THE CHARACTERISTICS OF A SUSTAINABLE BRIDGE: A CASE STUDY OF SECOND PENANG BRIDGE

REZA ANSARI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Construction Management)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University Teknologi Malaysia

Dedicated to my beloved and patient wife

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, praise to Allah the Almighty, who had helped and guided me in completing this study. I would like to take this opportunity to thank all parties involved in the making of this study either directly or indirectly.

Deepest gratitude goes to my supervisor, Professor Madya Dr Jamaludin Mohamad Yatim for his immense contribution to my research success, his keen support and guidance which had helped me to carry out this study.

Heartfelt appreciation dedicated to my beloved parents and my wife, whose love, financial support and encouragement had enabled me to complete this work successfully.

ABSTRACT

The aging and deterioration of bridges and the new requirements for sustainable infrastructures and communities require innovative approaches for their management that can achieve an adequate balance between social, economic, and environmental sustainability. This study presents the common criteria which can make a sustainable bridge in the construction industry by considering three objectives, which are, to identify the common criteria for a sustainable structure, then to observe the criteria to the bridges, and to investigate the applications of the criteria in bridge design and construction. The study was carried out through a literature survey and case studies. Result from the study found that there were 29 critical criteria have been identified and significantly influenced on sustainable bridges in the design and construction. The whole criteria, then, were categorized into three aspects such as economic, environmental, and social. A case study was carried out on the underconstruction of the Second Penang Bridge. The results of the case study revealed that it has achieved most of the criteria of the sustainability bridge. It rendered useful references in designing sustainable bridges and could be classified as the top ranking of bridges in the case of sustainability. Additionally, the model of ranking for bridge sustainability is suggested.

ABSTRAK

Penuaan dan kemerosotan jambatan dan keperluan baru bagi infrastruktur dan komuniti lestari memerlukan pendekatan yang inovatif bagi pengurusan mereka yang boleh mencapai keseimbangan yang mencukupi di antara kemampanan sosial, ekonomi dan alam sekitar. Kajian ini membentangkan kriteria yang sama yang boleh membuat sebuah jambatan yang mampan dalam industri pembinaan dengan mempertimbangkan tiga objektif, iaitu, untuk mengenal pasti kriteria yang sama untuk struktur yang mapan, maka untuk melihat kriteria untuk jambatan, dan untuk menyiasat permohonan daripada kriteria dalam reka bentuk dan pembinaan jambatan. Kajian ini telah dijalankan melalui kajian literatur dan kajian kes. Keputusan daripada kajian itu mendapati bahawa terdapat 29 kriteria kritikal telah dikenal pasti dan dipengaruhi dengan ketara di atas jambatan yang mampan dalam reka bentuk dan pembinaan. Kriteria keseluruhan, maka, telah dikategorikan kepada tiga aspek seperti ekonomi, alam sekitar dan sosial. Satu kajian kes telah dijalankan di bawah pembinaan Jambatan Pulau Pinang Kedua. Keputusan kajian kes menunjukkan bahawa ia telah mencapai kebanyakan kriteria jambatan kemampanan. Ia diberikan rujukan yang berguna dalam merekabentuk jambatan yang mampan dan boleh diklasifikasikan sebagai ranking atas jambatan dalam hal kemampanan. Selain itu, model ranking untuk kemampanan jambatan yang disyorkan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DECL	ARATION	i
	DEDI	CATION	v
	ACKN	NOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
	ABST	RACT	vii
	ABSTRAK		viii
	TABL	E OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST	OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST	OF ABBREVIATION	xv
1	INTR	ODUCTION	1
	1.1.	Background of the Study	1
	1.2.	Statement of Problem	4
	1.3.	Aim and objectives of the Study	5
	1.4.	Significance of the Study	5
	1.5.	Scope of the Study	6
2	LITE	RATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1.	General Dimentions of Sustainability	7
	2.2.	Environmental Aspects of Bridges	10
	2.2.1.	The Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	12
	2.2.1.1	. The LEED Standard for Building	14
	2.2.2.	The Environmental Management Plan (EMP)	14
	2.2.3.	The Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)	15

2.2.3.1.	Life Cycle Assessment Tools17
2.2.3.2.	The Consequence of LCA
2.3.	Economic Aspects of Bridges
2.3.1.	The Life Cycle Cost Assessment
2.3.2.	Health Economic Assessment Tool
2.3.3.	Bridge Health Monitoring System20
2.3.4.	Material Reusability22
2.3.5.	The Concurrent Engineering23
2.4.	Social Aspects of Bridges23
2.4.1.	Local Economic Development (LED)24
2.4.2.	Accident Cost as a Social Measurement24
2.4.3.	Human Satisfaction (Noise and Vibration)24
2.5.	Some Sustainability Rating System for Infrastructure Projects
2.6.	Design for High Durability27
2.7.	The Characteristics of Material for Sustainable Bridge
2.7.1.	Fiber Reinforced Polymer for Bridges29
2.7.2.	Geopolymer Cements for bridges29
2.7.3.	High Performance Concrete32
2.7.4.	Pulverized Fly Ash (PFA) as a Pozzolanic Material
	32
2.7.5.	Silica Fume as a Pozzolanic Material33
2.7.6.	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS) in Concrete
2.7.6.1.	Effect of GGBS on Concrete Durability35
2.8.	Some Specific Things Relating to the Bridge36
2.8.1.	Common Types of Bridges36
2.8.2.	Common methods for constructing the bridges 36
2.8.2.1.	The Segmental Bridges
2.8.2.1.1.	Introduction
2.8.2.1.2.	Economic Issues
2.8.2.1.3.	Precasting Segmental Bridge39
2.8.2.1.4.	In-situ Segmental Bridge40
2.8.2.1.5.	Rejected Segments41
2.8.2.1.6.	Segmental Bridge Erection Methods41

	2.8.2.1.7.		
	2.8.3.	The followings are some of the deck system feature	es
	2.8.3.1.	The following items could be affecting factors to select of the deck	
	2.8.4.	Bridge Bearing	15
	2.8.5.	Bridge Parapets	
3	RESEAR	CH METHODOLOGY4	17
4	DATA COLLECTION49		
	4.1.	Introduction	49
	4.2.	The Under Construction 2nd Penang Bridge	
	4.3.	Economic Activities of the 2 nd Penang Bridge	
	4.3.1.	High Durability of the 2 nd Penang Bridge	
	4.3.2.	Design Optimization	
	4.3.3.	Segmental Box Girders	
	4.3.4.	Design and Build Contract	
	4.3.5.	High Damping Rubber Bearing	
	4.3.6.	Cable Stayed Bridge	
	4.3.7.	Expansion Joints	
	4.3.8.	Parapets	
	4.3.9.	Ship Impact Load Assessment	
	4.3.10.	Tsunami	
	4.3.11.	Health Monitoring System	
	4.3.12.	Dividing the bridge Construction into 3 Packages	
	4.3.12.1.	Main Navigation Span and Substructure & Foundation Works	
	4.3.12.2.	The Design, Construction and Completion of the Superstructure	60
	4.3.12.2.1.	SBG Production	51
	4.3.12.2.2.	Each span of package 2 consist of 14 SBGs	51
	4.3.12.2.3.	Main Navigation Span	52
	4.3.12.3.	The Design, Construction and Completion of the 7km Batu Maung Interchange and the Landside Works	63
	4.4.	Environmental Responsibilities of the 2 nd Penang Bridge	
	4.4.1.	Optimizing the Material Usage in Bridge	55
	4.4.1.1.	Designing for Minimal Maintenance	55

6	REFERI	ENCES	90
5	CONCL	USION AND RECOMMENDATION	86
	4.6.3.	Discussion	75
	4.6.2.	Kurilpa Bridge (Brisbane Australia) [Energy saving lighting system]	
	4.6.1.	Xinguang Bridge (China) [environmentally friendly]	.73
	4.6.	Other Green Bridges	73
	4.5.2.3.	Safety & Health Monthly Audit	72
	4.5.2.2.	Safety & Health Performances and Statistic	71
	4.5.2.1.	Zero Accident	71
	4.5.2.	Safety	71
	4.5.1.	Local Social Development	70
	4.5.	Social Aspects	70
	4.4.7.	High Environmental Standard & Industrial Building System	
	4.4.6.	Re-Environment of Piles	69
	4.4.5.	Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Consultant	t 68
	4.4.4.	Environmental Monitoring and Auditing (EMA) Consultant	68
	4.4.3.	Steel Fender	67
	4.4.2.	The Environmental Monitoring of the Project	67
	4.4.1.4.	Reuse	67
	4.4.1.3.	Reduce	66
	4.4.1.2.	Respect	66

LIST OF TABLES

PAGE	TITLE	TABLE NO.	
13	Some Environmental Assessment Methods	2.1	
s30	The Characteristics of Using Geopolymer Concrete in Bridges	2.2	
idges 31	The High Strength Concrete and Geopolymer Concrete in Brid	2.3	
35	The Pollution Effect of Using GGBS in Concrete	2.4	
81	The Criteria to Achieve Sustainable Bridge Design	4.1	
82	The Suggested Classifications of a Sustainable Bridge	4.2	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE	
1.1	The Systemakility Ouronization	0	
1.1	The Sustainability Organization		
2.1	Segmental Bridge	38	
2.2	Span by span erection	43	
2.3	The methodology Diagram	48	
4.1	The 2nd Penang Bridge packages	51	
4.2	High Damping Rubber Bearing	55	
4.3	Interlocking Finger Expansion Joint	57	
4.4	Substructure and Superstructure Parts	60	
4.5	The 2nd Penang Bridge Dimensions	62	
4.6	Package 3C	64	
4.7	The Xinguang Bridge	73	
4.8	The Kurilpa Bridge	74	
4.9	The relationship between the three aspects of sustainability	78	
4 10	The Criteria for Sustainable Bridge	79	

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

BEES Building for Environmental and Economic Sustainability

BrIM Bridge Information Model

CFRP Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer
ECC Engineered Cementitious Composite
EIA Environmental Impact Assessment
EMA Environmental Monitoring and Auditing

EMP Environmental Management Plan FIA Fisheries Impact Assessment

GGBS Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag

HDRB High damping rubber bearing
HSC High Strength Concrete
ITZ Interface transition zone
KPI Key performance indicators

LCA Life Cycle Assessment

LCC Life cycle cost
LCCB Life-cycle cost-benefit

LCSA Life Cycle Sustainability Analysis

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

NSE North South Expressway

OPS Oil palm shell
PFA Pulverized Fly Ash
PSS Parallel Strand System

RHA Rice husk ash

RSPC Recycled Structural Plastic Composite

SBG Segmental Box Girder

SEA Strategic Environmental Assessment

SF Silica fume

TARRC Tun Abdul Razak Research Centre

TSS Total suspended solids

UHPC Ultra High Performance Concrete

UN United Nations

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background of the Study

According to Toor and Ogunlana [1], Success of future projects will be increasingly measured on the criteria of strategy, sustainability, and safety. Future buildings and infrastructure will be evaluated based on their operational flexibility, maintainability, energy efficiency, sustainability, and contribution to the overall well-being of their end users. Bridge all over the world because of maturing and corrosion are facing the task of long-term and costly maintenance with inadequate funds. As it can be observed as they are critical links in the transportation networks that play an important role to support environmental, social, economic development. Furthermore in the planning stage, before design phase, there should be appropriate criteria to select the best characteristics for designing, constructing, maintenance, and demolition of the bridge.

To approach sustainable bridge management, all aspects of the social, environment, and economic should be used as the drivers for asset management at all levels of decision-making. According to Lounis and Daigle [2], the multi-objective optimization is the actual bridge management problems.

Also the Brundtland [3] mentioned that the sustainable development defined as a 'development that meets the needs of the current generation without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.'

The past few years have seen increasing technological advance in sustainability. Furthermore the Native Americans defined that "we do not inherit the Earth from our ancestors; we borrow it from our children." [4]

The need for increasingly more sustainable infrastructure is growing [5]. "American's transportation system has served the US well, but now faces the challenges of congestion, energy supply, environmental impacts, climate change, and sprawl that threatens to undermine the economic, social, and environmental future of the nation." (AASHTO 2009).

In a challenging issue, a new design framework for the design of sustainable infrastructure systems has been developed. This paradigm integrates materials science and structural engineering with overall system design to meet targets of sustainable infrastructure performance measured through sustainability indicators such as global warming potential, total energy consumption, acidification potential, or total material consumption [6].

In 2010, Daniel mentioned that currently there is no national standard for quantifying sustainable bridges in the Unites States. In addition, the number of bridges conceived and branded with "sustainable" labels as of the time of this writing is minimal. Sustainable design is a modern day topic that requires academic study, modeling, and thought to move forward in a meaningful way [7].

The Lounis [2] indicates that, although, most of the current decision-making approaches and bridge management systems are based on the optimization of a single objective, minimization of life cycle costs, a multi-objective approach for decision-making, which can incorporate all relevant objectives, is proposed to enable the satisfying strategies for the design and management of highway bridge decks, which are direct or indirect measures of social, economic and environmental sustainability for highway bridges and neighboring communities.

The durability of (ECC) Engineered Cementitious Composite materials plays a key role in the design of more sustainable bridge infrastructure using ECC materials [5].

The engineering is becoming a non-stop field that is growing up daily, especially in the field of bridges. So far no research has been done on the characteristics of a sustainable bridge. Additionally, because of increasing the traffic volume and inadequate repairing funds, it seems that the characteristic of technology should integrate to the triple line of sustainability to make more engineering sustainable concern to develop the economic, environmental, social, and the technological aspects of designing the bridge.

The characteristics of a sustainable bridge will meet the needs and necessaries of the current and future generations by ensuring:

- To balance the economy
- To protect the environment
- To improve and defend the social

 To use the most useful technological issues in the design stage of the bridge.

1.2. Statement of Problem

It is the responsibility of humanity to ensure that resources are available for the next generation, and for many generations to come. Furthermore the numbers of bridges are increasing and concurrently the fast development may have a serious impact on them that impacts could be environmentally, economically and socially. Additionally, that problems are in need of identifying before the bridge could be constructed. As it can be observed, the sustainable design is responsible design, and the duty of design falls on engineers. According to the green road guideline 2011, although there are a lot of studies relating to the green road, the lack of investigating in the field of bridge is obvious. Besides, According to the Daniel 2011, currently there is no national standard for quantifying sustainable bridges in the Unites States.

The purpose of this study is to determine the characteristics of a sustainable bridge to cope with these economic, social and environmental problems, also, how to achieve a sustainable bridge design? By implementing the 2nd Penang Bridge as the case study to ensure that to what extend this bridge will carry these characteristics.

1.3. Aim and Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to determine the standard criteria that can make a sustainable bridge during construction. Thus, to achieve this aim, there are three (3) objectives that have been established as follows:

- 1. To identify common criteria for the sustainable structures
- 2. To describe the criteria for the sustainable bridges, and
- 3. To investigate the strategies to achieve sustainable bridge design.

1.4. Significance of the Study

Although currently there is no study that shows the characteristics of a sustainable bridge, the findings of this study are important to help the construction industry, engineers, architectures, owners, and even government to find out the best sustainable benchmarking for bridges in order to plan a new bridge or maintenance the existing bridges.

1.5. Scope of the Study

The long-span bridges are in need of more site preparation and material uses in comparison to short-span. Therefore the largest impact and even benefit from sustainable plan are likely to long-span bridges.

The scope of this study is the Superstructure and Substructure parts of the marine bridges, the 2nd Penang Bridge, which is top-priority project in the Ninth Malaysia Plan is selected as the case study. Besides, most of the data of this case study were collected from its published data[8], while some were obtained via informal discussions or interviews with the project personnel and the bridge experts. The 2nd Penang Bridge will be the longest in Southeast Asia that connect Batu Maung on the island to the Batu Kawan on the mainland.

REFERENCES

- 1. Toor, S.-u.-R. and S.O. Ogunlana, Beyond the 'iron triangle': Stakeholder perception of key performance indicators (KPIs) for large-scale public sector development projects. International Journal of Project Management, 2010. **28**(3): p. 228-236.
- 2. Lounis, Z. and L. Daigle, Multi-objective and probabilistic decision-making approaches to sustainable design and management of highway bridge decks. 2012.
- 3. Brundtland, G.H., *World commission on environment and development*. Our common future, 1987: p. 8-9.
- 4. McVoy, G.R., et al. Moving Towards Sustainability: New York State Department of Transportation's GreenLITES Story. in Proceedings of Green Streets and Highways 2010 Conference. 2010.
- 5. Lepech, M.D. Sustainable Infrastructure Systems Using Engineered Cementitious Composites. 2009. ASCE.
- 6. Lepech, M.D., Sustainable Infrastructure Systems Using Engineered Cementitious Composites, in Structures Congress 2009. p. 1-10.
- 7. Daniel Whittemore, P.E., Where are the Sustainable Bridges in the United States? 2010.
- 8. (JKSB), J.K.S.B. *Jambatan Kedua Sdn. Bhd. (JKSB)*. 2013 [cited 2013; Available from: http://www.jambatankedua.com.my/webv1/.
- 9. Chudzik, R., *Engineering Education as a Lifestyle: A Student's Perspective*2006: AuthorHouse.
- 10. Wang, Y., *Sustainability in Construction Education*. Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice, 2009. **135**(1): p. 21-30.
- 11. Hunt, L.R., *Development of a rating system for sustainable bridges*, 2005, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

- 12. Rodríguez López, F. and G. Fernández Sánchez, *Challenges for Sustainability Assessment by Indicators*. Leadership and Management in Engineering, 2011. **11**(4): p. 321-325.
- 13. Henriques, A. and J. Richardson, *The triple bottom line, does it all add up?: assessing the sustainability of business and CSR*2004: Earthscan.
- 14. Hart, S.L. and M.B. Milstein, *Creating sustainable value*. The Academy of Management Executive, 2003. **17**(2): p. 56-67.
- 15. Muench, S., et al., *Greenroads Manual v1*. 5. Seattle, WA: University of Washington, 2011.
- 16. Haahs, T., Creating Self-Sustaining Communities-Reducing the Burdens of Infrastructure, Congestion, and Carbon Emissions, in ICSDC 20112012. p. 620-626.
- 17. Chi, L.M.L. and G. Hongwei, *Comprehensive fuzzy assessment on the life-cycle environment impact of bridges*. China Civil Engineering Journal, 2009. 1: p. 015.
- 18. Sinha, K.C., et al., Journal of Transportation Engineering, 2009. **135**(9): p. 619.
- 19. Morgan, R.K., *Environmental impact assessment: The state of the art.* Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2012. **30**(1): p. 5-14.
- 20. Morrison-Saunders, A. and F. Retief, Walking the sustainability assessment talk Progressing the practice of environmental impact assessment (EIA). Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 2012. **36**(0): p. 34-41.
- 21. Clements, R.B., *Complete guide to ISO 14000*1996: Prentice Hall New Jersey, USA.
- 22. Benson, J.F., What is the alternative? Impact assessment tools and sustainable planning. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 2003. **21**(4): p. 261-280.
- 23. Pan, N.F., Expert Systems with Applications, 2009. **36**(3): p. 5481.
- 24. Poyhonen, M. and R.P. Hamalainen, European Journal of Operational Research, 2001. **129**(3): p. 569.
- 25. Roberts, J.E., et al., *Health Monitoring and Management of Civil Infrastructure Systems*. null. Vol. null. 2001. 48.
- 26. Belton, V. and P. Goodwin, International Journal of Forecasting, 1996. **12**(1): p. 155.
- 27. Chan, F.T.S., et al., International Journal of Production Research, 2008. **46**(14): p. 3825.

- 28. *null*. null. Vol. null. null.
- 29. Chen, C.F., Journal of Travel Research, 2006. **45**(11): p. 167.
- 30. Chow, C.C. and P. Luk, Journal of Managing Service Quality, 2005. **15**(3): p. 278.
- 31. Amiri, M.P., Expert Systems with Application, 2010. **37**(9): p. 6218.
- 32. Forman, E. and K. Peniwati, European Journal of Operational Research, 1998. **108**(null): p. 165.
- 33. Bin, Y. and L. Lili. *Discusses the Green Bridge*. in *Electric Technology and Civil Engineering (ICETCE)*, 2011 International Conference on. 2011. IEEE.
- 34. Anderson, J.L., Infrastructure Sustainability: Streamlining Life Cycle Assessment for Practicing Bridge Engineers Extended Abstract. 2011.
- 35. Elbehairy, H., Bridge management system with integrated life cycle cost optimization. 2007.
- 36. Pearce, D. and G. Atkinson, *The concept of sustainable development: An evaluation of its usefulness ten years after Brundtland.* REVUE SUISSE D ECONOMIE POLITIQUE ET DE STATISTIQUE, 1998. **134**: p. 251-270.
- 37. Hansen, E.G., F. Grosse-Dunker, and R. Reichwald. Sustainability Innovation Cube–A framework to evaluate sustainability of product innovations. in XXth ISPIM Conference" The Future of Innovation. 2009.
- 38. Fabrycky, W. and B. Blanchard, *Life Cycle Cost and Economic Analysis*. *1991*, 1991, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- 39. Cavill, N., et al., Economic assessment of transport infrastructure and policies. Methodological guidance on the economic appraisal of health effects related to walking and cycling. World Health Organization (WHO), WHO Regional Office for Europe, København (http://www.euro.who.int/transport/policy/20070503_1), 2007.
- 40. Marzouk, M. and M. Hisham. *Bridge Information Modeling in Sustainable Bridge Management*. in *The International Conference on Sustainable Design and Construction 2011: ICSDC 2011: Integrating Sustainability Practices in the Construction Industry*. 2011.
- 41. Jie, S. Discussion on health monitoring and damage detection of a large-span bridge in Electric Technology and Civil Engineering (ICETCE), 2011 International Conference on. 2011. IEEE.
- 42. San-Jose, J.T., et al., Building and Environment, 2007. **42**(null): p. 3916.

- 43. Bakhoum, E.S. and D.C. Brown, *Developed Sustainable Scoring System for Structural Materials Evaluation*. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 2011. **138**(1): p. 110-119.
- 44. Shapira, A. and M. Goldenberg, ASCE Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 2005. **131**(12): p. 1263.
- 45. Jackson, N.M., et al., *Alternative Materials for FDOT Sign Structures: Phase I Literature Review.* 2012.
- 46. Penttala, V., *Concrete and sustainable development*. ACI Materials journal, 1997. **94**(5): p. 409-416.
- 47. Morgan, B. and A. Semidei, *THE FUNDAMENTALS OF FRP*.
- 48. Domone, P. and J. Illston, *Construction materials: their nature and behaviour*2010: Spons Architecture Price Book.
- 49. Hasanbeigi, A., L. Price, and E. Lin, A Review of Emerging Energy-efficiency and CO2 Emission-reduction Technologies for Cement and Concrete Production. 2012.
- 50. Van Deventer, J.S.J., J.L. Provis, and P. Duxson, *Technical and commercial progress in the adoption of geopolymer cement*. Minerals Engineering, 2011.
- 51. Huntzinger, D.N. and T.D. Eatmon, *A life-cycle assessment of Portland cement manufacturing: comparing the traditional process with alternative technologies.* Journal of Cleaner Production, 2009. **17**(7): p. 668-675.
- 52. Ng, T.S., Y.L. Voo, and S.J. Foster, *Sustainability with Ultra-High Performance and Geopolymer Concrete Construction*. Innovative Materials and Techniques in Concrete Construction, 2012: p. 81-100.
- 53. Hammond, G. and C. Jones, *Inventory of Carbon & Energy: ICE*2008: Sustainable Energy Research Team, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bath.
- 54. Habert, G., et al., Reducing environmental impact by increasing the strength of concrete: quantification of the improvement to concrete bridges. Journal of Cleaner Production, 2012.
- 55. Patel, G. and J. Bull. Selection of material used for thermopiles for recycling heat within a building. in Geo-Frontiers 2011@ sAdvances in Geotechnical Engineering. 2011. ASCE.
- 56. Connor, J.J., J. Ochsendorf, and L.R. Hunt, *Development of a rating system for sustainable bridges*, 2005, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- 57. material, t.w.s.m.s.b. *the world's most sustainable building material*. 2013; Available from: http://www.ecocem.ie/.

- 58. Ryan, P. and A. O'Connor, *Probabilistic Modelling of Marine Bridge Deterioration*.
- 59. Rombach, G., *Precast segmental box girder bridges with external prestressing-design and construction.* INSA Rennes, Hamburg-Harburg Technical University, 2002: p. 1-15.
- 60. Barker, J.M., Construction Techniques for Segmental Concrete Bridges. Journal of the Prestressed Concrete Institute, 1980. **25**(4).
- 61. Moretón, A.J. Segmental Bridge Construction in Florida: A Review and Perspective. in Institution of Civil Engineers, Proceedings, Pt 1. 1990.
- 62. Megally, S.H., SEISMIC PERFORMANCE OF PRECAST SEGMENTAL BRIDGE SUPERSTRUCTURES, 2002.
- 63. Shapira, A. and M. Simcha, ASCE Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 2009. **135**(4): p. 307.
- 64. Yan, B. and L. Liu. Discusses the Green Bridge. in Electric Technology and Civil Engineering (ICETCE), 2011 International Conference on. 2011.