PRODUCTIVITY OPTIMIZATION OF MANUFACTURING SYSTEM USING COMPUTER SIMULATION, DESIGN OF EXPERIMENT AND RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY

SEYED MOJIB ZAHRAEE

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirments for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > SEPTEMBER 2013

I dedicated this thesis To my beloved mother, father, brothers, Sheila, Hasan, Mokhtar and Mobin because of their love, support, patience and understanding

•

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am grateful to the Peerless Clement God. Special thanks to my family especially to my beloved mother, father and my dear brothers who always encourage and support me in all my life and my study. I would like to sincerely express the deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Jafri Bin Mohd Rohani, who has the attitude of a genius. I thank him for his endless guidance, encouragement and continuous support given throughout my project that enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject. His immense help has kept me to overcome the problems encountered during the whole course of this study. I am heartily thankful to my co-supervisor, Dr. Wong Kuan Yew who had accepted me as his student to help me in my project. Special thanks to Mr. Mohammadreza Haghighi, industrial engineer of Color factory, for helping me in this project. In addition, my greatest appreciation and friendship goes to Dr. Mohammad Roghangar Ranjbar, my lecturer who encouraged me to continue my education in Malaysia. Finally, I would like to thank Faculty of Mechanical Engineering's staff, Universiti Technologi Malaysia for their kind cooperation.

ABSTRACT

Productivity plays a significant role for most companies in order to measure the efficiency. In reality there is an essential need to evaluate the different factors which increasing productivity and achieving the high level of quality, a high production rate and machine utilization. Therefore, in the manufacturing industry, managers and engineers are seeking to find methods in order to eliminate the common problems in manufacturing systems such as bottlenecks and waiting times. This is because that all of these kinds of problems impose extra cost to the companies. In addition, manufacturing companies are striving to sustain their competitiveness by improving productivity, efficiency and quality of manufacturing industry for instance high throughput and high resource utilization. So it can be acquired by finding ways to deal with various industrial problems which have affected the productivity of manufacturing systems such as high lead time and workin-progress (WIP) and etc. This thesis concentrates on the application of design of experiment (DOE) and computer simulation to recognize and to weight the significance of different factors in the production line as well as to achieve the optimum productivity. Arena 13.9 software was selected as the simulation software. A case study of color industry was chosen to implement and evaluate the result of applying DOE and response surface methodology (RSM) by using Minitab 16 and Expert-Design 6.5. Data required for developing the simulation model were gathered from the factory documents and stop-watch method. The results reveal that applying computer simulation and design of experiment in the manufacturing systems is very useful and helpful where the weakness of the system will be found after doing the experimentation. Based on the main objectives of this thesis the effect of the factors which have the most significant influence on the productivity was assessed and determined. Finally the best factor setting which result in the maximum productivity was determined.

ABSTRAK

Produktiviti memainkan peranan yang signifikan bagi kebanyakan syarikat dalam mengukur tahap kecekapan. Pada hakikatnya ia adalah keperluan yang penting untuk menilai faktor-faktor bagi meningkatkan produktiviti dan juga mencapai tahap kualiti yang tinggi, kadar pengeluaran yang tinggi dan penggunaan mesin. Oleh itu, dalam industri pembuatan, pengurus dan jurutera mencari pelbagai kaedah dalam menghapuskan masalah dalam sistem pembuatan seperti kesesakan dan masa menunggu. Ini adalah kerana masalah sebegini akan melibatkan kos tambahan kepada syarikat. Maka ia boleh diperolehi dengan mencari cara-cara untuk menangani dengan pelbagai masalah perindustrian yang telah memberi kesan kepada produktiviti sistem pembuatan seperti tempoh masa yang lama dan "work-inprogress" (WIP) dan lain-lain. Tesis ini menumpukan kepada aplikasi reka bentuk eksperimen (DOE) dan simulasi komputer untuk mengenal dan menitik beratkan signifikan faktor-faktor penting dalam dan ketika pemasangan atau pengilangan untuk mencapai produktiviti yang optimum. Perisian Arena 13.9 telah dipilih dan digunapakai sebagai perisian simulasi. Satu kajian kes industri warna telah dipilih untuk melaksanakan dan menilai hasil daripada penggunaan DOE dan respon permukaan metodologi (RSM) dengan menggunakan Minitab 16 dan Pakar-Design 6.5. Data yang diperlukan untuk membangunkan model simulasi telah dikumpulkan dari dokumen kilang dan kaedah berhenti-menonton. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa pengunaan simulasi komputer dan reka bentuk eksperimen dalam sistem pengilangan pembuatan adalah sangat berguna dan membantu yang mana kelemahan sistem itu akan diketahui selepas menjalankan eksperimen tersebut. Berdasarkan objektif utama tesis ini kesan faktor-faktor yang mempunyai pengaruh yang signifikan ke atas produktiviti telah dinilai dan dikenal pasti. Akhirnya faktor persekitaran terbaik yang mengakibatkan produktiviti maksimum dapat ditentukan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DE	CLARATION	ii
	DE	DICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		IV
	ABSTRACT		\mathbf{V}
	ABSTRAK		VI
	TABLE OF CONTENTS		VII
	LIST OF TABLES		XI
	LIST OF FIGURE		XII
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		
	LIS	ST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Background of the Thesis	2
	1.3	Problem Statement	2
		1.3.1 Statement of the Thesis Problem	2
		1.3.2 Thesis Questions	3
	1.4	Objective of the Thesis	3
	1.5	Scope of the Thesis	4
	1.6	Significance of Findings	4
	1.7	Thesis structure	5
	1.8	Conclusion	6
2	LITI	ERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1	Introduction	7

2.2	Comput	ter Simulation	7
	2.2.1	Definition of Computer Simulation	7
	2.2.2	Simulation Process	9
	2.2.3	Simulation Approach	10
	2.2.4	Models Type	11
	2.2.5	Advantages and Disadvantages of Simulation	12
	2.2.6	Selection of Simulation Software	13
2.3	Comput	ter Simulation in the Manufacturing Systems	14
2.4	Design	of Experiment	16
	2.4.1	Definition of Design of Experiment	16
2.5	Deign o	f Experiment in Manufacturing System	17
2.6	Design	of Experiment and Computer Simulation	18
2.7	Respons	se Surface Methodology	21
	2.7.1	Definition of RSM	21
2.8	Conclus	sion	22
			• •
RES	EARCH N	METHODOLOGY	23
RES 3.1	EARCH I	ction	23 23
RES 3.1 3.2	EARCH I Introduc Case St	udy	23 23 23
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH I Introduc Case St Operatio	etion udy onal Framework	23 23 23 24
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH I Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1	udy onal Framework Data Collection	23 23 23 24 25
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH I Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2	tion udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping	23 23 23 24 25 26
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH I Introduce Case Str Operation 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3	<pre>wETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model</pre>	23 23 23 24 25 26 26
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduce Case Str Operation 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4	<pre>wiETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification</pre>	23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduce Case Str Operation 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5	<pre>wiETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation</pre>	 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduce Case Str Operation 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6	<pre>wiETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable)</pre>	 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduce Case Str Operation 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7	<pre>xie i HODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment</pre>	 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8	<pre>xie i HODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment Choosing the Factors and Levels</pre>	 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30 30
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9	WETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment Choosing the Factors and Levels Performing the Simulation Experiment	 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30 30 31
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9 3.3.10	NETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment Choosing the Factors and Levels Performing the Simulation Experiment Identifying the Significant Factors	23 23 23 24 25 26 26 26 27 28 29 30 30 30 31 31
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9 3.3.10 3.3.11	NETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment Choosing the Factors and Levels Performing the Significant Factors Develop Central Composite Design	 23 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30 30 31 31 32
RES 3.1 3.2 3.3	EARCH N Introduc Case Str Operatio 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8 3.3.9 3.3.10 3.3.11 3.3.12	NETHODOLOGY ction udy onal Framework Data Collection Process Mapping Building of Simulation-Model Simulation Model Verification Simulation Model Validation Calculating the Performance Measurement (Response Variable) Implementation of Design of Experiment Choosing the Factors and Levels Performing the Simulation Experiment Identifying the Significant Factors Develop Central Composite Design Develop the Regression Model	 23 23 23 23 24 25 26 26 27 28 29 30 30 31 31 32 32

3

		3.3.14	Optimization by Conducting the RSM	33
	3.4	Conclus	ion	33
4	DAT	A ANALY	YSIS, RESULT AND DISCUSSION	34
	4.1	Introduc	ction	34
	4.2	Case Stu	udy	35
		4.2.1	Company Background	35
		4.2.2	Product and Customer	35
		4.2.3	Company Operation and Equipment	36
		4.2.4	Factory Layout	37
		4.2.5	Operation Process Chart	38
		4.2.6	Summary	39
	4.3	Simulat	ion Model	40
		4.3.1	Simulation Software	40
		4.3.2	Simulation model development	41
		4.3.3	Model Verification	45
		4.3.4	Model Validation	45
		4.3.5	Warm-up period	47
	4.4	Data Co	llection	48
	4.5	Analysis	s	50
		4.5.1	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	51
		4.5.2	Normal Probability Plot	53
		4.5.3	Pareto Chart	55
		4.5.4	Main Effect Plot	56
		4.5.5	Interaction Plot	56
		4.5.6	Residual analysis	58
		4.5.7	Optimal Parameter Setting	59
	4.6	Regress	ion Model	59
	4.7	Respons	se Surface Methodology	61
		4.7.1	Steps of Conducting RSM	61
	4.8	Second	Order Regression Model	68
		4.8.1	Residual Analysis	68
	4.9	Confirm	nation Test	70
	4.10	3D Resp	ponse Surface and Contour Plot	71

5.1 Introduction	
J.1 Introduction	74
5.2 Conclusions	74
5.3 Limitation of the Thesis	75
5.4 Recommendation for Future Work	75

REFERENCES

5

76

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

3.1	Factors and levels	31
4.1	Result of simulation model	46
4.2	Validation Result	47
4.3	Result of simulation experiment	49
4.4	Estimated effects and coefficient for productivity	51
4.5	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for productivity	53
4.6	Parameter setting for productivity	59
4.7	Reponses at new design points	64
4.8	New levels and center point	65
4.9	Responses based on the new center Point	65
4.10	ANOVA for response surface quadratic model	66
4.11	Model accuracy	67
4.12	Estimated regression coefficients for productivity	68
4.13	Result of confirmation test	71
4.14	Optimum setting of factors for maximum productivity	73

LIST OF FIGURE

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

3.1	Operational Framework	25
4.1	Layout of Factory	38
4.2	OPC of Color Production	39
4.3	Examples of flowchart modules	40
4.4	Example of data modules	41
4.5	Simulation model of Delpak-mixer machine	42
4.6	Simulation model of Permil machine	43
4.7	Simulation model of Big-mixer machine	44
4.8	Warm-up Period Determination	48
4.9	Normal Probability Plot	54
4.10	Pareto Chart	55
4.11	Main Effects Plot	56
4.12	Interaction Plot	57
4.13	Residual Analysis	58
4.14	3D Surface	60
4.15	Macro Programming in Minitab	63
4.16	Normal Plot of Residuals	69
4.17	Residual versus Predicted Value	70
4.18	Contour Plot	72
4.19	3D Surface Plot	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- DOE Design of Experiment
- RSM Response Surface Methodology
- OPC Operation Process Chart
- CCD Central Composite Design

LIST OF SYMBOLS

N (m)	Number of replications
$t_{\frac{\dot{\alpha}}{2},n-1}$	t-value based on the confidence level and the number of runs
S (m)	standard deviation of production output from 5 runs
8	allowable error
$\overline{X}(m)$	mean of production output from six runs

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In the manufacturing industry, managers and engineers are seeking to find methods in order to eliminate the common problems in manufacturing systems such as bottlenecks and waiting times. This is because that all of these kinds of problems impose extra cost to the companies. In addition, manufacturing companies are striving to sustain their competitiveness by improving productivity, efficiency and quality of manufacturing industry for instance high throughput and high resource utilization. It can be acquired by finding ways to deal with various industrial problems which have affected the productivity of manufacturing systems such as high lead time and Work in Progress (WIP) and etc. (Sun and Ming, 2011).

This thesis concentrates on the application of design of experiment (DOE) and computer simulation to recognize and to weight the significance of different factors in the production line as well as to achieve the optimization of productivity. First, the background of the study is discussed in this chapter, following that the problems are stated in order to define what the objectives of the thesis are. After that in the scope of the study the research method and software which are used in this thesis are explained briefly. Following that the goals of thesis and the desired results are discussed in order to depict the significance of the thesis. Finally the structure of the thesis will be explained.

1.2 Background of the Thesis

As mentioned earlier productivity plays a significant role for most companies in order to measure the efficiency. In reality there is an essential need to evaluate the different factors which increasing productivity and achieving the high level of quality, a high production rate and machine utilization. In our thesis we want to construct the simulation model of manufacturing system and run the different alternatives. Following that the results which have obtained from the simulation experiment used to conduct a full factorial experiment. After that the best combination of factors those have the most significant effect on the process productivity have selected.

According to studies that have done before (Hatami et al., 1990), applying the experimental design and simulation to improve the productivity result in important savings. Additionally the result will be more credible and reliable since all possible combinations of factors are examined. It is easier also to justify the recommendations because the verification runs have validated the result of the model (Hatami et al., 1990).

1.3 Problem Statement

1.3.1 Statement of the Thesis Problem

Manufacturing companies are seeking to achieve higher productivity such as high resource utilization and high throughput. In order to evaluate the system's performance based on these they must deal with difficulties in the manufacturing system. Therefore, managers and engineers define the planning horizon for these aims. In the operative aims one of the most challenging is the bottlenecks. Indeed they try to identify and eliminate the bottlenecks in the production line. This thesis proposes using the statistical analysis Design of experiment (DOE) and computer simulation to find the root causes of the decreased productivity. The problem considered in this thesis to be solved by developing a simulation model of manufacturing system to replicate the current process. Having built and validated the simulation model, significant factors are identified by conducting the DOE.

1.3.2 Thesis Questions

- 1.3 How could a manufacturing system be modelled using computer simulation?
- 1.4 How can we conduct the DOE and simulation run to evaluate the system performance?
- 1.5 What are the most significant factors which have affected the productivity of process?
- 1.6 What is the optimum measure of factors?

1.4 Objective of the Thesis

The main goal of this thesis is to find the optimum measure of total output production of manufacturing system. To achieve this goal this thesis proposes using the statistical analysis namely DOE and computer simulation to recognize the main factors which have affected the productivity of manufacturing process. Following that, the Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is used for optimizing the results. In the following points we mention some of research goals:

- 1. To develop the computer simulation model of manufacturing process in order to run the experiment.
- 2. To determine which factors, (x) are most influential on the response, y (performance).
- 3. To get the optimal solution by implementing the response surface methodology techniques.

4. To verify the optimal solutions.

1.5 Scope of the Thesis

This thesis presents the application of design of experiment and computer simulation to recognize the main factors affecting the productivity. The scope of this thesis limited to:

- 1. The production line of a manufacturing system is simulated in order to run the experiment.
- 2. Arena 13.9 software is applied for development of simulation model.
- 3. Having building the simulation model, Design of Experiment (DOE) is conducted to identify the main factor have affecting the productivity of manufacturing system.
- 4. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is used for optimizing the results of combined design of experiment and simulation running.
- 5. Minitab 16 is used as statistical analysis software for implementing the design of experiment.
- 6. Design-Expert 6.5 is applied to conduct the RSM approach.
- 7. A Color factory in IRAN is selected as a Case study for doing the thesis.

1.6 Significance of Findings

Manufacturing managers tend to use the effective tool and approaches due to enhance the productivity of the production line. This investigation effort judiciously combined design of experiment and computer simulation to determine the various factors that impact productivity improvement in a manufacturing system. Additionally, this thesis also endeavor focuses on optimize the solutions by implementing the response surface methodology. This means that performing this investigation will help the companies, managers and engineers to optimize resource usage in order to increase total output production and improve productivity.

1.7 Thesis Structure

This thesis consists of five chapters:

Chapter 1 is the introduction to this study. Background of the thesis, objective of the thesis, statement of problem, scope of the thesis and thesis layout is expressed.

Chapter 2 provides the information and definitions of DOE technique and manufacturing simulation approaches also the literature review of the DOE application and the simulation manufacturing system method are explained. In addition the DOE and simulation software used in this thesis, namely respectively Minitab 16, Expert-Design 6.5 and Arena 13.9 are introduced. After that, the rest of chapter two dedicated to explain the past researches which have done before about the applying of DOE and manufacturing simulation.

Chapter 3 is dedicated to the research methodology for this study. In this chapter, the experimental steps are discussed in detail. The soft wares that are used in this thesis will be explained. In addition the case study will be described.

Chapter 4 is divided to four parts. The first part dedicated to introduce the case study. Second the simulation model of the manufacturing system is constructed. In this part the validation of the developed simulation model are also done. After that the simulation model will be run in order to find the response. Then, the DOE is conducted for the result of simulation experiment. In this part the analysis of the result using Minitab software is done to fond the significant factors. Finally, the

optimum setting of factors is determined by using RSM method which will be done by Expert-Design software.

Chapter 5 summarizes the work done in this thesis and some limitations and recommendations for future work are also made.

1.8 Conclusion

As mentioned earlier, this chapter is dedicated to thesis introduction. The thesis objective is introduced as well as it aims at solving the problems and questions, the scope to which the thesis is limited, the expected significant results, and finally the structure in which the thesis is conducted.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi B., Mahlooji H. (2012). Improving response surface methodology bu using artificial neural network and simulated annealing. *Expaert Systems with Applications*, *39*, 3461-3468.
- Altiok T., Melamed B. (2007). Simulation modeling and analysis with Arena. *Elsevier Inc.*
- Balci O. (1998). Verification, validation and testing. In handbook of simulation. (J. Banks, Ed.) Georgia: John Wiley & Sons ,Inc.
- Ballakur A., Steudel H. (1987). A within-cell utilization based heurostic for designing cellular manufacturing systems. *International Journal of Production Research*, 25, 639-665.
- Banks J., Carson J., NelsonB., Nicol D., (2005). *Discrete-event simulation*. New Jersy: Prentice-Hall.
- Barton R., (2010). Simulation Experiment Design. *Proceeding of Winter Simulation Conferance*, (pp. 75-86).
- Basler F., Sepulveda J., (2004). The use of somulation and design of experiment for productvity improvement in the sawmill indusyrt. *Proceeding of 36th winter simulation conferance*, (pp. 1218 - 1221).
- Basler F., Jahnsen H., Dacosta M., (2003). The use of simulation and design of experiments for estimating maximum capacity in an emergency room. *Proceedings of the 2003 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 1903-1906).
- Basler F., Moraga M., Ramis F., (2002). Productivity improvement in wood industry using simulation and artificial intellegence. *Proceeding of the 2002 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 1095-1098). New Jersy.
- Bosio A., Lupker H., (1991). Design of experiments in occupant simulation. SAE Transact, 100, 1310-1319.

- Box G., Wilson K., (1951). On the experimental attainment of optimum conditions. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 13(1), 1-38.
- Barnwal S., Bayoumi A., Hutton D., (1993). Prediction of flank wear and engagement form force measurments in end milling operations. *Wear*, 170, 255-266.
- Brunner C., Funk J., Zhang G., (1994). Discrete-event object oriented modeling environment for sawmill simulation. 62(2), 119-130.
- Centeno M. A., (1996). An introduction to simulation modeling. *Proceedings of the* 1996 Winter Simulation Conference, (pp. 15-21).
- Cheng R., Kleijnen J., Melas V., (2000). Optimla design of experiments and simulation models of nearly saturated queues. *Journal of Statistical Planning Inference*, 85, 19-26.
- Condra L., (2001). *Reliability improvement with design of experiments* (2nd edition ed.). New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Cox D., Reid N., (2000). *The theory of the design of experiments* (1st edition ed.). Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Dogan C., McClain T., Wicklund S., (1997). Simulation modeling and analysis of hardwood sawmill. *Simulation Practice and Theory*, *5*(5), 387-403.
- Ebrahimi Y., AbouRizk S., Fernando M., MohaS., (2011). Simulation modeling and sensitivity analysis of a tunneling construction project's supply chain. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 18*(5), 462-480.
- Fisher R., (1972). *The design of experiment* (2en ed ed.). New York: Rinehart and Winston.
- Goldratt E., Cox J., (1986). The Goal. North River Press.
- Goldratt E., Fox R., (1986). The race. North River Press.
- Harrell C., Ghosh B., Bowden R., (2000). Simulation using promodel. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hassan M., Gruber S., (2008). Simulation of concrete paving operations on Interstate-74. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Managemen*, 134(1), 2.
- Hatami S., Cowley E., Morey C., (1990). Using experimental design to improve the efficiency of simulation modeling-A manufacturing perspective. *Proceeding* of the 1990 Winter Simulation Conference, (pp. 310-313).

- Heilala J., (1999). Use of simulation in manufacturing and logistics systems planning. *VTT Manufacturing Technology*.
- Hulpic V., Paul R., (1991). A review of simulation research in FMS. *Proceeding of the 13th intenational conference*. Zagreb.
- Hutchinson J., (1991). Current and future issues concerning FMS scheduling. Journal of Management Science, 19(6).
- Jayaraman A., Narayanaswamy R., Gunal A., (1997). A sortation system model. Proceedings of the 1997 Winter Simulation Conference, IEEE, (pp. 866-871). Piscataway, N.J.
- Kelton W., (1999). Designing simulation experiments . Proceeding of the Winter Simulation Conference, (pp. 33-38). Piscataway, New Jersy.
- Kelton W., Sadowski R., Sadowski D. (2001). *Simulation with Arena* (2nd ed.). USA: The McGraw Hill companies.
- Kiran A., Smith M. (1983). Simulation studies in job shop scheduling. Proceeding of the conferance on Simulation in inventory and production control, (pp. 46-51). Snd Diego.
- Kleijnen J., Sargent R., (2000). A methodology for fitting and validating metamodels in simulation. *European Journal of Operation Research*, *120*, 14-29.
- Kleijnen J., (2008). Response surface methodology for constrained simulation optimization: an overview, simulation.model.practice. *16*, 50-64.
- Kleijnen J., Sanchez S., Lucas T., Cioppa T., (2005). A user's guide to the brave new world of designing simulation experiments. *17*(3), 263-289.
- Kochar A., Ma X., (1989). Use of computer simulation :Aides for solving production management problems. *Proceeding of the 3rd European Simulation Congress*, (pp. 516-522). Edinburgh.
- Kochhar A., (1989). Computer simulation of manufacturing system 3 decades of progress. *Proceeding for the 3rd European Simulation Congress*, (pp. 3-9). Edinburg, Uk.
- Law A., (1991). Simulation model's level of detail determines effectiveness. *Industrial engineering*, 23(10), 16-18.
- Law A., Kelton W., (2000). Simulation modeling and analysis. New York: McGraw-Hill.

- Liu, X., Chen, Y., Jing, X., & Chen, Y. (2010). Design of experiment method for Microsatellite system simulation and optimization. *International Conference* on Computational and Information Science, (pp. 1200-1203).
- Mckay M., Beckman R., J W., (1979). A comparison of three method for selecting values of input variables in the analysis of output from a computer code. *Technometrics*, 21, 239-245.
- Mirzapourrezaei S., Lalmazloumian M., Dargi A., Wong Y., (2011). Simulation of a manufacturing assembly line based on WITNESS. *Third International Conference on Computational Intelligence ,Communication Systems and Networks* (pp. 132-137). IEEE computer society.
- Mishra P., Pandey P., (1989). Simulation studies of flexible manufacturing systems using statistical design of experiment. *Computer Industrial Engineering*, 65-74.
- Montevechi J., Pinho A., Leal F., Marins F., (2007). Application of design of experiment on the simulation of a process in an automotive industry. *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 1601-1609).
- Montgomery D., (2009). Basic Experiment Design for Process Improvement Statistical Quality Contro. USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.,.
- Nayani N., Mollaghasemi M., (1998). Validation and verification for the simulation model of photolithography process in semiconductore manufacturing. *Processing of the Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 1017-1022). New Jersey.
- Nazzala D., Mollaghasemi M., Anderson D., (2006). A simulated-based evaluation of the cost of cycle time reduction in Agree Systems wafer fabrication facility- a case study. *International Journal of Production Econimics*, 100, pp. 300-313.
- Neelamkavil F., (1987). Computer simulation and modeling. New York: Wiley.
- Ng S., Yuan J., Yin J., Mu S., (2009). Design of experiments for simulation models with stochastic constraints. *IEEE Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*, (pp. 2094-2098).
- O'Kane J., Spenceley J., Taylor R., (2000). Simulation as an essential tool for advance manufacturing technology problems. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 107, 412-424.

- Qayyum A., Dalgarno K., (2004). Improving manufacturing systems through use of simulation. *Graduated Conference*. England.
- Ramaseh R., (1990). Dynamic job shop scheduling: A survey of simulation research, OMEGA internet. *Management Science*, *18*(1), 43-57.
- Ramis F., Jarpa R., Palma J., Sanchez J. (2004). Bottleneck analysis using simultion. Proceeding of Simulation solutions 2004. Orlando.
- Rousseau G., Bauer W., (1996). Sensitivity analysis of a large scale transportation simulation using design of experiment and factor analysis. *Proceedings of the 1996 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 1426-1432).
- Sargent R., (1998). Verification and validation of simulation models. *proceeding of thye winter simulation conference*, (pp. 121-130). Piscataway,New Jersy.
- Sargen R., (2009). Verification and validation of simulation models. *In Proceeding* of *The 2009 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 162-176). New Jersey.
- Setamanit S.o., (2011). Evaluation of diverse inventory policies using simulation and design of experiment. *Technology Management in the Energy Smart World* (*PICMET*), , (pp. 1-7).
- Shang J., Tadikamalla P., (1993). Output maximization of a CIM system:simulation and statistical approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 31, 19-41.
- Shannon R. E., (1998). Introduction to the art and science of simulation. *Proceedings* of The Winter Simulation Conference, (pp. 7-14).
- Singhal K., Fine C., Meredith J., Suri R., (1987). Research and models for automated manufacturing. *Interfaces*, *17*, pp. 5-14.
- Slack N., (2001). Operation management. London: Pearson Education.
- Smith D. E., Mauro C. A., (1984). Design of experiments in simulation. Am Journal Math Manage Sci, 4(3-4), 249-275.
- Sun G., Ming W., (2011). Improving productivity and reducing manufacturing cycle time through simulation modelling., (pp. 1-4).
- Tangen S., (2003). An overview of frequently used performance measures. *Work Study*, 52(7), 347-354.
- Tsai C., (2002). Evaluation and optimisation of integrated manufacturing system operations using Tagichi's experiment design in computer simulation. *Computer and Industrial Engineering*(43), 591-604.

- Wang S., Halpin D., (2004). Simulation experiment for improving construction processes. *Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 5-7). Washington, DC, USA.
- Yalcinkaya O., Bayhan M., (2009). Modeling and optimization of average travel time for a metro line by simulation and response surface methodology. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 196, 225-233.
- Zulch G., (2006). Modeling and simulation of human decision-making in. *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference*, (pp. 947-953). Piscataway, N.J.