



This is a repository copy of *De Novo SOX4 variants cause a neurodevelopmental disease associated with mild dysmorphism.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:  
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/141344/>

Version: Accepted Version

---

**Article:**

Zawerton, A., Yao, B., Yeager, J.P. et al. (13 more authors) (2019) De Novo SOX4 variants cause a neurodevelopmental disease associated with mild dysmorphism. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. ISSN 0002-9297

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.12.014>

---

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence  
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

**Reuse**

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

**Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing [eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk) including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



[eprints@whiterose.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@whiterose.ac.uk)  
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

# **De novo SOX4 variants cause a neurodevelopmental disease associated with mild dysmorphism**

Ash Zawerton,<sup>1,12</sup> Baojin Yao,<sup>1,2,12</sup> J. Paige Yeager,<sup>3,12</sup> Tommaso Pippucci,<sup>4</sup> Abdul Haseeb,<sup>1,3</sup> Joshua D. Smith,<sup>5</sup> Lisa Wischmann,<sup>6</sup> Susanne J. Kühl,<sup>6</sup> John C.S. Dean,<sup>7</sup> Daniela T. Pilz,<sup>8</sup> Susan E. Holder,<sup>9</sup> Deciphering Developmental Disorders Study,<sup>10</sup> University of Washington Center for Mendelian Genomics,<sup>5</sup> Alisdair McNeill,<sup>11,13</sup> Claudio Graziano,<sup>4,13,\*</sup> Véronique Lefebvre<sup>1,3,13,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute, Cleveland, OH 44195, USA; <sup>2</sup>Jilin Ginseng Academy, Changchun University of Chinese Medicine, Changchun 130117, China; <sup>3</sup>Division of Orthopaedic Surgery, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA; <sup>4</sup>Medical Genetics Unit, Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi, 40138, Bologna, Italy; <sup>5</sup>Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA; <sup>6</sup>Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Ulm University, 89018 Ulm, Germany; <sup>7</sup>Department of Medical Genetics, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZA, Scotland, UK; <sup>8</sup>West of Scotland Genetics Services, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow G51 4TF, UK; and Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF14 4XN, UK; <sup>9</sup>London North West Healthcare NHS Trust, Northwick Park Hospital, Harrow HA1 3UJ, UK; <sup>10</sup>Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge CB10 1SA, UK; <sup>11</sup>Institute for Translational Neuroscience, University of Sheffield, Sheffield Children's Hospital, Sheffield, South Yorkshire S11 9LE, UK.

<sup>12</sup>These first authors equally contributed to this work

<sup>13</sup> These senior authors equally contributed to this work

\*Correspondence: lefebvrev1@email.chop.edu (V.L.), claudio.graziano@unibo.it (C.G.)

## **Abstract**

SOX4, together with SOX11 and SOX12, forms the group C of SRY-related (SOX) transcription factors. They play key roles, often in redundancy, in multiple developmental pathways, including neurogenesis and skeletogenesis. De novo SOX11 heterozygous mutations have been shown to cause intellectual disability, growth deficiency, and dysmorphic features compatible with mild Coffin-Siris syndrome, but SOX4 disruption has not been associated with a human disease yet. Using trio-based exome sequencing, we here identify de novo SOX4 heterozygous missense variants in four children who share developmental delay, intellectual disability, and mild facial and digital morphological abnormalities. SOX4 is highly expressed in areas of active neurogenesis in human fetuses, and *sox4* knockdown in *Xenopus* embryos diminishes brain and whole-body size. The SOX4 variants cluster in the highly conserved, SOX family-specific HMG domain, but each alters a different residue. In silico tools predict that each variant affects a distinct structural feature of this DNA-binding domain, and functional assays demonstrate that these SOX4 proteins carrying these variants are unable to bind DNA in vitro and transactivate SOX reporter genes in cultured cells. These variants are not found in the gnomAD database of individuals with presumably normal development, but twelve other SOX4 HMG-domain missense variants are recorded and all demonstrate partial to full activity in the reporter assay. Taken together, these findings point to specific SOX4 HMG-domain missense variants as the cause of a characteristic human neurodevelopmental disorder associated with mild facial and digital dysmorphism.

## Introduction

Corticogenesis and skeletogenesis are complex, tightly regulated developmental processes. Proper corticogenesis requires adequate production of neural progenitor cells, followed by guidance of these cells towards neurogenesis, and specialization into distinct, fully functional neuronal subtypes.<sup>1</sup> In the human fetus, neural progenitors develop in the ventricular and subventricular zones of the nascent central nervous system.<sup>2</sup> Starting on embryonic day 42,<sup>1</sup> these progenitors initiate their transition into proliferating neurons, which migrate outwards into the cortical mantle and commit to a post-mitotic differentiated state. This process is largely completed by birth. Similarly, proper skeletogenesis requires adequate production of multipotent progenitor cells, controlled migration and amplification of these cells, correct differentiation into chondrocytes, osteoblasts and other skeletal cell types, and coordinated activity of these and associated cell types. Skeletal progenitor cells arise from the neural crest and the paraxial and lateral plate mesoderm, and migrate into the future sites of the craniofacial, axial and appendicular skeleton by the fifth or sixth week of human embryo gestation.<sup>3</sup> They subsequently amplify and commit to specific cell types to ensure proper skeleton patterning, size and function. Both neurogenesis and skeletogenesis are controlled at each step by unique genetic programs.<sup>1: 4: 5</sup> The uniqueness of these programs is determined by the combinations of transcription factors and other regulatory factors as much as by the factors themselves, as many of these factors contribute to distinct processes. To date, various types of developmental syndromes have been linked to genetic variants affecting the expression or activity of such factors, but the genetic origin of many diseases remains unknown.<sup>5: 6</sup>

The family of SOX transcription factors gene is comprised of twenty members.<sup>7</sup> Its first identified member, SRY, is encoded by the sex-determining-region of the Y chromosome. It features a DNA-binding domain related to that present in a class of high-mobility-group (HMG) proteins. SOX proteins are defined as having at least 50% identity with SRY in this so-called HMG

or SOX domain. Most SOX genes have been shown using in vitro assays and experimental animal models to have key roles in determining cell fate and differentiation in discrete lineages such that, altogether, the SOX family participates in the control of virtually all progenitor/stem and differentiated cell types. Mutations within and around several SOX genes have been associated with severe human syndromes. Among them, SRY (MIM: 480000) mutations cause XY sex reversal (MIM: 400044);<sup>8</sup> SOX9 (MIM: 608160) mutations cause campomelic dysplasia (generalized chondrodysplasia; MIM: 114290) and XY sex reversal;<sup>9</sup> SOX10 (MIM: 602229) mutations cause Waardenburg-Shah syndrome (pigmentary abnormalities, hearing loss, and Hirschsprung disease; MIM: 277580);<sup>10</sup> and SOX5 (MIM: 604975) mutations cause Lamb-Shaffer syndrome (intellectual disability, behavior abnormalities, and dysmorphic features; MIM: 616803).<sup>11</sup> Beside SRY and SOX3 (MIM: 313430), all SOX genes are located on autosomal chromosomes, and disease-causing mutations were determined in virtually all cases to be inactivating, heterozygous and de novo. Most SOX-related diseases have thus been proposed to be due to gene haploinsufficiency. They are not nearly as severe as the phenotypes of mice lacking both gene copies, but their major impact on affected individuals has demonstrated the importance of carrying two intact gene copies for normal development. To date, mutations in almost a dozen of the twenty SOX genes have not been associated with a human disease yet. SOX4 (MIM: 184430) is among these genes.

SOX4, together with SOX11 (MIM: 600898) and SOX12 (MIM: 601947), forms the SOXC group, one of the eight groups that compose the SOX family.<sup>12; 13</sup> The three SOXC proteins have almost identical DNA-binding domains and are also highly conserved in their other known functional region, a transactivation domain located at their C-terminus. Their genes overlap in expression in many cell types and are most active in progenitor cells. SOX12 has a weak transactivation domain and is dispensable for mouse development and adult physiology.<sup>2; 13-18</sup> In contrast, knockdown of either *sox4* or *sox11* in *Xenopus laevis* embryos causes microphthalmia

with or without coloboma.<sup>19</sup> Homozygous inactivation of Sox4 in the mouse is lethal at embryonic day 14 (early fetal stage) due to heart malformation<sup>20</sup>, and Sox11 inactivation is lethal at birth due to marked underdevelopment of such vital organs as the heart, spleen and lungs.<sup>17</sup> Combined inactivation of Sox4 and Sox11 is lethal at embryonic day 10.5 due to a block in early organogenesis.<sup>15</sup> Conditional gene inactivation studies have revealed additive and redundant roles for Sox4 and Sox11 in many developmental processes. During cerebral cortex formation, Sox4 and Sox11 are most highly expressed in intermediate progenitor cells.<sup>2</sup> Sox4 inactivation affects the maintenance of these cells, and Sox11 inactivation reduces their proliferation and differentiation, resulting in a small brain with a thin cerebral cortex at birth.<sup>2</sup> Combined inactivation of the two genes drastically impairs neuronal progenitor cell survival<sup>15</sup> and activation of key neuronal differentiation genes.<sup>21</sup> Regarding skeletogenesis, single inactivation of Sox4 or Sox11 in progenitor cells has mild if any consequences, whereas simultaneous inactivation of both genes severely reduces cell survival and affects downstream lineage specification, leading to abnormal patterning, growth and maturation of skeletal primordia.<sup>22:23</sup> In humans, de novo SOX11 missense variants abolishing the DNA-binding capability of SOX11 have been associated with a neurodevelopmental disorder whose features – microcephaly, global developmental delay, intellectual disability, and facial and digital abnormalities – are compatible with mild Coffin-Siris syndrome (CSS; MIM: 135900).<sup>24:25</sup> Together, these findings make it plausible that SOX4 variants might also cause a human disease that has still to be singled out.

Here we report four individuals that carry distinct heterozygous de novo missense variants in SOX4 and that share global development delay, mild to severe intellectual disability (ID), facial dysmorphism, and fifth finger clinodactyly. Along with data from SOX4 RNA profiling in humans, sox4 knockdown assays in *Xenopus* embryos, in silico predictions of protein structural damage, and functional assays for transcriptional activity in vitro, these findings concur that SOX4 is a critical gene for human global, intellectual and skeletal development.

## **Materials and Methods**

### **Ascertainment of De Novo SOX4 Sequence Variants and Statistical Analyses**

Subject 1 was identified through trio-based exome sequencing performed on subjects with syndromic ID at the University of Washington Center for Medical Mendelian Genomics (UW-CMG). Parents provided consent according to the IRB protocol 3206/2016 at Policlinico S. Orsola-Malpighi (Bologna, Italy). Three other subjects were discovered through trio-based exome sequencing performed as part of the Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study (data freeze of 4296 children).<sup>6</sup> The DDD study had [UK Research Ethics Committee approval \(10/H0305/83, granted by the Cambridge South REC, and GEN/284/12 granted by the Republic of Ireland REC\)](#). Consent for publication of photographs was obtained from the parents of subjects 1, 2 and 4. The occurrence of SOX4 missense variants in neuro-developmental disorders and the clustering of these variants were assessed using DenovolyzeR<sup>26</sup> and CLUMP,<sup>27</sup> respectively.

### **In Silico Assessment of SOX4 Variant Pathogenicity**

Evolutionary conservation of the SOX4 HMG-domain sequence was assessed with MacVector16 software using human and vertebrate orthologous sequences retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) protein database ([Tables S1 and S2](#)). The presence of variants in the SOX4 coding sequence in the human healthy population was queried using the ExAC and gnomAD browser.<sup>28</sup> The effects of missense variants on protein structure and function was predicted using the PolyPhen-2,<sup>29</sup> HOPE,<sup>30</sup> and SWISS-MODEL online tools.<sup>31</sup>

### **Assessment of SOX4 Expression in the Human Brain**

Variations in SOX4 transcript levels in the human brain between different developmental stages and anatomical regions were investigated using RNA-seq and RNA microarray data from the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain.<sup>32</sup>

### **sox4 Knockdown in Xenopus Embryos**

*Xenopus laevis* embryos were generated, staged and cultured according to standard protocols.<sup>33</sup> For loss-of-function experiments, 40 ng of *sox4* morpholino oligonucleotide (MO) or control MO (GeneTools, LLC, OR, USA) was injected into both dorso-animal blastomeres to target anterior neural tissue.<sup>19; 33; 34</sup> The specificity of the *sox4* MO was previously demonstrated by showing that the morphant phenotype could be rescued by co-injection of a human SOX4 construct.<sup>19</sup> GFP RNA (0.5 ng) was co-injected as a lineage tracer.<sup>19</sup> Embryos were collected at stage 43 and then either fixed with formaldehyde for whole-mount analysis or euthanized with ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methanesulfonate (5-10 g/l; Sigma-Aldrich) for brain analysis. Embryos and brains were imaged using a Zeiss Axiophot microscope. All measurements were done using NIH ImageJ software and compared using the Mann-Whitney U test (GraphPad prism).

### **Functional Assessment of SOX4 Variants in vitro**

SOX4 mutations were introduced into a mouse 3FLAG-SOX4 expression plasmid<sup>23</sup> using QuikChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis (Stratagene) and appropriate DNA primers (Table S3). Capillary sequencing was used to verify the SOX4 wild-type and variant sequences. A mouse POU3F2 expression plasmid and a 6FXO-p89Luc reporter plasmid were as described.<sup>12</sup>

To assess the expression level and intracellular localization of SOX4 variants, COS-1 cells were plated at 300,000/well (6-well plates) in 2 ml of DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FCS. Eight hours later, they were transfected with mixtures containing 1 µg of empty or SOX4



expression plasmid and 3  $\mu$ l of FuGENE 6 (Promega). The next day, cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were prepared using NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Extraction Reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific). They were tested by western blotting under standard conditions. Briefly, 1  $\mu$ l of extract was subjected to 8% SDS-PAGE and transferred to PVDF membranes using iBLOT 2 Gel Transfer Device (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Membranes were blocked in Tris-Buffered Saline with 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 (TBST) and 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk for 1 h and then incubated overnight at 4°C in blocking solution containing a 1:25,000 dilution of peroxidase-conjugated anti-FLAG M2 antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, A8592). FLAG-SOX4 signals were visualized on X-ray films using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

The same extracts were used to assess the ability of SOX4 variants to bind DNA. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were carried out essentially as described.<sup>12</sup> Briefly, 10 fmoles of FXO probe labeled with [ $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P]-dCTP was incubated with 1  $\mu$ l of cytoplasmic or nuclear extract in the presence of 1  $\mu$ g of poly(dG-dC).poly(dG-dC) (Sigma-Aldrich). Reactions were incubated for 30 min and protein/DNA complexes were resolved by electrophoresis under native conditions. Gels were exposed overnight to X-ray films at -80°C.

The transactivation capability of SOX4 variants was tested essentially as described.<sup>12</sup> Briefly, COS-1 cells were transiently transfected with mixtures containing 500 ng of 6FXO-p89Luc reporter, 150 ng of pSV-beta-galactosidase (Promega), 0 or 150 ng of 3FLAG-mSOX4 and POU3F2 expression plasmids, and empty expression plasmid for a total of 1  $\mu$ g of DNA. Forty hours later, cell extracts were made in 150  $\mu$ l of Tropix Lysis buffer supplemented with 0.5 mM DTT (Applied Biosystems) and protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Extracts were tested in Dual-Light luciferase and beta-galactosidase assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

## Results

### SOX4 is under Marked Sequence Conservation Constraint in Humans

SOX4 mutations have not been associated with a developmental disease in humans yet, but genomic information recently collected for the general human population provides evidence that the gene is under tight sequence conservation constraint and is thus likely critical for normal development. The Exome Aggregation Consortium database (ExAC), which contains exome sequences for 60706 unrelated human individuals with no history of severe pediatric disease, indeed indicates that while 196.6 missense variants were expected for SOX4, only 90 were observed, for a constraint z-score of 3.72. Furthermore, while 4.6 loss-of-function (non-sense) variants were expected, only 1 was observed, resulting in a probability of loss-of-function intolerance of 0.38. We then used the Genome Aggregation database (gnomAD), which provides genomic information for 138632 individuals, including those from the ExAC database, to analyze the distribution of SOX4 variants in the presumably normal human population. Synonymous and missense variants were present throughout the SOX4 coding sequence (Figure 1A and 1B). The N-terminal and central regions of the protein, which are not known to be functionally involved, exhibited more missense variants than synonymous variants (3663 versus 2926; Figure 1C), whereas the DNA-binding and transactivation domains displayed fewer missense variants than synonymous variants (12 versus 216, and 17 versus 61, respectively). These differences, however, were not statistically significant in two-sample t-tests, likely because the numbers of variants varied greatly per amino acid. Similar tests performed for the percentages of residues per domain featuring at least one variant also failed to detect statistically significant differences for synonymous variants between the HMG, transactivation and other domains (Figure 1D). In contrast, the percentages of residues with at least one missense variant were significantly much lower in the HMG domain ( $p=0.004$ ) and lower in the transactivation domain ( $p=0.024$ ) than in the other domains. Together with the fact that each of the twelve missense variants located in the

HMG domain was detected in a single individual and in the heterozygous state, these data suggest that genomic variants in the SOX4 HMG domain might seldom be compatible with normal development, even in the heterozygous state. Supporting this conclusion, no deletions of SOX4 were identified in a recently published copy-number-variant map of the human genome in normal individuals.<sup>35</sup>

### **Identification of Four Subjects with a Neurodevelopmental Syndrome and SOX4 Variants**

A first child presenting with severe developmental delay, ID and other clinical features was found through trio-based exome sequencing to carry a de novo missense SOX4 variant (g.chr6:21594963C>A [hg19], c.198C>A [p.Phe66Leu]. Three other children with a similar disease and also carrying a de novo SOX4 missense variant were identified through the Deciphering Developmental Disorders (DDD) study (g.chr6:21595099G>C, c.334G>C [p.Ala112Pro]; g.chr6:21594941T>G, c.176T>G, [p.Ile59Ser]; g.chr6:21595080G>T, c.315G>T, [p.Lys105Asn], GenBank: NM\_003107.2). These four subjects were from unrelated families and their SOX4 variants were distinct. Detailed case reports can be found in [Supplemental Note](#) and a summary of clinical findings in [Table 1](#). In brief, all four children had global development delay and ID, but at varying degrees, case 2 being very severe, case 1, severe, case 4, mild, and case 3, very mild. All also had characteristic facial dysmorphism, with anteverted nares, wide mouth with a cupid bow, and posteriorly rotated ears, and fifth-finger clinodactyly ([Figure 2](#)). Additionally, the most severely affected children had hypotonia and other clinical features, such as ventricular septal defect (case 1) and spastic quadriparesis (case 2).

Based on these findings, we asked whether the occurrence of de novo SOX4 missense variants in individuals with a neuro-developmental disorder was significant. We used denovolyzeR,<sup>26</sup> an integrated toolset for the analysis of sporadic genetic sequence variants, and applied it to all cases identified in the 4293 DDD probands, as reported in denovo-db 1.6.1.<sup>36</sup> We

found after multiple-testing correction with the Bonferroni method for 19618 genes that the occurrence of de novo SOX4 missense variants was statistically significant ( $p = 0.012$ ).

Together, these data strongly suggest that SOX4 missense variants underlie a specific form of human neurodevelopmental disorder associated with mild dysmorphism.

### **SOX4 Is Strongly Expressed in Actively Developing Regions of the Human Brain**

To add support to the proposition that SOX4 may be critical for human brain development, we examined its gene expression in specific regions of the human brain using RNA-seq and RNA-microarray data available in the BrainSpan Atlas of the Developing Human Brain.<sup>32</sup> SOX4 expression was found to be high in all brain regions examined (dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, striatum and cerebellar cortex) during the first two trimesters of embryonic gestation, and then to decrease progressively to reach a very low level by the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> decades of postnatal life (Figure 3A-C). SOX4 expression was higher in areas of very active neurogenesis, including the ventricular and subventricular zones, than in less active areas, such as the cortical plate and subplate (Mann-Whitney U-test  $p < 0.01$ ) (Figure 3D). While not proving that SOX4 has important functions in human brain development, these expression data nevertheless constitute a necessary argument to support the notion that SOX4 may directly control the development of several regions of the human brain.

### **sox4 Knockdown in Xenopus Embryos Interferes with Brain and Whole-Body Development**

To functionally test the importance of SOX4 in brain development in vivo, we knocked down its ortholog in *Xenopus laevis* embryos using a well-described Morpholino oligonucleotide (MO).<sup>19</sup> We injected either a control or a sox4 MO in the dorso-animal blastomeres of 8-cell-stage embryos to target anterior neural tissue.<sup>34</sup> We previously reported that sox4 morphants had microphthalmia

at stage 43.<sup>19</sup> Further analysis revealed that they also had microcephaly and small bodies (Figure 4A and 4B). The reduced size of the brain in mutants compared to controls reflected underdevelopment of the forebrain and midbrain, but not hindbrain (Figure 4C). These data thus suggest that SOX4 may also significantly participate in neurogenesis and other aspects of embryonic development in humans.

### **The Subjects' SOX4 Missense Variants Cluster in the HMG Domain and Are Not Found in Control Individuals**

The SOX4 missense variants identified in the four subjects were all located in the HMG domain (Figure 5A). This apparent clustering of variants was striking considering that missense variants in this domain were found to be significantly underrepresented in the control human population. We therefore asked whether it was statistically significant. We compared the locations of the subjects' missense variants to the location of gnomAD missense variants using the Python program CLUMP (CLUstering of Mutation Position), which implements a case-control statistical approach.<sup>27</sup> Since our four cases' variants were novel, we used only singleton variants as controls. Upon performing 10K permutations and correction for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg method, we obtained a statistically significant difference ( $p$ -value = 0.017) between the average score of the four cases (1.04) and that of controls (3.73). This result thus supports the proposition that disease-causing SOX4 variants cluster in the HMG domain.

The four subjects' variants were distinct from those reported in gnomAD and they affected residues fully conserved in SOX4 vertebrate orthologs (Figure 5B). Moreover, Phe66 and Lys105 are conserved in all human SOX proteins; Ala112 is replaced by Gln in the SOXD group, but is otherwise conserved in all human SOX proteins; and Ile59 is fully or semi-conserved in all human SOX proteins (Figure 5C). Two of the twelve gnomAD variants affected the same residues as those in subjects 2 and 4, but they were different (Lys105Arg instead of Lys105Asn, and

Ala112Thr instead of Ala112Pro); two others also affected highly conserved residues (Met67Leu and Pro107Ser); but the other eight affected residues poorly conserved in the SOX family (Figure 5B and C). Further, three of the latter matched wild-type residues in other SOX proteins (Lys101Thr, Ser103Gly and Arg129Gln). Thus, unlike the cases' variants, only a subset of gnomAD variants affected highly conserved residues.

Since variants in other SOX HMG domains have been associated with disease, we asked whether some of them matched those detected in our subjects (Table 2). SRY variants in residues equivalent to those affected in our subjects were shown to cause disease, but only one (Phe112Leu) fully matched a SOX4 variant (Phe66Leu). Variants in residues equivalent to Phe66, Ala112, and K105 in SOX4 were also shown in SOX genes located on autosomal chromosomes, namely SOX9, SOX10, or SOX11, to cause disease at the heterozygous state. Again, only one (Lys150Asn in SOX10) resulted in the same substitution as in SOX4 (Lys105Asn). No disease-causing mutation in the residue equivalent to Ile59 in SOX4 has been reported for SOX genes located on autosomal chromosomes. Since Ile59Ser was detected in the least affected child (subject 3), it is conceivable that a heterozygous missense mutation of this residue might also be on the benign or mild-disease side for other SOX genes. We performed the same analysis for the twelve SOX4 HMG-domain gnomAD variants (Table S4). In brief, five SOX4 gnomAD variants affected residues that had no reported variant or only gnomAD variants in other SOX genes. The seven others had at least one disease-causing variant in another SOX gene, but only one was a fully match: Ala112Thr in SOX4, Ala113Thr in SRY, and Ala158Thr in SOX9. Collectively, these findings support the notion that the four subjects' SOX4 variants are likely pathogenic and that at least a subset of SOX4 gnomAD variants might also be pathogenic. This conclusion for the latter is plausible since the gnomAD database was established by excluding individuals with severe pediatric disease, leaving open the possibility that it includes individuals with mild, unreported disease.

## **In silico Analyses Predict Damaging Structural Consequences for the Four Subjects' SOX4 variants**

The crystal structure of the SOX4 HMG domain bound to DNA was previously solved and shown to be very similar to that of other SOX proteins.<sup>37</sup> Complementary assays in vitro for several SOX proteins have validated and extended the acquired knowledge by demonstrating which residues are critical for DNA binding, DNA bending, and protein shuttling between the cytoplasm and nucleus.<sup>7</sup> Valuable analyses can therefore be made in silico to try and predict the consequences of missense variants on the structure and hence function of the SOX4 HMG domain. The phenylalanine changed into leucine in Subject 1 (Phe66Leu) belongs to the so-called FM wedge, a protein motif intercalating within the minor groove of DNA and conferring on the SOX domain one of its characteristic properties, which is to bend DNA (Figure S1A and S1B).<sup>37</sup> Both phenylalanine and leucine are hydrophobic, but leucine has a short aliphatic chain whereas phenylalanine has a large aromatic chain (Figure S1C). The HOPE tool<sup>30</sup> predicted that this chain difference could disrupt protein function. The alanine residue changed into proline in Subject 2 (Ala112Pro) is located within the third of three  $\alpha$ -helices that confer on the SOX domain an L-shape essential for DNA binding (Figure S1A and S1B). The replacement of a small hydrophobic amino acid by a larger, more rigid residue was predicted to remove a hydrogen bond and thereby to destabilize the helix (Figure S1D). The isoleucine residue changed into serine in Subject 3 (Ile59Ser) occupies the second position in the N-terminus of the SOX domain, close to two residues critical for DNA binding (His58 and Arg61). The Ile59Ser variant introduces a residue that is smaller and less hydrophobic than the wild-type residue and that might therefore destabilize the local  $\beta$ -strand configuration and disrupt hydrophobic interactions in the core of the protein or on its surface (Figure S1E). The lysine residue changed into asparagine in Subject 4 (Lys105Asn) is located in the middle of the third  $\alpha$ -helix of the SOX domain (Figure S1A and S1B). The

replacement of a large, positively charged residue by a smaller, neutral residue was predicted to disrupt a salt bridge and hence the wild-type  $\alpha$ -helical structure (Figure S1F).

In line with the HOPE predictions, PolyPhen-2 (Polymorphism Phenotyping v2), a tool that predicts possible impact of missense variants on the structure and function of a protein using straightforward physical and comparative considerations,<sup>29</sup> foresaw that three variants would be highly damaging (Ile59Ser: score of 0.997; Ala112Pro: score of 1; Lys105Asn: score of 0.99) and that the fourth one could be damaging (p.Phe66Leu: score of 0.499).

We applied the same prediction tools to the twelve SOX4 gnomAD variants. In brief, considering the location of the residues in the HMG domain with respect to the functional motifs (Figure S2A), the conservation of the residues in SOX proteins and the change in side-chain type caused by the variants (Figure S2B and C), three variants stood out as being most likely to be pathogenic: Met67Leu, Pro107Ser and Ala112Thr. It is worth noting that all three residues cause disease when mutated in other SOX proteins but, as mentioned earlier, only Ala112Thr has known disease-causing matches (Table S4).

In conclusion, in silico tools predict that all SOX4 subject variants, but only a subset of gnomAD variants, could damage the structure and hence the activity of the SOX4 HMG domain.

### **The Four Subjects' SOX4 Variants Are Unable to Bind DNA and Transactivate**

To test the functional impact of our subjects' missense mutations, we generated a mammalian expression plasmid for each variant. When transiently transfected into COS-1 cells, the plasmids for the wild-type and four variants led to similar amounts of SOX4 in the cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments (Figure 6A), indicating that the missense mutations did not affect SOX4 synthesis, stability and nuclear translocation. We then performed electrophoretic mobility shift assays to test the ability of the variants to bind DNA. We used an FXO DNA probe previously shown to bind



SOX4 efficiently.<sup>12</sup> This probe corresponds to a minimal FGF4 enhancer sequence (F) and features a SOX-binding site (X) adjacent to a POU-domain-binding site (O).<sup>38</sup> Wild-type SOX4 formed a stable complex with the probe, as expected, but none of the variants did (Figure 6B). This result implies that the mutations sufficiently altered the structure of the HMG domain to prevent the variant proteins from binding to DNA in vitro.

We next asked if the variants could activate transcription in intact cells. We transiently transfected COS-1 cells with a reporter plasmid containing six tandem copies of the FXO sequence<sup>12</sup> along with plasmids encoding no protein (empty), SOX4 wild-type or variants, and POU3F2 (also known as BRN-2). As shown previously,<sup>12</sup> wild-type SOX4 and POU3F2 activated the reporter weakly when expressed singly, and synergized to reach a high level of activation when co-expressed (Figure 6C). In contrast, none of the SOX4 variants could transactivate the reporter by itself or in synergy with POU3F2.

We then tested the SOX4 gnomAD variants in our reporter assay. Like wild-type SOX4, all twelve variants were well expressed in COS-1 cells (Figure S3). Interestingly, eleven of them were as competent or up to twice as competent as wild-type SOX4 in activating the reporter in synergy with POU3F2 (Figure 6D). The twelfth one, Ala112Thr, was four-fold less efficient than wild-type SOX4, but was still nine times as active as the Ala112Pro variant found in subject 2.

These functional assays in vitro thus suggest that our four subjects developed a disease due at least in part to inability of their SOX4 variant to function as transcription factors. They also suggest that the individuals carrying the gnomAD variants had normal development, except perhaps the Ala112Thr carrier.

## Discussion

The present study links SOX4 variants to a human developmental disease. We reported four unrelated children who presented with global developmental delay and intellectual disability associated with distinctive dysmorphic features. Each child was heterozygous for a different de novo SOX4 missense variant and none of these variants was detected in a large cohort of presumably healthy individuals. They significantly clustered in the HMG domain and were predicted *in silico* to drastically alter the structure of this domain. Functional assays *in vitro* documented their inability to bind DNA and activate transcription. Based on these data and evidence that SOX4 is highly expressed in the developing human brain and necessary in animal models for brain development, we propose that reduced expression of SOX4 target genes at critical points in embryonic and early postnatal development of the subjects led to the neurodevelopmental syndrome and associated dysmorphic features.

The HMG domain is the common feature and primary functional region of all SOX proteins.<sup>7, 39</sup> Almost 50% of the 76 residues that compose this domain are conserved in all twenty human SOX proteins.<sup>40-42</sup> These residues confer on the proteins their abilities to bind and bend DNA, to shuttle between the cytoplasm and nucleus, and to interact with other proteins. Numerous missense variants in SRY and other SOX genes have been associated with severe disease in humans, and in most cases, the variants were located in the HMG domain. Thus, that our subjects' SOX4 variants were located in the HMG domain and affected critical residues, whereas SOX4 variants in this domain are significantly underrepresented in the general population, was a first and solid hint of possible pathogenicity. The most solid piece of evidence that we obtained in favor of pathogenicity was that none of our four subjects' variants was able to transactivate a reporter gene *in vitro*, whereas eleven of the twelve variants detected in the HMG domain in gnomAD exhibited similar activity as wild-type SOX4. Even the twelfth one retained significant activity compared to the subjects' variants. *In silico* analyses of structural consequences of the variants

had predicted damaging consequences for all subjects' variants, but also for several gnomAD variants. Our reporter assay thus appears as a more reliable predictor of pathogenicity for SOX4 variants in the HMG domain than current in silico tools. As genetic testing becomes more and more customary in the future, we surmise that more SOX4 variants will be identified in individuals with neurodevelopmental disease and possibly other disorders and that our reporter assay will be instrumental in helping discern pathogenic from nonpathogenic mutations.

Our subjects suggested that the spectrum of severity of the neurodevelopmental disorder due to SOX4 variants is likely wide. No other disruptive variants that could explain the wide range of disease severity were identified in these children. Genotype-phenotype correlations are not evident at the moment and, as in many other genetic disorders, the causes of the wide range of clinical severity have yet to be elucidated. We envision two possible scenarios. The first is that the SOX4 variants may have different impacts in vivo, despite having similar damaging consequences in our functional assays in vitro. The second is that variants in other genes, which were not flagged as possibly pathogenic, or in gene regulatory regions outside the exome may contribute to aggravating or lessening the impact of SOX4 variants on development. [The analysis of multiple children carrying the same and additional SOX4 variants should help answer this question. Also, the sophistication of in silico prediction tools and the refinement of functional assays in animal models and in vitro, which would test SOX4 variants in a more physiological context, should also be helpful.](#)

The neurodevelopmental defects of our four cases were detected from early infancy and were consistent with impaired development of the cerebral cortex, a region of the brain considerably more developed in humans than in other mammals and involved in such higher-order functions as thinking, cognition, memory, attention and language. Neurogenesis is largely complete by mid-gestation, and a lifetime maximum number of neuronal connections are established by three years of age.<sup>43</sup> In keeping with the proposition that SOX4 is likely to have

important roles in development of the human cerebral cortex, we showed that SOX4 transcript levels were highest in the brain during early fetal development, steadily declined during postnatal life, and were lowest past the age of 20 years. We also showed that the expression of SOX4 in the brain of 21-week-old fetuses was highest in neurogenic niches.

All four subjects were small for their age and exhibited mild but distinct facial dysmorphism and fifth finger clinodactyly. Their small stature could have several origins since SOX4 was shown in animal models to have important roles in multiple processes. These processes include skeletogenesis and, strikingly, the morphological parameters of our children bear resemblance with those of mice lacking the SOXC genes specifically in skeletal cells. Inactivation of Sox4, Sox11, or both genes in differentiated chondrocytes impaired skeletal growth.<sup>44</sup> Inactivation of either Sox4 or Sox11 in skeletal progenitor cells affected growth.<sup>23</sup> Facial and digital defects matching those of our subjects were not noted, but might have been overlooked. Notably, inactivation of both Sox4 and Sox11 in skeletal progenitor cells resulted in complete failure of skeletal primordia growth, articulation and ossification. Clinodactyly, craniofacial dysmorphism, and short stature of our subjects could thus be due to a reduction in the global activity of SOX4 in skeletal cells.

The first process discovered to be impacted by Sox4 inactivation in the mouse is outflow track formation.<sup>20</sup> Sox4-null mouse embryos indeed die in utero from heart septation defects known as common arterial trunk in humans. One can thus ponder that a reduction in SOX4 activity due to haploinsufficiency or another mechanism contributed to the peri-membranous ventricular septal defect detected in our subject 1. This abnormality was not reported in the other individuals, nor was it reported in Sox4<sup>+/-</sup> mice, suggesting that its penetrance is influenced by genetic background. With this in mind, as well as evidence from animal studies that SOX4 impacts many processes, close follow-up of individuals with SOX4 variants predicted to be damaging should be

recommended to determine whether the variants predispose to cardiac and other problems besides neurological and skeletal issues in development and beyond.

The target genes of SOX4 in the development of the brain, skeleton and other organs have not yet been fully defined. In mouse intermediate cortex progenitor cells, SOX4 was shown to transactivate *Tbr2*, a gene required in the mouse to produce adequate numbers of neurons in each cortical layer.<sup>2, 45</sup> In cultured mouse neuronal stem cells, SOX4 was able to activate a DCX (MIM: 300121) reporter gene.<sup>14</sup> DCX mutations are associated in humans with cortical malformations.<sup>46</sup> Acting largely in redundancy, mouse SOX4 and SOX11 were found to activate a transcriptional program critical to specify the identity and connectivity of corticospinal neurons.<sup>16</sup> They were also shown to control skeletal cell fate and differentiation by promoting signaling pathways of major importance in many processes, including canonical and non-canonical WNT signaling.<sup>23; 44</sup> A genome-wide analysis of SOX4 targets in prostate cancer cells identified multiple genes of potential relevance to neuronal, skeletal and many other processes.<sup>47</sup> For example, SOX4 was shown to regulate *WDR45* (MIM: 300526), a gene associated with neurodegeneration and iron accumulation in the brain; multiple components of the RNA-induced silencing (RISC) complex; and several genes involved in the transforming growth factor- $\beta$ , Hedgehog, Notch and WNT pathways. SOX4 variants thus have a clear potential to affect the expression of important genes in neurodevelopment, skeletogenesis, and other processes.

The close relationships existing between SOX4 and SOX11 make it relevant to compare the current work with earlier reports that associated SOX11 mutations with a form of mild Coffin-Siris syndrome.<sup>24; 25</sup> For both SOX4 and SOX11, missense variants found in subjects were located in the HMG domain<sup>25</sup> and functional studies indicated that the variant proteins lacked transcriptional activity. In both cases, individuals exhibited mild to severe intellectual disability, growth deficiency, specific dysmorphic facial features, and fifth-finger clinodactyly. Several SOX11 subjects were also described to have hypoplastic fifth-toe nails, which was seen in one of

our SOX4 individuals, and syndactyly of the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> toes, which was not seen in any of our cases. Thus, SOX4 and SOX11 mutations result in a series of similar clinical characteristics, but not all defects are alike in nature, penetrance and severity. This finding is consistent with the overlapping expression patterns of the human SOX4 and SOX11 genes in development, and with the similar, but not identical activities of the SOX4 and SOX11 proteins. A full description and comparison of the clinical phenotypes of larger cohorts of individuals will be helpful in the future to more precisely define the extent of neurodevelopmental, skeletal and other clinical features caused by pathogenic variants in SOX4 and SOX11 and the degree of similarities and dissimilarities between the two types of diseases. Based on all data currently available, we propose that non-functional variants in SOX4 and SOX11 may cause a novel class of syndromes that have overlapping clinical features, notably in neurodevelopment and skeletogenesis.

## **Supplemental Data**

Supplemental data consist of a supplemental note (cases' report), four tables, three figures, and associated references.

## **Acknowledgments**

We thank the children and their families who contributed to this study. We also thank Okan Elci for help with statistical analyses. Sequencing was provided by the University of Washington Center for Mendelian Genomics (UW-CMG) and was funded by National Institutes of Health NHGRI and NHLBI (UM1 HG006493 and U24 HG008956 grants to the UW-CMG and to the CMG coordinating center). The DDD study presents independent research commissioned by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund [grant number HICF-1009-003], a parallel funding partnership between Wellcome and the Department of Health, and the Wellcome Sanger Institute [grant number WT098051]. The research team acknowledges the support of the National Institute for Health Research, through the Comprehensive Clinical Research Network. This study makes use of DECIPHER, which is funded by the Wellcome. It was also funded by the Cleveland Clinic Lerner Research Institute (Chair's Innovative Research Award to V.L.), and the National Institutes of Health NIAMS (AR68308 grant to V.L.). The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the funding agencies.

## **Declaration of Interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Web Resources

CLUMP, <https://omictools.com/clump-tool> <https://omictools.com/clump-tool>

DECIPHER, <http://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/>

Denovo-db, <http://denovo-db.gs.washington.edu/denovo-db/>

DenovolyzeR, <http://denovolyzer.org>

ExAC Browser, <http://exac.broadinstitute.org/>

gnomAD Browser, <http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/>

GenBank, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>

HOPE, <http://www.cmbi.ru.nl/hope/>

NCBI, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>

OMIM, <http://www.omim.org/>

PolyPhen-2, <http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/>

SWISS-MODEL, <https://swissmodel.expasy.org>



## References

1. Urban, N., and Guillemot, F. (2014). Neurogenesis in the embryonic and adult brain: same regulators, different roles. *Front Cell Neurosci* 8, 396.
2. Chen, C., Lee, G.A., Pourmorady, A., Sock, E., and Donoghue, M.J. (2015). Orchestration of Neuronal Differentiation and Progenitor Pool Expansion in the Developing Cortex by SoxC Genes. *J Neurosci* 35, 10629-10642.
3. Lefebvre, V., and Bhattaram, P. (2010). Vertebrate skeletogenesis. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 90, 291-317.
4. Kozhemyakina, E., Lassar, A.B., and Zelzer, E. (2015). A pathway to bone: signaling molecules and transcription factors involved in chondrocyte development and maturation. *Development* 142, 817-831.
5. Warman, M.L., Cormier-Daire, V., Hall, C., Krakow, D., Lachman, R., LeMerrer, M., Mortier, G., Mundlos, S., Nishimura, G., Rimoin, D.L., et al. (2011). Nosology and classification of genetic skeletal disorders: 2010 revision. *Am J Med Genet A* 155A, 943-968.
6. Wright, C.F., Fitzgerald, T.W., Jones, W.D., Clayton, S., McRae, J.F., van Kogelenberg, M., King, D.A., Ambridge, K., Barrett, D.M., Bayzatinova, T., et al. (2015). Genetic diagnosis of developmental disorders in the DDD study: a scalable analysis of genome-wide research data. *Lancet* 385, 1305-1314.
7. Kamachi, Y., and Kondoh, H. (2013). Sox proteins: regulators of cell fate specification and differentiation. *Development* 140, 4129-4144.
8. Nikolova, G., and Vilain, E. (2006). Mechanisms of disease: Transcription factors in sex determination--relevance to human disorders of sex development. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab* 2, 231-238.
9. Kwok, C., Weller, P.A., Guioli, S., Foster, J.W., Mansour, S., Zuffardi, O., Punnett, H.H., Dominguez-Steglich, M.A., Brook, J.D., Young, I.D., et al. (1995). Mutations in SOX9, the

- gene responsible for Campomelic dysplasia and autosomal sex reversal. *Am J Hum Genet* 57, 1028-1036.
10. Pingault, V., Bondurand, N., Kuhlbrodt, K., Goerich, D.E., Prehu, M.O., Puliti, A., Herbarth, B., Hermans-Borgmeyer, I., Legius, E., Matthijs, G., et al. (1998). SOX10 mutations in patients with Waardenburg-Hirschsprung disease. *Nat Genet* 18, 171-173.
  11. Lamb, A.N., Rosenfeld, J.A., Neill, N.J., Talkowski, M.E., Blumenthal, I., Girirajan, S., Keelean-Fuller, D., Fan, Z., Pouncey, J., Stevens, C., et al. (2012). Haploinsufficiency of SOX5 at 12p12.1 is associated with developmental delays with prominent language delay, behavior problems, and mild dysmorphic features. *Hum Mutat* 33, 728-740.
  12. Dy, P., Penzo-Mendez, A., Wang, H., Pedraza, C.E., Macklin, W.B., and Lefebvre, V. (2008). The three SoxC proteins--Sox4, Sox11 and Sox12--exhibit overlapping expression patterns and molecular properties. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36, 3101-3117.
  13. Hoser, M., Potzner, M.R., Koch, J.M., Bosl, M.R., Wegner, M., and Sock, E. (2008). Sox12 deletion in the mouse reveals nonreciprocal redundancy with the related Sox4 and Sox11 transcription factors. *Mol Cell Biol* 28, 4675-4687.
  14. Mu, L., Berti, L., Masserdotti, G., Covic, M., Michaelidis, T.M., Doberauer, K., Merz, K., Rehfeld, F., Haslinger, A., Wegner, M., et al. (2012). SoxC transcription factors are required for neuronal differentiation in adult hippocampal neurogenesis. *J Neurosci* 32, 3067-3080.
  15. Bhattaram, P., Penzo-Mendez, A., Sock, E., Colmenares, C., Kaneko, K.J., Vassilev, A., Depamphilis, M.L., Wegner, M., and Lefebvre, V. (2010). Organogenesis relies on SoxC transcription factors for the survival of neural and mesenchymal progenitors. *Nat Commun* 1, 9.
  16. Shim, S., Kwan, K.Y., Li, M., Lefebvre, V., and Sestan, N. (2012). Cis-regulatory control of corticospinal system development and evolution. *Nature* 486, 74-79.

17. Sock, E., Rettig, S.D., Enderich, J., Bosl, M.R., Tamm, E.R., and Wegner, M. (2004). Gene targeting reveals a widespread role for the high-mobility-group transcription factor Sox11 in tissue remodeling. *Mol Cell Biol* 24, 6635-6644.
18. Chen, J., Ju, H.L., Yuan, X.Y., Wang, T.J., and Lai, B.Q. (2016). SOX4 is a potential prognostic factor in human cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Transl Oncol* 18, 65-72.
19. Cizelsky, W., Hempel, A., Metzsig, M., Tao, S., Hollemann, T., Kuhl, M., and Kuhl, S.J. (2013). sox4 and sox11 function during *Xenopus laevis* eye development. *PLoS One* 8, e69372.
20. Schilham, M.W., Oosterwegel, M.A., Moerer, P., Ya, J., de Boer, P.A., van de Wetering, M., Verbeek, S., Lamers, W.H., Kruisbeek, A.M., Cumano, A., et al. (1996). Defects in cardiac outflow tract formation and pro-B-lymphocyte expansion in mice lacking Sox-4. *Nature* 380, 711-714.
21. Bergsland, M., Werme, M., Malewicz, M., Perlmann, T., and Muhr, J. (2006). The establishment of neuronal properties is controlled by Sox4 and Sox11. *Genes Dev* 20, 3475-3486.
22. Lefebvre, V., and Bhattaram, P. (2016). SOXC Genes and the Control of Skeletogenesis. *Curr Osteoporos Rep* 14, 32-38.
23. Bhattaram, P., Penzo-Mendez, A., Kato, K., Bandyopadhyay, K., Gadi, A., Taketo, M.M., and Lefebvre, V. (2014). SOXC proteins amplify canonical WNT signaling to secure nonchondrocytic fates in skeletogenesis. *J Cell Biol* 207, 657-671.
24. Tsurusaki, Y., Koshimizu, E., Ohashi, H., Phadke, S., Kou, I., Shiina, M., Suzuki, T., Okamoto, N., Imamura, S., Yamashita, M., et al. (2014). De novo SOX11 mutations cause Coffin-Siris syndrome. *Nat Commun* 5, 4011.
25. Hempel, A., Pagnamenta, A.T., Blyth, M., Mansour, S., McConnell, V., Kou, I., Ikegawa, S., Tsurusaki, Y., Matsumoto, N., Lo-Castro, A., et al. (2016). Deletions and de novo

- mutations of SOX11 are associated with a neurodevelopmental disorder with features of Coffin-Siris syndrome. *J Med Genet* 53, 152-162.
26. Ware, J.S., Samocha, K.E., Homsy, J., and Daly, M.J. (2015). Interpreting de novo Variation in Human Disease Using denovolyzeR. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet* 87, 7 25 21-15.
27. Turner, T.N., Douville, C., Kim, D., Stenson, P.D., Cooper, D.N., Chakravarti, A., and Karchin, R. (2015). Proteins linked to autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive disorders harbor characteristic rare missense mutation distribution patterns. *Hum Mol Genet* 24, 5995-6002.
28. Lek, M., Karczewski, K.J., Minikel, E.V., Samocha, K.E., Banks, E., Fennell, T., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Ware, J.S., Hill, A.J., Cummings, B.B., et al. (2016). Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. *Nature* 536, 285-291.
29. Adzhubei, I.A., Schmidt, S., Peshkin, L., Ramensky, V.E., Gerasimova, A., Bork, P., Kondrashov, A.S., and Sunyaev, S.R. (2010). A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. *Nat Methods* 7, 248-249.
30. Venselaar, H., Te Beek, T.A., Kuipers, R.K., Hekkelman, M.L., and Vriend, G. (2010). Protein structure analysis of mutations causing inheritable diseases. An e-Science approach with life scientist friendly interfaces. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11, 548.
31. Bienert, S., Waterhouse, A., de Beer, T.A., Tauriello, G., Studer, G., Bordoli, L., and Schwede, T. (2017). The SWISS-MODEL Repository-new features and functionality. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45, D313-D319.
32. Miller, J.A., Ding, S.L., Sunkin, S.M., Smith, K.A., Ng, L., Szafer, A., Ebbert, A., Riley, Z.L., Royall, J.J., Aiona, K., et al. (2014). Transcriptional landscape of the prenatal human brain. *Nature* 508, 199-206.
33. Hoffmeister, M., Krieg, J., Ehrke, A., Seigfried, F.A., Wischmann, L., Dietmann, P., Kuhl, S.J., and Oess, S. (2017). Developmental neurogenesis in mouse and *Xenopus* is impaired in the absence of Nosip. *Dev Biol* 429, 200-212.

34. Moody, S.A., and Kline, M.J. (1990). Segregation of fate during cleavage of frog (*Xenopus laevis*) blastomeres. *Anat Embryol (Berl)* 182, 347-362.
35. Zarrei, M., MacDonald, J.R., Merico, D., and Scherer, S.W. (2015). A copy number variation map of the human genome. *Nat Rev Genet* 16, 172-183.
36. Turner, T.N., Yi, Q., Krumm, N., Huddleston, J., Hoekzema, K., HA, F.S., Doebley, A.L., Bernier, R.A., Nickerson, D.A., and Eichler, E.E. (2017). denovo-db: a compendium of human de novo variants. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45, D804-D811.
37. Jauch, R., Ng, C.K., Narasimhan, K., and Kolatkar, P.R. (2012). The crystal structure of the Sox4 HMG domain-DNA complex suggests a mechanism for positional interdependence in DNA recognition. *Biochem J* 443, 39-47.
38. Yuan, H., Corbi, N., Basilico, C., and Dailey, L. (1995). Developmental-specific activity of the FGF-4 enhancer requires the synergistic action of Sox2 and Oct-3. *Genes Dev* 9, 2635-2645.
39. Hou, L., Srivastava, Y., and Jauch, R. (2017). Molecular basis for the genome engagement by Sox proteins. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 63, 2-12.
40. Sock, E., Pagon, R.A., Keymolen, K., Lissens, W., Wegner, M., and Scherer, G. (2003). Loss of DNA-dependent dimerization of the transcription factor SOX9 as a cause for campomelic dysplasia. *Hum Mol Genet* 12, 1439-1447.
41. Katoh-Fukui, Y., Igarashi, M., Nagasaki, K., Horikawa, R., Nagai, T., Tsuchiya, T., Suzuki, E., Miyado, M., Hata, K., Nakabayashi, K., et al. (2015). Testicular dysgenesis/regression without campomelic dysplasia in patients carrying missense mutations and upstream deletion of SOX9. *Mol Genet Genomic Med* 3, 550-557.
42. Wang, X., Xue, M., Zhao, M., He, F., Li, C., and Li, X. (2018). Identification of a novel mutation (Ala66Thr) of SRY gene causes XY pure gonadal dysgenesis by affecting DNA binding activity and nuclear import. *Gene* 651, 143-151.

43. Ortega, J.A., Memi, F., Radonjic, N., Filipovic, R., Bagasrawala, I., Zecevic, N., and Jakovcevski, I. (2018). The Subventricular Zone: A Key Player in Human Neocortical Development. *Neuroscientist* 24, 156-170.
44. Kato, K., Bhattaram, P., Penzo-Mendez, A., Gadi, A., and Lefebvre, V. (2015). SOXC Transcription Factors Induce Cartilage Growth Plate Formation in Mouse Embryos by Promoting Noncanonical WNT Signaling. *J Bone Miner Res* 30, 1560-1571.
45. Arnold, S.J., Huang, G.J., Cheung, A.F., Era, T., Nishikawa, S., Bikoff, E.K., Molnar, Z., Robertson, E.J., and Groszer, M. (2008). The T-box transcription factor Eomes/Tbr2 regulates neurogenesis in the cortical subventricular zone. *Genes Dev* 22, 2479-2484.
46. Gleeson, J.G., Allen, K.M., Fox, J.W., Lamperti, E.D., Berkovic, S., Scheffer, I., Cooper, E.C., Dobyns, W.B., Minnerath, S.R., Ross, M.E., et al. (1998). Doublecortin, a brain-specific gene mutated in human X-linked lissencephaly and double cortex syndrome, encodes a putative signaling protein. *Cell* 92, 63-72.
47. Scharer, C.D., McCabe, C.D., Ali-Seyed, M., Berger, M.F., Bulyk, M.L., and Moreno, C.S. (2009). Genome-wide promoter analysis of the SOX4 transcriptional network in prostate cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 69, 709-717.
48. Scherer, G., Held, M., Erdel, M., Meschede, D., Horst, J., Lesniewicz, R., and Midro, A.T. (1998). Three novel SRY mutations in XY gonadal dysgenesis and the enigma of XY gonadal dysgenesis cases without SRY mutations. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 80, 188-192.
49. Pingault, V., Bodereau, V., Baral, V., Marcos, S., Watanabe, Y., Chaoui, A., Fouveaut, C., Leroy, C., Verier-Mine, O., Francannet, C., et al. (2013). Loss-of-function mutations in SOX10 cause Kallmann syndrome with deafness. *Am J Hum Genet* 92, 707-724.
50. Zeng, Y.T., Ren, Z.R., Zhang, M.L., Huang, Y., Zeng, F.Y., and Huang, S.Z. (1993). A new de novo mutation (A113T) in HMG box of the SRY gene leads to XY gonadal dysgenesis. *J Med Genet* 30, 655-657.

51. Preiss, S., Argentaro, A., Clayton, A., John, A., Jans, D.A., Ogata, T., Nagai, T., Barroso, I., Schafer, A.J., and Harley, V.R. (2001). Compound effects of point mutations causing campomelic dysplasia/autosomal sex reversal upon SOX9 structure, nuclear transport, DNA binding, and transcriptional activation. *J Biol Chem* 276, 27864-27872.
52. Karaer, K., Yuksel, Z., Yalinbas, E., and Scherer, G. (2014). A case of campomelic dysplasia in whom a new mutation was found in the SOX9 gene. *Turk Pediatri Ars* 49, 154-156.
53. Morin, M., Vinuela, A., Rivera, T., Villamar, M., Moreno-Pelayo, M.A., Moreno, F., and del Castillo, I. (2008). A de novo missense mutation in the gene encoding the SOX10 transcription factor in a Spanish sporadic case of Waardenburg syndrome type IV. *Am J Med Genet A* 146A, 1032-1037.
54. Okamoto, N., Ehara, E., Tsurusaki, Y., Miyake, N., and Matsumoto, N. (2018). Coffin-Siris syndrome and cardiac anomaly with a novel SOX11 mutation. *Congenit Anom (Kyoto)* 58, 105-107.
55. Harley, V.R., Jackson, D.I., Hextall, P.J., Hawkins, J.R., Berkovitz, G.D., Sockanathan, S., Lovell-Badge, R., and Goodfellow, P.N. (1992). DNA binding activity of recombinant SRY from normal males and XY females. *Science* 255, 453-456.
56. Pontiggia, A., Rimini, R., Harley, V.R., Goodfellow, P.N., Lovell-Badge, R., and Bianchi, M.E. (1994). Sex-reversing mutations affect the architecture of SRY-DNA complexes. *EMBO J* 13, 6115-6124.
57. Chaoui, A., Watanabe, Y., Touraine, R., Baral, V., Goossens, M., Pingault, V., and Bondurand, N. (2011). Identification and functional analysis of SOX10 missense mutations in different subtypes of Waardenburg syndrome. *Hum Mutat* 32, 1436-1449.

## Figure Legends

### Figure 1. Analysis of SOX4 Missense Variants in the gnomAD Control Cohort

(A) Bar graphs showing the numbers of synonymous and missense SOX4 variants detected in gnomAD for each amino acid of the SOX4 protein sequence. The HMG domain is highlighted in yellow and the transactivation domain in grey.

(B) Comparison of the numbers of synonymous and missense gnomAD variants in the different SOX4 domains. Columns correspond to the N-terminal and central region (Nt + Ce), HMG domain (HMG) and transactivation domain (TA). Values are indicated at the top of each column.

(C) Percentages of residues per SOX region that feature at least one gnomAD variant. The p-values obtained in two-sample t-tests for the comparison of regions is shown.

**Figure 2. Pictures of Subject 1 at 4 Years and 8 Months (A), Subject 2 at 6 Years and 10 Months (B), and Subject 4 at 6 Years and 10 Months of Age (C).** Frontal and profile views of the heads show mild facial dysmorphism, including anteverted nares, wide mouth with a cupid bow, and posteriorly rotated ears. Pictures of the hands of subjects 1 and 4 show bilateral 5<sup>th</sup> finger clinodactyly. A picture of the feet of subject 1 shows normal morphology.

### Figure 3. SOX4 Transcript Levels in the Developing and Adult Human Brain

(A to C) Changes in SOX4 expression levels during development and adult life in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, striatum, and cerebellar cortex, as assessed by RNA-seq. The first three samples were obtained during the three trimesters of fetal development, and the next three during the first four decades of life, as indicated on the X-axis. No data were available for the cerebellar cortex in the first trimester of embryogenesis.



(D) RNA microarray data demonstrating that SOX4 expression is significantly higher in neuroanatomical regions with high-level neurogenesis (ventricular and subventricular zones) than in regions with low-level neurogenesis (subplate and cortical plate) at 21 weeks of gestation (Mann-Whitney U-test,  $p < 0.01$ ).

#### **Figure 4. Effect of sox4 Knockdown on Xenopus laevis Embryo Development**

(A) Top, representative pictures of stage-43 *Xenopus* embryos showing that bilateral injection of sox4 MO leads to a smaller head area (white dotted circles) and to microphthalmia compared to bilateral injection of control MO. Bottom, graph showing quantification of the head area for all tested embryos. n, number of embryos. The p-value was calculated by a non-parametric Mann-Whitney rank sum test. \*,  $p \leq 0.05$ . Scale bar, 1 mm.

(B) Sox4 depletion results in shorter body length. Data are presented as in panel A. \*\*\*\*,  $p \leq 0.0001$ . Scale bar, 1 mm.

(C) Sox4 deficiency impairs brain development. Top left, pictures showing that sox4 MO injections lead to a small brain area (dotted line). Top right, pictures showing that Sox4 depletion results in underdevelopment of the fore- and mid-brain, but not hindbrain (white vertical lines separated by a horizontal dotted line). Bottom panels, data quantification performed as in panel A. n, number of embryos. \*\*\*\*,  $p \leq 0.0001$ ; \*\*,  $p \leq 0.01$ ; n.s., not significant.

#### **Figure 5. Analysis of the Location of SOX4 Missense Variants Detected in Subjects and in gnomAD Individuals**

(A) Schematic of the human SOX4 protein showing the location of the four subject missense variants in the HMG domain. Variants are shown in red and their positions are marked with bars. Numbers indicate the position of amino acids in the protein sequence.

(B) Alignment of the SOX4 HMG-domain sequences from various vertebrate species. Sequence accession numbers are listed in Table S1. SOX4 variants found in the study subjects and in the gnomAD control cohort are shown in red and purple, respectively, above the sequences, and amino acids matching the variants are similarly colored in the aligned sequences. Above the sequences, symbols denote fully conserved (asterisks) and semi-conserved (dots) amino acids. Below the sequences, residues important for DNA binding and bending are shown with blue open triangles and green closed triangles, respectively. Brown brackets demarcate the three  $\alpha$ -helices (H1, H2, and H3) that secondarily structure the DNA-binding domain. Key amino acids in the N-terminal and C-terminal nuclear localization signal sequences (NLS) and nuclear export signal sequence (NES) are shown with continued lines and are linked with dotted lines.

(C) Comparison of the HMG domain sequences of all human SOX proteins. Sequence accession numbers are listed in Table S2.

### **Figure 6. Consequences of Missense Mutations on SOX4 Protein Level and Activity**

(A) Western blot of nuclear (N) and cytoplasmic (C) extracts from COS-1 cells transiently transfected with expression plasmids encoding wild-type (WT) or variant (Phe66Leu, Ala112Pro, Ile59Ser or Lys105Asn) SOX4 proteins fused at the N-terminus with a 3FLAG epitope. The proteins were identified using anti-FLAG antibody. The Mr of protein standards is indicated in k units. Note that each SOX4 protein exhibited the expected Mr of approximately 75 k. The bottom panel is a longer exposure of the same blot as in the top panel. It is limited to the SOX4 protein region and shows that SOX4 protein was present in all nuclear extracts, but at a lower level than in cytoplasmic extracts. Variant SOX4 proteins did not show significant differences in nuclear localization compared to wild-type SOX4 when several independent experiments were considered.

(B) EMSA comparing the binding efficiency of SOX4 wild-type and variants. The cell extracts were

the same as in panel A, but also included negative controls without SOX4 (-). The arrow indicates the complex formed between wild-type SOX4 and the DNA probe.

(C) Assay of the ability of the four cases' SOX4 variants to activate transcription. COS-1 cells were transiently transfected with a 6FXO-p89-Luc reporter, a pSV-beta-galactosidase plasmid, and expression plasmids for no protein (-), wild-type or variant SOX4, and POU3F2. Reporter activities are presented as the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation obtained from triplicates for each condition. They were normalized for transfection efficiency and are reported as fold increase relative to the activity of the reporter in the absence of SOX4 and POU3F2. The presence (+) or absence (-) of SOX4 and POU3F2 plasmid is indicated beneath the bars. These data were reproduced in more than three independent experiments.

(D) Assay of the ability of the twelve gnomAD SOX4 HMG-domain missense variants to activate transcription. COS-1 cells were transfected as described in panel C and using SOX4 and POU3F2 expression plasmids as shown in the figure. Data were calculated and are presented as in panel C. They were reproduced in four independent experiments. A western blot showing that similar amounts of SOX4 protein were made in all conditions is presented in Figure S3.

**Table 1. Summary of Demographic, Genetic and Clinical Characteristics of the Subject Cohort.**

Parentheses, percentiles. Brackets, standard deviations. N.D., not determined. OFC, occipitofrontal head circumference.

	<b>Subject 1</b>	<b>Subject 2</b>	<b>Subject 3</b>	<b>Subject 4</b>
Gender	Male	Male	Female	Female
Age	4 years 8 months	6 years 8 months	6 years 0 month	6 years 10 months
Ancestry	Italian	Scottish-Hungarian	French	Scottish
SOX4 variant	p.Phe66Leu	p.Ala112Pro	p.Ile59Ser	p.Lys105Asn
Growth delay	Height: 100.7 cm (10 <sup>th</sup> ) Weight: 15.0 kg (8 <sup>th</sup> ) OFC: 47.6 cm (1 <sup>st</sup> ) [-2.5 SD]	N.D. 14.8 kg (1 <sup>st</sup> ) [-3.66 SD] 48.3 cm (0.4 <sup>th</sup> ) [-2.8 SD]	98.6 cm (6 <sup>th</sup> ) 14.6 kg (9 <sup>th</sup> ) 50 cm (12 <sup>th</sup> ) [-0.65 SD]	109.7 cm (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) 17 kg (3 <sup>rd</sup> ) 52.3 c (45 <sup>th</sup> ) [-0.1 SD]
Speech delay	First words at 4 years	No speech	Delayed speech at 3 years	First words at 2 years
Walking delay	First steps at 27 months	Not ambulant	No delay	First steps at 21 months
Intellectual and neurological delay	Mild ID (IQ: 68); epilepsy; myelination delay	Severe ID; spastic quadriparesis; cerebellar atrophy; patchy changes in cerebral white matter	Very mild learning difficulties	Learning difficulties requiring educational support (IQ: 52)
Facial dysmorphism	Microbrachycephaly; epicanthus; stellate iris pattern; short nose; upturned nares; wide mouth with cupid bow; and posteriorly rotated ears	Microcephaly; trigonocephaly; metopic ridge; epicanthic folds; infra-orbital folds; and wide mouth with cupid bow	Deep-set eyes; infra-orbital grooves; upturned nares; wide mouth with cupid bow and full lips	Deep-set eyes; infra-orbital creases; malar flattening; upturned nares; wide mouth with cupid bow, and full lips; and posteriorly rotated ears
Hand and foot malformation	Bilateral 5 <sup>th</sup> finger clinodactyly	Congenital vertical talus; bilateral 5 <sup>th</sup> finger clinodactyly	Mild 5 <sup>th</sup> finger clinodactyly; dysplastic 5 <sup>th</sup> toenails	Bilateral 5 <sup>th</sup> finger clinodactyly; mild camptodactyly
Hypotonia	Mild, generalized	Truncal hypotonia	Not detected	Not detected
Other abnormal features	Ventricular septal defect; feeding difficulties and constipation; strabismus and keratoconus	Feeding difficulties; laryngomalacia; delayed secondary dentition	None detected	None detected

**Table 2. Pathological Missense Variants in SRY and Other SOX Protein Residues Matching the SOX4 Variants Identified in Four Individuals with ID in this Study**

<b>Protein</b>	<b>Variant</b>	<b>Phenotype</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<b>SOX4</b>	<b>F66L</b>	Neurodevelopmental syndrome	This study
SRY	F67V	Gonadal dysgenesis, XY sex reversal	<a href="#">48</a>
SOX9	F112L	Campomelic dysplasia	<a href="#">9</a>
SOX10	F111V	Kallmann syndrome	<a href="#">49</a>
<b>SOX4</b>	<b>A112P</b>	Neurodevelopmental syndrome	This study
SRY	A113T	Gonadal dysgenesis	<a href="#">50</a>
SOX9	A158T	Campomelic dysplasia, XY sex reversal	<a href="#">51</a>
SOX9	A158V	Campomelic dysplasia	<a href="#">52</a>
SOX10	A157V	Waardenburg syndrome type IV	<a href="#">53</a>
SOX11	A102V	Coffin-Siris syndrome	<a href="#">54</a>
<b>SOX4</b>	<b>I59S</b>	Neurodevelopmental syndrome	This study
SRY	V60L	XY sex reversal	<a href="#">55</a>
<b>SOX4</b>	<b>K105N</b>	Neurodevelopmental syndrome	This study
SRY	K106I	Gonadal dysgenesis	<a href="#">56</a>
SOX10	K150N	Hirschsprung's disease	<a href="#">57</a>