INTEGRAL MIXING USING NANO SILICON FOR CONCRETE WATERPROOFING

NASIRU ZAKARI MUHAMMAD

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Dedicated to

My family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere and profound appreciations to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Muhd Zaimi Abd. Majid for his support, guidance, encouragement and patience throughout this research period. Likewise, I deeply appreciate the immeasurable contributions of my co-supervisor Dr. Ali Keyvanfar without whose moral guidance, support, and valuable advice during the research and writing, this thesis would have been incomplete. Also, I humbly cherish the encouragement given to me by Prof. Dr. Jahangir Mirza during this journey. Their dedication, technical expertise, generousity, fruitful discussions, motivation, and patience are instrumental to the success of my Doctoral program. My special appreciation to other members of the academic community of UTM, who offered assistance during the ups and downs.

Furthermore, I am thankful to Dr. Gambo Haruna, Dr. Amir Bature, Najiyu Abubakar, Hassan Suleiman Jibrin, Saeed Balubaid, Ernest Egba Ituma among others for the moral supports generously extended to me during the struggle. I equally extend special gratitude to Mukhtra Musa Bako, Dr. Auwal Yusuf for prayers and keeping in touch consistently, even when we are thousands of miles apart.

Moreover, I am grateful to Sadiq Zakari, Imamu Zakari, Binta Zakari, Engr Ali Rabi'u, Sani Abdullahi, Yaya Furera, Yaya Magajiya, who also refused to keep me lonely, during this period. I also appreciate my wife Binta Mukhtar and children Abulkhair and Huzaifah for their patience and support. In addition, I am thankful to my beloved parents for their unconditional love, sacrifice, encouragement and support. Finally, I appreciate the opportunity given to me by KUST, Wudil, and UTM for offering me IDF, for two consecutive semesters

ABSTRACT

Permeation of water and other aggressive fluids in concrete can result in degradation and other aesthetic problems. Consequently, these affect the service life of concrete structures. A number of research studies were undertaken to extend the service life of concrete infrastructures using various waterproofing agents. To this effect, a great deal of repair and maintenance cost can be avoided. The aim of this study is to investigate and establish waterproofing performance of nano silicon-based mortar. In this regard, nano silicon was characterized using Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM), Energy Dispersion Spectroscopy (EDS), Fourier Transformed Infrared (FTIR), X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), surface zeta potential and Water Contact Angle Test (WCA). Response Surface Methodology (RSM) was employed to establish the optimum mix ratio. The relationship between the experimental factors and response was modelled and validity of the model was further evaluated to ensure accurate predictions. To establish precision of the mathematical model, an experiment was planned based on Central Composite Design (CCD). The model was investigated using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Optimum mix ratio, necessary to increase resistance to water absorption was established at nano silicon dosage of 6.6% by weight of cement and w/c of 0.42. Furthermore, an appropriate experimental control test steps for producing waterproof cement mortar was designed. In this regard, necessary test methods from established standards were adopted to constitute supporting structure of the approach. Besides, the results were validated using macro and microstructure tests and indicated that water resistance to capillary absorption of cement mortar increased to 62%. Likewise, water absorption by immersion increased by 37%. Furthermore, resistance to water vapor transmission rate increased to 52%. On the other hand, resistance to gas permeability increased to 31% as compared to reference specimen. Moreover, while the volume of water permeable voids for nano silicon-based mortar was 16.9%, the total porosity of the same specimen was 14%. Macrostructure test indicated a good quality mortar specimen recorded an Ultra Sonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) value of 3623 (m/s). In addition, FESEM and XRD indicated the formation of a crystalline hydrophobic thin film layer of nano silicon within the pore structure of the mortar specimen. In conclusion, the nano silicon-based mortar has been proven to have a good resistance to water permeation.

ABSTRAK

Penelapan air dan cecair agresif yang lain ke dalam konkrit dapat membawa kepada pemerosotan dan masalah estetik lain. Oleh yang demikian, ini memberi kesan kepada hayat perkhidmatan struktur konkrit. Beberapa penyelidikan telah perkhidmatan untuk infrastruktur melaniutkan havat menggunakan pelbagai agen kalis air. Bagi kesan tersebut, banyak kos pembaikan dan penyelenggaraan dapat dielakkan. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji dan menantukan prestasi kalis air.mortar yang berasaskan nano silicon. Dalam hal ini, penentuan ciri nano silikon dilakukan dengan menggunakan Mikroskop Elektron Imbasan Pancaran Medan (FESEM), Spektroskopi Tenaga Penyerakan (EDS), Inframerah Transformasi Fourier (FTIR), Pembelauan Sinar-X (XRD), Keupayaan Permukaan Zeta dan Ujian Sudut Sentuhan Air (WCA). Oleh itu, Metodologi Permukaan Gerak Balas (RSM) digunakan untuk mewujudkan nisbah campuran yang optimum. Hubungan antara faktor-faktor eksperimen dengan tindak balas telah dimodelkan dan selanjutnya kesahan model dinilai untuk memastikan ramalan yang lebih tepat. Bagi mewujudkan ketepatan model matematik, eksperimen dirancang berdasarkan Reka Bentuk Komposit Pusat (CCD). Model ini dikaji menggunakan Analisis Varians (ANOVA). Nisbah campuran yang optimum perlu untuk meningkatkan rintangan kepada penyerapan air yang wujud pada dos nano silikon sebanyak 6.6% mengikut berat simen dan nisbah air kepada simen, iaitu 0.42. Selain itu, langkah-langkah ujian kawalan eksperimen yang sesuai bagi menghasilkan mortar simen kalis air telah dibangunkan. Dalam hal ini, kaedah ujian yang diperlukan untuk menghasilkan piawaian yang ditetapkan telah diterima pakai untuk membentuk struktur bagi menyokong pendekatan ini. Di samping itu, hasil kajian disahkan menggunakan ujian makro dan mikrostruktur yang menunjukkan bahawa rintangan air bagi penyerapan kapilari simen mortar telah meningkat kepada 62%. Begitu juga, penyerapan air dengan rendaman telah meningkat sebanyak 37%. Selain itu, rintangan kepada kadar penghantaran wap air telah meningkat kepada 52%. Di samping itu, rintangan terhadap kebolehtelapan gas telah meningkat kepada 31% berbanding dengan spesimen kawalan. Selain itu, jumlah air lompang telap bagi mortar berasaskan nano silikon pula 16.9% manakala jumlah keliangan spesimen yang sama adalah 14%. Ujian makrostruktur menunjukkan spesimen bagi mortar berkualiti baik merekodkan nilai Halaju Denyut Ultrasonik (UPV) sebanyak 3623 (m/s). Tambahan pula, FESEM dan XRD menunjukkan pembentukan lapisan filem nipis hidrofolik berkristal nano silikon dalam struktur liang spesimen mortar. Kesimpulannya, mortar berdasarkan nano silikon terbukti mempunyai ketahanan yang baik terhadap kemasukan air.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTE | R | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|------|---|-------|
| | DECI | LARATION | ii |
| | DEDI | CATION | iii |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABST | TRACT | V |
| | ABST | `RAK | vi |
| | TABI | LE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST | OF TABLES | XV |
| | LIST | OF FIGURES | xvii |
| | LIST | OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxiii |
| | LIST | OF SYMBOLS | XXV |
| | LIST | OF APPENDICES | xxvi |
| 1 | INTR | CODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Background of the Research | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 6 |
| | 1.3 | Aim and Objectives of the Research | 7 |
| | 1.4 | Scopes of the Research | 7 |
| | 1.5 | Significance of the Research | 8 |
| | 1.6 | Thesis Organisation | 9 |
| 2 | LITE | RATURE REVIEW | 11 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 11 |
| | 2.2 | Waterproof Concrete | 11 |
| | | 2.2.1 Techniques for the Development of | |
| | | Waterproof Concrete | 12 |

| | | 2.2.1.1 | External Membrane (Flexible | | | | |
|-----|---------|--|-----------------------------------|----|--|--|--|
| | | | Sheets Technique) | 12 | | | |
| | | 2.2.1.2 | External Coating | 14 | | | |
| | | 2.2.1.3 | Integral Method | 15 | | | |
| 2.3 | Charact | Characteristics of Various Waterproofing Materials | | | | | |
| | 2.3.2 | Surface | Coating Materials | 16 | | | |
| | | 2.3.2.1 | Polymers Materials | 16 | | | |
| | | 2.3.2.2 | Supplementary Cementing | | | | |
| | | | Materials | 17 | | | |
| | | 2.3.2.3 | Crystalline Materials | 18 | | | |
| | | 2.3.2.4 | Organosilanes/Organosiloxanes. | 19 | | | |
| | | 2.3.2.5 | Nano Based Materials | 19 | | | |
| | | a | Physical Characterization of | | | | |
| | | | nano based materials | 21 | | | |
| | | b | Chemical Characterization | 26 | | | |
| 2.4 | Various | Optimizat | tion Methods of Water Repellent | | | | |
| | Admixt | ures | | 33 | | | |
| 2.5 | Water A | Absorption | Characteristics of Concrete | | | | |
| | Materia | ls | | 43 | | | |
| 2.6 | Previou | s Waterpro | pofing Experimental Control Tests | | | | |
| | Using V | Using Various Waterproofing Agents | | | | | |
| | 2.6.3 | Waterp | roofing Performance of Polymer | | | | |
| | | Membra | anes | 44 | | | |
| | 2.6.4 | Waterp | roofing performance of Surface | | | | |
| | | Coating | g Materials | 45 | | | |
| | | 2.6.2.1 | Waterproofing Performance of | | | | |
| | | | Supplementary Cementing | | | | |
| | | | Materials/Silicate Containing | | | | |
| | | | Compounds | 45 | | | |
| | | 2.6.2.2 | Waterproofing Performance of | | | | |
| | | | Polymer Based Surface Coating | | | | |
| | | | Materials | 46 | | | |
| | | 2.6.2.3 | Waterproofing Performance of | | | | |
| | | | Silanes/Siloxanes | 48 | | | |

| | | 2.6.2.4 Nano Based Surface Coating | |
|-----|----------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| | | Materials | 49 |
| 2.7 | Waterpre | oofing Performance of Water Repellent | |
| | Admixtu | ires | 55 |
| | 2.7.1 | Polymer based Water Repellent | |
| | | Admixture | 56 |
| | 2.7.2 | Silanes/siloxanes Based Water Repelle | ent |
| | | Admixtures | 56 |
| | 2.7.3 | Nano Based Water Repellent Admixtu | re 59 |
| 2.8 | Other W | aterproof Performance Criteria | 59 |
| | 2.8.1 | Water Vapour Transmission Rate | 59 |
| | | 2.8.1.1 Water Vapour Transmission Ra | ate |
| | | of Concrete due to Polymer | |
| | | Based Cementitious Coating | 59 |
| | | 2.8.1.2 Water Vapour Transmission Ra | ate |
| | | of Concrete due to Silane Bas | ed |
| | | Water Repellent Admixtures/ | 61 |
| | | 2.8.1.3 Water Vapour transmission Ra | te |
| | | of Concrete due to Nano Base | :d |
| | | Surface Coating Materials | 61 |
| | 2.8.2 | Gas Permeability | 62 |
| | 2.8.2.1 | Gas Permebility of Concrete due to | |
| | | Coating with Supplementary Cementin | ıg |
| | | Materials/Silicates Containing | |
| | | Compounds | 63 |
| | 2.8.3 | Influence of Water Repellent Admixtu | re |
| | | on ConcreteTotal Porosity | 65 |
| | | 2.8.3.1 Influence of Polymer Based | |
| | | Water Repellent Admixture o | n |
| | | Concrete's Total Porosity | 66 |
| | | 2.8.3.2 Influence of Silane/Siloxanes | \$ |
| | | Water Repellent admixtures o | n |
| | | Concrete Total Porosity | 67 |

| | | 2.8.4 | Influen | ce of Water Repellent Admixtures | |
|---|------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------|----|
| | | | on Con | crete Water Permeable Pores | 69 |
| | | | 2.8.4.1 | Influence of Polymer Based | |
| | | | | Water Repellent Admixture on | |
| | | | | ConcreteWater Permeable Pores | 69 |
| | | | 2.8.4.2 | Influence of Silane/Siloxanes | |
| | | | | Water Repellent Admixtures on | |
| | | | | Concrete Water Permeable Pores | 70 |
| | | 2.8.5 | Influen | ce of Water Repellent Admixtures | |
| | | | on Con | crete Workability | 70 |
| | | | 2.8.5.1 | Influence of Silane Water | |
| | | | | Repellent Admixture on | |
| | | | | Concrete Workability. | 71 |
| | | | 2.8.5.2 | Influence of Nano Based | |
| | | | | Waterproofing Admixtures on | |
| | | | | Concrete Workability | 72 |
| | | 2.8.6 | Influen | ce of Water Repellent Admixtures | |
| | | | on Con | crete Compressive Strength | 72 |
| | 2.9 | Deficienc | cy/Shortc | coming of Previous Experimental | |
| | | Control 7 | Tests App | proaches to Producing Waterproof | |
| | | Concrete | | | 74 |
| | 2.10 | Macro/m | icrostruc | ture tests for establishing the | |
| | | Mechanis | sm of Wa | nterproofing Function | 80 |
| | 2.11 | Waterpro | ofing Me | echanism of Silicates Containing | |
| | | Coating I | Materials | | 81 |
| | 2.12 | Waterpro | ofing Me | echanism of Water Repellent | |
| | | Coatings | | | 84 |
| | 2.13 | Waterpro | ofing Me | echanism of nano Based Surface | |
| | | Coating I | Materials | | 87 |
| | 2.14 | Summary | y of Rese | arch Gap | 91 |
| 3 | METH | ODOLOG | Ϋ́ | | 93 |
| | 3.1 | Introduct | ion | | 93 |
| | 3.2 | Experime | ental Prog | gramme | 95 |
| | | | | | |

| | 3.2.1 | Cement | 95 |
|-----|---------|---|-----|
| | 3.2.2 | Fine Aggregates | 95 |
| | 3.2.3 | Water | 96 |
| | 3.2.4 | Nano Silicon | 96 |
| | | 3.2.4.1 Field Emission Scanning | |
| | | Electron Microscope (FESEM) | 97 |
| | | 3.2.4.2 Particle Size Distribution | 97 |
| | | 3.2.4.3 X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) | 98 |
| | | 3.2.4.4 Water Contact Angle Test | 98 |
| | | 3.2.4.5 Surface Zeta Potential | 99 |
| | | 3.2.4.6 Energy Dispersive X-ray | |
| | | Spectroscopy (EDX) | 99 |
| | | 3.2.4.7 Furier Transform Inra-Red | |
| | | Spectroscopy | 100 |
| | | 3.2.4.8 Niclear Magnetic Resonance | |
| | | (¹ HNMR) | 100 |
| | | 3.2.4.9 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance | |
| | | (¹³ CNMR) | 101 |
| 3.3 | Experin | mental Plan | 102 |
| | 3.3.1 | Preparation of Specimens | 105 |
| | | 3.3.1.1 Mixing | 106 |
| | | 3.3.1.2 Consistency of Mortar | 107 |
| | | 3.3.1.3 Necessary Test Methods with | |
| | | Respect to Experimental | |
| | | Response | 107 |
| 3.4 | Optimiz | zation of Mix ratio | 107 |
| 3.5 | Designi | ing the Steps of Waterproofing Experiment | |
| | Control | Tests | 110 |
| | 3.5.1 | Necessary Test Methods in the | |
| | | Supporting Structure of the Design | |
| | | Approach | 111 |
| | | 3.5.1.1 Capillary Water Absorption | |
| | | Characteristics | 111 |
| | | 3.5.1.2 Compressive Strength | 113 |
| | | | |

| | | | 3.5.1.3 Water Absorption Test by | |
|---|------|----------|--|-----|
| | | | Immersion | 113 |
| | | | 3.5.1.4 Water Vapour Transmission Rate | 114 |
| | | | 3.5.1.5 Gas Permeability | 115 |
| | | | 3.5.1.6 Volume of Water Permeable | |
| | | | Voids | 117 |
| | | | 3.5.1.7 Porosity Test | 118 |
| | 3.6 | Macro a | and microstructure tests | 119 |
| | | 3.6.1 | Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) Test | 119 |
| | | 3.6.2 | Field Emission Scanning Electron | |
| | | | Microscope (FESEM) | 120 |
| | | 3.6.3 | X-ray Diffraction Spectroscopy (XRD) | 121 |
| 4 | RESU | JLTS AND | DISCUSSION | 123 |
| | 4.1 | Introduc | etion | 123 |
| | 4.2 | Physical | and Chemical Characterization of Materials | 123 |
| | | 4.2.1 | Characterization of Fine Aggregates | 123 |
| | | 4.2.2 | Particles Morphology of Nano Silicon | 124 |
| | | 4.2.3 | Particles Size Distribution of Nano | |
| | | | Silicon | 125 |
| | | 4.2.4 | Particle Structure of Nano Silicon | 126 |
| | | 4.2.5 | Wetting Property of Nano Silicon | 127 |
| | | 4.2.6 | Surface Charges of nano Silicon | 128 |
| | | 4.2.7 | Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy | |
| | | | (XRD) of Nano Silicon | 129 |
| | | 4.2.8 | Fureir Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy | |
| | | | (FTIR) of Nano Silicon | 129 |
| | | 4.2.9 | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (1HNMR) | 132 |
| | | 4.2.10 | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (¹³ CNMR) | 134 |
| | 4.3 | Optimiz | ation of Experimental Variables | 136 |
| | | 4.3.1 | Model Summary | 138 |
| | | 4.3.2 | Investigation of the Model | 139 |
| | | 4.3.3 | Model Evaluation | 144 |

| | | 4.3.4 | 3D Response surface Plot in the | |
|---|------|-----------|---|-----|
| | | | Optimization of Variables | 151 |
| | | 4.3.5 | Desirabilty Plot | 153 |
| | 4.4 | Designed | d Steps for Waterproofing Experiment | |
| | | Control ' | Tests | 155 |
| | | 4.4.1 | Workbility Test of OPC and Nano Silicon | |
| | | | Based Mortars Respectively | 157 |
| | | 4.4.2 | Capillary Water Absorption | |
| | | | Characteristics of OPC and Nano Silicon | |
| | | | Based Mortars | 157 |
| | | 4.4.3 | Compressive Strength of OPC nad Nano | |
| | | | Silicon Based Mortars | 159 |
| | | 4.4.4 | Water Absorption of Nano Silicon based | |
| | | | Mortar by Immersion | 160 |
| | | 4.4.5 | Water Vapour Transmission Rate | 161 |
| | | 4.4.6 | Gas Permeability of Mortars | 162 |
| | | 4.4.8 | Porosity of OPC and Nano Silicon Based | |
| | | | Mortars | 164 |
| | 4.5 | Macro an | nd Microstructure Test Results | 165 |
| | | 4.5.1 | Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) | 165 |
| | | 4.5.2 | Field Emission Scanning Electron | |
| | | | Microscope | 166 |
| | | 4.5.3 | X-Ray Diffraction Resuslt | 168 |
| 5 | CONC | CLUSIONS | S AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 171 |
| | 5.1 | Introduc | tion | 171 |
| | 5.2 | Conclusi | ions | 171 |
| | | 5.2.1 | Characterization of Nano Silicon for | |
| | | | Application in Cement Mortar as | |
| | | | Waterproofing Agent | 172 |
| | | 5.2.2 | Optimization of Mix ratio of Nano | |
| | | | Silicon and Water Cement ratio in | |
| | | | Cement Mortar Based on Capillary Water | |
| | | | Absorption and Compressive Strength | 172 |
| | | | | |

| | 5.2.3 | Designing of steps for Waterproofiing | |
|------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| | | Experiment Control Test | 172 |
| | 5.2.4 | Establishment of waterproofing | |
| | | mechanism using Macro and Micro | |
| | | structure tests | 173 |
| 5.3 | Recomm | endations | 173 |
| 5.4 | Contribut | tions | 174 |
| 5.5 | Limitatio | ns | 174 |
| REFERENCES | | | 175 |
| Appendices A - C | | | 193 – 195 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | . TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Chemical Composition of Fly-ash (Bohus et al., 2012) | 18 |
| 2.2 | Characteristics of Nano Materials | 32 |
| 2.3 | Taxonomy of dependent and independent variables in | |
| | the optimization methods as reported in previous | |
| | studies | 37 |
| 2.4 | Taxonomy of necessary waterproofing experimental | |
| | control test approch to producing waterproof Concretes | 77 |
| 2.5 | Taxonomy of macro/microstructure tests to establish | |
| | waterproofing mechanism | 90 |
| 3.1 | Chemical composition of OPC | 95 |
| 3.2 | Experimental Factors and their levels | 103 |
| 3.3 | Experimental Plan | 105 |
| 3.4 | Mix design | 105 |
| 4.1 | Physical properties of fine aggregate | 124 |
| 4.2 | Zeta potential values for OPC plain mortar and nano | |
| | silicon | 128 |
| 4.3 | Experimental Results for Capillary Water Absorption | |
| | and Compressive Strength | 137 |
| 4.4 | Model summary statistic for water absorption | 138 |
| 4.5 | Model summary statistic for compressive strength | 138 |
| 4.6 | Results for ANOVA of Response surface quadratic | |
| | model (water absorption) | 140 |
| 4.7 | Results for ANOVA of Response surface quadraitic | |
| | model (Compressive strength) | 142 |

| 4.8 | Table of Predicted VS Actual Results of Capillary Water | | | |
|------|---|-----|--|--|
| | Absorption | 148 | | |
| 4.9 | Predicted VS Actual Result of compressive strength | 150 | | |
| 4.10 | Pulse Velocity Values | 166 | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE N | O. TITLE | PAGE | |
|----------|--|------|--|
| 1.1 | Taxonomy of approaches for the development of | | |
| | waterproof concrete (Muhammad et al, 2015) | 3 | |
| 2.1 | Waterproofing of Bridge Deck using membrane | | |
| | (NCHRP, 2012) | 13 | |
| 2.2 | Typical failure of waterproof membrane (Suffian, 2013), | 14 | |
| 2.3 | Typical long term failure of surface coating agent | | |
| | (Suffian, 2013) | 15 | |
| 2.4 | TEM Morphology of polymer (Wang et al, 2002) | 17 | |
| .2.5 | Photo of crystalline penetrating sealer | 18 | |
| 2.6 | Generic structures of functional organosilanes with | | |
| | varying numbers of hydrolyzable substituents on silicon. | | |
| | (Antonucci et al., 2005) | 19 | |
| 2.7 | SEM images of films from alkyl-passivated | | |
| | monocrystalline silicon particle after milling for the | | |
| | indicated time length. (Hallmann et al, 2010), | 22 | |
| 2.8 | Drop images used for static contact angle measurements | | |
| | (Hallmann et al, 2010), | 23 | |
| 2.9 | TEM morphology of Silicon nanoparticles (Hallmann et | | |
| | al., 2011) | 23 | |
| 2.10 | Particle Size Distribution of Silicon nanoparticles | | |
| | (Hallmann et al., 2011) | 24 | |
| 2.11 | SEM image of CNS-10 nm. (Hou et al., 2014) | 25 | |
| 2.12 | TEM image of CNS-20 nm (Hou et al., 2015 | 25 | |
| 2.13 | ¹ H NMR of milling product and octane in chloroform | | |
| | (Hallmann et al, 2010), | 26 | |

| 2.14 | ¹³ C NMR of milling product and octane in chloroform | |
|------|--|----|
| | (Hallmann et al., 2010), | 27 |
| 2.15 | FTIR spectra of dried silicon particle film produced by | |
| | milling in octene. (Hallmann et al., 2010) | 28 |
| 2.16 | FTIR comparison of the dry alkyl-passivated | |
| | monocrystalline silicon film obtained from silicon milled | |
| | for various times (Hallmann et al., 2010) | 29 |
| 2.17 | EDS of Silicon nanoparticles (Hallmann et al., 2011) | 29 |
| 2.18 | ¹ HNMR) nuclear magnetic resonance (Hallmann et al., | |
| | 2011) | 30 |
| 2.19 | ¹³ CNMR) nuclear magnetic resonance (Hallmann et al., | |
| | 2011) | 30 |
| 2.20 | FTIR spectra of silicon (Hallmann et al., 2011) | 31 |
| 2.21 | Maximum point within the experimental region (Bezerra | |
| | et al., 2008). | 40 |
| 2.22 | a Maximum point within the experimental region | |
| | (Bezerra et al., 2008). | 41 |
| 2.23 | Maximum point outside experimental region (Bezerra et | |
| | al., 2008). | 41 |
| 2.24 | Typical minimum Point within the experimental region | |
| | (Bezerra et al., 2008). | 42 |
| 2.25 | Saddle Point.as a critical point (Bezerra et al., 2008). | 42 |
| 2.26 | Sorptivity test on the w/c 0.45_35%, w/c 0.65_35% | |
| | cores (left) and on the w/c 0.45 $_90\%$, w/c 0.65 $_90\%$ | |
| | ones (right) (Pigino et al, 2012) | 46 |
| 2.27 | Variation of the weight gained by coated and uncoated | |
| | mortar specimens due to water absorption (Almusallam | |
| | et al., 2003) | 47 |
| 2.28 | Permeability of concrete samples with and without | |
| | coating (Woo et al., 2008) | 50 |
| 2.29 | Average water contact angle results (Zhang et al., 2012) | 50 |
| 2.30 | Water absorption ratios of mortars cured at 14 days at | |
| | 50° C w/c = 0.6, 50 _C/95%RH; (Hou et al., 2014) | 51 |

| 2.31 | Water absorption ratios of mortars cured at 14 days at 50° C. | 52 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.22 | | 32 |
| 2.32 | Water absorption ratios of mortars cured at 14 days at 20° C. | 52 |
| 2.33 | Effects of surface treatment of cement paste of CNS and | |
| 2.33 | TEOS on the water absorption ratio at w/c of 0.26 (Hou | |
| | et al., 2015) | 53 |
| 2.34 | Effects of surface treatment of cement paste of CNS and | |
| | TEOS on the water absorption ratio at w/c of 0.38 (Hou | |
| | et al, 2015) | 54 |
| 2.35 | Effects of surface treatment of cement paste of CNS and | |
| | TEOS on the water absorption ratio at w/c of 0.60 (Hou | |
| | et al., 2015) | 54 |
| 2.36 | Effects of surface treatment of cement paste of CNS and | |
| | TEOS on the water absorption ratio at w/c 1.0 (Hou et | |
| | al., 2015) | 55 |
| 2.37 | Water absorption vs. Operation time (Zhu et al., 2013) | 57 |
| 2.38 | Amount of water absorbed by concrete containing | |
| | different dosages of silane. (Zhang et al., 2011 | 58 |
| 2.39 | Weight loss in water vapor permeability tests: (a) | |
| | uncoated concrete; (b) coated concrete and coating layer. | |
| | (Diamanti et al., 2013) | 60 |
| 2.40 | Effect of surface treatment of cement with CNS and the | |
| | TEOS on the water vapor transmission coefficient at | |
| | different w/c Ratios | 62 |
| 2.41 | Results of the carbonation depth in w/c 0.45 and w/c | |
| | 0.65 samples after 60 d in the carbonation chamber (dk = | |
| | mean carbonation depth); (Pigino et al. 2012) | 63 |
| 2.42 | Relationship between loss of methanol gas and time for | |
| | mortar made with carbon nano tube and reference mortar | |
| | (Han et al., 2012) | 65 |
| 2.43 | Relationship between loss of methanol gas and time for | |
| | mortar made with carbon nano tube and reference mortar | |
| | (Han et al, 2012) | 65 |

| 2.44 | Pore size distribution of reference mortars and mortars | |
|------|--|----|
| | enriched with oil: (Nunes and Slizkova 2014) | 68 |
| 2.45 | Slump of concrete (Zhu et al., 2013) | 71 |
| 2.46 | Compressive strength of concrete with different amount | |
| | of silane emulsion at the ages of 7 and 28 days (Zhang et | |
| | al., 2011) | 73 |
| 2.47 | Development of compressive strength of concretes (Zhu | |
| | et al., 2013) | 74 |
| 2.48 | Morphology of control and treated cement paste with | |
| | silicate containing compound (Cai et al., 2016) | 82 |
| 2.49 | SEM morphology of treated sample with silicate | |
| | containing compound | 83 |
| 2.50 | SEM microphotographs of mortar with surface | |
| | treatment: (a) no treatment; (b) 30% magnesium | |
| | fluorosilicate treatment Pan et al (2013) | 83 |
| 2.51 | SEM images of untreated (a) and treated mortar (a) | |
| | (Gong et al., 2016) | 84 |
| 2.52 | Image of control and treated specimen (Falch et | |
| | al.,2013) | 85 |
| 2.53 | XRD pattern of control and treated specimen (Falchi et | |
| | al., 2013). | 86 |
| 2.54 | Morphology of cement based mortar modified with | |
| | polymer based water repellent admixture (Faiz et al., | |
| | 2011) | 86 |
| 2.55 | XRD pattern of treated specimen at 10hrs and 7 days old | |
| | Hou et al. (2014) | 87 |
| 2.56 | SEM images of control and treated specimen Hou et al. | |
| | (2014) | 88 |
| 2.57 | Hydrolysis product of silane in the presence of nano | |
| | SiO ₂ in concrete's alkaline environment (Hou et al., | |
| | 2015) | 89 |
| 3.1 | Research Methodology | 94 |
| 3.2 | Water contact angle measurement equipment | 98 |
| 3.3 | Zeta Potential Equipment | 99 |

| 3.4 | Typical NMR Equipment | 101 |
|------|---|-----|
| 3.5 | DoE Interface showing the range of experimental factors | 103 |
| 3.6 | DoE interface displaying the order of experimental | |
| | responses | 104 |
| 3.7 | Typical mixing operation | 106 |
| 3.8 | Quadratic model | 109 |
| 3.9 | Typical Capillary water absorption test | 112 |
| 3.10 | Typical water absorption test by immersion | 114 |
| 3.11 | Water bath for gas permeability test | 117 |
| 3.12 | Typical equipment for porosity test | 119 |
| 3.13 | Typical UPV Measurement for mortar specimen | 120 |
| 3.14 | Typical FESEM Equipment | 121 |
| 3.15 | Typical XRD Equipment | 122 |
| 4.1 | FESEM image micrograph of nano silicon at 25,000 x | |
| | Mg | 125 |
| 4.2 | Particle size distribution of nano silicon | 126 |
| 4.3 | XRD pattern of nano silicon particles | 127 |
| 4.4 | Water contact angle measurement | 128 |
| 4.5 | EDX plot of spectra intensity versus X-ray energy of | |
| | nano silicon | 129 |
| 4.6 | FTIR Spectra of nano silicon | 131 |
| 4.7 | ¹ HNMR of nano Silicon | 133 |
| 4.8 | ¹³ CNMR of nano Silicon | 135 |
| 4.9 | Diagnostic plot of comparison between actual and | |
| | predicted results water absorption | 144 |
| 4.10 | Diagnostic plot of comparison between actual and | |
| | predicted compressive strength | 145 |
| 4.11 | Normal probability plot residual for water absorption | 146 |
| 4.12 | Normal probability plot residual for compressive | |
| | strength | 147 |
| 4.13 | 3D plot for Influence of nano silicon and w/c on water | |
| | absorption characteristics | 152 |
| 4.14 | 3D plot for Influence of nano silicon and w/c on | |
| | compressive strength | 153 |

| 4.15 | Desirability plots for water absorption characteristics | 154 |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.16 | Desirability plot for compressive strength | 155 |
| 4.17 | Necessary control test in the designed approach | 156 |
| 4.18 | Workability of OPC and nano silicon based mortars | 157 |
| 4.19 | Capillary water absorption characteristics of nano silicon | |
| | based mortar | 158 |
| 4.20 | Strength development of OPC and nano silicon based | |
| | mortars. | 160 |
| 4.21 | Water vapor transmission characteristics of OPC and | |
| | nano silicon based mortar | 161 |
| 4.22 | Relationship between loss of methanol gas and time | 163 |
| 4.23 | Change in volume of water permeable voids of OPC and | |
| | nano silicon based mortars over time | 164 |
| 4.24 | Porosity of plain OPC and nano silicon based mortar. | 165 |
| 4.25 | FESEM image of mortar | 167 |
| 4.26 | FESEM image of mortars at 28 days | 167 |
| 4.27 | XRD pattern of mortar after 7days | 168 |
| 4.28 | FESEM image of mortar at 28 days | 169 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A - Acrylic

Adj R - Adjusted Regression Coefficient

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

ANOVA - Analysis of Variance

BS - British Standard

CCD - Central Composite Design

CNS - Colloidal Nano Silica

Comp Str - Compressive Strength

CV - Coefficient of Variation

Cor - Total Corrected

DoE - Design of Experiment

EDS - Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy

FTIR - Furrier Transformed Infrared

FESEM - Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope

GCRC - German Committee of Reinforced Concrete

NCA - Natural Aggregate Concrete

NS - Nano Silicon

NMR - Nuclear Magnetic Resonance

OPC - Ordinary Portland Cement

PRESS - Predicted Residual Sum Of Squares

PU - Polyurathane

predR Predicted Regression Coefficient

SEM - Scanning Electron Microscope

R - Regression Coefficient

RAC - Recycled Aggregate Concrete

RH - Relative Humidity

RSM - Response Surface Methodology

RILEM - International Union of Laboratories and Experts in

Construction Materials, System and Structures

TEM - Transmission Electron Microscope

UPV - Ultrasonic Pulse velocity

W/C - Water Cement ratio

WCA - Water Contact Angle

WVTR - Water Vapour Transmission Rate

XRD - X-Ray Diffraction

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Nano Silicon dosage A В Magnitude of water cement ratio K Number of variables β0 Constant term Coefficient of linear parameter βi Represents the variable factor χi Residual associated with experiment ε, Coefficient of interaction βij Coefficient of quadratic parameters βii W_T Weight od specimen at time T Wo Initial weight of specimen at time T Ι Absorption S Capillary coefficient TTime Average length of the test surface L_1 GWeight change m^* Rate of mass loss K Permeability coefficient Dynamic viscosity η P_{I} Inlet pressure Outlet pressure P_2 QFlow rate

Nano Silicon dosage

A

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX TITLE | | PAGE |
|----------------|--|------|
| A | Publications | 195 |
| В | Screen view of Response Surface Methodology | 196 |
| | software | |
| C | Capillary Water absorption calculation Example | 197 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Research

Concrete is the most widely consumed material after water in the construction industry. According to Schutter and Audenaert (2004), though concrete is a rigid and porous material, these networks of interconnected pores interact with the environment. Consequently, it becomes susceptible to ingress of water and other aggressive fluids. Lulu et al. (2001) asserted that water ingress causes degradation and deterioration of concrete structures over time. Likewise, Chen et al. (2013) confirmed that infrastructures situated within an environment with relatively high humidity or close to water table are prone to deterioration due to the ingress of water.

Concrete is essentially a water-resistant material. However, Aldea et al. (1999) stated that water still permeates the exposed concrete structures such as pavement and bridge deck. This permeaition causes corrosion problems of the reinforcing steel bars and poor aesthetic of building façade. According to Neville (2002), variations in the ingredients mixed during its preparation can affect the degree of water-resistance and porosity. Also, type and quantity of interconnected pores in the concrete, as well as their spread within the matrix, largely influence the permeability. And this was also confirmed by Schutter and Audenaert (2004). Consequently, the service life of concrete material is adversely affected (Dai et al., 2010). Therefore, it becomes necessary to inspect and maintain concrete structure over time periodically. The inspection and maintenance techniques used for infrastructures have compelled attention. In this regard, the critical examination and

subsequent maintenance of not so readily accessible infrastructures proved difficult due to the lack of funds required to cover the phenomenal costs

To this effect, concrete infrastructures need to be protected and thus, prolong the life span. Muhammad et al. (2015) reported that in an attempt to avoid traditional approach of detection and control of water seepage related problems, different approaches were adopted by many researchers to develop waterproof concrete

According to National Corporation of Highway Research Program (NCHRP-244, 1981), waterproof material should not absorb more than 2.5% moisture in comparison to control specimen. However, German Committee on Reinforce Concrete (GCRC, 1991) recommends that waterproof concrete should not absorb more than 50% of the moisture/water in comparison to reference specimen. On the other hand, Basheer et al. (1997) asserted that to date, there were no universally adopted criteria for rating water resistance penetration. Alternatively, British Standard (BS EN 14695-2010) recommends that waterproof concrete is one that prevents passage of water from one plane to another. Consequently, a great deal of repair and maintenance cost could substantially be avoided. To this effect, Zhu et al. (2013) reported that the use of waterproof concrete plays a critical role in improving the performance of concrete infrastructure by extending their service life. According to Muhammad et al. (2015), this can be achieved through a method of external membrane, external coating and integral method as indicated in Figure 1.1.




Figure 1.1: Taxonomy of approaches for the development of waterproof concrete (Muhammad et al., 2015)

Muhammad et al. (2015) stated that methods of external membrane and surface coating using waterproofing agents (solutions) are the common approaches for

protection of concrete infrastructure. In the method of external membrane, sheets of polymers are usually overlaid on the concrete's surface. On the other hand, during the method of surface coating; waterproof solutions are sprayed on the exposed surface of concrete for rehabilitation of an old infrastructure or protection of newly cast concrete. The integral mixing method involves the addition of water repellent admixture during mixing of concrete and thus, it is exclusively for new infrastructure.

Therefore, polymer membrane, waterproof solutions and water repellent admixtures are the typical waterproofing agents. However, due to variability of mechanism of action of waterproofing agents in any of the methods, the performance of each of these agents varies. While some methods and agents are deficients, others were found to possess a remarkable attribute. Consequently, these restrict the extent to which each agent and method can be applied to develop waterproof concrete.

To increase water resistance of concrete, the use of waterproof membrane on concrete deck was investigated. In this regard, Zhou and Xu (2009) and Liu et al. (2014) studied the influence of surface roughness, material quantity, compaction temperature as well as environmental temperature on adhesive strength between the concrete deck and overlaid waterproof membrane. The results showed that adhesion between the membrane and the concrete progressively deteriorates due to the fluctuation of environmental conditions. According to Suffian (2013), long term protective effect of this membrane cannot be guaranteed

Also, Blight (1991) investigated and established the performance of silanes-based waterproof solutions as a surface coating for concrete infrastructure. The result indicated that the performance of silane as a waterproofing agent decreased over time. This decrease was attributed to the low viscosity of the waterproof solution, which makes its apparently difficult to sufficiently penetrates the concrete due to evaporation during application (Dai et al., 2010). In addition, Suffian (2013) asserted that long efficiency of surface coating agents is compromised and thus, need to be reapplied in the future.

To offset these limitations, the use of silane-based integral water repellent admixtures was acknowledged (Zhang et al., 2011). In the integral method, conventional approach using one-variable-at-a-time was the common practice by the previous studies in the optimization of water repellent admixtures. However, resistance to water and other transport properties was improved at an optimum amount of admixture compared to reference specimen. On the other hand, compressive strength was found to be significantly reduced. This finding was supported by Vejmelkova et al. (2012) and Zhu et al. (2013) where they reported significant resistance to water absorption at an optimum amount of zinc stearate and silane respectively. However, compressive strength was substantially reduced. In another study, Nunes and Slizkova (2014) investigated the performance of linseed oil as a water repellent admixture in lime mortar. Though the result indicated a significant resistance to water ingress, but compressive strength was also drastically reduced.

In view of the need to increase the level of alternatives to the existing waterproofing admixtures and perhaps, to improve their performance, the use of nano materials is currently found. To date, some studies were conducted to reduce transport properties in cement based materials and thus, to increase water resistance using different nano based materials (Hou et al., 2015; Hou et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2012; Woo et al., 2008). In the recent years, while, Hallmann et al. (2010) have investigated the characteristics of nano silicon, on the other hand, there has not been a study on the use of hydrophobic nano crystalline silicon as cement based water repellent admixture.

.

1.2 Problem Statement

Intrusion of water into concrete structures causes reinforcement corrosion, poor aesthetic of building façade, cracks and other forms of degradation. Likewise, due to water intrusion, other common defects of concrete structures such as fungal growth, salt crystallization, peeling of paint and dampness. A lots of funds have been employed in the rehabilitation of concrete structures Kenai and Bahar (2003) reported that construction of Algiers Airport was interrupted and abandoned without waterproofing for three years. Before the continuation of the project, three million dollars USD 3 Million was spent to affect the repair works. Likewise, Bhaskaran et al., (2013) stated that cost of building repair due to moisture related issues in United Kingdom (UK) was estimated at GBP 250 million. On the other hand, the cost of repair of building façade due to water damage accounted for 55.6% of some building value in United States (Liu & Scott, 2006). This was corroborated by Jumaat et al. (2006) where they reported the repair cost, in Italy to be about 50% of the total expenditure in some construction.

To protect concrete infrastructure, most researchers focused on the use of surface coating. However, due to variability of weather, waterproofing performance of the coating agents degrades over time. Consequently, future reapplication of coating agent becomes necessary. Alternatively, integral methods for waterproofing of concrete were adopted by few studies. In this approach, waterproofing performance of some few nano based materials was investigated and established However, use nano silicon is not yet reported to this effect. In addition, major setback of the integral method was the drastic fall in compressive strength of the concrete. Also, a well designed step for waterproofing experiment control test approach lacks in this subject area. Likewise, one variable optimization technique was the common approach adopted by previous studies to establish optimum mix ratio. Moreoever, this type of optimization technique has a major limitation since the complete influence of all variables affecting the experimental response cannot be Likewise, the interactive effect of the variables is not possible. Furthermore, previous studies failed to establish macro and micro-structural waterproofing mechanism of water repellent admixtures.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of the Research

The aim of the study is to investigate and establish waterproofing performance of nano Silicon based cement mortar. The aim is to be achieved through the following objectives:

- i) To characterise nano Silicon for application in cement mortar as waterproofing agent;
- ii) To optimise mix ratio of nano Silicon and water cement ratio in cement mortar based on capillary absorption and compressive strength tests
- iii) To design the steps for waterproofing experiment control tests on cement mortar with nano silicon at the optimum mix ratio
- iv) To establish macro and micro-structural waterproofing mechanism of nano silicon based mortar

1.4 Scopes of the Research

The scope of this study covers only a fresh mix and thus, for new concrete Likewise, the range of strength of the mortar was kept between 15 N/mm² and 30 N/mm². Also, w/c between 0.38 and 0.5 are used.

Scope on admixure dosage: Furthermore, the range of nano silicon dosage between 0% and 12% by weight of cement was adopted. These choices comply with what other studies have commonly adopted in the literature.

Scope on type of investigation: Moreover, the study will include both analytical and experimental investigations.

Scope on duration of waterproofing performance: This study focuses on short term waterproofing performance of nano silicon. Consequently, performance of nano silicon cement mortar under aggressive environment is not covered.

Scope on characterization of nano silicon: The characteristics of nano silicon identified are both physical and chemical .Likewise, all the tests conducted in this study are under laboratory condition.

Scope on optimization technique: The optimization was based on two independent variables which are crtical to water absorption characteristics/transport properties of concrete. To this effect, the relatinship betwenn experiemntal variables was modeled. However, the model was not based on mahematical assumption rather emperical in nature.

The set of tests conducted during this study are based on American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), British Standards (BS) as well as International Union of Laboratories and Experts in Construction Materials, Systems, and Structures (RILEM). Some tests were conducted in accordance with other methods developed in the previous literature. Soon after these are established, comparison with the related studies was made with information on their precision nearly known

1.5 Significance of the Research

The study investigate and establish waterproofing performance of nano Silicon based cement mortar. This study designed waterproofing experimental control tests, which previous studies failed to incorporate..Therefore, concrete infrastructure produced using this approach can resist water ingress in both unsatutated and saturated condition. Consequently, this is useful for both submerged and unsubmerged infrastructure. Furtheremore, the approach can be used to produce material that will resist both gas (carbondioxide) permeability and water vapor transmission. Hence, the deterioration effect of acid rain on concrete infrastructure in

tropical climate can be minimized. On the aggregate, this new approach will aid in avoiding lots of repair and thus, maintenance costs of infrastructures. Furthermore, this will be more beneficial to tropical climates countries

Likewise, the study introduces a nano silicon as a new construction material which has not been previousely used in the oconstruction industry. The nano silicon increases the water resistance of cement base material without impairing the compressive strength, which is common deficiency to the existing waterproofing admixtures. Also, while othe nano based materials reduce workability of conccrete, on the other hand, nano silicon increases the workability of cement mortar. In this regard, it can be used with minimum or no super plasticizer in concrete during mixing. To this effect, additional cost of super plasticizer can be avoided.

Moreover, previous studies have adopted traditional approach in the optimization of water repellent admixtures. On the other hand, nonlinear multivariate technique is employed in this study to establish optimum mix ratio. In this regard, interactive effect of experimental variables on the experimental response can be presented.

The prospect of this study can also serve as a basis for further research. In this regard, a better understanding of the characteristics of nano silicon will be established. Ultimately, this will add value to the existing information in this subject area, and thus, aids to the advancement of the frontier of knowledge to this effect.

1.6 Thesis Organisation

A brief description for each chapter is presented as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction: In this chapter, overall evaluation and logic behind conducting this research are provided. Also, clear and short descriptions of problem

background, aim, and objectives, scope and limitations and the significance of the research are presented in this chapter.

Chapter 2: Literature Review: In this chapter, characteristics of various waterproofing materials are discussed. Likewise, the techniques adopted by previous studies for the optimization of mix ratio. deficiencies of these optimization techniques are also discussed.. Also, performance of various waterproofing agents is reviewed. Moreover, tests methods adopted by various studies to explain the mechanism of waterproofing function/action due to these agents are reviewed

Chapter 3: Methodology: In this chapter, detailed report of the analytical approach, materials, specimen preparation as well as the various test methods adopted during the experimental work are presented. In addition, results of these tests are presented in the subsequent chapters

Chapter 4: Results and Discussion: In this chapter, the examined physical and chemical characteristics of nano silicon are discussed. Likewise, the results and discussions on the modeling and optimization of experimental variables are presented and discussed. Furthermore, results of the the entire approach to producing waterproof cement mortar using nano silicon is submitted and discussed. Moreover, results and discussion on the validation of the output of the approach are presented.

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations: In this chapter, overall conclusions from the study and thus, recommendations for further research are presented. Likewise, contributions, as well as limitations of the study, are highlighted.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Razak, H., Chai, H. and Wong, H., S. (2004). Near Surface Characteristics of Concrete Containing Supplementary Cementing Materials. *Cement and Concrete Composites* 26(7), 883–889..
- Abdul-rahman, H., Wang, C., Wood, L. C., & Khoo, Y. M. (2014). Defects in Affordable Housing Projects in Klang Valley, Malaysia, *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facility*., 28, 272-285
- Aggarwal, L., K, Thapliyal, P., C., and Karade, S., R. (2007). Properties of Polymer-Modified Mortars Using Epoxy and Acrylic Emulsions. *Construction and Building Materials*. 21,379–83.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Method for Pulse Velocity Through Concrete. United State, ASTMC597C597. 2013.
- Ahmad, S., and Azad, A. K. (2013). An exploratory study on correlating the permeability of concrete with its porosity and tortuosity. *Advances in Cement Research*, 25(5), 288–294.
- Akindahunsi, A., A. and. Uzoegbo, H., C. (2015). Strength and Durability Properties of Concrete with Starch Admixture. *International Journal of Concrete Structures and Materials*. 9(3), 323–35.
- Alawode, O., Dip, P. G., Idowu, O., I., Sc. (2011). Effects of Water-Cement Ratios on the Compressive Strength and Workability of Concrete and Lateritic Concrete Mixes. Pacific Journal of Science and technology. *12*(2), 99–105.
- Aldea, C., M., Shah, S., P., and Karr, A. (1999). Permeability of Cracked Concrete.

- Materials and Structures. 32, 370-76.
- Almusallam, A,. A,. Khan, F,.M., Dulaijan, S.,U. and Al-Amoudi, O.,S.B. (2003). Effectiveness of Surface Coatings in Improving Concrete Durability. *Cement and Concrete Composites*.25,473–81.
- Alshamsi, A., M, and Imran, H,. D,. A. (2002). Developent of a Permeability Apparatus for Concrete and Mortar. *Cement and Concrete Research*." 32, 923–929.
- Al-Zahrani, M., M., Aldulaijan, S., U., Ibrahim, M., Saricimen, H. and Sharif, M.m M. (2002). Effects of Waterproofing Coatings on Steel Reinforcement Corrosion and Physical Properties of Concrete. Cement & Concrete Composite, 24, 127-137
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Methods for Water Vapour Transmission of Materials. Designation: Umited State, ASTME96. 2010.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. *Standard Test Methods for rate of Water Absorption for Masonry Mortars*. Umited State, ASTMC1403C, 2013.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Methods for rate for Compressive Strength of Hydraulic Cement Mortars. Umited State, ASTMC109, 2012...
- American Society for Testing and Materials. *Standard Test Methods for Flow of Hydraulic Cement Mortar*. Umited State, ASTMC1437, 2013.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Methods for

 Measurement of Rate of Absorption of Water by Hydraulic Cement Mortars.

 Umited State, ASTMC1585, 2013..
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Method for Density,

 Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete. United State,

 ASTMC642,2006a
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Method for Pulse Velocity Through Concrete. Unite State, ASTM C597. 2009

- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Practice for Mechanical

 Mixing of Hydraulic Cement Pastes and mortars of plastic consistency. United

 State, ASTMC305. 2014
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Method for Materials
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Test Method for Materials Finer than 75-μM (No. 200) Sive in Mineral Aggregates by Washing. United State, ASTM C117. 2013.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. *Standard Specification for Concrete Aggregates*. *United State, ASTM C33*. 2013.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. Standard Specification for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity) and Absorption of Fine Aggregates. United State., ASTM C128. 2013.
- Antonucci, J. M., Dickens, S. H., & Fowler, B. O. (2005). Chemistry of Silanes: Interfaces in Dental. *Journal of Research National Institute Standard Technology*. 110(5), 541–558.
- Apay, A., C., Ozgan, E., Turgey, T. and Akyol, K. (2016). Investigation and Modeling the Effects of Waterproofing and Water Repellent Admixtures Dosages on the Permeability and Compressive strength of Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 13,698-711
- Arkles, B (1977). Tailoring Surfaces with Silanes. Chemtech. 7, 766-778
- Attanayake, U., Liang, Xuemei, L., Ng, Simon, P., E. and Haluk, A., P., E. (2006).

 Penetrating Sealants for Concrete Bridge Decks Selection Procedure,.

 Journal of bridge Engineering. 11, 533–540.
- Baidakova, M, and Vul, A. (2007). New Prospects and Frontiers of Nanodiamond Clusters. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*. 40(20), 6300–6311.
- Bai, J., Wild, S., Ware, J. A., & Sabir, B. B. (2003). Using neural networks to predict workability of concrete incorporating metakaolin and fly ash. *Advances in Engineering Software*. *34*, 663–669.

- Bakri., N., N, O. and Mydin, M., A., O. (2014). General Building Defects Causes, Symptoms and Remedial Work. *European Journal of Technology and Design*, vol. 3(1), 4-17.
- Bamoharram, F., F., Heravi, M., M., Saneinezhad, S. and Ayati, A. (2013). Synthesis of a Nano Organo-Silicon Compound for Building Materials Waterproofing, Using Heteropolyacids as a Green and Eco-Friendly Catalyst. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 76(2–3), 384–87.).
- Bas, D. and Boyach, I., S. (2007). Modeling and Optimization I: Usability of Response Surface Methodology. 78, 836–45.
- Basheer, P., A., M. and Long, A., E. (1995). Effects of Three Durability Enhancing Products on Some Physical Properties of near Surface. Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials* 9(5), 267–72.
- Basheer, L, Cleland, D., J. and Long, A., E. (1998). Protection Provided by Surface Treatments against Chloride Induced Corrosion. Materials and Structures. 31, 459–464.
- Basheer, L., Kropp, J. and Cleland, D., J. (2001). Assessment of the Durability of Concrete from Its Permeation Properties: A Review. *Construction and Building Materials* 15, 93–103.
- Basheer, P., A., M., Basheer, L., Cleland, D., J. and Long, A., E. (1997). Surface Treatments for Concrete: Assessment Methods and Reported Performance II. *Construction and Building Materials*.11, 413–429.
- Bezerra, M., A., Santelli, R., E., Oliveira, E., P., Villar, L., S., Escaleira, L., A. (2008). Response Surface Methodology (RSM) as a Tool for Optimization in Analytical Chemistry." *Talanta* 76(5), 965–977.
- Blight, G. E. (1991). A Study of Four Waterproofing Systems for Concrete. *Magazine of ConcreteResearch*43(156),197–203.
- Bhaskaran, R., Palaniswamy, N. and Rengaswamy, N., S. A review of Differing Approaches Used to Estimate the Cost of Corrosion (And their Relevance in the Development of Mordern Corrosion Prevention And Control Strategies). *Anti-Corrosion Methods and Materials*. 5(1), 29-41.
- Bohus, S., Drochytka, R., and Taranza, L. (2012). Fly-Ash Usage in New Cement-

- Based Material for Concrete Waterproofing. *Advanced Materials Research* 535–537: 1902–1906.
- British Standards Institutions.. "Nanotechnologies Terminology and Definitions for Nano- Objects Nanofibre and Nanoplat E (ISO / TS. 27687: 2008)
- British Standards Institutions.. "Nanotechnologies Terminology and Definitions for Nano- Objects Nanofibre and Nanoplat E (ISO / TS. 27687: 2008)
- British Standards Institutition. Flexible Sheets for Waterproofing- Reinforced
 Bitumen Sheets for Waterproofing of zconcrete Bridge Decks and Other
 Trafficked Areas of Concrete-Definitions and Characteristics. BS EN 14695.
 2010
- Butler, J., E. and. Sumant, A., V. (2008). The CVD of Nanodiamond Materials. *Chemical Vapor Deposition* 14(7–8), 145–60. http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cvde.200700037 (October 1, 2014).
- Cai, Y., Hou, P., Duan, C., Zhang, R., Zhou, Z., Chang, X. and Shah, S. (2016). The
 Use of Tetraethyl Orthosilicate Silane (TEOS) for Surface-Treatment of
 Hardened Cement-Based Materials: A Comparison Study with Normal
 Treatment Agents. Construction and Building Materials 117,144–151.
- Cagliostro, D., E., Hsu, MT., S. and Jose, S. (1998). Method for Waterproofing of Ceramic Materials. United States Patent 5,814,397.
- Celal, A., A., Özgan, E., Turgay, T. and Akyol, K. (2016). Investigation and Modelling the Effects of Water Proofing and Water Repellent Admixtures Dosage on the Permeability and Compressive Strengths of Concrete. Construction & Building Materials 113, 698–711.
- Chen, J., Ummin, O., Yu, T. and Qi, Y (2013). Applications of Rayleigh Wave Detection Technique and Polymer Grouting Technology in Waterproof Construction. *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 405–408, 748–54.
- Cheng, AS, Yen, T., Liu, YW. and Sheen, YN. (2008). Relation between Porosity and Compressive Strength of Slag Concrete. Proceedings of *Structures*Congress. ASCE, Vancouver, British Colombia, canada, April, 24-26, 2008, pg
 1-8,
- Christodoulou, C. Goodier, C., I., Austin, S., A., Webb, J. and Glass G., K. (2013).

- Long-Term Performance of Surface Impregnation of Reinforced Concrete Structures with Silane." *Construction and Building Materials* 48,708–716.
- Claisse, P., A., Cabrera, J., G., and Hunt, D., N. (2001). Measurement of Porosity as a Predictor of the Performance of Concrete with and without Silica Fume Measurement of Porosity as a Predictor of the Durability Performance of Concrete with and without Condensed Silica Fume. *Advances in Cement Research*. 13(4), 165-174.
- Cnudde, V., .Cwirzen, A., Masschaele, B. and Jacobs, P.,J., S. (2009). Porosity and Microstructure Characterization of Building Stones and Concretes. *Engineering Geology* 103(3–4): 76–83.
- Colas, A., R. (1990). Silicones: Preparation, Properties and Performance. Journal of The Societe Royale De *Chime* 8(30), 847-852.
- National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP 244). (1981). Concrete Sealers and Protection of Bridge Structures.. *Transport Research Board*, National Research Council
- Czernin, W. (1980). Cement Chemistry and Physics for Civil Engineers. 2nd English Ed. Bauverlag, Weisbaden
- Dai, J., Akira, Y., Wittmann, F., H., Yokota, H. and Zhang, P. (2010). Water
 Repellent Surface Impregnation for Extension of Service Life of Reinforced
 Concrete Structures in Marine Environments: The Role of Cracks. Cement and
 Concrete Composites 32(2), 101–109
- David G. M. (1995), National Corporative for Highway Research Program(NCHRP), Synthesis of Highway practice: Part 220, Waterproofing Membranes for Concrete Bridge Decks
- Demirboğa, R., Türkmen, İ. and. Karakoç, M., B. (2004). Relationship between Ultrasonic Velocity and Compressive Strength for High-Volume Mineral-Admixtured Concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34(12), 2329–36.
- De-Cheng, F., Meng, X. Wen-Ding, W. (2014). Analysis of the Influence of Cement Concrete Deck Moisture Content on the Bonding Performance of Waterproof Adhesion Layer. *Journal of Highway and Transportation Research* and development. 8(2): 31–36.

- Dean, P. (1994). Waterproof ing Sealer/Repellent Compositions for Concrete, Masonry and Pouros Surfaces. *United State Patent*. No. 5,356, 716, 3-7
- Diamanti, M., V., Brenna, A., Bolzoni, F., Berra, M., Pastore, T and Ormellese, M. (2013). Effect of Polymer Modified Cementitious Coatings on Water and Chloride Permeability in Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials* 49, 720–28.
- Diamond, A. and Barke NS Corrosion control. In: Stelel Corrosion in Concrete.

 Fundamentals of Civil Engineering Practice, London: E and FN Spon; 1997.
 94-145.
- Dias, W. P. S. U., & Pooliyadda, S. P. (2001). Neural networks for predicting properties of concretes with admixtures. *Construction and Building Materials*. 15, 371–379.
- Faiz, S.,U., A. (2011). Mechanical and Durability Properties of Mortars Modified with Combined Polymer and Supplementary Cementitious Materials. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 23, 1311–1319.
- Falchi, L., Muller, U., Fontana, P., Izzo, F., C. and Zendri, E. (2013). Influence and Effectiveness of Water-Repellent Admixtures on Pozzolana–lime Mortars for Restoration Application. *Construction and Building Materials* 49, 272–80.
- Ferreira, S., L., C., Bruns, R., E., Silve, E., G., Pa, Santos, W., N., Ld, Quintella, C., M., David, J., M., Andrade, J., Bd., Breitkreitz, M., C., Jardim, I., C., S., F. and Neto, B., B. (2007). Statistical Designs and Response Surface techniques for the Optimization of Chromatographic System. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1158, 2-14
- Firoozi, A., A., Taha, M., R., and Firoozi, A., A.(2014). Nanotechnology in Civil Engineering. Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. 19, 4673–4682.
- German Committee of Reinforced Concrete. Guidelines for the Protection and Repair of Concrete Components. Part 3: Quality Assurance in Execution of the Works. 1991.
- Gerdes, A. and Zhishan, H. "Application of Water Repellent Treatment Systems For The Protection of Offshore Constructions. www.icevirtuallibrary.com, Concrete for Transportation Infrastructure, **DOI:** 10.1680/cfti.34020.0040

- Gong, J., Duan, Z., Sun, K and Xiao, M. (2016). Waterproof Properties of Thermal Insulation Mortar Containing Vitrified Microsphere. *Construction and Building Materials* 123, 274–280..
- Granju, J., L. and Balouch, S., U. (2005). Corrosion of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete from The Cracks. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 35, 572-577
- Hall, C. (1989). Water Sorptivity of Mortars and Concretes: A Review." *Magazine of Concrete Research* 41(147), 51–61..
- Hallmann, S., Fink, M., J. and. Mitchell, B., S (2011). Mechanochemical Synthesis of Functionalized Silicon Nanoparticles with Terminal Chlorine Groups. *Journal of Materials Research* 26(8), 1052–60.
- Hallmann, S., Fink, M., J and Mitchell, B., S (2010). Wetting Properties of Silicon Films from Alkyl-Passivated Particles Produced by Mechanochemical Synthesis. *Journal of colloid and interface science* 348(2), 634–641.
- Han, B., Yang, Z., Shi, X and Yu, X. (2012). Transport Properties of Carbon-Nanotube/Cement Composites." *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance* 22(1),184–89..
- Hassan, K., E., Cabrera, J., G. and Maliehe, R., S. (2000). Concrete Composites The Effect of Mineral Admixtures on the Properties of High-Performance Concrete. 22, 267–271.
- Halim, A. A.and, Halim, A., Z., A. (2010). An Analysis of Dampness Study on
 Heritage Building: A Case Study Ipoh Old Post Office Building and Suluh
 Budiman Building, *Journal o Sustainable Development*. 3(4), 171–182.
- Halim, A., A., Harun, S.s N. and Hamid M., Y. (2012). Diagnosis of Dampness in Conservation of Historic Building. Journal of *Design and Build*, 5,
- Heintz, A., S., Fink, M., J. and Mitchell, B., S. (2007). Mechanochemical Synthesis of Blue Luminescent Alkyl / Alkenyl-Passivated Silicon Nanoparticles. *Advanced Materials*. 19, 3984–3988.
- Helene, M., M., P. (2008). Efficacy of surface hydrophobic agents in reducing water and chloride ion penetration in concrete. *Materials and Structures*. 45,59–71.

- Hilsdorf, H. and Kropp, J. (1995). Performance Criteria for Concrete Durability.

 RILEM Technical Report 12. E & FN Spon, London
- Ho, D., W., S. and Chirgwint, G., J. (1996). A Performance Specification for Durable Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*. 10(5), 375–379.
- Horgnies, M., Willieme, P. and Gabet, O. (2011). Influence of the Surface Properties of Concrete on the Adhesion of Coating: Characterization of the Interface by Peel Test and FT-IR Spectroscopy. *Progress in Organic Coatings* 72(3),360–79.
- Hosoda, A., Matsuda, Y. and Kobayashi, K. (2010). Optimum Surface Protection System with Silane Type Water Repellents. *Journal of Advanced Concerete Technology*. 8(3), 291–302.
- Hou, P., Cheng, X., Qian, J., Zhang, R., Cao, W. and Shah, S., P.(2015).
 Characteristics of Surface-Treatment of Nano-SiO2 on the Transport Properties of Hardened Cement Pastes with Different Water-to-Cement Ratios. *Cement and Concrete Composites* 55,26–33..
- Hou, P., Cheng, X., Qian, J. and Shah, S., P. (2014). Effects and Mechanisms of Surface Treatment of Hardened Cement-Based Materials with Colloidal nanoSiO2 and Its Precursor. *Construction and Building Materials* 53, 66–73.
- Hui-sheng, S., Bi-wan, Xu. and Xiao-chen Z. (2009). Influence of Mineral Admixtures on Compressive Strength, Gas Permeability and Carbonation of High Performance Concrete." *Construction and Building Materials* 23(5), 1980–1985.
- Ibrahim, S., U., M., Al-zaharani, M., M., Saricimen, H. and Sharif. F., M. (2002).
 Effect of Waterproofing Coatings on Steel Reinforcement Corrosion and
 Physical Properties of Concrete. *Cement and Concrete Composite*. 24, 127–37.
- International Union of Testing and of Testing and Research Laboratories for Materials and Structures. Penetration and Permeability of Concrete: Barrier to Organic Contaminating Liquids. RILEM Report 146. 1997. E, F., N., Spon.
- Ismeik, M. (2009). "Effect of Mineral Admixtures on Mechanical Properties of High Strength Concrete Made with Locally Available Materials. *Indian Journal of Civil Engineering*. 3(1), 78–90.

- Ji, T. (2005). Preliminary Study on the Water Permeability and Microstructure of Concrete Incorporating Nano-SiO2. Cement and Concrete Research 35(10), 1943–47.
- Jiang, J., Oberdörster, G. and Biswas, P. (2009). Characterization of Size, Surface Charge, and Agglomeration State of Nanoparticle Dispersions for Toxicological Studies. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research* 11(1), 77–89.
- Joshi RC, Lothia, RP.(1997). Fly Ash in Concrete: Production, Properties and Uses. In:Advances in Concrete Technology, Vol. 2. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers;
- Jumaat, M., Z., Kabir, M., H. and Obaydullah , M. (2006). A Review of the Repair of Reinforced Concrte Beams. *Journal of Applied Science Research*. 2(6), 317-326
- Karahan, O. and Atiş, C., D. (2011). The Durability Properties of Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced Fly Ash Concrete. *Materials & Design* 32(2), 1044–49..
- Kasperkiewicz, J., Racz, J. & Dubrawskp, A. (1996). Strength Prediction Using Artificial Neural Network . *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*. 9(4), 279–284.
- Kenai, S. and Bahar, R. (2003). Evaluation and Repair of Algiers new Airport Building. *Cement and Concrete Composite*. 25(6), 633-641.
- Klisińska-Kopacz, A. and Tišlova, R. (2012). Effect of Hydrophobization Treatment on the Hydration of Repair Roman Cement Mortars." *Construction and Building Materials* 35, 735–40.
- Komlos, K., Popovics, S. and Numbegerova, T. (1996). Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test of Concrete Properties as Specified in Various Standards. *Cement and Concrete*. 18,357–64.
- Lai, S., & Serra, M. (1997). Concrete strength prediction by means of neural network,. *Construction and Building Materials*. *11*(2), 93–98.
- Lawrence, P., Cyr, M. and and Ringot, E. (2005). Mineral Admixtures in Mortars Effect of Type, Amount and Fineness of Fine Constituents on Compressive Strength. *Cement and ConcreteResearch* 35(6),1092–1105.

- Lawson, I., Danso, K., A., Odoi, H., C., Adjei, C., A., Quashie, F., K., Mumuni, I.,
 I. and Ibrahim, I., S. (2011). Non-Destructive Evaluation of Concrete Using
 Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity. *Research Journal of* ... 3(6),499–504
- Lee, S. (2003). Prediction of concrete strength using artificial neural networks. *Engineering Structures*. *25*, 849–857.
- Li, S., Li, H., Wong, X., Song, Y., and Liu, Y. and jiang, L. (2002). Super-Hydrophobicity of Large-Area Honeycomb-Like Aligned Carbon Nanotubes. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 106(36), 9274–9276.
- Lin, J., & Scott, D. (2006). Assessment of Significances of Building Failure Induced by Foundation Failure. Proceedings of Building Integration Solutions.
 Architectural Engineering Conference. Omaha Nebraska United States, 29th
 March-1st april, 2006. American Society of Civil Engineers, pg 1-13.
- Litvan, G., G. (1996). Waterproofing of Parking Garage Structures with Sealers and Membranes: The Canadian Experience. *Construction and Building Materials*. 10(I), 95–100.
- Liu, J. and Vipulanandan, C. (2001). Evaluating a Polymer Concrete Coating for Protecting Non-Metallic Underground Facilities from Sulfuric Acid Attack. *Tunneling and Underground Space Technology*. 16(4), 311-321
- Liu, Y., Wu, J., and Chen, J. (2014). Mechanical Properties of a Waterproofing Adhesive Layer Used on Concrete Bridges under Heavy Traffic and Temperature Loading. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives* 48,102–9.
- Lu, Z, Zhou, X. and Zhang. J. (2004). Study on the Performance of a New Type of Water-Repellent Admixture for Cement Mortar. *Cement and Concrete Research* 34(11), 2015–19.
- Luis, S., C., F., Bruns, R., E., Silva, E., G., P. Santos, W., N., L., D., Quintella, C., M., David, J., M., Andrade, J., B., Breitkreitz, M., C., Jardim, I., C., S., F. and Neto, B., B. (2007). Statistical Designs and Response Surface Techniques for the Optimization of Chromatographic Systems. Journal of Chromatography. 1158, 2–14.

- Lu, C., F., Yuan, Y., S. and Jiang, J., H. (2011). Effects of Pore Structure on Gas Diffusion of in Fly-ash Concrete. *Journal of China University of Mining and Technology*. 40(4), 523-529.
- Manning, D., G. (1995). National Cooperative Highway Research Programe.
 Synthesis of Hughway Practice; Part 220, Waterproofing Membranes for Concrete Bridge Deck.s
- Ma, D., , Liu, Y. and Lai, Y. (2014). The Influence of Pore Structure on the Waterproof Performance of Polymer Modified Mortar. *Applied Mechanics and Materials* 584–586,1130–34.
- Massart, D., L., Vandeginste, B., G., M., Buydens, L., M., .C., . Jong, S., de. and Lewi, P., J.(1997). Smeyers-Verbeke, Handbook of Chemometrics and Qualimetrics: Part A, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Mccarter, W. (1998).. Surface-Treated Slag Concrete: Assessing Performance Under Cyclic Wetting. *Journal Of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 10(3), 198–201.
- McCarter, W., J. (1996). Assessing the Protective Qualities of Treated and Untreated Concrete Surfaces under Cyclic Wetting and Drying. *Building and environment* 31(6), 4–9.
- Medeiros, M., .H., .F. and Helene, P. (2009). Surface Treatment of Reinforced Concrete in Marine Environment: Influence on Chloride Diffusion Coefficient and Capillary Water Absorption." *Construction and Building Materials* 23(3), 1476–84.
- Mendes, P., Lopes, J. G., Brito, J. De, & Feiteira, J. (2014). Waterproo fi ng of Concrete Foundations. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities.. 28, 242–249.
- Mirza, J. and Abesque, C. (2011). Evaluation of Surface Sealers for Concrete Hydraulic Structures Exposed to Low Temperatures. *Materials and Structres*. 44(1), 5–12.
- Ming, L., C and Mydin, A., O. . (2012). Case Studies on Construction Defects on Different Types of Walling System for Building. *Cement and Concrete Composite*. 50, 10354-10357

- Mochalin, V., N., Shenderova, O., Ho, D. and Gogotsi, Y. (2012). The Properties and Applications of Nanodiamonds. *Nature nanotechnology*. 7, 11–23.
- Muhammad, N., Z., Keyvanfar, A., Majid, M, Z., A., Mirza, J. and Shafaghat, A.(2015). Waterproof Performance of Concrete: A Critical Review onImplemented Approaches." *Construction and Building Materials* 101, 80–90.
- Mydin, M. A. O., Salim, N. A. A., Tan, S. W., Tawil, N. M., & Ulang, N. (2014).

 Assessment of Significant Causes to School Building Defects *Proceedings of Emerging Technology for Sustainable Developemnt Congress*, 2014., 2, 1–7., http://.www.e3s.-conferences.org
- Naville A. (2002). Properties of Concrete-Fourth and Final Edition, Pearson Education Limited, Essex.
- Neville, A., M. (2010). Concrete Technology, 2nd Edition. Pearson Eduacation Limited, Essex.
- Nunes, C. and Slížková, Z. (2014). Hydrophobic Lime Based Mortars with Linseed Oil: Characterization and Durability Assessment. *Cement and Concrete Research* 61–62, 28–39.
- Oberdoster, J. J- G and Biswas, P. (2009). Characterization of Size, Surface Charge and Agglomeration State of Nanoparticle Disperion for Toxicological Studies. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 11(1), 77-85
- Odo, E., A. (2015). Morphology and Elemental Study of Silicon Nanoparticles
 Produced Using a Vibratory Disc Mill. *Nanoscience and Nanotechnology*. 5(3),
 57–63.
- Ohama, Y. Concrete Admixtures Handbook. (1984). Ed. Noyas Publication, New Jersy,
- Othman, N. L., Jaafar, M., Mariah, W., Harun, W., & Ibrahim, F. (2015). A Case Study on Moisture Problems and Building Defects. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 170, 27–36.
- Pan, X., Shi, C., Jia, L., Zhang, J. and Wu, L. .(2015). Effect of Inorganic Surface Treatment on Air Permeability of Cement-Based Materials. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*.: 28(3), 1–8.
- Pan, X., Shi, Z., Shi, C., Ling, T., & Li, N. (2017a). A review on concrete surface

- treatment Part I: Types and mechanisms. *Construction and Building Materials*, 132, 578–590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.12.025
- Pan, X., Shi, Z., Shi, C., Ling, T., & Li, N. (2017b). A review on surface treatment for concrete Part 2: Performance. *Construction and Building Materials*, *133*, 81–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.128
- Patel, P., R. (1994). Waterproofinf Sealer/Repellent Composition for Concrete masonry and Pouros Surfaces. United State Patent.5,356,714.
- Pfeifer, D., W. and Scali, M., J. (1981). Concrete Sealer and Protection of Bridge Structures, NCHRP Report 244, Transport Research Board, National Research Council.
- Pigino, B., Leemann., A., Frnzoni, E. and Lura, P. (2012). Ethyl Silicate for Surface Treatment of Concrete Part II: Characteristics and Performance." *Cement and Concrete Composites* 34(3), 313–21..
- Plank, J. and Hirsch, C. (2007). Impact of Zeta Potential of Early Cement Hydration Phases on Superplasticizer Adsorption. *Cement and Concrete Research* 37(4), 537–42.
- Ramezanianpour, A., A., and Jovein, H., B. (2012). Influence of Metakaolin as Supplementary Cementing Material on Strength and Durability of Concretes. *Construction and Building Materials* 30, 470–479.
- Ramli, M., Tabassi, A., A. and Hoe, K., W. (2013). Porosity, Pore Structure and Water Absorption of Polymer-Modified Mortars: An Experimental Study under Different Curing Conditions..*Composites PartB:Engineering* 55, 221–33.
- Reinhardt, H., W. (1997). Penetration and Permeability of Concrete: Barrier to Organic and Contaminating Liquids. RILEM Report 16. E & F.N. Spon., London.
- Ren, Z. (2012). Influence of Water Repellent Treatment on Corrosion of Steel in Concrete with Chloride Ingress. *Advanced Materials Research*. 368-373, 2430–2436.
- Rodrigues, M., P., M., C., Costa, M., R., N., Mendes, A., M., and Marques, I., E. (2000). Effectiveness of Surface Coatings to Protect Reinforced Concrete in Marine Environments. *Materials and Structures*. 33, 618–26.

- Saija, L., M., and Larac, S., P., A., (1995. Waterproofing of Portland Cement Allortars With A Specially Designed Polyacrylic Latex. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 25(3), 503-509.
- Sanchez, F. and Sobolev, K.. (2010). Nanotechnology in Concrete A Review. *Construction and Building Materials* 24(11), 2060–2071.
- Sato, T. (2013). Deterioration Control of Concrete Structures by Surface Coating Methods, *Third International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies*. 18th -21st, August, 2013. Kyoto Research Park, Kyoto Japan. http://www.claisse.info./Proceedings.htm
- Schueremans, L., Gemert., D., V., Giessler, S. (2005). Chloride Penetration in Reinforce Concrete Structures in Marine Climate: In Site Survey, Analysis Model and Benefit of a Preventive Hydrophobic Treatment. In: Proceeding of the International Workshop on Durability of Reinforced Concrete. Qingdao Technial University, Qingdao P. R. China. .27th 28th Oct, 2005.
- Schutter, G., De and Audenaert, K. (2004). Evaluation of Water Absorption of Concrete as a Measure for Resistance against Carbonation and Chloride Migration. *Materials and Structures*. 37, 591–596.
- Song, HW and Saraswathy, V. (2006). Studies on the Corrosion Resistance of Reinforced Steel in Concrete with Ground Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag--An Overview. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 138(2), 226–233.
- Suffian, A. (2013). Some Common Maintenance Problems and Building Defects: Our Experiences. *Procedia Engineering*, *54*, 101–108.
- Talaiekhozani, A., Keyvanfar, A., Andalib., M., Samadi, M., Shafaghat, A., Kamyab, H., Abd Majid, M., Z., Zin, R., M., Fulazzaky, M., A., Lee, C., T. and Hussin, M., W. (2014). Application of Proteus Mirabilis and Proteus Vulgaris Mixture to Design Self-Healing Concrete. Desalination and Water Treatment. 52, 3623-3630
- Tegguer, A., D., Bonnet, S., Khelidj., A. and Baroghel-Bouny, V. Effect of Microcraking on Gas Permeability and Chloride Diffusion of Concrete.. VIII International Conference on Fracture Mechanics of Concrete and Concrete Structures.. http://www.ifsttar.fr

- Teizer, J., Venugopal, M., Teizer, W. and Felkl, J. (2012). Nanotechnology and Its Impact on Construction: Bridging the Gap between Researchers and Industry Professionals. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 138, 594–604.
- Teng LW., Hunag, R., Chen, J., Cheng, A. and Hsu, HM. (2014). A Study of Crystalline Mechanism of Penetration Sealer Materials, Materials. *Materials*, 7, 399-412
- Tomsett, H. N. (1980). The Practical Use of Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Measurements in the Assessment of Concrete Quality. *Magazine of Concrete Research* 32(110), 7–16.
- Toutanji, H., A., Choi, H., Wong, D., Gilbert, J. A. and Alldredge, D., J. (2013). Applying a Polyurea Coating to High-Performance Organic Cementitious Materials. *Construction and BuildingMaterials* 38, 1170–79.
- Trägårdh, J., Johansson-Salender, A., Silfwerbrand, J. and Janz, M. (2010). Water Repellent Treatments the Importance of Reaching a Sufficient Penetration Depth.. *Taylor and Francis Group, London.* 1173–7119.
- Trtnik, G., Kavcic, F. and Turk, G. (2009). Prediction of Concrete Strength Using Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity and Artificial Neural Networks. *Ultrasonics* 49(1), 53–60.
- Vejmelková, E., Konakova, D., Cachova, M., Kippert, M. and Cerny, R. (2012). Effect of Hydrophobization on the Properties of Lime–metakaolin Plasters. *Construction and Building Materials* .37, 556–61.
- Ventolà, L., Vendrell, M., Giraldez, P. and. Merino, L. (2011). Traditional Organic Additives Improve Lime Mortars: New Old Materials for Restoration and Building Natural Stone Fabrics. *Construction and Building Materials* 25(8): 3313–18.
- Vipulanandan, C, and Liu, J.(2004). Performance of Coated Concrete in Wastewater Environment. *Pipeline Engineering and Construction*. (713), 1–11.
- Wang, H., Xu, Z., Fink, M. J., & Mitchell, B. S. (2014). Functionalized silicon nanoparticles from reactive cavitation erosion of silicon wafers *Chemical Communications* 51,1465–68.

- Wang, P., H. and Pan, C., Y. (2002). Preparation of Styrene / Acrylic Acid Copolymer Microspheres: Polymerization Mechanism and Carboxyl Group Distribution. *Colloid and Polymer Science*. 280, 152–159.
- Wang, R., Ma, D. X., & Wang, P. M. (2013). Waterproof Performance of Polymer-Modified Cement Mortar. Waterproof Performance of Polymer-Modified Cement Mortar. Advanced Materials Research. 687, 213–18.
- Wei, Y., Qianqian, H., Yan, M., Jianbo, C., & Fengqing, Z. (2014). Polymer Modified Cementitious Waterproofing Coatings: Application and Problems. Advanced Materials Research. 936, 1378–1381
- Wittmann, F. H., & Zurich, E. T. H. (2016). Drying and Shrinkage of Integral Water Repellent Concrete. *Restoration of Building and Manuments*. 12(3), 229-242.
- Woo, R. S. C., Zhu, H., Chow, M. M. K., Leung, C. K. Y., & Kim, J. (2008). Science and Barrier performance of silane clay nanocomposite coatings on concrete structure. *Composites Science and Technology*, 68(14), 2828–2836.
- Xiao, X., Zhang, Q. Sen, Liang, X. Y., & Zhang, X. N. (2013). Research on Chloride Ion Diffusion of Concrete Water-Based Capillary Crystalline Waterproofer and its Penetration Depth by Using Electron Microscope. *Advanced Materials Research*, 857, 27–34.
- Xu, X., Sun, C., Chen, X., Qin, L., & Yu, J. (2012). Investigation on the aging properties of silicone coating in marine concrete, *Advanced Materials Research*. *366*, 296–300.
- Yoo, J.-H., Lee, H.-S., & Ismail, M. a. (2011). An analytical study on the water penetration and diffusion into concrete under water pressure. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25(1), 99–108.
- Zhang, X., Shi, F., Niu, J., Jiang, Y., & Wang, Z. (2008). Superhydrophobic surfaces: from structural control to functional application, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 18, 621–633.
- Zhang, X. Y., Li, S. C., Zhao, T. J., & Jin, Z. Q. (2014). Analyses of the Protective Effect of Polyethylene-Triethoxysilane and Triethoxysilane Emulsion Coatings on Concrete Substrate. *Advanced Materials Research*, *936*, 1531–1538.
- Zhang, Z., MacMullen, J., Dhakal, H. N., Radulovic, J., Herodotou, C., Totomis, M.,

- & Bennett, N. (2012). Enhanced Water Repellence and Thermal Insulation of Masonry by Zinc Oxide Treatment. *Energy and Buildings*, *54*, 40–46
- Zhang, P., Zhao, T., Wittmann, F., H. and Li, S. (2011). Preparation and Characteristics of Integral Water Repellent Cement based Materials. *Materials Science Forum*. 675-677, 1189-1192.
- Zhou, Q., & Xu, Q. (2009). Experimental study of waterproof membranes on concrete deck: Interface adhesion under influences of critical factors. *Materials & Design*, 30(4), 1161–1168.
- Zhu, Y., Xu, P., Li, Q., & Li, C. (2011). Influence of Water Repellent Surface Impregnation on Water Absorption Properties of Recycled Aggregate Concrete. Applied Mechanics and Materials. 78, 5011–5014.
- Zhu, Y.-G., Kou, S.-C., Poon, C.-S., Dai, J.-G., & Li, Q.-Y. (2013). Influence of silane-based water repellent on the durability properties of recycled aggregate concrete. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, *35*(1), 32–38.