

MODIFIED CYCLIC SHIFT TREE DENOISING TECHNIQUE WITH FEWER  
NUMBER OF SWEEP FOR WAVE V DETECTION

WAN SITI NUR AMINAH BINTI WAN ABDUL AZIZ

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MODIFIED CYCLIC SHIFT TREE DENOISING TECHNIQUE WITH FEWER  
NUMBER OF SWEEP FOR WAVE V DETECTION

WAN SITI NUR AMINAH BINTI WAN ABDUL AZIZ

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Biomedical)

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2016

*Praise to Allah the Almighty*

*Thanks to my beloved mother and father*

*For humanity, hope to be more submitted, pious and to the creator do we return*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah SWT, The Most Gracious and The Most Merciful for His guidance and blessing. Without His blessings, I would not have been able to come this far. I am grateful to Allah for giving me a good health and environment during this period of the study.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Ir. Dr. Sheikh Hussain bin Shaikh Salleh for his valuable guidance, continuous encouragement and support in making this research possible. I really appreciate his guidance from initial to the final level that enabled me to develop an understanding of this research thoroughly.

I acknowledged my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents, family and friends for their love, support, cooperation, encouragement and sacrifice throughout my life. I am really thankful for helping me survive all the stress from this year and not letting me give up to complete my research work and thesis. I am especially grateful to my parents, who supported me emotionally and financially. Special thanks to my friends Siti Balqis and Ateera for your assistance and suggestion throughout my research.

I am also thankful to the staff of the Centre for Biomedical Engineering for their help, assistance and concern to provide me resources throughout my master. Most of all, I am fully indebted to En. Kamarul Ariff and Hafizi Omar, my advisor, for their understanding, wisdom, patience, enthusiasm and encouragement and for pushing me farther than I thought I could go. Special thanks to my lab mate for their willingness to share their knowledge and technical support.

## ABSTRACT

Nowadays, in developing countries Newborn Hearing Screening (NHS) has become one of the most important recommendations in modern pediatric audiology due to the important of early detection for newborn as the first six month of age are the critical period for learning communication. Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) is an electrophysiological response in the electroencephalography generated in the brainstem in response to the acoustical stimulus. The conventional method used previously was accurate, but it is time consuming especially with the presence of noise interference. The objective of this research is to reduce screening time by implementing enhanced signal processing method and also to reduce the influence of noise interference. This thesis applies Wavelet Kalman Filter (WKF), Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (CSTD) and Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD) to overcome these problems. The modified approach MCSTD is a modification from CSTD where it is a combination of the wavelet, KF and CSTD. The modified approach was compared to the averaging, WKF and CSTD to analyze an effective wavelet method for denoising that can give the rapid and accurate extraction of ABRs. Results show that the MCSTD outperform the other methods and giving the highest SNR value and able to detect wave V until reduce sweeps number of 512 and 1024 respectively for chirp and click stimulus.

## ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, pemeriksaan pendengaran bayi yang baru lahir telah menjadi salah satu perkara penting dalam bidang audiologi pediatrik moden di negara-negara membangun berikutan pentingnya pengesanan awal untuk bayi yang baru lahir. Tempoh enam bulan pertama umur adalah tempoh yang kritikal bagi pembelajaran komunikasi. ABR adalah tindak balas elektrofisiologi dalam *electroencephalography* yang dijana dalam otak sebagai tindak balas kepada rangsangan akustik. Kaedah konvensional yang digunakan sebelum ini adalah tepat tetapi ianya memerlukan masa yang lama terutamanya dengan kehadiran gangguan bunyi. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengurangkan masa pemeriksaan dengan meningkatkan kaedah pemrosesan isyarat dan juga mengurangkan pengaruh gangguan bunyi. Tesis ini menggunakan *Wavelet Kalman Filter (WKF)*, *Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (CSTD)* dan *Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD)* untuk mengatasi masalah ini dan mengesan gelombang V dalam bilangan kitaran yang lebih sedikit. Kaedah baru MCSTD ini merupakan pengubahsuaian daripada kaedah CSTD dimana ianya adalah gabungan antara *Wavelet*, KF dan CSTD. Kaedah yang telah diubahsuai ini dibandingkan dengan kaedah *Averaging*, WKF dan CSTD bagi menganalisis keberkesanan kaedah wavelet untuk penyahbunyian yang dapat mengekstrak ABRs dengan lebih cepat dan tepat. Hasil menunjukkan bahawa MCSTD mengatasi kaedah lain dengan memberikan nilai SNR tertinggi dan dapat mengesan gelombang V pada bilangan kitaran sebanyak 512 dan 1024 kitaran masing-masing untuk rangsangan chirp dan click.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xviii
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xx
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Work	6
	1.5 Importance of Research	6
	1.6 Thesis Outline	7
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Auditory System	9
	2.2 Hearing Impairment	12
	2.3 Electroencephalograph (EEG), Event Related	14

	Potential (ERP) and Evoked Potential (EP)	
	2.3.1 EEG Frequency Bands	17
2.4	Hearing Screening Tools	19
	2.4.1 Otoacoustic Emission (OAE)	20
	2.4.2 Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR)	21
2.5	Noise Interference	26
2.6	Conventional Method for Noise Reduction	28
	2.6.1 Averaging	29
2.7	Signal Processing on ABR	32
	2.7.1 Frequency Analysis	32
	2.7.2 Time-Frequency Analysis	34
	2.7.3 Wavelet	35
	2.7.3.1 Mother Wavelet	36
	2.7.4 Wavelet Transform	38
	2.7.5 Kalman Filter (KF)	47
	2.7.6 Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (CSTD)	49
2.8	Summary of the Chapter	51
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODS</b>	<b>53</b>
	3.1 Study Area and Population	53
	3.2 Electrode Placement	55
	3.3 Equipment Procedure	57
	3.4 Problems Encounter During Data Collection	60
	3.5 Noise Reduction	60
	3.6 Signal Processing Analysis	64
	3.6.1 Wavelet Transform for The ABR Signal	64
	3.6.2 Wavelet-Kalman Filter	66
	3.6.3 Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (CSTD)	68
	3.6.4 Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD)	70
	3.6.5 Determine State Observation	71
	3.7 Summary of the Chapter	77



<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>78</b>
	4.1 ABR Data Collection	78
	4.2 The ABR Signal Interpretation	78
	4.3 Data Analysis	82
	4.4 Selection Minimum Number of Epochs	88
	4.5 Summary of the Chapter	116
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>118</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>121</b>
	<b>Appendices A-B</b>	<b>129-132</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Sound pressure levels in relation to hearing threshold and pain threshold (in dB SPL).	11
2.2	WHO grades of hearing impairment for adults	14
2.3	Classification of hearing impairment for children	14
2.4	Waves and specific parts of hearing tract in the brain	22
2.5	Mean for absolute latencies of wave I, III, V (in ms) at 70 dBnHL in subject using monaural mode of presentation	24
2.6	Means for amplitude ( $\mu\text{V}$ ) of wave I, and V at 70 dBnHL in subject using monaural mode of presentation	25
2.7	Comparison between Otoacoustic emission (OAE) and Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) method	26
2.8	Conventional averaging application in ABR signal analysis	31
2.9	Wavelet application in ABR signal analysis	41
2.10	Kalman filter application in signal processing	48
2.11	Comparison between conventional and CSTD method	51
3.1	The subject demographic group	54

3.2	Mean SNR value for KF method using chirp stimulus comparison for different state observation value.	73
3.3	Mean SNR value for KF method using click stimulus comparison for different state observation value	75
4.1	Latency mean for the adult subject	79
4.2	The specificity of ABR response based on morphology for chirp stimulus	102
4.3	Total fraction for chirp stimulus	105
4.4	The specificity of ABR response based on morphology for click stimulus	106
4.5	Total fraction for click stimulus	109
4.6	Mean value for the SNR for chirp stimulus	111
4.7	Mean value for SNR for click stimulus	113

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	The audibility curve	10
2.2	Structure of human ear	11
2.3	Location of the key region of the cerebrum	18
2.4	Real ABR template of 1024 epochs at 55 dBnHL	21
2.5	Pathway from the cochlea to the cortex	22
2.6	Human auditory pathway and the correlation with ABR	23
2.7	The CSTD algorithm graph	50
3.1	Electrodes position on baby subjects	56
3.2	Equipment in the CBE lab that has been wrapped by using aluminum	57
3.3	Block diagram for ABR signal acquisition system	59
3.4	ABR system configuration in Matlab Simulink environment	59
3.5	Signal recorded from two normal subjects, but contaminated with the noise interference.	61
3.6	Subject posture and positioning during data recording	62
3.7	Post-auricle muscular artifact recorded in ABR	63
3.8	ABR DWT decomposition tree	65

3.9	The reconstructed ABR DWT (D4, D5, and A5) signals.	66
3.10	Flow chart for the Wavelet-Kalman filter approach	67
3.11	Flow-chart for CSTD approach	69
3.12	Flow-chart for Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD)	71
3.13	SNR value for the KF by using chirp stimulus	74
3.14	SNR value for the KF by using click stimulus	76
4.1	ABR signal for female and male	80
4.2	Comparison between normal adults for chirps stimulus and click stimulus	80
4.3	ABR averaging signal of hearing impairment subject	81
4.4	The latency between different intensity levels for chirp stimulus	84
4.5	The latency between different intensity levels for click stimulus	85
4.6	Averaging method for different sweep number from 2048 – 32 sweeps for chirp stimulus	86
4.7	Averaging method for different sweep from 2048 – 32 sweeps for click stimulus	87
4.8	The ABR result for averaged total of 2048 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	89
4.9	The ABR result for averaged total of 1024 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	89

4.10	The ABR result for averaged total of 512 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	90
4.11	The ABR result for averaged total of 256 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	90
4.12	The ABR result for averaged total of 128 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	91
4.13	The ABR result for averaged total of 64 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	91
4.14	The ABR result for averaged total of 32 sweeps by each technique for chirp stimulus	92
4.15	The ABR result for averaged total of 2048 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	93
4.16	The ABR result for averaged total of 1024 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	93
4.17	The ABR result for averaged total of 512 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	94
4.18	The ABR result for averaged total of 256 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	94
4.19	The ABR result for averaged total of 128 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	95
4.20	The ABR result for averaged total of 64 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	95
4.21	The ABR result for averaged total of 32 sweeps by each technique for click stimulus	96
4.22	Adult subject at 16 sweeps and 60 db for chirp stimulus	97

4.23	Adult subject at 8 sweeps and 60 db for chirp stimulus	97
4.24	Adult subject at 16 sweeps and 60 db for click stimulus	98
4.25	Adult subject at 8 sweeps and 60 db for click stimulus	98
4.26	The ABR signal contaminated with noise interference	99
4.27	Latency result from normal adult (chirp)	100
4.28	Latency result from normal baby,	100
4.29	Latency result from hearing impairmentABR	101
4.30	Latency result from normal adult (click)	101
4.31	Graph for Specificity at 60 dBnHL for chirp stimulus.	103
4.32	Graph for Specificity at 50 dBnHL for chirp stimulus	103
4.33	Graph for Specificity at 40 dBnHL for chirp stimulus	104
4.34	Graph for Specificity at 30 dBnHL for chirp stimulus	104
4.35	Fraction for all method (chirp)	105
4.36	Graph for Specificity at 60 dBnHL for click stimulus	107
4.37	Graph for Specificity at 50 dBnHL for click stimulus	107
4.38	Graph for Specificity at 40 dBnHL for click stimulus	108
4.39	Graph for Specificity at 30 dBnHL for click stimulus	108
4.40	Fraction for all method (click)	109
4.41	Mean SNR for chirp stimulus at 60 dBnHL	111
4.42	Mean SNR for chirp stimulus at 50 dBnHL	112
4.43	Mean SNR for chirp stimulus at 40 dBnHL	112
4.44	Mean SNR for chirp stimulus at 30 dBnHL	113
4.45	Mean SNR for click stimulus at 60 dBnHL	114
4.46	Mean SNR for click stimulus at 50 dBnHL	114
4.47	Mean SNR for click stimulus at 40 dBnHL	115

4.48	Mean SNR for click stimulus at 30 dBnHL	115
------	---	-----



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABR	-	Auditory Brainstem Response
AEP	-	Auditory Evoked Potential
AN/AD	-	Auditory Neuropathy/dys-syndrome
APGAR	-	Appearance, <b>P</b> ulse, <b>G</b> rimace, <b>A</b> ctivity, and <b>R</b> espiration.
BSAEP	-	Brain Stem Auditory Evoked Potentials
CSTD	-	Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising
DFT	-	Discrete Fourier Transform
DPOAEs	-	Distortion-product Otoacoustic Emission
DTFT	-	Discrete-Time Fourier Transform
DWT	-	Discrete Wavelet Transform
ECG	-	Electrocardiogram
ECoG	-	Electrocorticography
EEG	-	Electroencephalogram
EHDI	-	Early Hearing Detection and Intervention
EMBD	-	Extended Modified B-Distribution
EMG	-	Electromyogram
EMI	-	Electromagnetic Interference
EOAE	-	Evoked Otoacoustic Emission
EP	-	Evoked Potential

ERP	-	Event Related Potential
FMRI	-	Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging
FFT	-	Fast Fourier Transform
FT	-	Fourier Transform
GJB2	-	Gap Junction Protein Beta-2
HI	-	Hearing Impairment
HL	-	Hearing Loss
HP	-	High-Pass
KF	-	Kalman Filter
LFP	-	Local Field Potential
LP	-	Low-Pass
MBD	-	Modified B-Distribution
MCSTD	-	Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising
NHS	-	Newborn Hearing Screening
OAE	-	Otoacoustic emission
PCHI	-	Permanent Childhood Hearing Impairment
SNHL	-	Sensorineural hearing loss
SNR	-	Signal to noise ratio
STFT	-	Short-Time Fourier Transform
TF	-	Time Frequency
TOAE	-	Transient Otoacoustic Emission
UNHS	-	Universal Neonatal Hearing Screening
WHO	-	World Health Organization
WKF	-	Wavelet Kalman filter
WT	-	Wavelet Transform

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

A1	-	Mastoid right
A2	-	Mastoid left
Cz	-	Vertex
Hz	-	Hertz
Fpz	-	Forehead
dB	-	Decibel
dB HL	-	Decibels Hearing Level
dB nHL	-	Decibel Above Normal Adult Hearing Level
dB SPL	-	Decibel peak equivalent sound pressure level
ms	-	milisecond
%	-	Percentage
$\mu\text{V}$	-	Microvolt
$n$	-	Number of samples
$i$	-	Wavelet decomposition level
$f(t)$	-	Measured data
$s(t)$	-	ABR signal
$n(t)$	-	Background noise
$x(t)$	-	Signal
$\psi_{a,b}(t)$	-	Wavelet function

$k$	-	Level
$z_t$	-	ABR measurement
$s_t$	-	Brain activity
$v_t$	-	Brain activity independent from the stimulation
$\delta$	-	Threshold

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Future work on Time Frequency	129
B	Newspaper Articles	131

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 . Introduction**

The person who has a hearing problem always face a problem in communication and lead to depression, social withdrawal and the problem with employment and access to information sources (Davis *et al.*, 2007). Hearing impairment can be categorized as slight, moderate and severe according to the National Framework for Neonatal Hearing Screening in 2013. There are three main types of hearing loss which are sensorineural, conductive and mixed (Francisco, 2013). According to WHO statistics, 1-5 in 1000 babies suffers from hearing loss (Kocur *et al.*, 2010). In Australia, 1.3 per 1000 babies having a moderate to profound bilateral permanent childhood hearing impairment (PCHI) and 0.6 per 1000 babies was unilateral PCHI which about 331 children were born with bilateral PCHI and 174 children were born with unilateral PCHI (2013).

According to Kocur *et al.* (2010), Universal Neonatal Hearing Screening (UNHS) has become one of the most important recommendations in modern pediatric audiology. It is important to perform the screening in early stage (neonatal period) as it is an action for early treatment for hearing problems. As stated by

Yoshinaga-Itano, (2003) that early detection for the newborn was very important as the first six month of age are the critical period for learning communication. Assessing the effectiveness of the screening is lied upon the evidence that hearing loss leads to decreased function and affects the quality of life while the effectiveness of the treatment can improve the function and well-being. Children with hearing impairment are more likely to experience lower self-perceived health status than those of normal children (Zaitie Satibi, 2015).

Unfortunately, this program is only successfully implemented in developing countries such as United States, Brazil, and Australia due to the high cost because in the certain country this screening was considered expensive. Other than that, this screening was conducted on the babies despite their risk status and sometimes UNHS programs simply targeted infants who presented at hospitals or well child clinics (Olusanya, 2011). According to a statement issued by medical expert Datin Dr. Siti Sabzah Hashim in the newspaper 'The Star', she mentioned that this screening only detected on high-risk babies due to their high tendency to get this disease (Loh, 2013).

In a general aspect, ABR screening often faces economic, political, and cultural challenges. Yin *et al.* (2014) state that, Malaysia also having the same problem similar to other developing countries where this screening program required the high infrastructure cost due to the instruments and manpower training costs. Other than that, Malaysia also lacks medical or laboratory staff at present, for example in West Malaysia, current doctor to patient ratio is approximately 1:800 whereas in East Malaysia, the ratio is 1:700. This ratio is lower than the recommendation ratio (1:600) set by WHO as reported by The Star in 2010, Bernama in 2011 and 2013.

In addition, very few experienced and knowledgeable personnel, medical or technical support can be made in order to do the continuous treatments and confirmation for positive newborn screening diagnosis. Public awareness is also

very low and there is also the lack of interest from clinicians and hospital administrators in this program. Thus, screening is only done on the high-risk babies whereas normal babies were left out until it was too late for early intervention based on The Star newspaper article on 'Call to screen all newborn babies' (Loh, 2013). Thus, it is important for a policy maker to involve and support this program to improve the hearing screening system in Malaysia.

Malaysia has introduced the neonatal hearing screening program (HRNHS) to state government hospitals since 2001 as they want to minimize and prevent hearing impairment among high-risk neonates (Institute for Medical Research, 2009, Surgical and Emergency Medical Services Unit, 2009). Corona-Strauss *et al.*, in 2007 introduced a new detection paradigm using a single sweep of auditory evoked potentials resulting a fast detection for newborn hearing screening. The proposed wavelet phase synchronization stability method of Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) single sweeps can be a new evaluation for the detection of the ultra-fast quantification of hearing loss where it is the collaboration between University of Saarland and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM).

Nowadays, there are multiple methods using for hearing testing. The evaluation of neonates and infants below the age of two to three years requires some form of electrophysiological testing in an objective manner to track the hearing problem. UNHS program also introduces two methods, as a result to overcome this problem, which is Transient Otoacoustic Emission (TOAE) and Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR). TOAE was used to determine the cochlear status in response to the tones presents to the ear while ABR is an electrophysiological response in the electroencephalography generated in the brainstem in response to acoustical stimulus (Al-Khamesy, 2002). ABR usually used for infants and was believed to be the most accurate method used to determine hearing function (Cebulla and Dieler, 2012).



Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) is well known as the most accurate method used to determine hearing problem but this technique is difficult to be performed at the first stage of screening because of time consuming to get the signal. Noise is the foremost frustration with clinical ABR measurements. ABR needs to be recorded until 2000 to 4000 epochs from each stimulus intensity level to obtain a meaningful result. This has made the TOAE method is used in the first two-stage of screening. However, the drawback of implementing Transient Otoacoustic Emission (TOAE) method in UNHS program as it has less specificity and sensitivity compared with the ABR.

ABR accuracy is greatly affected by noise interferences from electromagnetic and myogenic sources which distort the morphology of the ABR. These problems make it very challenging to get a good respond and sometimes it is very time consuming to detect the sources of noise, especially from electromagnetic sources. It is very difficult to be identified because the noise might be evoked from equipment, circuit, and power sources where this is the disadvantage of not having a shielded room. Moreover, the recording process can cause uncomfortable to the subject because they cannot do any activities, even blinking or scratching. To overcome this problem, there are many signal processing methods have been introduced to reduce the noise. These methods are known as denoising, in which the signal is separated from the noise. In this study, there are several signal processing methods such as Kalman Filter (KF), Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (CSTD) and Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD) were used for denoising purpose.

## **1.2 . Problem Statement**

Contamination in ABR signals is the most common problem occurs in ABR recording and the most challenging task to deal with. The contamination can be either come from electromagnetic and myogenic sources. These problems halt from

getting a good waveform and reduce the accuracy of the signal with a higher number of artifacts. Previously, averaging technique widely used for ABR estimation, but this technique required a high number of sweeps. Besides, this technique is time consuming and in order to reduce the time of recording, the numbers of sweeps need to be reduced too. When reducing the sweeps number, an averaging technique was unable to detect the wave V. Thus, a few developing signal processing approach were implemented in this research in order to reduce the noise and also the sweeps number so that NHS can be implemented. This thesis introduced and developed a modified approach, Modified Cyclic Shift Tree (MCSTD) in order to overcome these problems.

### **1.3. Objectives**

The main objective of this research is to reduce screening time by implementing enhanced signal processing method. The approach is to detect the wave V with reduced number of epochs. In order to achieve this objective, several approaches are addressed in this thesis as following:

The objectives of this research are:

1. To analyze the performance of the ABR system with a fewer number of epochs.
2. To reduce the influence of noise interference.
3. To proposed a new technique, Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD) for ABR screening.

#### **1.4. Scope of Work**

1. Data were collected from baby, adult and hearing impairment subject.
2. Real ABR data were used to observe a minimum number of epochs sufficient to detect wave V.
3. This research only focuses on detecting wave V.
4. This research focuses on congenital hearing loss.

#### **1.5 Importance of research**

The first three years of life are the most intensive period for speech and language development. During this period, the child's brain has developed and if the hearing problem was not identified at an early period, they will face difficulty in speaking. In such case, identifying hearing loss as early as possible will enable pursuing treatment options earlier, so that can improve language, learning and social development (Zaitie Satibi, 2015). Therefore according to Siti Sabzah and Norzi (2010) hearing screening in Malaysia is very important and relevant to reduce the hearing loss problem which will give positive impact to the outcome of the existing hearing rehabilitation service as well as the cochlear implant programmed.

Applying the ABR method during first-stage screening will reduce the number of referral rates, due to high sensitivity and specificity. With the enhancement of signal processing approach such as the proposed method Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising (MCSTD) should help to reduce the screening time. Furthermore, the ABR signal has no special characteristics, especially upon trials. Their components can change due to technical and physiological aspects. The electrical potential differences are the result of extracellular fields of very weak electric energy which is very complicated to be analyzed. This characteristic makes

Electroencephalography (EEG), Electrocorticography (ECoG), and Local Field Potential (LFP) signals become the most challenging in term of data processing because the temporal and spatial pattern of electric fields on the scalp and cerebral cortex are enigmatic, ephemeral, easily dismiss as noise, and usually counted as epiphenomenal. EEG recording become an ultimate challenge for most methods in signal processing due to their high complexity, low signal to noise ratio (SNR), nonlinearity and nonstationarity (Freeman and Quian Quiroga, 2013). In technical aspects, the electromagnetic or external noise can influence the quality of the ABR signal. The recording on different places can cause variation in signal quality even from the same subject. The challenge was further worsened in dealing with a very poor signal to noise ratio (SNR) while recording the ABR signal which the MCSTD method reduce noise significantly to obtain the fewer sweeps for wave V detection.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

In this thesis, this research concerned on reducing the screening time and noise interference in ABR technology. This study focused on the enhancement of signal processing method in order to extract the ABR signal in a fewer number of sweeps. Therefore, the thesis is organized into five chapters. The current chapter emphasized on the problem background of ABR technology and the significance of this research in order to overcome the problem.

Chapter 2 describes the review of the current UNHS technology. It comprises the evaluation of UNHS program, the approach and comparison study on estimation the ABR signal through signal processing technique. The pros and cons of each method are referred and thus motivate the research direction to the development of the proposed method.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology in detail. It includes the organization of equipment setup, data collection and the derivation of the proposed signal processing method. Then, the related results were discussed in chapter 4. The discussion was focused on comparing the performance of the proposed technique Modified Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising with others such as averaging, wavelet Kalman Filter and Cyclic Shift Tree Denoising. Finally, chapter 5 summarized the research finding and suggestion on further research.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A., Hazim, M. Y. S., Almyzan, A., Jamilah, A. G., Roslin, S., Ann, M. T., Borhan, L., Sani, A., Saim, L., And Boo, N. Y. (2006). Newborn hearing screening: experience in a Malaysian hospital. *Singapore Med J.* 47(1): 60
- Ahmadi, M., And Quiroga, Q. R. (2013). Automatic denoising of single-trial evoked potentials. *NeuroImage.* 66: 672-680.
- Ali, L. S. S., Khan, M. A., Maqbool, S. (2000). A hospital-based Universal Newborn Hearing Screening Program using Transient Evoked Otoacoustic Emission (TEOAE). *Pakistan Pediatr. J.* 24: 117–125.
- Al-Khamesy, D. K. S. (2002). Newborn Hearing Screening in the Special Care Nursery of Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia Kota Bharu. Medical Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Atcherson, S. R., Lim, T. J., Moore, P.C., And Minaya, C. P. (2012). Comparison of Auditory Brainstem Response Peak Measures using Ear lobe, Mastoid, and Custom Ear Canal Reference Electrode. *Audiology Research.* 2.
- Aubusson, P. E. K., And Hickman, P. (2001). *Biology in context : the spectrum of life.* Melbourne: Oxford University Press.
- Aydin, S. (2005). Extraction of Auditory Evoked Potentials from Ongoing EEG. Doctor of Philosophy, Middle East Technical University.
- Aydin, S. (2008). Comparison of Basic Linear Filters in Extracting Auditory Evoked Potentials. *Turk J Elec Engin,* 16(2).
- Bartnik, E. A., Blinowska, K. J., And Durka, P. J. (1992). Single Evoked Potential Reconstruction by Means of Wavelet Transform. *Biol. Cybern.* 67: 175-181.
- Berger, H. (1929). Uber das Elektrenkephalogramm des Menschen. *Arch Psychiat Nervenkr,* 87: 527-570.
- Berlin, C., Morlet, T., Hood, L. (2003). Auditory Neuropathy/Dyssynchrony: Its Diagnosis and Management. *Pediatric Clinics of North America.* 50: 331-340.
- BERNAMA, (2011). Government Provides More Doctors for People's Healthcare. [Internet]. Malaysia (MY). Malaysian MEDICAL News. Cited 2015 May 19. Available from: <http://malaysianmedicine.blogspot.com/2012/09/government-providesmore-doctors-for.html>.
- BERNAMA. (2013). Government Provides More Physicians for Better Healthcare of Malaysian. [Internet]. Malaysia (MY). New Sarawak Tribune. Cited 2015 May 19. Available from: <http://www.newsarawaktribune.com/news/4175/Government-provides-more-physicians-for-better-healthcare-of-Malaysians>.
- Boashash, B., And Ben-Jabeur, T. (2012). Design of a High-resolution Separable-kernel Quadratic TFD for Improving Newborn Health Outcomes Using Fetal

- Movement Detection. *The 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Information Sciences, Signal Processing and Their Applications*.
- Boston, J. R. (1981). Spectra of Auditory Brainstem Responses and Spontaneous EEG. *IEEE Trans. Biomed.Eng.* 28: 334-341.
- Bradley, A. P., Wilson, W. J. (2004). On Wavelet Analysis of Auditory Evoked Potentials. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 115: 1114 - 1128.
- Cassiolato, C. (2011). Tips on Shielding and Grounding in Industrial Automation. [Accessed 25 January 2015].
- Causevic, E., Morley, R. E., Wickerhauser, M. V., And Jacquin, A. E. (2005). Fast wavelet estimation of weak biosignals.
- Causevic, E., Causevic, E., Wickerhauser, M. V. (2008). *U. S. Patent No. 7,333,619*. Fast wavelet estimation of weak bio-signals using novel algorithms for generating multiple additional data frames. Washington DC: U.S. Patent and Everest Biomedical Instrument Company.
- Cebulla, M., And Dieler, S. W. (2012). ABR-based newborn hearing screening with MB11 BERAphone(R) using an optimized chirp for acoustical stimulation. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 76: 536-543.
- Chalak, S., Kale, A., Deshpande, V. K., And Biswas, D. A. (2013). Establishment of Normative Data for Monaural Recordings of Auditory Brainstem Response and its Application in Screening Patients with Hearing Loss: A Cohort Study. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*. 7: 2677-2679.
- Chen, Z. (2003). Bayesian Filtering: From Kalman Filters to Particle Filters, and Beyond.
- Cheung, T. (2005). Perceiving Loudness, Timbre and Location. *Mapping Hearing*. Alberta, Canada: University of Calgary.
- Coenraad, S., Goedegebure, A., Van Goudoever, J. B., And Hoeve, L. J. (2010). Risk Factor for Sensorineural Hearing loss in NICU Infants Compared to Normal Hearing NICU controls. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 74: 999-1002.
- Cooley, W. J., And Tukey, J. W. (1965). An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series. *Math Comput.* 19: 297-301.
- Corona-Strauss, F. I., Delb, W., Bloching, M., Sheikh Hussain. & Strauss, D. J. (2007). The Johor Screening Scheme: Is an Area-Wide Newborn Hearing Screening Possible in Malaysia. *3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Intelligent Information Hiding and Multimedia Signal Processing*. 2: 213-216.
- Corona-Strauss, F. I., Delb, W., Schick, B. & Strauss, D. J. (2010). A Kernel-Based Novelty Detection Scheme for the Ultra-Fast Detection of Chirp Evoked Auditory Brainstem Responses. *32<sup>nd</sup> Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS*, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Corona-Strauss, F. I., Schick, B., Delb, W. & Strauss, D. J. (2012). Notched-Noise Embedded Frequency Specific Chirps for Objective Audiometry Using Auditory Brainstem Responses. *Audiology Research*. 2: 30-38.
- Crumley, W. (2011). good practices in Auditory Brainstem response, part 1. [internet]. audiology online. cited 2015 May 20. Available from : <http://www.audiologyonline.com/articles/good-practices-in-auditory-brainstem-827>.
- Dau, T., Wegner, O., Mellert, V., And Kollmeier, B. (2000). Auditory Brainstem Responses (ABR) with Optimized Chirp Signals Compensating Basilar-Membrane Dispersion. *J. Acoust Soc Am*. 107: 1530-1540.

- Davis, M. H., Coleman, M. R., Absalom, A. R., Rodd, J. M., Johnsrude, I. S., Matta, B. F., Owen, A. M., Menon, D. K. (2007). Dissociating speech perception and comprehension at reduced levels of awareness. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 104: 16032-16037.
- De Silva, A. C., Schier, M. A. (2011). Evaluation of Wavelet Techniques in Rapid Extraction of ABR Variations from Underlying EEG. *Physiological Measurement*. 32: 1747-1761.
- De Silva, A.C., Sinclair, N. C., And Liley, D. T. (2012). Limitation in the Rapid Extraction of Evoked Potentials Using Parametric Modelling. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*. 59(5): 1462:1471.
- Doyle, K. J., Fujikawa, S., Rogers, P., And Newman, E. (1998). Comparison of Newborn Hearing Screening by Transient Otoacoustic Emission and Auditory Brainstem Response using ALGO-2. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*. 43: 207-211.
- Faragher, R. (2012). Understanding the Basis of the Kalman Filter Via a Simple and Intuitive Derivation. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*.
- Fobel, O., And Dau, T. (2004). Searching for the Optimal Stimulus Eliciting Auditory Brainstem Responses in Humans. *J. Acoust Soc Am*. 116: 2213-2222.
- Francisco, U. O. C. S. (2013). Hearing Loss Signs and Symptoms. San Francisco
- Freeman, W. J., And Quiroga, Q. R. (2013). *Imaging Brain Function with EEG*. London: Springer New York.
- Georgiadis, S. D., Tharvainen, M. P., Karjalainen, P. (2005). Single-Trial Dynamical Estimation of Event Related Potentials: A Kalman Filter Based Approach. *IEEE Trans. Biomed.Eng*. 52: 1397-1406.
- Gorga, P. M., Kaminski, J. R., and Beauchaine, K. L. (1991). Effects of Stimulus Phase on the Latency of the Auditory Brainstem Response. *J Am Acad Audiol*, 2: 1-6.
- Gorga, P. M., Kaminski, J. R., Beauchaine, K. A., And Jesteadt, W. (1988). Auditory Brainstem Responses to Tone-Burst in Normally Hearing Subjects. *Speech Hear Res*. 31: 87-97.
- Guillory, L., Gashler, R., Lane, A., Ford, J., Gessert, K., Mazzeo, L., Bauer, K., Brown, S., Kaprowski, P., Shilts, K., and Helms, K. (2004). *Guidelines for Hearing Screening*. Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services. Jefferson City.
- Gurtubay, I. G., Alegre, M., Labarga, A., Malanda, A., Iriarte, J., Artieda, J. (2001). Gamma Band Activity in An Auditory Oddball Paadigm Studied with the Wavelets Transform. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 111: 2255-2263.
- Hall, J. W. Iii. (1986). Auditory Brain Stem Response Spectral Content In Comatose Head-Injured Patients. *Ear and Hear*, 7(6): 383-389.
- Harder, H., And Arlinger, S. (1981). Ear-canal Compared to Mastoid Electrode Placement in ABR. *Scand Audiol*, 13: 55-57.
- Hoke, M., Ross, B., Wickesberg, R., And Lutkenhoner, B. B. (1984). Weighted Averaging - Theory and Application to Electric Response Audiometry. *Electroenceph and Clin Neurophysiol*, 57: 484-489.
- Institute For Medical Research, M. O. H. M. M. (2009). Guidelines For High Risk Neonatal Hearing Screening. Surgical and Emergency Medical Services Unit, Medical Services Development Section of Medical Development Division and the Drafting Committee.
- Jacobson, J. T. (1985). The Auditory Brainstem Response *College Hill Press*.



- Jaskula, M. (2002). Using the Parametric Time-varying Filter to Average BAEP signals. *IEEE Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference* Anchorage, AK, USA.
- Jaskula, M., And Kaszynski, R. (2004). Using the Parametric Time-Varying Analog Filter to Average-Evoked Potential Signals. *IEEE Transaction on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 53.
- Jewett, D. L. (1970). Human Auditory Evoked Potentials: Possible Brain Stem Components Detected on the Scalp. *Science*, 167: 1517.
- Jia, X., and Kohn, A. (2011). Gamma Rhythms In The Brain. *PLoS Biol*, 9(4)
- Kaga, K., Hashira, S., Marsh, R. R. (1986). Auditory brainstem responses and behavioural responses in pre-term infants. *British Journal of Audiology*, 20: 121-127.
- Kaga, K., Tanaka, Y. (1980). Auditory brainstem response and behavioral audiometry: Developmental correlates. *Archives of Otolaryngology*, 106: 564-566.
- Kalman, R. E. (1960). A New Approach to Linear Filtering and Prediction Problems. *Transaction of the ASME - Journal of Basic Engineering*, 35-45.
- Kamarulafizam, I., Sh-Hussain, S., Najeb, J. M., Chowdhury, A. (2006). Heart Sound Analysis Using Mfcc and time frequency distribution. *World congress on medical physics and biomedical engineering 2006, august 27-sept 1 2006*, seoul, korea.
- Karen, L. A., et al., (2011). American Academy of Audiology Childhood Hearing Screening Guidelines. *Hearing Loss Homepage : Recommendations and Guidelines*. USA.
- Kocur, I., Alana, Ku, Y.-A., Bahl, R., Ochienghs, J., Cartillier, L., Neuman, K., Grandori, F., Chanda, S. K. & WHO\_Comittee\_Members (2010). Newborn and Infant Hearing Screening: Current Issues and Guiding Principles for Action. *WHO Informal Consultation*. GENEVA, SWITZERLAND: WHO Press, World Health Organization.
- Kolev, V., Rosso, O. A., Yordanova, J. A. (2001). A Transient Dominance of Theta ERP Component Characterizes Passive Auditory Processing: Evidence From A Developmental Study. *NeuroReport*. 12: 2791-2796.
- Konrad-Martin, D., Dille, M. F., Mcmillan, G., Griest, S., Mcdermott, D., Fausti, S. A., Austin, D. F. (2012). Age-Related Changes in the Auditory Brainstem Response. *Journal of the American Academy of Audiology*, 23: 18-35.
- Lebron Paige, A., Rafael Delgado, O. O. (1993). Rapid Acquisition and Tracking of Evoked Potentials by Two Dimensional Spectral Filtering. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process*.
- Liu, C. L. (2010). A Tutorial of the Wavelet Transform.
- Loh, F. F. May 17, (2013). Call to Screen All Newborn Babies. *The Star*.
- Lucas, M-F., Gaufriau, A., Pascual, S., Doncarli, C., Farina, D. (2008). Multi-channel Surface EMG Classification Using Support Vector Machines and Signal-based Wavelet Optimization. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 3(167-174).
- Maglione, J. L., Pincilotti, M., Acevedo, R. C., Bonell, C. E., And Gentiletti, G. G. (2003). Estimation of the Auditory Brainstem Response's wave V by means of Wavelet Transform. *Proceedings of the 25th Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS*, 17.
- Malaysia Hopes to Attain WHO Doctor-Patient Ratio by 2015. [Internet]. Malaysia (MY). The Star online : (2010). Cited 2015 May 19]. Available from :

- <http://www.thestar.com.my/story/?file=%2F2010%2F4%2F23%2Fnation%2F20100423145351&sec=nation>.
- Many School Children Have Hearing Problem. [Internet]. Malaysia (MY). Borneo Post Online : (2014). Cited 2015 May 25. Available from : <http://www.theborneopost.com/2014/09/21/many-school-children-have-hearing-problem/>
- Mallat, S. G. (1999). *A Wavelet Tour of Signal Processing, Second Edition (Wavelet Analysis & Its Applications)*, San Diego: Academic Press.
- Marcoux, A., Kurtz, I. (2012). Noise Reduction to Achieve Quality ABR Measurement. *Canadian Hearing Report*, 8: 19-23.
- Mathers, C., Smith, A., And Concha, M. (2000). Global Burden of Hearing Loss in The Year 2000. *Global Burden of Disease 2000*.
- Meier, S., Narabayashi, O., Probst, R., And Schmuzinger, N. (2004). Comparison of Currently Available Devices Designed for Newborn Hearing Screening Using Automated Auditory Brainstem and/or Otoacoustic Emission Measurements. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 68: 927-934.
- MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, (2012). *Newborn Hearing Screening Technologies*.
- Misiti, M., Misiti, Y., Oppenheim, G., Poggi, J-M. (1996). *Wavelet Toolbox for Use with MATLAB*, US: The MathWorks, Inc.
- NATIONAL FRAMEWORK FOR NEONATAL HEARING SCREENING. (2013). Australia.
- Neely, S. T., Norton, S. J., Gorga, M. P., And Jesteadt, W. (1988). Latency of Auditory Brain-stem Responses and Otoacoustic Emissions Using Tone-Burst Stimuli. *J. Acoust Soc Am*, 83: 652-656.
- NEUROANATOMY: Help & Tutorial/Science Courses. [Internet]. Occipital Lobe: Definition, Location & Function, Chapter 2/Lesson 11. Cited 2015 May 30. Available from: <http://study.com/academy/lesson/occipital-lobe-definition-location-function.html>.
- NEUROSKY, Brain-Computer Interface Technologies. (2009). Brain Wave Signal (EEG) of NeuroSky, Inc.
- Olusanya, B. O. (2011). Making targeted screening for infant hearing loss an effective option in less developed countries. *International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology*, 75: 316-321.
- Omar, M. H. (2012). *Single Trial Estimation of Auditory Brainstem Response Signal Analysis*. The Degree of Master of Engineering (Biomedical), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Omar, M. H., Sh-Hussain, S., Ting, C. M., Ariffi, S. R., Kamarulafizam, I., and Tan, T. S. (2012). Kalman Filter for ABR Signal Analysis. *In: Progress In Electromagnetics Research Symposium Proceedings 2012*, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Popescu, M., Papadimitriou, S., Karamitsos, D., Bezerianos, A. (1999). A Adaptive Denoising and Multiscale Detection of The V Wave In Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potentials. *Audiol Neurootol*, 4: 38-50.
- Purves, D., Augustine, G. J., Hall, W. C., Lamantia, A-S., Mcnamara, J. O., And White, L. E. (2007). *Neuroscience*, United States: Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- Quiroga, Q. R., Garcia, H. (2003). Single-Trial Event-Related Potentials With Wavelet Denoising. *Clin Neurophysiol*. 114: 376-390.
- Quiroga, Q. R. (2006). Evoked Potentials. *In: WEBSTER, J. G. (ed.) Encyclopedia of Medical Devices and Instrumentation*. John Wiley & Sons.

- Rafael Delgado, O. O. (1994). Automated Auditory Brainstem Response Interpretation *IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Mag*, 13: 227-237.
- Rahbar, S, Abolhassani, M. D., Arabalibeik, H., and Jafari, A. H. (2007). Auditory Brainstem Response Classification Using Wavelet Transform and Multilayer Feed-forward Networks. *Proceeding of The 4<sup>th</sup> IEEE-EMBS, International Summer School and Symposium on Medical Devices and Biosensors*, 19-22. Catharine's College, UK.
- Rance, G. (2005). Auditory Neuropathy/Dys-synchrony and its perceptual consequences. *Trends in Amplification*, 9: 1-43.
- Rushaidin, M. M., Sh-Hussain, S., Tan, T. S., Najeb, J. M., And Arooj, A. (2009). Wave V Detection Using Instantaneous Energy of Auditory Brainstem Response Signal. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 6: 1669-1674.
- Sahidullah, Md., Saha, G. (2013). A Novel Windowing Technique for Efficient Computation of MFCC for Speaker Recognition. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 20(2):149-152.
- Scenihr, O. A. (2008). *Potential Health Risks of Exposure to Noise from Personal Music Players and Mobile Phones Including a Music Playing Function*.
- Silva, A. C. D. & Schier, M. A. (2011). Evaluation of Wavelet Techniques in Rapid Extraction of ABR Variations from Underlying EEG. *Physiological Measurement*, 32: 1747-1761.
- Siti Sabzah, M. H., Norzi, G. (2010). Universal versus High Risk Neonatal Hearing Screening and Relevance to Rehab and Cochlear Implant Programme. *MJPCH*, 16.
- Sokol, J., Hyde, M. (2002). Hearing Screening. *Pediatrics in Review*, 23.
- Sprevak, D., Mcallister, H. G., And Mccullagh, P. J. (2000). On the Use of Averaging for Auditory Evoked Response Detection. *Advances in Medical Signal and Information Processing, 2000. First International Conference on IEEE*. Bristol: IET.
- Stapells, D. R. (2010). Frequency-Specific ABR and ASSR Threshold Assessment in Young Infants. Phonak Sound Foundations 2010 manuscript (pp. 67-105). An Updated Version of Frequency-Specific Threshold Assessment in Young Infants Using the Transient ABR and the Brainstem ASSR. In Seewald, R. C and Tharpe, A. M. (eds.), *Comprehensive Handbook of Pediatric Audiology* (pp.409-448). San Diego: Plural Publishing, Inc.
- Strang, G. (1994). Wavelets. *American Scientist* 82(3): 253.
- Sun, Y., And Chen, Z. X. (2007). Fast Extraction Method of Auditory Brainstem Response Based on Wavelet Transformation. In: *Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Wavelet Analysis and Pattern Recognition*, 2 - 4 Nov 2007 2007 Beijing, China.
- SURGICAL AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES UNIT. (2009). Guidelines For High Risk Neonatal Hearing Screening. In: INSTITUTE FOR MEDICAL RESEARCH.
- Tibussek, D., Meister, H., Walger, M., Foerst, A., And Von Wedel, H. (2002). Hearing Loss In Early Infancy Affects Maturation Of The Auditory Pathway. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*. 44: 123-129.
- Ting, C-M., Samdin, S. B., Sh-Hussain, S., Omar, M. H., and Kamarulafizam, I. (2012). An Expectation-Maximization Algorithm Based Kalman Smoother Approach for Single-Trial Estimation of Event-related Potentials. *34<sup>th</sup> Annual International Conference of the IEEE EMBS*, San Diego, California USA. p. 6534-6538.

- Torrence, C., Compo, G. P. (1998). A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 79.
- TUTORVISTA.COM (2013). Structure of human ear. *Sound*.
- Valens, C. (1999). A Really Friendly Guide to Wavelets.
- Velde, M. V. D. (2000). *Signal Validation in Electroencephalography Research*. PhD. Eindhoven University of Technology.
- Wei, L., Tan, M., Wang, Z. (1998). Analysis Of The Auditory Brainstem Response Of Human With Wavelet Transform. *Lin Chuang Er Bi Yan Hou Ke Za Zhi* . 12: 404-406.
- Welch, G., And Bishop, G. (2006). An Introduction to the Kalman Filter.
- WHO. (1991). WHO Report of the Informal Working Group on Prevention of Deafness and Hearing Impairment Programme Planning. Geneva.
- WIKIBOOKS. (2015). Digital Signal Processing. [Internet]. Cited 2015 Jun 30. Available from: [https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Digital\\_Signal\\_Processing/Discrete\\_Fourier\\_Transform](https://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Digital_Signal_Processing/Discrete_Fourier_Transform).
- WIKIPEDIA a. (2015). Hippocampus. [Internet]. Cited 2015 Jun 24. Available from: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hippocampus>.
- WIKIPEDIA b. (2015). Delta Wave. [Internet]. Cited 2015 Jun 24. Available from: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta\\_wave](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Delta_wave).
- WIKIPEDIA c. (2015). Discrete-Time Fourier Transform. [Internet]. Cited 2015 Jun 29. Available from : [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discrete\\_Fourier\\_transform](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discrete_Fourier_transform).
- Wilson, W. J., Winter, M., Nohr, C., and Aghdasi, F. (1998). Signal Prcessing Of The Auditory Brainstem Response: Clinical Effects Of Variations In Fast Fourier Transform Analysis. *South African Symposium on Communications and Signal Processing (COMSIG 98), IEEE*, New Jersey, United States. 23-28.
- Wilson, W. J., and Aghdasi, F. (1999). Discrete Wavelet Analysis of The Auditory Brainstem Response: Effects of Subject Age, Gender, and Test Ear. *Africon*, 1(291-296).
- Wilson, W. J. (2004). The Relationship between the Auditory Brain-stem Response and its Reconstructed Waveforms Following Discrete Wavelet Transformation. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 115: 1129-1139.
- Yin Hui, L., Chee Yuen, G., Mohd Adi Firdaus, Mohamed Isa Abdul Majid. (2014). Present Status and Future Concerns of Expanded Newborn Screening in Malaysia: Sustainability, Challenges and Perspectives. *Malays J. Med Sci*. 21(2): 63-67.
- Yokoyama, J., Aoyagi, M., Suzuki, T., Kiren, T., And Koike, Y. (1994). Three Frequency Component Waveforms Of Auditory Evoked Brainstem Response In Spinocerebellar Degeneration. *Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh)*. 511: 52-55.
- Yoshinaga-Itano, C. (2003). Early intervention after universal neonatal hearing screening: Impact on outcomes. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 9: 252-266.
- Zaitie Satibi. (2015). Buat Ujian Pendengaran Bayi : Masalah Pendengaran Halang Kanak-kanak Belajar, Berinteraksi dengan Orang Sekeliling. *Metro*.
- Zhang, R., McAllister, G., Scotney, B., McClean, S., and Houston, G. (2004). Feature Extraction and Classification of the Audiory Brainstem Response Using Wavelet Analysis *In*: Lopez, J. A., Benfenati, E., and Dubitzky, W. (eds.) *Knowledge Exploration in Life Science Informatics*. Milan, Italy: Springer Berlin/Heidelberg.

- Zhang, R., McAllister, G., Scotney, B., McClean, S., and Houston, G. (2005). Classification of The Auditory Brainstem Response (ABR) Using Wavelet Analysis and Bayesian Network. *Proceedings of the 18<sup>th</sup> IEEE Symposium on Computer-Based Medical Systems (CBMS' 05)*, p. 485-490.
- Zhang, R., McAllister, G., Scotney, B., McClean, S., and Houston, G. (2005). A Comparison of Wavelet and Wavelet-Bayesian Approaches for The Classification of Auditory Brainstem Response. *The 3<sup>rd</sup> IEE International Seminar on Medical Application of Signal Processing*, p. 65-70.
- Zhang, R., McAllister, G., Scotney, B., McClean, S., and Houston, G. (2006). Combining Wavelet Analysis and Bayesian Networks for the Classification of Auditory Brainstem Response. *IEEE Transactions on Information Tecnology in Biomedical*, 10(3), p. 458-467.