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#### Abstract

Here, we confirm Melnick 34, an X-ray bright star in the 30 Dor region of the Large Magellanic Cloud, as an SB2 binary comprising WN5h + WN5h components. We present orbital solutions using 26 epochs of VLT/UVES spectra and 22 epochs of archival Gemini/GMOS spectra. Radial velocity monitoring and automated template-fitting methods both reveal a similar high-eccentricity system with a mass ratio close to unity, and an orbital period in agreement with the $155.1 \pm 1 \mathrm{~d}$ X-ray light-curve period previously derived by Pollock et al. Our favoured solution derived an eccentricity of $0.68 \pm 0.02$ and mass ratio of $0.92 \pm 0.07$, giving minimum masses of $M_{A} \sin ^{3}(i)=65 \pm 7 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{B} \sin ^{3}(i)=60 \pm 7 M_{\odot}$. Spectral modelling using WN5h templates with CMFGEN reveals temperatures of T $\sim 53 \mathrm{kK}$ for each component and luminosities of $\log \left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right)=6.43 \pm 0.08$ and $\log \left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right)=6.37 \pm 0.08$, from which BONNSAI evolutionary modelling gives masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=139_{-18}^{+21} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ $=127_{-17}^{+17} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and ages of $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{Myr}$. Spectroscopic and dynamic masses would agree if Mk34 has an inclination of $\mathrm{i} \sim 50^{\circ}$, making Mk34 the most massive binary known and an excellent candidate for investigating the properties of colliding wind binaries. Within 2-3 Myr, both components of Mk34 are expected to evolve to stellar mass black holes, which, assuming the binary system survives, would make Mk34 a potential binary black hole merger progenitor and a gravitational wave source.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Mass is a fundamental property of stars but is often elusive. Robust stellar mass determinations are crucial for deducing a wealth of other stellar properties, such as luminosities and lifetimes. However, inferring stellar masses is often a difficult process and direct measurements are rare since reliable model-independent masses can only be obtained from either astrometric binaries, for nearby objects with a known distance, or spectroscopic binary systems in which the orbital inclination is known, i.e. from double-eclipsing binary systems or polarimetry. The difficulty is amplified when working in the high stellar mass range since massive stars are inherently rare. The initial mass function (IMF) describes the distribution of stellar masses and shows that the formation of very massive stars (VMS, $>100 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ) is exceptionally rare (Vink 2015). It has also been claimed that an upper stellar mass limit of $\sim 150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ exists

[^0]for the formation of single stars (Weidner \& Kroupa 2004; Figer 2005; Oey \& Clarke 2005), however, this argument is based on observations rather than physical constraints. Whilst higher mass stars may be possible, it has been suggested that these stars stem from mergers of lower mass stars rather than the formation of VMS (Banerjee, Kroupa \& Oh 2012).
Due to these complications, we often turn to spectroscopic and evolutionary modelling (e.g. Yusof et al. 2013; Köhler et al. 2015) to determine stellar masses. In the high-mass regime, however, this can lead to ambiguous and controversial results (Crowther et al. 2010; Schneider et al. 2018).

To remedy this, we need to verify these models with a robust sample of directly measured masses to act as calibration points. The detached eclipsing binaries catalogue, DEBCat (Southworth 2015), collates a sample of well-studied spectroscopic binary systems with mass and radius measurements accurate to 2 per cent. Massive stars, however, are poorly represented in both visual and spectroscopic searches, with only three systems with primary stellar masses greater than $20 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and none greater than $30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ appearing in DEBCat.


Figure 1. HST/WFC3 F555W image of the 30 Dor region showing the position of Mk34 relative to the central R136 cluster (De Marchi \& Panagia 2014). Field of view shown is $30 \mathrm{arcsec} \times 30 \mathrm{arcsec}$, or $7.3 \mathrm{pc} \times 7.3 \mathrm{pc}$, assuming a distance of 50 kpc .

For VMS, only the double-lined eclipsing binary NGC 3603A1 located in the Galactic cluster NGC 3603 is known to date. This system comprises two WN6ha stars orbiting with a 3.772 d period (Moffat \& Niemela 1984) and radial velocity monitoring from Schnurr et al. (2008) found direct mass estimates of $M_{A}=116 \pm 31 M_{\odot}$ and $M_{B}=89 \pm 16 M_{\odot}$ for the primary and secondary, respectively.

Within the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC), a VMS binary is yet to be identified. The most massive double-eclipsing binary currently known is $\mathrm{R} 136-38$, an $\mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{O} 6 \mathrm{~V}$ system hosting minimum masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})=53.8 \pm 0.2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $M_{B} \sin ^{3}(i)=22.1 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, respectively (Massey, Penny \& Vukovich 2002). Both spectroscopic and photometric monitoring reveal a 3.39 d period system, with zero eccentricity and high inclination of $79^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$.

Melnick 34 (Mk34, BAT99 116, Brey 84), located in the 30 Doradus (Dor) region of the LMC, is an X-ray colliding wind binary consisting of a WN5h primary star and an unknown massive companion (Townsley et al. 2006; Schnurr et al. 2008; Crowther \& Walborn 2011). It was revealed as a double-line spectroscopic binary (SB2) by Chené et al. (2011), who obtained a partial radial velocity curve during brief spectroscopic monitoring over 51 d starting in late 2009.

Mk34 is located on the periphery of R136, the rich star cluster within 30 Dor, at a projected distance of $\sim 2 \mathrm{pc}$ from the central star R136a1 as shown in Fig. 1, and is one of the most luminous stars in the LMC, with a luminosity of $\log (\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{L} \odot)=7.05$ and an inferred mass of $\mathrm{M}=390 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ (Hainich et al. 2014) using the theoretical M/L relationship from Gräfener et al. (2011).

X-ray observations revealed a more impressive picture, showcasing Mk34 as the most luminous X-ray source in the nebula, with $\log \left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)=35.2 \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Townsley et al. 2006). The high Xray/bolometric luminosity ratio, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {bol }}=3.7 \times 10^{-6}$, prompted the suspicion that Mk34 is a colliding wind binary system. Recurrent

X-ray variability, however, had not been observed until recently. The Chandra 'X-ray Visionary Programme' the Tarantula - Revealed by X-rays (T-ReX, P.I. Townsley) provided extensive X-ray coverage of the region over $\sim 700 \mathrm{~d}$. This long baseline, combined with frequent observations, was sufficient to reveal the $155.1 \pm 1 \mathrm{~d}$ X-ray cycle of Mk34 and further supports the binary hypothesis (Pollock et al. 2018).

To settle this issue and investigate the system further requires optical data: Confirmation of the binary status of the system would provide a unique opportunity to obtain direct mass estimates for VMS in a low-metallicity environment.

Here, we report new VLT/UVES optical spectroscopic monitoring of Melnick 34 across a full orbital period, supplemented by archival Gemini/GMOS spectra (Chené et al. 2011). In Section 3, we show radial velocity measurements including the derived orbital properties of the system. In Section 4, we present spectral and evolutionary modelling parameters for the system. In Section 5, we discuss the limitations and implications of these results, and give brief conclusions in Section 6.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

### 2.1 UVES observations

26 epochs of VLT/UVES spectra were obtained in service mode over a 497 d period - from 2016 September 6 to 2018 January 16 (Program-ID 098.D-0108(A), P.I. Crowther). Observations were taken in the Dichroic 2 instrument mode, giving spectral ranges of $373-499 \mathrm{~nm}$ (blue arm), $567-757 \mathrm{~nm}$ (red arm lower), and 767945 nm (red arm upper). Exposure time for each observation was 450 s , with the exception of the first Epoch 0 that was a test run with an exposure time of 120 s , and Epoch 3 that had slightly shorter exposure time of 400 s . A 0.8 arcsec slit was used throughout. The reciprocal dispersion scale was $0.02-0.03 \AA$ pixel $^{-1}$, and the resolution was $\sim 0.06 \AA$ at $4000 \AA$ and $\sim 0.09 \AA$ at $5000 \AA$, with an $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ratio of $30-40$ per resolution element across all epochs. The resultant resolving power was $\sim 60000\left(\sim 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

In order to maximize the chance of observing both components of Mk34 whilst still monitoring the system across the full period, the observations were tailored to achieve a high cadence near X-ray maximum, presumed close to periastron, with more sparse sampling during the X-ray quiescent periods. A full list of observations can be found in Table 1.

Bias, flat-field, and ThAr arc calibrations were obtained through the standard calibration routine for service mode by ESO, and the data reduction was performed using the ESO pipeline Reflex (Freudling et al. 2013).

### 2.2 GMOS observations

Archival Gemini-S/GMOS data (Program-ID GS-2009B-Q-32, P.I. Chené) consist of 22 spectra taken between 2009 December 24 and 2010 February 15. Each observation had an exposure time of 400 s and used a fixed slit width of 0.5 arcsec . Here, the reciprocal dispersion scale was $0.47 \AA$ pixel $^{-1}$, and the resolution was found to be $\sim 1.29 \AA$ at $4000 \AA$ and $\sim 1.16 \AA$ at $5000 \AA$, corresponding to a much lower resolving power for this data set of $\sim 3500$. The S/N per resolution element was between 110 and 170 at $5000 \AA$, however, dropped considerably to 5-30 at $4000 \AA$.

The reduction of the GMOS data was completed using the apextract package in IRAF (Tody 1986). A complete list of Gemini/GMOS observations can be found in Table 1.

Table 1. The upper table shows VLT/UVES barycentric radial velocities measured for star A and star B using the N IV 4058 and N V 4945 emission lines, respectively. Similarly, the lower table shows archival Gemini S/GMOS barycentric radial velocities measured for star A and star B using the same emission lines. All phase measurements were calculated based on the orbital solution UG1, shown in Table 2.

| VLT/UVES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Epoch | MJD | N IV 4058 |  | NV 4945 |  | Phase |
|  |  | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  |
|  |  | ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |  | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 0 | 57637.41 | $263 \pm 75$ | $258 \pm 70$ | $268 \pm 80$ | $283 \pm 75$ | 0.7816 |
| 1 | 57665.38 | $428 \pm 20$ | $98 \pm 20$ | $488 \pm 40$ | $128 \pm 20$ | 0.9626 |
| 2 | 57666.25 | $428 \pm 20$ | $88 \pm 20$ | $508 \pm 40$ | $118 \pm 20$ | 0.9682 |
| 3 | 57667.21 | $468 \pm 20$ | $73 \pm 30$ | $463 \pm 35$ | $78 \pm 30$ | 0.9745 |
| 4 | 57681.18 | $343 \pm 15$ | $223 \pm 15$ | $318 \pm 50$ | $338 \pm 30$ | 0.0649 |
| 5 | 57684.25 | $318 \pm 20$ | $198 \pm 20$ | $332 \pm 65$ | $313 \pm 45$ | 0.0848 |
| 6 | 57687.32 | $253 \pm 65$ | $238 \pm 50$ | $298 \pm 50$ | $313 \pm 35$ | 0.1046 |
| 7 | 57690.17 | $158 \pm 20$ | $323 \pm 15$ | $328 \pm 60$ | $343 \pm 35$ | 0.1230 |
| 8 | 57692.22 | $193 \pm 15$ | $328 \pm 10$ | $308 \pm 90$ | $338 \pm 60$ | 0.1363 |
| 9 | 57694.22 | $178 \pm 20$ | $333 \pm 25$ | $343 \pm 65$ | $343 \pm 65$ | 0.1492 |
| 10 | 57723.13 | $238 \pm 60$ | $253 \pm 45$ | $293 \pm 35$ | $298 \pm 30$ | 0.3363 |
| 11 | 57725.12 | $234 \pm 45$ | $239 \pm 40$ | $304 \pm 15$ | $304 \pm 15$ | 0.3491 |
| 12 | 57744.21 | $244 \pm 55$ | $249 \pm 40$ | $269 \pm 40$ | $289 \pm 20$ | 0.4727 |
| 13 | 57751.12 | $290 \pm 50$ | $275 \pm 35$ | $330 \pm 50$ | $320 \pm 40$ | 0.5174 |
| 14 | 57761.29 | $265 \pm 35$ | $255 \pm 25$ | $275 \pm 15$ | $275 \pm 15$ | 0.5832 |
| 15 | 57797.03 | $266 \pm 75$ | $251 \pm 50$ | $291 \pm 60$ | $281 \pm 50$ | 0.8145 |
| 16 | 57800.23 | $276 \pm 35$ | $256 \pm 35$ | $311 \pm 40$ | $271 \pm 30$ | 0.8352 |
| 17 | 57806.14 | $271 \pm 60$ | $266 \pm 35$ | $441 \pm 20$ | $246 \pm 25$ | 0.8734 |
| 18 | 57808.03 | $331 \pm 30$ | $221 \pm 20$ | $406 \pm 45$ | $251 \pm 30$ | 0.8856 |
| 19 | 57811.03 | $366 \pm 15$ | $186 \pm 25$ | $386 \pm 45$ | $186 \pm 25$ | 0.9051 |
| 20 | 57815.13 | $391 \pm 20$ | $101 \pm 20$ | $411 \pm 50$ | $161 \pm 30$ | 0.9316 |
| 21 | 57817.01 | $406 \pm 25$ | $131 \pm 40$ | $476 \pm 45$ | $161 \pm 30$ | 0.9437 |
| 22 | 57823.02 | $461 \pm 20$ | $71 \pm 20$ | $501 \pm 20$ | $111 \pm 20$ | 0.9826 |
| 23 | 57831.01 | $254 \pm 45$ | $244 \pm 35$ | $279 \pm 50$ | $269 \pm 40$ | 0.0344 |
| 24 | 58133.17 | $499 \pm 20$ | $74 \pm 15$ | $509 \pm 10$ | $99 \pm 10$ | 0.9895 |
| 25 | 58134.17 | $519 \pm 10$ | $59 \pm 20$ | $509 \pm 30$ | $69 \pm 10$ | 0.9959 |
|  |  | Gemini S/GMOS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | N IV 4058 |  | N V 4945 |  |  |
| Epoch | MJD | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {B }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {A }}$ | $\mathrm{RV}_{\text {B }}$ | Phase |
|  |  | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| 1 | 55189.04 | $399 \pm 40$ | $119 \pm 30$ | $429 \pm 30$ | $169 \pm 20$ | 0.9396 |
| 2 | 55189.04 | $399 \pm 40$ | $119 \pm 40$ | $429 \pm 60$ | $169 \pm 30$ | 0.9396 |
| 3 | 55191.21 | $419 \pm 50$ | $99 \pm 90$ | $419 \pm 30$ | $149 \pm 30$ | 0.9536 |
| 4 | 55195.22 | $489 \pm 100$ | $49 \pm 130$ | $439 \pm 70$ | $69 \pm 30$ | 0.9796 |
| 5 | 55196.16 | $489 \pm 40$ | $79 \pm 50$ | $459 \pm 60$ | $69 \pm 40$ | 0.9856 |
| 6 | 55197.32 | $509 \pm 70$ | $-21 \pm 40$ | $459 \pm 60$ | $69 \pm 60$ | 0.9932 |
| 7 | 55198.29 | $489 \pm 80$ | $49 \pm 50$ | $509 \pm 90$ | $-11 \pm 60$ | 0.9994 |
| 8 | 55199.21 | $489 \pm 140$ | $59 \pm 130$ | $539 \pm 80$ | $39 \pm 70$ | 0.0054 |
| 9 | 55207.21 | $270 \pm 100$ | $270 \pm 100$ | $300 \pm 40$ | $300 \pm 40$ | 0.0572 |
| 10 | 55210.11 | $250 \pm 100$ | $250 \pm 100$ | $320 \pm 60$ | $320 \pm 60$ | 0.0759 |
| 11 | 55212.03 | $260 \pm 100$ | $260 \pm 100$ | $320 \pm 50$ | $320 \pm 50$ | 0.0883 |
| 12 | 55213.03 | $270 \pm 110$ | $270 \pm 110$ | $310 \pm 70$ | $310 \pm 70$ | 0.0948 |
| 13 | 55214.24 | $280 \pm 100$ | $280 \pm 100$ | $310 \pm 70$ | $310 \pm 70$ | 0.1027 |
| 14 | 55216.16 | $280 \pm 110$ | $280 \pm 110$ | $290 \pm 60$ | $290 \pm 60$ | 0.1150 |
| 15 | 55225.12 | $250 \pm 110$ | $250 \pm 110$ | $310 \pm 50$ | $310 \pm 50$ | 0.1730 |
| 16 | 55227.11 | $270 \pm 120$ | $270 \pm 120$ | $310 \pm 60$ | $310 \pm 60$ | 0.1859 |
| 17 | 55229.21 | $280 \pm 100$ | $280 \pm 100$ | $340 \pm 110$ | $340 \pm 110$ | 0.1995 |
| 18 | 55236.10 | $221 \pm 200$ | $221 \pm 200$ | $311 \pm 50$ | $311 \pm 50$ | 0.2440 |
| 19 | 55237.10 | $261 \pm 120$ | $261 \pm 120$ | $301 \pm 60$ | $301 \pm 60$ | 0.2505 |
| 20 | 55238.12 | $281 \pm 80$ | $281 \pm 80$ | $311 \pm 60$ | $311 \pm 60$ | 0.2571 |
| 21 | 55241.07 | $251 \pm 200$ | $251 \pm 200$ | $311 \pm 80$ | $311 \pm 80$ | 0.2763 |
| 22 | 55242.12 | $281 \pm 150$ | $281 \pm 150$ | $291 \pm 70$ | $291 \pm 70$ | 0.2830 |



Figure 2. Top panel: Epoch 2 of the VLT/UVES data showing the blue spectrum of Mk34. Double-lined emission peaks can be seen in the NiV 4058 , N V 4603-20 P Cygni profiles, and N V 4945 emission lines confirming the system is an SB2 binary. Bottom panel: VFTS 682 spectrum from Bestenlehner et al. $(2011,2014)$ classified as a single WN5h star and shown here to demonstrate the similarities between the two spectra, although narrow nebular emission lines are also present here. Relevant emission lines used for classification and radial velocity measurements have been identified.

### 2.3 Classification

Fig. 2 shows a subset of a typical blue UVES spectrum of Mk34 together with a spectrum of the typical WN5h star VFTS 682 from Bestenlehner et al. $(2011,2014)$ for comparison. Both stars show strong, broad He II 4686 and Balmer series emission, and sharper N iv 4058, N v 4603-20, and N v 4945 emission lines. Upon closer inspection of these narrow lines, we observe two stellar components in a subset of epochs, as shown in Fig. 3, confirming the SB2 nature of the system. This double emission is brief, occurring in 8 of the 26 epochs observed, covering more than 8 and less than 20 d of the 155 d orbit. For the remainder of the orbit, it is not possible to distinguish the two components, suggesting the system is highly eccentric.

The UVES spectra of Mk34 extend beyond this wavelength range, where we also note strong $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and N Iv 7103-7129 emission, and narrow C IV 5801-12 emission. Note that the double emission observed at N IV 7103-7129 can be observed throughout the orbit as this emission is composed of multiple transitions therefore using this line to trace the stellar radial velocity curve is difficult, and we do not attempt to use it.

When investigating the spectral type of each component, we note that the Niv 4058 emission is stronger than the NV 4603-20 in both stars, and N III 4640 emission is absent, consistent with a WN5 ionization class as outlined in Smith, Shara \& Moffat (1996) and Crowther \& Walborn (2011). Also, using the Pickering decrement to search for oscillations in the strength of the He II ( $\mathrm{n}-4$ ) lines, we note the strong He II $4859+\mathrm{H} \beta$ and He II $4340+\mathrm{H} \gamma$ emission, coupled with the weaker He II 4541 emission. This indicates a clear hydrogen excess and is characteristic of a WN5h designation (Smith
et al. 1996). The similarity of the N v/N IV ratio for each star suggests the temperatures of these objects are comparable, and these emission features are common to both components, suggesting that both stars are likely to be of the WN5h spectral type.

We also note a phase-dependent change in the morphology of the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ emission, which is not present in the VFTS 682 spectrum and therefore not intrinsic to the WN5h spectral type. As shown in Fig. 4, at times close to periastron the emission is smooth. However, near apastron an additional absorption feature develops superimposed upon the emission. We suggest this strong $\mathrm{H} \delta$ emission is characteristic of the binary since it is also noted in the known binary R136c (Bestenlehner et al. 2014), whilst other known single WN5h stars, such as R136a1-3 in the central cluster of 30 Dor, do not replicate this morphology (Crowther et al. 2010). In general though, the spectrum of Mk34 is morphologically similar to these other known WN5h stars.

## 3 ORBITAL SOLUTION

### 3.1 Radial velocity measurement

To reveal the radial velocity distribution overtime, we use a template theoretical spectrum of VFTS 682 (WN5h) from Bestenlehner et al. ( 2011,2014 ) to measure the N IV 4058 and N v 4945 emission line radial velocities. We are restricted to these lines, which are formed in the wind, because no photospheric lines are available for WR stars. We assume that the centroids of the emission lines in the template models accurately reflect the stellar kinematics. Amongst all potential diagnostics, N IV 4058 and N V 4945 originate deep in


Figure 3. Dynamic representation of the evolution of the N IV 4057.7, N V 4603.7, and N V 4944.6 emission line radial velocity profiles from the UVES data set, across two orbital phases, showing the double emission from the two components of Mk34 at phase $0.8-1$, from solution UG1. Radial velocities have been corrected for a systemic velocity of $287 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ found from solution UG1.
the stellar wind with line morphologies lacking complications of P Cygni profiles (e.g. N v 4603-20) therefore we select these lines for our radial velocity analysis. Fig. 5 shows a comparison of the line-forming regions for various ions, in particular emphasizing the location of the Niv 4058 and NV 4945 emission line formation, which is much closer to the stellar photosphere ( $\leq 1.4 \mathrm{R}_{*}$ ) than the He II 4686 line-forming region.

The template spectrum was used to measure radial velocities using a simple shift and add method, with each fit being visually examined, for both the UVES and GMOS data sets. The results are recorded in Table 1. It is important to note that due to the poor quality of the GMOS data there is a large degree of uncertainty in the radial velocities measured from this data set, however, the longer baseline is useful in constraining the orbital period. We also note a systematic offset between the N IV 4058 and N V 4945 radial velocities, with an average offset of $42 \pm 44 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the UVES data and $33 \pm 36 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the GMOS data, which we discuss further in Section 4.1.

Fig. 6 shows an example of this modelling at two extreme stages: at phase $\sim 0$ near periastron when the double-lined emission is very clear and at phase $\sim 0.4$ when emission lines from both stars are blended. Due to the significant intrinsic line widths of both stars involved - full width at half-maximum (FWHM) $\sim 300 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (N IV 4058) and FWHM $\sim 250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (N V 4945) - measuring the radial velocities away from periastron proved difficult and therefore they have significant uncertainties, typically $\pm 40 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for UVES and $\pm 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for GMOS.

### 3.2 Orbital properties

Pollock et al. (2018) established a $155.1 \pm 1 \mathrm{~d}$ X-ray cycle that was strongly suspected to be the orbital period. Here, we can use a combination of the UVES and GMOS data providing a baseline of over 8 yr , translating to 19 orbits, better to constrain this period.
To start, we adopt the technique of phase dispersion minimization (PDM) to identify a range of periods to use as prior probabilities in future analysis. PDM works by varying the period and folding the radial velocity data in phase before splitting the orbit into bins. We then calculate the variance of the radial velocities within each bin and sum across the full orbit to obtain a total variance. Finally, plotting period against total variance as shown in Fig. 7 will reveal the most likely period. In this instance, using both the UVES and GMOS data with 20 phase bins, the most likely period was 154.45 d .
To obtain a full set of orbital parameters, we used Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) fitting, with a uniform prior distribution for the period of $155 \pm 3 \mathrm{~d}$, guided by the PDM results. During this MCMC fitting, we used the averaged radial velocity measurements of the N IV 4058 and N V 4945 emission lines from the UVES data, and the averaged N IV 4058 and N v 4945 radial velocities from the GMOS data, and applied a barycentric correction to provide 48 epochs of observations available for fitting. The MCMC fitting was carried out twice, once using the combined GMOS and UVES data, and again using only the more reliable UVES measurements, to provide two orbital solutions, solution UG1 and solution U1, respectively. A full set of Keplerian orbital parameters was used in
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Figure 4. A comparison of the $\mathrm{H} \delta 4101.7$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma 4340.5$ emission line profiles at phases close to periastron (Epoch 2) and apastron (Epoch 11). For comparison, matching emission line profiles from the VFTS 682 spectra have also been plotted (Bestenlehner et al. 2011, 2014) to highlight the morphological change in the line profile shape visible at periastron. Nebular emission lines have been removed, using Gaussian profile fits, and a 0.5 vertical offset has been applied to the Mk34 spectrum for clarity. The radial velocities have been corrected for a systemic velocity of $287 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ found from solution UG1.


Figure 5. A comparison of the position of the line-forming region for various ions showing that the Niv 4058 and N v 4945 emission lines are better tracers of the stellar kinematics than the He II 4686 line, which is formed further out in the stellar wind.
each fit: the semi-amplitudes of the velocities for star A and star B, $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{B}}$, respectively; systemic velocity, $\gamma$; eccentricity, e; longitude of periastron, $\omega$; orbital period, P ; and time of periastron, $\mathrm{T}_{0}$; plus a nuisance parameter that was a multiplier for the formal error bars. Uninformative priors were adopted for all parameters, except the period, which for solution UG1 we adopted a uniform
probability distribution prior of $155 \pm 3 \mathrm{~d}$ and, based on the subsequent results of this fit, for solution U1 we use a Gaussian prior distribution of $154.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~d}$.

Fig. 8 shows the posterior probability corner plot for solution UG1, demonstrating the credible value range for each orbital parameter. Fig. 9 shows the radial velocity curve in time and


Figure 6. A comparison of the GMOS and UVES radial velocity modelling for the N IV 4058 and NV 4945 emission lines from two different orbital phases to show both double emission and blended epochs. A vertical offset has been introduced to the GMOS data for clarity. The template for both star A and star B has been broadened to account for rotational velocity of $\sim 125 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. The applied systemic velocity is $287 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ found from solution UG1.
phase-space produced from the MCMC outputs for solution UG1 compared to the observed data. The posterior probability plot and radial velocity curve for solution U1 can be found in Appendix A (Fig. A1 \& Fig. A2). A summary of the associated orbital parameters for these two solutions can be found in Table 2 and reveals that for most parameters they are reasonably consistent. Fig. 10 shows the X-ray light curve from Pollock et al. (2018) using the solution UG1, confirming the X -Ray maximum is associated with the periastron of the orbit.

Using the orbital parameter outputs from the MCMC fitting, we can infer the minimum mass estimates for each component based on our solutions (Table 2) with equation (1):
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}} \sin ^{3}(i)=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{2 \pi \mathrm{G}}\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{1.5}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{A}}$.

Solution UG1 reveals $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(i)=65 \pm 7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}} \sin ^{3}(i)=$ $60 \pm 7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, whereas solution U1 reveals slightly higher determinations of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(i)=64 \pm 13 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}} \sin ^{3}(i)=73 \pm 15 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. We also note the reversal of the mass ratio between solutions UG1 and U1 that is caused by the $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ shift in the systemic velocity between the two solutions. Minimum semimajor axis values are $a \sin ^{3}(i)=2.82 \pm 0.09$ au and $a \sin ^{3}(i)=2.91 \pm 0.18$ au for solutions UG1 and U1, respectively.
Earlier photometry of the 30 Dor region by Massey et al. (2002), obtained over a $\sim 3$ week period using HST/STIS, revealed evidence for photometric variations in Mk34. The study notes a repeating periodic dip of $\sim 0.1 \mathrm{mag}$ in Mk34, following a 20 d period, which is unrelated to the orbital phase. Searches for an optical eclipse using the UVES acquisition camera images and performing relative photometry, were unsuccessful. The variable seeing and


Figure 7. PDM plot produced from a combination of VLT/UVES and Gemini/GMOS data showing the total variance minimum corresponds to a period of 154.45 d .
crowded region meant that relative magnitude variations were within the photometric accuracy of $\sim 0.2$ mag achievable with this data.

### 3.3 Automated template fitting

An alternative method for deriving the orbital parameters using an automated template fitting MCMC model was also applied to the UVES data set. Similar to the previous approach, the model parameters consisted of the Keplerian elements ( $K_{\mathrm{A}}, K_{\mathrm{B}}, \gamma, \mathrm{e}, \omega$, P , and $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ ), a continuum flux ratio parameter of the two stars, and a line dilution factor for star B. Uninformative priors were used on all parameters, except the period, which was a Gaussian prior of $154.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~d}$, based on solution UG1 and the results from the PDM. Using these parameters, and the same WN5h template spectrum of VFTS 682 from Bestenlehner et al. $(2011,2014)$, we produced two scaled and shifted versions of the template to represent star A and star B. We used these templates to fit the Niv 4058 and N V 4945 emission lines separately, however, the fit was applied to all 26 epochs of observations simultaneously. We also repeat the fitting from a range of starting points to ensure the results converged.

Figs 11 and 12 show the best-fitting models to the N iv 4058 and N v 4945 emission lines, respectively. The probability distributions of the orbital parameters resulting from these fits are provided in Fig. B1 in Appendix B. A summary of the best-fitting orbital solutions for each emission line is included in Table 2 together with an average of both emission lines combined (solution U2).

## 4 PHYSICAL AND WIND PROPERTIES

### 4.1 Spectral analysis

Both stellar components were identified as WN5h stars using the Bestenlehner et al. $(2011,2014)$ theoretical template spectrum for VFTS 682. Using the spectral properties of this star as a starting point, we proceeded to compute a grid of models using the non-LTE stellar atmosphere and radiative transfer code CMFGEN (Hillier \& Miller 1998), varying effective temperature (at optical depth $\tau=2 / 3$ ), mass-loss rates, helium mass fractions, terminal velocities, and the $\beta$-type velocity law. For this template, we updated the NV model atom better to reflect higher quantum number transitions. ${ }^{1}$ In particular, this caused a shift in the NV

[^1]4945 emission line centroid of $\sim 26 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ that would reduce the systematic offset between the measured radial velocities of the N IV 4058 and N V 4945 emission lines found in Section 2 such that the average differences would fall to 16 and $7 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for UVES and GMOS, respectively. Using the mass ratio deduced from solution UG1 ( $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{q}=0.92 \pm 0.07$ ) and a specified He abundance, we estimate a luminosity ratio using a theoretical $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{L}$ relation (equation 9 of Gräfener et al. 2011) and based on this weighting we combine the two spectra and spectral energy distributions (SED) from each star. Each spectrum is shifted in accordance with the radial velocity measurements recorded from the VLT/UVES data in Table 1 to reproduce the observed spectrum. An example of the combined spectrum fitted to the observed data, along with individual and combined SEDs, is shown in Fig. 13.

Fits to the N IV 4058, NV 4603-20 P Cygni profile, and NV 4945 provided temperature estimates of $53000 \pm 1200 \mathrm{~K}$ for both stars. The He II 4686 and $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission line fits were used to deduce mass-loss rates and He abundance mass fractions. For star A, we find $\log \left[\dot{\mathrm{M}}_{\mathrm{A}} /\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)\right]=-4.88 \pm 0.13$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{He}}$ $=35 \pm 5$ per cent. Similarly for star B, we find $\log \left[\dot{M}_{B} /\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)\right]$ $=-4.72 \pm 0.13$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{He}}=35 \pm 5$ percent. We assume a nitrogen rich, CNO-processed atmosphere at $0.5 \mathrm{Z}_{\odot}$ metallicity, with a fixed nitrogen mass fraction of $X_{N}=0.35$ percent. This matched the observed nitrogen intensity and is equivalent to a $\sim 25 \mathrm{x}$ enhanced cosmic LMC nitrogen abundance. The volumefilling factor was fixed at $\mathrm{f}_{v}=0.1$, which is consistent with the electron-scattering wings in the $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ emission. The $\beta$-type velocity law was investigated by fitting the line profiles of the $\mathrm{H} \beta$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ lines, and we find $\beta \sim 1.5$ and $\beta \sim 2.0$ for star A and star B , respectively. The terminal velocity was estimated to be $2500 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for both components from fitting the line broadening of $\mathrm{H} \alpha$ and He II 4686.

The combined SED was used to examine the reddening and luminosity of the system. Intrinsic B-, V-, and K-band colours were extracted by convolving the spectra with the corresponding filter function for each respective filter. Literature observed magnitudes outlined in Table 3 were used in combination with these intrinsic magnitudes to derive $\mathrm{E}(B-V)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(V-K_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ extinctions, which in turn were used with equation (2), inferred from Maíz Apellániz et al. (2014), to derive a reddening parameter:
$R_{\mathrm{V}}=1.2 \times \frac{E\left(V-K_{\mathrm{s}}\right)}{E(B-V)}-0.18$.
We find $\mathrm{R}_{V}=4.09$, which is typical of other stars in close proximity to R136 (Gräfener et al. 2011; Doran et al. 2013). The model spectrum was then reddened using the extinction law described in Maíz Apellániz et al. (2014) along with our derived extinction and reddening parameters. Following this, we matched the observed $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}$-band flux with the reddened model SED, whilst also accounting for the 50 kpc distance to the LMC (Pietrzyński et al. 2013), to derive the absolute luminosity of each component in the system.

Chemically homogeneous masses ${ }^{2}$ were derived from the theoretical mass-luminosity relation from Gräfener et al. (2011), providing an estimate of the mass of each component. We find $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=147 \pm 22 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=136 \pm 20 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for stars A and B ,

[^2]respectively. We consider the use of a mass-luminosity relationship appropriate since the low helium abundance of 35 percent is evidence that these stars are still on the main sequence. A summary of the spectral analysis results can be found in Table 4.

### 4.2 Evolutionary modelling

Using the BONNSAI ${ }^{3}$ evolutionary modelling code (Schneider et al. 2014), we also derive evolutionary masses for Mk34 based on LMC stellar models (Brott et al. 2011; Köhler et al. 2015). Providing luminosity, effective temperature, and helium abundances derived from the spectral analysis, the evolutionary modelling returned the parameters summarized in Table 4.

We find some differences between spectral and evolutionary modelling but attribute these to the mass-loss prescription adopted in the BONNSAI analysis, which underpredicts our observed mass-loss rates. To attain the high He abundance selected as a prior, the model requires high rotational velocity rates to enhance the He abundance at the surface of the star. Consequently, the high rotational velocity gives the star a higher effective temperature than expected. In general, we cannot confirm the high rotational velocities predicted by the model. We can use the Niv 4058, Nv 4603-20, and N V

[^3]4945 emission to set good constraints on this parameter, and we find rotational broadening of $\sim 125 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we cannot discern if this broadening is purely rotational or contaminated by micro and macro turbulence.
The BONNSAI code also provides estimates for the ages and initial masses of the stars. We find consistent stellar ages of $\sim 0.6 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{Myr}$ for both stars, and current masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}(\text { cur })}=139_{-18}^{+21} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B} \text { (cur) }}=127_{-17}^{+17} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for star A and star B, respectively. These are somewhat lower than the spectral analysis results, which were based on the Gräfener et al. (2011) mass-luminosity relation for chemical homogeneous stars. The initial masses corresponding to the BONNSAI solution are $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A} \text { (init) }}=144_{-17}^{+22} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}(\text { init })}=131_{-16}^{+18} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for star A and star B, respectively.

## 5 DISCUSSION

### 5.1 Melnick 34

In Section 3, we have presented three different orbital solutions for Melnick 34 using two alternative methods from which we can confidently conclude that this is an eccentric, massive system with a mass ratio close to unity.
Solutions UG1 and U1 were derived using the same method, and the results show good agreement indicating the method is robust. Similarly, solutions U1 and U2 were derived using the same data, though different methods, and again the results are


Figure 8. Corner plot showing posterior probabilities for solution UG1, where $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the semi-amplitudes of the velocities for star A and star B, respectively, $\gamma$ is the systemic velocity, e is the eccentricity, omega is the longitude of the periastron, P is the orbital period, and $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the time of periastron.
 (solution UG1) compared with solely the VLT/UVES data (solution U1) and the automated template-fitting method (solution U2) discussed in Section 3.3. Quoted solutions represent the median of the posterior distributions from MCMC fitting. The error bars represent the 16th and 84th percentiles.

| Parameter |  <br> Gemini/GMOS <br> Solution UG1 | VLT/UVES |  | VLT/UVES <br> Solution U1 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | NIV4058 | $N$ N4945 | Solution U2 |  |  |
| $K_{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $130 \pm 7$ | $145 \pm 10$ | $132 \pm 2$ | $141 \pm 6$ | $137 \pm 3$ |
| $K_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $141 \pm 6$ | $128 \pm 9$ | $126 \pm 2$ | $126 \pm 4$ | $127 \pm 2$ |
| $\gamma$ velocity $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $287 \pm 5$ | $277 \pm 6$ | $260 \pm 1$ | $283 \pm 1$ | $271 \pm 1$ |
| e | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $0.66 \pm 0.03$ | $0.764 \pm 0.006$ | $0.753 \pm 0.011$ | $0.758 \pm 0.006$ |
| $\omega\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $20.9 \pm 3.8$ | $28.8 \pm 6.6$ | $36.6 \pm 0.9$ | $40.1 \pm 1.4$ | $38.4 \pm 0.8$ |
| $P_{\text {orb }}(\mathrm{d})$ | $154.55 \pm 0.05$ | $154.5 \pm 0.7$ | $155.03 \pm 0.07$ | $155.19 \pm 0.12$ | $155.11 \pm 0.07$ |
| $T_{0}(\mathrm{MJD})$ | $57671.2 \pm 0.9$ | $57672.4 \pm 2.0$ | $57670.6 \pm 0.2$ | $57670.8 \pm 0.4$ | $57670.7 \pm 0.2$ |
| q | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $1.13 \pm 0.10$ | $1.05 \pm 0.02$ | $1.11 \pm 0.04$ | $1.08 \pm 0.02$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(i)\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $65 \pm 7$ | $64 \pm 13$ | - | - | $39 \pm 2$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}} \sin ^{3}(i)\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $60 \pm 7$ | $73 \pm 15$ | - | - | $42 \pm 3$ |
| $a \sin (i)(\mathrm{au})$ | $2.82 \pm 0.09$ | $2.91 \pm 0.18$ | - | - | $2.45 \pm 0.04$ |

comparable with the exception of eccentricity. Solution U2 obtains $e=0.758 \pm 0.006$, somewhat higher than the $e=0.66 \pm 0.03$ found for solution U1. Whilst there are advantages to the automated model fitting, such as the unbiased and systematic nature of the method, we were unable to apply this technique to the GMOS data
due to its low S/N ratio. We therefore favour solution UG1 that encompasses all available data, appropriately weighted.

Using these results, we can comment on the inclination of the system, by comparing the predicted dynamical masses with the spectroscopic masses derived in Section 4.1. Table 5 shows how the


Figure 10. Detailed plot of the X-Ray variability observed in Pollock et al. (2018) close to periastron, based on the 154.5 d period derived in this work.
kinematic masses and periastron distances depend on inclination for solutions UG1 and U2. Recalling the spectroscopic masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}=147 \pm 22 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}=136 \pm 20 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, it is apparent from Table 5 that solution UG1, with an eccentricity of 0.68 , would reproduce the dynamical masses if $\mathrm{i} \sim 50^{\circ}$. In contrast, solution U 2 , with a higher eccentricity of 0.758 , suggests $\mathrm{i} \sim 41^{\circ}$ to reconcile the dynamical and spectroscopic masses.

We are in the process of investigating the inclination of the system using X-ray light-curve modelling. Another approach would be to search for a visual eclipse, however, this would involve obtaining further, very high cadence, optical observations precisely focused on conjunction. Based on solution UG1, the lowest inclination that would produce an eclipse is $\sim 79^{\circ}$, from which we anticipate the duration of this eclipse to be approximately 16 h . An inclination of $\sim 79^{\circ}$ would reveal dynamical masses of $M_{A}=63 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $M_{B}=69 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, much lower than our spectral modelling estimates therefore we consider an eclipse to be highly unlikely. Other observations necessary to help constrain the inclination include intensive X-ray monitoring near periastron, which we could combine with Fig. 10 and allows us to accurately map, and subsequently model, the X-ray variability. Finally, polarimetry observations have proven useful to help measure the inclination of massive binaries, as done by St.-Louis et al. (1993) for WR139.

### 5.2 Colliding wind binaries

Table 6 provides a comparison between the stellar and orbital properties derived here for Mk34 and other Wolf-Rayet binary systems with a $>75 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ primary mass in the Milky Way (MW) and LMC. Spectroscopic masses, evolutionary masses, and exceptional $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {bol }}$ confirm that Mk34 is a high-mass colliding wind binary and most likely the most massive binary known to date. Mk34 is also an excellent candidate for studying the properties of colliding wind binaries (CWB). Of the systems listed in Table 6, only WR21a and WR25 are CWB, making Mk34 a welcome addition at low metallicity, and WR25 is a SB1 system making mass derivations of the secondary component very uncertain.

Other CWB outside this group include $\eta$ Carinae. Again, the suitability of this system as the focus of detailed CWB studies is not ideal since the nature of the secondary is uncertain. The SB2 nature of Mk34 has allowed us to thoroughly investigate the
orbital properties of this system and produce robust results. The high eccentricity and period encourage obvious wind variations throughout the orbit, which is also useful for studying CWB properties.

Pollock et al. (2018) show a Chandra ACIS-I X-ray image of Mk34 compared with neighbouring stars R136a1-3 and R136c (their fig.1). It has been proposed that R136a1-3 host stellar masses of 265,195 , and $135 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, respectively (Crowther et al. 2010), which in turn has sparked discussion regarding the possible binarity of these systems, with a mass ratio close to unity. In the binary scenario, R136a1 would be analogous to Mk34, and therefore we would also expect to observe similar X-ray properties if its orbital period were on the order of $\sim 100 \mathrm{~d}$. Instead, we find Mk34 is considerably brighter than the entire R136a cluster at all times (Townsley et al. 2006) due to the colliding wind nature of the system. The lower X-ray flux of R136a supports the interpretation that these stars are single, possess high-mass ratios, or large separations for mass ratios close to unity.

### 5.3 Formation

Our spectroscopic analysis reveals that both components of Mk34 are VMS, which prompts the question of how this system formed. Both components are classified as WN5h stars, which are very massive main-sequence stars with intrinsically powerful stellar winds. Mk34 lies at a projected distance of $\sim 2 \mathrm{pc}$ from R136, the dense core of 30 Dor, whose age is $1.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{Myr}$ (Crowther et al. 2016). The derived age of Mk34 ( $\sim 0.6 \mathrm{Myr}$ ) would lead us to favour a scenario in which Mk34 was formed in situ rather than being ejected from this dense core. Proper-motion estimates of this system using Gaia DR2 suggest a $\sim 30 \pm 15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ motion relative to R136 (D. Lennon, private communication). Given the systemic radial velocity of Mk34 from solution UG1, $287 \pm 5 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and the cluster radial velocity of R136, $268 \pm 6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Hénault-Brunet et al. 2012), we find a relative radial velocity offset of $19 \pm 8 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and therefore a relative 3 D velocity of $36 \pm 26 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Considering this relative 3 D velocity and the young age of Mk 34 , the system has traversed $22 \pm 19 \mathrm{pc}$ since birth, which exceeds the 2 pc projected distance so is likely inconsistent with a birth environment of R136, preferring a birth in isolation. Here, we discuss two potential scenarios from which these massive stars could form:
(i) Core fragmentation,
(ii) Merger of smaller stars.

The core fragmentation mechanism predicts that an initial, bound, proto-stellar core will fragment and collapse to form multiple stars (Goodwin et al. 2007). From this, we would expect a small group of stars would form, with a range of stellar masses. Current observations are unable to test a hypothetical low-mass population around Mk34. It is also possible, given the close proximity of Mk34 to R136, that the radiation field from stars in R136 heated the neighbouring star formation regions and suppressed the fragmentation of low-mass counterparts, instead allowing only the most massive stars to form (Dale, Ercolano \& Bonnell 2012, 2013).

The alternative scenario involving merging stars begins in a similar manner to the core fragmentation hypothesis, however, rather than the direct formation to the two massive stars we observe today, the cloud instead collapses to form a system with four or more massive stars, each with $\sim 70 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, which in time undergo mergers to form the current system. Again, this scenario would require an additional low-mass population to be present in order
to induce the hardening of the quadruple, thereby triggering the mergers. Alternatively, this hardening could be achieved through dynamical friction, where the surrounding ambient gas applies a braking force to the system, and the loss of angular momentum causes the migration of the components inwards, resulting in a merger (Stahler 2010).

To further investigate the likelihood of the merging scenario compared to core fragmentation limited by a background radiation field, a Monte Carlo simulation was used to explore the relative likelihood of forming exactly two $\sim 130 \pm 30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars compared to four $\sim 70 \pm 30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars, providing a rough insight into the more likely formation mechanism. Using a cluster mass function with a slope of -2 , across an initial cluster mass range of 50 $10000 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, the simulation randomly sampled the cluster mass function and created a population of stars within each cluster by randomly sampling a Maschberger (2013) IMF, with an initial mass range of $0.1-300 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. In total, 3.76 million clusters were simulated. For full details of this method, see Parker \& Goodwin (2007).

By counting the number of clusters that successfully formed either exactly two $\sim 130 \pm 30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars or four $\sim 70 \pm 30 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars, and no other O stars ( $\geq 17.5 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ), we find that both scenarios are unlikely. The formation of two VMS, however, is more favourable than the formation of four massive stars, with probabilities of 0.01 percent and 0.0005 per cent, respectively. Fig. 14 shows a comparison of the results we obtained, showing more clusters forming two VMS rather than four massive stars. We also note that the host cluster masses from which the VMS form are between 420 and $1200 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. From this, we are inclined
to conclude that this system is most likely to have formed in situ, through the direct formation of a low-mass cluster including two VMS.

### 5.4 Future evolution

At present, the components of Mk34 orbit are in relatively close proximity, with a minimum periastron separation of $\sim 0.9 \mathrm{au}$, however, within the next $2-3$ Myr each component will evolve off the main sequence, changing the dynamics of the system. To predict these changes, we compare the evolutionary model grids from the BONN (Köhler et al. 2015) and GENEVA (Yusof et al. 2013) codes, based on a star with an initial mass of $150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ at LMC metallicity ( $Z=0.006$ and $Z=0.0047$, respectively) and a selected rotational velocity.

We begin with the model grids by Köhler et al. (2015) that describe the evolution of the star up until core hydrogen burning ceases, which is taken to be when the hydrogen core mass fraction drops below 3 per cent. These models were used in the bONNSAI evolutionary modelling in Section 4.2 for which we derived an initial rotational velocity of $250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ therefore continuing with this particular model suggests the end of the hydrogen-burning stage will occur after 2.3 Myr. During this time, the effective temperature of the star will fall to $\sim 29 \mathrm{kK}$, causing the radius to swell, reaching $\sim 78$ R $\odot$. Fig. 15 shows how the stellar radius evolves through time and can be used to show if Roche lobe overflow and mass transfer in the system would be likely.

For an inclination of $50^{\circ}$, we find the current periastron separation to be $254 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$ and using equation (3; Eggleton 2006) we find a Roche


Figure 11. Automated template fits of the N IV 4058 emission line for all epochs. The model for star A is shown in orange, star B in green, and the combined fit is shown in red. The observed UVES data are shown in grey. The radial velocity scale is centred such that the systemic velocity is zero, and the dashed line corresponds to the rest wavelength of N IV 4058.


Figure 12. Automated template fits of the N v 4945 emission line for all epochs. The model for star A is shown in orange, star B in green, and the combined fit is shown in red. The observed UVES data are shown in grey. The radial velocity scale is centred such that the systemic velocity is zero, and the dashed line corresponds to the rest wavelength of N V 4945.
lobe limit of $386 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$. Considering that the periastron distance will change through time, and assuming a non-conservative, fast-wind mass-loss mode as parametrized by Postnov \& Yungelson (2014), we can derive a Roche lobe limit range based on the initial and final periastron separations at the zero-age main sequence and terminal age main-sequence times, respectively. This range corresponds to the shaded region in Fig. 15, and therefore we can see that based on the $250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ rotation Köhler et al. (2015) model grid the primary will not fill its Roche lobe at the end of core hydrogen burning:
$R_{\mathrm{L}}=\alpha \frac{0.49 \mathrm{q}^{2 / 3}+0.27 \mathrm{q}-0.12 \mathrm{q}^{4 / 3}}{0.6 \mathrm{q}^{2 / 3}+\ln \left(1+\mathrm{q}^{1 / 3}\right)}$.
Assuming no initial rotation, the Köhler et al. (2015) models predict a very similar evolution, with a core-hydrogen burning lifetime of 2.2 Myr , and an effective temperature of $\sim 28 \mathrm{kK}$ at the terminal age main sequence therefore deriving a radius of $\sim 78 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$. Again, we can see in Fig. 15 that an interaction between the two stars is unlikely prior to their post-main-sequence evolution.

Since the Köhler et al. (2015) model grids terminate at the end of core hydrogen burning, to understand how the star could progress post-hydrogen core burning we can use the model grids by Yusof et al. (2013) with two rotational velocity options: no rotation and a rotational velocity of $404 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

For single stars in isolation, Yusof et al. (2013) predict that at the end of the core hydrogen burning stage a $150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ initial mass
star at LMC metallicity will have a mass of $66-72 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, depending on rotation. For the model grid with no rotation, hydrogen burning will cease after 2.5 Myr , during which the effective temperature will have fallen to $\sim 22 \mathrm{kK}$, with a radius of $\sim 126 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$. Again, the evolution of the stellar radius through time is shown in Fig. 15, and it can be seen that the expansion does not reach the Roche lobe limit. Post-core hydrogen burning, there is a brief period of further expansion as can be seen in Fig. 15, such that the star will fill its Roche lobe, triggering Roche lobe overflow and mass transfer on to the secondary. The alternative model grid introduces a rotational velocity of $404 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and within this scenario the core hydrogenburning phase ends after 2.8 Myr. Under these initial conditions the effective temperature of the star rises to $\sim 64 \mathrm{kK}$, resulting in a reduction of the stellar radius to $\sim 17 \mathrm{R}_{\odot}$, suggesting there will be no interaction between the two stars.

Overall, it is unlikely that Roche lobe overflow occurs, in that case evolution will proceed independently. Yusof et al. (2013) predict that at the end of the helium-burning stage a $150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ initial mass star will have a core mass of $43 \pm 5 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, and that these stars will end their lives with the direct collapse to a black hole or black hole formation following a weak core collapse supernova. Unfortunately, similar models for binary systems are not yet available, though see Kruckow et al. (2018) and Belczynski et al. (2016) for potential massive binary star progenitors of LIGO gravitational wave sources. Under the assumption of single stellar models applying to Mk34, and it surviving two potential supernovae events, this system will progress to be a double stellar mass black hole binary with a


Figure 13. Top panel shows the individual reddening-corrected model SED for star A (orange) and star B (green) produced by the radiative transfer code CMFGEN, and the combined SED for the system (black). The purple stars correspond to the observed magnitudes in various bands (listed in Table 3) used to derive the extinction towards the system. Lower panels show the observed VLT/UVES spectrum of epoch 2 (grey) with the chosen model for star A (orange), star B (green), and the combined model (red) overplotted. Note the poor fit to the $\mathrm{H} \delta$ and $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ emission is due to the observed excess in these lines close to periastron, as described in Section 2.3.

Table 3. Mk34 photometry from the literature and the subsequently derived reddening parameters from this work.

| Parameter | Value | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $U(\mathrm{mag})$ | 12.083 | De Marchi et al. (2011) |
| $V(\mathrm{mag})$ | 13.088 | De Marchi et al. (2011) |
| $B$ (mag) | 13.388 | De Marchi et al. (2011) |
| $I$ (mag) | 12.549 | De Marchi et al. (2011) |
| $J(\mathrm{mag})$ | 12.056 | Skrutskie et al. (2006) |
| $H(\mathrm{mag})$ | 11.789 | Skrutskie et al. (2006) |
| $K_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{mag})$ | 11.68 | Crowther et al. (2010) |
| $R_{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{mag})$ | 4.09 | This work |
| $\mathrm{E}(B-V)(\mathrm{mag})$ | 0.49 | This work |
| $\mathrm{E}\left(V-K_{\mathrm{s}}\right)(\mathrm{mag})$ | 1.76 | This work |
| $\mathrm{A}_{V}(\mathrm{mag})$ | 2.02 | This work |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}(\mathrm{mag})$ | 0.26 | This work |
| $\mathrm{DM}(\mathrm{mag})$ | 18.49 | Pietrzyński et al. (2013) |
| $\mathrm{M}_{V}(\mathrm{mag})$ | -7.42 | This work |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{s}}}(\mathrm{mag})$ | -7.07 | This work |

Table 4. Upper panel shows stellar properties derived from spectral modelling of the VLT/UVES spectra using the non-LTE stellar atmosphere code CMFGEN. Spectral masses were derived from the theoretical M/L relation from Gräfener et al. (2011). Lower panel shows stellar properties derived from evolutionary modelling of each component using the BONNSAI statistical analysis code. Luminosity, effective temperature, and helium abundance inputs derived from spectral modelling were provided. All evolutionary parameters quote the mode result with the exception of He abundance that refers to the median.

| Spectroscopic modelling: CMFGEN |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Star A | Star B |
| T (K) | $53000 \pm 1200$ | $53000 \pm 1200$ |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{L}_{*} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right)\right]$ | $6.43 \pm 0.08$ | $6.37 \pm 0.08$ |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\odot}\right)$ | $19.3 \pm 2.8$ | $18.2 \pm 2.7$ |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{M}_{*} /\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)\right]$ | $-4.88 \pm 0.13$ | $-4.72 \pm 0.13$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\infty}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $2500 \pm 300$ | $2500 \pm 300$ |
| $\beta$ (Velocity law) | 1.5 | 2.0 |
| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{He}}$ (per cent) | $35 \pm 5$ | $35 \pm 5$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cur }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $147 \pm 22$ | $136 \pm 20$ |
| Evolutionary modelling: BONNSAI |  |  |
| Parameter | Star A | Star B |
| $\log \left[\mathrm{L}_{*} /\left(\mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right)\right]$ | $6.41_{-0.08}^{+0.09}$ | $6.35{ }_{-0.09}^{+0.08}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{He}}$ (per cent) | $33_{-8}^{+3}$ | $33_{-8}^{+3}$ |
| $\mathrm{v}_{\text {rot }}\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $240{ }_{-20}^{+171}$ | $250{ }_{-29}^{+170}$ |
| T (K) | $543888_{-822}^{+327}$ | $54355_{-855}^{+339}$ |
| $\log \left[\dot{\mathrm{M}} * /\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)\right]$ | $-5.00_{-0.11}^{+0.13}$ | $-5.06_{-0.12}^{+0.11}$ |
| Age (Myr) | $0.5 \pm 0.3$ | $0.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {cur }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $139_{-18}^{+21}$ | $127_{-17}^{+17}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {init }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $144_{-17}^{+22}$ | $131_{-16}^{+18}$ |

Table 5. A comparison between the stellar masses and periastron distances for Mk34 for various assumed inclination values for both solution UG1 and solution U2 orbital properties.

|  | Solution UG1 |  |  | Solution U2 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Incl | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {peri }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{au})$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\mathrm{a}_{\text {peri }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{au})$ |
| $80^{\circ}$ | 68 | 63 | 0.91 | 41 | 44 | 0.60 |
| $70^{\circ}$ | 78 | 72 | 0.96 | 47 | 51 | 0.63 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | 100 | 92 | 1.04 | 60 | 65 | 0.68 |
| $50^{\circ}$ | 144 | 133 | 1.18 | 87 | 94 | 0.77 |
| $40^{\circ}$ | 244 | 225 | 1.40 | 147 | 159 | 0.92 |

combined mass of $\sim 90 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$. Considering the current gravitational wave catalogue by The LIGO Scientific Collaboration \& the Virgo Collaboration (2018), which reveals roughly equal mass BH-BH binary system progenitors, we find that our predicted evolution for Mk34 produces a system with components comparable to that of the gravitational wave source GW170729. We therefore conclude that the ultimate fate of Mk34 could be a merger event, albeit in the far distant future due to the large separation between these stars.

## 6 CONCLUSIONS

Our main results can be summarized as follows:
(i) Using a combination of VLT/UVES and Gemini/GMOS spectroscopic monitoring, we confirm Mk34 is an SB2 binary system, with closer inspection revealing both stars are of WN5h spectral type.
(ii) Radial velocity measurements of the Niv 4058 and NV 4945 emission lines reveal a 154.5 d period, eccentric system ( $\mathrm{e}=0.68 \pm 0.02$ ) with a mass ratio of $\mathrm{q}=0.92 \pm 0.07$ as shown in solution UG1. Minimum masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})=65 \pm 7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $M_{B} \sin ^{3}(i)=60 \pm 7 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ were derived for star $A$ and star $B$, respectively.
(iii) Solution U2 used automated template fitting to the N IV 4058 and N V 4945 emission lines, which produces similar results. Using an average from both emission lines, we find a 155.11 d period, an eccentricity of $0.758 \pm 0.006$, and a mass ratio of $1.08 \pm 0.02$, which gives minimum masses of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})=39 \pm 2 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $M_{B} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})=42 \pm 3 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$.
(iv) Spectral modelling using the template spectrum of VFTS 682 unveiled spectroscopic masses of $147 \pm 22 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and $136 \pm 20 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for stars A and B, respectively. Evolutionary modelling predicted slightly lower mass estimates of $139_{-18}^{+21}$ and $127_{-17}^{+17} \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ for star A and star B, respectively. For consistent dynamical and spectroscopic masses, the inclination of the system would need to be $\sim 50^{\circ}$, which is currently being investigated through X -ray light-curve modelling (Russell et al., in preparation).
(v) Mk34 is an excellent addition to the CWB catalogue, with a high eccentricity producing significant wind variations and reasonable period length allowing detailed monitoring across the full orbit. The predicted masses suggest Mk34 is the highest mass binary system known, and further investigation into the inclination is worthwhile to verify this.
(vi) Based on an initial rotational velocity of $250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, it is unlikely that in the future these stars will fill their Roche lobes and undergo mass transfer. Both components are, however, likely to evolve through to stellar mass black holes and therefore should the binary survive, Mk34 will become a double stellar mass black hole binary and a potential LIGO BH-BH source.
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Table 6. Comparison of stellar and orbital properties of other known massive binary systems in the LMC and MW.

| Property | LMC |  |  | MW |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Melnick 34 | R145 | R144 | NGC 3603-A1 | F2 | WR 20a | WR 21a | WR 25 |
| Ref | [1] | [5] | [8] | [9] | [14] | [15] | [19] | [21] |
| Spectral Type A | WN5h | WN6h | WN5-6h | WN6ha | WN8-9h | WN6ha ${ }^{[16]}$ | O3/WN5ha | O2.5 $\mathrm{If}^{*} / \mathrm{WN} 6^{[22]}$ |
| Spectral Type B | WN5h | O3.5 If*/WN7 | WN6-7h | WN6ha: | O5-6 Ia | WN6ha ${ }^{[16]}$ | $03 \mathrm{Vz}\left(\left(\mathrm{f}^{*}\right)\right)$ | O2.5 $\mathrm{If}^{*} / \mathrm{WN} 6^{[22]}$ |
| Period (d) | $154.55 \pm 0.05$ | 158.76 | <370 | 3.77 | 10.5 | $3.69 \pm 0.01{ }^{[17]}$ | $31.67 \pm 0.01$ | $207.85 \pm 0.02$ |
| Eccentricity | $0.68 \pm 0.02$ | $0.788 \pm 0.007$ | - | 0 | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ | 0 | $0.695 \pm 0.005$ | $0.50 \pm 0.02$ |
| Mass Ratio | $0.92 \pm 0.07$ | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $1.17 \pm 0.06$ | 0.76 | $0.73 \pm 0.07$ | 0.99 | $0.563 \pm 0.025$ | - |
| $\left.\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)\right)$ | $65 \pm 7$ | $13.2 \pm 1.9$ | - | $99 \pm 34$ | $64 \pm 9$ | $74.0 \pm 4.2$ | $64.4 \pm 4.8$ | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B}} \sin ^{3}(\mathrm{i})\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $60 \pm 7$ | $13.4 \pm 1.9$ | - | $75 \pm 21$ | $47 \pm 6$ | $73.3 \pm 4.2$ | $36.3 \pm 1.7$ | - |
| Inclination ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | - | $39 \pm 6$ | - | 71 | $67 \pm 1$ | $74.5 \pm 1.0{ }^{[17]}$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {A(orb) }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right.$ ) | - | $53_{-20}^{+40}$ | - | $116 \pm 31$ | $82 \pm 12$ | $82.7 \pm 5.5$ | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B} \text { (orb) }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | - | $54_{-20}^{+40}$ | - | $89 \pm 16$ | $60 \pm 8$ | $81.9 \pm 5.5$ | - | - |
| Distance (kpc) | $50^{[2]}$ | $50{ }^{[2]}$ | $50^{[2]}$ | $7 \pm 0.5^{[10]}$ | 8.1 | $5.0{ }^{[18]}$ | $4.4{ }^{[18]}$ | $2.3{ }^{[23]}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\text {A(sp) }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $147 \pm 22$ | $101_{-30}^{+40}$ | - | $120_{-17}^{+26[11]}$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{B} \text { (pp) }}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)^{\text {) }}$ | $136 \pm 20$ | $109_{-40}^{+60}$ | - | $92_{-15}^{+16[1]}$ | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\times 10^{34} \mathrm{erg} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $1-32^{[3]}$ | $0.6{ }^{[6]}$ | $1^{[6]}$ | 1.7-4.8 ${ }^{[6,12]}$ | - | $3.6-4.6{ }^{[6,18]}$ | $1.6{ }^{[6,18]}$ | $0.4-0.9{ }^{[3]}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}$ (mag) | $-7.4^{[1,4]}$ | $-7.2{ }^{[7]}$ | -8.2 | $-7.5{ }^{[13]}$ | - | $-6.0^{[18,19]}$ | $-5.7{ }^{[18,20]}$ | $-7.3^{[24]}$ |

Notes: 1. This work; 2. Pietrzyński et al. (2013); 3. Pollock et al. (2018); 4. De Marchi et al. (2011); 5. Shenar et al. (2017); 6. Townsley (private communication); 7. Schnurr et al. (2009); 8. Sana et al. (2013); 9. Schnurr et al. (2008); 10. Moffat (1983); 11. Crowther et al. (2010) 12. Townsley et al. (2014); 13. Drissen et al. (1995); 14. Lohr et al. (2018); 15. Rauw et al. (2005); 16. Rauw et al. (2004); 17. Bonanos et al. (2004); 18. Rate (private communication); 19. Rauw et al. (2007); 20 . Tramper et al. (2016); 21. Gamen et al. (2006); 22. Crowther \& Walborn (2011); 23. Smith (2006); 24. Hamann, Gräfener \& Liermann (2006)

The colon (:) indicates a highly uncertain measurement


Figure 14. The results of the Monte Carlo simulation showing the number of clusters hosting either two $\sim 130 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars (green dashed line), four $\sim 70 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ stars (orange dot-dashed line), or one $\sim 150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ star (red dotted line). The likelihood of forming a cluster hosting two VMS is slightly higher than forming a cluster of four massive stars.
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Figure 15. The evolution of the stellar radius with time for a $150 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ star at LMC metallicity, derived from the Köhler et al. (2015) model grids (orange lines) for a star with $250 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ rotational velocity (solid line) and a star with no rotation (dashed line). Also included are the Yusof et al. (2013) model grids (purple lines) for a star with a $400 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ rotational velocity (solid line) and no rotation (dashed line). The red-shaded region represents the Roche lobe radius range of the system between the zero-age main sequence and terminal age main sequence, based on an inclination of $50^{\circ}$.
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APPENDIX A: ORBITAL PROPERTIES SOLUTION U1


Figure A1. Corner plot showing posterior probabilities for solution U1, where $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the semi-amplitudes of the velocities for star A and star B , respectively, $\gamma$ is the systemic velocity, e is the eccentricity, omega is the longitude of the periastron, P is the orbital period, and $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the time of periastron.


Figure A2. Best-fitting radial velocity curve for the VLT/UVES data providing the parameters for solution U1.

## APPENDIX B: ORBITAL PROPERTIES -

 SOLUTION U2

Figure B1. Corner plot showing a comparison of the posterior probabilities for the automated template fitting of the N IV 4058 emission line (pink contours) and NV 4945 emission line (grey contours) using the VFTS 682 template from Bestenlehner et al. (2014), where $K_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $K_{\mathrm{B}}$ are the semi-amplitudes of the velocities for star A and star B, respectively, e is the eccentricity, $\mathrm{T}_{0}$ is the time of periastron, P is the orbital period, $\gamma$ is the systemic velocity, and $\omega$ is the longitude of the periastron. The results of this fitting were combined to produce solution U 2 .
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