluid	
	for all MR fluids at frequency 1.0Hz	131
4.7	Maximum damping force at different current applied	
	and percentage increment compared to pure CI MR fluid	
	for all MR fluids at frequency 1.5Hz.	131

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The hysteresis loop	16
2.2	Soft magnetic materials (a) and Hard magnetic	
	materials (b)	18
2.3	Schematic image of the MR effect of particles in MR	
	fluid	19
2.4	The application of MR fluid in damper, brake and	
	clutch by Lord corp.	20
2.5	Dynamic yield stress versus magnetic field strength for	
	MR materials consisting iron-cobalt alloy and carbonyl	
	iron	22
2.6	The application of ferrofluid in dynamic loudspeaker	
	(left) and stepper motor (right)	25
2.7	(a) Particles stabilized by electrostatic repulsion. (b)	
	Particles stabilized by steric repulsion	30
2.8	The hydrophobic and hydrophilic layer of surfactant	
	on the surface of magnetic nanoparticles	31
2.9	Carbonyl iron coated with PANI and MWCNT (a)	
	Schematic diagram of synthesis route. (b) Particle	
	morphology using SEM	35
2.10	Flake shape of particles in MR fluid	36
2.11	Microscopic image of MR fluid with 78 wt%	
	microspheres and 2 wt% nanowires under 0.26 T	
	magnetic field	36
2.12	Sedimentation rate measurement using optical tracking	
	of MR fluid	38
2.13	Typical yield stresses under shearing	41

2.14	Snapshots of monolayer monodisperse and bidisperse	
	suspensions with different particle size ratios	43
2.15	Microscopic of magnetically induced chain formation	
	in (A) MR fluid where microcavities are observed. (B)	
	bidispersed MR fluid where nanoparticles fill the	
	microcavities	47
2.16	Microscopic observation of magnetically induced (a)	
	Monodisperse microparticles, (b) Monodisperse	
	nanoparticles and (c) Bidiperse MR fluid	48
2.17	Shear stress versus shear rate for different types of	
	viscoplastic materials	49
2.18	The effect of sudden change of shear rate on apparent	
	viscosity of time-dependent fluids	49
2.19	Basic concept of MR valve with meandering flow path	53
3.1	General process of experiment flow chart	55
3.2	Carbonyl iron used in the MR fluid under influenced	
	of magnet	57
3.3	Schematic diagram of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticle synthesis	
	and coating process	59
3.4	The sample was placed in the tube and measurement	
	was taken for every 30 minutes. (a) the sample of pure	
	CI MR fluid right after been placed and (b) after 3	
	hours	65
3.5	Dimension of the spindle	67
3.6	Schematic image of re-dispersibility measurement,	
	right after placing the sample in the cylinder (a) and	
	after several time steps (b)	67
3.7	Rheological properties measurement using Anton Paar	
	rotational rheometer	68
3.8	Experimental setup for measuring the MR	
	performance using MR valve	69
3.9	MR valve installed to the Shimadzu Fatigue Dynamic	
	Test Machine and DC power supply (a) and Shimadzu	

	Servo controller for data measurement (b)	70
4.1	Coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles in powder form before	
	(a) and after (b) placing near a magnet bar	74
4.2	Coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles in oil - based form	
	before (a) and after (b) placing near a magnet bar	74
4.3	The FT-IR Spectra of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles coated	
	with 2.5 and 4 v% of oleic acid	75
4.4	Graph of viscosity versus shear rate for different	
	coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles with different oleic acid	
	concentration in hydraulic oil	76
4.5	XRD analysis pattern of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles coated	
	with oleic acid	77
4.6	TEM image of oleic acid coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	77
4.7	Size distribution analysis of oleic acid coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	nanoparticles using Image J	78
4.8	Magnetization curve of coated γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	78
4.9	MR fluid utilizing the γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	79
4.10	FESEM image of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles added to the	
	CI particles	80
4.11	Schematic image of the MR effect of pure CI particles	80
4.12	Schematic image of the MR effect of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	nanoparticles added to the CI particles	80
4.13	Size distribution of carbonyl iron particles	81
4.14	Magnetization curve of pure carbonyl iron powder	82
4.15	Magnetization of bidisperse magnetic particles at	
	different particle concentration compared with pure CI	
	and γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	82
4.16	Magnetization of magnetic particles with different	
	concentration of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ additive compared with pure	
	CI and γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles	83
4.17	Sedimentation rate of bidisperse MR fluid utilizing 0,	
	5, 7.5 and 10 wt% of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	85
4.18	Sedimentation rate of MR fluid added with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4	

	and 5 wt% of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ additives	87
4.19	Redispersibility of pure CI MR fluid under different	
	time after placing the sample in the measuring system	88
4.20	Redispersibility of bidisperse MR fluid with 5 wt% of	
	γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ under different time after placing the sample	
	in the measuring system	89
4.21	Redispersibility of MR fluid with 1 wt% γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	additive under different time after placing the sample	
	in the measuring system	90
4.22	Redispersibility of MR fluid with 3 wt% γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	additive under different time after placing the sample	
	in the measuring system	90
4.23	Shear stress vs shear rate of bidisperse MR fluid	
	without the influence of magnetic field	93
4.24	Viscosity vs shear rate of bidisperse MR fluid without	
	the influence of magnetic field	94
4.25	Shear stress vs shear rate of MR fluid with different	
	concentration of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives	
	without the influence of magnetic field	95
4.26	Viscosity vs shear rate of MR fluid with different	
	concentration of γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives	
	without the influence of magnetic field	96
4.27	Shear stress vs shear rate for bidisperse MR fluid at	
	different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles concentration	98
4.28	Viscosity vs shear rate for bidisperse MR fluid at	
	different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles concentration with	
	applied magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b) 0.37 T, (c) 0.55	
	T and (d) 0.71 T	99
4.29	Shear stress vs shear rate for MR fluid with different γ -	
	Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration with	
	applied magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b) 0.37 T, (c) 0.55	
	T and (d) 0.71 T	101
4.30	Viscosity vs shear rate for MR fluid with different γ -	

	Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration with	
	applied magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b) 0.37 T, (c) 0.55	
	T and (d) 0.71 T	102
4.31	Shear stress vs shear rate curve of bidisperse MR fluid	
	with fitted Bingham-plastic constitutive model	
	superimposed at different magnetic field applied	104
4.32	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for bidisperse MR fluid estimated by	
	Bingham-plastic constitutive model	105
4.33	Shear stress vs shear rate curve for MR fluid with	
	different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration	
	with fitted Bingham-plastic model superimposed at	
	different magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b) 0.37 T, (c) 0.55	
	T and (d) 0.71 T	106
4.34	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for MR fluid with different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	nanoparticles additives concentration estimated by	107
	Bingham Plastic constitutive model	
4.35	Shear stress vs shear rate curve of bidisperse MR fluid	
	with fitted Herschel Bulkley constitutive model	
	superimposed at different magnetic field applied	108
4.36	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for bidisperse MR fluid estimated by	
	Herschel Bulkley constitutive model	109
4.37	Shear stress vs shear rate curve for MR fluid with	
	different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration	
	with fitted Herschel-Bulkley constitutive model	
	superimposed at different magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b)	
	0.37 T, (c) 0.55 T and (d) 0.71 T	110
4.38	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for MR fluid with different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	nanoparticles additives concentration estimated by	
	Herschel Bulkley constitutive model	111

4.39	Shear stress vs shear rate curve of bidisperse MR fluid	
	with fitted Casson constitutive model superimposed at	
	different magnetic field applied	113
4.40	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for bidisperse MR fluid estimated by	
	Casson constitutive model	113
4.41	Shear stress vs shear rate curve for MR fluid with	
	different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃ nanoparticles additives concentration	
	with fitted Casson constitutive model superimposed at	
	different magnetic field (a) 0.18 T, (b) 0.37 T, (c) 0.55	
	T and (d) 0.71 T	114
4.42	Dynamic yield stress curve over different applied	
	magnetic field for MR fluid with different γ -Fe ₂ O ₃	
	nanoparticles additives concentration estimated by	
	Casson constitutive model	115
4.43	Force vs displacement of MR valve damper tested	
	using different type of MR fluid at $0 - 1A$ current	
	input for 0.5Hz excitation frequency	123
4.44	Force vs displacement of MR valve damper tested	
	using different type of MR fluid at $0 - 1A$ current	
	input for 1.0Hz excitation frequency	124
4.45	Force vs displacement of MR valve damper tested	
	using different type of MR fluid at $0 - 1A$ current	
	input for 1.5Hz excitation frequency	125
4.46	Force vs velocity of MR valve damper tested using	
	different type of MR fluid at 0-1A current input for	
	0.5Hz excitation frequency	128
4.47	Force vs velocity of MR valve damper tested using	
	different type of MR fluid at 0-1A current input for	
	1.0Hz excitation frequency	129
4.48	Force vs velocity of MR valve damper tested using	
	different type of MR fluid at 0-1A current input for	
	1.5Hz excitation frequency	130

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

γ -Al ₂ O ₃	-	Alumina
γ-Fe ₂ O ₃	-	Maghemite
α -Fe ₂ O ₃	-	Hematite
Co	-	Cobalt
CoFeO ₄	-	Cobalt Ferrites
CI	-	Carbonyl Iron
CNT	-	Carbon nanotube
Cu	-	Copper
CuO	-	Copper Oxide
ER	-	Electrorheological
Fe	-	Iron
Fe ²⁺	-	Iron with oxidation number +2
Fe ³⁺	-	Iron with oxidation number +3
Fe ₃ O ₄	-	Magnetite
FeCl ₂	-	Iron (II) chloride
FeCl ₃	-	Iron (III) chloride
Fe(NO ₃) ₃	-	Iron (III) Nitrate
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope
FT-IR	-	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopic
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
HNO ₃	-	Nitric acid
Mn-Zn Ferrites	-	Manganese-Zinc ferrites
MR	-	Magnetorheological
MWCNT	-	Multiwalled carbon nanotube
NH ₃	-	Ammonia solution
Ni	-	Nickel
PANI	-	Polyaniline

PEG 4000	-	Polyethylene glycol 4000
PMMA	-	Poly methyl methacrylate
PVA	-	Polyvinyl alcohol
\mathbf{R}^2	-	Coefficient of determination
TEM	-	Transmission Electron Microscopy
TiO ₂	-	Titanium Oxide
VSM	-	Vibrating Sample Magnetometer
XRD	-	X-ray Diffraction

LIST OF SYMBOLS

°C	-	Degree celcius
τ	-	Shear stress
$ au_y$	-	Dynamic yield stress
Ϋ́	-	Shear rate
η_p	-	Plastic viscosity
η_∞	-	Fluid viscosity at infinite shear rate
μm	-	Micrometer
$ ho_{particle}$	-	Density of the nanoparticle
$ ho_f$	-	Density of the ferrofluid
$ ho_c$	-	Density of the carrier liquid
Ø _m	-	Particle mass fraction
χ	-	Magnetic susceptibility
μ	-	Permeability
μ_r	-	Relative permeability
π	-	Pi
β	-	Full width of half maximum values
θ	-	Diffraction angle
λ	-	Wavelength
А	-	Ampere
A/m	-	Ampere per meter
В	-	Magnetic flux density
cSt	-	Centistokes
cm ⁻¹	-	per centimeter
emu/g	-	Magnetic moment over weight
emu/cm ³	-	Magnetic moment over volume
g/cm ³	-	Density

Н	-	Magnetic Field Strength
Henry/m	-	Henry per meter
Hz	-	Frequency (Hertz)
g/mL	-	Density
kN	-	Kilo Newton
kA/m	-	Kilo Ampere per meter
kPa	-	Kilo Pascal
Κ	-	Consistency index
Κ	-	Kelvin
mm	-	Milimeter
mm ²	-	Area in milimeter
М	-	Magnetization
Mr	-	Remanent magnetization
M _s	-	Saturation magnetization
n	-	Model constant
nm	-	Nano meter
Pa.s	-	Pascal second
s ⁻¹	-	per second
Т	-	Tesla
v %	-	Volume percentage
wt %	-	Weigth percentage
Wb	-	Weber

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Materials specification and technical data	155
В	Publications	161

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Magnetorheological (MR) fluids fall in the class of smart materials, due to its controllable rheological properties. MR fluid rheological properties can be continuously, rapidly, and reversibly changed with the present of a magnetic field, which makes this material of high interest, due to its real-time MR response [1]. MR fluid typically consist of micron-sized particles suspended in a non-magnetic fluid. MR fluid has an apparent yield stress up to 100 kPa depending on the composition, concentration of the particle and magnetic field strength [2]. The rheology of MR fluids has attracted much attention since its properties can be monitored by the application of magnetic field. Due to the improvement in MR technology, research on the MR characteristic and its applications are increasing, ranging from the automotive and civil engineering to the biomedical applications [3].

The important characteristics of magnetic particles described and used in the MR fluid are includes the saturation magnetization, distribution of particles size and shape and coercive field [4]. Besides the magnetic particles itself, carrier fluid, surfactants and additives are other important factors that can influence the rheological properties, stability and re-dispersibility of MR fluid [1]. In the absence of magnetic field (off-state), the magnetic particles in MR fluid are randomly dispersed in the carrier fluid. Under the influence of magnetic field (on-state), the dispersed particles formed a chain-like structure in the direction of the field with the pole of one particle being attracted to the opposite pole of another particle [5,6]. The inert-particle forces

originating from the alignment of this magnetic particles lead to a material with higher yield stress and apparent viscosity [7]. The chain-like structure formed by the particles during application of magnetic field resist to a certain level of shear stress without breaking and the fluid behave as a solid-like liquid [8]. When the shear stress exceeds a critical level, the chain structure breaks and the fluid starts to flow. The value of shear stress at this critical level is known as apparent yield stress of the fluids [9].

Most of the success of MR fluid used in the devices is largely due to the advancement in fluid technology. The biggest challenges of MR fluid are to have high turn up ratio, high maximum yield stress and producing a stable and redispersible MR fluids [1,10]. To achieve all these criteria, researchers have to find a way to produce the best MR fluid, suitable for commercial applications where manufacturing cost and maximum yield stress are critical issues. Considering high density of microparticles dispersed in the MR fluid, their stability and redispersibility are the main issues. Therefore there are severe need to find improved methods for facilitating their stabilization [11]. To overcome these drawbacks, various methods have been reported to improve stability of MR fluid includes adding surfactant such as oleic acid and stearic salt to prevent aggregation [12], and thixotropic agent or thickening agent such as silica nano and arabic gum [12,13] to prevent particle settling. Moreover, the use of viscoplastics media such as grease [14], water in oil emulsions as continuous phase [15] and ionic liquid as carriers [16,17] have also been investigated. Besides that, some researchers also used different shapes of magnetic particles (flake shape) to improve its stability [18,19]. Furthermore, a few researchers improved the magnetic particles by coating them with polymers such as poly methyl methacrylate (PMMA) [20] and polyaniline (PANI) with multiwalled carbon nanotube (MWCNT) [21]. However, because the coating process using polymers are rather complicated, the additive method using various materials has been adopted. The use of these additives such as carbon nanotube, CNT [22], organoclay [23] and nanowires [24,25] have been found to effectively prevent sedimentation problem [26]. However, it is reported that by adding non-magnetic additive into the MR fluid will hinder the formation of chains, thus, decreases the MR effect [27].

In order to find a new way to enhance the performance, stability and redispersibility of the MR fluid, the focus has been shifted on suspension composed magnetic nanoparticles rather than non-magnetic nanoparticles. Thus, researches have been conducted on the advantages of using the mixture of magnetic nanoparticles and microparticles, called bidisperse MR fluids. Bidisperse MR fluid is a fluid that contain both micro- and nanoparticles, where part of microparticles is replaced with nanoparticles [17]. Chin et al. [28] reported that it is possible to maintain high level of MR effect while reducing the sedimentation rate by replacing only part of the microparticles in MR fluid with nanoparticles. The optimum concentration of nanoparticles at which the highest yield stress is reached is depends on total magnetic particles concentration. Based on previous researches, Wereley et al. [29] reached highest dynamic yield stress with 7.5 wt% of nanoparticles concentration when total particle loading is 60 wt%, whereas, Chauduri et al. [30] measured highest yield stress at 5 wt% of nanoparticles concentration with 45 wt% total particle loading, while Ngatu et al. [31] achieved highest yield stress at 15 wt% of nanoparticles concentration with 80 wt% total particle loading.

Although bidisperse MR fluid was reported to improve the sedimentation rate and re-dispersibility of the suspension, this substitution also offers different results in the enhancement of yield stress. Over the years, most researchers concluded that the substitution of magnetic nanoparticles has both improved the stability and increased the value of yield stress. Trihan et al. [32] and Wereley et al. [29] reported that substitution of 20% magnetic nanoparticles to the MR fluid increase the yield stress. Meanwhile, Chaudhuri et al. [30] reported that substitution of 5% magnetite nanoparticle (Fe₃O₄) increased the yield stress but decreased when the magnetite is 7.5%. Furthermore, Lopez et al. [33] also reported an increased in yield stress for magnetite varied from 0 to 21.6%. Recent research by Jonkkari et al. [17] also reported that 5% substitution of magnetite increased the yield stress up to 13%. On the other hand, several other researchers found that the substitution of magnetic nanoparticle into MR fluid would decrease the value of yield stress eventhough the sedimentation stability is increased. Rosenfelt et al. [34] reported that the yield stress has reduced to 11% for bidisperse suspension compared to the monodisperse suspension. Ngatu et al. [31] also found that magnetic nanoparticles reduced the yield stress of MR fluid up to 64% and Iglesias et al. [35] also reported that the yield stress is decreased when 7% of magnetic nanoparticle is substituted into MR fluid. Upon comparing the results from all the researches, the enhancement of MR fluid yield stress is strongly dependent on the magnetic saturation value of the magnetic nanoparticles itself. Higher value of magnetic saturation in magnetic nanoparticle tends to increase the value of yield stress of MR fluid. Most of the researchers that reported the improvement of MR fluid yield stress used magnetite nanoparticles that have high magnetic saturation, while in contrast, the researchers that reported in reduction of MR fluid yield stress mostly used the iron nanoparticles synthesized from carbonyl iron that have lower value of magnetic saturation. For example, Park et al. [36] reported that the iron nanoparticles synthesized from carbonyl iron magnetic saturation of 4.58 emu/g or 9 kA/m, far lower than magnetite with magnetic saturation of 410 kA/m [37].

Generally, bidisperse MR fluid can increase the value of yield stress compared to monodisperse MR fluid, but however there are certain level of nanoparticles concentrations that can be substituted before the yield stress is decreased [30]. From manufacturer's point of view, bidisperse MR fluid gives advantages in terms of device weight and cost. This is because in bidisperse MR fluid, the concentration of micron-sized particles is reduced and subsequently reduce the weight of the device.

There is also another way to improve the MR fluid stability and at the same time increase the yield stress. Based on the literature, most of the researchers focused on the development of bidisperse MR fluid and there are only a few researches on the use of magnetic nanoparticles as an additive in MR fluid. The usage of magnetic nanoparticle additive is considered as an effective way to enhance both the dispersion stability and MR fluid behaviour. In this type of MR fluid, the magnetic nanoparticle is added to the suspension of MR fluid without reducing the concentration of micron-sized particles. Over the years, there were only 2 researches that have been conducted on the influence of magnetic nanoparticle as an additive in the MR fluid. Park et al. [36] reported the use of iron nanoparticles derived from carbonyl iron with the size of 4 nm, having magnetic saturation of 4.58 emu/g. They added the iron nanoparticles as an additive in the MR fluid with concentration of 0.1 and 1 wt% and found that at high magnetic field, the yield stress of pure MR fluid is 6.8 kPa, MR fluid with 0.1 wt% additive is 7.2 kPa and MR fluid with 1 wt% additive is 9.7 kPa. Later on, Jang et al. reported the use of rod shape maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticle with size of 500 nm as an additive in the MR fluid. They found that the addition of 1 wt% of rod shape γ -Fe₂O₃ in the MR fluid improved the sedimentation rate and at the same time increased the MR properties. Therefore, the research on the effect of magnetic nanoparticles as an additive in the MR fluid should be increasingly chosen and investigated as it contributes to a better performance of MR fluid.

Most of the researchers investigated the use of magnetite nanoparticle in MR fluid compared to magnetite nanoparticle (γ -Fe₂O₃) because the value of magnetic saturation of magnetite nanoparticle is slightly higher than maghemite nanoparticle [38]. However, maghemite nanoparticle is chemically stable and exhibits higher curie temperature compared to magnetite nanoparticle that is not stable and easy to oxidize [39]. The use of maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles in MR fluid would contributes to a better performance of MR fluid in terms of fluid stability and yield stress. Therefore, the development of bidiperse MR fluid and MR fluid added with nanoparticles additive utilizing maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles were investigated in this research.

1.2 Problem Statement

MR fluids are known as smart materials due to the rapid changes in MR response when subjected to a magnetic field. In order to produce high maximum yield stress of MR fluid, micron-sized magnetic particles are used instead of nano-sized magnetic particles [35]. However, due to high density of micron-sized magnetic particles, MR fluid is faced with the problem of instability of the suspension caused by high settling rate which makes it a severe drawback towards more generalized applications [40]. The formation of hard and compact sediment

over time is due to the gravitational forces and remixing it would be difficult because of the remnant magnetism that keeps them in aggregates [28,33,41]. Hence, the need of finding improved methods is crucial in order to stabilize the MR fluid and at the same time to improve the maximum yield stress. In addition, re-dispersion is one of the biggest challenges in the realization of MR fluid and researchers were focusing on the stability or sedimentation rate of this suspension and not what happened after the particles sedimentation occurs [23]. Over the years, researchers improve the stability by adding non-magnetic particle into the MR fluid. Even though the stability has been improved, the rheological properties of the fluid are affected. The formation of particle chains is hinder due to the presence of non-magnetic particle, thus reduce the MR effect. Besides, the value of yield stress also reduced if lower volume fraction or smaller particle size is used.

In terms of MR fluid performance, if the yield stress is reduced, lower performance by the MR device is produced. Furthermore, the use of MR fluid in MR devices is limited commercially due to its high manufacturing cost and low output maximum yield stress. If higher output performance need to be produced, the MR device must be equipped with bulkier and heavier coils to provide high magnetic field, thus an additional space is required [28]. Moreover, the weight of the MR device might also increase if higher volume fraction or larger size of magnetic particles is used in the MR fluid [9]. Therefore, the particles sizing and concentration of magnetic particles suspended in the fluid are limited in order to maintain its stability and low off-state viscosity [15]. The use of magnetic nanoparticles as a substitute particles in MR fluid (bidisperse MR fluid) is reported to improve the fluid stability and increase the fluid yield stress, thus the weight of MR device can be reduced due to lower volume fraction of magnetic microparticles is used. On the other hand, the use of magnetic nanoparticles as an additive in MR fluid is also reported to improve the fluid stability and increase yield stress by maintaining the magnetic microparticles concentration. Based on the previous results, the most generalized method is by introducing the magnetic nanoparticle in the MR fluid either by substituting the magnetic nanoparticles in the MR fluid [29,42,43] or by adding the magnetic nanoparticle as an additive [36,44,45].

1.3 Research Objectives

The objective of the study is to formulate a novel MR fluids utilizing superparamagnetic γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles and investigate the rheological properties suitable for MR device system. More specifically the objectives of this research were:

- a) To synthesize and modify γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles so as to ensure its suitability to the oil phase.
- b) To formulate different compositions of oil-based MR fluid which consist of microparticles, nanoparticles, carrier liquid and additives in order to obtain the most suitable MR fluid based on their rheological characteristics.
- c) To analyze physical and rheological properties under influence of magnetic field.
- d) To evaluate the performance of MR fluid in terms of damping force using MR valve equipped with hydraulic bypass damper.

1.4 Research Scope

The scopes of the study are as follows:

- a) The γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles are synthesized using co-precipitation method and the surface of the nanoparticles are modified using oleic acid.
- b) The oil-based MR fluids are formulated using carbonyl iron (CI) as micronsized particles, γ -Fe₂O₃ as nano-sized particles and hydraulic oil as carrier liquid. γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles was also added as an additive to investigate the influence of the nanoparticles as additive in MR fluid.
- c) The physical properties (density, sedimentation rate and re-dispersibility) and rheological properties (apparent viscosity, shear stress and dynamic yield stress) of the formulated MR fluids are evaluated during off and on-state condition.

d) The performance of MR fluid in terms of force versus displacement and force versus velocity was measured using MR valve equipped with a double rod hydraulic cylinder in bypass configuration at different current magnitudes and frequencies.

1.5 Significance of Research

The significance of this research lies in the enhancement of MR fluids especially to answer the demand for high performance fluid with low sedimentation rate and easy to re-disperse. In this study, synthesized super-paramagnetic nanosized magnetic particles namely maghemite (γ -Fe₂O₃) nanoparticles coated with oleic acid are added to be a part of MR fluids. This research provides knowledge on the effect of γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles in the MR fluids which improves both physical and rheological properties of MR fluids which was never reported. Finally, the novel MR fluid was used in the MR devices which results in the improvement of device performance thus demonstrating its application.

1.6 Outline of Thesis

This thesis is organized in six chapters. The first chapter of this thesis contains an introductory chapter including the research objectives and contributions. Each respective chapter in this thesis ends with a brief summary outlining the achievement and findings that were established in the chapter.

Chapter 2 covers the theoretical background and literature review of the field responsive fluids that undergo rheological changing upon application of external field. This chapter also explains the theoretical background of nanotechnology and MR technology as well as the integration of magnetic nano in micro-particles MR fluids in terms of basic principles and rheological properties. Chapter 3 elaborates the experimental evaluation of the γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles and MR fluids utilizing γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles including the description of experimental setup and the experimental procedure. The details of the procedure to synthesize and coating γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, formulation of MR fluids containing γ -Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles, characterization of MR fluid and the evaluation of MR fluid performance using MR device are also been elaborated. This chapter also includes the list of materials used in this research.

Chapter 4 presents the result and discussion of the experiment for both physical and rheological properties of MR fluid during off- and on-state condition, including the analysis of the experimental results with respect to the rheological model. This chapter also discussed the results obtained from the evaluation of MR fluid performance using MR valve equipped with hydraulic bypass damper.

The final chapter 5 is the concluding chapter which highlights the achieved contribution of the research in the relation to the research objectives. The recommendation for future research work is also presented in this chapter.

REFERENCES

- [1] Genc S and Phule P P 2002 Rheological Properties of Magnetorheological Fluids Smart Mater. Struct. 11 140–6
- [2] Carlson J D 2002 What Makes a Good MR Fluid? J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 13 431–5
- [3] Goncalves, F. D., Jeong-Hoi Koo M A 2006 A Review of the State of the Art in Magnetorheological Fluid Technologies - Part I: MR fluid and MR fluid models *Shock Vib. Dig.* **38** 203–19
- [4] de Vicente J, Klingenberg D J and Hidalgo-Alvarez R 2011
 Magnetorheological fluids: a review Soft Matter 7 3701–10
- [5] Park B J, Fang F F and Choi H J 2010 Magnetorheology: Materials and Application Soft Matter 6 5246
- [6] Mazlan S A 2008 The Behaviour of Magnetorheological Fluids in Squeeze Mode (Dublin City University)
- [7] Felt D, Hagenbuchle M and Liu J 1996 Rheology of a Magnetorheological Fluid J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 7 589–93
- [8] Tang X and Zhang X 2000 Structure-Enhanced Yield Stress of Magnetorheological Fluids 87 2634–8
- [9] Ginder J M and Davis L C 1994 Shear Stresses in Magnetorheological Fluids:
 Role of Magnetic Saturation *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 65 3410–2
- [10] Arief I and Mukhopadhyay P K 2017 Yielding Behavior and Temperature-Induced On-Field Oscillatory Rheological Studies in a Novel MR Suspension J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 429 236–40
- [11] Laherisheth Z and Upadhyay R V 2017 Influence of Particle Shape on the Magnetic and Steady Shear Magnetorheological Properties of Nanoparticle based MR Fluids Smart Mater. Struct. 26 54008

- [12] López-López M T, de Vicente J, González-Caballero F and Durán J D G 2005 Stability of Magnetizable Colloidal Suspensions by Addition of Oleic Acid and Silica Nanoparticles *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 264 75–81
- [13] Turczyn R, Kciuk M, Materials F and Technologies P 2008 Preparation and Study of Model Magnetorheological Fluids J. achivements Mater. Manuf. Eng. 27 131–4
- [14] Rankin P J, Horvath A T and Klingenberg D J 1999 Magnetorheology in Viscoplastic Media *Rheol. Acta* 38 471–7
- [15] Park J H, Chin B D and Park O O 2001 Rheological Properties and Stabilization of Magnetorheological Fluids in a Water-in-Oil Emulsion. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 240 349–54
- [16] Guerrero-Sanchez C, Lara-Ceniceros T, Jimenez-Regalado E, Raşa M and Schubert U S 2007 Magnetorheological Fluids Based on Ionic Liquids Adv. Mater. 19 1740–7
- [17] Jonkkari I, Isakov M and Syrjala S 2014 Sedimentation Stability and Rheological Properties of Ionic Liquid-based Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 1 1–10
- [18] Shah K, Oh J S, Choi S B and Upadhyay R V. 2013 Plate-like Iron Particles based Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluid J. Appl. Phys. 114 213904
- [19] Upadhyay R V, Laherisheth Z and Shah K 2014 Rheological Properties of Soft Magnetic Flake Shaped Iron Particle based Magnetorheological Fluid in Dynamic Mode Smart Mater. Struct. 14 15002
- [20] Choi H J, Park B J, Cho M S and You J L 2007 Core-shell Structured Poly(Methyl Methacrylate) Coated Carbonyl Iron Particles and Their Magnetorheological Characteristics J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 310 2835–7
- [21] Fang F F and Choi H J 2010 Fabrication of Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube-Wrapped Magnetic Carbonyl Iron Microspheres and Their Magnetorheology *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 288 79–84
- [22] Fang F F, Choi H J and Jhon M S 2009 Magnetorheology of Soft Magnetic Carbonyl Iron Suspension with Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Additive and Its Yield Stress Scaling Function *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 351 46–51
- [23] Lopez-Lopez M T, Gomez-Ramirez A, Duran J D G and Gonzalez-Caballero

F 2008 Preparation and Characterization of Iron-Based Magnetorheological Fluids Stabilized by Addition of Organoclay Particles *Langmuir* 7076–84

- [24] Ngatu G T, Wereley N M, Karli J O and Bell R C 2008 Dimorphic Magnetorheological Fluids: Exploiting Partial Substitution of Microspheres by Nanowires Smart Mater. Struct. 17 45022
- [25] Jiang J, Tian Y, Ren D and Meng Y 2011 An Experimental Study on the Normal Stress of Magnetorheological Fluids Smart Mater. Struct. 20 85012
- [26] Kim M W, Han W J, Kim Y H and Choi H J 2016 Effect of a Hard Magnetic Particle Additive on Rheological Characteristics of Microspherical Magnetorheological Fluid Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp. 506 812–20
- [27] Patel R 2011 Mechanism of Chain Formation in Nanofluid based MR Fluids J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 323 1360–3
- [28] Chin B D, Park J H, Kwon M H and Park O O 2001 Rheological Properties and Dispersion Stability of Magnetorheological (MR) Suspensions *Rheol. Acta* 40 211–9
- [29] Wereley N M 2006 Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids using Fe Particles at Nanometer and Micron Scale J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 17 393–401
- [30] Chaudhuri A, Wang G and Wereley N M 2005 Substitution of Micron by Nanometer Scale Powders in Magnetorhelogical Fluids *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 19 1374–80
- [31] Ngatu G T and Wereley N M 2007 Viscometric and Sedimentation Characterization of Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 43 2474–6
- [32] Trihan J, Yoo J, Kotha N M W S, Suggs A, Radhakrishnan R and Love T S B
 J 2003 Impact of Varying Concentrations of Nanometer Sized Particles in a
 Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluid *smart Struct. Mater.* 5052 175–85
- [33] López-López M T, Kuzhir P, Lacis S, Bossis G, González-Caballero F and Durán J D G 2006 Magnetorheology for Suspensions of Solid Particles Dispersed in Ferrofluids J. Phys. Condens. Matter 18 S2803–13
- [34] Rosenfeld N and Wereley N M 2002 Behaviour of Magnetorheological Fluids Utilizing Nanopowder Iron *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 16 2392–8
- [35] Iglesias G R, López-López M T, Durán J D G, González-Caballero F and Delgado A V 2012 Dynamic Characterization of Extremely Bidisperse

Magnetorheological Fluids. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 377 153-9

- [36] Park B J, Song K H and Choi H J 2009 Magnetic carbonyl iron nanoparticle based magnetorheological suspension and its characteristics *Mater. Lett.* 63 1350–2
- [37] López-López M T, de Vicente J, Bossis G, González-Caballero F and Durán J
 D G 2005 Preparation of Stable Magnetorheological Fluids based on
 Extremely Bimodal Iron–Magnetite Suspensions J. Mater. Res. 20 874–81
- [38] Gerber O, Pichon B P, Ulhaq-Bouillet C, Greneche J-M, Lefevre C, Florea I, Ersen O, Begin D, Lemonnier S, Barraud E and Begin-Colin S 2015 Low Oxidation State and Enhanced Magnetic Properties Induced by Raspberry Shaped Nanostructures of Iron Oxide J. Phys. Chem. C 1–16
- [39] Gehring A U, Fischer H, Louvel M, Kunze K and Weidler P G 2009 High Temperature Stability of Natural Maghemite: A Magnetic and Spectroscopic Study *Geophys. J. Int.* 179 1361–71
- [40] Fang F F, Choi H J and Choi W S 2010 Two-Layer Coating with Polymer and Carbon Nanotube on Magnetic Carbonyl Iron Particle and Its Magnetorheology *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 288 359–63
- [41] Sutrisno J, Fuchs A, Sahin H and Gordaninejad F 2013 Surface Coated Iron Particles via Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization for Thermal-Oxidatively Stable High Viscosity Magnetorheological Fluid J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 128 470– 80
- [42] Leong S A N, Mazlan S A, Samin P M and Idris A 2016 Performance of Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids Utilizing Superparamagnetic Maghemite Nanoparticles AIP Proceedings vol 1710p 30050
- [43] Kittipoomwong D, Klingenberg D J and Ulicny J C 2005 Dynamic yield stress enhancement in bidisperse magnetorheological fluids *J. Rheol. (N. Y. N. Y).* 49 1521
- [44] Leong S A N, Samin P M, Idris A, Mazlan S A and A. Rahman A H 2016
 Synthesis , Characterization and Magnetorheological Properties of Carbonyl Iron Suspension with Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles as an Additive Smart Mater. Struct. 25 25025
- [45] Jang D S, Liu Y D, Kim J H and Choi H J 2015 Enhanced Magnetorheology of Soft Magnetic Carbonyl Iron Suspension with Hard Magnetic γ-Fe2O3 Nanoparticle Additive *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 293 641–7

- [46] Genç S 2002 Synthesis and Properties of Magnetorheological (MR) Fluid
- [47] Vijaya M S 2003 Materials Science (Tata McGraw-Hill)
- [48] Cullity B D and Graham C D 2009 Introduction to Magnetic Materials (John Wiley & Sons Inc Publication)
- [49] Askeland D R, Fulay P P and Wright W J 2011 The Science and Engineering of Materials (USA: Cengage Learning)
- [50] Fox a. M 2011 Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, by J.M.D. Coey Contemp. Phys. 52 83–4
- [51] Benz M 2012 Superparamagnetism : Theory and Applications 1–27
- [52] Marolt M 2014 Superparamagnetic materials 1–10
- [53] Zhukov A, Inoue M, Phan M H and Shavrov V 2012 Advanced magnetic materials
- [54] O'Handley R C 2005 Modern Magnetic Materials Principles and Applications IEEE Electr. Insul. Mag. 21
- [55] Mallinson J C, Current A, Engineering E, Kemp P, Levine J I and Mayergoyz
 I D 2004 Characterization and Measurement of Magnetic Materials *Mater*. *Today* 7 63
- [56] Tumanski S 2011 Handbook of Magnetic Measurements ed B Jones and H Huang (CRC Press)
- [57] Fiorillo F 2010 Measurements of Magnetic Materials *Metrologia* 47 S114–42
- [58] Askeland D R 2006 *The Science and Engineering of Materials* (Toronto, Ont: Thomson)
- [59] Fiorillo F 2004 *Measurement and Charaterization of Magnetic Materials* (Amsterdam: Elsevier Academic Press)
- [60] Jiles D 1998 Introduction to Magnetism and Magnetic Materials (Boca Raton: CRC Press)
- [61] Wang X and Gordaninejad F 2006 Study of Magnetorheological Fluids at High Shear Rates *Rheol. Acta* 45 899–908
- [62] Yao G, Yap F F, Chen G, Li W H and Yeo S H 2002 MR damper and its Application for Semi-Active Control of Vehicle Suspension System *Mechatronics* 12 963–73
- [63] Yazid I I M, Mazlan S A, Kikuchi T, Zamzuri H and Imaduddin F 2014 Design of Magnetorheological Damper with a Combination of Shear and Squeeze Modes *Mater. Des.* 54 87–95

- [64] K J Kitching, Cole D J and Cebon D 2000 Performance of a Semi-Active Damper for Heavy Vehicless *Trans. ASME* 122 498–506
- [65] Kumbhar B K, Patil S R and Sawant S M 2015 Synthesis and Characterization of Magneto-Rheological (MR) Fluids for MR Brake Application Eng. Sci. Technol. an Int. J. 1–7
- [66] Ubaidillah, Permata A, Triyono, Tjahjana D, Nizam M, Mazlan S and Imaduddin F 2014 Simulation and Experimental Studies on Braking Response of Inertial Load using Magnetorheological Brake *IEEE* 1–6
- [67] Neelakantan V a. 2005 Modeling and Reduction of Centrifuging in Magnetorheological (MR) Transmission Clutches for Automotive Applications J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 16 703–11
- [68] Spaggiari A 2013 Properties and applications of Magnetorheological fluids *Ital. Res. Smart Mater.* 23 57–61
- [69] Jha S and Jain V K 2009 Rheological Characterization of Magnetorheological Polishing Fluid for MRAFF *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 42 656–68
- [70] Shafrir S N, Romanofsky H J, Skarlinski M, Wang M, Miao C, Salzman S, Chartier T, Mici J, Lambropoulos J C, Shen R, Yang H and Jacobs S D 2009 Zirconia-Coated Carbonyl-Iron-Particle-based Magnetorheological Fluid for Polishing Optical Glasses and Ceramics *Appl. Opt.* **48** 6797–810
- [71] Naito H, Akazawa Y, Tagaya K, Matsumoto T and Tanaka M 2009 An Ankle-Foot Orthosis with a Variable-Resistance Ankle Joint using a Magnetorheological-Fluid Rotary Damper J. Biomech. Sci. Eng. 4 182–91
- [72] Flores G A, Sheng R and Liu J 1999 Medical Applications of Magnetorheological Fluid-a Possible New Cancer Therapy J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 10 708–13
- [73] Scilingo E P, Bicchi A, Rossi D De and Scotto A 2000 A Magnetorheological Fluid as a Haptic Display to Replicate Perceived Biological Tissues Compliance 1st Annual International IEEE-EMBS Special Topic Conference on Microtechnologies in Medicine and Biology. Proceedings (Cat. No.00EX451) pp 229–33
- [74] Weinberg B, Nikitczuk J, Patel S, Patritti B, Mavroidis C, Bonato P and Canavan P 2007 Design, Control and Human Testing of an Active Knee Rehabilitation Orthotic Device *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference* on Robotics and Automation pp 4126–33

- [75] Coolidge J E and Halberg R W 1955 Some Properties of Magnetic Fluid AIEE 149–52
- [76] Phule P P 1998 Synthesis of Novel Magnetorheological Fluids *MRS Bull.* 23–
 5
- [77] Phulé P P, Mihalcin M P and Genc S 1999 The Role of the Dispersed-Phase Remnant Magnetization on the Redispersibility of Magnetorheological Fluids *J. Mater. Res.* 14 3037–41
- [78] Wang X and Gordaninejad F 2008 Magnetorheological Materials and their Applications Intelligent Materials pp 339–85
- [79] Margida A J, Weiss K D and Carlson J D 1996 Magnetorheological MaterialsBased On Iron Alloy Particles *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 10 3335–41
- [80] Kciuk M 2009 Magnetorheological Characterisation of Carbonyl Iron based Suspension J. achivements Mater. Manuf. Eng. 33 135–41
- [81] López-López M T, Durán J D G, Delgado a V and González-Caballero F 2005 Stability and Magnetic Characterization of Oleate-Covered Magnetite Ferrofluids in Different Nonpolar Carriers J. Colloid Interface Sci. 291 144– 51
- [82] Dodbiba G, Park H S, Okaya K and Fujita T 2008 Investigating Magnetorheological Properties of a Mixture of Two Types of Carbonyl Iron Powders Suspended in an Ionic Liquid J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320 1322–7
- [83] Wang D, Zi B, Zeng Y, Hou Y and Meng Q 2014 Temperature-Dependent Material Properties of the Components of Magnetorheological Fuids J. Mater. Sci. 49 8459–70
- [84] Kciuk S, Turczyn R and Kciuk M 2010 Experimental and Numerical Studies of MR Damper with Prototype Magnetorheological Fluid J. achivements Mater. Manuf. Eng. 39 52–9
- [85] Schüth F, Lu A-H and Salabas E L 2007 Magnetic Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Protection, Functionalization, and Application. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*46 1222–44
- [86] Vatta L L, Sanderson R D and Koch K R 2006 Magnetic Nanoparticles : Properties and Potential Applications 78 1793–801
- [87] Tomitaka A, Koshi T, Hatsugai S, Yamada T and Takemura Y 2011 Magnetic Characterization of Surface-Coated Magnetic Nanoparticles for Biomedical Application J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 323 1398–403

- [88] Herranz F, Salinas B, Groult H, Pellico J, Lechuga-Vieco A, Bhavesh R and Ruiz-Cabello J 2014 Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles for Atherosclerosis Imaging *Nanomaterials* 4 408–38
- [89] Gupta A K and Gupta M 2005 Synthesis and Surface Engineering of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications. *Biomaterials* 26 3995–4021
- [90] Wang S, Yang C and Bian X 2012 Magnetoviscous Properties of Fe3O4 Silicon Oil based Ferrofluid J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 324 3361–5
- [91] Chandrasekar M, Suresh S and Chandra Bose A 2010 Experimental Investigations and Theoretical Determination of Thermal Conductivity and Viscosity of Al2O3/Water Nanofluid *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 34 210–6
- [92] Terris B D and Thomson T 2005 Nanofabricated and Self-Assembled Magnetic Structures as Data Storage Media J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 38 R199– 222
- [93] Raj K, Technology V P-, Corporation F and Street S 1987 Ferrofluids-Properties and Applications 8 233–6
- [94] Scherer C and Neto A M F 2005 Ferrofluids Properties and Applications Brazillian J. Phys. 35 718–27
- [95] Raj K and Moskowitz R 1990 Commercial Application of Ferrofluids J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 85 233–45
- [96] Li J, Dai D, Liu X, Lin Y, Huang Y and Bai L 2007 Preparation and characterization of self-formed 22
- [97] Tseng W J and Wu C H 2002 Aggregation, Rheology and Electrophoretic Packing Structure of Aqueous A12O3 Nanoparticle Suspensions *Acta Mater*. 50 3757–66
- [98] Li G L and Wang G H 1999 Synthesis of Nanometer-Sized TiO2 Particles by a Microemulsion Method 11 663–8
- [99] Kole M and Dey T K 2011 Effect of Aggregation on the Viscosity of Copper Oxide-Gear Oil Nanofluids Int. J. Therm. Sci. 50 1741–7
- [100] Arulmurugan R, Vaidyanathan G, Sendhilnathan S and Jeyadevan B 2005
 Preparation and Properties of Temperature-Sensitive Magnetic Fluid having
 Co0.5Zn0.5Fe2O4 and Mn0.5Zn0.5Fe2O4 Nanoparticles *Phys. B Condens. Matter* 368 223–30
- [101] Vaidyanathan G and Sendhilnathan S 2008 Synthesis and Magnetic Properties of Co–Zn Magnetic Fluid J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320 803–5

- [102] López J, González-Bahamón L F, Prado J, Caicedo J C, Zambrano G, Gómez M E, Esteve J and Prieto P 2012 Study of Magnetic and Structural Properties of Ferrofluids based on Cobalt–Zinc Ferrite Nanoparticles J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 324 394–402
- [103] Darezereshki E 2011 One-step synthesis of hematite (a-Fe2O3) nano-particles by direct thermal-decomposition of maghemite *Mater. Lett.* 65 642–5
- [104] Herea D-D, Chiriac H and Lupu N 2011 Preparation and Characterization of Magnetic Nanoparticles with Controlled Magnetization J. Nanoparticle Res. 13 4357–69
- [105] Bonder M, Cardoso S, Dijken S Van, Dittrich R, Dunin-Borkowski R, Ertl O, Ferreira H, Ferreira R, Fidler J, Freitas P, Givord D, Gregg J, Hadjipanayis G and Herzer G 2006 Advanced Magnetic Nanostructures ed D Sellmyer and R Skomski
- [106] Al-Baitai A Y I 2011 Computational Studies of the Interaction of Pollutants with Iron Oxide Surfaces (University of College London)
- [107] Serna C J and Morales M P 2004 Maghemite (γ-Fe2O3) A Versatile Magnetic
 Colloidal Material *Kluwer Acad. Publ. New York* 17 27–81
- [108] Lin L, Li J, Fu J, Lin Y and Liu X 2012 Preparation, Magnetization, and Microstructure of Ionic Ferrofluids based on γ-Fe2O3/Ni2O3 Composite Nanoparticles *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **134** 407–11
- [109] Chen H J, Wang Y M, Qu J M, Hong R Y and Li H Z 2011 Preparation and Characterization of Silicon Oil based Ferrofluid *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 257 10802–7
- [110] Li J, Dai D, Zhao B, Lin Y and Liu C 2002 Properties of Ferrofluid Nanoparticles Prepared by CoPrecipitation and Acid Treatment 261–4
- [111] Bee a., Massart R and Neveu S 1995 Synthesis of Very Fine Maghemite Particles J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 149 6–9
- [112] Massart R 1981 Preparation of Aqueous Magnetic Liquids in Alkaline and Acidic Media *IEEE Trans. Magn.* 17 1980–1
- [113] Yu W W, Falkner J C, Yavuz C T and Colvin V L 2004 Synthesis of Monodisperse Iron Oxide Nanocrystals by Thermal Decomposition of Iron Carboxylate Salts Chem. Commun. (Camb). 2306–7
- [114] Shao H, Lee H-S, Suh Y-J, Kim J-H, Li Y and Kim C-O 2006 Preparation of Monodispersed Iron Nanoparticles by Thermal Decomposition *J. Iron Steel Res. Int.* 13 205–8

- [115] Vidal-Vidal J, Rivas J and López-Quintela M a. 2006 Synthesis of Monodisperse Maghemite Nanoparticles by the Microemulsion Method *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 288 44–51
- [116] Hong R Y, Feng B, Ren Z Q, Xu B, Li H Z, Zheng Y and Wei D G 2008 Preparation of Kerosene-based Magnetic Fluid under Microwave Irradiation via Phase-Transfer Method *Chem. Eng. J.* 144 329–35
- [117] Hong R Y, Zhang S Z, Han Y P, Li H Z, Ding J and Zheng Y 2006 Preparation, Characterization and Application of Bilayer Surfactant-Stabilized Ferrofluids *Powder Technol.* **170** 1–11
- [118] Hayashi K, Sakamoto W and Yogo T 2009 Magnetic and Rheological Properties of Monodisperse Fe3O4 Nanoparticle/Organic Hybrid J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321 450–7
- [119] Charles S W 2002 The Preparation of Magnetic Fluids 3–18
- [120] Laurent S, Forge D, Port M, Roch A, Robic C, Vander Elst L and Muller R N
 2008 Magnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Stabilization,
 Vectorization, Physicochemical Characterizations and Biological Applications
 Chem. Rev. 108 2064–110
- [121] Babes L, Denizot B, Tanguy G, Le Jeune JJ and Jallet P 1999 Synthesis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Used as MRI Contrast Agents: A Parametric Study.
 J. Colloid Interface Sci. 212 474–82
- [122] Vayssières L, Chanéac C, Tronc E and Jolivet J 1998 Size Tailoring of Magnetite Particles Formed by Aqueous Precipitation: An Example of Thermodynamic Stability of Nanometric Oxide Particles. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 205 205–12
- [123] Gribanov N M, Bibik E E, Buzunov O V and Naumov V N 1990 Physico-Chemical Regularities of Obtaining Highly Dispersed Magnetite by the Method of Chemical Condensation J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 85 7–10
- [124] Qiu xing-P 2000 Synthesis and Characterization of Magnetic Nanoparticles Chinese J. Chem. 18 18–21
- [125] Sun S, Zeng H and Robinson D 2003 Monodisperse MFe2O4 (M= Fe, Co, Mn) nanoparticles J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126 273–9
- [126] Nkurikiyimfura I, Wang Y and Pan Z 2013 Heat Transfer Enhancement by Magnetic Nanofluids—A review *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 21 548–61
- [127] Kharisov B I, Dias H V R, Kharissova O V., Vázquez A, Peña Y and Gómez

I 2014 Solubilization, Dispersion and Stabilization of Magnetic Nanoparticles in Water and Non-Aqueous Solvents: Recent Trends *RSC Adv.* **4** 45354–81

- [128] Lopez J A, González F, Bonilla F A, Zambrano G and Gómez M E 2010 Synthesis and Charaterization of Fe3O4 Magnetic Nanofluid *Rev. Lat. Met. Mat.* 30 60–6
- [129] Tomitaka A, Jeun M, Bae S and Takemura Y 2011 Evaluation of Magnetic and Thermal Properties of Ferrite Nanoparticles for Biomedical Applications *J. Magn.* 16 164–8
- [130] Wang Y M, Cao X, Liu G H, Hong R Y, Chen Y M, Chen X F, Li H Z, Xu B and Wei D G 2011 Synthesis of Fe3O4 Magnetic Fluid Used For Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Hyperthermia J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 323 2953–9
- [131] Avdeev M V, Bica D, Vekas L, Aksenov V L, Feoktystov a. V, Rosta L, Garamus V M and Willumeit R 2009 Structural Aspects of Stabilization of Magnetic Fluids by Mono-Carboxylic Acids Solid State Phenom. 152–153 182–5
- [132] Brullot W, Reddy N K, Wouters J, Valev V K, Goderis B, Vermant J and Verbiest T 2012 Versatile Ferrofluids based on Polyethylene Glycol Coated Iron Oxide Nanoparticles J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 324 1919–25
- [133] Qu X R, Lü S C, Fu S F and Meng Q Y 2010 Synthesis and Magnetic Properties of Water-Based Fe₃O₄ Ferrofluid *Key Eng. Mater.* **428–429** 533–6
- [134] Liu G, Hong R Y, Guo L, Li Y G and Li H Z 2011 Preparation, characterization and MRI application of carboxymethyl dextran coated magnetic nanoparticles *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 257 6711–7
- [135] Tural B, Özkan N and Volkan M 2009 Preparation and Characterization of Polymer Coated Superparamagnetic Magnetite nNanoparticle Agglomerates J. Phys. Chem. Solids 70 860–6
- [136] Tsai Z T, Wang J F, Kuo H Y, Shen C R, Wang J J and Yen T C 2010 In Situ Preparation of High Relaxivity Iron Oxide Nanoparticles by Coating with Chitosan: A Potential MRI Contrast Agent Useful for Cell Tracking J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 322 208–13
- [137] Chastellain M, Petri A and Hofmann H 2004 Particle Size Investigations of a Multistep Synthesis of PVA Coated Superparamagnetic Nanoparticles J. Colloid Interface Sci. 278 353–60
- [138] Viota J L, Durán J D G, González-Caballero F and Delgado A V 2007

Magnetic Properties of Extremely Bimodal Magnetite Suspensions J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **314** 80–6

- [139] Yang Y, Li L and Chen G 2009 Static Yield Stress of Ferrofluid-based Magnetorheological Fluids *Rheol. Acta* 48 457–66
- [140] Bossis G 2002 Magnetorheological Fluids J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 252 224-8
- [141] Vicente J De, López-López M T, González-Caballero F and Durán J D G 2003 Rheological Study of the Stabilization of Magnetizable Colloidal Suspensions by Addition of Silica Nanoparticles J. Rheol. (N. Y. N. Y). 47 1093
- [142] Rodríguez-Arco L, López-López M T, Durán J D G, Zubarev A and Chirikov D 2011 Stability and Magnetorheological Behaviour of Magnetic Fluids based on Ionic Liquids. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 23 455101
- [143] Shah K, Oh J-S, Choi S-B and Upadhyay R V. 2013 Plate-like Iron Particles based Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluid J. Appl. Phys. 114 213904
- [144] BELL R C, MILLER E D, KARLi J O, VAVRECK A N and ZIMMERMAN D T 2007 Influence of Particle Shape on the Properties of Magnetorheological Fluids Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 21 5018–25
- [145] Samouhos S and McKinley G 2007 Carbon Nanotube–Magnetite Composites,
 With Applications to Developing Unique Magnetorheological Fluids J. Fluids
 Eng. 129 429
- [146] Kittipoomwong D and Klingenberg D J 2002 Simulation of Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids Int. J. Mod. Physic B 16 2732–8
- [147] Ekwebelam C and See H 2009 Microstructural Investigations of the Yielding Behaviour of Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids *Rheol. Acta* 48 19–32
- [148] Viota J L, Durán J D G and Delgado a. V. 2009 Study of the Magnetorheology of Aqueous Suspensions of Extremely Bimodal Magnetite Particles Eur. Phys. J. E 29 87–94
- [149] Cheng H Bin, Wang J M, Zhang Q J and Wereley N M 2009 Preparation of Composite Magnetic Particles and Aqueous Magnetorheological Fluids Smart Mater. Struct. 18 85009
- [150] Cheng H B, Zuo L, Song J H, Zhang Q J and Wereley N M 2010 Magnetorheology and Sedimentation Behavior of an Aqueous Suspension of Surface Modified Carbonyl Iron Particles J. Appl. Phys. 107 105–8
- [151] Shah K, Xuan Phu D and Choi S-B 2014 Rheological Properties of Bi-

Dispersed Magnetorheological Fluids based on Plate-Like Iron Particles with Application to a Small-Sized Damper *J. Appl. Phys.* **115** 203907

- [152] Gorodkin S R, Kordonski W I, Medvedeva E V., Novikova Z a., Shorey a. B and Jacobs S D 2000 A Method and Device for Measurement of a Sedimentation Constant of Magnetorheological Fluids *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **71** 2476
- [153] López-López M T, de Vicente J, Bossis G, González-Caballero F and Durán J D G 2011 Preparation of Stable Magnetorheological Fluids based on Extremely Bimodal Iron–Magnetite Suspensions J. Mater. Res. 20 874–81
- [154] See H, Kawai A and Ikazaki F 2002 The Effect of Mixing Particles of Different Size on the Electrorheological Response under Steady Shear Flow *Rheol. Acta* 41 55–60
- [155] Weiss K D, Carlson J D, Cary N, Nixon D A and Wilson N 2000 Method and Magnetorheological Fluid Formulation for Increasing the Output of a Magnetorheological Fluid
- [156] Jianrong L, Xianjun W, Xia T, Ruoyu H and Yaqiong W 2015 Preparation and Characterization of Carbonyl Iron Strontium Hexaferrite Magnetorheological Fluids *Particuology* 22 134–44
- [157] Song K H, Park B J and Choi H J 2009 Effect of Magnetic Nanoparticle Additive on Characteristics of Magnetorheological Fluid *IEEE Trans. Magn.*45 4045–8
- [158] Mitsoulis E 2007 Flows of viscoplastic materials: Models and computations Br. Soc. Rheol. 135--178
- [159] Hackley V a and Ferraris C F 2001 Guide to Rheological Nomenclature : Measurements in Ceramic Particulate Systems Guide to Rheological Nomenclature : Measurements in Ceramic Particulate Nist Spec. Publ. 31
- [160] Sidpara A, Das M and Jain V K 2009 Rheological Characterization of Magnetorheological Finishing Fluid Mater. Manuf. Process. 24 1467–78
- [161] Yasser A, Fatah A and Mazlan S A 2015 A Review of Design and Modeling of Magnetorheological Valve Int. J. Mod. Physic B 29
- [162] Ichwan B, Mazlan S A, Imaduddin F, Koga T and Idris M H 2016 Development of a Modular Valve using Meandering Flow Path Structure Smart Mater. Struct. 25 37001
- [163] Ai H X, Wang D H and Liao W H 2006 Design and Modeling of a

Magnetorheological Valve with Both Annular and Radial Flow Paths J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. **17** 327–34

- [164] Ichwan B, Mazlan S A, Imaduddin F and Zamzuri H 2015 Performance Simulation on a Magnetorheological Valve Module using Three Different Commercial Magnetorheological Fluid Adv. Mater. Res. 1123 35–41
- [165] Imaduddin F, Mazlan S A, Rahman M A A, Zamzuri H, Ubaidillah and Ichwan B 2014 A High Performance Magnetorheological Valve with a Meandering Flow Path Smart Mater. Struct. 23 65017
- [166] Idris A, Hassan N, Ismail N S M, Misran E, Yusof N M, Ngomsik A-F and Bee A 2010 Phoyocatalytic Magnetic Separable Beads for Chromium (VI) Reduction *Water Res.* 44 1683–8
- [167] Zhao Y X, Zhuang L, Shen H, Zhang W and Shao Z J 2009 Study of Polydiethylsiloxane-based Ferrofluid with Excellent Frost Resistance Property J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 321 377–81
- [168] Arulmurugan R, Vaidyanathan G, Sendhilnathan S and Jeyadevan B 2006 Mn–Zn ferrite nanoparticles for ferrofluid preparation: Study on thermal– magnetic properties J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 298 83–94
- [169] Ghasemi E, Mirhabibi A and Edrissi M 2008 Synthesis and Rheological Properties of an Iron Oxide Ferrofluid J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 320 2635–9
- [170] Patel R, Upadhyay R V and Mehta R V 2003 Viscosity measurements of a ferrofluid: comparison with various hydrodynamic equations J. Colloid Interface Sci. 263 661–4
- [171] Vékás L, Bica D and Avdeev M V. 2007 Magnetic nanoparticles and concentrated magnetic nanofluids: Synthesis, properties and some applications *China Particuology* 5 43–9
- [172] Leong S A N, Samin P M, Idris A, Azizul M A and Misran E 2013 Effect of PAO-based γ-Fe2O3 and Surfactant Concentration on Viscosity *Appl. Mech. Mater.* 284–287 265–70
- [173] Wereley N M, Chauduri A, Yoo J H, John S, Kotha S, Sugg A, Radhakrishnan R, Love B J and Sudarshan T S 2006 Bidisperse Magnetorheological Fluids using Fe Particles at Nanometer and Micron Scale J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 17 393–401
- [174] Islam A, Chan E, Hin Y, Teo S H and Hoque M A 2014 Studies on the Rheological Properties of Aluminium Oxihydroxide (Boehmite) Colloidal

Suspension Ceram. Int. 40 3779-83

- [175] Imaduddin F, Mazlan S A, Ubaidillah, Zamzuri H and Fatah A Y A 2016 Testing and Parametric Modeling of Magnetorheological Valve with Meandering Flow Path *Nonlinear Dyn.* 85 287–302
- [176] Hong C H and Choi H J 2014 Effect of Halloysite Clay on Magnetic Carbonyl IEEE Trans. Magn. 50 2006004
- [177] Shah K, Upadhyay R V and Aswal V K 2012 Influence of Large Size Magnetic Particles on the Magneto-Viscous Properties of Ferrofluid Smart Mater. Struct. 21 75005
- [178] Bai X X, Hu W and Wereley N M 2013 Magnetorheological Damper Utilizing an Inner Bypass for Ground Vehicle Suspensions IEEE Trans. Magn. 49 3422–5
- [179] Snyder R a., Kamath G M and Wereley N M 2001 Characterization and Analysis of Magnetorheological Damper Behavior under Sinusoidal Loading AIAA J. 39 1240–53
- [180] Cook E, Hu W and Wereley N M 2007 Magnetorheological Bypass Damper Exploiting Flow Through a Porous Channel J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. 18 1197–203
- [181] Wang Q, Ahmadian M and Chen Z 2014 A Novel Double-Piston Magnetorheological Damper for Space Truss Structures Vibration Suppression Shock Vib. 2014 1–11