



This is a repository copy of *Comparative study of the effect of fuel deoxygenation and polar species removal on jet fuel surface deposition*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/143172/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Alborzi, E., Gadsby, P., Ismail, M.S. et al. (5 more authors) (2019) Comparative study of the effect of fuel deoxygenation and polar species removal on jet fuel surface deposition. *Energy & Fuels*. ISSN 0887-0624

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03468>

This document is the Accepted Manuscript version of a Published Work that appeared in final form in *Energy and Fuels*, copyright © American Chemical Society after peer review and technical editing by the publisher. To access the final edited and published work see <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03468>

Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.

Comparative Study of the Effect of Fuel Deoxygenation and Polar Species Removal on Jet Fuel Surface Deposition

Ehsan Alborzi, Phil Gadsby, M. S. Ismail, Abdolkarim Sheikhsari, Matthew Dwyer, Anthony J. H. M. Meijer, Simon Blakey, and Mohamed Pourkashanian

Energy Fuels, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.energyfuels.8b03468 • Publication Date (Web): 26 Feb 2019

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on March 3, 2019

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.



Comparative Study of the Effect of Fuel Deoxygenation and Polar Species Removal on Jet Fuel Surface Deposition

Ehsan Alborzi,^{*,†} Phil Gadsby,[†] Mohammed S. Ismail,[†] Abdolkarim Sheikhansari,[†]
Matthew. R. Dwyer,[†] Anthony J. H. M. Meijer,[‡] Simon G. Blakey,[¶] and
Mohamed Pourkashanian[†]

[†]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF, UK*

[‡]*Department of Chemistry, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7HF, UK*

[¶]*Department of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, UK*

E-mail: e.alborzi@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract

The effect of near-complete deoxygenation and polar species removal on deposition propensity of a Jet A-1 fuel type, with marginal thermal oxidative stability was studied in a laboratory scale approach. The fuel deoxygenation was carried out via nitrogen purging and two types of bespoke zeolites were used separately in a packed bed reactor for partial polar separation. The treated fuel samples were assessed individually for deposition propensity, using “High Reynolds Thermal Stability(HiReTS)” test device. It was found that when the concentration of hydroperoxides in fuel is relatively high, polar removal is more effective way than the fuel deoxygenation in reducing carbonaceous deposits.

1
2
3
4 12 Furthermore, competitive adsorption of dissolved O₂ with polar species was studied for
5 13 a model fuel doped with a few polar species, as well as for the Jet A-1 with marginal
6 14 thermal stability, in the packed bed reactor with zeolite 3.7Å. The polar species added
7 15 to the model fuel share the same functional groups as those in Jet A-1 with a strong im-
8 16 pact on fuel thermal degradation and surface deposition. These include hexanoic acids,
9 17 heaxnol, hexanal, hexanone, phenyl amine (aniline), butylated hydroxytoluene(BHT),
10 18 dibutyl disulfide and Fe naphthenate.

11 19 A one-dimensional model for calculation of dissolved O₂ adsorption in the packed bed
12 20 reactor was built using COMSOL Multiphysics. The modelling results were in good
13 21 agreement with the induction period prior to the beginning of the O₂ adsorption, as
14 22 well as the different stages of O₂ uptake during the competitive adsorption between
15 23 dissolved O₂ and polar species in the Jet A-1 fuel. The calculation showed a discrep-
16 24 ancy with the experimental results beyond the second phase of O₂ adsorption. More
17 25 theories, assumptions and physical sub-models are required to build a more robust pre-
18 26 dictive model.

19 27 A new chemical reaction pathway based on the self-reaction of hydroperoxides was
20 28 proposed as part of “Basic Autoxidation Scheme(BAS)” to justify the relatively high
21 29 deposition propensity of the marginal fuel after near-complete deoxygenation. The vi-
22 30 ability of this reaction pathway was supported by the quantum chemistry calculations.
23 31

32 Introduction

33 Overview of chemistry of fuel autoxidation

34 34 Gas turbine fuels are exposed to thermal stress en-route from the fuel tank, through the
35 35 engine fuel supply system to the combustion chamber. The increasing temperature of fuel,
36 36 as it passes through the engine fuel supply system, initiates a multitude of chemical reactions
37 37 in bulk fuel.¹ This results in the formation of a number of soluble and insoluble complex

1
2
3 38 organic molecules which are composed of hydrocarbons, sulfur, nitrogen and oxygen.²These
4
5 39 species ultimately contribute to the formation of carbonaceous deposits on the surface of the
6
7 40 fuel system. These deposits can block filter screens or fuel nozzles, cause disruption to the
8
9 41 flow of fuel, and result in breakdown in the operation of specific engine components.
10

11
12 42
13 43 Different chemical pathways contribute to the changes in chemical composition of a ther-
14
15 44 mally stressed jet fuel. Each of these needs a temperature at which they will dominate the
16
17 45 formation of deposits. Using analytical grade n-dodecane as a simplified model fuel, Reddy³
18
19 46 reported three temperature regimes. These include the autoxidation dominant, which oc-
20
21 47 curs at the temperatures up to 300 °C, and the pyrolytic degradation, which proceeds at the
22
23 48 temperature regimes above 500 °C. There is also an intermediate region reported that falls
24
25 49 between the autoxidation and pyrolytic regimes.
26

27 50
28
29 51 Aviation fuel typically contains approximately 70 ppm of dissolved O₂, when is in equilibrium
30
31 52 with air at atmospheric pressure and at room temperature. The molecular O₂ initiates a
32
33 53 multitude of chemical reactions known as autoxidation. The autoxidation of liquid hydro-
34
35 54 carbons has been broadly studied for pure single component hydrocarbons, with the carbon
36
37 55 atom numbers in the range of petroleum based jet fuel (C₁₀-C₁₂). The results of these stud-
38
39 56 ies indicate that the autoxidation reactions proceed through a free radical mechanism.⁴⁻⁷
40
41 57 The initiation step of autoxidation is manifested by the formation of free alkyl radicals, as
42
43 58 presented schematically in rxn1. It is hypothesised that the catalytic effect of metal con-
44
45 59 stituents of the fuel wetted surface plays a significant role in the initiation of autoxidation.⁵
46
47 60 Through the propagation stage, the free radicals can react with dissolved oxygen as shown
48
49 61 in rxn2. Another typical reaction in the propagation stage, which is rate determining, is the
50
51 62 abstraction of one hydrogen atom from the substrate by RO₂·, generating a hydroperoxide
52
53 63 and an alkyl radical in conformity with the rxn3.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




There is much experimental evidence indicating that trace polar species including phenolic species^{8,9}, reactive sulfur species such as sulfides and disulfides^{10,11} and nitrogen compounds¹²⁻¹⁶ play a significant role in the overall rate of autoxidation and surface deposition. The intervention of antioxidants is through the H-atom abstraction reaction from peroxy radicals as illustrated in rxn4. This reaction proceeds faster than the rxn3 due to the lower activation energy. Therefore, jet fuels with high concentration of phenolic compounds tend to oxidise slowly. However, these species have a significant impact on the increase of deposition rate.¹⁷

Hydroperoxides are another influential class of chemical species which significantly affect the overall rate of autoxidation.¹ It is important to note that hydroperoxides are inevitably found in micro-molar concentration in Jet-A1 during the storage period.¹⁸ This class of species is also considered as the primary products of fuel autoxidation. However, they are susceptible to be thermally and/or catalytically decomposed under thermal exposure, as shown schematically in rxn5. Thermal decomposition of hydroperoxides is integrated into the “Basic Autoxidation Scheme(BAS)” of liquid hydrocarbon fuels to account for the maintenance of propagation stage in the absence of dissolved oxygen.^{19,20}

1
2
3
4 82 As shown in rxn5, hydroperoxides partly undergo thermal decomposition(homolytic fission).
5
6 83 However, reactions catalysed by the intervention of complexes of dissolved metals such as
7
8 84 Cu, Fe and Mn cause this class of reaction proceed with a faster rate.^{18,21} It is known that
9
10 85 due to the low polarity of hydrocarbons, metals are not directly soluble in aviation fuels.
11
12 86 However, the trace of metals are identified in aviation fuel in association with naturally oc-
13
14 87 ccurring organic ligands. It is hypothesised that the organic ligand species are most likely to
15
16 88 be a mixture of naphthenic acids. Fuel contacts different metal components during produc-
17
18 89 tion and supply chains, which results in binding with naphthenic acids in the fuel, forming
19
20 90 fuel soluble metal naphthenates.²²

91

23 Another chemical pathway for decomposition of hydroperoxides is through the non-radical
24 reactions with reactive sulfurs as illustrated in rxn6 and rxn7.¹¹ It is reported that reactive
25 sulfurs and products of phenolic species in association with indoles and/or carbazoles con-
26 tribute to the surface deposition.^{4,18} However the underlying theory of the physico-chemical
27 interactions of these species is not well understood. With the exception of nitrogen com-
28 pounds, the role of the most significant heteroatomic species in fuel autoxidative deposition
29 process is illustrated schematically in figure 1.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40






Figure 1: Autoxidation Scheme for liquid hydrocarbons along with pathways leading to deposit formation, adapted from¹⁸

92 Fuel cleansing through partial adsorptive separation of polar species 93 and dissolved oxygen

94 Deoxygenation of aviation fuel via nitrogen purging has been widely used as a laboratory scale
95 method for jet fuel thermal oxidative stability enhancement. In theory, this is achieved by
96 prevention of peroxy radicals formation in rxn2. Membrane separation²³ is another method
97 for aviation fuel deoxygenation by which a significant O_2 drop from 70 ppm to less than 1
98 ppm is reported. There is much experiential evidence to suggest that the positive impact
99 of deoxygenation on surface deposition could be significantly different. This depends on
100 the distribution of trace sulfur, nitrogen containing molecules and oxygenated species in the
101 fuel.^{10,12,24,25}

102
103 Attapulugus clay is widely used at refineries as part of wet treating processes and also is com-

1
2
3 104 monly utilised in places close to the airports in the US, to remove surface active components
4
5 105 and other polar species. This is of great importance considering that aviation fuel is trans-
6
7 106 ported through multi-product supply chains and it may pick up trace of polars from previous
8
9 107 delivery and distribution of other fuels.^{26,27} Zeolite is another type of solid adsorbent used
10
11 108 for aviation fuel deoxygenation with low efficacy in the lab scale applications.²⁸
12
13
14 109

15 110 It is known that zeolites are highly porous crystalline aluminosilicates. Numerous zeo-
16
17 111 lite species (with different chemical compositions, crystal structure and adsorption proper-
18
19 112 ties) are known. In general, zeolites are described as aluminosilicates with open 3-dimensional
20
21 113 framework structures. They include corner-sharing TO_4 tetrahedra, where T is Si^{4+} and Al^{3+}
22
23 114 which are loosely associated with framework oxygens O^{2-} . Each oxygen anion connects two
24
25 115 cations and this yields a macro-molecular three dimensional framework with neutral SiO_2
26
27 116 and negatively charged AlO_2^- tetrahedral building-blocks. The negative charge is compen-
28
29 117 sated by additional non-framework cations such as Na^+ which is generally present after the
30
31 118 synthesis of the zeolite. The crystalline structure of zeolite is honeycombed with relatively
32
33 119 large cavities. Each cavity is connected through apertures or pores. The exact diameter of
34
35 120 the pore depends on the coordination, type and the amount of cations and anions.^{29,30}
36
37 121

38
39 122 Due to the presence of alumina, zeolites exhibit a negatively charged framework which is
40
41 123 counter-balanced by positive cations. This results in a strong electrostatic field on the
42
43 124 internal surface. Cations can be exchanged to calibrate the pore size or the adsorption
44
45 125 characteristics. In theory, the ability to fine-tune the pores to determined uniform openings
46
47 126 allows molecules smaller than the pore diameter to diffuse in whilst excluding the larger
48
49 127 molecules, known as the confinement effect.²⁹ The molecular size discrimination by zeolites
50
51 128 for branched hydrocarbons in comparison to the linear hydrocarbon molecules is reported in
52
53 129 the literature.³¹
54

55 130
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 131 In addition to the selective adsorption by pore size, larger molecules can be adsorbed on the
5
6 132 surface of pores of zeolites as a result of three major interactions: Van der Waals forces be-
7
8 133 tween zeolite pore walls and the adsorbate; electrostatic interactions between the adsorbate
9
10 134 and Brönsted acid sites of zeolite; and the adsorbate-adsorbate interactions.³² For instance,
11
12 135 adsorption of alcohols in zeolites occurs through hydrogen bonding between the OH func-
13
14 136 tional group with zeolite Brönsted acid sites. Furthermore, it is shown that with addition
15
16 137 of carbon atom, the dispersion forces, which are the result of the interactions between the
17
18 138 adsorbate and zeolite pore walls, become crucial factor in the adsorption affinity of the al-
19
20 139 cohols.³³

21
22 140
23 141 In summary, the net effect of interactions between guest molecules and zeolites is controlled
24
25 142 by the ratio of Si/Al.³⁴ Therefore, it is expected to observe a variety of chemisorption and
26
27 143 physisorption of polar and non-polar species into the pores and surfaces of zeolites. For
28
29 144 instance, studies using “Density Functional Theory(DFT)” demonstrated that zeolites ex-
30
31 145 hibit both physisorption and chemisorption in binding with molecules such as CO₂, CO and
32
33 146 H₂.³⁵⁻³⁷ It is also reported that zeolites with smaller pore size show preferential adsorption
34
35 147 between H₂, CH₄ and N₂ based on the molecular size. In contrast, it is shown that O₂ will
36
37 148 bind with Al with the possibility of chemical interaction.³⁸ This is an important consid-
38
39 149 eration when investigating the binding of autoxidation products with zeolites as not only
40
41 150 structure and size will be dominant factors but the chemical composition of zeolites can play
42
43 151 an important role.

44
45 152
46
47 153 One of the advantages of zeolites in the adsorption process is the sorbent regenerating char-
48
49 154 acteristics. There are common methods for regeneration of zeolites which can be grouped
50
51 155 into four types, used separately or in combination.³⁰ These include thermal swing, pressure
52
53 156 swing, purge gas stripping and displacement cycle.

54
55 157
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 158 This study aims to compare the impact of near-complete fuel deoxygenation with partial po-
4
5 159 lar species removal on propensity of surface carbonaceous deposits. This work is laboratory-
6
7 160 scale; scaling-up for larger applications and higher level of technology readiness requires
8
9 161 serious engineering considerations and was not part of this study.
10

11 162

163 **Experimental Work**

164 **Baseline fuels and chemical composition**

165 Three baseline fuels were used in this study: two types of Jet A-1 and a model fuel (i.e. a
166 polar-free solvent composed of 5 normal paraffins in the range of C₁₀ to C₁₄). The compo-
167 sition of major hydrocarbon constituents and the most significant deposition related to the
168 polar species of the baseline fuels are shown in table1.

169

170 The Jet A-1 fuel samples were analysed for hydrocarbons, reactive sulfurs and antioxidants
171 externally, using a test method developed by Intertek UK. This method identifies sulfur con-
172 taining compounds and group types in the middle distillates using an Agilent 7890 N “Gas
173 Chromatograph(GC)” equipped with a Zoex thermal modulation and an Agilent 355 sulfur
174 chemiluminescence detector. Fuel acidity was also quantified by Intertek UK according to
175 the ASTM D3242.

176

177 Quantification of sulfur classes was carried out via normalisation to the total sulfur content
178 as determined by combustion followed by UV-Fluorescence. The *GC* × *GC* analysis separates
179 sulfur-containing compounds based on their boiling points and polarity. Thus it was possi-
180 ble to elute the benzothiophenes and dibenzothiophenes in two well-defined bands, clearly
181 separated from the band of thiophenes, sulfides and mercaptans. Hydrocarbon speciation
182 was carried out using “UOP Method 990-11”. This method determines the molecular type

1
 2
 3 200 pyrometer can measure the real-time changes in the capillary wall temperature with the high
 4
 5 201 degree of accuracy. Over time, the deposition of the carbonaceous materials on the inner
 6
 7 202 surface of capillary tube causes an insulative effect. This results in localised areas of elevated
 8
 9 203 external wall temperature. Our previous findings show that the profile of temperature rise
 10
 11 204 along a heated tube in aero-engine representative condition is non-linear and fuel specific.
 12
 13 205 The non-linear temperature rise along the external surface of a simulated burner feed arm
 14
 15 206 under engine representative condition is reported in references.^{39,40}
 16
 17 207
 18
 19 208 By virtue of mounting a pyrometer on an automated positioning bed, the temperature in
 20
 21 209 the localised areas can be captured as discrete measurement points, along a small section
 22
 23 210 of the capillary, and used to create a time-profile of temperature rise along the wall. The
 24
 25 211 main advantage of the HiReTS method is the ability to quantify the thermal stability of fuel
 26
 27 212 using relative measures such as HiReTS number. This number corresponds to the thickness
 28
 29 213 of deposit inferred from the change to the thermal conduction between fuel and wetted wall.
 30
 31 214 The calculation of the HiReTS number employs the difference between the final and minimum
 32
 33 215 ΔT measurement in the data set generated at each of the twelve measurement positions. The
 34
 35 216 total HiReTS number is calculated by summing this difference at each measurement position
 36
 37 217 as shown in equation1. The test condition used in HiReTS is presented in table2.

Table 2: Test conditions in HiReTS

Test Parameters	Values
Flow Rate(ml/min)	35
Test temperature($^{\circ}$ C)	290
Test Pressure(MPa)	2.0
Test Time(min)	120
Number of positions measured per scan(n)	12
Scans per test	25
Distance between measured position(mm)	2.5
Fuel aeration time(min)	12
Scan time(min)	5

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n=12} (\Delta T_{Final} - \Delta T_{min}) \quad (1)$$

218 Fuel deoxygenation and adsorptive fuel treatment


219 The effect of near-complete deoxygenation on deposition propensity of the baseline fuels was
220 carried out using N₂ purging. Prior to the N₂ purging, the baseline fuels were aerated, as
221 indicated in the ASTM D6811-02 test method. Level of fuel deoxygenation was monitored
222 by an optical oxygen sensor which operates based on fluorescence quenching technology. The
223 deoxygenated fuel samples were kept in sealed fuel drums to minimise the risk of ambient
224 air diffusion and were instantly assessed for the surface deposition propensity in the HiReTS
225 test device.

226
227 A packed bed reactor was used to explore the simultaneous effect of zeolites 3.7Å and 4.5Å on
228 partial fuel deoxygenation and polar species removal. The packed bed reactor was a 1 m stain-
229 less steel tube with a 2.54 cm inner diameter and 6 K-type thermocouples inserted equally
230 distant along the tube for temperature monitoring. The distance between two neighbouring
231 thermocouples was 15 cm.

232
233 A “Proportional Integral Derivative (PID)” controller was used to heat the furnace up to a
234 fixed set point temperature for each test. Once the furnace reached the set point temperature
235 and settled, the tube reactor was filled up with sorbent and fuel and subsequently placed
236 inside the furnace. If the tube was connected to the pump prior to the furnace warming
237 up, the time required to fill the tube would have been longer. In this situation, adsorption
238 capacity of solid adsorbent could have been changed due to the longer interaction with the
239 fuel. Due to the absence of cooling effect, once the furnace reached the set point temperature,
240 the time required for fuel inside the tube to reach to the set point is faster in comparison
241 to the flowing system. This helps to reduce the effect of gradual temperature rise on the air
242 solubility in fuel.²⁷

243
244 The amount of zeolite was fixed in such away to fill up the isothermal region of the packed

1
2
3
4 245 bed reactor. Subsequently, a fixed low flow rate of 5 ml/min was set by means of a pump as
5
6 246 a part of solvent delivery system in a “High Performance Liquid Chromatography(HPLC)”.
7
8 247 This was to create a long residence time for the adsorption process in the bed. The level
9
10 248 of dissolved O₂ was monitored in-line by the optical oxygen sensor during each test. The
11
12 249 position of the oxygen sensor was approximately 1 m downstream of the tube reactor. Since
13
14 250 a standard complete HiReTS test requires 5 L of jet fuel(including test volume and rinsing),
15
16 251 each test took approximately 17 h to obtain sufficient volume for thermal stability assess-
17
18 252 ment in the HiReTS. It is important to note that three separate tests were carried out to find
19
20 253 the temperature corresponding to the beginning of the adsorption. This was in the range
21
22 254 of 25 to 75°C for both zeolites. Since dissolved O₂ was monitored in-line, the beginning of
23
24 255 adsorption was based on O₂.
25
26 256



37
38 Figure 2: Schematic of packed bed reactor
39
40

41
42 257 To explore one by one interaction of the polar species with zeolites, the model fuel was doped
43
44 258 with the known amount of polar species individually. This was followed by the adsorptive
45
46 259 treatment using 10 ml cartridges, filled with 1 g sorbent. The cartridges were connected to
47
48 260 a vacuum manifold so that a fixed flow rate of 1 drop/s was set for the treatment. The list
49
50 261 of polar species and their concentrations in the model fuel is shown in table3. We deliber-
51
52 262 ately added higher concentrations to the model fuel to minimise the quantification errors.
53
54 263 It is worth to note that, although the selected polar species in this work share the same
55
56 264 functional groups in their molecular structures with the polar species in a typical Jet A-1,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 265 their molecular weight is significantly lower. Concentration of polar species in the treated
5
6 266 samples were measured by gas chromatography with the exception of Fe naphthenate which
7
8 267 was quantified by ICP-OES.
9

10
11 269 To explore the competitive adsorption between dissolved O₂ and polar species with zeolite,
12
13 270 the model fuel doped with the polar species was treated with zeolite 3.7Å in the packed
14
15 271 bed reactor. The amount of dissolved O₂ in the treated fuel samples was measured in-line
16
17 272 by the optical oxygen sensor. The same measurement techniques as above were used for
18
19 273 quantification of polar species. In the next stage, the marginal fuel was treated with 3.7Å in
20
21 274 the packed bed reactor under the same test condition used for the model fuel for comparison.
22
23 275 The reason for the use of zeolite 3.7Å rather than 4.5Å in the packed bed reactor was merely
24
25 276 the availability of the raw material(chabazite) for the preparation of the coated pellets by
26
27 277 the sorbent producer.
28

29
30

31 Table 3: List of polar species and concentration in model fuel
32

Polar species	Supplier	Concentration
Hexanoic acid(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Hexanol(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Hexanal(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Hexanone(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Cumene hydroperoxides(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Dibutyl disulfide(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Phenylamine(aniline)(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
Butylated hydroxytoluene(BHT)(analytical grade)	Sigma-Aldrich	200 ppm
0.012-0.015 mM Fe naphthenate(12% wt)	Fisher Scientific	200 ppb

33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 279 Numerical Work

46 47 48 49 280 Packed bed reactor modelling

50
51 281 Two main approaches for simulation of diffusion in zeolites can be used including microscopic
52
53 282 and macroscopic methods. In the microscopic approach, the kinetic properties of guest
54
55 283 molecules are explicitly considered in modelling. However, in the macroscopic approach,
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 284 the zeolite is viewed as a continuous medium and kinetic properties of guest molecules are
4
5 285 neglected. The macroscopic approach is advantageous as it can be used as a fast correlative
6
7 286 model; the microscopic model is computationally expensive as it incorporates a variety of as-
8
9 287 sumptions regarding individual particle motion, the interaction between the guest molecule
10
11 288 and its host and interaction between the molecules themselves. Due to the complexity of
12
13 289 jet fuel chemical composition, we used a macroscopic model assuming that the jet fuel is a
14
15 290 binary mixture of a substrate and dissolved O₂ with the concentration of 70 ppm.
16
17
18

19 292 We assumed that the change of kinetics of O₂ adsorption over time is an indication for
20
21 293 the competitive adsorption of other classes of species. Accordingly, a time-dependent, one
22
23 294 dimensional model was created to calculate the adsorptive behaviour of dissolved O₂ in jet
24
25 295 fuel via passing through a bed of zeolite 3.7Å. It was also assumed that the concentration of
26
27 296 O₂ present in the mixture is small compared to the bulk fluid. Taking this into consideration,
28
29 297 the transport of diluted species in porous media interface in COMSOL Multiphysics with
30
31 298 convection and adsorption sub models was used. The convective/diffusive equations used in
32
33 299 the interface is shown in the equation2.
34
35

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-D \cdot \nabla \cdot c_i) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \cdot c_i = R_i \quad (2)$$

36
37
38
39
40 300 Where c_i represents the concentration of component i in mol/cm^3 , D_i denotes the diffusion
41
42 301 coefficient in m^2/s and R_i represents an expression for reaction rate of species i in $mol/m^3 \cdot s$
43
44 302 and \mathbf{u} indicates the bulk average velocity of the fluid phase in m/s .
45
46

47
48 304 The first term on the left side of the equation accounts for the consumption (or accumula-
49
50 305 tion) of the species i . The second term corresponds to the diffusive transport with respect to
51
52 306 the interaction between the dilute species and the solvent. The third term on the left hand
53
54 307 side of the equation illustrates the convective transport due to the average bulk velocity \mathbf{u} .
55
56 308 The reaction source term on the right-hand side of mass balance equation accounts for a
57
58
59
60

309 chemical reaction or desorption of species i on a porous matrix.

310

311 The diffusive transport is solved in conformity with the Fick's law and the effective diffusion
 312 in porous medium was calculated according to the equation 3. Freundlich model was used to
 313 solve the the adsorption of O_2 into the porous media.

$$D_e = \frac{\epsilon_p}{\tau_F} D_L \quad (3)$$

314 Where D_L represents the single phase diffusion coefficient for the species diluted in pure liq-
 315 uid phase in m^2/s , and τ_F accounts for the tortuosity factor (dimensionless) and ϵ_p represents
 316 the porosity of medium. The transport of diluted species interface in COMSOL provides
 317 predefined expressions to calculate the tortuosity factor in porous media according to the
 318 Millington and Quirk model.

319

320 The initial conditions and parameters used in the modelling are shown in table 4.

Table 4: Initial conditions and parameters used in the modelling

Tube reactor inner diameter	0.0254 m
Tube reactor length	1 m
Packed bed length	0.5 m
Concentration of dissolved oxygen in jet fuel	1.8E-3 mol/l
Concentration of bulk fuel	4.7 mol/l
Fuel flow rate	5 ml/min
Zeolite porosity	0.47
Diffusion coefficient of molecular oxygen	5E-6 m^2/s
Diffusion coefficient of bulk fuel	1E-7 m^2/s
Freundlich constant for oxygen	1.8
Freundlich exponent for oxygen	6

321 The diffusion of molecules in a pore is classified in different regimes depending on the pore
 322 diameter. Accordingly, for macro-pores, which are of the order of $1 \mu m$ or larger, collisions
 323 between the adsorbing molecules occur much more frequently than collisions with the ad-
 324 sorbent and the wall. As a result, molecular diffusion becomes the dominant mechanism.
 325 The number of collisions of adsorbing molecules with the wall increases as the size of pores

1
2
3 326 decreases until it eventually becomes smaller than the mean free path of the molecules. At
4
5 327 this point, Knudsen adsorption dominates and the molecular motion begins to be a function
6
7 328 of pore size. For example, in the range of 20\AA , when the pore diameter becomes compa-
8
9 329 rable to the size of the adsorbing molecules, the ongoing molecules-wall interactions occur.
10
11 330 Diffusion in the micro pores of zeolites usually occurs in this regime which is known as config-
12
13 331 urational diffusion. Under this regime of diffusion, the molecular motion is strongly affected
14
15 332 by the exact size and shape of the zeolites' channels, the shape of the diffusing molecules,
16
17 333 the interactions between the surface atoms and the diffusing molecules, temperature and
18
19 334 concentration of the adsorbing molecules. As a consequence, it is quite challenging to derive
20
21 335 generalised equations where all these aspects are included in diffusion coefficients for the
22
23 336 systems. The values of diffusion coefficients in this case vary in a broad range from 10^{-8} to
24
25 337 $10^{-20}\text{m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$. The readers are referred to the reference⁴¹ for further information.
26
27
28
29
30

339 **Quantum chemistry**

340 Density Functional Theory (DFT) geometry optimisation and frequency calculations were
341 carried out in the Gaussian 09 program at the UB3LYP/cc-pVTZ/IEF-PCM (Heptane) level
342 of theory.⁴²⁻⁴⁸ All structures were calculated using the singlet wave functions with the HOMO
343 and LUMO mixed to break the symmetry of the system and allow them to be open shell
344 singlet species.
345

346 The geometries of reactants, products and transitions states of the peroxides reactions were
347 all calculated. The reactants and products were considered optimised if the frequency cal-
348 culation had no imaginary frequencies. The transition states were considered optimised if
349 they had a single imaginary frequency along the reaction coordinate of interest. The opti-
350 mised structure for all of the calculations can be found in the supporting information. The
351 Arrhenius parameters were obtained from the DFT calculations using the thermodynamic

1
2
3 parameters from the frequency calculations.⁴⁹
4
5

353

6
7 From the equations below,²³ the Arrhenius equation can be linked to the enthalpy and en-
8
9 tropy of the reactions. The activation energy(Ea) was taken as the enthalpy change between
10
11 the reactants and the transition states, and pre-exponential factor(A) was obtained from the
12
13 entropic term.
14

358

15
16
17 The rate of a chemical reaction can be given from by the Arrhenius equation, where A is
18
19 pre-exponential collision factor and Ea is the activation energy as shown in equation4.
20

361

$$k = A \exp\left(\frac{-Ea}{RT}\right) \quad (4)$$

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 The rate constant can also be written as illustrated in 5, where the pre-exponential factor
29
30 has been split up into a temperature dependent component and an entropic component.
31

364

$$k = \frac{KbT}{h} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S}{R}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-Ea}{RT}\right) \quad (5)$$

32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 In a solvent, the activation energy can be substituted for the enthalpy of activation as pre-
40
41 sented in equation6.
42

367

$$k = \frac{KbT}{h} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S}{R}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta H}{RT}\right) \quad (6)$$

43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50 This indicates that the Arrhenius pre-exponential factor can be calculated using equation7.
51

369

$$A = \frac{KbT}{h} \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S}{R}\right) \quad (7)$$


370 Results and Discussion

371 Effect of deoxygenation on surface deposition propensity of baseline 372 fuels

373 Given surface deposition propensity of the baseline fuels, hereafter fuel sample A is referred
374 to as marginal fuel and fuel sample B as stable fuel respectively. The impact of near-complete
375 deoxygenation on deposition propensity of baseline fuels is shown in figure3a. This effect on
376 marginal fuel results in a noticeable reduction in deposition propensity. Nevertheless, the
377 high value of the HiReTS number demonstrates that deoxygenated marginal fuel is still
378 highly thermally unstable.

379
380 It can be observed that the impact of near-completed deoxygenation on deposition propen-
381 sity of stable fuel is positive; however, this fuel was already very thermally stable to show a
382 significant enhancement in surface deposition tendency. The model fuel was highly thermally
383 stable due to the absence of polar species. Due to the drastic response of marginal fuel to
384 the change of surface deposition propensity with near-complete deoxygenation, this fuel was
385 used for further investigation in this work.

386



(a) Effect of near-complete deoxygenation on deposition (b) Effect of polar species removal on deposition

Figure 3: a) Effect of near-complete deoxygenation via N₂ purging on deposition propensity of baseline fuels, b) Effect of polar species removal on deposition propensity of marginal fuel

In conformity with the kinetics of autoxidation in BAS⁷, in the presence of O₂ in bulk fuel, rxn2 requires no activation energy and it therefore proceeds very fast. Subsequently, RO₂· reacts slowly with RH generating RO₂H and R·. The literature data for the activation energy required for this reaction are in the range of 10-18 kcal mol⁻¹.^{7,18} A related point to consider is that the generated RO₂· can react with the radicals originating from phenolic antioxidant, as illustrated schematically in figure1. This reaction is one of the possible ways that leads to the formation of precursor molecules for the generation of surface deposits.

In theory, when fuel is deoxygenated, rxn2 cannot proceed; therefore the formation of RO₂· via routine autoxidation pathways is interrupted. Hypothetically, this should disrupt the propagation stage and consequently the formation of deposit precursor, linked to the reaction of RO₂·, and radical of phenolic antioxidant is prevented. However, it was observed that, despite near-complete deoxygenation, marginal fuel exhibits a high deposition propensity. In

1
2
3
4 400 addition, despite substantial enhancement in surface deposition propensity of marginal fuel
5
6 401 via treatment with zeolites, the treated fuel showed an increasing deposition tendency over
7
8 402 time in the HiReTS test device.
9
10 403

11 404 To explain these behaviours, chemical analysis of the post-treated samples as well as the
12
13 405 results of individual interaction of the limited number of polar species with zeolites were
14
15 406 used as discussed below.
16
17 407

21 408 **Comparison of one by one interaction of selected polar species with** 22 23 24 409 **zeolites**

25
26 410 The results of one by one interaction of polar species with zeolites are presented in figure4.
27
28 411 The percentage of removal shown in the figure is defined in conformity with the equation 8.
29
30

$$31 \quad \% \text{ removal} = \frac{\text{amount of polar in treated sample}}{\text{amount of polar in model fuel doped with polar prior to the treatment}} \times 100 \quad (8)$$

32
33
34 412 It can be observed that the highest percentage of removal corresponds to the oxygenated
35
36 413 species with a decreasing trend from hexanoic acid to hexanal. The trend continues to de-
37
38 414 cline steadily from hexanal to aniline and BHT, followed by a substantial drop to dibutyl
39
40 415 disulfide. The overall trend of percentage of adsorption is the same for both zeolites; how-
41
42 416 ever, zeolite 4.7Å presents slightly higher adsorption. This is likely to be attributed to the
43
44 417 bigger pore size, given that the ratio of Si/Al was the same for both zeolites.
45
46 418




Figure 4: One by one adsorption of polar species by zeolites 3.7Å and 4.5Å

419 The investigation of the intermolecular interactions of polar species in zeolites requires exten-
 420 sive molecular modelling work which is currently under way. Alternatively, we used “Hansen
 421 Solubility Parameter(HSP)”⁵⁰ as a simplistic approach to interpret the results presented
 422 in the figure4. HSP is defined in terms of three parameters for each molecule, including
 423 dispersion(δ_D), dipole moment(δ_P) and hydrogen bonding(δ_D), as shown in equation9.⁵⁰

$$\delta^2 = \delta D^2 + \delta P^2 + \delta H^2 \quad (9)$$

425 Table5 presents the estimated solubility parameters for the selected polar species, using
 426 HSPiP 5th Edition 5.1.04 software.⁵¹ The HSP values were used to present three dimensional

1
2
3 427 vectors corresponding to the model fuel and the polar species in a spatial region known as
4
5 428 the Hansen space. Geometrical presentation of this space is not presented here due to the
6
7 429 complexity for the interpretation. Instead, the distance between two vectors in this space
8
9 430 can be used as the likelihood of intermolecular interactions between two species in such away
10
11 431 that the closer they are, the more solubility(interactions) they have. We calculated the dis-
12
13 432 tance of the polar species from the model fuel in conformity with the equation10; see figure5.
14

433

$$Ra^2 = 4(\delta D1 - \delta D2)^2 + (\delta P1 - \delta P2)^2 + (\delta H1 - \delta H2)^2 \quad (10)$$

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22 434 The results shown in the figure5 indicate that the molecular interactions between the model
23
24 435 fuel and the oxygenated polars, as well as aniline, is relatively lower than BHT and dibutyl
25
26 436 disulfide . Given that the hydrogen bonding term for the oxygenated species and aniline is
27
28 437 higher, it is expected to observe that these species show the higher percentage of removal by
29
30 438 zeolites than BHT and dibutyl disulfide.
31

439

32
33
34 440 Contrary to the higher molecular interactions of BHT with the model fuel, the percentage
35
36 441 of removal of this species by zeolites indicates a high adsorption propensity. This is likely to
37
38 442 be attributed to the role of π -electrons of aromatic ring in forming hydrogen bonding with
39
40 443 the Brönsted acid sites of zeolite as reported in the reference.⁵²
41

444

42
43
44 445 The lowest percentage of removal of dibutyl disulfide by zeolites is likely to be attributed to
45
46 446 the low electrostatic interactions of this species with the Brönsted acid sites of the zeolites.
47
48 447 In addition, the branched molecular structure of dibutyl disulfide might be a preventing
49
50 448 factor for diffusion through the pores. The results of adsorption of cumene hydroperoxide
51
52 449 and Fe naphthenate were not conclusive, hence are not presented in this article.
53

450

54
55
56 451 One of the limitations of HSP values is the uncertainties for the dipole moment parameter
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 452 and hydrogen bonding term for some species.⁵³ For instance, the estimated value of the hy-
5
6 453 drogen bonding term for hexanoic acid is incorrectly lower than hexanol. It is important to
7
8 454 note that the O–H group in carboxylic acids is more strongly polarised than the O–H group
9
10 455 of alcohols due to the presence of the adjacent carbonyl group(C=O). In fact, the dipole
11
12 456 present in carboxylic acids allows these species to participate in hydrogen bonding, behaving
13
14 457 as both H-bond donor and acceptor. Such a high tendency of carboxylic acids for hydrogen
15
16 458 bonding is a strong justification for the highest percentage of removal of hexanoic acid when
17
18 459 compared to the other polar species.
19
20 460

21
22 Table 5: Hansen Solubility Parameters for the model fuel and polar species

23

Hansen Solubility Parameters			
Chemical species	Dispersion($MP^{0.5}$)	Dipole moment($MP^{0.5}$)	Hydrogen bonding($MP^{0.5}$)
Model fuel(n-dodecane)	16.2	0	0
Hexanoic acid	16.3	4.2	12.2
1-Hexanol	15.9	5.8	12.5
1-Hexanal	15.8	8.4	5.3
1-Hexanone	17.2	6.2	7.6
Aniline	19.4	5.1	10.2
BHT	16.5	0.9	4.5
Dibutyl disulfide	16.4	4.1	2.6

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5: Distance between vectors of the model fuel and polar species

461 **Investigation of competitive adsorption between O₂ and polars on**
462 **zeolite 3.7Å in the packed bed reactor**

463 The result of O₂ adsorption onto zeolite 3.7Å bed from model fuel doped with the polar
464 species, carried out in the packed bed reactor, is shown in figure6a.




Figure 6: a) Multi stage adsorption of O₂ from model fuel doped with the polar species, b) Adsorption of polar species from model fuel doped with the polar species, the samples for polar separation were taken through a valve, 2 m downstream of the packed bed

The results indicate that the O₂ adsorption begins approximately after 60-70 min of the test. This is followed by 60% increase over a period of 50-60 min, and an immediate decrease for the next 120 min. The reduction of O₂ adsorption is relatively sharp at the beginning and decelerates gradually for the next 180 min. A turning point, to which we refer as the beginning of the second phase of O₂ adsorption, occurred at approximately 300 min of the test time. During this phase, O₂ adsorption increased linearly.

The change in O₂ adsorption over time is likely to be attributed to a complex competitive adsorption between polar species and dissolved O₂ as supported by the results shown in figure 6b. It can be observed that the oxygenated groups such as alcohol, aldehyde, ketone and acids collectively adsorbed by the zeolite 3.7Å within the first 60 min of the experiment. Fe naphthenate showed a slower adsorption rate in comparison to the oxygenated species. However, the results indicate that uptake capacity of zeolite 3.7Å for Fe naphthenate is mod-


1
2
3
4 478 erately higher than the oxygenated species. It is likely that the first delay in O₂ adsorption
5
6 479 shown in figure6a is linked to the fast kinetics of the oxygenated species and Fe naphthenate
7
8 480 in zeolite 3.7Å.
9

10 481
11 482 Adsorption of BHT and aniline started at approximately same time (with BHT slightly
12
13 483 earlier). This time corresponds to the reduction of O₂ adsorption, as shown in figure6a.
14
15 484 The adsorption of BHT, Fe naphthenate and aniline reached a plateau after approximately
16
17 485 350 min of the test. This point matches with the beginning of the second phase of O₂ ad-
18
19 486 sorption. The results of adsorption of cumene hydroperoxide and dibutyl disulfide were not
20
21 487 conclusive and therefore were not presented here.
22

23 488
24
25 489 The results shown in the figure7a indicate that the adsorption of O₂ from marginal fuel,
26
27 490 followed the same trend as the model fuel doped with polar species with different timing. It
28
29 491 can be seen that O₂ adsorption started moderately after approximately 30 min but decreased
30
31 492 substantially over time. Subsequently, O₂ adsorption increased gradually in a linear trend
32
33 493 over the next 350 min. Such a long delay prior to the substantial O₂ adsorption is likely
34
35 494 to be attributed to the lower concentration of polar species in the marginal fuel. That is
36
37 495 to say, the time required for bed saturation for the case of model fuel doped with higher
38
39 496 concentration of polar species is shorter than the real fuel containing lower concentrations
40
41 497 of polar species.
42

43 498
44
45 499 A sudden increase in O₂ adsorption was observed after approximately 450 min with a max-
46
47 500 imum of 60% reached in about 50-60 min. The maximum level achieved is identical to the
48
49 501 case of the model fuel doped with the polar species. After this point, O₂ adsorption de-
50
51 502 creased with the same trend as the model fuel. However, a longer test for the marginal
52
53 503 fuel is required to observe if the second phase of O₂ can take place. The results of figure7b
54
55 504 indicate that the adsorption of Fe-bonded species and BHT from the marginal fuel followed
56
57
58
59
60

the same trend as model fuel doped with polar species; however, the time needed to reach to plateau for these polars are different for marginal and model fuels.



(a) O₂ adsorption from marginal and model fuel (b) Adsorption of phenolic antioxidants and Fe-bonded species from marginal fuel

Figure 7: a) Comparison of multi stage O₂ adsorption from marginal and model fuel, b) Fe-bonded and phenolic antioxidant adsorption from marginal fuel. The samples for polar separation were taken through a valve, 2 m downstream of the packed bed.

Role of hydroperoxides in near-complete fuel deoxygenated condition

Given the results of Fe-bonded and phenolic adsorption by zeolite 3.7Å and combining these to the relatively high concentration of hydroperoxides in the marginal fuel as shown in table 2, we proposed an additional chemical pathway to the BAS, known as self-reaction of hydroperoxides. We used quantum chemistry to determine the kinetic parameters of this chemical pathway to interpret the results shown in figure 3a and figure 3b.

The self-reaction of hydroperoxides was first proposed by Bateman⁵⁴ and cited by Denisov,⁷ as presented schematically in rxn7.



As presented in figure 8, quantum chemistry calculations indicate that the self-reaction of hydroperoxides can proceed through a concerted and two-step route. These pathways are thermodynamically favourable and the radicals, formed during the first step of a two-step pathway, react with no barrier to form polar and non-radical products. Interestingly, one of which is another hydroperoxide species, therefore maintaining autoxidation cycle through their role in the propagation stage. We also noted that the transition state between the peroxy and alkoxy radical fragments and the final products could not be located. This indicates that the self-reaction of hydroperoxides is barrierless or the energy barrier is very small. As such, the overall barrier to reaction is likely to stand as +33.6 kcal mol⁻¹.

A number of conclusions can be drawn from these calculations: the first conclusion is that an energy difference of +4.2 kcal mol⁻¹, between the two possible pathways, indicates that the reaction proceeds through the two step mechanism. The second conclusion that can be made from these calculations is that, even with a barrier of +33.6 kcal mol⁻¹, this pathway is a viable reaction in the thermally-stressed fuel, as it is approximately 10 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than that for the thermal decomposition of hydroperoxides, with the same order for the pre-exponential factor of around 1×10^{15} (mol,L,s).^{18,19,55}

It is important to note that the DFT calculations represent the upper value of the reaction barrier; however, due to the poor treatment of the electronic structure in this system, the barrier is likely over-estimated. This is also supported by the values presented in the literature^{7,54}, although the exact methods used to determine those barriers are unknown. As

1
2
3 540 such, future work will be carried out using high level quantum chemistry theories to better
4
5 541 understand this reaction.
6

7 542
8

9 543 In summary, given that the overriding difference between the two baseline fuels tested in this
10
11 544 work was the concentration of hydroperoxides and considering the fact that the self-reaction
12
13 545 of hydroperoxides is kinetically more probable than thermal decomposition, it is likely that
14
15 546 the self-reaction of hydroperoxide is responsible for the increasing deposition tendency of the
16
17 547 treated marginal fuel over the thermal exposure time in the HiReTS tube. It is important to
18
19 548 note that the underlying chemistry of hydroperoxides and thier link to the surface deposition
20
21 549 requires further research.
22

23 550
24

25 551
26

27 552 In the case of near-complete deoxygenation of marginal fuel, as illustrated in figure3a, the
28
29 553 high propensity of fuel for deposit formation, is likely to be attributed to the catalytic decom-
30
31 554 position of hydroperoxides, as this class of reactions requires approximately $+10 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$.¹⁸
32
33 555 This class of reactions is responsible for the formation of a series of chemical species, known
34
35 556 as the secondary products of autoxidation. These include: alcohols, ketones, aldehydes and
36
37 557 acids which collectively contribute to the formation of surface deposition through complex
38
39 558 reactions with reactive sulfures, antioxidants and polar nitrogen.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 8: Calculated reaction surface of the self reaction of methyl peroxide. The calculations were performed at the UB3LYP/cc-pVTZ/PCM (heptane) level of theory. It is clear that the reaction proceeds through a two step mechanism, at the energy barrier difference of +4.2kcal mol⁻¹ means +99% of the reaction goes through this pathway at expected temperatures.

559 Calculation of competitive adsorption in packed bed reactor

560 Simulation of simultaneous adsorption of various groups of polars and dissolved O₂ by the
 561 packed bed is interesting from the microscopic modelling point of view. However, as men-
 562 tioned in the modelling section of this article, a number of assumptions and theories should
 563 be integrated into the microscopic modelling approach which collectively makes the sim-
 564 ulation rather challenging and computationally expensive. These include the individual
 565 molecular motion, the physico-chemical interactions between zeolite pores and adsorbing
 566 molecules (polar species and molecular O₂), as well as the interactions amongst molecules
 567 during diffusion and adsorption. Understanding these interactions require an extensive quan-
 568 tum chemistry and molecular dynamics calculations along with experiments which is beyond
 569 the scope of this article. Therefore, for simplicity, in our macroscopic modelling approach,

1
2
3 570 all the molecular-level assumptions were ignored.
4
5

6 571

7 572 Initially, it was assumed that the dissolved oxygen separation in the packed bed reactor is
8
9 573 purely based on the physisorption. For the physisorption, the only tuning parameters are
10
11 574 the last two in table4, namely “Freundlich constant for oxygen” and “Freundlich exponent for
12
13 575 oxygen”. These parameters can control the adsorption capacity and the induction period
14
15 576 prior to the first phase of adsorption. For simplicity, to include the interference of chemical
16
17 577 interactions during physisorption, all possible chemical interactions were treated as one phe-
18
19 578 nomenological metamathematical expression, purely based on the experimental observations
20
21 579 presented in figure 7, using the reaction source term in equation2.
22


23 580

24
25 581 The modelling results presented in the figure9a illustrate the adsorption of dissolved O₂ onto
26
27 582 the bed of zeolite 3.7Å. The modelling results are in good agreement with the partial ad-
28
29 583 sorption of dissolved O₂ from marginal fuel during the induction period and the first and
30
31 584 second phases of adsorption. However, there is a discrepancy between the model results and
32
33 585 the measurement data after the second phase of adsorption.
34

35 586

36
37 587 A closer look at the modelling results indicates that the beginning of adsorption process
38
39 588 was predicted with a good degree of accuracy as shown in the figure9b. The beginning of
40
41 589 adsorption process is manifested by an induction period which is likely to be attributed to
42
43 590 the adsorption of the oxygenated species as observed in figure6b. This demonstrates that
44
45 591 the model can be used for calculation of time needed for the beginning of adsorption of the
46
47 592 oxygenated species. As the results of figure9b show, after $t = 50 \text{ min}$, the model results
48
49 593 indicate a plateau while the measurements show a slow adsorption of dissolved O₂. However,
50
51 594 this requires more in-depth understanding of physico-chemical interactions during this phase.
52

53 595
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



(a) Calculation of different stages of adsorption (b) Calculation of induction period and first stage of adsorption

Figure 9: Prediction of different stages of O_2 during competitive adsorption from marginal through the packed bed

Conclusions

The sensitivity of deposition propensity of a fuel, with marginal thermal oxidative stability, to deoxygenation and polar species removal was studied. The deoxygenation was achieved by N_2 purging while polar species removal was carried out by the application of two types of bespoke adsorbents including zeolite 3.7\AA and 4.5\AA in a packed bed reactor.

Our experimental findings demonstrate that when the concentration of total hydroperoxides in jet fuel is relatively high, the fuel deoxygenation is not an effective way to reduce deposition propensity. This is likely to be attributed to the self-reaction of hydroperoxides which results in generation of primary and secondary oxygenated products (hydroperoxides and species with carbonyl groups) thus participating in propagation stage of fuel autoxidation. Our quantum chemistry calculations indicate that self-reaction of hydroperoxides is a

1
2
3 608 thermodynamically viable two-step reaction.
4
5
6 609

7 610 The competitive adsorption of O₂ with some of the polar species by zeolite 3.7Å for a model
8
9 611 fuel was studied. It was found that the beginning of O₂ adsorption is hindered by the fast
10
11 612 adsorption of the oxygenated species. Moreover, when the oxygenated species reached a
12
13 613 plateau, O₂ adsorption proceeded with fast kinetics. A maximum uptake capacity of ap-
14
15 614 proximately 60% was recorded for O₂ followed by a moderate decrease. It appears that
16
17 615 the reduction in O₂ adsorption corresponds to the fast adsorption of aniline, and moderate
18
19 616 adsorption of BHT and Fe naphthenate. Furthermore, the results showed that when the
20
21 617 adsorption of these species reaches a plateau, O₂ begins to adsorb again with slower kinetics.
22

23 618

24
25 619 The similar trend for competitive O₂ adsorption by zeolite 3.7Å for marginal fuel was ob-
26
27 620 served. However, it can be seen that the beginning of O₂ adsorption time is substantially
28
29 621 longer than that in the model fuel doped with the polar species. This is likely to be at-
30
31 622 tributed to the lower concentration of polar species in the marginal fuel in comparison with
32
33 623 the model fuel. There is a similar trend for decrease of O₂ adsorption for marginal fuel after
34
35 624 the maximum uptake capacity which resembles the competitive adsorption of Fe- bonded
36
37 625 molecules and phenolic antioxidant.
38

39 626

40
41 627 The results of one dimensional model can be used to calculate the duration of hindrance for
42
43 628 O₂ adsorption and the maximum uptake capacity of O₂. The time needed for the start of
44
45 629 O₂adsorption can be used as an indication for the adsorption of oxygenated products. The
46
47 630 model falls short of being applicable to calculate the second phase of competitive adsorption.
48
49 631 Fundamental physical model is required to build an integrated model to predict the entire
50
51 632 process.
52

53 633
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Horizon 2020-Clean Sky 2 programme under research grant agreement 145251. The authors would like to knowledge Dr.Nicolas Grosejan of Johnson Matthey for solid adsorbent preparation and Richard Striebich of UDRI for polar species analysis.

References

- (1) Hazlett, R N, *Thermal Oxidation Stability of Aviation Turbine Fuels*; ASTM, 1991.
- (2) Beaver, B.; Gao, L.; Burgess-Clifford, C.; Sobkowiak, M. On the Mechanisms of Formation of Thermal Oxidative Deposits in Jet Fuels. Are Unified Mechanisms Possible for Both Storage and Thermal Oxidative Deposit Formation for Middle Distillate Fuels? *Energy & Fuels* **2005**, *19*, 1574–1579.
- (3) Reddy, K. T.; Cernansky, N. P.; Cohen, R. S. Modified reaction mechanism of aerated n-dodecane liquid flowing over heated metal tubes. *Energy & Fuels* **1988**, *2*, 205–213.
- (4) Jones, E. G.; Balster, W. J. Phenomenological Study of the Formation of Insolubles in a Jet-A Fuel. *Energy & Fuels* **1993**, *7*, 968–977.
- (5) Jones, E. G.; Balster, L. M.; Balster, W. J. Autoxidation of Aviation Fuels in Heated Tubes : Surface Effects. *Energy & Fuels* **1996**, *10*, 831–836.
- (6) Kuprowicz, N. J.; Ervin, J. S.; Zabarnick, S. Modeling the liquid-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons over a range of temperatures and dissolved oxygen concentrations with pseudo-detailed chemical kinetics. *Fuel* **2004**, *83*, 1795–1801.
- (7) Denisov, E. T.; Afanas'ev, I. B. *Oxidation and Antioxidants in Organic Chemistry and Biology*; CRC Press, 2005.

- 1
2
3 656 (8) Jones, E. G.; Balster, L. M. Impact of Additives on the Autoxidation of a Thermally
4
5 657 Stable Aviation Fuel. *Energy & Fuels* **1997**, *11*, 610–614.
6
7
8 658 (9) Grinstead, B.; Zabarnick, S. Studies of Jet Fuel Thermal Stability, Oxidation, and
9
10 659 Additives Using an Isothermal Oxidation Apparatus Equipped with an Oxygen Sensor.
11
12 660 *Energy & Fuels* **1999**, *13*, 756–760.
13
14
15 661 (10) Taylor, W. F. Deposit Formation from Deoxygenated Hydrocarbons. II. Effect of Trace
16
17 662 Sulfur Compounds. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Product Research and Devel-*
18
19 663 *opment* **1976**, *15*, 64–68.
20
21
22 664 (11) Zabarnick, S.; Mick, M. S. Inhibition of Jet Fuel Oxidation by Addition of
23
24 665 Hydroperoxide-Decomposing Species. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*
25
26 666 **1999**, 3557–3563.
27
28
29 667 (12) Taylor, W. F.; Frankenfeld, J. W. Deposit Formation from Deoxygenated Hydrocar-
30
31 668 bons. 3. Effects of Trace Nitrogen and Oxygen Compounds. *Industrial & Engineering*
32
33 669 *Chemistry Product Research and Development* **1978**, *17*, 86–90.
34
35
36 670 (13) Ervin, J. S.; Williams, T. F. Dissolved Oxygen Concentration and Jet Fuel Deposition.
37
38 671 *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **1996**, *35*, 899–904.
39
40
41 672 (14) Balster, L. M.; Zabarnick, S.; Striebich, R. C.; Shafer, L. M.; West, Z. J. Analysis of
42
43 673 Polar Species in Jet Fuel and Determination of Their Role in Autoxidative Deposit
44
45 674 Formation. *Energy & Fuels* **2006**, *20*, 2564–2571.
46
47
48 675 (15) Striebich, R. C.; Contreras, J.; Balster, L. M.; West, Z.; Shafer, L. M.; Zabar-
49
50 676 nick, S. Identification of Polar Species in Aviation Fuels using Multidimensional Gas
51
52 677 Chromatography-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry. *Energy & Fuels* **2009**, *23*, 5474–
53
54 678 5482.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 679 (16) Commodo, M.; Fabris, I.; Groth, C. P. T.; Gülder, Ö. L. Analysis of Aviation Fuel
5
6 680 Thermal Oxidative Stability by Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry (ESI-MS).
7
8 681 *Energy & Fuels* **2011**, *25*, 2142–2150.
- 9
10 682 (17) Taylor W F, *Development of High Stability Fuel, ESSO Research and Engineering Re-*
11
12 683 *port*; 1972.
- 13
14
15 684 (18) Kuprowicz, N. J.; Zabarnick, S.; West, Z. J.; Ervin, J. S.; Edwards, T. Use of Measured
16
17 685 Species Class Concentrations With Chemical Kinetic Modelling for the Prediction of
18
19 686 Autoxidation and Deposition of Jet Fuels. *Energy & Fuels* **2007**, *21*, 530–544.
- 20
21
22 687 (19) Zabarnick, S. Chemical Kinetic Modeling of Jet Fuel Autoxidation and Antioxidant
23
24 688 Chemistry. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* **1993**, *32*, 1012–1017.
- 25
26
27 689 (20) West, Z. J.; Zabarnick, S.; Striebich, R. C. Determination of Hydroperoxides in Jet Fuel
28
29 690 via Reaction with Triphenylphosphine. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*
30
31 691 **2005**, *44*, 3377–3383.
- 32
33 692 (21) Pickard, J. M.; Jones, E. G. Catalysis of Jet-A Fuel Autoxidation by Fe₂O₃. *Energy &*
34
35 693 *Fuels* **1997**, *11*, 1232–1236.
- 36
37
38 694 (22) Zabarnick, S.; DeWitt, M. J.; Striebich, R. C.; Gunasekera, T. S.; Ervin, J.; Briones, A.;
39
40 695 Shafer, L.; Fernando, S.; Graham, J.; West, Z.; Stouffer, S.; Vangsness, M.; Harruff-
41
42 696 Miller, B. *Fuels and Combustion Technologies for Aerospace Propulsion*; 2016.
- 43
44
45 697 (23) Spadaccini, L.; Huang, H. On-Line Fuel Deoxygenation for Coke Suppression. *Journal*
46
47 698 *of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power* **2003**, *125*, 686–692.
- 48
49
50 699 (24) Taylor, W. F. Deposit Formation from Deoxygenated Hydrocarbons. I. General Fea-
51
52 700 tures. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Product Research and Development* **1974**,
53
54 701 *13*, 133–138.
- 55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 702 (25) Frankenfeld, J. W.; Taylor, W. F. Deposit Formation from Deoxygenated Hydrocar-
5
6 703 bons. 4. Studies in Pure Compound Systems. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Prod-*
7
8 704 *uct Research and Development* **1980**, *19*, 65–70.
- 9
10 705 (26) Naegeli, D, The Role of Sulfur in the Thermal Stability of Jet Fuel. ASME-GT. 1999.
- 11
12
13 706 (27) *Handbook of Aviation Fuel Properties*, 4th ed.; Coordinating Research Council, 2014.
- 14
15
16 707 (28) Darrah S, *Jet Fuel Deoxygenation, AFWAL-TR-88-2081 Interim Report*; 1988.
- 17
18 708 (29) Van Bekkum, H.; Flanigen, E. M.; Jensen, J. C. *Introduction to Zeolite and Science*
19
20 709 *Practice* ; Elsevier, 1991.
- 21
22
23 710 (30) Kulprathipanja, S. *Zeolites in Industrial Separation and Catalysis* ; Willey, 2010.
- 24
25
26 711 (31) Bendoraitis, J. G.; Chester, A. W.; Dwyer, F. G.; Garwood, W. E. Pore Size and Shape
27
28 712 Effects in Zeolite Catalysis. *Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis* **1986**, *28*, 669–675.
- 29
30
31 713 (32) Mallon, E. E.; Bhan, A.; Tsapatsis, M. Driving Forces for Adsorption of Polyols onto
32
33 714 Zeolites from Aqueous Solutions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2010**, *114*,
34
35 715 1939–1945, PMID: 20070098.
- 36
37
38 716 (33) Nguyen, C. M.; Reyniers, M. F.; Marin, B. G. Theoretical study of the adsorption of
39
40 717 C1-C4 primary alcohols in HZSM5. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2010**, *12*,
41
42 718 9481–9493.
- 43
44
45 719 (34) Jha, B. and Singh, D N, *Basics of Zeolites. In: Fly Ash Zeolites. Advanced Structured*
46
47 720 *Materials*; Elsevier Inc., 2016.
- 48
49
50 721 (35) Density functional theory study on the adsorption of H₂S and other claus process
51
52 722 tail gas components on copper- and silver-exchanged Y zeolites. *Journal of Physical*
53
54 723 *Chemistry C* **2012**, *116*, 3561–3575.
- 55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 724 (36) Smith, D. G. A.; Patkowski, K. Benchmarking the CO₂ Adsorption Energy on Carbon
4
5 725 Nanotubes. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* **2015**, *119*, 4934–4948.
6
7
8 726 (37) Shang, J.; Li, G.; Webley, P. A.; Liu, J. Z. A density functional theory study for the
9
10 727 adsorption of various gases on a caesium-exchanged trapdoor chabazite. *Computational*
11
12 728 *Materials Science* **2016**, *122*, 307–313.
13
14
15 729 (38) Fischer, M.; Bell, R. G. Modeling CO₂ Adsorption in Zeolites Using DFT-Derived
16
17 730 Charges: Comparing System-Specific and Generic Models. *The Journal of Physical*
18
19 731 *Chemistry C* **2013**, *117*, 24446–24454.
20
21
22 732 (39) Alborzi, E.; Blakey, S.; Ghadbeigi, H.; Pinna, C.; Lewis, C. Investigation of surface
23
24 733 deposition in a simulated fuel injector feed arm with sudden expansion/contraction.
25
26 734 *Fuel* **2016**, *186*, 534 – 543.
27
28
29 735 (40) Alborzi, E.; Blakey, S.; Ghadbeigi, H.; Pinna, C. Prediction of growth of jet fuel autox-
30
31 736 idative deposits at inner surface of a replicated jet engine burner feed arm. *Fuel* **2018**,
32
33 737 *214*, 528–537.
34
35
36 738 (41) Kärger, J. Measurement of Diffusion in Zeolites—A Never Ending Challenge? *Adsorp-*
37
38 739 *tion* **2003**, *9*, 29–35.
39
40
41 740 (42) Becke, A. D. Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *The*
42
43 741 *Journal of Chemical Physics* **1993**, *98*, 5648–5652.
44
45
46 742 (43) Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The
47
48 743 atoms boron through neon and hydrogen. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1989**, *90*,
49
50 744 1007–1023.
51
52 745 (44) Wilson, A. K.; van Mourik, T.; Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated
53
54 746 molecular calculations. VI. Sextuple zeta correlation consistent basis sets for boron
55
56 747 through neon. *Journal of Molecular Structure: THEOCHEM* **1996**, *388*, 339–349.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 748 (45) Kendall, R. A.; Dunning, T. H.; Harrison, R. J. Electron affinities of the first-row atoms
5 749 revisited. Systematic basis sets and wave functions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*
6
7 750 **1992**, *96*, 6796–6806.
8
9
10 751 (46) Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H. Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular cal-
11
12 752 culations. III. The atoms aluminum through argon. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*
13
14 753 **1993**, *98*, 1358–1371.
15
16
17 754 (47) Peterson, K. A.; Woon, D. E.; Dunning, T. H. Benchmark calculations with correlated
18
19 755 molecular wave functions. IV. The classical barrier height of the $\text{H}+\text{H}_2=\text{H}_2+\text{H}$ reaction.
20
21 756 *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **1994**, *100*, 7410–7415.
22
23
24 757 (48) Tomasi, J.; Mennucci, B.; Cammi, R. Quantum mechanical continuum solvation models.
25
26 758 *Chemical Reviews* **2005**, *105*, 2999–3093.
27
28
29 759 (49) Ochterski, J. W.; Ph, D. Thermochemistry in Gaussian. *Gaussian Inc Pittsburgh PA*
30
31 760 **2000**, *264*, 1–19.
32
33
34 761 (50) M, H. C. *Hansen Solubility Parameters, A User's Handbook*, 2nd ed.; CRC Press, 2007.
35
36 762 (51) Abbot, S. HSP Basics. [https://www.stevenabbott.co.uk/practical-solubility/
37
38 763 hsp-basics.php](https://www.stevenabbott.co.uk/practical-solubility/hsp-basics.php).
39
40
41 764 (52) Javadian, S.; Ektefa, F. An efficient approach to explore the adsorption of benzene
42
43 765 and phenol on nanostructured catalysts: a DFT analysis. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 100799–
44
45 766 100808.
46
47
48 767 (53) Stefanis, E.; Panayiotou, C. Prediction of Hansen Solubility Parameters with a New
49
50 768 Group-Contribution Method. *International Journal of Thermophysics* **2008**, *29*, 568–
51
52 769 585.
53
54
55 770 (54) Bateman, L.; Hughes, H.; Morris, A. Hydroperoxide decomposition in relation to the
56
57 771 initiation of radical chain reactions. *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* **1953**, *14*, 190–199.
58
59
60

1
2
3 772 (55) Zabarnick, S. Pseudo-Detailed Chemical Kinetic Modeling of Antioxidant Chemistry
4
5 773 for Jet Fuel Applications. *Energy & Fuels* **1998**, *0624*, 547–553.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60