

This is a repository copy of *Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis* (*BIVA*) as a method to compare body composition differences according to cancer stage and type.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/142724/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Nwosu, A., Mayland, C. orcid.org/0000-0002-1440-9953, Mason, S. et al. (4 more authors) (2019) Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) as a method to compare body composition differences according to cancer stage and type. Clinical nutrition ESPEN. ISSN 2405-4577

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.02.006

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Accepted Manuscript

Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) as a method to compare body composition differences according to cancer stage and type

Amara Callistus Nwosu, Catriona R. Mayland, Stephen Mason, Trevor F. Cox, Andrea Varro, Sarah Stanley, John Ellershaw

PII: S2405-4577(18)30406-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.02.006

Reference: CLNESP 422

To appear in: Clinical Nutrition ESPEN

Received Date: 23 July 2018

Revised Date: 15 January 2019

Accepted Date: 12 February 2019

Please cite this article as: Nwosu AC, Mayland CR, Mason S, Cox TF, Varro A, Stanley S, Ellershaw J, Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) as a method to compare body composition differences according to cancer stage and type, *Clinical Nutrition ESPEN*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.02.006.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Article Type

Retrospective data analysis

Title

Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) as a method to compare body composition differences according to cancer stage and type

Authors

Amara Callistus Nwosu,^{1,2,3*} Catriona R. Mayland,^{1,2,4} Stephen Mason,¹ Trevor F. Cox,⁵

Andrea Varro,⁶ Sarah Stanley,³ John Ellershaw^{1,2}

- Palliative Care Institute Liverpool, University of Liverpool, Department of Molecular & Clinical Cancer Medicine, University of Liverpool, Cancer Research Centre, 200 London Road, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- ^{2.} Academic Palliative and End of Life Care Centre, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University NHS Hospitals Trust, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- ^{3.} Marie Curie Hospice Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom.
- ^{4.} Department of Oncology and Metabolism, University of Sheffield, Broom Cross Building, Weston Park Hospital, Whitham Road, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- ^{5.} Liverpool Cancer Trials Unit, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ^{6.} School of Physiological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author

Email: anwosu@liverpool.ac.uk (ACN)

Word Count: 2708

1 Abstract

2 Background & Aims

- 3 Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) is a non-invasive method of measuring
- 4 human body composition . This offers the potential to evaluate nutritional and hydration
- 5 states in cancer. Analysis of BIVA data using z-score (the number of standard deviations
- 6 away from the mean value of the reference group) has the potential to facilitate
- 7 comparisons between different cancer types.
- 8 The aim of this study was to use the BIVA Reactance (R) / Reactance (Xc) z-score method to
- 9 evaluate body composition differences in cancer, using data from previously published BIVA
- 10 studies.

11 Methods

Previous studies using BIVA in cancer were identified from the literature. Bioimpedance measures were analysed using the BIVA RXc z-score graph. The mean vector impedance measures from the studied populations were transformed into standard deviates (with respect to the mean and standard deviation of the reference populations). Body composition was classified according to vector placement (i.e. normal athletic, cachectic, oedematous and dehydrated).

18 Results

Seven male and three cancer female populations were evaluated. Body composition was classified as normal for the majority (n=5), followed by cachexia (n=4) and athletic (n=1) respectively. Variation in body composition for the studied populations appeared to be related to factors, such as gender, disease type and severity.

23 Conclusions

- 24 The BIVA RXc z-score method has potential to evaluate body composition differences
- 25 between cancer groups. This method can study body composition, according to cancer type,
- 26 stage, gender and ethnicity. Limitations of the method relate to issues appropriate
- 27 reference populations and variability between bioimpedance analysers. Better body
- 28 composition assessment has the potential to personalise therapeutic, nutrition and
- 29 hydration management. Further work is essential to facilitate in-depth evaluation in these
- 30 areas, in order to achieve meaningful use of the BIVA method in clinical practice.

31 Key words

- 32 Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis; Bioelectrical impedance analysis; nutritional
- 33 assessment; cancer; body composition; palliative care

34 Introduction

35 People with advanced cancer commonly experience body composition changes (i.e. fat, 36 bone, water and muscle). [1-4]. Evidence demonstrates that cancer patients with reduced 37 physical function report poorer quality-of-life[5] and shorter life expectancy compared to 38 other patients.[6]Bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) is a non-invasive method of 39 measuring human body composition (i.e. analysis of fat, bone, water and muscle).[7] BIA, 40 delivers a low frequency electrical current and works on the principle that fluid and cellular 41 structures will provide different levels of resistance to an electrical current as it passes 42 through a living system.[7] BIA provides the following measurements: Resistance (R - Ohms), assessing cellular hydration; Reactance (Xc - Ohms), assessing tissue integrity and Phase 43 44 Angle (PA - degrees), representing the arc-tangent between R and Xc (PA is a useful 45 indicator of health and prognosis.[7]). BIA technology has been used to evaluate hydration 46 and nutrition in several populations. [7, 8]

47 Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) to assess body

48 composition in advanced illness

Statistical vector analysis of BIA data enables further analysis of human body composition to be conducted.[9] Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) uses graphical vectors to analyse BIA data.[8] Using this method, impedance (Z) is plotted as a vector from its components R (X axis) and Xc (Y axis), after being standardized by height (H). The RXc graph represents the sex and race-specific tolerance intervals of a comparative reference population. Tolerance ellipses are plotted on the RXc graph to represent the 50%, 75% and 95% centiles (i.e. confidence intervals) for the population. (Figure 1 - *The RXc graph with*

56	95%, 75% and 50% tolerance ellipses. Reproduced and modified with permission).[10] The
57	advantage of this method is that it allows information to be obtained simultaneously about
58	changes in tissue hydration or soft-tissue mass, independent of regression equations, or
59	body weight. Therefore, BIVA can be interpreted accurately even if patients are at extremes
60	of weight or volume distribution. BIVA has been used to study hydration status in a variety
61	of different diseases[11-19] and to undertake general body composition assessments in lung
62	cancer[18, 20] and cancers of the head and neck.[21] Our previous research used the BIVA
63	method to examine associations between hydration status, symptoms and survival in
64	advanced cancer natients [22]

65 BIVA RXc z-score analysis facilitates comparisons between

66 populations

Statistical conversion of BIVA measurements to z-scores enables researchers to compare body composition of different study populations.[23] Piccoli et al[23] used this method to compare BIVA data for a variety of disease groups. To date, no studies have used the BIVA RXc z-score method to synthesise cancer populations evaluated with BIVA. Consequently, there is potential to use the BIVA Z score method to evaluate body composition by cancer type and severity. Such information will potentially help support nutritional assessment and management in cancer.

74 **Aim**

75 To determine the feasibility of the using the BIVA RXc z-score method to compare body

76 composition in cancer populations using published bioimpedance data.

78 Materials and Methods

A systematic review reporting BIVA in advanced cancer (published by Nwosu et al 2013[8])
was used to identify previous studies using BIVA to evaluate body composition in advanced
cancer. Further, an electronic search of the literature using MEDLINE, EMBASE and Pubmed
(combining keywords of "bioelectrical impedance vector analysis" and "Neoplasms[MesH]",
limited to English language and humans) was conducted to identify relevant studies.

84 Inclusion criteria for studies

85 Articles were eligible for review provided that they involved the use of BIA in adult humans 86 with cancer. The following data was required for the z-score analysis: (i) R/H (Ohm/m) and 87 Xc/H (Ohm/m) mean for the studied population, (ii) studied population size, (iii) sex-specific 88 bioimpedance data and (iv) details of the reference population used for the analysis. 89 Minimum standards for the reference population were as follows: the total sample size 90 n≥100, the R/H (Ohm/m) mean, R/H (Ohm/m) standard deviation (SD), Xc/H (Ohm/m) mean 91 and Xc/H (Ohm/m) SD. The Picolli 1995 reference population (Caucasian Europeans, males (n=354) and females (n=372) aged 18 - 85 years, body mass index (BMI) 16 -31 kg/m², Italy, 92 93 analyser = Akern-RJL systems [24]) was used for studies which did not meet the minimum 94 reference standard. We selected the Piccoli data as it was the most commonly selected 95 reference population for studies evaluating the BIVA method.

96 Exclusion criteria for studies

97 The following articles were excluded: Non English studies; those reporting paediatric
98 populations; absent data to facilitate the BIVA Z score analysis (see inclusion criteria).

99

100 BIVA software and z-score analysis

101	BIVA was conducted using software developed by Professor Antonio Piccoli, University of
102	Padova.[25] The mean vector impedance measures for study populations were transformed
103	into standard deviates with respect to the mean and standard deviation and compared
104	against their reference population.[24] The z-score is the number of standard deviations
105	away from the mean value of the reference group.[26] Z-scores can provide information
106	about an individual measured score, relative to others in the distribution.[27]
107	Transformation of the BIVA measurements to z-scores facilitates comparison between
108	different conditions and diseases (Figure 2). Using the RXc z-score graph, individuals within
109	the 50% tolerance ellipse are considered to have normal body composition, whereas those
110	in the 75% and 95% tolerance ellipses are abnormal.[25]
111	Vectors were plotted on the RXc z-score graph to facilitate data comparison. Vectors plotted
112	within the 50% tolerance ellipse were considered normal. Based on data from the Piccoli
113	study,[23] the BIVA RXc z-score graph was divided into four quadrants to classify body
114	composition of populations within the 75% and 95% (i.e. abnormal) tolerance ellipses. These
115	quadrants were (i) Athletic (high cell mass), top left, (ii) Cachexia (low cell mass), bottom
116	right; (iii) Oedema, bottom left and (iv) Dehydrated, top right (Figure 2). Body composition
117	was determined according to the plotted vector position. Further details on the equations
118	used to calculate the RXc Z score graph analysis are available in the appendix.

119 Ethical Statement

120 This study was a secondary analysis of previously published research. Therefore, ethical121 approval was not required.

122 **Results**

123 The literature search returned 15 full text articles using BIVA in people with cancer (Figure 124 3). Two of these articles were rejected as they are not specific to patients with advanced 125 cancer. Two studies (Lundberg et al[28] and Gnagnarella et al[29]) were excluded as insufficient data was available to enable the RXc z-score analysis to be conducted. Of the 126 127 remaining eleven studies, some presented the same BIVA data. These included two different 128 studies, which both reported data for the same breast cancer sample.[30, 31] Similarly, two 129 studies reported data for the same head and neck cancer sample. [21, 32] We grouped the 130 relevant studies together to avoid confusion. Consequently, nine of the eleven eligible studies were included. These nine studies provided data for seven male and three female 131 132 populations (Table 1). The studies described different cancer types and stages, which 133 included advanced cancer of different origin; [22] lung cancer (including a sample of patients 134 in remission),[18, 20] breast cancer,[30] head and neck cancer[21, 33] and gynaecological 135 cancer.[34] Details of patient demographics, type of analyser and BIVA z-score analysis are 136 presented in Table 1.

137 BIVA RXc z-score analysis

The reference population of Piccoli et al[24] was used as the chosen reference population for the authors of the Cardoso[34] and Nwosu studies. However, the seven populations described by Toso et al[18, 20] and Malecka-Massalska[21, 31, 35] [30, 32] used control groups with sample sizes of n<100 as a reference. We used the Piccoli data[24] as a reference population for these studies. Consequently, the Piccoli reference population was used as the reference for all studies in this paper.

144	The z-score analysis is presented in Figure 4 and Table 2 (supplementary file). Five
145	populations were normal (50% tolerance ellipse). These were the male and female cohorts
146	with various cancers (Nwosu et al 2016[22]), males with lung cancer in remission(Toso el al
147	2003[18]), males patients with stage III lung cancer (Toso el al 2000 [20]) and females with
148	gynaecological cancer (Cardoso et al 2017[34]). Comparatively greater cell mass was noted
149	in females with the newly diagnosed breast cancer[30] (the vector was superior to the 95%
150	tolerance ellipse of the athletic quadrant) had. Four groups were cachectic (vectors within
151	the 75% and 95% tolerance ellipse). This included males with stage IV lung cancer (Toso et
152	al 2000[20] - 75% tolerance ellipse), males with local and disseminated lung cancer(Toso et
153	al 2003[18] - 75% tolerance ellipse), and two populations of males with head and neck
154	cancer (Malecka-Massalska et al 2013[33]– 75% tolerance ellipse, and Malecka-Massalska et
155	al 2012, 2014[21, 32] - 95% tolerance ellipse)

156 **Discussion**

157 Main findings

Seven male and three cancer female populations were evaluated. Body composition was classified as normal for the majority (n=5), followed by cachexia (n=4) and athletic (n=1) respectively. Variation in body composition for the studied populations appeared to be related to factors, such as gender, disease type and severity.

162 Strengths and uniqueness of this study

- 163 This is first study to use the BIVA z-score method to compare body composition in cancer
- 164 populations, using data from previously published bioimpedance data. BIVA offers
- advantages over traditional methods of body composition assessment, due to its non-
- 166 invasive nature and simplicity. BIVA has methodological advantage over traditional BIA

167 calculations due to its independence of regression equations (which lack accuracy in
168 cancer[7]). Furthermore, BIVA can facilitate longitudinal assessments to evaluate body
169 compositionchanges over time. These properties are useful to evaluate nutrition and
170 hydration in people affected by cancer, who are unable to tolerate more invasive methods
171 of assessment. This research demonstrates the potential to use published BIVA data for
172 larger analysis.

173 **Comparison with previous work**

174 The only previous study to use BIVA RXc z-scores in cancer was the Piccoli et al 2002.[23] Piccoli plotted data from the vector point for males with stage IV lung cancer (Toso et al 175 176 2000[20]) within the cachexia quadrant (75% tolerance ellipse). Our data builds on Piccoli's 177 study and describes how, in addition to Toso's stage IV lung cancer data, three other 178 populations were also classified as cachectic. This included a lung cancer sample with local 179 and disseminated disease, [18] and two head and neck cancer cohorts [21, 32, 33]). The 180 vectors for the advanced cancer population described by Nwosu et al[22] (although plotted 181 within the normal 50% ellipse) were in a similar position to the lung cancer studies by Toso 182 et al, [18, 20]. This suggests similarity between these groups (i.e. low muscle mass, with risk 183 of cachexia), even though body composition was classified as normal. Therefore, 184 interpretation of BIVA Rxc z-score data requires consideration of clinical factors in addition 185 to BIVA. 186 Previous work illustrates how patients with cancer are prone to develop cachexia as their 187 condition progresses. [1, 36] However, data about the stage of cancer was only available for 188 two populations. It is possible that stratification of data by cancer stage may have

189 demonstrated that individuals with more severe cancer were more likely to be cachexic.

Furthermore, assessments at different points in the disease trajectory may demonstratechanging body composition over time.

192 Our data demonstrates that body composition appeared to be related to cancer type, 193 disease severity and gender.[37] For example, females with breast[30] and gynaecological 194 cancers[34] had increased cell mass compared to other populations (demonstrated by more 195 superior vector placement). Two factors may explain this difference. Firstly, individuals with 196 breast and gynaecological cancer were comparatively younger than other groups (the mean 197 age for the breast and gynaecological cancer groups were 53 and 60 years respectively, 198 whereas most other populations were aged >60 years). Secondly, these patients were 199 recruited at diagnosis, whereas participants in other studies were recruited later in their 200 illness.

201 Limitations

A limitation of this study is that nutritional screening tools were not used in all studies,

203 which makes nutritional based comparisons difficult. The Subjective Global Assessment

204 (SGA - a simple bedside method of assessing the risk of malnutrition [38]) was used in the

205 majority studies. Only one study (Cardoso et al[34]) reported body mass index (BMI) data

according to the requirements of the European Society for Clinical Nutrition and

207 Metabolism (ESPEN) malnutrition criteria.[39] Therefore, our ability to evaluate how BIVA

208 RXc z-scores relates to nutritional states is limited.

A small number of studies were evaluated in this analysis and the majority of participants included in the studies were from white, European or North American populations, which limits our ability to extrapolate the findings. The under-representation of non-white groups in these studies may be due to various factors, such as language and cultural barriers.[40]

- 213 Further, as this analysis only included English language studies, it is possible that studies
- 214 using BIVA in different cultural contexts were excluded.
- 215 The lack of BIVA research in females limits the ability to extrapolate results to women.
- 216 Females differ physiologically to males (generally more body fat, less body water, shorter
- 217 height and reduced muscle mass compared to men[41, 42]). Of the three studies including
- women, two studied female specific cancers (breast[30, 31], cervical[34]) and one studied
- with a mix of cancer types.[22] Therefore, no studies in the literature provide meaningful
- female-specific BIVA data for any cancers, other than those affecting the breast and cervix.
- 221 Our findings are limited by a lack of information about the reasons why reference
- 222 populations were chosen Reference populations may not be representative of the studied
- population. This is problematic with the Cardoso et al[34] study, which used an
- inappropriate reference population (European white adults) for their analysis of a Brazilian
- Pardo (mixed race) sample.[34] It is likely this population was chosen due to the lack of
- other suitable reference populations. Furthermore, seven populations used small control
- groups (n<100) as their reference, which are inappropriate due to their small size. Although
- 228 we used the Piccoli population as the reference for these studies, other reference
- population may have been more appropriate. This demonstrates the challenges of using the
- 230 BIVA Z score method appropriately when there is variability about how reference
- 231 populations are selected.

Different bioimpedance analysers were used throughout the studies included in this analyser. This may result in slight differences in reactance and resistance values which may alter the BIVA z-score interpretation. Finally, an inherent limitation of the BIVA method is that it is a qualitative assessment method which does not provide absolute values of body composition metrics.[8] Therefore, the method is unable to provide quantitative data on

- body composition variables (e.g. fat free mass, and fluid volume). This is why stratification
- of BIVA data according to clinical variables is important (e.g. disease stage, type and
- ethnicity), in order to determine clinically meaningful outcomes.

240 Implications to clinical practice and policy

- 241 This analysis supports previous data that describes how body composition in cancer is
- related to a number of factors (e.g. stage, type of disease).[36, 43, 44] This study
- 243 demonstrates the potential to use the BIVA RXc z-score method to undertake comparative,
- 244 multi-group, body composition analysis, which could be useful to compare differences in
- 245 cancer according to disease stage and type. This has the potential to personalise
- 246 therapeutic, nutrition and hydration based interventions according to an individual's
- 247 physiology. Although the BIVA RXc z-score method has potential use in clinical practice, we
- are unable to recommend its routine use in clinical practice (in cancer), due to the limited
- number of studies using the method and a lack of data to inform clinical interpretation.
- 250 Future research possibilities

251 Further research studies using bioimpendence are needed to evaluate differences in cancer, 252 according to disease type, stage, ethnicity and gender. In order to improve the clinical 253 usefulness of BIVA, future bioimpedance studies should report all the relevant data (and 254 standard deviations) required to conduct BIVA[45] (i.e. age (years), Height (m), BMI (Height 255 (H)²/m), weight(kg), R (Ohm), R/H (Ohm/m), Xc (Ohm), Xc/H (Ohm/m), PA (degrees)). 256 Researchers should justify the reasons for the choice of reference populations, stating why 257 the chosen population is best suited for their analysis. Inclusion of this information will 258 enable researchers to conduct BIVA analyses without needing to contact investigators for 259 further information. Researchers should aim to develop larger, appropriately powered,

- reference populations, to facilitate stratification (by age, gender, ethnicity and other clinical
 factors). As a priority, futures studies should generate data for non-white and female
- individuals.

263 **Conclusions**

- 264 The BIVA RXc z-score method can be used to evaluate body composition in people with
- 265 cancer. This method can be used to conduct analysis of body composition according to
- 266 different variables such as cancer type, stage, gender and ethnicity. Improved assessment
- 267 will lead to better understanding of the physiological and biological processes of advanced
- 268 cancer. Consequently, BIVA may help healthcare professionals to personalise therapy in
- 269 patients with cancer according to their physiology.

270 Acknowledgements

- 271 We thank Professor Antonio Piccoli (apiccoli@unipd.it) for the free use software to analyse
- the RXc graphs and vector BIA analysis.

273 Conflict of Interest Statement

274 The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

275 Funding Sources

276 The authors received no specific funding for this work.

277 Author Contribution Statement

- 278 The author's responsibilities were as follows.
- 279 Research design: ACN
- 280 Data collection: ACN

- 281 Statistical analysis: ACN, TFC
- 282 Paper writing: ACN, CM, SM
- 283 Supervision: CM, SM, AV, JE
- 284 Critique and review of the final manuscript: ACN, CM, SM, TFC, SS, AV, JE

285 List of abbreviations used

- 286 BIA (bioelectrical impedance analysis), BIVA (bioelectrical impedance vector analysis), CAH,
- 287 (clinical assisted hydration), ECOG (Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance
- status), H (height m), M (mean), R (resistance Ohm), R/H (resistance normalized by the
- 289 height m), Xc (reactance Ohm); Xc/H (reactance normalized by height), RXc,
- 290 (resistance/reactance); TBW (total body water), FFM (fat free mass), FM (fat mass), PA
- 291 (phase angle degrees), ESPEN (European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism),
- 292 BMI (Body Mass Index height/weight² [kg/m²]).

293 **References**

294 1. Skipworth RJE, Stewart GD, Dejong CHC, Preston T, Fearon KCH. Pathophysiology

of cancer cachexia: Much more than host-tumour interaction? Clinical Nutrition.

296 2007;26(6):667-76. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2007.03.011</u>.

297 2. Sarhill N, Mahmoud FA, Christie R, Tahir A. Assessment of nutritional status and
fluid deficits in advanced cancer. AmJHospPalliatCare. 2003;20(6):465-73.

3. Sarhill N, Walsh D, Nelson K, Davis M. Evaluation and treatment of cancer-related
fluid deficits: volume depletion and dehydration. SupportCare Cancer. 2001;9(6):408-19.

Duren DL, Sherwood RJ, Czerwinski SA, Lee M, Choh AC, Siervogel RM, et al. Body
 composition methods: comparisons and interpretation. Journal of diabetes science and
 technology. 2008;2(6):1139-46. Epub 2009/11/04. PubMed PMID: 19885303; PubMed
 Central PMCID: PMC2769821.

305 5. Buffart LM, Kalter J, Sweegers MG, Courneya KS, Newton RU, Aaronson NK, et al.

306 Effects and moderators of exercise on quality of life and physical function in patients with

307 cancer: An individual patient data meta-analysis of 34 RCTs. Cancer Treatment Reviews.

308 2017;52:91-104. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2016.11.010</u>.

Brown JC, Harhay MO, Harhay MN. Physical function as a prognostic biomarker
among cancer survivors. British journal of cancer. 2015;112(1):194-8. Epub 2014/11/14. doi:

311 10.1038/bjc.2014.568. PubMed PMID: 25393366; PubMed Central PMCID:

312 PMCPMC4453612.

313 7. Barbosa-Silva MC, Barros AJ. Bioelectrical impedance analysis in clinical practice: a
314 new perspective on its use beyond body composition equations. CurrOpinClinNutrMetab
315 Care. 2005;8(3):311-7.

8. Nwosu AC, Mayland CR, Mason SR, Khodabukus AF, Varro A, Ellershaw JE.

317 Hydration in advanced cancer: can bioelectrical impedance analysis improve the evidence

base? A systematic review of the literature. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2013;46(3):433-46.e6.

319 Epub 2012/12/04. doi: 10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2012.08.018. PubMed PMID: 23200189.

9. Piccoli A, Rossi B, Pillon L, Bucciante G. A new method for monitoring body fluid
variation by bioimpedance analysis: the RXc graph. Kidney Int. 1994;46(2):534-9.

322 10. Piccoli A, Nigrelli S, Caberlotto A, Bottazzo S, Rossi B, Pillon L, et al. Bivariate

323 normal values of the bioelectrical impedance vector in adult and elderly populations. The

324 American journal of clinical nutrition. 1995;61(2):269-70. Epub 1995/02/01. PubMed PMID:

325 7840061.

326 11. Piccoli A. Bioelectric impedance measurement for fluid status assessment.

327 ContribNephrol. 2010;164:143-52.

- McDonald JJ, Chanduvi B, Velarde G, Cama R, Diaz F, Carrillo L, et al.
 Bioimpedance monitoring of rehydration in cholera. Lancet. 1993;341(8852):1049-51.
 Bozzetto S, Piccoli A Fau Montini G, Montini G. Bioelectrical impedance vector
 analysis to evaluate relative hydration status. 2010;25(1432-198X (Electronic)):329-34.
 Codognotto M, Piazza M, Frigatti P, Piccoli A. Influence of localized edema on
 whole-body and segmental bioelectrical impedance. Nutrition. 2008;24(6):569-74.
- 15. Nescolarde L, Piccoli A, Roman A, Nunez A, Morales R, Tamayo J, et al.
- Bioelectrical impedance vector analysis in haemodialysis patients: relation between oedema
 and mortality. Physiol Meas. 2004;25(5):1271-80.
- 337 16. Piccoli A. Bioelectric impedance vector distribution in peritoneal dialysis patients with
 338 different hydration status. Kidney Int. 2004;65(3):1050-63.
- Pillon L, Piccoli A, Lowrie EG, Lazarus JM, Chertow GM. Vector length as a proxy for
 the adequacy of ultrafiltration in hemodialysis. Kidney Int. 2004;66(3):1266-71.
- 341 18. Toso S, Piccoli A, Gusella M, Menon D, Crepaldi G, Bononi A, et al. Bioimpedance
 342 vector pattern in cancer patients without disease versus locally advanced or disseminated
 343 disease. Nutrition. 2003;19(6):510-4.
- 344 19. Nwosu AC, Morris L, Mayland C, Mason S, Pettitt A, Ellershaw J. Longitudinal
- bioimpedance assessments to evaluate hydration in POEMS syndrome. BMJ Support Palliat
- 346 Care. 2016;6:369-72. Epub 2016/04/30. doi: 10.1136/bmjspcare-2015-000991. PubMed

347 PMID: 27125269.

- 348 20. Toso S, Piccoli A, Gusella M, Menon D, Bononi A, Crepaldi G, et al. Altered tissue
 349 electric properties in lung cancer patients as detected by bioelectric impedance vector
 350 analysis. Nutrition. 2000;16(2):120-4.
- 351 21. Malecka-Massalska T, Smolen A, Zubrzycki J, Lupa-Zatwarnicka K, Morshed K.
 352 Bioimpedance vector pattern in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. JPhysiol
- 353 Pharmacol. 2012;63(1):101-4.
- Nwosu AC, Mayland CR, Mason S, Cox TF, Varro A, Ellershaw J. The Association of
 Hydration Status with Physical Signs, Symptoms and Survival in Advanced Cancer-The Use
 of Bioelectrical Impedance Vector Analysis (BIVA) Technology to Evaluate Fluid Volume in
 Palliative Care: An Observational Study. PloS one. 2016;11(9):e0163114. Epub 2016/09/28.
- doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0163114. PubMed PMID: 27673684.
- 359 23. Piccoli A, Pillon L, Dumler F. Impedance vector distribution by sex, race, body mass
- 360 index, and age in the United States: standard reference intervals as bivariate Z scores.
- 361 Nutrition. 2002;18(2):153-67.
- 362 24. Piccoli A, Nigrelli S, Caberlotto A, Bottazzo S, Rossi B, Pillon L, et al. Bivariate
- 363 normal values of the bioelectrical impedance vector in adult and elderly populations.
- 364 AmJClinNutr. 1995;61(2):269-70.

- 365 25. Piccoli A, Pastori G. BIVA software. Department of Medical and Surgical Sciences.
- 366 University of Padova, Padova, Italy.; 2002.
- 367 26. Leard Statistics. Standard Score https://statistics.laerd.com/statistical-
- 368 guides/standard-score.php2013 [cited 2018 28th March]. Available from:

369 <u>https://statistics.laerd.com/statistical-guides/standard-score.php</u>.

- 370 27. Urdan TC. Statistics in Plain English: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2005.
- 28. Lundberg M, Nikander P, Tuomainen K, Orell-Kotikangas H, Makitie A. Bioelectrical
- 372 impedance analysis of head and neck cancer patients at presentation. Acta oto-

373 laryngologica. 2017;137(4):417-20. Epub 2017/01/13. doi:

- 374 10.1080/00016489.2016.1266510. PubMed PMID: 28079435.
- 375 29. Gnagnarella P, Draga D, Baggi F, Simoncini MC, Sabbatini A, Mazzocco K, et al.
- 376 Promoting weight loss through diet and exercise in overweight or obese breast cancer
- 377 survivors (InForma): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2016;17:363.
- 378 Epub 2016/07/29. doi: 10.1186/s13063-016-1487-x. PubMed PMID: 27464488; PubMed
- 379 Central PMCID: PMCPMC4963992.
- 380 30. Malecka-Massalska T, Chara K, Smolen A, Kurylcio A, Polkowski W, Lupa-
- 381 Zatwarnicka K. Bioimpedance vector pattern in women with breast cancer detected by
- 382 bioelectric impedance vector analysis. Preliminary observations. Annals of agricultural and
- anvironmental medicine : AAEM. 2012;19(4):697-700. Epub 2013/01/15. PubMed PMID:
- 384 23311792.
- 385 31. Malecka-Massalska T, Chara K, Golebiowski P, Wladysiuk M, Smolen A, Kurylcio A,
 et al. Altered tissue electrical properties in women with breast cancer--preliminary
 observations. Annals of agricultural and environmental medicine : AAEM. 2013;20(3):523-7.
 Epub 2013/09/28. PubMed PMID: 24069858.
- 389 32. Malecka-Massalska T, Smolen A, Morshed K. Body composition analysis in head 390 and neck squamous cell carcinoma. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official
- 391 journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) :
- 392 affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology Head and Neck Surgery.
- 393 2014;271(10):2775-9. Epub 2013/11/23. doi: 10.1007/s00405-013-2815-3. PubMed PMID:
- 394 24264763; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4149876.
- 395 33. Malecka-Massalska T, Smolen A, Morshed K. Body composition analysis in head
- 396 and neck squamous cell carcinoma. European archives of oto-rhino-laryngology : official
- 397 journal of the European Federation of Oto-Rhino-Laryngological Societies (EUFOS) :
- 398 affiliated with the German Society for Oto-Rhino-Laryngology Head and Neck Surgery.
- 399 2013. Epub 2013/11/23. doi: 10.1007/s00405-013-2815-3. PubMed PMID: 24264763.
- 400 34. Cardoso ICR, Aredes MA, Chaves GV. Applicability of the direct parameters of
- 401 bioelectrical impedance in assessing nutritional status and surgical complications of women

402 with gynecological cancer. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2017. Epub 2017/08/10. doi:

403 10.1038/ejcn.2017.115. PubMed PMID: 28792014.

404 35. Malecka-Massalska T, Smolen A, Zubrzycki J, Lupa-Zatwarnicka K, Morshed K.

405 Bioimpedance vector pattern in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Journal of

406 physiology and pharmacology : an official journal of the Polish Physiological Society.

407 2012;63(1):101-4. Epub 2012/03/31. PubMed PMID: 22460467.

408 36. Warnold I, Lundholm K, Scherstén T. Energy Balance and Body Composition in
409 Cancer Patients. Cancer Research. 1978;38(6):1801-7.

- 410 37. Prado CM, Maia YL, Ormsbee M, Sawyer MB, Baracos VE. Assessment of
- 411 nutritional status in cancer--the relationship between body composition and
- 412 pharmacokinetics. Anti-cancer agents in medicinal chemistry. 2013;13(8):1197-203. Epub

413 2013/08/08. PubMed PMID: 23919745.

414 38. Steenson J, Vivanti A, Isenring E. Inter-rater reliability of the Subjective Global

415 Assessment: a systematic literature review. Nutrition. 2013;29(1):350-2. Epub 2012/11/28.

- 416 doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2012.05.006. PubMed PMID: 23182379.
- 417 39. Cederholm T, Bosaeus I, Barazzoni R, Bauer J, Van Gossum A, Klek S, et al.
- 418 Diagnostic criteria for malnutrition An ESPEN Consensus Statement. Clin Nutr.
- 419 2015;34(3):335-40. Epub 2015/03/24. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2015.03.001. PubMed PMID:

420 25799486.

- 421 40. Johnson KS. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Palliative Care. Journal of Palliative
 422 Medicine. 2013;16(11):1329-34. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2013.9468. PubMed PMID: PMC3822363.
- 423 41. Martinoli R, Mohamed EI, Maiolo C, Cianci R, Denoth F, Salvadori S, et al. Total
- 424 body water estimation using bioelectrical impedance: a meta-analysis of the data available in

425 the literature. Acta Diabetol. 2003;40 Suppl 1:S203-6. Epub 2003/11/18. doi:

- 426 10.1007/s00592-003-0066-2. PubMed PMID: 14618473.
- 427 42. Guyton A, Hall J. Textbook of Medical Physiology. Tenth edition ed: W.B Saunders428 Company; 2000.

429 43. Demark-Wahnefried W, Peterson BL, Winer EP, Marks L, Aziz N, Marcom PK, et al.

430 Changes in Weight, Body Composition, and Factors Influencing Energy Balance Among

431 Premenopausal Breast Cancer Patients Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy. Journal of

- 432 Clinical Oncology. 2001;19(9):2381-9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2001.19.9.2381.
- 433 44. Mourtzakis M, Prado CMM, Lieffers JR, Reiman T, McCargar LJ, Baracos VE. A
- 434 practical and precise approach to quantification of body composition in cancer patients using
- 435 computed tomography images acquired during routine care. Applied Physiology, Nutrition,

436 and Metabolism. 2008;33(5):997-1006. doi: 10.1139/H08-075.

437 45. Kyle UG, Bosaeus I, De Lorenzo AD, Deurenberg P, Elia M, Manuel Gomez J, et al.
438 Bioelectrical impedance analysis-part II: utilization in clinical practice. Clin Nutr.

120	2004.	22(6):1420 52 Epub 2004/11/24 doi: 10.1016/i.elpu.2004.00.012 PubMod PMID:
439	2004,	23(0).1430-53. Epub 2004/11/24. doi: 10.1010/j.cinu.2004.09.012. Publied PivilD.
440	15550	Jonthor C. Introduction to statistics. Statistical tables. Mathematical formulae. In:
441	40. Coia	Lenther C. Infoduction to statistics. Statistical tables. Mathematical formulae. In.
442	Geigy	scientific tables, o ed. Basel. Ciba-Geigy Linfited, 1962. 1 p.
443	47.	Jolicoeur P. Introduction to biometry: New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum
444	Publis	sners; 1999.
445	48.	Harris EK, Boyd, J.C., Statistical bases of reference values in laboratory medicine:
446	New	York: Marcel Dekker; 1995.
447	49.	Mardia KV, Kent JT, Bibby JM. Multivariate analysis: Academic Press; 1979.
448	50.	Morrison DF. Multivariate statistical methods: Thomson/Brooks/Cole; 2005.
449	51.	Rösler A, Lehmann F, Krause T, Wirth R, von Renteln-Kruse W. Nutritional and
450	hydra	tion status in elderly subjects: Clinical rating versus bioimpedance analysis. Archives of
451	Geror	ntology and Geriatrics. 2010;50(3):e81-e5. doi:
452	<u> http://</u>	dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2009.06.007.
453	52.	Lukaski HC, Bolonchuk WW, Siders WA, Hall CB. Body composition assessment of
454	athlet	es using bioelectrical impedance measurements. JSports MedPhysFitness.
455	1990;	30(4):434-40.
456	53.	Piccoli A, Brunani A, Savia G, Pillon L, Favaro E, Berselli ME, et al. Discriminating
457	betwe	een body fat and fluid changes in the obese adult using bioimpedance vector analysis.
458	IntJO	besRelat Metab Disord. 1998;22(2):97-104.
459	54.	Ott M, Lembcke B, Fischer H, Jager R, Polat H, Geier H, et al. Early changes of body
460	comp	osition in human immunodeficiency virus-infected patients: tetrapolar body impedance
461	analy	sis indicates significant malnutrition. AmJClinNutr. 1993;57(1):15-9.
462	55.	Scalfi L, Di Biase G, Coltorti A, Contaldo F. Bioimpedance analysis and resting
463	energ	y expenditure in undernourished and refed anorectic patients. Eur J Clin Nutr.
464	1993;	47(1):61-7. Epub 1993/01/01. PubMed PMID: 8422874.
465	56.	Piccoli A, Piazza P, Noventa D, Pillon L, Zaccaria M. A new method for monitoring
466	hydra	tion at high altitude by bioimpedance analysis. MedSciSports Exerc.
467	1996;	28(12):1517-22.
468	57.	Piccoli A. Identification of operational clues to dry weight prescription in hemodialysis
469	usina	bioimpedance vector analysis. The Italian Hemodialysis-Bioelectrical Impedance
470	Analv	sis (HD-BIA) Study Group, Kidney Int. 1998:53(4):1036-43, Epub 1998/04/29, doi:
471	10.11	11/i.1523-1755.1998.00843.x. PubMed PMID: 9551415.
1, 1		
472		

474 Appendix

475 Formulas for the calculation of the bio-impedance confidence and

476 tolerance ellipses

- 477 The following section has been adapted (with permission) from Piccoli A , Pastori: BIVA
- 478 software.[25]
- 479 Geometrical parameters for drawing the RXc Graph and the RXc-

480 score Graph

- 481 Confidence and tolerance intervals can be calculated for the bivariate normal
- 482 distribution.[46-50] A simple linear correlation analysis can be used for calculation following
- 483 appropriate modification of the equations.[9, 23]
- 484 Given n pairs of observations x and y, with standard deviation s_x and s_y, and correlation
- 485 coefficient r, for a fixed α probability level, the Snedecor's F_{α} value is taken with 2 and n-2
- 486 degrees of freedom.

487 **RXc Graph**

- 488 The RXc graph semi-axes (L_1 and L_2) and the slopes (b_1 and $b_2 = -1/b_1$), of the axes of the
- 489 100(1- α)% confidence and tolerance ellipses (e.g. α = 0.05, 0.25, and 0.50 for the 95th, 75th,
- 490 and 50th percentile, respectively) can be calculated using the equations 2a and 3a,
- 491 respectively.

492 **RXc-score graph**

- 493 The parameters of tolerance ellipses of bivariate Z-scores (RXc Zscore graph) can be
- 494 calculated accordingly, using equations 1b and 2b.[23]

495 Equation 1 a

497
$$\sqrt{K}$$
. $\sqrt{(n-1)(s_{x^2}+x_{y^2})} \pm \sqrt{[(n-1)(s_{x^2}+s_{y^2})]^2 - 4(n-1)^2(1-r^2)s_{x^2}s_{y^2}}$

498

499 Equation 1 b

$$L1, L2 = \sqrt{K}. \sqrt{2(n-1) \pm 2r(n-1)}$$

500

501 Where

- 502 $K = F/n \cdot (n-2)$ for confidence ellipses
- 503 $K = F \cdot (n+1)/n \cdot (n-2)$ for tolerance ellipses
- 504 Equation 2 a

$$b_1, b_2 = \left(b, -\frac{1}{b}\right) = (s_{y^2} - s_{x^2})/2rs_x s_y \pm \sqrt{1 + \left[(s_{y^2} - s_{x^2})/2 rs_x s_y\right]^2}$$

505

506 Equation 2b

 $m{b}_1$, $m{b}_2=\pm 1$

507 Figure and Table Legends

508 **Table 1 Details of the studies included in the BIVA RXc z-score analysis**

Кеу	Author	Characteristics	N	Mean age (years)	Gender	BMI (kg/m ²)	Tolerance	Body composition	Analyser
•	Nwosu 2016[22]	Mixed cancer Males (n=42) mean age 70.6 (SD 11.0), median 71.0) BMI 26.4 (SD 5.2) predominantly Caucasian, advanced cancer with different disease types. United Kingdom.	42	70.60	Male	26.4	50%	Normal	Analyzer The EFG3 ElectroFluidGraph Vector Impedance Analyser (Akern)
	Nwosu 2016[22]	Mixed cancer Female (n=48) mean age 71.6 (SD 13.3), median 74, BMI 24.1 (4.7) predominantly Caucasian, with different disease types. United Kingdom.	48	76.10	Female	24.1	50%	Normal	Analyzer The EFG3 ElectroFluidGraph Vector Impedance Analyser (Akern)
	Toso 2000[20]	lung cancer stage IIIB Males, n=33. Mean age 67 (SD 5.0), BMI 25 (SD 5.5) Caucasian, lung cancer stage IIIB. Italy.	33	67.00	Male	25.0	50%	Normal	Analyzer BIA-101, RJL/Akern Systems, Clinton Town- ship, MI, USA

0	Toso 2000[20]	lung cancer stage IV Males, n=30, mean age 64 (SD 7.0), BMI 25 (SD 3.1) Caucasian, lung cancer stage IV, Italy.	30	64.00	Male	25.0	75%	Cachexia	Analyzer BIA-101, RJL/Akern Systems, Clinton Town- ship, MI, USA
Δ	Malecka- Massalska 2012[30] 2013[31]	Breast cancer Females, n=34, mean age =53.88 (SD 10.84) breast cancer (n=34) Poland.	61	53.88	Female	5	95%	Athletic	Analyzer ImpediMed bioimpedance analysis SFB7 BioImp v1.55, Queensland, Australia.
	Malecka- Massalska 2012[21] 2014 [32]	Head and neck cancer Males, n=28, mean age 57.1 (SD 7.3), BMI 22.8 (5.0), Caucasian, head and neck cancer.	34	57.10	Male	Y	95%	Cachexia	Analyzer ImpediMed bioimpedance analysis SFB7 BioImp v1.55, Queensland, Australia.
	Toso 2003[18]	Lung cancer Males, n=61, mean age =66 (SD 6), BMI= 25 (SD 4), Caucasian, lung cancer, locally advanced and disseminated.	56	66.00	Male	25.0	75%	Cachexia	Analyzer BIA-101, RJL/Akern Systems, Clinton Township, MI, USA
X	Toso 2003[18]	Lung cancer in remission Males, n=31, mean age= 63 (SD 10), BMI= 25 (SD 4) Caucasian, lung cancer in remission (n=31)	31	63.00	Male	25.0	50%	Normal	Analyzer BIA-101, RJL/Akern Systems, Clinton Township, MI, USA
\diamond	Melecka-	Head and neck cancer	67	67.00	Male	22.9	75%	Cachexia	Analyzer

	Massalska 2013[33]	Males, Caucasian n=67, mean age = 56.8 (SD 7.9), BMI 22.9 (SD 4.4), Caucasian, head and neck cancer, Poland.					~		ImpediMed bioimpedance analysis SFB7 BioImp v1.55, Queensland, Australia.
*	Cardoso 2017[34]	Gynaecological cancer Female, n=208, mean age= 60 (range 51-67), BMI = underweight (12(6%), normal 52(25%), overweight 55 (26%), obese 89 (43%). White n=89(43%), mixed races 92(42%), Black 26(13%). Gynaecological cancer. Brazil	208	60.00	Female	5	50%	Normal	BIA 450 Bioimpedance Analyzer, Biodynamics, Shoreline, WA, USA

- 510 RXc z score data analysed with BIVA software using equations included in the appendix.
- **Table 2: Bioimpedance Z score data for the included studies**

				Reference population data*						
Study details	,	R/H (Ohm/m)	Xc/H (Ohm/m)	N	R/H (Ohm/m) mean	R/H (Ohm/m) SD	Xc/H (Ohm/m) Mean	Xc/H (Ohm/m) SD	Z(R) score	Z(Xc) score

Males, mixed cancer - Nwosu 2016[22]	306.6	26.1	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	0.19	-0.65
Females, mixed cancer - Nwosu 2016[22]	372.2	29.1	372	298.6	49.0	34.4	7.7	0.01	-0.69
Males, White, Lung cancer stage IIIB, - Toso 2000[20]	302.0	25.0	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	0.08	-0.81
Males, White, Lung cancer stage IV, - Toso 2000[20]	314.0	24.0	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	0.36	-0.94
Females with breast cancer - Malecka-Massalska 2012[30] 2013[31]	377.54	53.58	372	298.6	49.0	34.4	7.7	0.12	2.49
Males with head and neck cancer- Melecka-Massalska 2013[33]	342.54	27.62	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	1.02	-0.44
Males with lung cancer locally advanced and disseminated - Toso 2003[18]	317	26.0	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	0.43	-0.67
Males with lung cancer in remission - Toso 2003[18]	287	25.0	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	-0.27	-0.81
Males with head and neck cancer - Melecka-Massalska 2013[33]	327.01	28.04	354	371.9	43.2	30.8	7.2	0.66	-0.38
Females (mixed race) gynaecological cancer - Cardoso 2017[34]	349.8	34.4	372	298.6	49.0	34.4	7.7	-0.45	0.00

all studies in. *The Piccoli et al 1995[24] reference population data was used for all studies included in this analysis. BIVA software equations are included in

the appendix.

Figure 1: The RXc graph with 95%, 75% and 50% tolerance ellipses. Reproduced and
 modified with permission.[51]

519

Figure 2: The BIVA z-score graph: data drawn from the literature and plotted on the RXc zscore graph after transformation of the impedance measurements from several disease groups into bivariate z-scores (with respect to their reference population). Modified with permission.[23]

524 Solid and open circles represent male and female, respectively. A forward or backward 525 displacement of vectors parallel to the major axis of ellipses was associated with

526 dehydration or fluid overloading, respectively, reaching extremes out of the poles. Single

527 score vectors are from athletes, [52] obese subjects of class I to III [53] or patients with

528 chronic renal failure in conservative treatment, nephrotic syndrome (oedema), lung

529 cancer,[20] acquired immunodeficiency syndrome in stages WR 3 to 5 or WR 6,[54] and

530 anorexia nervosa.[55] Repeated score vectors are from climbers before and after high

531 altitude dehydration,[56] Haemodialysis patients, either lean[57] or obese,[53] before and

532 after fluid removal with a dialysis session, and dehydrated patients with cholera before and

533 after fluid infusion.[12] Vectors above or below the major axis (meaning upper left or lower

right half of ellipses) were associated with more or less cell mass in soft tissues, respectively,

535 with extremes along the minor axis. Abbreviations: CRF = chronic renal failure; HD=

536 haemodialysis; HDo= obese haemodialysis patients; HIV= human immunodeficiency virus

537 stages 1-6; Ob/1-3= obese subjects of classes I to III; WR= Walter Reed stages 1-6.

538 Reproduced with permission.[23]

539 Figure 3: Overall selection process for clinical studies included in the BIVA Z score analysis

540

- 541 Figure 4: RXc z-score graph analysis of bioelectrical impedance vector analysis (BIVA) data
- 542 from studies of patients with cancer.
- 543 Data drawn from the literature and plotted on the RXc-score graph after transformation of
- 544 impedance measurements from several disease groups into bivariate Z scores (with respect
- 545 to the Piccoli 1995 reference population[24]). Further details of the equations used for the
- 546 analysis are available in the appendix.

CER MA

KING HAR CRAR











Author Contribution Statement

The author's responsibilities were as follows.

Research design: ACN

Data collection: ACN

Statistical analysis: ACN, TFC

Paper writing: ACN, CM, SM

Supervision: CM, SM, AV, JE

Critique and review of the final manuscript: ACN, CM, SM, TFC, SS, AV, JE

ACN: Amara Callistus Nwosu

TFC: Trevor F Cox

CM: Catriona Mayland

SM: Stephen Mason

SS: Sarah Stanely

AV: Andrea Varro

JE: John Ellershaw