1 **Title**: Phosphoproteomic Analysis Reveals that Dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14 are

- 2 Phosphorylated by SNF1-related Protein Kinase 2.10 in Response to Osmotic Stress
- 3

4 Short running title: Phosphorylation of Dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14 by SnRK2.10
 5

- 6 Justyna Maszkowska, Janusz Dębski, Anna Kulik, Michał Kistowski, Maria Bucholc,
- 7 Małgorzata Lichocka, Maria Klimecka, Olga Sztatelman, Katarzyna Patrycja
- 8 Szymańska, Michał Dadlez, Grażyna Dobrowolska
- 9 Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Pawińskiego
- 10 5a, 02-106 Warsaw, Poland
- 11
- 12 Corresponding authors: Grażyna Dobrowolska, Institute of Biochemistry and
- 13 Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Pawińskiego 5a, 02-106 Warsaw, Poland
- 14 dobrowol@ibb.waw.pl
- 15 and Justyna Maszkowska Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy
- 16 of Sciences, Pawińskiego 5a, 02-106 Warsaw, Poland
- 17 j.maszkowska@ibb.waw.pl
- 18

- 19 Abstract
- 20

21 SNF1-related protein kinases 2 (SnRK2s) regulate the plant responses to abiotic 22 stresses, especially water deficits. They are activated in plants subjected to osmotic 23 stress, and some of them are additionally activated in response to enhanced concentrations of abscisic acid (ABA) in plant cells. The SnRK2s that are activated in 24 25 response to ABA are key elements of ABA signaling that regulate plant acclimation to 26 environmental stresses and ABA-dependent development. Much less is known about 27 the SnRK2s that are not activated by ABA, albeit several studies have shown that these kinases are also involved in response to osmotic stress. Here, we show that 28 29 one of the Arabidopsis thaliana ABA-non-activated SnRK2s, SnRK2.10, regulates not only the response to salinity but also the plant sensitivity to dehydration. Several 30 potential SnRK2.10 targets phosphorylated in response to stress were identified by a 31 phosphoproteomic approach, including the dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14. Their 32 33 phosphorylation by SnRK2.10 was confirmed in vitro. Our data suggest that the 34 phosphorylation of ERD14 within the S-segment is involved in the regulation of 35 dehydrin subcellular localization in response to stress.

36

37

39 Introduction

40

41 Drought and salinization are the major environmental challenges for plants. All plants 42 have the ability to sense environmental cues and activate the signaling pathways 43 responsible for the induction of plant responses. However, plants differ significantly 44 with respect to their tolerance to various stresses. The SNF1-related protein kinases 45 2 (SnRK2s) are indispensable to the plant reaction to water deficits (for review see Umezawa et al., 2010; Kulik et al., 2011; Fujii and Zhu, 2012; Yoshida et al., 2015; 46 47 Zhu, 2016). SnRK2s are plant-specific enzymes that are rapidly and transiently activated in response to osmotic stress. They have been classified into three groups 48 49 based on their phylogenetic analysis; the classification correlates with their response to abscisic acid (ABA) (Boudsocq et al., 2004; Kobayashi et al., 2004). Group 1 50 51 comprises kinases not activated in response to ABA, group 2 kinases are not 52 activated (e.g., in Oryza sativa) or only weakly activated by ABA (e.g., in Arabidopsis 53 thaliana), and group 3 kinases are strongly activated in response ABA. To date, the 54 mechanism of activation and the physiological role have mainly been investigated for 55 the ABA-activated SnRK2s (from group 3). It has been established that these kinases 56 are key components of ABA signaling pathways, both in plant development (seed 57 maturation and germination) (Fujii et al., 2007; Fujii and Zhu, 2009; Nakashima et al., 2009) and in response to water deficits (Fujii and Zhu, 2009; Fujita et al., 2009). 58 59 Several independent experimental approaches have been used to identify ABAactivated SnRK2 target proteins. Thus, OST1/SnRK2.6/SRKE phosphorylates ion 60 channels involved in stomatal movements: SLAC1 (Slow Anion Channel-Associated 61 1 - Geiger et al., 2009; Lee et al., 2009), KAT1 (K⁺ channel - Sato et al., 2009), the 62 63 NADPH oxidase RbohF (Respiratory burst oxidase homolog protein F - Sirichandra 64 et al., 2009), the aquaporin PIP2;1 (Plasma membrane Intrinsic Protein2;1 - Grondin 65 et al., 2015), BRM (SWI/SNF chromatin-remodeling ATPase BRAHMA - Peirats-66 Llobet et al., 2016) and numerous transcription factors regulating the expression of ABA-responsive genes (Kobayashi et al., 2005; Furihata et al., 2006; Yoshida et al., 67 2015). 68

69 Comparative phosphoproteomic studies between an Arabidopsis triple 70 *snrk2.2/2.3/2.6* (also known as *srk2dei*) mutant deficient in all three ABA-activated 71 SnRK2s (SnRK2.2/SRK2D, SnRK2.3/SRK2I, and SnRK2.6/SRK2E) and wild-type 72 plants treated with ABA (Umezawa et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013) or subjected to 73 desiccation (Umezawa et al., 2013) allowed the identification of several new targets 74 of those kinases. Studies of both groups have confirmed that the ABA-activated 75 kinases phosphorylate AREB-type transcription factors, several protein kinases and 76 RNA- or DNA-binding proteins. Moreover, some novel potential SnRK2 targets (e.g., 77 the proteins involved in flowering time regulation and chloroplast functioning; Wang 78 et al., 2013) have been identified. Among the SnRK2.2/2.3/2.6 targets, Umezawa et 79 al. (2013) identified a protein named SNS1 (from SnRK2-substrate 1). SNS1 is conserved in higher plants. An sns1 knockout mutant exhibited the ABA-80 81 hypersensitive phenotype, indicating that SNS1 is a negative regulator of ABA 82 signaling at the postgermination stage (Umezawa et al., 2013).

83 There are several indications that kinases from group 2 (SnRK2.7 and 84 SnRK2.8) are also involved in stress signaling. They play a role in drought response 85 (Umezawa et al., 2004; Mizoguchi et al., 2010), mainly by regulating the expression 86 of stress-response genes. The cellular targets of SnRK2.7 and SnRK.8 comprise 87 various transcription factors involved in abiotic stress responses (Mizoguchi et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2012). Additionally, several 14-3-3 proteins and enzymes (e.g., 88 89 glyoxalase I, adenosine kinase I, and ribose 5-phosphate isomerase) have been 90 found to be phosphorylated by SnRK2.8 (Shin et al., 2007). It has been shown that 91 SnRK2.8 is also involved in biotic stress response. Recently, Lee et al. (2015) 92 showed that SnRK2.8 phosphorylates Nonexpresser of Pathogenesis-Related genes 93 1 (NPR1), which is involved in systemic acquired resistance in response to pathogen 94 infection. The phosphorylation of NPR1 catalyzed by SnRK2.8 is necessary for its 95 nuclear import.

96 Much less is known about the role of the members of group 1 of the SnRK2 97 family - the kinases not activated in plants upon ABA-treatment. Several reports have 98 indicated an involvement of these kinases in the response to osmotic stress. Thus, 99 the ABA-non-activated kinases SnRK2.4 and SnRK2.10 regulate the root 100 architecture in response to salinity (McLoughlin et al., 2012): SnRK2.4 regulates 101 primary root growth, and SnRK2.10 regulates lateral root number under stress 102 conditions. An analysis of multiple *snrk2* knockout mutants showed that plants 103 deficient in kinases from groups 2 and 1 are affected by osmotic stress even more 104 strongly than is the snrk2.2/2.3/2.6 triple mutant (Fujii et al., 2011), indicating that 105 ABA-non-activated SnRK2s also regulate plant tolerance to osmotic stress. However, 106 the accumulation of proline induced by osmotic stress in the snrk2.1/2.4/2.5/2.9/2.10

107 mutant was higher, whereas in *snrk2.2/2.3/2.6*, it was significantly lower than that in 108 the wild-type plants (Fujii et al., 2011), which suggests that the roles of the ABA-109 activated and the ABA-non-activated SnRK2s in the regulation of plant tolerance to 110 osmotic stress have to be, to some extent, different. Recently, published data 111 showed that the ABA-non-activated SnRK2s regulate mRNA decay under osmotic 112 stress (Soma et al., 2017). Using a coimmunoprecipitation approach, VARICOSE 113 (VCS), an mRNA decapping activator, has been identified as an SnRK2.1 cellular partner. SnRK2.1 and other ABA-non-activated SnRK2s phosphorylate VCS, and the 114 115 phosphorylation has a substantial effect on mRNA decay. To date, no other bona fide 116 cellular targets of the ABA-non-activated SnRK2s have been found.

In vitro screening of peptides phosphorylated by recombinant SnRK2.10 has revealed that its phosphorylation consensus site is LXRXXS (Vlad et al., 2008). An analysis of Arabidopsis protein databases has indicated that such sequences are present in several proteins involved in stress response, e.g., dehydrin LEA (At2g21490), dehydrin Xero 1 (At3g50980), and glutathione peroxidase 6 (At4g11600) (Vlad et al., 2008). However, the phosphorylation of those proteins by SnRK2.10 *in vivo* has not yet been confirmed.

124 SnRK2.10 is unique among the Arabidopsis ABA-non-activated SnRK2s as it 125 is the only one that localizes exclusively to the cytoplasm, whereas all the other 126 members of this group are found in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Kulik et al., 127 2012; Soma et al., 2017, and Supplemental Figure S1). This suggests that its role 128 may not be fully comparable to other SnRK2s.

Here, to establish the role of SnR2.10 in the plant response to environmental stresses, we identified several of its potential targets phosphorylated in response to salinity stress and analyzed the phosphorylation of two of them, ERD10 (Early Responsive to Dehydration 10) and ERD14, in detail.

133

134

135 Materials and Methods

136

137 **Plant material and growth conditions**

138 The *Arabidopsis thaliana* lines used in this work were all derivatives of Col-0: Col-0-139 wild type: T-DNA insertion lines: single mutants *snrk2.4-1* (*SALK 080588*), *snrk2.4-2*

140 (SALK 146522), snrk2.10-1 (WiscDsLox233E9) and snrk2.10-3 (SAIL 698 C05)

141 (Sessions et al, 2002; Alonso et al., 2003; Woody et al., 2007); a double mutant 142 snrk2.4/10 (SALK 080588/WiscDsLox233E9); а quadruple mutant 143 snrk2.1/2.4/2.5/2.10 (SAIL_519_C01/SALK_080588/SALK_075624/ 144 WiscDsLox233E9); and SnRK2.10-GFP expressing lines. The mutants snrk2.4-1, snrk2.10-1, and snrk2.4/2.10, as well as the SnRK2.10-GFP expressing lines, were 145 146 kindly provided by Prof. Christa Testerink, the University of Amsterdam. The 147 quadruple mutant described here was obtained by crossing SAIL_519_C01, 148 SALK_080588, SALK_075624, and WiscDsLox233E9 mutants (Supplemental Figure 149 S2).

For the phosphoproteomic and gene expression analyses, the plants were grown in hydroponic culture (Araponics system) as described by Kulik et al. (2012). The roots of the 5-week-old plants that were not treated or treated with 250 mM NaCl for 30 min (for phosphoproteomic analysis) or 150 mM NaCl for up to 6 days (for gene expression analysis) were harvested, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until analysis.

For the in-gel kinase activity assay, the Arabidopsis seedlings were grown in sterile hydroponic culture in flasks as described by Kulik et al. (2012). Two-week-old plants were treated with 250 mM NaCl for 10 min, harvested by sieving and frozen in liquid nitrogen. The plant material was kept at -80°C until analysis.

For the transient expression assays, the *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown in soil in a growth chamber under 60% relative humidity and with a day/night regime of 16 h light (23°C) / 8 h dark (19°C).

163

164 The Arabidopsis T87 cell line used for protoplast isolation was grown in 165 Gamborg B5 medium as described by Yamada et al. (2004).

166

167 Sample preparation for MS analysis

Total protein extracts were prepared according to the method described by Tsugita and Kamo (1999) with modifications. To approximately 300 mg of ground root powder, 1 mL of prechilled 10% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) / 0.07% dithiothreitol (DTT) in acetone was added, and the samples were incubated at -20°C overnight. Then, they were centrifuged at 14 000 rpm for 15 min, and the supernatants were discarded. The pellets were washed three times by suspension in ice-cold acetone containing 0.07% DTT and centrifugation as above. Next, the pellets were dried at room temperature in a SpeedVac for 10 min and suspended in 300 µL of lysis buffer
[30 mM Tris, pH 8.5, 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 4% CHAPS and PhosStop; (Roche)],
incubated overnight at 4°C, and centrifuged as above. Then, the supernatants were
collected.

179

180 Mass spectrometry

181 The dissolved samples were subjected to an in-solution trypsin digestion procedure. 182 The proteins were reduced with 50 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride 183 (TCEP) at 60°C for 30 min, alkylated with 200 mM methyl methanethiosulfonate (MMTS) at room temperature for 15 min, and digested overnight with trypsin 184 (Sequencing Grade Modified Trypsin - Promega V5111). The peptide mixtures were 185 186 analyzed by LC/MS (liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry) 187 using a Nano-Acquity LC system (Waters) and an Orbitrap Velos or Q Exactive mass 188 spectrometer (Thermo Electron Corp., San Jose, CA) as detailed below. The 189 samples for the phosphorylation site analysis were split in two; approximately 20% of 190 the total volume was directly analyzed by LC/MS for protein identification, and the 191 remaining 80% was subjected to the enrichment of the phosphorylated peptides on 192 titanium dioxide as described previously (Graczyk et al., 2011). Briefly, the peptides 193 were diluted in 80% acetonitrile (AcN), 5% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), and 1 M phthalic 194 acid and incubated with titanium dioxide beads (GL Sciences). To remove the 195 nonphosphorylated peptides, the beads were washed with 80% AcN and 0.1% TFA. 196 The phosphorylated peptides were eluted with ammonium hydroxide (2.5%), pH 197 10.5.

198 The peptide mixture was applied to an RP-18 trap (nanoACQUITY Symmetry® 199 C18 – Waters 186003514) using 0.1% TFA as the mobile phase and then transferred 200 to a nano-HPLC RP-18 column (nanoACQUITY BEH C18 - Waters 186003545) 201 using an AcN gradient (0% - 35% AcN in 180 min) in the presence of 0.05% formic 202 acid with a flow rate of 250 mL/min. The column outlet was coupled directly to the ion 203 source of the spectrometer working in the regime of data dependent MS to MS/MS 204 switch. To ensure a lack of cross-contamination from previous samples, a blank run 205 preceded each analysis.

The data were processed by Mascot Distiller followed by Mascot Search (Matrix Science, London, UK, on-site license) against the SwissProt database with the decoy database search enabled option and the taxonomy restricted to 209 Arabidopsis thaliana. The search parameters for the precursor and product ion mass 210 tolerances were 15 ppm and 0.6 Da, respectively; enzyme specificity, trypsin; missed 211 cleavage sites allowed, 1; fixed modification of cysteine by methylthio; variable 212 modification of methionine oxidation and serine, threonine and tyrosine 213 phosphorylation. The Mascot Search results were internally calibrated with in-house 214 MScan software (proteom.ibb.waw.pl) as described previously (Mikula et al., 2010). 215 The calibrated data were re-searched with the corrected mass tolerance values. The peptides with a Mascot score exceeding the identity threshold value, which 216 corresponds to a false discovery rate (FDR) value <1%, calculated by the Mascot 217 procedure were considered positively identified. Additionally, the phosphorylated 218 219 peptides were curated manually.

220

221 Expression and purification of recombinant proteins

The recombinant kinases SnRK2.4, SnRK2.10, SnRK2.6 and SnRK2.8 were prepared as described previously (Bucholc et al., 2011).

Full-length cDNAs for ERD10 and ERD14 were PCR-amplified using specific primers (listed in Supplemental Table 5) and cloned as EcoRI/Sall fragments into a pGEX-4T-1 vector (Amersham Biosciences). All PCR reactions were performed using highfidelity Phusion polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and verified by DNA sequencing. The GST-tagged dehydrins were expressed in *E. coli* BL21 at 37°C for 3 hours and purified using glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

231

232 **Protein kinase activity assays**

233 In-solution kinase activity assay

234 The kinase activity assay in solution was performed as described previously (Bucholc 235 et al., 2011) with minor modifications. The recombinant kinases (approximately 1-2 236 µg) were incubated with 4 µg of Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) or 5 µg of recombinant ERD10/ERD14 and with 50 µM of ATP supplemented with 1 µCi of [y-³²P]ATP in 237 238 kinase buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 30 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT) in a 239 final volume of 25 µL. After 30 min of incubation at 30°C, the reactions were stopped 240 by the addition of Laemmli sample buffer. After boiling the samples for 5 min, the proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. The phosphorylated proteins were 241 242 visualized by autoradiography.

- 243 In-gel kinase activity assay
- In-gel kinase activity assays were performed according to Zhang and Klessig (1997)
 using recombinant GST-ERD10 or GST-ERD14, instead of MBP, at concentrations of
 0.3-0.4 mg/ml.
- 247

248 Determination of phosphorylation sites by MS analysis

For the LC/MS analysis of the proteins phosphorylated *in vitro*, the phosphorylation was performed as above (in-solution kinases activity assay) but without [γ -³²P]ATP. The reaction was stopped via the precipitation of the proteins with chloroform/methanol according to Wessel and Fuge (1984).

253

254 Site-directed mutagenesis

255 Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the Quick Change II Site-Directed 256 Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent) and the primers listed in Supplemental Table 5. The 257 mutated cDNA was verified by sequencing and transformed into *E. coli* BL21. The 258 expression and purification of the mutated proteins were performed as described 259 above.

260

261 **Rosette water status measurement**

262 The Arabidopsis plants of the appropriate genotype were grown for 5-6 weeks under 263 short day conditions (8 h light at 22°C / 16 h dark at 20°C) in a CLF PlantClimatics 264 chamber incubator and watered copiously one day before harvest. The Cut Rosette 265 Water Loss (CRWL) was determined as described previously by Bouchabke et al. (2008) with minor modifications. Freshly cut rosettes were weighed immediately, 266 267 incubated in windless conditions under constant temperature (22-24°C) and weighed 268 five times hourly. After overnight drying at 70°C to a constant mass, the rosettes 269 were weighed for dry mass, and water loss was calculated.

For the relative water content (RWC) determination of the rosettes of the plants grown as described above, the procedure used by Ellouzi et al. (2013) was applied.

272

273 Drought tolerance test

The Arabidopsis plants were grown in pots for 17 days under long-day conditions (16 h light at 22°C / 8 h dark at 20°C) and for an additional 2 weeks without watering. After that time, the plants were watered. Pictures were taken before rewatering andon the next day of rewatering.

278

Transient expression in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves and *Arabidopsis thaliana* protoplasts

281 Constructs for the intracellular localization of the proteins studied and for the BiFC 282 assays were prepared using the Gateway® Cloning System. The construction of the 283 pENTR ®-D/TOPO[™] vector with SnRK2.4, SnRK2.6 and SnRK2.8 cDNAs was 284 described previously (Krzywińska et al, 2016). SnRK2.10, ERD10, ERD10S106A, ERD10S106E, ERD14, ERD14S79A, and ERD14S79E cDNA was PCR-amplified 285 and cloned into the pENTR®-D/TOPO[™] vector. Then, the required cDNA was 286 287 recombined into pSITE-2CA and pSITE II n-EYFP-N1 or pSITE II c-EYFP-C1 vectors 288 (Martin et al., 2009) by a Gateway LR reaction and transformed into the 289 Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101.

For the transient expression of the constructs in N. benthamiana leaves, fresh 290 overnight cultures of *A. tumefaciens* containing the appropriate binary plasmids were 291 292 spun down and washed twice with sterile water. To perform the localization 293 experiments, the bacteria were resuspended in sterile water and brought to a final density of 4×10^8 cfu/mL (OD600 ~ 0.4). For the bimolecular fluorescence 294 complementation (BiFC) assays, the appropriate bacterial suspensions were 295 adjusted to 8×10⁸ cfu/mL and mixed in a 1:1 ratio before infiltration. Leaves of 4- to 5-296 week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were infiltrated with the bacterial suspension using a 297 298 needleless syringe. The leaves were harvested and analyzed under a confocal 299 microscope 2 days after agroinfiltration.

Protoplasts were isolated from the T87 cells and transformed with the appropriate plasmids according to He et al. (2007) with minor modifications. In each transformation, approximately 5×10^5 protoplasts were transfected with 20 µg of plasmid DNA. After transformation, the protoplasts were suspended in WI solution (0.5 M mannitol, 4 mM MES, pH 5.7, 20 mM KCl) and incubated at 21°C in the dark for approximately 16 h.

306

307 Construction and selection of transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants

308 The pSITE-2CA plasmids containing cDNA encoding GFP-ERD14 or GFP-309 ERD14S79E (described above) were transformed into Col-0 Arabidopsis plants by

the floral dip method using the *A. tumefaciens* strain GV3101 as previously described by Clough & Bent (1998) and Zhang at al. (2006). The selection of the transgenic lines was performed on $\frac{1}{2}$ MS agar plates supplemented with kanamycin (50 µg/mL) according to Harrison et al. (2006).

314

315 **Confocal laser scanning microscopy**

316 The subcellular localization of the fluorescent fusion proteins was evaluated using a 317 Nikon C1 confocal system built on a TE2000E platform and equipped with a $60 \times$ Plan-Apochromat oil immersion objective (Nikon Instruments B.V. Europe, 318 319 Amsterdam, The Netherlands). The fluorescence of the GFP/YFP fusion proteins 320 was excited with a Sapphire 488 nm laser (Coherent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and 321 observed at 515/530 nm. The 543 nm line of a He-Ne laser (Melles Griot, NY, USA) 322 with a 650 nm long pass filter was used for chlorophyll detection. The confocal 323 images were processed and analyzed using EZ-C1 3.60 Nikon FreeViewer software.

324

325 Gene expression analysis

326 RNA was extracted from 100 mg of frozen material using TRI Reagent (MRC) 327 according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA contamination was 328 removed with the Rapid Out DNA Removal kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse 329 transcription was performed on 1 µg of pure RNA using a RevertAid First Strand 330 cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's 331 protocol. The resulting cDNAs were diluted ten-fold with ultra-pure water and 1 µL 332 (corresponding to 5 ng of RNA) was assayed by qPCR in a Step One Plus system 333 (Applied Biosystems) using GoTag® gPCR Master Mix (Promega). The expression 334 levels were calculated relative to the housekeeping genes *EF-1* α and *TIP41* for roots 335 and UBC21 and PDF2 for leaves (Czechowski et al., 2005) using a relative standard 336 curve method. For each sample, a target quantity of the gene of interest was 337 determined by interpolating the value from a standard curve made from serial 338 dilutions of the pooled cDNAs from individual technical replications. The value from 339 the standard curve was then divided by the target quantity of the housekeeping gene. 340 A list of primers used in this study is presented in Supplemental Table 5.

341

342 Western blotting

- 343 Western blotting using anti-dehydrin antibodies (AS07 206, Agrisera) was performed 344 according to the protocol recommended by the manufacturer.
- 345

346 **Results**

347

348 Identification of Potential SnRK2.10 Targets by Phosphoproteomic Approach

349 To identify the cellular targets of SnRK2.10 phosphorylated in response to stress, we 350 compared the sets of phosphoproteins isolated from the roots of five-week-old 351 Arabidopsis plants: wild type (wt), snrk2.10-1 knockout mutant (KO), and two 352 transgenic Arabidopsis expressing 35S:GFP-SnRK2.10 (OE) subjected or not to salt 353 stress (treatment with 250 mM NaCl for 30 min). Four independent experiments were 354 performed. The proteins were digested with trypsin, and the tryptic phosphopeptides 355 were enriched by affinity chromatography on TiO₂ and analyzed by liquid 356 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS). As a result, 1715 357 phosphopeptides were identified (Supplemental Table 1). We found 114 358 phosphopeptides (representing 95 proteins) that, according to the results of MS/MS 359 fragmentation, were at least 2 times more often identified in the roots of wt or OE 360 plants subjected to salt stress than in the roots of nontreated plants. Moreover, these 361 phosphorylations were absent in the *snrk2.10* mutant (Supplemental Tables 2 and 3). 362 Therefore, we assume that the list may contain proteins phosphorylated by 363 SnRK2.10 directly or by kinase(s) downstream of SnRK2.10. Among them, there 364 were RNA binding proteins, protein kinases, phosphatases, transcription and 365 translation factors, and late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) proteins, including dehydrins. This list also included SnRK2.10 itself, as expected. Gene Ontology (GO) 366 367 annotation indicates that the majority of the identified proteins play a role in the 368 response to diverse environmental stresses (Supplemental Figure S3, Supplemental 369 Table 4).

To identify the overrepresented sequence motifs phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 (or some kinases under control of SnRK2.10) in response to salinity, the identified phosphopeptides were analyzed using the Motif-X algorithm (Schwartz and Gygi, 2005; Chou and Schwartz, 2011). In this group, two major phosphorylation motifs were extracted: -pS-P- and R-x-x-pS, where x can be any amino acid (Figure 1). The second motif is a well-known SnRK2 (as well as other SnRKs) phosphorylation motif (Kelner et al., 2004; Vlad et al., 2008), while –pSP- represents the mitogen-activated

protein kinase (MAPK) target motif. The -pS-P- motif has also been identified in 377 378 several phosphoproteomic studies performed to find proteins phosphorylated in 379 Arabidopsis in response to ABA in the SnRK2.2/2.3/2.6 pathway (Umezawa et al., 380 2013; Wang et al., 2013) and in the SnRK1 pathways triggered by energy deprivation 381 (Nukarinen et al., 2016) or by submergence (Cho et al., 2016). These results and our 382 results indicate that in response to stress, the SnRKs most likely directly or indirectly 383 regulate some of the MAPK family members. Moreover, our results showed that 384 several other sequences are phosphorylated in a SnRK2-dependent manner in 385 Arabidopsis plants subjected to salt stress. The results of Umezawa et al. (2013) and 386 Wang et al. (2013) also showed other motifs whose phosphorylation was dependent 387 on ABA-activated SnRK2s.

388 Our further studies focused on two acidic dehydrins (<u>dehydration proteins</u>), 389 ERD10 and ERD14, which were identified in our phosphoproteomic analysis as 390 possible targets of SnRK2.10. We have chosen these proteins because of their 391 participation in plant protection against salinity and water deficits (for review see 392 Hanin et al., 2011; Kosová et al., 2014) and because dehydrins have been 393 considered before as SnRK2.10 targets based on its substrate specificity (Vlad et al., 394 2008). The ERD10 and ERD14 phosphopeptides, which were enhanced under 395 salinity stress and identified in our phosphoproteomic analysis, are listed in Table 1. 396

397 SnRK2.10 is Not Involved in the Regulation of ERD10 or ERD14 Accumulation 398 in Arabidopsis Plants in Response to Salinity

399 Dehydrins accumulate in response to salinity stress; therefore, we estimated the 400 dehydrin protein level in wt and snrk2.10 plants exposed to 250 mM NaCl for various 401 lengths of time using anti-dehydrin antibodies (Supplemental Figure S4a) to ensure 402 that the observed differences in the level of phosphopeptides representing dehydrins 403 reflected changes in their phosphorylation status and not differences in their protein 404 level. Additionally, we analyzed the impact of SnRK2.10 on ERD10 and ERD14 405 accumulation in Arabidopsis plants (wt and the snrk2.10 mutants) subjected to salinity stress (150 mM NaCl up to 6 days) at both the transcript and protein levels. 406 407 No significant differences were observed between those lines regarding the ERD10 408 and ERD14 transcript and protein levels, neither in roots nor in leaves, regardless of 409 the duration of the exposure to NaCl. In some experiments, we observed a slightly 410 lower expression of ERD10 and ERD14 in the snrk2.10 mutant lines in comparison to

that in wt; however, these differences were not statistically significant. This shows
that SnRK2.10 is unlikely to significantly modulate the level of those two dehydrins
under salinity stress (Supplemental Figures S4b and S4c).

414

415 ERD10 and ERD14 are Phosphorylated by ABA-non-Activated SnRK2s

416 To verify whether the two dehydrins, ERD10 and ERD14, could indeed be 417 phosphorylated by SnRK2.10, we produced recombinant GST-ERD10 and GST-418 ERD14 in *E. coli* and used them in an *in vitro* phosphorylation assay with SnRK2s 419 representing different groups: SnRK2.10 and SnRK2.4 from group 1, SnRK2.8 from 420 group 2, and SnRK2.6 from group 3. Both dehydrins were strongly phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 and SnRK2.4, significantly less by SnRK2.8, and negligibly by SnRK2.6 421 422 (Figure 2a), indicating that ERD10 and ERD14 might indeed be the physiological 423 targets of group 1 SnRK2s and possibly also of some other kinases but not of the 424 SnRK2s activated by ABA.

425 To identify the dehydrin residues phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 in vitro, the 426 proteins used for the *in vitro* phosphorylation assay were digested with trypsin, and 427 the tryptic peptides were analyzed by LC/MS. Five phosphopeptides were found for 428 ERD10 and three for ERD14 (Figure 2b, Table 2, and Supplemental Figure S5). To 429 establish which of them represent the main phosphorylation sites, we substituted the 430 serines/threonines identified by LC/MS in all repetitions of the experiment (T49 and 431 S106 for ERD10 and S79 for ERD14) with alanines and analyzed the 432 phosphorylation of the mutated forms of dehydrins by recombinant SnRK2.10. The 433 substitution of S106 in ERD10 and S79 in ERD14 (both located in the KLHRSxSSS 434 sequence at the beginning of the S-segment) caused a significant reduction in 435 phosphorylation by SnRK2.10, while the substitution of T49 in ERD10 did not (Figure 436 2c), indicating that S106 and S79 in ERD10 and ERD14, respectively, are the main 437 SnRK2.10 phosphorylation sites in vitro. Despite the fact that the in vitro studies 438 predicted S106 to be the main SnRK2.10 phosphorylation site in ERD10 (and S79 in 439 ERD14), the phosphoproteomic analysis of the *in vivo* phosphorylated proteins 440 (Table 1, Supplemental Table 1 and 2) failed to identify corresponding 441 phosphopeptides. We believe that this result was because the ERD10 phosphopeptide ¹⁰⁴SNSSSSSSDEEGEDGEK¹²¹ is strongly acidic and, as such, 442 would be detected with very low efficiency owing to so-called ionization suppression. 443 444 А similar situation occurs for the ERD14 phosphopeptide

⁷⁷SDSSSSSSEEEGSDGEK⁹⁵. However, in the phosphoproteomic 445 studies Umezawa 446 performed by et al. (2013),the ERD14 peptide ¹⁰¹LHRSDSSSSSSEEEGSDGE¹²⁰ was found to be strongly phosphorylated at 447 448 various residues (including S79) in response to desiccation. However, it was only when the authors used an isotope labeling with $^{16}O/^{18}O$ approach (Umezawa et al., 449 450 2013, supplemental data), whereas, when the label-free method (the method we used) was applied neither this phosphopeptide nor the ERD10 phosphopeptide 451 ¹⁰⁴SNSSSSSSDEEGEDGEK¹²¹ were identified. 452

453

454 ABA-non-activated SnRK2s are the Major Kinases Phosphorylating ERD10 and 455 ERD14 in Arabidopsis Seedlings in Response to Salinity

456 To visualize kinases that might phosphorylate ERD10 and ERD14 in Arabidopsis 457 seedlings exposed to salinity, we conducted an in-gel protein kinase activity assay 458 with GST-ERD10 or GST-ERD14 incorporated into the gel. We analyzed the 459 phosphorylation of GST-ERD10 and GST-ERD14 by proteins extracted from 2-week-460 old Arabidopsis seedlings not exposed and exposed to salinity stress. For analysis, we used the following Arabidopsis lines: wt, single (snrk2.10-1) and multiple 461 (snrk2.4/2.10 and snrk2.1/2.4/2.5/2.10) mutants deficient in SnRK2.10 and some 462 463 other ABA-non-activated SnRK2s. Both dehydrins were clearly phosphorylated by 464 40-42 kDa kinases present in the extract from the wt seedlings treated with 250 mM 465 NaCl for 10 min (Figure 2d and Supplemental Figure S6). This phosphorylation was 466 not found when the extracts from the seedlings not treated with NaCl were analyzed 467 and was significantly decreased when the extracts from all mutants were studied, especially snrk2.1/4/5/10. The results indicate that SnRK2.10 and other ABA-non-468 469 activated SnRK2s phosphorylate ERD10 and ERD14, and they seem to be the major 470 kinases phosphorylating these dehydrins in response to salinity. However, even in 471 the *snrk2.1/4/5/10* mutant, some kinase activity phosphorylating ERD10 and ERD14 472 was still present (Supplemental Figure S6), indicating that in addition to ABA-non-473 activated SnRK2s, there are also other kinases involved in the phosphorylation of 474 dehydrins.

475

476 SnRK2.10 is Involved in Plant Response to Dehydration

477 The ERD14 peptide ¹⁰¹LHRSDSSSSSSEEEGSDGE¹²⁰, identified by our study as 478 being phosphorylated *in vitro* by SnRK2.10, has been found to be strongly 479 phosphorylated in response to desiccation in phosphoproteomic studies of Umezawa 480 et al. (2013). This result suggests that SnRK2.10 might be involved in the regulation 481 of plant sensitivity to water deficits, especially since SnRK2s from group 1 (SnRK2.1, 482 SnRK2.4, SnRK2.5, and SnRK2.10) are activated in Arabidopsis plants subjected to 483 drought stress (Soma et al., 2017). We decided to check whether SnRK2.10 and/or 484 SnRK2.4 (a kinase closely related to SnRK2.10) are involved in the plant response to 485 dehydration. To this end, we measured water loss in detached rosettes of 6-week-old 486 plants differing in their SnRK2 status (Col-0 wt and snrk2.4 and snrk2.10 knockout 487 mutants). The water loss was higher in snrk2.10 mutants (in snrk2.10-1 this 488 difference was particularly significant) than in *snrk2.4* or wild-type plants (Figure 3a), 489 indicating that SnRK2.10, but not SnRK2.4, is involved in the response to 490 dehydration. The RWC of the detached rosettes of all tested plant lines were equal at 491 the beginning of the experiment (time 0 min) in control conditions (Supplemental 492 Figure S6a).

493 Additionally, we analyzed the survival of the Col-0 wt and the snrk2.10 494 knockout mutants under water deprivation conditions (watering was withdrawn for 14 495 days) and after rewatering. The results showed that the *snrk2.10-1* mutant was more 496 sensitive to dehydration than were wt plants (Figure 3b). However, the snrk2.10-3 497 mutant was nearly indistinguishable from wt plants with respect to drought survival 498 (Figure 3b). These data are in line with the water loss results in the detached rosettes 499 as described above. The differences between the phenotypes of the mutants might 500 be due to the differences in the localization of T-DNA insertion; in snrk2.10-1, the 501 insertion is localized within the sixth exon of the SnRK2.10 gene, whereas in 502 snrk2.10-3, it is located at the end of the last exon (Supplemental Figure S7a). This 503 suggests that even though the whole SnRK2.10 transcript is absent in the snrk2.10-3 504 mutant (Supplemental Figure S7b), a shorter version of the transcript (and possibly a 505 truncated version of the protein) might still be present. In the case of the snrk2.10-1 506 mutant, the insertion is localized within the region encoding the kinase domain. 507 Therefore, the functional kinase cannot be produced.

508

509 Dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14 Interact with SnRK2.10 *in planta*

510 To determine whether the dehydrins interact with SnRK2.10 *in planta,* we used the 511 bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay. SnRK2.10 together with 512 ERD10 or ERD14 (each fused to the complementary nonfluorescent fragments of the 513 yellow fluorescence protein, YFP) were transiently produced in the *N. benthamiana* 514 leaves. We observed interactions between the kinase and both dehydrins in the 515 cytoplasm (Figure 4), which confirms that ERD10 and ERD14 interact with SnRK2.10 516 *in planta*.

517

518 **The Phosphorylation of ERD14 Affects its Subcellular Localization**

519 It is well known that at least some dehydrins are phosphorylated and that this 520 modification influences their interaction with other proteins and membranes and 521 might affect their subcellular localization (for review see Rorat, 2006). We investigated the effect of ERD10 and ERD14 phosphorylation on their subcellular 522 523 localization using several independent approaches. One of the approaches was a 524 transient expression system. N. benthamiana leaves were agroinfiltrated with 525 plasmids encoding the wt and mutated forms of dehydrins containing the phosphomimetic substitution (ERD10S106E and ERD14S79E) in fusion with EGFP. 526 527 Additionally, the nonphosphorylatable forms of the proteins (EGFP-ERD10S106A 528 and EGFP-ERD14S79A) were expressed. The subcellular localization of the 529 dehydrins was monitored by confocal microscopy. The results showed that all three 530 forms of EGFP-ERD10 were localized exclusively in the cytoplasm (Figure 5), 531 indicating that the phosphorylation of S106 has no effect on the subcellular 532 localization of ERD10. The EGFP-ERD14 wild type and EGFP-ERD14S79A were 533 also localized in the cytoplasm, whereas EGFP-ERD14S79E was localized in the 534 cytoplasm and nucleus, suggesting that the phosphorylation of S79 might regulate 535 the subcellular localization of ERD14 (Figure 5). Because SnRK2s (catalyzing 536 phosphorylation of S79) are activated in response to salinity and dehydration, we 537 analyzed the subcellular localization of EGFP-ERD10, EGFP-ERD14 and their 538 mutated forms in agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana leaves exposed to 250 mM NaCl. In 539 the leaves exposed to salt stress, all three forms of EGFP-ERD10 were observed 540 exclusively in the cytoplasm, confirming that ERD10 localizes to the cytoplasm and 541 that phosphorylation of S106 has no effect on its localization (Figure 5). Surprisingly, 542 even though NaCl should trigger EGFP-ERD14 phosphorylation, we did not observe 543 the nuclear localization of EGFP-ERD14 upon exposure to NaCl. EGFP-ERD14, 544 similar to EGFP-ERD14S79A, was present in the cytoplasm before and after the salt 545 treatment. Only the EGFP-ERD14S79E variant was present in the nuclei (Figure 5). 546 Because of heterologous expression, detection of ERD14 phosphorylation in tobacco

547 leaves could have been difficult; therefore, we decided to analyze the localization of 548 EGFP-ERD14 and EGFP-ERD14S79E transiently expressed in Arabidopsis 549 protoplasts that were not treated and treated with 250 mM NaCl. Under control 550 conditions (before NaCl treatment), we observed that EGFP-ERD14 was present in 551 approximately 35% of the analyzed protoplasts in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, 552 whereas in 65% of the analyzed protoplasts, it was present only in the cytoplasm 553 (Figure 6a). The percentage of the protoplasts with the nuclear localization of EGFP-554 ERD14 was increased by approximately 5% after NaCl application. EGFP-555 ERD14S79E was localized in the nucleus in all the examined cells, both under 556 control and salinity stress conditions (Figure 6a). Notably, in both the Arabidopsis 557 protoplasts and the N. benthamiana leaves exposed to NaCl, we observed EGFP-ERD14 within the membrane of large vesicles resembling "bulbs", as described 558 559 previously by Saito et al. (2002; 2011) (Figure 5b and 6a), suggesting that EGFP-ERD14 is involved in salt-induced membrane remodeling. 560

561 To confirm that S79 phosphorylation triggers ERD14 nuclear localization, we generated Arabidopsis transgenic plants expressing EGFP-ERD14 or EGFP-562 563 ERD14S79E. Although the transgene expression was under the control of the 35S 564 promoter, EGFP-ERD14 and EGFP-ERD14S79E were mainly visible in the young 565 roots. In control conditions, GFP-ERD14 was present in the root's cell proliferation 566 and cell elongation zones exclusively in the cytoplasm. Only in the differentiation 567 zone, apart from the cytoplasm, was EGFP-ERD14 occasionally present in the nuclei. 568 Following salt application, we observed the EGFP-ERD14 signal in the nuclei of not 569 only the root's cell differentiation zone but also in the elongation zone. In the 570 differentiation zone, the nuclear localization of EGFP-ERD14 was observed in more 571 cells than it was in the elongation zone (Figure 6b). However, we were not able to 572 observe EGFP-ERD14 in the nuclei of highly proliferating cells. EGFP-ERD14 was 573 present in these cells only in the cytoplasm and in close proximity to membranes. 574 The results presented by McLoughlin et al. (2012) showed that in transgenic plants 575 expressing SnRK2.10-YFP under the control of the SnRK2.10 promoter, SnRK2.10-576 YFP was not detectable in the root tip. It was predominantly present in the distal root 577 tissue. Our results indicate that the nuclear localization of ERD14 coincides with the 578 presence of SnRK2.10.

579 The localization of EGFP-ERD14S79E was not dependent on the root zone or 580 experimental conditions as it was always present in both the cytoplasm and the 581 nucleus (Figure 5 and 6).

582 Moreover, as in the case of the transiently expressed EGFP-ERD14, following 583 salt application, we observed the presence of EGFP-ERD14 close to the plasma 584 membrane and in the membrane structures resembling "bulbs" (Figure 6a).

585

586 **Discussion**

587

588 SnRK2s are plant-specific kinases involved in the response to osmotic stress 589 caused by drought or salinity. Group 1 kinases of the SnRK2 family are activated 590 rapidly upon hyperosmotic stress (Burza et al., 2006; McLoughlin et al., 2012; Soma 591 et al., 2017), indicating that they likely have a key role in the response to this stress. 592 However, detailed information concerning this issue is still limited. To fill this gap, we 593 undertook the identification of proteins phosphorylated by one of the ABA-non-594 activated SnRK2s, SnRK2.10. This particular kinase was chosen since it is clearly 595 involved in the salinity stress response (McLoughlin et al., 2012), and it is the only 596 group 1 SnRK2 with an exclusively cytoplasmic localization; the others localize to the 597 cytoplasm and nucleus (Kulik et al., 2012; Soma et al., 2017 and Supplemental 598 Figure S1). We, therefore, reasoned that the role of SnRK2.10 would not fully overlap 599 that of the other Arabidopsis SnRK2s. This assumption is in agreement with the 600 results of McLoughlin et al. (2012), who showed different functions of SnRK2.10 and 601 SnRK2.4 in roots in response to salinity, and our data presented here indicate that 602 SnRK2.10, but not SnRK2.4, plays a protective role in the plant response to drought. 603 The data presented by Soma et al. (2017) (in the supplemental material) showing 604 that drought survival and water loss rates were similar in *snrk2.1/4/5/10* mutant and 605 wild-type plants combined with our present data showing a higher water loss from the 606 rosettes of a *snrk2.10* mutant when compared with that of the wild type or a *snrk2.4* 607 mutant additionally suggest that SnRK2.10 plays a role that does not fully overlap 608 with the other SnRK2s.

609 By comparing the phosphoproteome of wt, *snrk2.10*, and Arabidopsis 610 overexpressing *GFP-SnRK2.10*, we identified 95 proteins likely phosphorylated from 611 the result of SnRK2.10 activity in response to salinity stress. Among them were DNA-

and RNA-binding proteins, protein kinases and phosphatases, several enzymesinvolved in plant metabolism, and dehydrins.

614 Dehydrins attracted our attention because they play an important role not only 615 during the last phase of embryogenesis and the desiccation stage of seed 616 development (Kalemba and Pukacka, 2007) but also in the plant response and 617 acclimation to harsh environmental conditions, especially dehydration caused by 618 drought, cold, freezing or salinity (for review see Hanin et al., 2011; Kosová et al., 619 2014). Dehydrins constitute group 2 of the late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) 620 protein family. They are intrinsically disordered proteins that accumulate in high 621 levels in plant cells in response to abiotic stresses and that play a protective role as 622 molecular chaperones for membranes, proteins and nucleic acids (for review see 623 Hara, 2010; Hanin et al., 2011; Graether and Boddington, 2014; Liu et al., 2017). 624 Dehydrins are divided into five subgroups: K_n, SK_n, K_nS, Y_nSK_n, and Y_nK_n, where K is the sequence EKKGIME/DKIKEKLPG (or a similar sequence) rich in basic amino 625 626 acids characteristic of all dehydrins (present in 1 to 11 copies), S is a serine-rich segment (with a stretch of 4-10 serine residues), and Y is the segment that contains 627 628 the (V/T)D(E/Q)YGNP motif.

629 Dehydrins are highly phosphorylated proteins, and some data indicate that not 630 only their level but also their phosphorylation status is important for stress tolerance. 631 The accumulation of the phosphorylated form of wheat DHN-5 dehydrin (closely 632 related to maize Rab17) was observed in a variety of Tunisian durum resistant to salt 633 and drought stress, while in the sensitive variety, it was weakly detected (Brini et al., 634 2007). This suggests a positive role for DHN-5 dehydrin phosphorylation in the plant 635 response to osmotic stress. Phosphorylation of Thellungiella salsuginea dehydrins 636 TsDHN-1 and TsDHN-2 has been shown to be important for stabilizing the 637 cytoskeleton under stress conditions (Rahman et al., 2011), and a phosphoproteomic 638 analysis performed by Yang et al. (2013) in the root tips of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. 639 showed that phosphorylation of several dehydrins was significantly enhanced under 640 polyethylene glycol-induced osmotic stress.

An analysis of SnRK2.10 substrate specificity has indicated that some dehydrins might be its targets (Vlad et al., 2008). Our phosphoproteomic data showed enhanced phosphorylation of two acidic dehydrins belonging to the K_nS subgroup in response to salinity and suggested that SnRK2.10 catalyzes this phosphorylation. Phosphorylated peptides derived from dehydrins ERD10 and 646 ERD14 were observed in the root extracts of wild-type plants and plants expressing 647 GFP-SnRK2.10 but not in the extracts of the *snrk2.10* mutant. In-gel kinase activity 648 assays with GST-ERD10 and GST-ERD14 as substrates confirmed their 649 phosphorylation by SnRK2.10 and other ABA-non-activated SnRK2s in response to 650 salt stress.

651 GST-ERD10 and GST-ERD14 were also strongly phosphorylated by 652 SnRK2.10 in vitro, slightly less affected by another ABA-non-activated kinase, SnRK2.4, and practically not affected by the ABA-dependent kinase, SnRK2.6. The 653 654 analysis of *in vitro* phosphorylation by SnRK2.10 showed several phosphorylated 655 residues, and site-directed mutagenesis defined one preferentially phosphorylated 656 residue in each of the dehydrins studied that was localized in a cluster of serines of 657 the S-segment. In both ERD14 and ERD10, the preferentially phosphorylated serine 658 lies in the sequence KLHRSxSSS, which is in full agreement with the SnRK2.10 659 phosphorylation consensus described by Vlad et al. (2008). Notably, the sequence 660 LHRSxS(4-10)E/D(3) is conserved in all dehydrins.

There are numerous studies concerning the phosphorylation of the S-segment 661 662 of dehydrins (for a review, see Rorat, 2006; Hanin et al., 2011; Graether and 663 Boddington, 2014) claimed to be catalyzed by CK2 protein kinase (Alsheikh et al., 664 2003 and 2005). However, it has not been proven that CK2 is the only kinase that 665 phosphorylates dehydrins in vivo; quite the opposite. Phosphorylation of maize 666 dehydrin Rab17 (Responsive to ABA 17), also known as DHN1, in plant cells is 667 performed not only by CK2 but also by another kinase(s) (not yet identified) (Riera et al., 2004). The tomato TAS14 protein, a homolog of maize Rab17, is phosphorylated 668 in vivo by at least two kinases, CK2 and a kinase whose substrate specificity 669 670 resembles that of cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA); in vitro PKA efficiently 671 phosphorylated Rab17 (Godoy et al., 1994). It should be noted that PKA recognizes 672 and phosphorylates the R/K-X-X-S/T motif, which is also efficiently phosphorylated 673 by SnRK2s. Therefore, we suggest that both CK2 and SnRK2 might phosphorylate 674 dehydrins in their S-segment in response to osmotic stress (salinity or dehydration). 675 Since the ABA-non-activated SnRK2s are activated very rapidly in response to 676 osmotic stress (SnRK2.4/SnRK2.10 are fully active within the first few minutes 677 following stressor application; McLoughlin et al., 2012), SnRK2.10 and possibly some 678 other SnRK2s likely phosphorylate dehydrins at the very early stages of the plant 679 response to stress. This modification could trigger subsequent phosphorylation

680 carried out by, e.g., CK2. It has been shown that phosphorylation in the S-segment of 681 Arabidopsis ERD10 and ERD14 (Alsheikh et al., 2003; 2005), as well as of celery vacuolar-associated dehydrin-like protein VCaB45 (Heyen et al., 2002), promotes 682 683 binding of bivalent metal ions, especially calcium. Those authors analyzed the 684 calcium binding properties of recombinant ERD10 and ERD14 phosphorylated in 685 vitro by CK2 (Alsheikh et al., 2003; 2005) or VCaB45 isolated from plants not treated 686 or treated with phosphatase and rephosphorylated with CK2 (Heyen et al., 2002). 687 Their results clearly showed enhanced calcium binding by phosphorylated ERD10 688 and ERD14. In the case of VCaB45, the binding was the strongest for the protein 689 isolated from the plants not treated with phosphatase and was practically abolished 690 for dephosphorylated VCaB45. The phosphorylation by CK2 only partially restored 691 the calcium binding ability of the protein (Heyen et al., 2002), indicating that in vivo 692 VCaB45 is phosphorylated by another kinase(s). The authors suggested that in the 693 phosphorylated state, the dehydrins ERD10, ERD14 and VCaB45 could act as calcium buffers since their Ca²⁺ binding capacity was rather high, or they could play a 694 695 role as calcium-dependent chaperones, similar to calreticulin and calnexin (Nigam et 696 al., 1994; Michalak et al., 2002). Since enhanced ERD10 and ERD14 697 phosphorylation has been observed in plants exposed to desiccation (Umezawa et 698 al., 2013) or salt stress (our results), we can expect that the dehydrins 699 phosphorylation by SnRK2s might modulate calcium signaling in response to osmotic 700 stress.

Beside calcium, zinc and iron are also strongly bound by phosphorylated ERD10 and ERD14 (Alsheik, 2005). There is evidence that zinc and other divalent cations promote DNA binding by dehydrins (Hara et al., 2009). Therefore, even though DNA binding by ERD14 has not been shown, we can consider that phosphorylation might regulate subcellular localization of the dehydrin and have an impact on its possible nucleic acid binding ability.

Several reports have indicated that phosphorylation of the S-segment is important for the nuclear targeting of dehydrins and for the regulation of their association with membranes (Rorat, 2006; Hanin et al., 2011; Graether and Boddington, 2014). It is widely accepted that dehydrins are localized in various cellular compartments, mainly in the cytoplasm and nucleus but also in mitochondria or chloroplasts (for review see Graether and Boddington, 2014). It has been shown that the localization of some dehydrins depends on their phosphorylation. The best

714 example is the maize dehydrin Rab17 from the YSK₂ group. Goday et al. (1994) have 715 shown that the phosphorylation status of maize Rab17 correlates with its nuclear 716 localization. Rab17 phosphorylated in the S-segment is transported to the nucleus 717 (Jensen et al., 1998; Riera et al., 2004). The results of Riera et al. (2004) have 718 revealed that Rab17 phosphorylation delayed seed germination in salinity stress 719 conditions. The subcellular localization of acidic dehydrins SK₂ and SK₃ is 720 controversial. ERD10 and ERD14 have been described as cytosolic (Rorat, 2006; 721 Candat et al., 2014; Cedeno et al., 2017) even though several programs predicted 722 their cytosolic/nuclear localization (Candat et al., 2014). Dehydrin DHN24 from 723 Solanum sogarandinum, which is similar to ERD14, has also been considered an 724 exclusively cytoplasmic protein (Rorat, 2006). Only recently has it been shown that 725 DHN24 is present not only in the cytoplasm but also in the nucleus and the 726 microsomal fraction (Szabala et al., 2014). Our results suggest that phosphorylation 727 of S79, the first serine of the serine stretch in the S-segment, plays a role in the 728 transport of ERD14 from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. We found that the 729 phosphorylation of S79 is catalyzed by SnRK2.10 (or other ABA-non-activated 730 SnRK2s), but we do not exclude its phosphorylation by protein kinases belonging to 731 other families or that phosphorylation by SnRK2s enhances phosphorylation by other 732 kinases. Moreover, we do not exclude that phosphorylation of other serines in the S-733 segment might also be involved in the regulation of the subcellular localization of 734 ERD14. Candat et al. (2014) observed an exclusively cytoplasmic localization of LEA 735 proteins (including ERD10 and ERD14), but their experiments were performed under 736 normal osmolarity conditions only. Cedeno et al. (2017) analyzed ERD10 and EDR14 737 localization in control, cold, and mild osmotic stress conditions; however, the osmotic 738 stress applied was too weak to cause efficient SnRK2 activation. Moreover, they 739 used a heterologous expression system for the production of Arabidopsis proteins: 740 transient expression in N. benthamiana leaves. We also did not observe the 741 translocation of EGFP-ERD14 to the nucleus expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves 742 upon salt application. Furthermore, even when EGFP-ERD14 was transiently 743 expressed in Arabidopsis protoplasts or stably expressed in Arabidopsis plants, we 744 did not observe nuclear localization in response to salt in every protoplast/cell 745 monitored but only in some of them. In the transgenic plants expressing EGFP-746 ERD14, we did not observe the nuclear localization of EGFP-ERD14 in the highly 747 proliferating cells of the root tip either before or after the salt treatment. It should be

748 emphasized here that SnRK2.10 is absent from root tips (McLoughlin et al., 2012). 749 We were able to observe the nuclear localization of EGFP-ERD14 only in some (not 750 all) of the cells of the root's elongation and differentiation zones in the seedlings 751 expressing EGFP-ERD14 subjected to salt stress. In plant cells, most likely only a 752 small pool of dehydrins is phosphorylated and transported to the nucleus, even in 753 response to stress, while their majority stays in the cytoplasm to protect membrane 754 and cytoplasmic proteins. In contrast, in all systems studied, we observed the nuclear 755 localization of the mutated variant of EGFP-ERD14, EGFP-ERD14S79E, where S79 756 was substituted with glutamic acid, indicating that phosphorylation within the S-757 segment might trigger dehydrin transport to the nucleus. We can conjecture that 758 ERD14 localized in the nucleus could protect DNA/RNA against oxidative stress 759 generated in response to osmotic stress.

760 Additionally, EGFP-ERD14 was present in "bulb-like" structures that were much more numerous in NaCI-treated Arabidopsis and tobacco cells than in the 761 762 control ones. Saito et al. (2002; 2011) described similar structures formed by mobile continuous vacuolar membranes. It is worth mentioning that celery dehydrin VCaB45 763 764 is associated with vacuolar membranes (Heyen et al., 2002), and ERD14 is the only 765 Arabidopsis dehydrin recognized by anti-VCaB45 antibodies (Heyen et al., 2002), 766 suggesting similarities between these two proteins. Our results indicate that in 767 response to salinity, ERD14 associates with specific membranous structures, but this 768 issue needs further study.

769 In conclusion, we have demonstrated that SnRK2.10 is involved in the 770 Arabidopsis response not only to salinity but also to dehydration and have identified 771 its numerous target proteins, including dehydrins ERD10 and ERD14. These 772 dehydrins are phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 and possibly also by other ABA-non-773 activated SnRK2s in response to stress. The major sites of SnRK2.10 774 phosphorylation in both dehydrins were identified. The SnRK2 phosphorylation sites 775 are present in all dehydrins, suggesting the universal nature of this modification. We 776 also showed that ERD14 phosphorylation in the S-segment might be involved in its nuclear import. Although, the physiological role of ERD14 and ERD10 777 778 phosphorylation in the plant response to abiotic stresses remains unclear, we can 779 assume that it has an impact on their chaperone activity and, as a consequence, 780 membrane, protein and possibly also nucleic acids stability. Moreover.

phosphorylation might create some specificity in the selection of dehydrin targets in
response to salinity or drought. Further studies should verify these assumptions.

783

784 Acknowledgements

785

786 We are grateful to Dr. J. Fronk for critically reading the manuscript. We thank Prof. 787 sharing the snrk2.4-1 (SALK_080588), Christa Testerink for snrk2.4-2 788 (SALK_146522), snrk2.10-1 (WiscDsLox233E9), and snrk2.4-1/2.10-1 knockout 789 mutants and the Arabidopsis lines expressing GFP-SnRK2.10 with us. We also thank the Salk Institute Genomic Analysis Laboratory and NASC for providing the 790 791 sequence-indexed Arabidopsis TDNA insertion mutants. We are grateful to Adrian 792 Kasztelan for sharing with us plant material and all members of our laboratory for 793 stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the National Science Centre 794 (grants: 2011/03/B/NZ3/00297, 2014/13/D/NZ3/03101, 2014/12/S/NZ3/00746 and 795 2016/23/B/NZ3/03182).

796

797 **Conflict of Interest**

798

The authors claim no conflict of interest.

800

801 References

802

Alonso J.M., Stepanova A.N., Leisse T.J., Kim C.J., Chen H., Shinn P., ... Ecker J.R.
(2003) Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 301, 653–657.

- Alsheikh M.K., Heyen B.J. & Randall S.K. (2003) Ion Binding Properties of the
 Dehydrin ERD14 Are Dependent upon Phosphorylation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278, 40882–40889.
- Alsheikh M.K., Svensson J.T. & Randall S.K. (2005) Phosphorylation regulated ion binding is a property shared by the acidic subclass dehydrins. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 28, 1114–1122.
- Bouchabke O., Chang F., Simon M., Voisin R., Pelletier G. & Durand-Tardif M.
 (2008) Natural variation in Arabidopsis thaliana as a tool for highlighting
 differential drought responses. *PLoS ONE* 3, e1705.

- Boudsocq M., Barbier-Brygoo H. & Laurière C. (2004) Identification of nine sucrose
 nonfermenting 1-related protein kinases 2 activated by hyperosmotic and
 saline stresses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 279,
 41758–41766.
- Brini F., Hanin M., Lumbreras V., Irar S., Pages M., Masmoudi K. (2007) Functional
 characterization of DHN-5, a dehydrin showing a differential phosphorylation
 pattern in two Tunisian durum wheat (Triticum durum Desf.) varieties with
 marked differences in salt and drought tolerance. *Plant Science* 172, 20-28.
- Bucholc M., Ciesielski A., Goch G., Anielska-Mazur A., Kulik A., Krzywińska E. &
 Dobrowolska G. (2011) SNF1-related protein kinases 2 are negatively
 regulated by a plant-specific calcium sensor. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286, 3429–3441.
- Burza A.M., Pekala I., Sikora J., Siedlecki P., Malagocki P., Bucholc M., ...
 Dobrowolska G. (2006) Nicotiana tabacum osmotic stress-activated kinase is
 regulated by phosphorylation on Ser-154 and Ser-158 in the kinase
 activation loop. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 281, 34299–34311.
- Candat A., Paszkiewicz G., Neveu M., Gautier R., Logan D.C., Avelange-Macherel
 M.-H. & Macherel D. (2014) The ubiquitous distribution of late
 embryogenesis abundant proteins across cell compartments in Arabidopsis
 offers tailored protection against abiotic stress. *The Plant Cell* 26, 3148–3166.
 Cedeno C., Pauwels K. & Tompa P. (2017) Protein Delivery into Plant Cells: Toward
- 836 In vivo Structural Biology. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8, 519.
- Cho HY, Wen TN, Wang YT, Shih MC. (2016) Quantitative phosphoproteomics of
 protein kinase SnRK1 regulated protein phosphorylation in Arabidopsis under
 submergence. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 67, 2745-2760.
- Chou M.F. & Schwartz D. (2011) Biological sequence motif discovery using motif-x.
 Current Protocols in Bioinformatics 35, 13.15.1-13.15.24.
- 842 Clough S.J. & Bent A.F. (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*843 mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Journal* 16, 735-743.
- Czechowski T., Stitt M., Altmann T., Udvardi M.K. & Scheible W.-R. (2005) Genomewide identification and testing of superior reference genes for transcript
 normalization in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* 139, 5-17.
- Ellouzi H., Hamed K. Ben, Cela J., Müller M., Abdelly C. & Munné-Bosch S. (2013) Increased sensitivity to salt stress in tocopherol-deficient Arabidopsis

- 849 mutants growing in a hydroponic system. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 8, 850 e23136.
- Fujii H., Verslues P.E. & Zhu J.-K. (2007) Identification of two protein kinases
 required for abscisic acid regulation of seed germination, root growth, and
 gene expression in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 19, 485–494.
- Fujii H. & Zhu J.-K. (2009) Arabidopsis mutant deficient in 3 abscisic acid-activated
 protein kinases reveals critical roles in growth, reproduction, and stress. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106, 8380–8385.
- Fujii H., Verslues P.E. & Zhu J.-K. (2011) Arabidopsis decuple mutant reveals the
 importance of SnRK2 kinases in osmotic stress responses in vivo. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 1717–1722.
- Fujii H. & Zhu J.-K. (2012) Osmotic stress signaling via protein kinases. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 69, 3165–3173.
- Fujita Y., Nakashima K., Yoshida T., Katagiri T., Kidokoro S., Kanamori N., ...
 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2009) Three SnRK2 protein kinases are the main
 positive regulators of abscisic acid signaling in response to water stress in
 arabidopsis. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 50, 2123–2132.
- Furihata T., Maruyama K., Fujita Y., Umezawa T., Yoshida R., Shinozaki K. &
 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2006) Abscisic acid-dependent multisite
 phosphorylation regulates the activity of a transcription activator AREB1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 1988–1993.
- Geiger D., Scherzer S., Mumm P., Stange A., Marten I., Bauer H., ... Hedrich R.
 (2009) Activity of guard cell anion channel SLAC1 is controlled by droughtstress signaling kinase-phosphatase pair. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106, 21425–30.
- Goday A., Jensen A. B., Culiáñez-Macià F. A, Mar Albà M., Figueras M., Serratosa J.,
 Pagès M. (1994) The maize abscisic acid-responsive protein Rab17 is
 located in the nucleus and interacts with nuclear localization signals. *The Plant Cell* 6, 351–360.
- Godoy J.A., Lunar R., Torres-Schumann S., Moreno J., Rodrigo R.M. & Pintor-Toro
 J.A. (1994) Expression, tissue distribution and subcellular localization of

dehydrin TAS14 in salt-stressed tomato plants. *Plant Molecular Biology*26,1921-1934.

Graczyk D., Debski J., Muszyńska G., Bretner M., Lefebvre O. & Boguta M. (2011)
Casein kinase II-mediated phosphorylation of general repressor Maf1
triggers RNA polymerase III activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 4926-4931.

Graether S.P. & Boddington K.F. (2014) Disorder and function: a review of the
 dehydrin protein family. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5, 1–12.

- Grondin a, Rodrigues O., Verdoucq L., Merlot S., Leonhardt N. & Maurel C. (2015)
 Aquaporins Contribute to ABA-Triggered Stomatal Closure through OST1 Mediated Phosphorylation. *The Plant Cell* 27, 1945–1954.
- Hanin M., Brini F., Ebel C., Toda Y., Takeda S. & Masmoudi K. (2011) Plant
 dehydrins and stress tolerance: versatile proteins for complex mechanisms. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 6, 1503–1509.
- Hara M., Shinoda Y., Tanaka Y., Kuboi T. (2009) DNA binding of citrus dehydrin
 promoted by zinc ion. *Plan, Cell and Environment* 32, 532-541.
- Hara M. (2010) The multifunctionality of dehydrins: an overview. *Plant Signaling & Behavior* 5, 503-508.
- Harrison S.J., Mott E.K., Parsley K., Aspinall S., Gray J.C., Cottage A. (2006) A rapid
 and roboust method of identifying transformed *Arabidopsis thaliana* seedlings
 following floral dip transformation. *Plant Methods* 2, 19
- He P., Shan L. & Sheen J. (2007) The use of protoplasts to study innate immune
 responses. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 354, 1–9.
- Heyen B.J., Alsheikh M.K., Smith E.A., Torvik C.F., Seals D.F. & Randall S.K. (2002)
 The calcium-binding activity of a vacuole-associated, dehydrin-like protein is
 regulated by phosphorylation. *Plant Physiology* 130, 675–687.
- Jensen A.B., Goday A., Figueras M., Jessop A.C. & Pagés M. (1998)
 Phosphorylation mediates the nuclear targeting of the maize Rab17 protein. *Plant Journal* 13, 691–697.
- Kalemba E.M. & Pukacka S. (2007). Possible roles of LEA proteins and sHSPs in
 seed protection: a short review. *Biology Letters.* 44, 3–16
- 814 Kelner A., Pekala I., Kaczanowski S., Muszynska G., Hardie D.G. & Dobrowolska G.
- 915 (2004) Biochemical characterization of the tobacco 42-kD protein kinase
 916 activated by osmotic stress. *Plant Physiology* 136, 3255-3265.

- Kim M.J., Park M.-J., Seo P.J., Song J.-S., Kim H.-J. & Park C.-M. (2012) Controlled
 nuclear import of the transcription factor NTL6 reveals a cytoplasmic role of
 SnRK2.8 in the drought-stress response. *The Biochemical Journal* 448, 353–
 363.
- Kobayashi Y., Yamamoto S., Minami H., Kagaya Y. & Hattori T. (2004) Differential
 activation of the rice sucrose nonfermenting1-related protein kinase2 family
 by hyperosmotic stress and abscisic acid. *The Plant Cell* 16, 1163–1177.
- Kobayashi Y., Murata M., Minami H., Yamamoto S., Kagaya Y., Hobo T., ... Hattori T.
 (2005) Abscisic acid-activated SNRK2 protein kinases function in the generegulation pathway of ABA signal transduction by phosphorylating ABA
 response element-binding factors. *Plant Journal* 44, 939–949.
- Kosová K., Vítámvás P. & Prášil I.T. (2014) Wheat and barley dehydrins under cold,
 drought, and salinity what can LEA-II proteins tell us about plant stress
 response? *Frontiers in Plant Science* 5, 343.
- Krzywińska E., Bucholc M., Kulik A., Ciesielski A., Lichocka M., Dębski J.,
 ...Dobrowolska G. (2016) Phosphatase ABI1 and okadaic acid-sensitive
 phosphoprotein phosphatases inhibit salt stress-activated SnRK2.4 kinase. *BMC Plant Biology* 16, 136.
- Kulik A., Wawer I., Krzywińska E., Bucholc M. & Dobrowolska G. (2011) SnRK2
 Protein Kinases—Key Regulators of Plant Response to Abiotic Stresses. *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology* 15, 859–872.
- Kulik A., Anielska-Mazur A., Bucholc M., Koen E., Szymanska K., Zmienko A., ...
 Dobrowolska G. (2012) SNF1-related protein kinases type 2 are involved in
 plant responses to cadmium stress. *Plant Physiology* 160, 868–883.
- Lee H.-J., Park Y.-J., Seo P.J., Kim J.-H., Sim H.-J., Kim S.-G. & Park C.-M. (2015)
 Systemic Immunity Requires SnRK2.8-Mediated Nuclear Import of NPR1 in
 Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 27, 3425-3438.
- Lee S.C., Lan W., Buchanan B.B. & Luan S. (2009) A protein kinase-phosphatase
 pair interacts with an ion channel to regulate ABA signaling in plant guard
 cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*of America 106, 21419–21424.
- Liu Y, Song Q, Li D, Yang X. & Li D. (2017) Multifunctional Roles of Plant Dehydrins
 in Response to Environmental Stresses. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8, 1018.
- 950 Martin K., Kopperud K., Chakrabarty R., Banerjee R., Brooks R. & Goodin M.M.

951 (2009) Transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana fluorescent marker
952 lines provides enhanced definition of protein localization, movement and
953 interactions in planta. *The Plant Journal* 59, 150–162.

McLoughlin F., Galvan-Ampudia C.S., Julkowska M.M., Caarls L., van der Does D.,
Lauriere C., ... Testerink C. (2012) The Snf1-related protein kinases
SnRK2.4 and SnRK2.10 are involved in maintenance of root system
architecture during salt stress. *The Plant Journal* 72, 436–449.

Michalak M., Robert Parker J.M. & Opas M. (2002) Ca²⁺ signaling and calcium
 binding chaperones of the endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell Calcium* 32, 269-278.

Mikula M., Gaj P., Dzwonek K., Rubel T., Karczmarski J., Paziewska A., ... Ostrowski
 J. (2010) Comprehensive analysis of the palindromic motif TCTCGCGAGA: a
 regulatory element of the HNRNPK promoter. *DNA Research* 17, 245-260.

Mizoguchi M., Umezawa T., Nakashima K., Kidokoro S., Takasaki H., Fujita Y., ...
Shinozaki K. (2010) Two closely related subclass II SnRK2 protein kinases
cooperatively regulate drought-inducible gene expression. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 51, 842–847.

Nakashima K., Fujita Y., Kanamori N., Katagiri T., Umezawa T., Kidokoro S., ...
Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2009) Three Arabidopsis SnRK2 protein kinases,
SRK2D/SnRK2.2, SRK2E/SnRK2.6/OST1 and SRK2I/SnRK2.3, involved in
ABA signaling are essential for the control of seed development and
dormancy. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 50, .345-363.

Nigam S.K., Goldberg A.L., Ho S., Rohde M.F., Bush K.T. & MYu S. (1994) A set of
endoplasmic reticulum proteins possessing properties of molecular
chaperones includes Ca(2+)-binding proteins and members of the
thioredoxin superfamily. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 269, 1744–1749.
Nukarinen E., Nägele T., Pedrotti L., Wurzinger B., Mair A., Landgraf R.,
...Weckwerth W. (2016) Quantitative phosphoproteomics reveals the role of

978the AMPK plant ortholog SnRK1 as a metabolic master regulator under979energy deprivation. Scentific Reports 6, 31697.

- Peirats-Llobet M., Han S.K., Gonzalez-Guzman M., Jeong C.W., Rodriguez L.,
 Belda-Palazon B., ... Rodriguez P.L. (2016) A Direct Link between Abscisic
 Acid Sensing and the Chromatin-Remodeling ATPase BRAHMA via Core
 ABA Signaling Pathway Components. *Molecular Plant* 9, 136–147.
- Rahman L.N., Smith G.S.T., Bamm V. V, Voyer-Grant J.A.M., Moffatt B.A., Dutcher

- J.R. & Harauz G. (2011) Phosphorylation of Thellungiella salsuginea
 dehydrins TsDHN-1 and TsDHN-2 facilitates cation-induced conformational
 changes and actin assembly. *Biochemistry* 50, 9587–9604.
- Riera M., Figueras M., López C., Goday A. & Pagès M. (2004) Protein kinase CK2
 modulates developmental functions of the abscisic acid responsive protein
 Rab17 from maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101, 9879–9884.
- Rorat T. (2006) Plant dehydrins--tissue location, structure and function. *Cellular & Molecular Biology Letters* 11, 536–556.
- Saito C., Ueda T., Abe H., Wada Y., Kuroiwa T., Hisada A., ... Nakano A. (2002) A
 complex and mobile structure forms a distinct subregion within the
 continuous vacuolar membrane in young cotyledons of Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 29, 245–255.
- Saito C., Uemura T., Awai C., Tominaga M., Ebine K., Ito J., ... Nakano A. (2011)
 The occurrence of "bulbs", a complex configuration of the vacuolar
 membrane, is affected by mutations of vacuolar SNARE and phospholipase
 in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 68, 64–73.
- 1002 Sato A., Sato Y., Fukao Y., Fujiwara M., Umezawa T., Shinozaki K., ... Uozumi N. 1003 (2009) Threonine at position 306 of the KAT1 potassium channel is essential 1004 activity and is а site for for channel target ABA-activated 1005 SnRK2/OST1/SnRK2.6 protein kinase. The Biochemical Journal 424, 439-1006 448.
- Schwartz D. & Gygi S.P. (2005) An iterative statistical approach to the identification
 of protein phosphorylation motifs from large-scale data sets. *Nature Biotechnology* 23, 1391–1398.
- Sessions A., Burke E., Presting G., Aux G., McElver J., Patton D., ... Goff S.A.
 (2002) A High-Throughput Arabidopsis Reverse Genetics System. *The Plant Cell* 14, 2985-2994.
- 1013Shin R., Alvarez S., Burch A.Y., Jez J.M. & Schachtman D.P. (2007)1014Phosphoproteomic identification of targets of the Arabidopsis sucrose1015nonfermenting-like kinase SnRK2.8 reveals a connection to metabolic1016processes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United1017States of America 104, 6460-6465.
- 1018 Sirichandra C., Gu D., Hu H.-C., Davanture M., Lee S., Djaoui M., ... Kwak J.M.

- 1019 (2009) Phosphorylation of the Arabidopsis AtrbohF NADPH oxidase by OST1
 1020 protein kinase. *FEBS Letters* 583, 2982–2986.
- Soma F., Mogami J., Yoshida T., Abekura M., Takahashi F., Kidokoro S., ...
 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2017) ABA-unresponsive SnRK2 protein kinases
 regulate mRNA decay under osmotic stress in plants. *Nature Plants* 3, 16204.

Szabala B.M., Fudali S. & Rorat T. (2014) Accumulation of acidic SK3 dehydrins in
phloem cells of cold- and drought-stressed plants of the Solanaceae. *Planta*239, 847–863.

- 1027Tsugita A. & Kamo M. (1999) 2-D electrophoresis of plant proteins. Methods in1028Molecular Biology 112, 95-97.
- Umezawa T., Yoshida R., Maruyama K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. & Shinozaki K.
 (2004) SRK2C, a SNF1-related protein kinase 2, improves drought tolerance
 by controlling stress-responsive gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101, 17306–17311.
- 1033 Umezawa T., Nakashima K., Miyakawa T., Kuromori T., Tanokura M., Shinozaki K. &
 1034 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2010) Molecular basis of the core regulatory
 1035 network in ABA responses: Sensing, signaling and transport. *Plant and Cell* 1036 *Physiology* 51, 1821–1839.
- 1037 Umezawa T., Sugiyama N., Takahashi F., Anderson J.C., Ishihama Y., Peck S.C. &
 1038 Shinozaki K. (2013) Genetics and phosphoproteomics reveal a protein
 1039 phosphorylation network in the abscisic acid signaling pathway in
 1040 Arabidopsis thaliana. *Science Signaling* 6, rs8.
- 1041 Vlad F., Turk B.E., Peynot P., Leung J. & Merlot S. (2008) A versatile strategy to
 1042 define the phosphorylation preferences of plant protein kinases and screen
 1043 for putative substrates. *The Plant Journal* 55, 104–117.
- Wang P., Xue L., Batelli G., Lee S., Hou Y.-J., Van Oosten M.J., ... Zhu J.-K. (2013)
 Quantitative phosphoproteomics identifies SnRK2 protein kinase substrates
 and reveals the effectors of abscisic acid action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110, 11205–11210.
- Wessel D. & Fuge U.I. (1984) A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in
 dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Analytical Biochemistry* 138, 141-143.
- 1051Woody S.T., Austin-Phillips S., Amasino R.M. & Krysan P.J. (2007) The WiscDsLox1052T-DNA collection: an arabidopsis community resource generated by using an

1053 improved high-throughput T-DNA sequencing pipeline. Journal of Plant
1054 Research 120,157-165.

- Yamada H., Koizumi N., Nakamichi N., Kiba T., Yamashino T.& Mizuno
 T.(2004)Rapid response of Arabidopsis T87 cultured cells to cytokinin
 through His-to-Asp phosphorelay signal transduction. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemisty.* 68, 1966-1976.
- Yang Z.-B., Eticha D., Fuhrs H., Heintz D., Ayoub D., Van Dorsselaer A., ... Horst
 W.J. (2013) Proteomic and phosphoproteomic analysis of polyethylene
 glycol-induced osmotic stress in root tips of common bean (Phaseolus
 vulgaris L.). *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 5569–5586.
- Yoshida T., Fujita Y., Maruyama K., Mogami J., Todaka D., Shinozaki K. &
 Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K. (2015) Four Arabidopsis AREB/ABF transcription
 factors function predominantly in gene expression downstream of SnRK2
 kinases in abscisic acid signalling in response to osmotic stress. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 38, 35–49.
- 1068Zhang S. & Klessig D.F. (1997) Salicylic acid activates a 48-kD MAP kinase in1069tobacco. The Plant Cell 9, 809-824.
- Zhang X., Henriques R., Lin S.-S., Niu Q.-W., Chua N.-H. (2006) Agrobacterium mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral dip method.
 Nature Protocols 1, 641-646.
- 1073 Zhu J.K. (2016) Abiotic Stress Signaling and Responses in Plants. *Cell* 167, 313-324.

Table 1. The phosphopeptides derived from ERD10 and ERD14 identified by the phosphoproteomic approach as being potentially phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 1077 1078

Accesion number	Protein name	Peptide position	Phosphopeptide	Mass
At1g20450	ERD10	204 - 230	KPEDSQVVNTTPLVETA <u>T</u> PIADIPEEK	3000.4580
At1g76180	ERD14	131 - 157	KPEDG <u>S</u> AVAAAPVVVPPPVEEAHPVEK	2798.3891

Table 2. The phosphopeptides derived from ERD10 and ERD14 phosphorylated by

1083 SnRK2.10 in vitro

Dehydrin	Phosphorylated peptide	Peptide position	Phosphorylation site position			
ERD10	VATEE <u>S</u> SAPEIK	17 - 28	S22		S23	
	TQI <u>S</u> EPESFVAK	58 - 69	S61		S65	
	SN <u>S</u>SSSSSDEEGEDGEK	104 - 121	S106		S107	
	EEVKPQETT <u>T</u> LASEFEHK	40 - 57	T49			
	KPEDSQVVN <u>TT</u> PLVETA <u>T</u> PIADIPEEK	204 - 230	S208	T213	T214	T221
ERD14	VATEESSAEV <u>T</u> DR	16 - 28	S21		T26	
	SD S SSSEEEGSDGEK	SDSSSSEEEGSDGEK 77 - 95 S79		79	S78	S79
	KPEDGSAVAAAPVVVPPPVEEAHPVE	131 - 157	S136			

1088 The results represent four independent experiments. The phosphorylation sites 1089 identified are underlined; those identified in all four experiments are bolded.

1097 Figure Legends

1098

Figure 1. The phosphorylation motifs identified within putative SnRK2.10 targets and/or proteins phosphorylated in an SnRK2.10-dependent manner in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots exposed to salinity stress.

1102 The phosphorylation motifs were identified using the Motif-X algorithm.

1103

1104 **Figure 2**. ERD10 and ERD14 are Phosphorylated by SnRK2.10 *in vitro*

1105 In vitro phosphorylation of GST-ERD10 and GST-ERD14 by SnRK2.4, (a) 1106 SnRK2.10, SnRK2.6, or SnRK2.8. The kinases and the dehydrins studied were 1107 produced in E. coli and used for in vitro phosphorylation assays. Phosphorylation of 1108 the dehydrins (4 µg, each) or MBP (2 µg) (as a universal kinase substrate used as 1109 the kinase activity control) by the recombinant SnRK2s (1 - 2 µg) was monitored by in solution kinases activity assay described in "Material and Methods". The reaction 1110 1111 products were separated by SDS-PAGE, and GST-ERD10, GST-ERD14, and MBP phosphorylation were determined by autoradiography. The representative results 1112 1113 from one of three independent experiments are shown. (b) MS spectra of 1114 phosphopeptides from ERD10 and ERD14 dehydrins phosphorylated in vitro by 1115 SnRK2.10. The phosphorylated residues were identified by LC/MS after in vitro 1116 phosphorylation of recombinant GST-ERD10 and GST-ERD14 by SnRK2.10 (see 1117 Table 2). The reaction was performed as described above in (a) but without 1118 radioactive ATP. (c) Analysis of phosphorylation of the wild-type and mutated forms 1119 of ERD10 and ERD14 (ERD10S106A, ERD10T49A, and ERD14S79A) by SnRK2.10. The reaction was performed and analyzed as described in (a). (d) In-gel kinase 1120 1121 activity assay of 2-week-old Arabidopsis seedlings of wt, snrk2.10-1, snrk2.4/2.10, 1122 and snrk2.1/2.4/2.5/2.10 knockout mutants. The plants were not treated or treated for 1123 10 min with 250 mM NaCl. The extracts were subjected to an in-gel kinase activity 1124 assay using GST-ERD10 or GST-ERD14 as the substrate. The representative results 1125 from one of three independent experiments are shown. Autorad, autoradiograph; 1126 CBB, Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

1127

1128 Figure 3. SnRK2.10 Impacts Plant Sensitivity to Water Deficit

(a) The lack of SnRK2.10 enhances water loss from detached Arabidopsis rosettes.

1130 The whole rosettes from six-week-old Arabidopsis plants were cut off and weighed.

1131 Then, they were incubated in windless conditions at 24°C for 5 h and weighed every 1132 hour. Finally, the rosettes were dried at 70°C overnight and weighed. The cut rosette 1133 water loss (CRWL) was calculated. The representative results from one of four 1134 independent experiments are shown. Eight plants were used for each line per 1135 experiment. For the statistical analysis, a t-test was applied. The asterisks indicate significant differences from the wild type (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001). The 1136 average values ± SE are shown. (b) The lack of SnRK2.10 reduces the survival of an 1137 Arabidopsis plant under drought conditions. The Arabidopsis plants were grown in 1138 1139 pots for 17 days under long day conditions and for an additional 2 weeks without 1140 watering. The pictures were taken before watering was stopped (Before drought), 1141 after two weeks without water (Drought), and one day after rewatering (Rewatering). 1142 Ten pots were used for each line per experiment. Representative plants are 1143 presented.

1144

1145 Figure 4. SnRK2.10 Interacts with ERD10 and ERD14 in planta

1146 *N. benthamiana.* leaves were co-transformed with pairs of plasmids encoding 1147 nEYFP–SnRK2.10 with cEYFP–ERD10 or cEYFP–ERD14. For the negative control, 1148 nEYFP–SnRK2.10 was co-expressed with cEYFP. BF indicates bright field, bar = 10 1149 μ m. The data represent one of three independent experiments showing similar 1150 results.

1151

Figure 5. Subcellular Localization of EGFP-ERD10 and EGFP-ERD14 and Their Mutated Forms Transiently Expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves

(a) Subcellular localization of EGFP-ERD10 and EGFP-ERD14 and their mutated
forms transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves in control conditions or under
salinity stress. *N. benthamiana* leaves were transformed with plasmids encoding
EGFP-ERD10, EGFP-ERD14 or their mutated forms, EGFP-ERD10S106E, EGFPERD10S106A, EGFP-ERD14S79E or EGFP-ERD14S79A, and their localization was
analyzed.

- 1160 (b) Subcellular localization of EGFP-ERD14 produced in *Nicotiana benthamiana*
- leaves (in control conditions or exposed to salinity stress) in the cortical cytoplasm.
 "Bulb-like" structures are marked with white arrows. BF indicates bright field, bar =
- 1163 **10 μm**.
- 1164

1165 **Figure 6.** Subcellular Localization of EGFP-ERD14 In Response to Salt Stress

(a) Subcellular localization of EGFP-ERD14 and EGFP-ERD14S79E expressed in
Arabidopsis protoplasts. The protoplasts isolated from T87 cells were transformed
with plasmids encoding EGFP-ERD14 or EGFP-ERD14S79E. The localization of
chimeric proteins was studied before and after exposure to 250 mM NaCl. The
percentage of nuclei containing expressed proteins was calculated from three
independent experiments (approximately 50 protoplasts were analyzed in each
experiment).

- 1173 (b) Subcellular localization of EGFP-ERD14 and EGFP-ERD14S79E stably 1174 expressed in Arabidopsis. The localization of EGFP-ERD14 and EGFP-ERD14S79E 1175 was monitored in different types of root cells, in the proliferation zone (proliferation), 1176 elongation zone (elongation), and differentiation zone (differentiation), expressing 1177 the proteins studied before and after exposure to 250 mM NaCl (bar = 10 μ m). 1178 The data represent one of three independent experiments showing similar results.
- 1179





- Figure 1





Figure 3



- 1194 Figure 4





- 1198 Figure 5



