

This is a repository copy of *Simplified method* for optimal design of friction damper slip loads by considering near-field and far-field ground motions.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/145126/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Nabid, N., Hajirasouliha, I. orcid.org/0000-0003-2597-8200 and Petkovski, M. (2019) Simplified method for optimal design of friction damper slip loads by considering near-field and far-field ground motions. Journal of Earthquake Engineering. ISSN 1363-2469

https://doi.org/10.1080/13632469.2019.1605316

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Journal of Earthquake Engineering on 29/04/2019, available online: http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/13632469.2019.1605316.

#### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# SIMPLIFIED METHOD FOR OPTIMAL DESIGN OF FRICTION DAMPER SLIP LOADS BY CONSIDERING NEAR-FIELD AND FAR-FIELD GROUND MOTIONS

4 (Effect of near and far-field earthquakes on optimum design of friction dampers)

5 N. Nabid<sup>a</sup>, I. Hajirasouliha<sup>b</sup> and M. Petkovski<sup>c</sup>

- <sup>6</sup> <sup>a</sup> PhD Candidate, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.
- 7 E-mail: nnabid1@sheffield.ac.uk (Corresponding Author), ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2249-1413
- 8
- <sup>9</sup> <sup>b</sup>Senior Lecturer, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

10 E-mail: i.hajirasouliha@sheffield.ac.uk, ORCID ID: 0000-0003-2597-8200

11

#### 14 Abstract

15 A simplified method is proposed for optimum design of friction dampers by considering the characteristics of 16 design earthquakes. Optimum slip loads for 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey RC frames with friction wall-dampers are

17 obtained for a set of 20 near and far-field earthquakes as well as artificial spectrum-compatible records scaled to

- 18 different acceleration levels. Optimum solutions are shown to be more sensitive to Peak Ground Velocity (PGV)
- 19 than Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA), especially for near-field earthquakes with high velocity pulses. For
- 20 identical PGA levels, far-field earthquakes on average result in 1.5 times lower optimum slip loads compared to
- 21 near-field records, while they lead to 118% higher energy dissipation and 24% lower maximum inter-storey
- drifts. Empirical equations are proposed to predict optimum slip loads (as a function of number of storeys and
- 23 PGA/PGV of design earthquakes) and their efficiency is demonstrated through selected examples.
- Keywords: Near- and far-field earthquakes; Optimum design; Friction damper; Slip load distribution; Energy
   dissipation.

### 26 1. Introduction

Friction-based passive energy dissipation devices have been successfully used in practice to enhance seismic performance of both newly designed and existing structures subjected to strong earthquake excitations [Vezina

- and Pall, 2004; Pasquin et al., 2004; Shiraia et al. 2019]. Different types of friction-based dampers have been
- developed recently including friction wall dampers [Nabid et al. 2017], rotational friction dampers [Mualla
- and Belev, 2017], friction braced frames [Tirca et al., 2018], and posttensioned concrete walls with friction
- devices [Guo et al., 2017]. However, finding the optimum values of slip loads in the friction devices (the loads

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Lecturer, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.
 E-mail: m.petkovski@sheffield.ac.uk, ORCID ID:0000-0002-3788-0772

33 at which the friction devices start slipping and hence dissipating energy) is challenging, since these values can 34 be sensitive to the characteristics of the seismic excitation.

35 Several research studies have been carried out on optimum design of friction dampers under earthquake

- 36 excitations using different optimisation techniques such as Genetic Algorithm (GA) [Moreschi and Singh, 2003;
- 37 Mohammadi et al., 2018], backtracking search optimisation algorithm (BSA) [Miguel et al., 2016] and Uniform

38 Distribution of Deformation (UDD) [Nabid et al., 2018], or used iterative methods to find the optimum range of

- 39 slip load values. However, the aforementioned optimisation approaches are computationally expensive and/or
- 40 require complex mathematical calculations, and therefore, may not be directly used in practical applications. On
- 41 the other hand, most of the existing research studies on optimum design of friction dampers have been either
- 42 based on a code-based design spectrum, a set of spectrum-compatible natural/synthetic earthquakes or a single
- 43 natural earthquake [Petkovski and Waldron, 2003; Pall and Pall, 2004; Lee et al., 2008; Shirkhani et al., 2015;
- 44 Nabid et al., 2017], where the effects of different types of earthquakes have been neglected. For more accurate
- 45 design, however, the earthquake uncertainties should be taken into account in terms of fault type, earthquake
- 46 intensity, peak acceleration and velocity, frequency content, duration, earthquake magnitude and distance.

47 In an early attempt, a design slip load spectrum was developed by Filiatrault and Cherry [1990] to obtain the 48 best slip load distribution for friction dampers by minimising an energy performance index while considering 49 the properties of the structure and the ground motion anticipated at the construction site. They concluded that 50 the optimum slip load is not only a structural property but also depends on the frequency and amplitude of the 51 ground motion. The values of the optimum slip loads in their study were shown to be linearly proportional to the 52 peak ground acceleration of the input earthquake. In a more recent study, Kiris and Boduroglu [2013] 53 investigated the correlation between the peak displacement demand of a RC structure with friction damper and 54 different parameters used to measure the severity of ground motions. It was demonstrated that depending on the 55 fundamental period of the frame, the strength ratio of the system at slip displacement and the soil profile, 56 different ground motion parameters can play a dominant role in the seismic response of the structure.

57 Previous studies show that structures designed using older seismic design provisions, based on far-field 58 earthquakes, may experience extensive damage or failure in case of near-field earthquakes [Alavi and 59 Krawinkler, 2001]. The main reason is that large displacement demands can be imposed to the structures by 60 severe pulses of near-field ground motions compared to the far-field earthquakes. In pulse-like ground motions, 61 the amplitude and period of the pulse in the velocity time history are the key parameters to control the 62 performance of the structures, and therefore, they should be taken into account for both design and retrofit of 63 structures in the near-field zones [Alavi and Krawinkler, 2001]. There is also displacement amplification in the 64 long-period structures caused by the large amplitudes in the long period range of displacement response spectra 65 [Anderson and Bertero, 1987]. The results of Alavi ansd Krawinkler [2001] study indicated that conventional 66 retrofit techniques accompanied by increasing the stiffness and/or strength of the system are not efficient for 67 long-period structures subjected to severe pulse-like earthquakes. This is due to moving the structure into a 68 range of higher spectral accelerations by increasing the stiffness (or decreasing the period) of the system. Unlike 69 the cumulative effects of far-field ground motions, the structure dissipates the earthquake input energy in few 70 large displacement excursions under near-field records, where most of the seismic input energy arrives in a

single long-period velocity pulse associated with forward directivity or fling step displacements and response

amplification of the long-period structures [Somerville, 1998].

73 Tirca et al. [2003] investigated the response of middle-rise steel moment-resisting frames with and without shear 74 link (SL) devices subjected to near-field ground motions. Based on their results, the near-field earthquakes 75 expose the structure to higher ductility demands than the far or intermediate-field ground motions. Also, they 76 showed that for the stiffer structures, the shear forces were generally higher at the upper storeys. In a study 77 performed by Xu et al. [2007], the performance of yielding and viscous passive energy dissipation systems were 78 investigated subjected to near-field ground motions by using an analytical ground velocity pulse model. They 79 concluded that the performance of different passive energy dissipation systems depends significantly on the 80 period of the pulse excitation, and therefore, to achieve the best performance, the pulse periods must be taken 81 into account when designing passive energy dissipation systems. Lin et al. [2010] evaluated the efficiency of 82 using initially accelerated passive tuned mass damper (PTMD) to reduce the dynamic responses of structures 83 under near-fault ground motion records. They showed that an appropriate PTMD initial velocity used to 84 accelerate the motion can efficiently reduce the local peak seismic responses of the system under near-fault 85 earthquakes. In another relevant study, Hatzigeorgiou and Pnevmatikos [2014] developed a straightforward 86 method for the evaluation of effective velocities and damping forces for single-degree-of-freedom (SDOF) 87 structures with supplemental viscous dampers under near-source earthquakes. Using their proposed method, it 88 was observed that the inelastic velocity ratio is strongly affected by the period of vibration, the effective viscous 89 damping ratio, the forced reduction factors and the type of seismic fault mechanism. Bhandari et al. [2017] 90 investigated the behaviour of a base-isolated building structure subjected to far-field and near-field earthquakes 91 with directivity and fling-step effects. According to their results, under the near-field earthquakes with fling-step 92 effect, the base isolation proved to be ineffective in terms of reducing base shear, top storey absolute 93 acceleration and maximum inter-storey drift. In a more recent study, Castaldo and Tubaldi (2018) investigated 94 the effects of ground motion characteristics on the optimum friction pendulum properties of seismic isolation 95 systems. It was shown that PGA/PGV is a better indicator of the frequency content of the input ground motion 96 compared to PGA, and can help to provide less scatter predictions.

97 The research on the effects of near and far-field earthquakes is mainly focussed on the efficiency of base-98 isolated systems, viscous dampers and semi-active control devices, with few efforts in the design of friction-99 based passive control systems subjected to the near and far-field records. This study aims to evaluate the effects 100 of near-field and far-field ground motions on optimum design of friction wall dampers leading to a maximum 101 amount of energy dissipation efficiency in friction devices. To achieve this, at first, a comprehensive parametric study is performed on 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey RC frames equipped with friction wall dampers (using a wide 102 103 range of slip load values) under spectrum compatible earthquakes scaled to different PGA levels as well as a set 104 of 20 near and far-field earthquake records. Based on the results, empirical equations are proposed to obtain the 105 optimum slip load values by considering the effects of number of storeys and earthquake PGA and PGV levels. The efficiency of the proposed design method is then demonstrated through several design examples. 106

#### 107 2. Numerical Modelling

108 To investigate the efficiency of the proposed design methodology, 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20-storey RC frames were 109 designed using the typical geometry shown in Figure 1 (a). The utilised friction damper (schematic view shown in Figure 1 (b)) comprises of a reinforced concrete wall panel connected to the frame system through two 110 111 vertical supports in the sides, a horizontal connection at the bottom, and a friction device at the top. The 112 connections are designed to avoid transferring extra shear forces to the middle of the adjacent beam and column elements. As shown in Figure 1 (b), the utilised friction device is a conventional Slotted Bolted Connection 113 114 (SBC) with two steel plates over a central T-shape slotted steel plate anchored to the top floor beam. It should be 115 mentioned that the concrete panels at ground level are fixed to the base to reduce the maximum axial loads in 116 the columns at the ground level. Table 1 lists the period and mass participation factor of the first three modes of vibration for the frames with and without with friction wall-dampers. More detailed information about the 117 118 adopted friction wall damper can be found in Nabid et al. [2017].

The designed frames were considered to be located in a low-to-medium seismicity region with PGA of 0.2 g and soil type C category of Eurocode 8 [EC8; CEN, 2004a]. The uniformly distributed dead and live loads were considered to be 5.5 kN/m<sup>2</sup> and 2.5 kN/m<sup>2</sup> for interior floors, and 5.3 kN/m<sup>2</sup> and 1.0 kN/m<sup>2</sup> for the roof level, respectively. The frames were initially designed based on EC8 [CEN, 2004a] seismic loads and in accordance with the minimum requirements of Eurocode 2 [EC2; CEN, 2004b] for moment-resisting RC frames with medium ductility (DCM). The concrete compressive strength (f<sub>c</sub>) and the yield strength of steel reinforcement

125 bars  $(f_y)$  were assumed to be 35 MPa and 400 MPa, respectively.

126 OpenSees software [McKenna and Fenves, 2000] was used to conduct pushover and nonlinear time-history analyses. Concrete sections were modelled using a uniaxial constitutive material with linear tension softening 127 128 (Concrete02), while the behaviour of steel bars was simulated by a Giuffre-Menegotto-Pinto model (Steel02) 129 with 1% isotropic strain hardening. Beam and column members were divided into three elements and modelled 130 using displacement-based nonlinear beam-column elements with fibre sections while four Gauss-Lobatto 131 integration points were considered for each element. The confinement effects due to the presence of transverse 132 reinforcement were taken into account in the material model of the concrete fibres using *fib* Model Code 2010. 133 P-Delta effects were taken into account in both pushover and nonlinear time-history analyses. A classical Rayleigh damping model proportional to both mass and stiffness matrices (i.e.  $C = \alpha M + \beta K$ ) was adopted and 134 135 a constant damping ratio of 0.05 was assigned to the first mode and to the modes at which the cumulative mass 136 participation exceeds 95%.

137







Figure 1. (a) Geometry of the reference RC frames equipped with friction wall dampers, (b) schematic view of
the friction wall damper (adopted from Nabid et al. [2017])

The results of the analytical studies showed that the strength of reinforced concrete wall panels with 15 cm thickness is always higher than the maximum loads transferred from the friction device [Nabid, 2018]. Therefore, in this study the wall panels were modelled using equivalent elastic elements. An inelastic link element, representing an ideal Coulomb friction hysteretic behaviour, was utilised to model the friction device. The beam-to-column connections were assumed to be fully rigid with no shear failure in the panel zones. A computer code in MATLAB [2014] was also developed and linked to the OpenSees [McKenna et al., 2000]

- software to calculate the energy dissipation in the structural elements and friction devices under earthquake
- 150 excitations.

		Frames witho	ut friction dampers	Frames with friction dampers			
		Fundamental	Mass Participation	Fundamental	Mass Participation		
		Period (sec)	Factor	Period (sec)	Factor		
3- Storey	Mode 1	0.71	82.9%	0.15	81.9 %		
	Mode 2	0.22	14.1%	0.06	10.4 %		
	Mode 3	0.12	2.8%	0.04	6.7 %		
5- Storey	Mode 1	0.99	77.7%	0.29	73.8 %		
	Mode 2	0.32	11.8%	0.08	15.7 %		
	Mode 3	0.17	5.7%	0.05	5.7 %		
10- Storey	Mode 1	1.56	78.1%	0.78	68.3 %		
	Mode 2	0.55	10.0%	0.19	18.1 %		
	Mode 3	0.31	4.3%	0.09	6.4 %		
15- Storey	Mode 1	1.93	75.0%	1.29	65.1 %		
	Mode 2	0.73	11.5%	0.33	17.3 %		
	Mode 3	0.42	4.7%	0.15	6.1 %		
20- Storey	Mode 1	2.31	73.1%	1.78	64.3 %		
	Mode 2	0.84	11.1%	0.47	16.2 %		
	Mode 3	0.49	4.0%	0.22	5.9 %		

151 **Table 1.** Period and mass participation factor of the first three modes of vibration

152

### 153 3. Characteristics of Near-field Earthquakes

In general, the distance of the structure from the fault rupture is one of the dominant factors influencing the 154 155 imposed peak displacement demand. The near-field zones are typically considered to be within 12 km from the fault rupture, while far-field regions are those with epicentral distances of the recording stations ranging from 12 156 to 64 km [Chopra and Chintanapakdee, 2001]. Some researchers have classified near-field zones as those within 157 158 20-60 km from the fault rupture [Stewart et al., 2002]. In general, in a near-field zone and at a particular site, the 159 earthquake characteristics are significantly influenced by three factors: the rupture mechanism, slip direction 160 relative to the site and the residual ground displacement at the site due to the tectonic movement. Forward-161 directivity pulses usually occur when the rupture propagation velocity is close to the shear-wave velocity and the direction of slip on the fault is aligned with the site (mainly oriented in the fault-normal direction due to the 162 163 radiation pattern of the fault) [Somerville and Smith, 1996; Bray and Rodriguez-Marek, 2004; Davoodi and 164 Sadjadi, 2015]. Due to forward-directivity effect, large-amplitude pulses of motion are generated with long 165 period (1-1.5 s) and short duration while having a high ratio of Peak Ground Velocity (PGV) to Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) [Somerville et al., 1997]. Therefore, in near-field areas high velocity pulses, which are 166 167 extremely destructive in nature, are one of the main factors to define the severity of the seismic input rather than 168 the PGA value. Regarding the last factor, the tectonic deformation associated with the fault rupture may contain a significant permanent static displacement termed fling-step effect [Bray and Rodriguez-Marek, 2004]. It 169 170 produces a high amplitude velocity pulse and a monotonic step in the displacement time history [Somerville, 2002]. Additionally, hanging wall and footwall effects can be observed in dipping fault earthquakes. The fault 171

172 plane has generally closer proximity to the sites on the hanging wall than the sites on the footwall at the same

173 distance. The hanging wall sites have larger amplitude and slower attenuation in ground motion parameters than

- 174 the footwall sites with the same distance. These effects have higher influence on the acceleration spectra in short
- 175 periods. The aforementioned fling-step effect is the relative slip between the hanging wall and footwall

176 [Abrahamson and Somerville, 1996].

177 Near-field earthquakes transfer a major portion of fault energy in the form of pulses, which can be frequently 178 seen in displacement, velocity, and acceleration time histories. These pulses tend to have high Fourier spectrum 179 in limited periods, while in far-field earthquakes the high Fourier spectrum generally occurs in broad range of 180 periods [Iwan, 1994; Bhandari et al., 2017]. In the frequency domain, depending on the fault-normal or fault-181 parallel components of the forward-directivity ground motions in near-field region, near-field earthquakes can 182 have either higher or lower frequency contents compared to the far-field earthquakes [Davoodi and Sadjadi, 183 2015]. Davoodi and Sadjadi [2015] also showed that the maximum Fourier amplitudes of far-field earthquakes 184 and fault-parallel component of forward-directivity ground motions are distributed at higher frequencies (mostly 185 beyond 1Hz) compared to the maximum Fourier amplitudes of near-field earthquakes with fling-step and fault-186 normal component of forward-directivity records which generally occurs at frequencies less than 1Hz. It was 187 demonstrated by Malhotra [1999] that, for the same PGA and duration of shaking, ground motions containing 188 directivity pulses can result in much higher base shear, inter-storey drift, and roof displacement in high-rise 189 structures as compared to those without pulses.

#### **4. Ground Motion Datasets**

#### 191 4.1. Natural Near-field and Far-field Earthquake Records

In this study, two sets of 10 near-field and 10 far-field ground motions were used to evaluate the seismic 192 193 performance of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frame structures with friction wall dampers. All the selected 194 ground motions correspond to soil class C of EC8 with surface magnitudes ranging from 6.5 to 7.4. Tables 2 and 195 3 list the designations and characteristics of the selected unscaled near-field and far-field ground motions, 196 respectively. The rupture distances (R: distance from the fault rupture plane to the site) are within 10 km for the 197 near-field records and between 12 and 30 km for the selected far-field ground motions. The fault rupture 198 mechanisms are strike slip and reverse for all the records. It should be noted that the forward directivity effect of 199 the near-field ground motions generally leads to more intense fault-normal component compared to the fault-200 parallel component [Somerville, 1998]. In this study, the fault-normal components with higher intensities were 201 selected for the nonlinear time history analyses.

Figures 2 (a) and (b) compare the 5% damped elastic acceleration and velocity response spectra of the studied unscaled near-field and far-field earthquakes, respectively. The acceleration response spectra show that the mean spectrum of the near-field earthquakes is well above whereas the far-field mean spectrum is well below the EC8 design spectrum. This implies that, with the same range of surface magnitudes, the intensity of nearfield records is much higher than those recorded far away from the earthquake epicentre. Although the elastic acceleration response spectrum provides the basis to identify the characteristics of the design earthquakes, in case of near-field ground motions, the acceleration response spectrum does not adequately characterise the

- 209 design earthquake. This is because near-field earthquakes are mainly characterised by a relatively long period
- 210 pulse of strong motion with fairly short duration, while the far-field motions have relatively long duration
- 211 [Somerville, 1998]. Therefore, to better show the characteristics of the selected earthquakes, the elastic velocity
- 212 response spectra of the selected near-field and far-field earthquakes with their mean spectra are also shown in
- 213 Figure 2.

No.	Earthquake	Ms	Station	Abr.	R	PGA	PGV	PGV/PGA
					(km)	(g)	(cm/s) (s)	
1	1999 Duzce	7.14	Duzce	DUZ	6.58	0.515	84	0.166
2	1992 Erzincan	6.69	Erzincan	ERZ	4.38	0.387	107	0.282
3	1994 Northridge	6.69	Rinaldi Receiving Sta	RIN	6.50	0.874	148	0.173
4	1994 Northridge	6.69	Newhall - Fire Sta	NEW	5.92	0.590	97	0.168
5	1994 Northridge	6.69	LA - Sepulveda VA Hospital	SEP	8.44	0.932	76	0.083
6	1995 Kobe	6.9	KJMA	JMA	0.96	0.630	76	0.123
7	1995 Kobe	6.9	Takatori	TAK	1.47	0.671	123	0.187
8	1995 Kobe	6.9	Port Island	POR	3.31	0.290	51	0.179
9	1979 Imperial Valley	6.53	Meloland Geot. Array	MEL	0.07	0.298	93	0.168
10	1979 Imperial Valley	6.53	El Centro Array #4	ARR4	17.05	0.484	40	0.084

214 **Table 2.** Properties of the selected near-field ground motions

215

216 **Table 3.** Properties of the selected far-field ground motions

No.	Earthquake	Ms	Station	Abr.	R (km)	PGA (g)	PGV (cm/s)	PGV/PGA (s)
1	1994 Northridge	6.69	Canoga Park-Topanga Can	CAN	14.70	0.358	34	0.097
2	1994 Northridge	6.69	Northridge-Saticoy St	SAT	12.09	0.459	60	0.133
3	1994 Northridge	6.93	Capitola	CAPIT	15.23	0.511	38	0.076
4	1989 Loma Prieta	6.93	Sunnyvale-Colton Ave.	SUN	24.23	0.207	37	0.182
5	1989 Loma Prieta	6.93	Gilroy Array #3	GIL3	12.82	0.559	36	0.066
6	1987 Superstition Hills	6.54	El Centro Imp. Co. Cent	COC	18.20	0.357	48	0.137
7	1987 Superstition Hills	6.54	Westmorland Fire Station	WES	13.03	0.211	32	0.155
8	1971 San Fernado	6.61	LA - Hollywood Stor Lot	HOLL	22.77	0.225	22	0.100
9	1992 Landers	7.28	Desert Hot Springs	LAN	21.78	0.171	19	0.113
10	1978 Tabas	7.35	Boshrooyeh	TAB	28.79	0.106	13	0.125

217



Figure 2. Elastic acceleration and velocity response spectra of the selected (a) near-field and (b) far-field earthquakes and the EC8 design spectrum, 5% damping ratio

Figures 2(a) and (b) show that while the near-field ground motions have a narrower velocity-sensitive region at longer periods, they have wider acceleration-sensitive region compared to the far-field excitation records (except SUN). These results are in agreement with those obtained from the research carried out by Chopra and Chintanapakdee [2001] and Hall et al. [1995]. Figure 3 compares the mean acceleration and velocity response spectra of the selected near and far-field ground motions showing significantly higher values for the near-field records.



229

Figure 3. Mean (a) acceleration and (b) velocity response spectra of the selected near-field and far-field
 earthquakes, 5% damping ratio

#### 232 4.2. Synthetic Earthquake Record

The previous research by Nabid et al. [2017, 2018] implied that the earthquake uncertainty, in terms of acceleration response spectra, can be efficiently managed by using synthetic earthquakes representing the

- average spectrum of a selected set of natural earthquakes. Therefore, a synthetic earthquake is generated using
- the TARSCTHS [Papageorgiou et al., 2002] program to be compatible with EC8 design response spectrum for
- high seismicity regions (i.e. PGA=0.4g) and soil class C. Figure 4 shows the good agreement between the elastic
- 238 acceleration response spectrum of the simulated earthquake record and the corresponding EC8 design spectrum.



Figure 4. Elastic acceleration response spectra of the synthetic earthquake record and the EC8 design spectrum,
 5% damping ratio

#### 242 5. Effect of Earthquake Intensity Level on Energy Dissipation Efficiency

The synthetic earthquake (compatible with the EC8 spectrum) was utilised to investigate the effect of the peak 243 ground acceleration (PGA) on the maximum energy dissipation efficiency of the selected frames with friction 244 245 wall dampers. It should be noted that different ground motion parameters may play role in peak structural response demand depending on the system properties and the soil profile (Kiris and Boduroglu, 2013). 246 247 However, PGA is one of the widely accepted intensity measure parameters that can generally show the pattern of the observed intensities (Wald et al., 1999). The energy dissipation parameter, RW, which is the ratio 248 249 between the work of the friction devices to the work of the beam and column elements (introduced in [Nabid et 250 al., 2017]), is considered as an effective factor for assessing the efficiency of the proposed friction wall dampers. 251 Figure 5 shows the relationships between the slip load ratio (ratio between the average of slip loads and the 252 average of storey shear strengths at all storey levels) and the energy dissipation parameter, RW, for the 3, 5, 10, 253 15 and 20-storey frames, subjected to the selected synthetic earthquake with a range of different PGA values 254 (ranging from 0.1g to 1.2g). The optimum value of the slip load ratio is considered to be the one at which the 255 RW factor reaches its peak. The results in Figure 5 show that for stronger earthquakes (higher PGA levels) the 256 optimum slip load ratios are higher and distributed over a wider range. It is also shown that the energy 257 dissipation efficiency (RW) is generally increased for lower earthquake intensity levels. This can be attributed to 258 the fact that most structural elements remain in the elastic (or near elastic) range under low intensity earthquakes. The results also show a clear difference between the optimum ranges of slip load values for 259 260 structures with different number of storeys as will be taken into consideration in the empirical equations proposed in the following sections. 261

262

239



Figure 5. Variation of energy dissipation parameter, RW, of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as function of slip load ratio under a synthetic earthquake record with different PGA levels

268 The results of a previous study [Pall and Pall, 2004] suggested that variations up to  $\pm 20\%$  of the optimum slip 269 load do not significantly affect the response; however, the range of these variations depend on the earthquake intensity. The results in Figure 5 imply that this is true for high PGA levels but not for low PGA levels, where 270 271 the optimum response is significantly affected by small variations in the optimum slip load ratio. The energy 272 dissipation effectiveness of the friction wall dampers in low to medium-rise structures initially increases with 273 the increase of earthquake intensity up to a certain level. For the high-rise structures (15 and 20-storey); 274 however, RW decreases monotonically by increasing the PGA. This can be mainly caused by the high stiffness 275 of the low-rise building that in turn leads to smaller deformation demands under low PGA level earthquakes, 276 and therefore, less energy dissipation through the work of the friction devices. This is more highlighted for the 3-storey frames with almost 70% higher RW for the 0.3g input compared with that for 0.1g. Figure 6 illustrates 277 278 the variation of the energy dissipated through the work of the friction devices in the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey 279 frames under the selected synthetic spectrum compatible earthquake with different PGAs. As can be observed, a



280 negligible amount of energy is dissipated by the friction dampers in the 3 and 5-storey frames under the 0.1g 281 earthquake compared to the other earthquake PGA levels.

285 Figure 6. Variation of work of the friction devices for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as function of slip load ratio under a synthetic earthquake record with different PGA levels 286

In this study, the slip load ratios for which the energy dissipation parameter, RW, is greater than 90% of the its 287 288 maximum value (i.e. less than 10% reduction), are considered as the optimum practical design range. The 289 median (middle point) of the optimum slip load ratio ranges for the selected frames is then calculated under the 290 synthetic spectrum-compatible earthquake using different PGA levels. Based on regression analysis using the 291 median points, the following equation is suggested to calculate the optimum slip load ratio as a function of the 292 earthquake PGA and number of storeys:

$$R_{Syn} = (1.16 \times e^{-0.09n} \times (a_g)^{0.75}) / 100$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

293 where R<sub>Svn</sub> is the optimum slip load ratio obtained for the selected spectrum-compatible synthetic earthquake 294 (see Figure 4) and defined as the ratio between the average of slip loads and the average of storey shear 295 strengths at all storey levels; n is the number of storeys and  $a_g$  is the PGA of the design earthquake in cm/s<sup>2</sup>. It should be mentioned that, to avoid using very small constant coefficients, the proposed equation is divided by a 296 297 100 term. The proposed equation, on average, leads to relatively small errors (9.8%) compared to the results 298 obtained from the parametric study on 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames with friction wall dampers. Figure 7 299 shows the slip load design curves obtained from equation 1 and the corresponding optimum slip load ranges as a function of earthquake intensity (PGA). While Filiatrault and Cherry [1990] suggested that the value of the 300 optimum slip load is linearly proportional to the PGA level, the results of this study show a non-linear 301 302 relationship between the PGA and optimum slip load values.



303

304 Figure 7. Design slip load ratios for 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as a function of earthquake PGA

305

While PGA is the parameter most commonly used to identify earthquake intensity, it has also been reported that it is not a totally reliable parameter to assess the seismic performance of structures. For instance, according to Housner and Jennings [1982], peak ground velocity (PGV) can be a better parameter due to its direct connection to energy demand. On the other hand, near-fault impulsive ground motions are often characterised by PGV [Malhotra, 1999; Bray and Rodriguez-Marek, 2004]. For this reason, in the following sections the effect of nearfield and far-field earthquake ground motions with variable ranges of both PGA and PGV is investigated in the optimum design of friction dampers.

## 6. Effect of Near-Field and Far-Field Earthquakes on Optimum Design of Friction Dampers

To evaluate the effect of near-field and far-field ground motions on optimum design solutions, the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames with friction wall dampers are subjected to the natural records listed in Tables 2 and 3. Figures 8 (a) and 8 (b) present the energy dissipation parameter RW, as a function of slip load ratio for the frames under the selected near-field and far-field earthquakes, respectively.



Figure 8. Variation of energy dissipation parameter, RW, of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as function of
 slip load ratio under (a) near-field and (b) far-field ground motions

The comparison of peaks of the mean-value curves shows about twice higher energy dissipation efficiency of 326 the friction dampers for far-field earthquakes (i.e. 2.02, 1.81, 2.13, 2.18 and 2.09 for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-327 328 storey frames, respectively). By considering the acceleration and velocity response spectra of the earthquakes 329 (see Figure 2), those records with more intense velocity pulse and/or higher response acceleration (such as SEP, 330 TAK, RIN and JMA from the near-field set, and CAPIT, SAT and GIL3 from the far-field set of earthquakes), 331 in general, result in maximum energy dissipation efficiency at higher slip load ratios. The earthquakes with relatively high velocities at longer periods (e.g. MEL and SUN) led to higher optimum ranges of slip load ratios 332 for taller buildings, compared to their corresponding mean curves; whereas for the low to medium-rise 333 334 structures their optimum ranges are close to those of the mean curves. On the contrary, for the low to medium-335 rise frames, the earthquakes with the maximum velocity at lower periods (e.g. RIN) resulted in very high 336 optimum slip load ratios. This is due to the earthquake high velocity occurring at the periods close to the natural 337 period of the structure, and therefore, due to dynamic magnification effects, higher friction forces are required 338 for optimum performance of the structure.

By considering no more than 10% reduction in the maximum of the mean RW curves, the range of optimum slip load ratios for the selected near-field earthquakes can be defined as 0.89-1.51, 0.56-0.95, 0.34-0.54, 0.25-0.44 and 0.17-0.28 for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames, respectively. The corresponding optimum slip load ratio ranges obtained for the far-field earthquakes are 0.31-0.67, 0.33-0.73, 0.16-0.27, 0.09-0.16 and 0.06-0.12. The results indicate that the near-field earthquakes with higher velocity levels generally lead to higher and wider optimum ranges of slip load ratios for the supplemental friction-based energy dissipation devices compared to the far-field ground motions.

346 Figure 9 shows the variation of maximum inter-storey drift ratio of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as function of slip load ratio under the selected near-field and far-field earthquakes. It should be noted that, for 347 348 better comparison, the results in Figure 9 are scaled to the maximum inter-storey drifts of the corresponding 349 bare frames. It is shown that the optimum slip load ratio ranges, defined earlier as those leading to the maximum 350 energy dissipation efficiency, also result in minimum drift ratios. The maximum inter-storey drift ratios were, on 351 average, attenuated by 94%, 80%, 55%, 44% and 34% for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames under the near-352 field earthquakes, respectively; and by 92%, 85%, 63%, 54% and 42% for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames 353 under the far-field earthquakes, respectively. In general, the reduction in drift ratios was more noticeable in far-354 field earthquakes, with the difference between near and far-field increasing with the increase in height of the 355 buildings (i.e. by maximum 24% reduction in 20-storey frame).

356

357

358

359



Figure 9. Variation of maximum inter-storey drift ratio (scaled to the bare frame) of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20storey frames as function of slip load ratio under (a) near-field and (b) far-field ground motions

- 367 Using individual optimum slip load ratios corresponding to the maximum energy dissipation efficiency obtained
- 368 for each near-field and far-field earthquake with specific PGA, Equation 1 can be modified to the following
- 369 equations:

$$R_{near} = (1.29 \times e^{-0.09n} \times (a_g)^{0.75}) / 100$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

$$R_{far} = (0.86 \times e^{-0.09n} \times (a_g)^{0.75}) / 100$$
(3)

370 where  $R_{near}$  and  $R_{far}$  are the optimum slip load ratios estimated for near-field and far-field earthquakes, 371 respectively. Figure 10 shows the variation of optimum slip load ratios of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames 372 as function of earthquake PGA for the near and far-field earthquakes overlaid with their corresponding design 373 curves (Equations 2 and 3). Equations 2 and 3 are proposed to have, on average, minimum errors (i.e. 27% and 374 23%) to the optimum results obtained for the near and far-field earthquakes, respectively.



Figure 10. Variation of optimum slip load ratio of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames as function of
earthquake PGA level for the near-field and far-field earthquakes with their corresponding design equation
curves (Eq. 2 and 3)

For better comparison, the R-squared values are also calculated for Equations 2 and 3 using the results of the near-field and far-field earthquake records as shown in Fig. 10. It can be seen that in general the proposed equations could not accurately explain the variability of the slip load ratio data as a function of PGA. Especially there are high dispersions of the results (i.e. very low R-squared values) around the proposed equations for the high-rise frames under near-field earthquakes.

Based on Equations 2 and 3, for the same earthquake PGA, on average, near-field earthquakes result in 1.5 386 387 times higher optimum slip loads than those for far-field earthquakes. The reason for this is the higher PGV levels of the near-field earthquakes compared to the far-field records. For example, DUZ from the near-field set 388 389 of earthquake versus GIL3 from the far-field (Table 2) have PGAs of 0.515g and 0.559g, and PGV of 84m/s and 390 36m/s, respectively. As outlined in Zhu et al. [1988] and Pavel and Lungu [2013], the PGV/PGA ratio can be 391 used as an indicator of both frequency content of strong ground motions and potential structural damage. They 392 revealed that low PGV/PGA ratios generally correspond to ground motions with a high frequency content in the strong-motion phase (e.g. SEP, CAPIT and GIL3), whereas high PGV/PGA ratios, in general, are associated 393 394 with the ground motions with intense, long-duration acceleration pulses (e.g. TAK and NEW). In pulse-like ground motions, the coherent long-period pulses may lead to the PGV/PGA ratio of ground motions become 395 396 larger (e.g. ERZ and TAK). Therefore, the ground motions with higher PGV/PGA values generally have larger 397 damage potential [Meskouris at al., 1992]. Ground motions at moderate distances from the energy source 398 normally have a broad range of significant frequency content, resulting in intermediate PGV/PGA ratios (e.g. 399 TAB and LAN).

400 Figure 11 shows the optimum slip load ratios of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames under the selected near 401 and far-field earthquakes as function of the earthquake PGV/PGA ratios. For similar PGV/PGA ratios, the 402 earthquakes with higher values of PGA and PGV result in higher optimum slip load ratios (e.g. RIN compared 403 to NEW, DUZ, POR and TAK). Consequently, the earthquake response velocity can be used as a parameter that 404 defines the optimum solution. The following equation calculates the optimum slip load ratios for all types of 405 earthquakes, giving an average error of 18% (better than both Equations 2 and 3) when compared with the results obtained for near and far-field natural earthquakes. This implies that the PGV factor can be a better 406 407 parameter to estimate the optimum slip load values.

$$R_{EQ} = (4.75 \times e^{-0.09n} \times (a_v)^{0.75}) / 100$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

408 where  $R_{EQ}$  is the optimum slip load ratio for both near-field and far- field earthquakes and  $a_v$  is the PGV of the 409 earthquake.

Finally, by using a previously defined uniform cumulative pattern [Nabid et al., 2017] for the height-wise distribution of slip loads, the equation below can be used to find the slip load values at each storey level:

$$F_{s,i} = \frac{\sum_{1}^{n} F_{y,i} \times 4.75 \times e^{-0.09n} \times (a_v)^{0.75}}{100 \times n(n+1)/2} \times (n+1-i)$$
(5)

where  $F_{s,i}$  and  $F_{y,i}$  are the slip load and the storey shear strength of the i<sup>th</sup> storey, respectively. It should be 412 413 noted that the storey shear strength values can be calculated based on the results of non-linear pushover analysis. 414 To avoid the effects of lateral load patterns, to obtain the shear strength of each storey, a single lateral load was 415 applied at the same level, while the lateral degrees of freedom for all lower level storeys were constrained 416 (Hajirasouliha and Doostan, 2010). The load-displacement curves were idealised by using bi-linear model 417 proposed by ASCE/SEI 41-17, where the storey yield displacement is determined on the condition that the secant slope intersects the actual envelope curve at 60% of the nominal storey shear strength while the area 418 enclosed by the bilinear curve up to failure point (here 4% drift) is equal to that enclosed by the original curve. 419





423 Figure 11. Comparison of optimum slip load ratios of the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames under the selected near and far-field earthquakes as function of earthquake PGV/PGA ratio 424

425 The accuracy of the proposed empirical equation (Equation 4) can be assessed from Figure 12, showing the individual optimum slip load ratios obtained for the selected natural near-field and far-field earthquakes and the 426 427 curves resulting from Equation 4 (as functions of earthquake PGV level). The proposed equation curve is the 428 best fit to the series of optimum slip load ratios obtained for the selected earthquakes. The comparison with the 429 results obtained with Equations 2 and 3, where PGA is the optimisation parameter (Figure 10), shows that PGV

- 430 is a more reliable parameter to determine the optimum design solutions for the frames subjected to both near and
- far-field earthquakes. For all the selected frames, the R-squared values corresponding to Equation 4 are 431
- 432 significantly higher than those calculated for Equations 2 and 3, which confirms the higher accuracy of the new
- 433 equation to predict the optimum slip load ratio under both near and far-field earthquakes.
- 434 It can be observed from Figure 12 that the upper parts of the data sets with higher optimum slip load ratios are
- associated with the results of the near-field earthquakes, whereas the lower parts correspond to those of the far-435
- 436 field records. The dispersion of the results and discrepancy between the data sets and the proposed equation
- 437 curve can be caused by different pulse periods and frequency contents of the design earthquakes.



440

Figure 12. Comparison of optimum slip load ratios for the selected near and far-field earthquakes with the 441 442 proposed empirical equation (Equation 4) as functions of earthquake PGV level

#### **Efficiency of the Proposed Design Method** 443 7.

To assess the efficiency of the proposed design equation, the selected frames were designed using the slip load 444 445 values obtained from Equation 5 and the following design equation suggested by Nabid et.al [2017]:

$$F_{s,i} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} F_{y,i} \times 1.12 \times e^{-0.11n}}{n(n+1)/2} \times (n+1-i)$$
(6)

446 The designed frames were then subjected to the selected near-field and far-field earthquakes. It should be noted 447 that, unlike the equation proposed in this study, Equation (6) does not take into account the characteristics of the design earthquake (i.e. far-field and near-field effects). Figure 13 compares the average ratios between structural 448 responses (i.e. energy dissipation parameters RW and maximum inter-storey drift) obtained by using Equation 5 449 450 and Equation 6 for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames subjected to the selected sets of near and far-field 451 earthquakes. In general, the results indicate that the new design equation (Equation 5) increases the energy 452 dissipation efficiency of the friction devices (i.e. average ratios above 1) and slightly decreases the maximum 453 inter-storey drifts (i.e. average ratios below 1) of the studied frames. Based on the results, on average, the 454 proposed design method could increase the energy dissipation efficiency parameters (RW) of the 3, 5, 10, 15 455 and 20-storey frames by 17%, 13%, 5%, 21% and 38%, for the selected near-field records and by 62%, 44%, 41%, 54% and 35%, for the far-field earthquakes, respectively. The maximum drift ratios (Equation 5 to 456 457 Equation 6) are decreased by 20% and 11.4% for the near-field and far-field earthquakes, respectively. While more studies are required to assess the adequacy of the proposed empirical equations for the structures with 458 459 geometries or structural systems different from those used in this study, the general design methodology 460 proposed in this study should prove useful in preliminary design of friction dampers in practical applications.

It should be mentioned that the proposed friction wall system can be used in combination with the performancebased design methodology proposed by Montuori and Muscati (2016, 2017) to control the failure mechanism in the RC frames. Using this approach allows developing maximum number of dissipative zones at the beam ends, and hence improving the seismic performance of the system under strong earthquakes. The reliability of the design solutions can be also improved by using the partial safety factors related to the resistance model uncertainties in non-linear finite element analyses as proposed by Castaldo et al. (2018).



Figure 13. Average ratios (this study to Nabid et al.'s [2017] study) of the (a) energy dissipation parameter
(RW) and (b) maximum inter-storey drift for the 3, 5, 10, 15 and 20-storey frames under 20 near and far-field
earthquakes

#### 471 8. Summary and Conclusion

472 An efficient simplified model was proposed for optimum seismic design of friction-based dampers by 473 considering the effects of near-field and far-field ground motions. To obtain the optimum slip load ranges, a comprehensive parametric study was performed on 3, 5, 10, 15, and 20-storey RC frames with friction wall 474 475 dampers under spectrum compatible earthquakes scaled to different PGA levels as well as a set of 20 near and 476 far-field earthquake records. Subsequently, empirical equations were proposed to obtain the optimum slip loads 477 based on the number of storeys and PGA (or PGV) of the design earthquake. The efficacy of the proposed 478 design equations in achieving maximum energy dissipation capacity was demonstrated under both near-field and 479 far-field earthquakes. Based on the results of this study, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- Higher PGA (or PGV) levels generally lead to lower energy dissipation efficiency with higher and wider
   range of optimum slip load ratios. However, the relationship between the PGA and optimum slip load values
   is not linear and depends on the number of storeys.
- Friction wall dampers exhibit, on average, 118% higher energy dissipation efficiency and 24% lower maximum inter-storey drifts under far-field earthquakes compared to the near-field records. In general, the optimum ranges of slip load ratios obtained for the frames under the near-field earthquakes were also noticeably wider and higher (about 1.5 times) compared to those achieved under the far-field ground motions.
- It was shown that for the same PGV/PGA level (or similar frequency content), the earthquakes with higher
   PGA and PGV values resulted in higher optimum slip load ratios. In addition, the earthquakes with relatively
   high velocities occurring at the periods close to the period of the corresponding bare frames result in higher
   range of optimum slip load values.
- The optimum response of the structures was more sensitive to the variation of PGV than PGA. The proposed design equation for optimum slip load ratio R as a function of PGV resulted in considerably lower dispersions of the results (i.e. higher R-squared values) compared to the equations using PGA as a design variable.
- Compared to the previous equation suggested by Nabid et al. [2017] (without consideration of far-field/ near-field effects), the design method proposed here is considerably more efficient in increasing the energy dissipation efficiency of the friction devices (up to 54%) and decreasing the maximum inter-storey drift of
- the studied frames (up to 20%).

#### 500 **References**

- 501 Abrahamson, N. and Somerville, P. [1996] "Effects of the hanging wall and footwall on ground motions
- 502 recorded during the Northridge earthquake," Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America 86(1B), S93-S99.
- 503 Alavi, B. and Krawinkler, H. [2001] "Effects of near fault ground motions on frame structures," The John A.
- 504 Blume Earthquake Engineering Center, Report No. 138, Stanford University, CA.

- 505 Anderson, J. C. and Bertero, V. V. [1987] "Uncertainties in establishing design earthquakes," Journal of 506 Structural Engineering 113(8), 1709–1724.
- ASCE/SEI, 41-17, Seismic Rehabilitation of Existing Buildings, 1st edition American Society of Civil
   Engineers (ASCE), 2017.
- 509 Bhandari, M., Bharti, S. D., Shrimali, M. K. and Datta, T. K. [2017] "The numerical study of base-isolated
- 510 buildings under near-field and far-field earthquakes," Journal of Earthquake Engineering 22(6), 989-1007.
- 511 Bray, J.D. and Rodriguez-Marek, A. [2004] "Characterization of forward-directivity ground motions in the near-512 fault region," Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 24(11), 815-828.
- Castaldo, P. and Tubaldi, E. [2018] "Influence of ground motion characteristics on the optimal single concave
  sliding bearing properties for base-isolated structures," Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 104, 346364.
- Castaldo, P., Gino, D., Bertagnoli, G. and Mancini, G. [2018] "Partial safety factor for resistance model
  uncertainties in 2D non-linear finite element analysis of reinforced concrete structures," Engineering Structures
  176, 746-762.
- 519 CEN (Comité Européen de Normalization) [2004a]. "Eurocode 8: Design of structures for earthquake
   520 resistance-Part 1: General rules, seismic actions and rules for buildings," EN 1998-1-1, Brussels.
- 521 CEN (Comité Européen de Normalization) [2004b]. "Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures -Part 1-1:
   522 General rules and rules for buildings," EN 1992-1-2, Brussels.
- 523 Chopra, A.K. and Chintanapakdee, C. [2001] "Comparing response of SDF systems to near-fault and far-fault
  524 earthquake motions in the context of spectral regions," Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics,
  525 30(12), 1769–1789.
- Davoodi, M. and Sadjadi, M. [2015] "Assessment of near-field and far-field strong ground motion effects on
   soil- structure SDOF system," International Journal of Civil Engineering 13, 153-166.
- Filiatrault, A. and Cherry, S. [1990] "Seismic design spectra for friction-damped structures," Journal of
  Structural Engineering 116(5), 1334-1355.
- Guo T. Xu Z. Song L. Wang L. and Zhang Z. [2017]. "Seismic resilience upgrade of RC frame building using
  self-centering concrete walls with distributed friction devices," Journal of Structural Engineering, ASCE,
  143(12), 04017160.
- Hajirasouliha, I. and Doostan, A. [2010] "A simplified model for seismic response prediction of concentrically
  braced frames," Advances in Engineering Software 41(3), 497-505.
- 535 Hall, J. F., Heaton, T. H., Halling, M. W. and Wald, D. J. [1995] "Near-source ground motion and its effects on
- flexible buildings," Earthquake Spectra 11(4), 569-605.

- 537 Hatzigeorgiou, G. D. and Pnevmatikos, N. G. [2014] "Maximum damping forces for structures with viscous
- dampers under near-source earthquakes," Engineering Structures 68, 1-13.
- 539 Housner, G. W. and Jennings, P. C. [1982] "Earthquake design criteria," EERI Monograph Series, Berkeley, CA.
- 540 Iwan, W. D. [1994] "Near-field considerations in specification of seismic design motions for structures," Proc.
- of the 10th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vienna, Austria.
- Kiris, S. S. and Boduroglu, M. H. [2013] "Earthquake parameters affecting the performance of an RC frame
  with friction damper," Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering 55, 148-160.
- Lee, S. H., Park, J. H., Lee, S. K. and Min, K. W. [2008] "Allocation and slip load of friction dampers for a
  seismically excited building structure based on storey shear force distribution," Engineering Structures, 30, 930940.
- Lin, C. C., Chen, C. L. and Wang, J. F. [2010] "Vibration control of structures with initially accelerated passive
  tuned mass dampers under near-fault earthquake excitation," Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure
  Engineering 25(1), 69-75.
- 550 Malhotra, P. K. [1999] "Response of buildings to near-field pulse-like ground motions," Earthquake 551 Engineering and Structural Dynamics 28(11), 1309-1326.
- 552 MATLAB [2014]. The MathWorks, Inc.: Natick, Massachusetts, USA.
- McKenna, F., Fenves, G. L., Scott, M. H. [2000] "Open system for earthquake engineering simulation,"
  University of California, Berkeley, California, <a href="http://opensees.berkeley.Edu">http://opensees.berkeley.Edu</a> (1 August 2016).
- 555 Meskouris K., Kratzig W. B. and Hanskotter U. [1992] "Seismic motion damage potential for R/C wall-
- 556 stiffened buildings In: Fajfar P. and Krawinkler H. (eds) Nonlinear seismic analysis and design of reinforced
- 557 concrete buildings," Oxford: Elsevier Applied Science, 125-136.
- Miguel, L. F. F., Miguel, L. F. F. and Lopez, R. H. [2016]. "Simultaneous optimization of force and placement
   of friction dampers under seismic loading," Engineering Optimization 48, 586-602.
- 560 Model Code 2010, The International Federation for Structural Concrete (*fib*), Lausanne, Switzerland, 2012.
- 561 Mohammadi, R. K. Mirjalaly, M., Mirtaheri, M., and Nazeryan, M., [2018]. "Comparison between uniform
- 562 deformation method and Genetic Algorithm for optimizing mechanical properties of dampers," Earthquakes and
- 563 Structures 1(14), 1-10.
- Montuori, R., Muscati, R. [2016] "A general design procedure for failure mechanism control of reinforced concrete frames," Engineering Structures 118, 137-155.
- 566 Montuori, R., Muscati, R. [2017] "Smart and simple design of seismic resistant reinforced concrete frame,"
- 567 Composites Part B: Engineering 115, 360-368.

- Moreschi, L. M., Singh, M. P. [2003]. "Design of yielding metallic and friction dampers for optimal seismic
   performance." *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 32, 1291-1311.
- 570 Mualla I. and Belev B. [2017]. "Overview of recent projects implementing rotational friction dampers," Proc.
- 571 Of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Santiago, Chile. Paper N° 1667.
- 572 Nabid, N. [2018] "Performance Based Optimisation of Friction Energy Dissipation Devices in RC Structures",
- 573 PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, UK.
- Nabid, N., Hajirasouliha, I. and Petkovski, M. [2017] "A practical method for optimum seismic design of
   friction wall dampers," Earthquake Spectra 33(3), 1033-1052.
- Nabid, N., Hajirasouliha, I., and Petkovski, M. [2018] "Performance-based optimisation of RC frames with
  friction wall dampers using a low-cost optimisation method," Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering 16(10), 50175040.
- 579 Pall, A. S. and Pall, R. T. [2004] "performance-based design using pall friction dampers an economical design
- solution," Proc. of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, Paper No.
- 581 1955.
- 582 Papageorgiou, A., Halldorsson, B., and Dong, G. [2002] "TARSCTH (Target Acceleration Spectra Compatible
- 583 Time Histories)," Engineering Seismology Laboratory (ESL) at the State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Pasquin, C., Leboeuf, N., Pall, R. T. and Pall, A. [2004] "Friction dampers for seismic rehabilitation of Eaton's
  building, Montreal," Proc. of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada.
- Pavel, F. and Lungu, D. [2013] "Correlations between frequency content indicators of strong ground motions
  and PGV," Journal of Earthquake Engineering 17(4), 543-559.
- Petkovski, M. and Waldron, P. [2003] "Optimum friction forces for passive control of the seismic response of
  multi-storey Buildings," Proc. of the 40 years of European Earthquake Engineering SE40EEE. Ohrid,
  Macedonia.
- 591 Shiraia k., Kikuchia M., Itob T. and Ishiia K. [2019]. "Earthquake Response Analysis of the Historic Reinforced
- 592 Concrete Temple Otaniha Hakodate Betsuin after Seismic Retrofitting with Friction Dampers," International
- Journal Of Architectural Heritage, 13(1), 47-57.
- 594 Shirkhani, A., Mualla, I. H., Shabakhty, N. and Mousavi, S. R. [2015] "Behavior of steel frames with rotational 595 friction dampers by endurance time method," Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 107, 211–222.
- 596 Somerville, P. [1998] "Development of an improved representation of near-fault ground motions," SMIP98
- 597 Seminar on Utilization of Strong-Motion Data, 1-20.
- 598 Somerville, P. and Smith, N. F. [1996] "Accounting for near-fault rupture directivity effects in the development
- 599 of design ground motions," Proc. of 11th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Acapulco, Mexico,
- 600 Paper No. 711.

- 601 Somerville, P. G. [2002] "Characterizing near fault ground motion for the design and evaluation of bridges,"
- Proc. of the 3rd National Seismic Conference and Workshop on Bridges and Highways, Portland, Oregon, 137-148.
- 604 Somerville, P., Smith, N. F. and Graves R. W. [1997] "Norman A. Abrahamson; Modification of Empirical 605 Strong Ground Motion Attenuation Relations to Include the Amplitude and Duration Effects of Rupture
- 606 Directivity," Seismological Research Letters 68 (1), 199-222.
- Stewart, J. P. Chiou, S. J., Bray, J. D., Graves, R. W., Somerville, P. G. and Abrahamson, N. A. [2002] "Ground
  motion evaluation procedures for performance-based design," Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering
  22(9), 765-772.
- Tirca L., Serban O., Tremblay R., Jiang Y. and Chen L. [2018]. "Seismic design, analysis and testing of a
  friction steel braced frame system for multi-storey buildings in Vancouver," Key Engineering Materials, 763,
  1077-1086.
- Tirca, L. D., Foti, D. and Diaferio, M. [2003] "Response of middle-rise steel frames with and without passive
  dampers to near-field ground motions," Engineering Structures 25(2), 169-179.
- 615 Vezina, S. and Pall, R. T. [2004] "Seismic retrofit of MUCTC building using friction dampers," Palais des
- 616 Congres, Montreal. Proc. Of the 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada,
  617 Paper No. 1964.
- Wald, D. J., Quitoriano, V., Heaton, T. H. and Kanmori H [1999] "Relationships between Peak Ground
  Acceleration, Peak Ground Velocity, and Modified Mercalli Intensity in California," Earthquake Spectra 15 (3),
- Acceleration, Peak Ground Velocity, and Modified Mercalli Intensity in California," Earthquake Spectra 15 (3),
  555-564.
- Ku, Z., Agrawal, A. K., He, W. L., and Tan, P. [2007] "Performance of passive energy dissipation systems
  during near-field ground motion type pulses," Engineering Structures 29(2), 224-236.
- 23 Zhu, T. J., Tso, W., and Heidebrecht, A. [1988] "Effect of peak ground A/V ratio on structural damage," Journal
- of Structural Engineering 114, 1019-1037.