

PERFORMANCE OF UNDERSIDE SHAPED CONCRETE BLOCKS FOR PAVEMENT

AZMAN BIN MOHAMED

A thesis submitted in fulfilment

Of the requirements for the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2014

Dedicated to Allah S.W.T,

my beloved wife Nur Hafizah Binti Abd Khalid

and my gorgeous kids,

Puteri Nurina Akhtar, Putera Naqib Akhtar and Ariff Akhtar.

Thanks for your valuable sacrifice and love.

To my beloved parents and in laws,

Mohamed Bin Jaffar – Jamilah Bt Sulaiman and

Abdul Khalid M.Latiff – Rukiah Abdul Rahman.

Thanks for your support and always being there for me in happiness and sadness.

~~~~ Love you all ~~~~

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to thank Allah S.W.T for blessing me with excellent health and ability during the process of completing my thesis.

Special thanks to my supervisor Professor Ir. Dr. Hasanan Bin Md Nor and co-supervisor Professor Dr. Mohd Rosli Bin Hainin who have given me the opportunity to learn a great deal knowledge, and guiding me towards fulfilling this achievement.

My gratitude is also extended to the Highway and Transportation Laboratory, Geotechnic Laboratory and Structures and Materials Laboratory staff. Thank you for the support and friendship showered upon me throughout the experimental periods.

I would like to thank the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI), University Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) as my Research University, and the Research Management Centre (RMC) for the financial and management support provided under VOT; FRGS - 78556, RUG – 00H93 and IRGS-78928.

Finally, I would like to thank my lovely wife Nur Hafizah Binti Abdul Khalid for her unconditional support and assistance in various occasions. All your kindness will not be forgotten.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study presents an innovative concrete block pavement (CBP) of rectangular blocks with grooves and web on the underside of the underside shaped concrete block (USCB). This new concrete block concept intends to address known causes of failure for CBP due to vertical, horizontal and repetitive traffic loading. Interaction between CBP and underlying bedding sand layer may lead to significant pavement deformation due to vertical traffic loading. The USCB provides an additional underside mechanical interlocking, compared with traditional rectangular concrete block. Twelve USCB with different groove depths (15 mm, 25 mm, and 35 mm) and four different bottom shapes (Shell – Rectangular (Shell-R), Trench Groove – Triangular (TG–T), Trench Groove – 2 Rectangular (TG–2R), and Trench Groove – 3 Rectangular (TG–3R)) were prepared. These USCB were mechanically tested to investigate the effects of groove depth, groove volume, and groove shape on their mechanical properties. To investigate their interlocking performance, a series of push-in loading test, pull-out loading test, horizontal loading test, and accelerated trafficking test were conducted using the Highway Accelerated Loading Instrument (HALI). A control pavement and with only stretcher bond laying pattern was built to allow for comparisons. The results indicate that triangular grooves exhibit promising compressive strength while rectangular grooves performed better in flexural, with the increase up to 25 % respectively when compared to control block. The optimum USCB groove depth is found at 15 mm and the Shell USCB has the best mechanical properties and resilience under all conditions due to their unique shape. The function of the grooves and web as spike has enhanced the mechanical properties of USCB and improved the interlocking mechanism between CBP and its underlying bedding sand layer. The study shows that USCB is a highly potential concrete block that could enhance pavement performance.

#### **ABSTRAK**

Kajian ini membentangkan suatu penurap inovatif untuk turapan blok konkrit (CBP) dalam bentuk blok konkrit segi empat tepat dengan alur dan web pada bahagian bawah bagi blok konkrit terubahsuai permukaan bawah (USCB). Konsep blok konkrit baru ini dibangunkan untuk menangani kegagalan CBP yang berpunca daripada beban menegak, mendatar, dan beban ulangan lalu lintas. Interaksi antara CBP dengan lapisan pasir pengalas boleh mengubah bentuk turapan dengan ketara disebabkan oleh beban menegak lalu lintas. USCB memberi daya rintangan tambahan terhadap penguncian mekanikal permukaan bawah yang tidak disediakan oleh blok konkrit segiempat tradisional. Dua belas USCB dengan kedalaman alur yang berbeza (15 mm, 25 mm dan 35 mm) dan empat bentuk alur yang berbeza (Cengkerang-Segi Empat Tepat (Shell-R), Alur-Segi Tiga (TG-T), Alur-2 Segi Empat Tepat (TG-2R), dan Alur-3 Segi Empat Tepat (TG-3R)) telah disediakan. USCB ini diuji secara mekanikal bagi mengkaji kesan kedalaman alur, isipadu alur, dan bentuk alur kepada sifat mekanikal USCB. Untuk mengkaji prestasi penguncian blok-blok tersebut, satu siri ujian yang terdiri daripada ujian bebanan tekan masuk, ujian bebanan tarik keluar, ujian daya mendatar dan ujian lalu lintas dipercepatkan telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan Highway Accelerated Loading Instrument (HALI). Satu turapan kawalan dan dengan corak ikatan usungan dipilih untuk tujuan Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa alur segi tiga memberikan perbandingan. kekuatan mampatan yang paling baik manakala alur segi empat tepat berfungsi dengan lebih baik di bawah lenturan, masing-masing dengan peningkatan sehingga 25 % berbanding blok kawalan. Kedalaman alur optimum adalah 15 mm dan USCB Shell mempunyai sifat mekanikal yang terbaik serta berdaya tahan di bawah semua keadaan kerana bentuknya yang unik. Fungsi alur dan web sebagai pemakuan telah meningkatkan sifat mekanikal USCB dan memperbaiki sifat penguncian antara CBP dan lapisan pasir pengalas. Kajian ini telah menunjukkan USCB merupakan sejenis blok konkrit yang berpotensi untuk meningkatkan prestasi turapan.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER |                       | TITLE                | PAGE  |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------|
|         | DEC                   | LARATION             | ii    |
|         | DED                   | ICATION              | iii   |
|         | ACK                   | NOWLEDGEMENT         | iv    |
|         | ABS                   | ГКАСТ                | V     |
|         | ABS                   | ГКАК                 | vi    |
|         | TAB                   | LE OF CONTENTS       | vii   |
|         | LIST                  | OF TABLES            | xiv   |
|         | LIST                  | OF FIGURES           | xvi   |
|         | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS |                      | xxii  |
|         | LIST                  | OF SYMBOLS           | xxiv  |
|         | LIST                  | OF APPENDICES        | xxvii |
| 1       | INTI                  | RODUCTION            | 1     |
|         | 1.1                   | Introduction         | 1     |
|         | 1.2                   | Background of Study  | 1     |
|         | 1.3                   | Problem Statement    | 2     |
|         | 1.4                   | Aim and Objectives   | 3     |
|         | 1.5                   | Scope of Study       | 4     |
|         | 1.6                   | Limitations of Study | 6     |

|   |      |                                                     | viii |
|---|------|-----------------------------------------------------|------|
|   | 1.7  | Significance of Study                               | 7    |
|   |      |                                                     |      |
| 2 | LITI | ERATURE REVIEW                                      | 8    |
|   | 2.1  | Introduction                                        | 8    |
|   | 2.2  | Pavement Components                                 | 8    |
|   |      | 2.2.1 Subgrade                                      | 10   |
|   |      | 2.2.2 Subbase                                       | 10   |
|   |      | 2.2.3 Base Course                                   | 11   |
|   |      | 2.2.4 Bedding Sand                                  | 11   |
|   |      | 2.2.5 Jointing Sand                                 | 13   |
|   |      | 2.2.6 Edge Restraint                                | 14   |
|   | 2.3  | The Advantages of Concrete Block Pavements          | 15   |
|   | 2.4  | Factors Affecting the Structural Performance of CBP | 17   |
|   |      | 2.4.1 Block Strength                                | 17   |
|   |      | 2.4.2 Block Thickness                               | 19   |
|   |      | 2.4.3 Block Shape                                   | 20   |
|   |      | 2.4.4 Laying Pattern                                | 26   |
|   |      | 2.4.5 Compaction                                    | 27   |
|   | 2.5  | Causes of Pavement Failures                         | 28   |
|   | 2.6  | Concrete Block Manufacture                          | 30   |
|   |      | 2.6.1 Dimension Tolerance                           | 31   |
|   | 2.7  | Pavement Construction                               | 33   |
|   | 2.8  | Interlocking Mechanism                              | 34   |
|   |      | 2.8.1 Vertical Interlocking                         | 35   |
|   |      | 2.8.2 Horizontal Interlocking                       | 36   |

2.8.3

2.9

Rotational Interlocking

Mechanical Properties of Concrete Blocks

37

38

|   | 2.10       | Type of                     | Trafficking Test on Concrete Block Pavement                                                                                                                                                                                              | 39                                           |
|---|------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
|   |            | 2.10.1                      | Static Loading Tests on Prototype Pavements                                                                                                                                                                                              | 40                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.1.1 Push-In Loading Test                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 40                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.1.2 Pull-Out Loading Test                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 43                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.1.3 Horizontal Loading Test                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 46                                           |
|   |            | 2.10.2                      | Accelerated Trafficking Test on Prototype                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                              |
|   |            |                             | Pavements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 47                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.2.1 Axle and Wheel Loads                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 50                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.2.2 Contact Tyre Pressure                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 53                                           |
|   |            | 2                           | 2.10.2.3 Permanent Deformation and Rutting                                                                                                                                                                                               | 56                                           |
|   | 2.11       | The Imp                     | portance of Grooves                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 57                                           |
|   | 2.12       | Conclud                     | ding Remarks                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | 60                                           |
|   |            |                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                              |
|   |            |                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                              |
| 3 | MET        | HODOL                       | OGY                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 61                                           |
|   | 3.1        | Introduc                    | ction                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 61                                           |
|   | 3.2        | Dotormi                     | ination of USCB Dimensions                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 65                                           |
|   |            | Determ                      | mation of OSCD Difficusions                                                                                                                                                                                                              | 0.0                                          |
|   | 3.3        |                             | Manufacturing Process                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 71                                           |
|   | 3.3<br>3.4 | Block M                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                              |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee          | Manufacturing Process                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 71                                           |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process ering Properties                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 71<br>74                                     |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process ering Properties Mechanical Properties                                                                                                                                                                             | 71<br>74<br>75                               |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process  ering Properties  Mechanical Properties  3.4.1.1 Concrete Block Compressive Strength                                                                                                                              | 71<br>74<br>75<br>77                         |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process  ering Properties  Mechanical Properties  3.4.1.1 Concrete Block Compressive Strength  3.4.1.2 Concrete Block Flexural Strength                                                                                    | 71<br>74<br>75<br>77<br>79                   |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process  ering Properties  Mechanical Properties  3.4.1.1 Concrete Block Compressive Strength  3.4.1.2 Concrete Block Flexural Strength  3.4.1.3 Density and Absorption Test                                               | 71<br>74<br>75<br>77<br>79<br>82             |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process  ering Properties  Mechanical Properties  3.4.1.1 Concrete Block Compressive Strength  3.4.1.2 Concrete Block Flexural Strength  3.4.1.3 Density and Absorption Test  Physical Appearance                          | 71<br>74<br>75<br>77<br>79<br>82<br>84       |
|   |            | Block M<br>Enginee<br>3.4.1 | Manufacturing Process  ering Properties  Mechanical Properties  3.4.1.1 Concrete Block Compressive Strength  3.4.1.2 Concrete Block Flexural Strength  3.4.1.3 Density and Absorption Test  Physical Appearance  3.4.2.1 Block Dimension | 71<br>74<br>75<br>77<br>79<br>82<br>84<br>84 |

|     | 3.6.1   | Push-In Loading Test                  | 93  |
|-----|---------|---------------------------------------|-----|
|     | 3.6.2   | Pull-Out Loading Test                 | 97  |
|     | 3.6.3   | Horizontal Loading Test               | 100 |
| 3.7 | Traffic | cking Test Under HALI                 | 103 |
|     | 3.7.1   | Wheel Applied Load                    | 107 |
| EFF | ECT OF  | F UNDERSIDE SHAPED CONCRETE           |     |
| BLO | OCK (US | SCB) ON ENGINEERING PROPERTIES        | 109 |
| 4.1 | Introd  | uction                                | 109 |
| 4.2 | Mater   | ials                                  | 110 |
|     | 4.2.1   | Bedding Sand and Jointing Sand        | 110 |
|     | 4.2.2   | Fine Aggregate and Coarse Aggregate   |     |
|     |         | for Concrete                          | 112 |
|     | 4.2.3   | Cement                                | 115 |
|     | 4.2.4   | Water                                 | 115 |
| 4.3 | Moist   | ure Content                           | 116 |
|     | 4.3.1   | Bedding Sand                          | 116 |
|     | 4.3.2   | Jointing Sand                         | 117 |
| 4.4 | Dimer   | nsional Geometrical Shape             |     |
|     | of Ma   | nufactured USCB                       | 117 |
| 4.5 | Mecha   | anical Properties of USCB             | 120 |
|     | 4.5.1   | Density and Water Absorption for USCB | 121 |
|     |         | 4.5.1.1 Density                       | 121 |
|     |         | 4.5.1.2 Water Absorption              | 122 |
|     | 4.5.2   | Compressive Strength                  | 124 |
|     |         | 4.5.2.1 Effect of Groove Depth        | 126 |
|     |         | 4.5.2.2 Effect of Groove Volume       | 128 |

|   |                |                                                               | <ul><li>4.5.2.3 Effect of Groove Shape</li><li>4.5.2.4 Approach for the Development</li></ul>                                                                                                                         | 131                                    |
|---|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|   |                |                                                               | of Compressive Strength                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                        |
|   |                |                                                               | Enhancement Model                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 133                                    |
|   |                | 4.5.3                                                         | Flexural Strength                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 137                                    |
|   |                |                                                               | 4.5.3.1 Effect of Groove Depth                                                                                                                                                                                        | 140                                    |
|   |                |                                                               | 4.5.3.2 Effect of Groove Volume                                                                                                                                                                                       | 143                                    |
|   |                |                                                               | 4.5.3.3 Effect of Groove Shape                                                                                                                                                                                        | 145                                    |
|   |                |                                                               | 4.5.3.4 Approach for the Development of Flexural Strength Enhancement Model                                                                                                                                           | 148                                    |
|   |                | 4.5.4                                                         | Relationship of Flexural Strength and                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                        |
|   |                |                                                               | Compressive Strength                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 152                                    |
|   | 4.6            | Summ                                                          | ary                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 153                                    |
|   |                |                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                        |
|   |                |                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                        |
|   |                |                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                        |
| 5 |                |                                                               | ION BEHAVIOUR OF USCB PAVEMENT                                                                                                                                                                                        | 157                                    |
| 5 | UND            | ER VA                                                         | RIOUS LOADINGS                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 157                                    |
| 5 | <b>UND</b> 5.1 | ER VA                                                         | RIOUS LOADINGS uction                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 157                                    |
| 5 | UND            | Introd                                                        | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer                                                                                                                                                        |                                        |
| 5 | <b>UND</b> 5.1 | Introd<br>Comp.<br>5.2.1                                      | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding                                                                                                                   | 157<br>158                             |
| 5 | <b>UND</b> 5.1 | Introd Comp. 5.2.1 Sand                                       | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer                                                                                                            | 157<br>158<br>158                      |
| 5 | 5.1<br>5.2     | Introd<br>Comp<br>5.2.1<br>Sand 1                             | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer  Density of Bedding Sand Layer                                                                             | 157<br>158<br>158<br>163               |
| 5 | <b>UND</b> 5.1 | Introd<br>Comp<br>5.2.1<br>Sand 1<br>5.2.2<br>Push-i          | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer  Density of Bedding Sand Layer  in Loading                                                                 | 157<br>158<br>158<br>163<br>167        |
| 5 | 5.1<br>5.2     | Introd<br>Comp<br>5.2.1<br>Sand 1<br>5.2.2<br>Push-i<br>5.3.1 | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer  Density of Bedding Sand Layer  in Loading  Load-Deflection Behaviour                                      | 157<br>158<br>158<br>163               |
| 5 | 5.1<br>5.2     | Introd<br>Comp<br>5.2.1<br>Sand 1<br>5.2.2<br>Push-i          | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer  Density of Bedding Sand Layer  in Loading  Load-Deflection Behaviour  Vertical Interlocking Mechanism and | 157<br>158<br>158<br>163<br>167<br>167 |
| 5 | 5.1<br>5.2     | Introd<br>Comp<br>5.2.1<br>Sand 1<br>5.2.2<br>Push-i<br>5.3.1 | RIOUS LOADINGS  uction  action of USCB onto Bedding Sand Layer  Settlement and Thickness of Bedding  Layer  Density of Bedding Sand Layer  in Loading  Load-Deflection Behaviour                                      | 157<br>158<br>158<br>163<br>167        |

|   |     | 5.3.4                                 | Effects of Groove Depth, Volume and       |     |
|---|-----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----|
|   |     |                                       | Groove Shape                              | 174 |
|   | 5.4 | Pull-o                                | ut Loading                                | 180 |
|   |     | 5.4.1                                 | Load-Displacement Behaviour               | 181 |
|   |     | 5.4.2                                 | Vertical Interlocking and Load Transfer   | 183 |
|   |     | 5.4.3                                 | Rotational Interlocking                   | 186 |
|   |     | 5.4.4                                 | Effects of Groove Depth, Volume and Shape | 188 |
|   | 5.5 | Horizo                                | ontal Loading                             | 195 |
|   |     | 5.5.1                                 | Horizontal Resistance Behaviour           | 196 |
|   |     | 5.5.2                                 | Effects of Groove Depth, Volume, and      |     |
|   |     |                                       | Shape on Horizontal Resistance            | 199 |
|   | 5.6 | Summ                                  | nary                                      | 207 |
| 6 |     |                                       | RAL PERFORMANCE OF USCB                   | •   |
|   | PAV | EMEN                                  | Γ                                         | 209 |
|   | 6.1 | Introd                                | uction                                    | 209 |
|   | 6.2 | Comp                                  | action of USCB Pavement                   | 210 |
|   |     | 6.2.1                                 | Density of Bedding Sand Layer             | 210 |
|   | 6.3 | USCB                                  | B Pavement Permanent Deformation          | 212 |
|   |     | 6.3.1                                 | The Effect of USCB to Rut Depth under     |     |
|   |     |                                       | Wheel Path                                | 213 |
|   |     |                                       | Langitudinal LICCD Davament Deformation   | 215 |
|   |     | 6.3.2                                 | Longitudinal USCB Pavement Deformation    | 210 |
|   |     | <ul><li>6.3.2</li><li>6.3.3</li></ul> | Transverse USCB Pavement Deformation      | 218 |
|   | 6.4 | 6.3.3<br>Two-c                        | Transverse USCB Pavement Deformation      | 218 |
|   | 6.4 | 6.3.3<br>Two-c                        | Transverse USCB Pavement Deformation      |     |

| 7            | CON   | CLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 226       |  |
|--------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------|--|
|              | 7.1   | Conclusions                  | 226       |  |
|              | 7.2   | Recommendations              | 228       |  |
|              |       |                              |           |  |
| REFERENC     | CES   |                              | 230       |  |
| Appendices A | A - L |                              | 240 - 284 |  |

# LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE                                                      | PAGE |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1       | Study limitations                                          | 6    |
| 2.1       | Grading requirements for bedding sand and jointing         |      |
|           | sand (BS 7533, 2009)                                       | 13   |
| 2.2       | Minimum flexural strength (Meyer, 1980)                    | 19   |
| 2.3       | Specification for concrete blocks (Shackel, 1990)          | 32   |
| 2.4       | Mechanical properties of normal block                      | 38   |
| 2.5       | Typical maximum single axle loads (Shackel, 1994b)         | 51   |
| 2.6       | Standard axle loads (Shackel, 1994b)                       | 51   |
| 2.7       | Load equivalency exponents (Shackel, 1994b)                | 52   |
| 2.8       | Damaging effect of different axle loads (AASHTO road test) |      |
|           | (Croney and Croney, 1991).                                 | 52   |
| 3.1       | USCB groove shape dimensions                               | 67   |
| 4.1       | Sieve analysis of fine aggregate                           | 112  |
| 4.2       | Fineness modulus for fine aggregate                        | 113  |
| 4.3       | Sieve analysis of aggregate                                | 114  |
| 4.4       | Fineness modulus of coarse aggregate                       | 115  |
| 4.5       | Average of USCB dimensional geometrical shape              | 118  |
| 4.6       | Dimensional average tolerance range                        | 119  |
| 4.7       | USCB dimensions analysis (standard deviation of            |      |
|           | shape dimension)                                           | 119  |
| 4.8       | USCB dimensions analysis (coefficient of variation of      |      |
|           | shape dimension)                                           | 120  |
| 4.9       | Density of USCB                                            | 122  |

| 4.10 | Comparison of concrete block density between USCB    |     |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|      | and previous study                                   | 122 |
| 4.11 | Average of water absorption                          | 124 |
| 4.12 | Comparison in compressive strength                   | 125 |
| 4.13 | Compressive strength enhancement model               | 128 |
| 4.14 | Enhancement of compressive strength                  | 130 |
| 4.15 | Dimensional limit                                    | 135 |
| 4.16 | Verification of compressive strength model to        |     |
|      | compressive strength experimental data               | 136 |
| 4.17 | MOR enhancement model                                | 143 |
| 4.18 | MOR enhancement model                                | 145 |
| 4.19 | Dimensional limit for USCB                           | 150 |
| 4.20 | Verification of MOR model to the MOR experimental    | 151 |
| 4.21 | Ratio of flexural strength to compressive strength   | 153 |
| 4.22 | Summary of USCB features and corresponding           |     |
|      | mechanical properties                                | 156 |
| 5.1  | Settlement percentage range of bedding sand layer    | 161 |
| 5.2  | Settlement of bedding sand model                     | 163 |
| 5.3  | Maximum displacement of USCB at tested point         | 170 |
| 5.4  | Maximum pull-out loading and pull-out movement       |     |
|      | of USCB at tested point                              | 182 |
| 5.5  | The number of blocks moved at maximum                |     |
|      | horizontal load                                      | 200 |
| 5.6  | The sustained horizontal load comparison at 1.5 mm   |     |
|      | displacement USCB                                    | 205 |
| 6.1  | Density of bedding sand layer for HALI and small     |     |
|      | scale steel frame                                    | 211 |
| 7.1  | Proposed guideline and potential application of USCB | 229 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE                                                          | PAGE |
|------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1        | Pavement components                                            | 9    |
| 2.2        | Poly-vinyl chloride (PVC) and steel edge restraints            |      |
|            | (Dimex Corporation, 2002)                                      | 14   |
| 2.3        | Block shape categories (Shackel, 1990)                         | 21   |
| 2.4        | Description of paving blocks (Westcon Precast Inc, 1990)       | 23   |
| 2.5        | Various block shapes                                           | 24   |
| 2.6        | Laying pattern                                                 | 27   |
| 2.7        | Vertical interlocking mechanism (O'Grady, 1983)                | 35   |
| 2.8        | Creep and opening joint                                        | 36   |
| 2.9        | Rotational interlocking mechanism (O'Grady, 1983)              | 37   |
| 2.10       | Movement of blocks under load (O'Grady, 1983)                  | 37   |
| 2.11       | Push-in loading test setup (1) A steel frame, (2) A hydraulic  |      |
|            | jack, (3) A load cell, (4) Displacement transducers, (5) CBP,  |      |
|            | (6) Bedding sand, (7) Sub-base, (8) Cell pressure gauges,      |      |
|            | (9) Steel plate of 250 mm in diameter (Marios et al., 2011).   | 41   |
| 2.12       | Applied stress versus plate displacement (Marios et al., 2011) | 41   |
| 2.13       | Deformation of CBP under loading. (a) Deformed cross           |      |
|            | section of CBP. (b) Hinges required for collapse               |      |
|            | mechanism (Marios et al., 2011)                                | 42   |
| 2.14       | Principal of the collapse mechanism of 3-pin arch              |      |
|            | (Marios et al., 2011)                                          | 42   |
| 2.15       | Layout of push-in loading test (Emery and Lazar, 2003)         | 43   |
| 2.16       | Pull-out loading test graph (O'Grady, 1983)                    | 44   |

|      |                                                               | xvii |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.17 | Tongue and groove block (Emery and Lazar, 2003)               | 44   |
| 2.18 | Pull-out loading test equipment (Ling, 2008)                  | 45   |
| 2.19 | Relationship between pull-out loading and                     |      |
|      | displacement (Ling, 2008)                                     | 46   |
| 2.20 | Contact surface between pavement and vehicle tyre.            | 54   |
| 2.21 | Structure of pavement under compression and tension           | 54   |
| 2.22 | The spreading of load from vehicle tyre to the pavement layer | 55   |
| 2.23 | The distribution of loading to pavement layer                 | 55   |
| 2.24 | Spreading stress during compaction action                     |      |
|      | (Handy and Spangler, 2004)                                    | 58   |
| 2.25 | Boundary effect of (a) Infill-rough joint and (b) Infill-     |      |
|      | smooth joint (Mohd For et al., 2008)                          | 59   |
| 2.26 | Pile-supported mat                                            | 59   |
| 3.1  | Research programme flowchart                                  | 63   |
| 3.2  | Experimental programme flowchart                              | 65   |
| 3.3  | Categories of groove shape                                    | 67   |
| 3.4  | Movement of sand to fill in the groove/shell area             | 68   |
| 3.5  | Bending stress in flexure                                     | 69   |
| 3.6  | Bending stress in flexure (shell groove)                      | 70   |
| 3.7  | Manufacturing method for concrete blocks                      | 72   |
| 3.8  | Engineering properties measurements test flowchart            | 75   |
| 3.9  | Position of strain gauge                                      | 76   |
| 3.10 | Compression test for concrete block                           | 78   |
| 3.11 | Schematic diagram of USCB flexural test with                  |      |
|      | centre-point loading                                          | 80   |
| 3.12 | Flexural test for concrete block                              | 80   |
| 3.13 | Absorption and density test method                            | 83   |
| 3.14 | Measuring the USCB dimension                                  | 85   |
| 3.15 | Measurement of cracks                                         | 86   |
| 3.16 | Moisture content test                                         | 87   |
| 3.17 | Measurement of the bedding sand height and                    |      |
|      | blocks displacement                                           | 87   |

| 3.18 | Weighing the bedding sand (left) and measuring the       |     |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
|      | thickness of bedding sand in steel box (centre) and      |     |
|      | in HALI steel frame (right)                              | 88  |
| 3.19 | The USCB laying procedure in the steel box and HALI      |     |
|      | steel frame                                              | 89  |
| 3.20 | Layout of push-in loading test and pull-out loading test | 91  |
| 3.21 | Detailed LVDTs locations for push-in loading test and    |     |
|      | pull-out loading test                                    | 92  |
| 3.22 | Detailed LVDTs locations for horizontal loading test     | 92  |
| 3.23 | Push-in loading test flowchart                           | 95  |
| 3.24 | Push-in loading test procedure                           | 96  |
| 3.25 | Pull-out loading test flowchart                          | 98  |
| 3.26 | Pull-out loading test procedure                          | 99  |
| 3.27 | Horizontal loading test setup                            | 101 |
| 3.28 | Horizontal loading test flowchart                        | 101 |
| 3.29 | Horizontal loading test procedure                        | 102 |
| 3.30 | Grid points of test setup for HALI                       | 104 |
| 3.31 | Trafficking test flowchart                               | 105 |
| 3.32 | Trafficking test procedure using HALI                    | 106 |
| 4.1  | Sieve analysis of bedding sand                           | 111 |
| 4.2  | Sieve analysis of jointing sand                          | 111 |
| 4.3  | Sieve analysis of aggregate                              | 114 |
| 4.4  | Distribution of moisture content                         | 117 |
| 4.5  | Water absorption of TG-2R category                       | 123 |
| 4.6  | The average compressive strength of USCB                 | 126 |
| 4.7  | Relationship between compressive strength and            |     |
|      | USCB groove depth                                        | 127 |
| 4.8  | Effect of groove depth to compressive strength           |     |
|      | enhancement                                              | 128 |
| 4.9  | Relationship between compressive strength and            |     |
|      | USCB groove volume                                       | 129 |
| 4.10 | Effect of groove volume to compressive strength          |     |
|      | enhancement                                              | 130 |
| 4.11 | Failure mode of USCB web                                 | 132 |

|      |                                                           | xix |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 4.12 | Failure mode of USCB                                      | 133 |
| 4.13 | Support applications in flexure action; (a) Standard      |     |
|      | support for block without groove, (b) Roller support for  |     |
|      | groove block and (c) Square support for groove block      | 137 |
| 4.14 | (a) Standard and (b) Modified flexural test setup         | 138 |
| 4.15 | Results of standard and modified flexural tests           | 138 |
| 4.16 | The average MOR of USCB                                   | 139 |
| 4.17 | Relationship between MOR and USCB groove depth            | 141 |
| 4.18 | Effect of groove depth to MOR enhancement                 | 142 |
| 4.19 | USCB depth dimension                                      | 142 |
| 4.20 | Relationship between MOR and USCB groove volume           | 144 |
| 4.21 | Effect of groove volume to MOR enhancement                | 145 |
| 4.22 | USCB failure mode under flexural action                   | 147 |
| 4.23 | USCB failure position; (a) TG-2R category and             |     |
|      | (b) TG-T category                                         | 147 |
| 4.24 | Relationship of flexural strength to compressive strength | 152 |
| 5.1  | Settlement and thickness of Shell-R25 pavement            |     |
|      | after compaction                                          | 159 |
| 5.2  | The thickness of compacted bedding sand after             |     |
|      | complete compaction                                       | 159 |
| 5.3  | Settlement and thicknesses of compacted bedding           |     |
|      | sand layer for all USCB pavements                         | 161 |
| 5.4  | Bedding sand settlement model                             | 162 |
| 5.5  | Relationship of USCB groove volume and settlement         |     |
|      | of bedding sand                                           | 163 |
| 5.6  | Density of compacted bedding sand layer                   | 164 |
| 5.7  | Relationship of density and settlement of bedding         |     |
|      | sand layer                                                | 165 |
| 5.8  | Relationship of density and USCB groove volume            | 165 |
| 5.9  | Relationship of density of bedding sand and groove        |     |
|      | volume on the settlement of bedding sand layer            | 166 |
| 5.10 | Push-in loading                                           | 167 |
| 5.11 | Deflection of Shell-R15 at P1                             | 168 |

Average Vertical displacement of USCB

169

5.12

| 5.13 | After push-in loading test                                | 169 |
|------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.14 | Transverse deformation of CB and Shell-R15 at point 1     | 171 |
| 5.15 | Movement of USCB under load                               | 172 |
| 5.16 | Deflection contour of Shell-R15 at P1                     | 172 |
| 5.17 | Small vertical rotational block occurred at 30 kN loading |     |
| 5.18 | The deflection and applied load for USCB Shell            |     |
|      | type (continue)                                           | 175 |
| 5.19 | Variation in USCB deflection pattern                      | 176 |
| 5.20 | Compacted bedding sand confined in the groove             | 177 |
| 5.21 | Correlation between USCB deflection and density           |     |
|      | of bedding sand layer                                     | 178 |
| 5.22 | Relationship between deflection and USCB groove depth     | 179 |
| 5.23 | Relationship between deflection and USCB                  |     |
|      | groove volume                                             | 180 |
| 5.24 | (a) Pull-out loading test setup arrangement; and          |     |
|      | (b) Block displacement                                    | 181 |
| 5.25 | Displacement of Shell-R15 at P1                           | 181 |
| 5.26 | The average of USCB maximum displacement at               |     |
|      | maximum load                                              | 183 |
| 5.27 | Interlocking phenomenon under pull-out loading            | 184 |
| 5.28 | Transverse deformation of control block and               |     |
|      | Shell-R15 USCB at point 1                                 | 185 |
| 5.29 | Displacement contour of Shell-R15 at P1                   | 186 |
| 5.30 | Small vertical rotational block at maximum                |     |
|      | displacement for Shell-R35 USCB                           | 187 |
| 5.31 | The rotational interlock developed stress concentration   | 188 |
| 5.32 | Interlock mechanism of control block and USCB             | 189 |
| 5.33 | Relationship between displacement and USCB                |     |
|      | groove depth                                              | 191 |
| 5.34 | Average maximum pull-out displacement of USCB             | 192 |
| 5.35 | Displacement performance of USCB at sustained             |     |
|      | load of 3 kN                                              | 193 |
| 5.36 | Relationship between displacement and USCB                |     |
|      | groove volume                                             | 195 |

|      |                                                      | xxi |
|------|------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 5.37 | (a) Before the testing; and (b) After the testing    | 196 |
| 5.38 | Horizontal resistance behaviour under horizontal     |     |
|      | loading for Shell type USCB                          | 197 |
| 5.39 | Block movement (a) With stress concentration – (CB); |     |
|      | and (b) No stress concentration – (TG-2R15)          | 198 |
| 5.40 | The average horizontal displacement and maximum      |     |
|      | horizontal loading at static friction stage          | 199 |
| 5.41 | Effect of block weight on USCB horizontal resistance |     |
|      | at maximum static friction stage                     | 201 |
| 5.42 | Effect of groove volume to USCB horizontal           |     |
|      | displacement at maximum static friction stage        | 202 |
| 5.43 | Sustained horizontal load of USCB at 1.5 mm          |     |
|      | horizontal displacement                              | 203 |
| 5.44 | Friction resistance of USCB pavement                 | 206 |
| 6.1  | Bedding sand layer density for the USCB pavements    | 211 |
| 6.2  | Accumulated average rut depth of USCB up to          |     |
|      | 10,000 load repetitions                              | 214 |
| 6.3  | Projection values from reference line of average     |     |
|      | deflection of 5.4 mm under 30 kN push-in loading     |     |
|      | for all USCB and control block                       | 214 |
| 6.4  | Accumulated average longitudinal rut depth of        |     |
|      | USCB pavement                                        | 216 |
| 6.5  | Relationship between (a) rut depth and groove        |     |
|      | volume; and (b) rut depth and groove depth           | 217 |
| 6.6  | Average transverse rut depth after 100 and           |     |
|      | 10,000 load repetitions                              | 219 |
| 6.7  | Various gap sizes in the joint between blocks        | 220 |
| 6.8  | (a) 2D view and (b) 3D view of 100 load repetitions  |     |
|      | on the USCB pavement                                 | 222 |
| 6.9  | (a) 2D view and (b) 3D view of 10,000 load           |     |
|      | repetitions on the USCB pavement                     | 223 |
| 6.10 | Development of rut after several repeated load for   |     |
|      | USCB and control block                               | 224 |

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D - Two-dimensional3D - Three-dimensional

AASHTO - American Association of State Highway and Transportation

Officials

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

BS EN - British Standard Institution European

BS - British Standard Institution

CB - Control block

CBP - Concrete block pavement
CBR - California Bearing Ratio

CF - Correction factor

Ch - Channel

CMA - Concrete Masonry Association

CMAA - Concrete Masonry Association of Australia

COV - Coefficient of variation
ESA - Equivalent standard axle

HALI - Highway Accelerated Loading Instrument

HMA - Hot Mix Asphalt

ICPI - Interlocking Concrete Institute

LL - Liquid limit

LVDT - Linear variable differential transducer

MOR - Modulus of rupture

 $MOR_{C}$  - Modulus of rupture for control block  $MOR_{G}$  - Modulus of rupture for grooved block

MS - Malaysia Standard

OPC - Ordinary portland cement

P - Point

PI - Plastic index
PL - Plastic limit

PVC - Poly-vinyl chloride

R<sup>2</sup> - Regression

RCPB - Rubberized concrete paving block

rpm - Rotation per minute SD - Standard deviation

Shell-R - Shell-Rectangular groove

TG-2R - Trench-2Rectangular groove
 TG-3R - Trench-3Rectangular groove
 TG-T - Trench-Triangular groove

USCB - Underside shaped concrete block

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

 $\mu_G$  - Coefficient of groove block surface friction

 $\mu_{G_{--}}$  - Coefficient of groove block surface friction at maximum force

μ - Coefficient of block surface friction

 $F_S$  - Friction force

 $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle Disp}$  - Displacement

Force / damage factor - applicable to axle load

 $F_{\rm s}$  - Friction force at maximum

 $F_{N}$  - Normal force

 $n_d$  - Number of internal web

 $P_{\rm S}$  - Standard axle load

y - Central axis of the area

 $\mu_{BS_{\text{max}}}$  Coefficient of sided and underside surface control block

friction at maximum force

A - Mass of oven-dried sample in air/ effective area of concrete

block /tyre contact area

*a* - Mass of tin

 $A_e$  - Groove's effective area

ARD - Apparent relative density

*b* - Mass of tin and wet bedding sand

B - Mass of surface-dried sample in air after immersion

*B,b* - Width of specimen

 $B_G$  - Groove width

*C* - Apparent mass in the water

*c* - Mass of tin and dry bedding sand

d - Internal web / average depth of specimen / distance between

groove

D - Diameter

*e* - Edge web

 $h, h_c$  - Block thickness

 $h_0$  - Height of loose bedding sand

 $h_1$  - Height of bedding sand and USCB after laying

*h*<sub>2</sub> - Height of bedding sand and USCB after first compaction

 $h_3$  - Height of bedding sand and USCB after second compaction

 $h_e$  - Effective thickness

 $h_G$  - Groove depth

*I* - Moment of inertia,

*J* - Average connection distance

*L* - Span length / length

 $L_G$  - Groove length

*M* - Bending moment,

*m.g* - Force of gravity

*MOR* - Modulus of rupture

*n* - Relative damage exponent

n - Notch planck

*N* - Traffic repetitions

NA - Neutral axis,

*n<sub>b</sub>* - Concrete block unit

 $n_G$  - Number of groove

 $\emptyset_{avg}$  - Average of bedding sand density

 $Q_c$  - Minimum and maximum characterization

*ODD* - Oven-dry density

P - Maximum load / axle load / breaking load / load

*q* - Load equivalency exponents

S - Equivalent standard axle

 $S_{ett}$  - Settlement

SSD - Saturated surface-dry density

 $T_{hk}$  - Thickness

v - Volume of bedding sand

 $V_C$  - Control block volume

 $V_G$  - Groove volume

w - Mass of bedding sand

W - Load

 $\rho$  - Density

 $\sigma$  - Compressive strength / standard deviation

 $\sigma_B$  - Bending stress

 $\sigma_{block}$  - Stress on the block

 $\sigma_c$  - Compressive strength of control block

 $\sigma_f$  - Flexural strength

 $\sigma_G$  - Compressive strength of grooved block

 $\sigma_{HALI}$  - Stress on highway accelerated loading instrument

 $\sigma_{site}$  - Stress by tyre loading

 $\pi$  - Pi = 3.145

# LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE                                                 | PAGE |  |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------|------|--|
| A        | Water Absorption of USCB                              | 240  |  |
| В        | Dimensional Geometrical Shape of USCB                 | 242  |  |
| C        | Compressive Strength Result of USCB                   | 244  |  |
| D        | Modulus of Rupture Result, Calculation and Derivation |      |  |
|          | Model of USCB                                         | 249  |  |
| Е        | Bedding Sand Layer Thickness and Settlement of USCB   |      |  |
|          | Pavement                                              | 257  |  |
| F        | Density of Bedding Sand Layer of USCB                 | 263  |  |
| G        | Deflection of USCB Pavement Under Push-in Loading     | 264  |  |
| Н        | Example of USCB Pavement Deflection Contour           | 270  |  |
| I        | Displacement of USCB Pavement Under Pull-out Loading  | 271  |  |
| J        | Horizontal Resistance of USCB Pavement                | 278  |  |
| K        | Deformation of USCB Pavement Under HALI               | 279  |  |
| L        | Publications                                          | 284  |  |

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Introduction

Concrete block pavement (CBP) is an accepted engineering product used mainly as a paving material in pavement applications. Many previous studies on CBP had attempted to modify the traditional rectangular concrete block, introduce additional side interlocking features, and develop better interlocking shapes. CBP is utilized globally because it is durable, non-skidding and dimensionally accurate; available in many sizes; and has good structure and colour. Additionally, these CBP can be installed by unskilled labourers and can be re-used on the same site or elsewhere.

# 1.2 Background of Study

In developing countries, utilization of CBP as paving material is widespread. Studies on traditional CBP and side shaped CBP have been widely conducted, but there are still a number of research on beneath CBP and its characterizations. Such beneath CBP, termed as Underside shaped concrete block (USCB) in this study, can actually become a type of innovative paving material. The development of this USCB corresponds to current research trend in modification of existing conventional and side-shaped concrete block to increase paver interlocking and mechanical properties and improve mechanical-laying ability as studied by Emery and Lazar, (2003).

This study presents an innovative paver system featuring groove locking beneath a rectangular concrete block. The overall product is the aforementioned USCB. This new paver concept is intended to resolve known problems associated with small element paving. This USCB is unique because it provides mechanical interlocking additional to underside interlocking commonly provided by most traditional rectangular pavers except sided pavers. During the USCB development process, various groove depths had been tested to test their effectiveness in enhancing interlocking between pavers and bedding sand with improved mechanical properties. To investigate its interlocking performance, the push-in loading test, pull-out loading test, horizontal loading test and accelerated trafficking test were involved. These types of test substantiate the claim made by similar tests on the conventional rectangular concrete block. The use of stretcher bond pattern without edge restraint in this study has also been recognized as having substantial influence on horizontal movement or creep.

## 1.3 Problem Statement

The main failure criterion for CBP is its serviceability. Most of the time, failure may result in generalized areas of uneven settlement. Block paving reflects movement in the substructure, thus it's very important that the sub-base layer is adequately compacted and to a uniform level. Inadequate vibration of the blocks into the bedding sand layer during the final construction operations can also lead to

problems of local settlements. Moreover, if the bedding sand layer is not of a consistent loose density before laying the blocks, local settlement or punching may occur. This is mainly due to voids beneath the CBP that arise with trafficking in the bedding sand layer that will cause increased deflection. If there is an absence or deterioration of load transfer devices, deflections at both sides of the joints will also be worsened. To tackle this problem, the USCB is a good choice as it can reduce deflection and develop better interlocking between the CBP and bedding sand layer.

Traffic loading is also another major problem for block pavements in areas of channelized traffic like bus stops, fuel terminals and freight terminals. In these areas, failure of CBP is mostly caused by the vertical and horizontal traffic loading as well as repetitive loading. The high pressure load imposed (vertical loading) on the CBP can cause changes in the position of the concrete blocks and lead to undesired settlement. Horizontal movement is induced by horizontal loading caused by vehicle braking and accelerated action. Repetitive loading can cause some sands to break down into finer particles. Another problem often encountered in CBP applications is the wash-out of fine materials between the blocks by rainwater; loss of materials accelerates the production of ruts under traffic load and creep problems.

## 1.4 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the potential of USCB to be used as concrete block pavement. The objectives of this study were as follows:

- i. To characterize the engineering properties of different type of USCB.
- To examine the effects of groove depth, groove volume and groove shape on the interlocking mechanism of USCB under various loadings.

iii. To evaluate the structural performance including rutting and deformation of USCB under Highway Accelerated Loading Instrument (HALI).

## 1.5 Scope of Study

The scope of this study was established to achieve the objectives mainly through experimental works. The testing methods and procedures were specified according to those recommended by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), British Standard Institution (BS) and some were proposed by previous researchers as follows:

- i. Push-in loading test by Marios *et al.*, (2011) and Emery and Lazar (2003).
- ii. Pull-out loading test by O'Grady (1983), Emery and Lazar (2003) and Ling (2008).
- iii. Horizontal loading test by Rachmat (2006).
- iv. Accelerated trafficking test by Shackel (1980b) and Ling (2008).

The scopes of the study were divided into three major parts:

i. Part 1- Development of USCB to characterize their engineering properties.

In order to establish the required information regarding USCB, the following aspects were considered:

- a. Shape development:
  - Number of grooves,
  - Groove depth: 15 mm, 25 mm, and 35 mm of groove depth,

- Groove category: Shell- Rectangular Grooved, Trench-Triangular Grooved and Trench-Rectangular Grooved, and
- Groove area or groove volume.

## b. Mechanical properties:

- Block compression behaviour (28-days compressive strength),
- Block flexural behaviour,
- Block density, and
- Water absorption.

## c. Physical properties:

- Block dimension,
- Cracks assessment, and
- Mode of failures.
- ii. Part 2- Interaction mechanism between USCB and bedding sand layer.

To investigate interaction between USCB and bedding sand layer, three types of tests were considered:

- a. Push-in loading test Local settlement and deformation of USCB pavement,
- b. Pull-out loading test Local settlement and deformation of USCB pavement, and
- c. Horizontal loading test- Horizontal resistance of USCB pavement.
- iii. Part 3 Application of USCB as a structural system to investigate the structural performance

Investigation of USCB structural performance was based on:

- a. Accelerated trafficking test:
  - Longitudinal and transverse rutting profiles,

- Three and two-dimension surface deformation,
- Rut depth under wheel path, and
- Open joint width.

# 1.6 Limitations of Study

All experimental works and research programme were conducted in this study according to some limitation parameters as listed in Table 1.1.

Table 1.1: Study limitations

| Parameter                    | Limitation                                |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Concrete block thickness     | 80 mm                                     |
| Blocks gap                   | 2 mm to 4 mm                              |
| Laying pattern               | Stretcher bond                            |
| Jointing sand                | Passing 2 mm sieve size (dry)             |
| Bedding sand                 | Passing 5 mm sieve size                   |
| Bedding sand layer thickness | 70 mm (loose sand)                        |
| Base course                  | Steel base plate with 3 mm neoprene sheet |
|                              | (stimulate 6 % CBR)                       |

# 1.7 Significance of Study

The significance findings of this study can benefit researchers as follows:

- i. To enhance the use of CBP as an attractive alternative to shaped pavers or other traditional pavers in their interlocking system or other applications.
- ii. To provide database of USCB for future pavement applications.
- iii. To assist the engineers and fabricators in improving the interlocking system of concrete pavers and to provide an established database for paver design work in the future.
- iv. To develop an innovative USCB product that has better engineering properties and comparable service performance in comparison with existing CBP.

#### REFERENCES

- Agico Group-Gemco Energy Machinery Co, Ltd. (2014, March 19). Block Making Machine. *Gemco Energy Machinery Co, Ltd.* Retrieved March 19, 2014, from http://www.bio-diesel.com.cn/brick-block-machine.html.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2006). Standard Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete. ASTM C642-06. United States.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2009). *Standard Specification for Solid Concrete Interlocking Paving Units*. *ASTM C936/C936M 09*. United States.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2010). Standard Test Method for Flexural Strength of Concrete (Using Simple Beam With Center-Point Loading). ASTM C293/C293M 10. United States.
- American Society of Testing Materials (2011). Standard Specification for Solid Concrete Interlocking Paving Units. ASTM C-936. United States.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2012). Standard Specification for Portland Cement. ASTM C150/C150M 12. United States.
- Azman, M. (2004). Prestasi Sambungan Turapan Penguncian Blok Konkrit Menggunakan Pasir Pengalas Dengan Bahan Tambah Simen. Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Beaty, A. N. S. and Raymond, G. P. (1992). Geotechnical Aspects of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavements. *Proceedings of the 45th Canadian Geotechnical Conference*. 26 28 October. Toronto, Canada, pp. 41–7.
- Beaty, A. N. S (1992). Bedding Sands For Structural Concrete Block Pavements.

  Presented to the Transportation Association of Canada at the 1992 annual Conference. Quebec.

- Beaty, A. N. S. (1996). Laying Course Materials: Specification and Performance. 5th Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving, 23 27 June, Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, Ind, 12.
- Benitez, A., Bertone, J., and Civitillo, P. (2009). Implementation of the Flexural Strength Test for Concrete Pavers. *9th. International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. 18 21 October, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Bergerhof, W. (1979). International Development in Interlocking Paving-Market Potential and Economics Production Methods. *Proceeding Symposium in Precast Concrete Paving Blocks*. November. Johannesberg.
- Bolduc, Y. and Bolduc, M. (2005). *U.S. Patent No.* 6,863,469 B2. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- British Standard Institution (1992). Guide for Structural Design of Pavements

  Constructed With Clay of Concrete Block Pavers. BS 7533. London.
- British Standard Institution. (1981). Precast concrete masonry units Part 1: Specification for Precast Concrete Masonry Units (Vol. 3). BS 6073-1. London.
- British Standard Institution. (1990). Soils for Civil Engineering Purposes Part 7: Shear Strength Tests (Total Stress). BS 1377-7. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2001a). *Precast, Unreinforced Concrete Paving Blocks Requirements and Test Methods. BS 6717*. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2001b). Guide for the Structural Design of Heavy Duty Pavements Constructed of Clay Pavers or Precast Concrete Paving Blocks. BS 7533-1. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2003a). Concrete Paving Blocks Requirements and Test Methods. BS EN 1338. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2003b). Concrete Paving Flags Requirements and Test Methods. BS EN 1339. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2008). Aggregates for Concrete. BS EN 12620. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2009). Pavements Constructed With Clay, Natural Stone or Concrete Pavers Part 3. BS 7533-3. London.
- British Standard Institution. (2011a). Specification for Masonry Units Part 3: Aggregate Concrete Masonry Units (Dense and Light-weight Aggregates). BS EN 771-3. London.

- British Standard Institution. (2011b). Cement Part 1: Composition, Specifications and Conformity Criteria for Common Cements. BS EN 197-1. London.
- Brock, J. (1993). *U.S. Patent No. 5,251,997*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Cement and Concrete Association of Australia (1986). *Interlocking Concrete Road Pavements (T35)*. Australia.
- Cement Masonry Association of Australia (CMAA). (1997). Concrete Sagmental Pavements- Guide to Specifying. T-44. Australia.
- Chan, D. and Poon, C. S. (2010). Using Recycled Construction Waste as Aggregates for Paving Blocks. *Waste and Resource Management*, 159(WR2), pp.83 91.
- Clark, A.J. (1981). Further investigations into the load spreading of a concrete block paving. Technical Report 545. Cement and Concrete Association.
- Clifford, J.M. (1984). Some Aspects of the Structural Design of Segmental Block Pavements in Southern Africa. PhD Thesis. University of Petoria.
- Concrete Manufactures Association. (2004). *Concrete Block Paving: Book 2 Design Aspects* (4<sup>th</sup> edition.). Portland Park, South Africa.
- Concrete Manufactures Association. (2009). *Concrete Block Paving*. Midrand, South Africa.
- Concrete Masonry Association of Australia (CMAA). (1986). Specification For Concrete Segmental Paving Units (MA20).
- Conners, T. P., and Petrilla, E. (2000). *U.S. Patent No. 6,027,280*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Croney, D. and Croney, P. (1991). *The Design and Performance of Road Pavements*. (Second edition). England. McGraw-Hill, pp. 62 –83.
- David, R. S. (1989). Structural Design of Concrete Block Pavement. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 116, pp. 615 635.
- Dimex Corporation (2002). Unpublished note.
- Donald, P. C. (2001). Foundation Design Principles and practices. (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). New Jersey. Prentice Hall.
- Elliott, R. C. (1995). An Assessment of Some Self and Slowly Cementing Industrial By-products for Use in Roadbases. *Unbound Aggregates in Roads*. Nottingham, UK, The University of Nottingham, pp. 205 219.

- Emery, J. and Lazar, M. (2003). Innovative Paver System. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*, 44. 12 15 October. Sun City, South Africa.
- Fontana, J. D. and Emery, W. J. (1991). *U.S. Patent No. 5,046,887*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Frank, J.M.V. (1994). The performance of bedding sands in Concrete Block Pavements. Master Dissertation. University of New Brunswick.
- Gambhir, M. L. (2004). *Concrete Technology* (3<sup>th</sup> edition). New Delhi. McGraw-Hill, pp. 45 71.
- Glickman, M. (1984). The G-Block System of Vertically Interlocking Paving. Second International Conference on Concrete Block Paving. Delft, Natherland, pp. 1–4.
- Griffiths, G. and Thom, N. (2007). *Concrete Pavement Design Guidance Notes*. London and New York. Taylor and Francis.
- Handy, R.L and Spangler, M.G (2004). *Compaction. Geotechnical Engineering: Soil* and Foundation Principles and Practice. (5<sup>th</sup> edition). McGraw-Hill
- Hasanan Md Nor. (1996). Towards Better Concrete Block Pavements in Malaysia. Second Malaysia Road Conference. 10 - 13 June. Kuala Lumpur.
- Hasanan, M. N. (2005). The Development and Application of Concrete Block Pavement. *International Seminar and Exhibition on Road Construction*. Semarang, Indonesia.
- Hazelton, J. P. (1891). *U.S. Patent No. 449,739*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Hodgkinson, J. R. (1982). Specification for Construction of Trafficked Interlocking Concrete Pavements. Cement and Concrete Association of Australia. Tech. Note TN41.
- Houben, L. J. M. and Jacob. (1998). *Rut Developement in Concrete Block Pavements*Due to Permanent Strain in the Substructure. Highway and Transportation, Vol. 85, No. 4. pp. 30 38.
- Huurman, M. (1997). *Permanent Deformation in Concrete Block Pavements*. PhD Thesis. Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands.
- Interlocking Concrete Pavement Institute (ICPI). (2006). Structural Design of Interlocking Concrete Pavement for Roads And Parking Lots. (Spec No. 4), pp. 1 8.

- Interpave. (2004). *Construction of Concrete Block Pavements*. The Precast Concrete Paving and Kerb Association, The British Precast Concrete Federation.
- Kellersman, G. H. (1980). Urban Block Paving in the Netherlands. *Proc. 1st Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. pp.93 100.
- Knapton, J (2000). *The performance of Pavers for Mechanical Installation*. Technical Report. Department of Civil Engineering, University of Newcastle-Upon-Tyne.
- Knapton, J and Barber, S.D (1980). UK Research Into Concrete Block Pavement Design. *Proceeding of the First International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. 2-5 September. Newcastle-upon-tyne.
- Knapton, J. (1976). *The Design of Concrete Block Roads. Technical Report TRA* 42515 (Vol. 42). Cement and Concrete Association of Great Britain, pp. 6.
- Knapton, J. (1988). Pavement Rehabilitation Using Concrete Blocks. *Proc. Third Int. Conf. on Concrete Block Paving*. Rome, Italy, pp. 209 216.
- Knapton, J. (1996). The Mathematic Solution to Interlock in Concrete Block Paving.
  Proceedings, Fifth International Conference on Concrete Block Paving. Pave 96. Israel, pp. 261-278.
- Knapton, J. and Barber, S. D. (1979). The Behaviour of a Concrete Block Pavement. *Proceeding of ICE*, Part 1. vol 66, pp. 227-292.
- Knapton, J. and O'Grady, M. (1983). Structural Behaviour of Concrete Block Paving. *Journal Concrete Society*, pp. 17 18.
- Kuipers, G. (1992). The Effect of Concrete Block Lock-Up on Pavement Performance. *Fourth Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. New Zealand. pp. 51 59.
- Langsdorff, H. Von. (2007). *U.S. Patent No.* 7,270,497 B2 (Vol. 2). Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Lay, J. (1998). The Effects of Natural Aggregates on The Properties of Concrete. Advanced Concrete Technology.
- Lazar, M. (2006). *U.S. Patent No.* 6,988,847 B2 (Vol. 2). Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Lazar, M. and Emery, J. (2009). Construction and Rehabilitation of Aircraft Pavements Using Concrete Blocks Having Mechanical Interlock. *9th. International Conference on Concrete Block Paving* (pp. 1–9). Buenos Aires, Argentina.

- Lekso, S. (1980). The Use of Concrete Block Pavements for Highways. *Proc. 1st Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving*, Newcastle Upon Tyne, pp. 101 103.
- Lilley, A. A. (1980). A Review of Concrete Paving In The UK Over The Las Five Years. *Proc. 1st Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. 2 5 September. Newcastle Upon Tyne, pp. 40 44.
- Lilley, A.A. and Knapton, J. (1976). Concrete Block Paving for Roads. *Cement and Concrete Association*. Publication 46.021 January 1976, pp 19.
- Ling, T C, Hasanan, N., Rosli, M. H., and Lim, S. (2010). Long-term Strength of Rubberised Concrete Paving Blocks. *Construction Materials*, (163), February, pp. 19–26.
- Ling, T. C. (2012). Effects of Compaction Method And Rubber Content on The Properties of Concrete Paving Blocks. *Construction and Building Materials*, 28(1), pp. 164–175.
- Ling, T.C (2008). Engineering Properties and Structural Performance of Rubberized Concrete Paving Blocks. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Liu, C. and Evett, J. B. (2005). *Soils And Foundations* (SI Edition). (pp. 257 280). Singapore: Prentice Hall-Pearson,.
- Mackisack, M.S. (1995). Modelling Gaps between Block Pavers. Math1. Computer Modelling. 22(10-12). (pp. 193-200). Elsevier. .
- Marios, N.S., Tang, K., Hussain, A.K., and Stephen, G.M. (2011). The effect of Construction pattern and Unit Interlock on the Structural Behaviour of Blocks Pavements. *Construction and Building Materials*. (pp. 3832-3840). Elsevier.
- McQueen, R., Knapton, J., Emery, J., and Smith, D. R. (1993). *Airfield Pavement Design with Concrete Pavers*. TR-98, Herdon, VA: Concrete Paver Institute.
- Meyer, A. (1980). Materials and Specifications in West Germany. *Proc. 1st Int. Conference on Concrete Block Paving*, Newcastle upon Tyne, 2–5 September, pp. 8–21.
- Michael, K. A. (1993). *Concrete Paving Blocks: An Overview*. Final Technical Report. Washington State Transportation Center, Department of Transportation, Washington D.C.
- Miura, Y.T. and Tsuda, T. (1984). Structural Design of Concrete Block Pavements by CBR Method and Its Evaluations. *Second International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. 10-12 April. Delft.

- Mohd For, M. A., Yau, O.H, Huei, C. S. and Rini, A.A. (2008). Characteristics of filled joint under shear loading. *Geological Society of Malaysia*. Buletin 54, pp. 47-51.
- Morrish, C.F. (1980). Interlocking Concrete Paving- the state of the art in Australia. Proceeding of the first international conference on concrete block paving. 2 - 5 September. Newcastle-upon-tyne, pp. 85-92.
- Nur Izzi Md Yusoff, Mohd Rosli Hainin, and Hasanan Md Nor (2012). Cirian Pasir Pengisi Sambungan Turapan Blok Konkrit Saling Mengunci pada Jarak Sambungan dan Kecerunan yang Berbeza. *Sains Malaysiana*, 41(1), pp. 103–110.
- O'Grady, M. (1983). *The Structural Behaviour of Small Element Block Paving*. University of Newcastle Upon Tyne.
- Panda, B. C. and Ghosh, A. K. (2002a). Structural Behaviour of Concrete Block Paving I: Concrete Blocks. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, (128 (2)), pp. 123 –129.
- Panda, B. C., and Ghosh, A. K. (2002b). Structural Behaviour of Concrete Block Paving II: Concrete Blocks. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 128(April), pp. 130–135.
- Papaliangas, T., Hencher, S.R., Lumsden, A.C. and Manolopoulou, S. (1993). The effect of frictional fill thickness on the shear strength of rock discontinuities. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Science and Geomechanical Abstracts*. 30(2), pp. 81-91.
- Pereira, J.P. (1990). Mechanics of filled discontinuities. 3<sup>rd</sup> Proceedings of International Conference on Mechanics of Jointed and Faulted Rock. 6-9 April. Vienna, pp. 375-380.
- Poon, C. S. and Lam, C. S. (2008). The Effect of Aggregate to Cement Ratio and Types of Aggregates on the Properties of Pre-cast Concrete Blocks. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 30(4), pp.283–289.
- Poon, C.S., Kou, S.C. and Lam, L. (2002). A Uses of recycled aggregates in molded concrete bricks and blocks. *Construction and Building Materials*. 16 (2002). Elsevier, pp.281-289.
- Portland Cement (Ordinary and Rapid-Hardening): Part 1: Specification (Second Revision). (2006). MS 522-1. SIRIM Berhad.

- Portland Cement Association (1984). Structural Design of a Pavement Design Methodology For Concrete Block Pavement in Israel. *Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Concrete Block Paving. Rome*, pp. 94-101.
- Rachmat, M. (2006). Performance Of Concrete Block Pavement On Sloped Road Section. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Rada, G. R., Smith, D. R., Miller, J. S., and Witczak, M. W. (1990). Structural Design of Concrete Block Pavements. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, (116(5)), pp. 615 635.
- Rada, G. R., Stephanos, P. J., and Tayabji, S. D. (1993). Performance of Interlocking Concrete Pavement in North America. 72 Annual Transportation Research Board Meeting, Washington, D.C.
- Repasky, J. (1978). U.S. Patent No. 4,098,865. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Rollings, R.S. (1983). *Concrete Block Pavements*. Technical Report GL-83-3:Final Report. US Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station.
- Rosenberger, H. (1976). U.S. Patent No. 3,947,192. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Scheiwiller, R. (1991). *U.S. Patent No. 5,028,167*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Seddon, P. A. (1981). The Behaviour of Concrete Block Paving Under Repetitive Loading. *Precast Concrete* (Vol. 12) (pp. 355 360). University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Shackel, B. (1978). The Evaluation of Interlocking Block Pavements An Interim Report. *Proc. Conf. Concrete Masonry of Australian*. Sydney.
- Shackel, B. (1979). *The Design of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavements* (No. 90.). Australian Road Research Board. Research Report ARRB: pp. 53 70.
- Shackel, B. (1980a). The Performance of Interlocking Block Pavements Under Accelerated Trafficking. *1st International Conference Concrete Block Paving*.
   Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, pp. 113 120.
- Shackel, B (1980b). An Experimental Investigation of The Roles of The Bedding and Jointing Sands in The Performance of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavements. *Concrete/Beton*. 19: pp. 5-15.

- Shackel, B (1980c). Use in Ports and Industrial Pavement. *Proceeding of The First International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. 2-5 September. Newcastle-upon-tyne, pp. 83-85.
- Shackel, B. (1985). The Evaluation and Application of Mechanistic Design Procedure for Concrete Block Pavements. *Proceeding of The 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. Rome, pp. 114-120.
- Shackel, B. (1990). Design and Construction of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavement. (pp. 73 172). NY, USA: Elsevier Science Publishers Ltd.
- Shackel, B. (1994a). Application and Construction of Concrete Block Pavements. New Directions In Pavement Engineering. 14 –15 November. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, pp. 178 – 184.
- Shackel, B. (1994b). Loads and Environmental Factors Affecting Road Pavements.

  New Directions In Pavement Engineering. November 14 –15. Kuala Lumpur,

  Malaysia, pp. 84 93.
- Shackel, B. and Candy, C.E.E. (1988). Factors affecting the choice of concrete blocks as a pavement surface. *Proceeding of 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Concrete Block Paving*. Rome, pp. 78-84.
- Shackel, B. and Arora, M. G. (1978). *The Application of a Full-Scale Road Simulator to the Study of Highway Pavements*. Australian Road Research. 8(2), pp. 17 31.
- Shackel, B., O'Keeffe, W., and O'Keeffe, L. (1993). Concrete Block Paving Tested as Articulated Slabs. *Proc. 5th Int. Conference on Concrete Pavement Design and Rehabilitation*. 23 27 June. Purdue Univ., West Lafayette, Ind, pp. 8.
- Smith, D.R. (1989). Concrete Pavers. The Construction Specifier. pp. 95-99.
- Specification No. C254. (2000). Segmental Paving: Development Construction Specification. C254. New South Wales.
- Sukonrasukkul, P. and Chaikaew, C. (2006). Properties of Concrete Pedestrian Block Mixed with Crumb Rubber. *Construction and Building Materials*, (2 0(7)), pp. 450 457.
- Tang, K., Soutsos, M. N., and Millard, S. G. (2006). Developing Precast Concrete Products Made With Recycles Construction and Demolition Waste-Phase II: Concrete Paving Blocks and Flags. Liverpool: The University of Liverpool.
- Thorkelson, S. (2008). *U.S. Patent No. 7,344,334 B2* (Vol. 2). Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.

- Uchida, K., Ohmori, H., and Nishi, J. (1992). Structural Design of Interlocking Concrete Block Pavement in Japan. *4th International Concrete Block Paving Conference*. 16 19 February. Auckland, New Zealand, pp. 71 78.
- Ugural, A. C. (1991). Mechanics of Materials. Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Wada, S. (2004). *U.S. Patent No.* 6,705,797 *BI*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- Walker, S. (1944). Application of Theory of Probability to Design of Concrete for Strength. Concrete, Vol. 52, No. 5, Part 1. pp. 3 5.
- Westcon Precast Inc. (1990). A Guide to Design and Construction of Segmental Concrete Pavement: Paver Manual. Calgary, Alberta.
- Whitacre, D. C. (1976). *U.S. Patent No. 3,969,851*. Virginia: United States Patent and Trademark Office.