

REMOVAL OF PHOSPHORUS FROM DOMESTIC WASTEWATER
USING DISCONTINUOUS AEROBIC ANOXIC REACTOR

NUR ATIKAH BINTI ABDUL SALIM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

REMOVAL OF PHOSPHORUS FROM DOMESTIC WASTEWATER
USING DISCONTINUOUS AEROBIC ANOXIC REACTOR

NUR ATIKAH BINTI ABDUL SALIM

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Environment)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2015

*This thesis is dedicated with lots of love to my parents,
brother and sister*

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First of all, I would like to thank God, the Almighty, for having made everything possible by giving me strength and courage to do this work. To the many people who have helped me in my work and in the writing of this thesis, thank you. In particular, I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohamad Ali Fulazzaky, for your ideas, motivation and patience. My sincere thanks also goes to my co-supervisor, Professor Dr. Abdull Rahim Hj. Mohd. Yusoff, for your encouragement and guidance.

Thank you to the technicians of Indah Water Konsortium and Environmental Engineering Laboratory, UTM, who have assisted on wastewater sampling. Special thanks to Noorul Hudai Binti Abdullah, for your advice, ideas and suggestions. Thank you for being such wonderful friend. Last but not least, to my family, thank you for always encouraging me in whatever I undertook.

ABSTRACT

The discharge of excessive amounts of phosphorus (P) from domestic wastewater treatment plant is of interest in this study because the abnormally high levels of P as one of the nutrient elements can lead to eutrophication for the receiving waters. Although many methods have been proposed for the removal of P matter from industrial and municipal wastewater, such as Phoredox, A²OTM and UCT-type, the use of alternating aerobic-anoxic (AAA) system must be verified. This study proposes the use of Discontinuous Aerobic-Anoxic Reactor (DAAR) to remove P from domestic wastewater at Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor using the nitrification and denitrification type of activated sludge. The objectives of this study are: (1) to evaluate the efficiency of P removal from domestic wastewater by a single reactor under aerobic digestion (AD) condition, and (2) to assess the performance of AAA process of using the different cycles of nitrification-denitrification to remove P from domestic wastewater. The average efficiency of AD to remove P from domestic wastewater was 48%. The efficiencies of AAA system to remove P from domestic wastewater, on the other hand, were verified as follows: (1) at 6-h AD and 6-h anoxic time (AT), the efficiency was 0%, indicating that there was no removal of P during the AAA process, (2) at 5-h AD and 5-h AT, the average efficiency was 48%, (3) at 4-h AD and 4-h AT, the average efficiency was 82%, (4) at 3-h AD and 3-h AT, the average efficiency was 91%, and (5) at 2-h AD and 2-h AT, the average efficiency was 88%. Therefore, the AAA system has exhibited a better performance compared to AD. The results of the study also show that the best condition of AAA system had a period of 3-h AD and 3-h AT and reached 91% efficiency with an average treated effluent concentration of less than 1.0 mg P/L. High performance of the AAA process has been proven by using domestic wastewater from Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor, giving new insights into environmental engineering practices.

ABSTRAK

Pelepasan fosforus (P) berlebihan dari loji olahan air sisa domestik adalah tumpuan utama kajian ini kerana tahap yang luar biasa P yang tinggi adalah sebagai salah satu daripada unsur-unsur nutrien boleh menyebabkan eutrofikasi terhadap air sungai. Walaupun banyak kaedah telah dicadangkan untuk penyingkiran P daripada air sisa industri dan perbandaran seperti Phoredox, A²OTM dan jenis-UCT, penggunaan reaktor tunggal sistem selang seli aerobik-anoksik (AAA) perlu ditentukan. Kajian ini mencadangkan penggunaan reaktor yang tidak selanjat aerobik-anoksik (DAAR) untuk menyingkirkan P daripada air sisa domestik di Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor dengan menggunakan kaedah nitrifikasi dan denitrifikasi enap cemar teraktif. Objektif kajian ini adalah: (1) untuk menilai kecekapan penyingkiran P menggunakan pencernaan aerobik (AD), dan (2) untuk menilai prestasi proses AAA terhadap penyingkiran P dalam reaktor tunggal. Kecekapan purata AD untuk menyingkirkan P dari air sisa domestik adalah 48%, manakala kecekapan sistem AAA untuk menyingkirkan P daripada air sisa domestik telah ditentukan seperti berikut: (1) pada 6-j AD dan 6-j masa anoksik (AT), kecekapan adalah 0%, ini menunjukkan bahawa tidak ada penyingkiran P semasa proses AAA, (2) pada 5-j AD dan 5-j AT, kecekapan purata adalah 48%, (3) pada 4-j AD dan 4-j AT, kecekapan purata adalah 82%, (4) pada 3-j AD dan 3-j AT, kecekapan purata adalah 91%, dan (5) pada 2-j AD dan 2-j AT, kecekapan purata adalah 88%. Oleh itu, sistem AAA telah menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding dengan AD. Hasil kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa keadaan yang terbaik sistem AAA mempunyai tempoh 3-j AD dan 3-j AT dan mencapai kecekapan 91% dengan purata kepekatan efluen dirawat adalah kurang daripada 1.0 mg P/L. Prestasi tinggi proses AAA telah terbukti dengan menggunakan air sisa domestik dari Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor serta memberikan pandangan baru bagi amalan kejuruteraan alam sekitar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of the study	5
	1.5 Significance of the study	6
	1.6 Thesis organization	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Constituents of concern in wastewater treatment	8
	2.2.1 Suspended solids	8
	2.2.2 Biodegradable organics	8

2.2.3 Pathogenic microorganisms	9
2.2.4 Nutrients	9
2.2.5 Dissolved inorganics	9
2.2.6 Heavy metals	9
2.2.7 Priority pollutants	10
2.2.8 Refractory organics	10
2.3 Characteristic of untreated domestic wastewater	10
2.3.1 Biological oxygen demand	11
2.3.2 Chemical oxygen demand	11
2.3.3 Free ammonia/ammonium, NO_2^- , NO_3^- and TP	11
2.4 Overview of wastewater treatment	11
2.5 Introduction to the activated-sludge process	16
2.5.1 Description of conventional activated sludge system	17
2.5.2 Nitrification and denitrification processes	18
2.6 Overview of phosphorus in domestic wastewater	19
2.6.1 The chemistry of phosphorous	19
2.6.2 Phosphorous in domestic wastewater	21
2.6.3 Legislation of the maximum concentration of total phosphorous discharges into receiving water	24
2.7 Phosphorus pollution: a global overview of the problem	24
2.7.1 France	24
2.7.2 Australia	25
2.7.3 Japan	26
2.7.4 South and East Asia	27
2.7.5 Africa	29
2.7.6 The Antarctic	29

2.8 Biological phosphorus removal processes	30
2.8.1 Biological phosphorous removal configurations	33
2.8.2 Biological phosphorous removal: GAOs and PAOs	37
2.8.3 Design and operation of activated sludge system for biological treatment of domestic wastewater	38
2.9 EBPR Performance and Operating Factors	38
2.9.1 Removal efficiency	39
2.9.1.1 MLSS and SVI	39
2.9.1.2 COD and $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$	40
2.10 Factors affecting BPR performance	40
2.10.1 DO concentration	40
2.10.2 Internal recycling time period of nitrification-denitrification and SRT	41
2.10.3 P loading rate	42
2.11 Summary of recent studies related to BPR process applications	43
2.11.1 The carbonaceous, nitrogenous and phosphorus matters removal under anoxic-aerobic process	43
2.11.2 The denitrifying phosphorus removal and impact of nitrite accumulation on phosphorus removal in a continuous anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic process treating domestic wastewater	45
2.11.3 The improvement strategy on enhanced biological phosphorus removal in anaerobic-anoxic process treating municipal wastewater	46
2.11.4 The application of contact stabilization	46

	activated sludge for enhancing biological phosphorus removal in aerobic–anaerobic treating domestic wastewater	
2.12	Chemical phosphorous removal	48
2.12.1	Phosphate precipitation with calcium	48
2.12.2	Phosphate precipitation with aluminium	49
2.12.3	Phosphate precipitation with iron	49
2.12.4	Precipitates formed during phosphate precipitation	50
2.12.5	Strategies for phosphorous removal	50
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	51
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Experimental set up	52
3.3	Sampling collection at WWTP Taman Impian Emas, Johor	54
3.4	Methodology to control the reactor	55
3.4.1	First stage of the experiment: Operating the reactor under AD condition	55
3.4.2	Second stage of the experiment: Operating the reactor under AAA process	56
3.5	Sampling methods	57
3.6	Analytical methods	57
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	58
4.1	Characterization of raw domestic wastewater	59
4.2	Efficiency of reactor set up under AD condition	60
4.2.1	MLSS and SVI	60
4.2.2	TSS removal	61
4.2.3	COD removal	62

4.2.4	NH ₄ ⁺ -N removal	63
4.2.5	TP removal	64
4.3	Efficiency of reactor set up under AAA process	66
4.3.1	Factors influencing for biological P removal	67
4.3.1.1	Time period of nitrification-denitrification and SRT	68
4.3.1.2	The AAA treatment process depending of P loading rate	74
4.3.1.3	Influence of nitrate concentration	77
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION	80
5.1	Conclusions	80
5.2	Recommendation	81
	REFERENCES	82
	Appendices A-K	90 - 100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Typical characteristic of untreated domestic wastewater	10
2.2	TP concentrations in Japanese lakes resevoirs in 2000 and target environmental quality standards 2005 (Sien and Kirkman, 2001)	26
2.3	Population and estimated BOD generation and removal in selected South China Sea Countries (Sien, 2001)	28
2.4	Significant fermentative bacteria in the anaerobic (fermentative) tank (Gerardi, 2006)	33
2.5	Significant poly-P bacteria in the aerobic tank (Gerardi, 2006)	33
2.6	Dimension in designing the bench scale reactor (Capdevile,1992)	38
2.7	Summary of recent studies related to BPR process applications	47
2.8	Precipitates formed during phosphate precipitation (Cooper <i>et al.</i> , 1994)	50
3.1	Principle dimensions of the bench scale reactor under AD process	53
3.2	Principle dimensions of the bench scale reactor under AAA process	56
3.3	Analytical methods of domestic wastewater	58
4.1	Characteristic of untreated domestic wastewater at Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor	59

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.2	Typical composition of untreated domestic wastewater (Metcalf and Eddy, 2004)	60
4.3	Results $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ of removal under AD condition	64
4.4	Results of TP removal under AD condition	66
4.5	Concentration of MLSS in the reactor set up under AAA condition	67
4.6	Results of monitoring the average TP removal efficiency for different periods of AD and AT	68
4.7	Average TP concentrations for the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h AT	70
4.8	Results of monitoring the average TP removal efficiency for different periods of AD and AT	72
4.9	Results of monitoring the average TP removal efficiency for different periods of AD and AT	77

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic of wastewater treatment plant, (Bitton, 2005)	12
2.2	Screening process (Bellingham, 2008)	13
2.3	Grit removal (Bellingham, 2008)	13
2.4	Primary sedimentation (Bellingham, 2008)	14
2.5	Anaerobic digester (Bellingham, 2008)	14
2.6	Settling tank (Bellingham, 2008).	15
2.7	The effluent discharge to the river (Bellingham, 2008)	16
2.8	Activated sludge aeration tank (Bellingham, 2008).	16
2.9	Conventional activated sludge system (Bitton, 2005)	17
2.10	Nitrogen transformation in biological treatment processes (Sedlak, 2000)	19
2.11	Movement of phosphorus in the activated sludge process (Gerardi, 2006)	21
2.12	Sources of phosphorous from domestic wastewater (Bellingham, 2008)	22
2.13	Eutrophication from phosphorus contamination (Bellingham, 2008)	23
2.14	Fish kill due to the reduced dissolved oxygen from increased COD and BOD (Bellingham, 2008)	23

2.15	Activated sludge system for biological phosphorous removal (McGrath et al., 2004)	30
2.16	Bacterial activity in the anaerobic tank in EBPR system (Gerardi, 2006).	32
2.17	Bacterial activity in the aerobic tank in EBPR system (Gerardi, 2006)	32
2.18	The Phoredox process (Metcalf and Eddy, 2004)	34
2.19	The A ² O TM process (Metcalf and Eddy, 2004)	34
2.20	The University of Capetown process (Metcalf and Eddy, 2004)	35
2.21	The Phostrip process (Metcalf and Eddy, 2004)	36
2.22	Schematic chart of reactor configuration	44
2.23	Configuration of anaerobic–anoxic–aerobic process	45
2.24	The logical diagram of the experimental work plan.	47
2.25	Alternative points of chemical addition for phosphorous removal (Lawrence, 2010)	51
3.1	Bench scale reactor configuration	53
3.2	Location of domestic wastewater treatment plant at Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor	55
4.1	Concentration of MLSS in the reactor set up under AD condition	61
4.2	SVI under AD condition	61
4.3	TSS concentration profile of AD	62
4.4	COD concentration profile of AD	63
4.5	NH ₄ ⁺ -N concentration profile of AD	63
4.6	TP concentration profile under AD condition	65

4.7	TP removal efficiency under AD condition	65
4.8	Variations of TP concentration during the periods of 6 h AD and 6 h AT	69
4.9	The AAA process with 6 h AD and 6 h AT	69
4.10	Results of monitoring TP concentration for the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h AT	71
4.11	The AAA process with 3 h AD and 3 h AT	71
4.12	The AAA process with 5 h AD and 5 h AT	73
4.13	The AAA process with 4 h AD and 4 h AT	73
4.14	The AAA process with 2 h AD and 2 h AT	74
4.15	Curve of plotting applied P loading rate versus the rate of P removal for the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h AT	75
4.16	Correlation between the removal efficiency and influent TP concentration	76
4.17	Results of monitoring TP and NO_3^- concentrations and removal efficiency for the periods of 4 h AD and 4 h AT	78
4.18	Results of monitoring TP and NO_3^- concentrations and removal efficiency for the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h AT	79
4.19	Results of monitoring TP and NO_3^- concentrations and removal efficiency for the periods of 2 h AD and 2 h AT	79

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A/O TM	-	Anaerobic/Aerobic only
A ² O TM	-	Anaerobic/Anoxic/aerobic
AAA	-	Alternating aerobic-anoxic
AD	-	Aerobic Digestion
APHA	-	American Public Health Association
AT	-	Anoxic Time
BOD	-	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
BPR	-	Biological Phosphorus Removal
COD	-	Chemical Oxygen Demand
DAAR	-	Discontinuous Aerobic Anoxic Reactor
DO	-	Dissolved Oxygen
EBPR	-	Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal
EUD	-	European Union Directive
INP	-	Inorganic Nitrogen Pollution
MLSS	-	Mixed Liquor Suspended Solid
N	-	Nitrogen
NH ₄ ⁺ -N	-	Ammonia Nitrogen
NO ₂ ⁻	-	Nitrite
NO ₃ ⁻	-	Nitrate
P	-	Phosphorous

PAOs	-	Phosphorus Accumulating Organisms
PHB	-	Poly-hydroxybutyrate
poly-P	-	Polyphosphate
RAS	-	Return Activated Sludge
SRTs	-	Solids Retention Time
SVI	-	Sludge Volume Index
TP	-	Total Phosphorous
TSS	-	Total Suspended Solid
UCT	-	University of Capetown
WWTP	-	Wastewater Treatment Plant

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	The SVI values and the expected condition	90
B	The data of concentration of MLSS in the reactor set up under AD condition	91
C	The data of SVI under AD condition	92
D	The data of TSS concentration profile of AD	93
E	The data of COD concentration profile of AD	94
F	The data of NH ₄ ⁺ -N concentration profile of AD	95
G	The data of TP concentration profile under AD condition	96
H	TP removal efficiency under AD condition	97
I	The data for the curve of plotting applied P loading rate versus the rate of P removal for the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h AT	98
J	The data of the correlation between the removal efficiency and influent TP concentration	99
K	The trend of TP removal efficiency between AD and AAA process	100

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Since early 1970, the presence of phosphorus in domestic wastewater has received attention due to the realization of its negative impacts on receiving water. In wastewater treatment processing, phosphorus is a vital nutrient for bacteria needed to degrade and biologically stabilize the organic wastes (Hussain *et al.*, 2001). Phosphorus (P) is a key nutrient that stimulates the growth of algae and other biological organisms (Mainstone and Parr, 2002). P appears exclusively as orthophosphate, condensed phosphates (polyphosphates), and organically bound phosphate. Condensed phosphates are used extensively as builders in detergents, and organic phosphates are constituents of body waste and food residue (Howard, 1985). According to Tjandraatmadja *et al.* (2010), the household products can be a significant contributor to the P load in domestic wastewater. The discharge of excessive amount of P from domestic wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) is of concern as it is one of the key nutrients that have the potential to contribute to eutrophication in surface water, which can result in an excessive growth of algae (Daniel *et al.*, 1994). The release of P to surface water has led to legislation such as European Union (EU) Urban Wastewater Directive (Commission of the European Communities, 1991). The limit values of total phosphorous (TP) for effluent discharge in wastewater treatment plants are in a range of 1.0-2.0 mg P/L. However, some regions such as in United States followed a more strict measure of around 0.5-0.8 mg P/L to control eutrophication (Caravelli *et al.*, 2010).

The removal of P from domestic wastewater can be treated using either the biological or chemical process. Chemical removal is achieved through the use of common products such as alum, ferric iron salts, ferrous iron salts, or lime. After chemical addition and mixing, P compounds are removed by either sedimentation or flocculation (Duenas *et al.*, 2002).

Enhanced biological phosphorus removal (EBPR) promotes the removal of P from wastewater without the need for chemical precipitants. EBPR can be achieved through activated sludge process by recirculating sludge through anaerobic and aerobic conditions (Barnard, 1975). Biological P removal is achieved by intracellular accumulation of P in the form of polyphosphate (poly-P) granules in excess of the levels normally required to meet the metabolic demands for growth. The P removal of using a biological process can occur through sludge purging when the P containing bacterial cells are removed as organic waste from a treatment system. The poly-P released in an anaerobic environment and P uptakes in the aerobic/anoxic conditions are conducted by bacterial phylotypes and termed as polyphosphate-accumulating organisms (PAOs) (Cao,2011). In general, EBPR process is a relatively inexpensive and environmentally sustainable options compared to chemical P removal. EBPR can be much more economical because of the reduction in cost of chemicals, sludge treatment and disposal. EBPR is the environmentally-friendly technique for wastewater treatment because there is no downstream ecological effect due to the absence of chemical precipitants such as aluminum and iron in the treated effluent (Cao, 2011).

The biological P removal from domestic wastewater of high efficiency can be achieved using two different systems of anaerobic-anoxic sequencing batch reactor and anaerobic-aerobic sequencing batch reactor (Merzouki *et al.*, 2005). These sequences have been attained in continuous flow processes as a spatial sequence of different reactors or compartments connected by different recirculation lines. It needs to be conducted much more complex operating system and hence all of them demanding quite high investments and operating costs (García-Encina *et al.*,2004). On the other hand, in a discontinuous aerobic-anoxic process, the sequence is defined as temporary changes in operating conditions of using the only one reactor.

Therefore, this research focuses on the development of a simple operation of the treatment system to remove P from domestic wastewater using the “Discontinuous Aerobic Anoxic Reactor (DAAR)”, a new concept where both the aerobic digestion (AD) and alternating aerobic-anoxic (AAA) condition occur in a single reactor.

1.2 Problem statement

The researches conducted in the late two decades have contributed to the development of biological P removal processes. According to Lopez, (2009), activated sludge of nitrification-denitrification type, at certain conditions, can take up in considerable excess P of organic compounds to provide the requirement for normal biomass growth; the phenomenon known as “luxury uptake”. Based on this phenomenon, a number of applications and processes have been developed and the technology has the advantage of avoiding the use of chemicals and excess physicochemical sludge production. However, it requires more complex configurations and operating regimes.

In addition, as treatment processes develop in complexity, land needed for treatment plant set-up would increase too. But in reality, not many countries could afford such a situation. Therefore, wastewater treatment systems presently in used worldwide, needed some diversification in set-up or better if new technologies are invented. Even if land factor is not a constraint, a complicated plant may need high capital investment. Treatment plant with many reactors and clarifiers and other equipment would normally increase cost in maintenance for the operational equipment. In addition, advanced technologies treatment plant would also need highly skilled operators. This would also definitely increase operation costs.

As years gone by, rules and regulation of wastewater treatment plant discharge has become more stringent. Such a development in regulation would continue in future. Therefore, more efficient and advanced treatments have to be developed in order to achieve the required standards. Another issue on hand is the

eutrophication of lakes, rivers and other water resources, which is receiving worldwide attention. Nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus are the primary causes of eutrophication. It is therefore not unusual to realize that standard for both nutrients have been increasingly stringent over the past two decades. Though existing biological and chemical processes can remove these nutrients, nonetheless it has not come in a simple way and it does increase the cost of treatment. Therefore, researchers are now working round the clock to search for better, simpler and cost effective solutions.

To date, many biological phosphorous removal processes related to activated sludge have been commonly adopted in WWTPs, such as pre-denitrification (A/O) and anaerobic/anoxic/oxic (A/A/O) processes (Shijian *et al.*, 2010). Groups of researchers have studied the sequencing batch reactor techniques for phosphorous removal process (Ehab *et al.*, 2013). Though existing BPR processes can remove the P nutrient from domestic wastewater, still, this research is conducted to modify the process to enhance P removal performance by using DAAR.

One of the alternative treatments that surface, DAAR stands out for a few particular reason. The strong point about DAAR is that it does not need a train of reactors. All it does need is a single reactor in order to achieve a removal of phosphorus from domestic wastewater. In short, DAAR provides a simple process to remove P from wastewaters. Therefore, the performance of this system to remove P needs to be determined.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are as follows:

- i. to evaluate the efficiency of P removal from domestic wastewater by a single reactor under AD condition, and

- ii. to assess the performance of AAA process of using the different cycles of nitrification-denitrification to remove P from domestic wastewater.

1.4 Scope of the study

The scope of this study is as follows:

- i. to characterize the raw wastewater and determine the level of pollutant loading the reactors of both under AD and AAA processes by analyzing the concentrations of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD), Total Phosphorus (TP), Ammonia Nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$), Nitrite (NO_2^-), Nitrate (NO_3^-) and Total Suspended Solid (TSS) for domestic wastewater of Taman Impian Emas, Skudai, Johor,
- ii. to perform the first stage of the experiment by operating the reactor under AD condition with the specific targets to achieve:
 - a stable condition of the reactor's performance identified by the level of COD removal to reach at approximately 85% efficiency,
 - a concentration of mixed liquor suspended solid (MLSS) in the reactor should be in a range of 5-8 g/L, and
 - a level of the sludge volume index (SVI) ranged from 100 to 250 mL/g, meaning that microbial products of activated sludge can be settled perfectly, and
- iii. to perform the second stage of the experiment by operating the reactor under AAA process for developing the nitrification-denitrification type of activated sludge using: (1) the periods of 6 h AD and 6 h anoxic time, (2) the periods of 5 h AD and 5 h anoxic time, (3) the periods of 4 h AD and 4 h anoxic time, (4) the periods of 3 h AD and 3 h anoxic time, and (5) the periods of 2 h AD and 2 h anoxic time.

1.5 Significance of the study

The significances of this study are as follows:

- i. to evaluate the efficiency of carbonaceous matter removal from domestic wastewater by a single reactor until achieving a steady state under AD condition,
- ii. to evaluate the performance of AAA process of using the different cycles of nitrification-denitrification to remove P from domestic wastewater,
- iii. to understand the possibility of operating a single reactor to enhance the biological phosphorous removal efficiency from domestic wastewater, and
- iv. to verify the optimum operation of AAA treatment system based on the modification of the periods of AD and AT.

1.6 Thesis organization

After briefly introducing the background in Chapter 1, this study reviews the literatures in Chapter 2 for concern with the different types of biological P removal processes. The materials and methods in Chapter 3 include the configuration of DAAR, characterization of domestic wastewater, methodology of controlling the DAAR and analytical methods. Presentation and discussion of the results can be found in Chapter 4, describing the performance of both treatment systems i.e., the AD and AAA processes, where the AAA process is a new approach in biological systems for domestic wastewater treatment. The last chapter presents the conclusions of this study and the recommendation for future works.

CHAPTER 5

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusions

By comparing the performances of biological treatment process under the AD and different AAA conditions to remove P from domestic wastewater can reach at the following conclusions:

(1) The efficiency of AD treatment process to remove total phosphorous matter from domestic wastewater ranges from 29 to 71% with an average of 48%. It is evident that the performance of the AD process to remove P from a polluted water by continuous aeration is still low.

(2) The study's findings showed that at given condition of the AAA treatment system can reach at 91% efficiency of its efficiency with the period of 3 h AD and 3 h AT. The average TP concentration of less than 1.0 mg P/L can be achieved in effluent of the AAA treatment plant and coped with the stringent standards of effluent discharge regulated by the law. This finding is valid with TP influent concentration of 8.44 mg/L.

5.2 Recommendations

According to the result findings, the following recommendation are suggested for the future works, such that:

- i. the identification of bacterial strains is necessary to having a new knowledge on the types of phosphorus-biodegrading bacteria suitable for an AAA treatment process,
- ii. the development of empirical models based on the experimental data will be useful for determining the design parameters for the future applications at industrial scale AAA treatment processes,
- iii. microbiological study can be done to investigate the different characteristics of microorganism under AD and AAA conditions,
- iv. a study can be carried out by using the different HRT as follows: (1) 19 h and (2) 20 h, to compare the efficiency of P removal from domestic wastewater, and
- v. a study can be carried out by using the different type of raw wastewater such as industrial wastewater to see whether the system is capable to remove the pollutant elements from the industrial wastewater before they are return to the environment.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association, APHA. (2009). *Standard Methods for The Examination of Water and Wastewater*. Washington, D.C: APHA. (21th Edition).
- Andreasen K, Sigvardsen L. (1996). Experiences with Sludge Settleability in Different Process Alternatives for Nutrient Removal. *Water Science Technology*. 33(12),137–46.
- Ball, J. (2001). *State of The Environment Australia. Inland Waters Theme Report*. Canberra: CSIRO Publishing.
- Barlett, R. E. (1971). *Wastewater Treatment*. England: Applied Science Publishers Ltd.
- Barnard, J.L. (1975). A review of Biological Phosphorous Removal in Activated Sludge Process. *Water Science and Technol.* 2(3), 136-144.
- Bellingham, K. (2008, June 10). Physicochemical Parameters of Natural Waters Resources. *Stevens Waste Water*. Retrieved June 12, 2013, from <http://www.stevenswater.com/articles/waterparameters.aspx>
- Bitton, G. (2005). *Wastewater Microbiology*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, Incorporation.
- Blackbeard JR, Gabb D, Ekama G, Marais GvR. (1998). Identification of Filamentous Organisms in Nutrient Removal Activated Sludge Plants in South Africa. *Water Science Technology*.14,29 – 34.
- Bond, P. L., Robert, E., Michael, W., Ju"Rg, K. and Linda L. B. (1999). Identification of Some of the Major Groups of Bacteria in Efficient and Nonefficient Biological Phosphorus Removal Activated Sludge Systems. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 65(9), 4077-4084.
- Brdjanovic, D., Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Hooijmans, C. M., Alaerts, G. J. and Heijnen, J. J. (1998). Temperature Effects on Physiology of Biological Phosphorus Removal. *Journal Environmental Engineering*. 123(2), 144-53.

- Cao, Y. S. (2011). *Biological Phosphorous Removal Activated Sludge Process in Warm Climates*. London: IWA Publishing.
- Capdevile, B. (1992). *Biofilm-Science and Technology*. Netherlands: Kulwer Academic Publisher.
- Caravelli, H. A., Edgardo, M. C. and Noemí, E. Z. (2010). Phosphorous Removal in Batch Systems Using Ferric Chloride in The Presence of Activated Sludges. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 177, 199–208.
- Chang, C. H. and Hao, O. J. (1996). Sequencing Batch Reactor System for Nutrient Removal: ORP and pH Profiles. *Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. 67, 27–38.
- Chuang, S. H. (1996). Kinetic Competition between Phosphorus Release and Denitrification on Sludge Under Anoxic Condition. *Water Research*. 30, 2961–2968
- Chuang, S. H., Ouyang, C. F., Yuang, H. C. and You, S. J. (1998). Phosphorus and Polyhydroxyalkanoates Variation in a Combined Process with Activated Sludge and Biofilm. *Water Science Technology*. 37(4-5), 593–7.
- Clark, T., Stephenson, T., and Arnold-Smith, A.K. (2000). The Impact of Iron Based Co-precipitation on The Activated Sludge Process. *Trans Inst. Chemical Engineering*. 78(B), 405-410
- Commission of the European Communities (1991). *Council Directive Concerning Urban Waste-water Treatment*. 91/271/EEC.
- Cooper, P.F., Day, M. and Thomson, V. (1994). Process Options for Phosphorous and Nitrogen Removal from Wastewater. *Water Environmental Management*. 8, 84-92.
- Comeau Y, Lamarre D, Roberge F, Perrier M, Desjardins G, Hade C, (1996). Biological Nutrient Removal from a Phosphorus-Rich Pre-Fermented Industrial Wastewater. *Water Science Technology*. 34(1 – 2), 169– 77.
- Converti A, Zilli M, Poloniecki RH, Del Borghi M, Ferraiolo G. (1993). Influence of Nutrient Concentration in New Operating Criteria for Biological Removal of Phosphorus from Wastewaters. *Water Resource*. 27(5), 791– 8.
- Daniel, T. C., Sharpley, A. N. and Lemunyon, J. L. (1994). Agricultural Phosphorus and Eutrophication: A Symposium Overview. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 27, 251–257.

- Duenas, J. F., Alonso, J. R., Rey, A. F. and Antoni, S. F. (2002). Characterisation of Phosphorous Forms in Wastewater Treatment Plants. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 97(1–3), 193–205.
- Dixon, J., Gulliver, A. and Gibbon, D. (2001). *Farming Systems and Poverty*. Washington: FAO and World Bank.
- Eikelboom DH, Andreadakis A, Andreassen K. (1998). Survey of Filamentous Populations in Nutrient Removal Plants in Four European Countries. *Water Science Technology*. 37(4– 5),281–9.
- Ehab, M. R., Maha, M. E., Mohamed, A. H. and Ahmed, M. N. (2014). Application of Contact Stabilization Activated Sludge for Enhancing Biological Phosphorus Removal (EBPR) in Domestic Wastewater. *HBRC Journal*. 10, 92–99.
- Farmer, A.M. (2000). *Managing Environmental Pollution*. Routledge. London.
- Filauro G, Prati R, Marchesini C, Coppi A. (1991). Bio-P-removal from High Phosphorus Wastewater Pilot Testing Confirms Applicability of the Process. *Water Science Technology*. 23(4– 6),603–10.
- Fulazzaky, M. A. (2009). Carbonaceous, Nitrogenous and Phosphorous Matters Removal from Domestic Wastewater by an Activated Sludge Reactor of Nitrification-Denitrification Type. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*. Volume 4 (1). 69 – 80.
- Fulazzaky, M. A., Hudai N. A. and Paul, E. (2014). Precipitation of Iron-Hydroxy-Phosphate of Added Ferric Iron From Domestic Wastewater by an Alternating Aerobic-Anoxic Process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 253, 291-297.
- Garcia-Encina, P. A., Garcia, M.C., Mate, S., Adrados, F., de la Iglesia, D., Fdz-Polanco, F. and Villaverde, S. (2004). Simultaneous Removal of Organic Matter, Total Nitrogen and Phosphorous in a Single Continuous Flow Activated Sludge Reactor Operated With Short Aeration Cycles.
- Gerardi, M. H. (2006). *Wastewater Bacteria*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons Incorporation.
- Holba, M., Ondřej, S., Eliska, M. and Blahoslav, M. (2012). Phosphorus Removal from Wastewater via Environmentally Friendly Technologies. *Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague*.

- Howard, S., Peavey, D., Rowe, R. and George, T. (1985). *Environmental Engineering*. New York: McGraw Hill Incorporation.
- Hussain, S., Hamidi, A. A., Mohamed, H. I., Anees, A., John, V. L, Linda, Z., Simon, B. and Muhammad, U. (2001). Orthophosphate Removal from Domestic Wastewater Using Limestone and Granular Activated Carbon. *Desalination*. 271, 265–272.
- Kargi, F. and Uygur, A. (2002). Nutrient Removal Performance of a Sequencing Batch Reactor as a Function of the Sludge Age. *Enzyme Microbiology Technology*. 31(6), 842–7.
- Kemp, M., Iwan, G. and Mains, C. (2013). Phosphorous and Onsite Wastewater System. *Pipeline*, 24, 1.
- Kreuk, M.K., Heijnen, J.J. and Loosdrecht, M.C.M. (2005). Simultaneous COD, Nitrogen and Phosphate Removal by Aerobic Granular Sludge. *Biotechnology Bioengineering*. 90 (6): 761-769.
- Kristensen GH, Jorgensen PE, Nielsen PH. (1994). Settling characteristics of activated sludge in Danish treatment plants with biological nutrient removal. *Water Science Technology*. 29(7),157 –65.
- Lawrence, K. W. (2010). *Handbook of Environmental Engineering, Biological Treatment Processes*. (Volume 8). Humana Press Incorporation: Totowa.
- Liu, W. T., Kazunori, N., Tomonori, M. and Takashi, M. (1997). Internal Energy-Based Competition between Polyphosphate and Glycogen Accumulating Bacteria in Biological Phosphorus Removal Reactors; Effect of P/C Feeding Ratio. *Water Research*. 31(6), 1430-1438.
- Lopez, C. M. (2009). *The Competition between Polyphosphate Accumulating Organisms and Glycogen Accumulating Organisms: Temperature effect and modeling*. PhD. Dissertation, Delft University of Technology.
- Lopez, C. M., Hooijmans, C. M., Gijzen, H. J. and Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2007). A Practical Method for the Quantification of Phosphorous and Glycogen Accumulating Organisms Population in Activated Sludge System. *Water Environment Research*. 79(13), 2487-2498.
- Louzeiro, N. R., Mavinic, D. S., Oldham, W. K., Meisen, A. and Gardner, I. S. (2002). Methanol Induced Biological Nutrient Removal Kinetics in a Full-Scale Sequencing Batch Reactor. *Water Research*. 36(11), 2721–32.

- Luz, E. D. and Yoav, B. (2004). Recent Advances in Removing Phosphorus from Wastewater and Its Future Use As Fertilizer (1997–2003). *Water Research*. 38 (2004). 4222–4246.
- Mainstone, C. P. and Parr, W. (2002). Phosphorus in River Ecology and Management. *The Science of the Total Environment*. 282-283, 25-47.
- Malaysia (2009). Environmental Quality (Sewage) Regulations. P.U. (A) 432-2009.
- Mamais, D. and Jenkins, D. (1992). The Effects of MCRT and Temperature on Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal. *Water Science Technology*. 25(5 – 6):955– 65.
- Mara, D. (2004). *Domestic Wastewater Treatment in Developing Countries. Environmental Engineers and Scientists*. (pp.45-73). New York, USA: Wiley.
- McGrath, J. W.** and Quinn, J. P. (2004). Biological Phosphorus Removal. In *Phosphorus in Environmental Technology* pp. 272-286 Ed Valsami-Jones, E. UK: IWA Publishing.
- Meinema, K., Janssen, P.M.J. and van der Roest, H. F. (2002). Biological Phosphorus Removal. (1st ed.) Cornwall, UK: IWA.
- Merzouki, M., Bernet, N., Delgene`s, J.P. and Benlemlih, M. (2005). Effect of Prefermentation on Denitrifying Phosphorus Removal in Slaughterhouse Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*. 96, 1317–1322. Merzouki *et al.*, 1999
- Merzouki, M., Bernet, N., Delgene`s, J.P. and Benlemlih, M. (2005). Effect of Prefermentation on Denitrifying Phosphorus Removal in Slaughterhouse Wastewater. *Bioresource Technology*. 96, 1317–1322.
- Metcalf and Eddy (2004). *Wastewater Engineering Treatment and Reuse*. (4th edition). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Mino, T., Loosdrecht, M. C. M. and Heijnen, J. J. (1998). Microbiology and Biochemistry of the Enhanced Biological Phosphate Removal Process. *Water Research*. 32(11), 3193-3207.
- Mulkerrins, D., Dobson, A. D. W. and Collera, E. (2004). Parameters Affecting Biological Phosphate Removal from Wastewaters. *Environment International*. 30, 249– 259.
- Oehmen, A., Paulo, C. L., Gilda, C., Zhiguo Y., Ju` rg, K., Linda, L., Blackall, M. and Reis, A. M. (2007). Advances in Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal: From Micro to Macro Scale. *Water Research*. 41, 2271 – 2300.

- Oehmen, A., Raymond, J. Z., Aaron, M. S., Linda L. B., Ju' rg, K. and Zhiguo, Y. (2006). Anaerobic and Aerobic Metabolism of Glycogenaccumulating Organisms Selected with Propionate as the Sole Carbon Source. *Microbiology*. 152, 2767–2778.
- Pipes, W.O., and Zmuda. J.T. (1997). Assessing The Efficiency of Wastewater Treatment, pp. 231–242, In: Manual of Environmental Microbiology, C.J. Hurst, G.R. Knudsen, and M. J. McInerney. ASM Press, Washington D. C.
- Podedworna, J., Sudol, M. ś. (2012). Nitrogen and Phosphorus Removal in a Denitrifying Phosphorus Removal Process in A Sequencing Batch Reactor with a ForcedAnoxic Phase. *Environmental Technology*. 33(2), 237-245.
- Randall AA, Benefield LD, Hill WE. (1997a). Induction of Phosphorus Removal in an Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal Bacterial Population. *Water Resourse*. 31(11),2869–77.
- Satoh, H., Nakamura, Y., Ono, H. and Okabe, S. (2003). Effect of Oxygen Concentration on Nitrification and Denitrification in Single Activated Sludge Floccs. *Biotechnology Bioengineering*. 58 (1), 101-116.
- Sawyer, C.N. and McCarty, P. L. (1967). *Chemistry for Sanitary Engineers*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sedlak, R. I. (2000). *Phosphorus and Nitrogen Removal from Municipal Wastewater*. (2th Edition). New York: The Soap and Detergent Association.
- Sien, C.L. and Kirkman, H. (2001). *Overview on Land-based Sources and Activities Affecting the Marine Environment in The East Asian Seas*. UNEP Regional Seas Report and Studies No. 173. United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi.
- Seviour, R. J., Takashi, M. and Motoharu, O. (2003). The Microbiology of Biological Phosphorus Removal in Activated Sludge Systems. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*. 27, 99-127.
- Shehab, O., Deininger, R., Porta, F. and Wojewski, T. (1996). Optimising Phosphorus Removal at The Ann Arbor Wastewater Treatment Plant. *Water Science Technology*. 34(1–2),493 –9
- Shijian, G., Yongzhen, P., Shuying, W., Jianhua, G., Bin, M., Liang, Z. and Xu, C. (2010). Enhanced Nutrient Removal in a Modified Step Feed Process

- Treating Municipal Wastewater with Different Inflow Distribution Ratios and Nutrient Ratios. *Bioresource Technology*. 101, 9012–9019.
- Shin HS, Jun HB. (1992). Development of excess phosphorus removal characteristics in a sequencing batch reactor. *Water Science Technology*. 25(4–5), 433–40.
- Smolders, G. J. F., Meij J., Loosdrecht, M. C. M. and Heijnen, J. J. (1994). Model of the Anaerobic Metabolism of the Biological Phosphorus Removal Process: Stoichiometry and pH Influence. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 43, 461–470.
- Sudiana, I. M., Mino, T., Satoh, H., Nakamura, K. and Matsuo, T. (1999). Metabolism of Enhanced Biological Phosphorous Removal and Non-Enhanced Biological Phosphorous Removal Sludge With Acetate and Glucose As Carbon Source. *Water Science Technology*. 39(6), 29–35.
- Tjandraatmadja, G., Chris, P. Chris, S. and Yesim, G. (2010). *Sources of Contaminants in Domestic Wastewater: Nutrients and Additional Elements from Household Products*. Australia: CSIRO.
- UNEP (2000). *Global Environmental Outlook. United Nations Environment Programme*. London: Earthscan Publications.
- Upton, J., Hayes, E. and Churchley, J. (1996). Biological Phosphorous Removal at Stratford Upon Avon, UK: The Effect of Influent Wastewater Characteristics on Effluent Phosphate. *Water Science Technology*. 33, 73–80.
- Wang, D., Li, X., Yang, Q., Zeng, G., Liao, D., Zhang, J. (2008). Biological Phosphorus Removal in Sequencing Batch Reactor with Single-Stage Oxidic Process. *Bioresource Technology*. 99, 5466–5473.
- Wagner M, Loy A (2002). Bacterial community composition and function in sewage treatment systems. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 13(3):218–27.
- Zeng, W., Li, L., Yang, Y., Wang, X. and Peng, Y. (2010). Denitrifying Phosphorus Removal and Impact of Nitrite Accumulation on Phosphorus Removal in a Continuous Anaerobic–Anoxic–Aerobic (A2O) Process Treating Domestic Wastewater. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*. 48, 34–142.
- Zhang, Z., Hui, L., Jun, Z., Liu, W. and Xu, X. (2011). Improvement Strategy on Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal for Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants: Full-scale Operating Parameters, Sludge Activities, and Microbial Features. *Bioresource Technology*. 102, 4646–4653.

Zheng, X., Sun, P., Han, J., Song, Y., Hu, Z. and Fan, H. (2014). Inhibitory Factors Affecting the Process of Enhanced Biological Phosphorus Removal (EBPR) - a Mini Review. *Process Biochemistry*. 7-29.