

This is a repository copy of Genetic profiling of primary orbital melanoma-an analysis of 6 cases with clinico-pathological correlation.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/141103/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Mudhar, H.S., Doherty, R.E. orcid.org/0000-0002-3979-961X, Salvi, S.M. et al. (3 more authors) (2018) Genetic profiling of primary orbital melanoma-an analysis of 6 cases with clinico-pathological correlation. Ophthalmology. ISSN 0161-6420

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.12.047

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

Accepted Manuscript

Genetic Profiling of Primary Orbital Melanoma-An Analysis of 6 Cases with Clinico-Pathological Correlation

Hardeep Singh Mudhar, MBBChir, FRCPath, Rachel E. Doherty, MSc, PhD, Sachin M. Salvi, MBBS, FRCOpth, Zanna I. Currie, MBChB, FRCOpth, Jennifer H. Tan, MBChB, FRCOpth, Karen Sisley, BSc PhD

PII: S0161-6420(18)32892-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.12.047

Reference: OPHTHA 10626

To appear in: Ophthalmology

- Received Date: 2 November 2018
- Revised Date: 19 December 2018

Accepted Date: 21 December 2018

Please cite this article as: Mudhar HS, Doherty RE, Salvi SM, Currie ZI, Tan JH, Sisley K, Genetic Profiling of Primary Orbital Melanoma-An Analysis of 6 Cases with Clinico-Pathological Correlation, *Ophthalmology* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2018.12.047.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Genetic Profiling of Primary Orbital Melanoma-An Analysis of 6 Cases with

Clinico-Pathological Correlation.

^{1*}Hardeep Singh Mudhar MBBChir, FRCPath

^{2*}Rachel E Doherty MSc, PhD

³Sachin M. Salvi MBBS, FRCOpth

⁴Zanna I. Currie MBChB, FRCOpth

⁴Jennifer H. Tan MBChB, FRCOpth

²Karen Sisley BSc PhD

*HSM and RED are joint first authors.

1. National Specialist Ophthalmic Pathology Service (NSOPS), Dept of Histopathology, E-Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, S10 2JF

2. Department of Oncology & Metabolism, Medical School, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2RX

3. Sheffield Ocular Oncology Service, Dept of Ophthalmology, A-Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, S10 2JF

4. Oculoplastic Service, Dept of Ophthalmology, A-Floor, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield, S10 2JF

Address for correspondence:

Dr Rachel Doherty, Department of Oncology & Metabolism, Medical School, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

Email: r.e.doherty@sheffield.ac.uk

Conflict of Interest: No conflicting relationship exists for any authors

Financial statement: This study was funded by the Sheffield Ocular Oncology Fund, Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield UK. The sponsor had no role in the design or conduct of this research.

Running Title: Genetic profiling of primary orbital melanoma

1 Introduction

Primary orbital melanoma accounts for less than 1% of all primary orbital 2 neoplasms.¹ In the largest clinico-pathological series on this subject to date, all 21 3 cases occurred in Caucasian patients, with a mean age at diagnosis of 42 years. Of 4 these cases, 19 (90%) were associated with an orbital blue naevus. Of these 19 5 cases; 10 cases also showed some form of congenital melanosis (naevus of Ota or 6 ocular melanocytosis).² Death from metastatic tumour occurred in 38% of cases, 7 after a mean of 4.5 years follow up, with liver (88%) and brain (12%) being main 8 targets of metastases.² A recent clinical study of 13 cases showed mortality from the 9 disease in 5/13 cases with a mean survival of 44 months.³ We present our 10 experience of the clinical, histological and genetic profile from 6 cases of primary 11 orbital melanoma and compare this with what is already known about uveal, 12 cutaneous, and conjunctival melanomas. 13

14 Methods

This was a retrospective study performed on archival paraffin tissue surplus to 15 diagnosis, held in the Histopathology Department, Royal Hallamshire Hospital 16 Sheffield. All patients underwent standard written consent for the exenteration and 17 incisional biopsy surgical procedures. Institutional Review Board/ Ethics Committee 18 approval was obtained (The study was approved nationally (15/NW/0239) and by the 19 Sheffield Teaching Hospitals Research & Development Office, under study number 20 STH 19478, sub-study to STH 15427) for the use of anonymised retrospective 21 formalin-fixed paraffin tissue, according to the UK Human Tissue Act (HTA) 22 guidelines that governs the research use of such material that is surplus to 23

diagnosis. The research adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The
study was funded by the Sheffield Ocular Oncology Fund.

The clinical presentation / course and radiological features of patients were obtained from clinical records held in the Medical Records Department and from the Radiology Departments of the Royal Hallamshire Hospital Sheffield UK respectively. All histopathology data was obtained from slides and results held in the National Specialist Ophthalmic Pathology Service (NSOPS) archive, in the Department of Histopathology at the same hospital.

32 Inclusion Criteria for study

The inclusion criteria for the study were the presence of a primary orbital melanoma, with no clinical /radiological/imaging or other investigative modality evidence of intraocular, conjunctival, skin (including eyelid), mucosal (non-conjunctival) melanoma.

37 Tissue fixation and Immunohistochemistry

All surgically sampled tissue was fixed in standard 10% buffered formalin and 38 exposed to standard processing to paraffin wax. 4 micron sections were cut and 39 stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E). All cases were exposed to BAP-1, 40 Melan A, HMB45 and Sox-10 immunohistochemistry. BAP1 retrieval of antigen was 41 with pH8 (high pH) Dako retrieval solution. BAP1 antibody (Santa Cruz, California, 42 Clone-C4; SC28383) was used at a dilution of 1:400 for 50 minutes, followed by a 43 mouse link amplification step for 10 minutes, the Dako Flex Envision system HRP 44 step for 20 minutes and finally DAB for 5 minutes. Melan A-Retrieval of antigen was 45 with Agilent High pH EnV FLEX target retrieval solution. Melan A antibody (Agilent 46 USA Clone A103) was used as a ready to use solution for 20 minutes, followed by 47

Agilent EnV FLEX HRP for 20 minutes and DAB for 5 minutes. HMB45- Retrieval of 48 antigen was with Agilent High pH EnV FLEX target retrieval solution. HMB45 49 antibody (Agilent USA, Clone HMB45) was used as a ready to use solution for 20 50 minutes, followed by mouse link amplification step for 10 minutes and then Agilent 51 EnV FLEX HRP for 20 minutes and DAB for 5 minutes. Sox10- Heat induced epitope 52 retrieval was performed using Leica Bond Epitope Retrieval Solution for 2 minutes at 53 99°C (high pH, Leica, AR9640). Peroxide block was applied for 5 minutes (as per 54 detection kit) followed by application of SOX10 (CellMargue rabbit monoclonal 55 EP268, diluted 1/200, cat. no. 385R-15) for 15 minutes. The Leica Bond III 56 immunostaining platform was used, with Leica Bond Polymer Refine Detection with a 57 DAB chromogen (Leica, DS9800). 58

59

DNA extraction, array comparative genomic hybridisation (array CGH), PCR
 and Sanger sequencing

DNA from 6 cases of primary orbital melanomas was extracted from formalin-fixed 62 paraffin-embedded tumour material as previously described.⁴ Array comparative 63 genomic hybridization (aCGH) was performed on all 6 cases as detailed previously.⁴ 64 Sequencing for mutations of GNAQ, GNA11 and BRAF was performed as detailed 65 previously.^{5, 6} Amplification of NRAS, SF3B1 and EIF1AX regions was performed by 66 standard PCR. PCR reagent concentrations were 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 pmol/µl primers 67 and 12.5 mM dNTPs.⁷⁻⁹ Due to the TERT promoter region being G-C rich, the 68 protocol was adapted using a GC rich PCR system (Roche, Basel, Switzerland).¹⁰ 69 PCR conditions were 0.5 µM MgCl₂, 30 pmol/µl primers and 12.5 mM dNTPs. PCR 70 product size was verified by agarose gel electrophoresis. Table 1 summarises the 71 primers used. Following amplification, PCR products were purified to remove PCR 72

reagents using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).
Sequencing reactions were performed using a BigDye Terminator V.3.0 Cycle
Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). Sequencing
traces were analysed using FinchTV software (Geospisa Seattle, USA).

77 Results

78 Clinical and Radiological findings

Table 2 summarises the clinical and radiological features of the 6 cases. All patients 79 were Caucasian and comprised 4 males (age range 65 to 91 years) and 2 females 80 (26 and 65 years), with a male to female ratio of 3:1. The mean age at diagnosis was 81 66 years (range 26-91 years). The mean follow-up after histological diagnosis was 82 39 months (range 6 weeks to 84 months). Proptosis was common at presentation, 83 and one case (case 1) showed episcleral and scleral pigmentation, without eyelid 84 skin changes, indicative of ocular melanocytosis. None of the cases had clinical 85 evidence of conjunctival, uveal, evelid skin or systemic melanoma. Radiologically, 86 what was particularly striking was the proximity of the melanomas to extraocular 87 muscles, either located adjacent to the insertion or the body of the muscles or focally 88 invading the muscle. No cases showed extension of the orbital mass beyond the 89 bony orbit. Case 4 showed concurrent metastatic disease in the liver and bones. 90

91 Histopathology findings (see Figure 1)

These are summarised in Table 3. Most tumours comprised a variable mixture of spindle and epithelioid melanoma cells that were positive for melanocytic markers Melan A, HMB45 and Sox10. 2/6 cases had balloon cell change. 1/6 cases showed histological confirmation of ocular melanocytosis (case 1) and in a further 2 cases, benign spindle melanocytes were present around and beyond the orbital melanomas

97 (case 2 and 3). Balloon cell changes were seen in cases 4 and 6 but not in the other98 cases.

99 Array CGH for Chromosomal copy number changes (See Figure 2)

Array CGH data was analysed using Agilent Genomic Workbench Software v.6.0 100 (Agilent Technologies) and Nexus Copy Number Software v8.0 (BiodiscoveryH). 101 102 Findings using both software's were comparable and revealed targeting of individual chromosomes rather than widespread genomic imbalance. The results for each 103 104 tumour are presented in Table 4. The chromosomal copy number changes are summarised in Fig 2. The most frequent gains were of 6p (5/6), 8g (4/6), 17g (4/6), 105 6q (2/6), and 20p (2/6). The most frequently lost regions were 1p (2/6), 9p (2/6), 16q 106 (2/6), 17p (2/6). 107

108 Mutational Analysis

Mutational profiling of genes commonly mutated in melanoma was performed using 109 standard PCR and Sanger sequencing. The genes and mutational hotspots analysed 110 111 are described in Table 4. Based on mutational data there is a suggestion of 2 distinct subgroups emerging in orbital melanomas. Those that exhibit mutations in GNAQ, 112 GNA11 or SF3B1 (cases 1, 2 and 3) and those that contain mutations in TERTp and 113 NRAS (cases 5 and 6). Case 4 did not exhibit mutations in any of the sites analysed, 114 however it is worth noting that data for *EIF1AX* and *TERTp* mutations was not 115 available due to poor quality DNA extracted from this sample. Cases 1 and 3 116 contained different missense substitutions at codon 625 of SF3B1 (case 1 exhibiting 117 a missense substitution of C>G and case 3 exhibiting a C>T substitution). Both 118 mutations of SF3B1 (R625G and R625C) have previously been reported to be 119

present in primary UM. ¹¹⁻¹³ Case 1 also exhibited an R183Q mutation in exon 4 of 120 GNAQ. This is an interesting observation as a mutation at this site is much rarer 121 compared to the Q209 site (2.8% versus 44.8% in primary UM). ⁶ Case 2 exhibited a 122 Q209L missense substitution of A>T at codon 209 of GNA11, a mutation seen in 123 approximately 40-50% of UM cases.^{6, 14-16} Cases 5 and 6 both exhibited mutations in 124 the genes NRAS and TERTp (table 3). Both cases harboured a G12V missense 125 substitution of G>T in codon 12 of NRAS and a C250T missense substitution of C>T 126 in the promoter region of TERT. 127

128

129 **Discussion**

This study has documented the clinical, histological and molecular genetic findings 130 for 6 cases of primary orbital melanoma. The clinical and histological findings concur 131 with a previous study by Tellado et al², who documented 21 cases of primary orbital 132 melanoma, which showed that the histology of orbital melanoma was very similar to 133 UM. The melanoma cell types presented here comprised a variable mix of spindle 134 and epithelioid cells and in some cases, extracellular matrix networks seen, as in 135 UM. The primary orbital melanomas had a striking tendency to occur next to or within 136 extraocular muscles. Most cases of primary orbital melanoma are thought to arise 137 from orbital benign melanocytes or blue nevi, within or without the setting of 138 oculo(dermal) melanocytosis.² These benign melanocytes tend to distribute along 139 orbital fascial planes or within extraocular muscle, which would explain why in 5/6 140 cases, the melanomas were located as they were. 141

142 Case 1 featured ocular melanocytosis and showed a genetic profile identical to UM 143 (monosomy 3 (M3) and gain of 8q), with loss of BAP1 protein nuclear expression

and featured liver metastases. As Changes of M3, 8q+ and loss of BAP1 protein 144 nuclear expression, have all been significantly correlated with the development of 145 hepatic metastases in UM, the observation of liver metastases in case 1 is perhaps 146 not unduly surprising. This could represent a misclassified case of UM with 147 secondary spread to the orbit from the ipsilateral or contralateral uvea. However, the 148 benefit of exenteration histological examination showed no evidence of active or 149 regressed lesions of UM in the uvea making it highly unlikely that it represented a 150 UM. Similarly, none of the remaining exenterated cases showed evidence of uveal or 151 conjunctival pathology, confirming that the orbital melanomas were indeed primary 152 tumours. Interestingly, case 1 also showed a mutation in SF3B1, which, in the 153 context of UM, is rarely reported in conjunction with loss of BAP1 expression.¹¹ 154 Case 1 also contained a rare mutation of GNAQ, not often observed in UM.⁶ 155

There is a wealth of data on the genetic alterations of UM¹⁷⁻²¹, with less known 156 about conjunctival melanomas ²²⁻²⁴, and there are no reports about the mutational 157 and global chromosomal analysis of primary orbital melanomas. The findings of this 158 investigation confirm that primary orbital melanomas share similarities with other 159 forms of melanoma. The most common change (6p+), found in 5/6 primary orbital 160 melanomas, is consistently reported for cutaneous, UM (including iris) and 161 conjunctival melanoma.^{21, 24-29} Other alterations, although less frequent (1p- and 162 8q+), are also reported across the spectrum of melanoma.²² In contrast M3 found in 163 one case is characteristic of UM, whilst other changes such as 17q+ are rarely 164 observed in UM but have been reported for conjunctival melanoma.^{20-22, 25, 26} 165 Likewise, mutations of TERTp occur in conjunctiva melanoma but not UM, and 166 GNA11 and SF3B1 are associated with UM but not conjunctival melanoma.^{6, 13, 22, 23} 167 When the cases are separated on the basis of mutational profile in combination with 168

genetic imbalances, it is apparent that cases 1, 2 and 3 are more akin to UM (M3 169 and 8q+ with GNAQ, GNA11 and SF3B1 mutations), whilst cases 4, 5 and 6 have 170 mutations of NRAS 12 and TERTp and chromosomal imbalances similar to those of 171 conjunctival melanoma. Iris melanomas equally have been reported to have a mixed 172 genotype, sharing mutations of both cutaneous (BRAF/ NRAS) and posterior UM 173 (GNAQ/ GNA11 and SF3B1), ²⁹ but the segregation on the basis of mutations is not 174 as distinct as seen here for the orbital melanomas. It is also remarkable that two of 175 the cases (Case 5 and 6) have very distinctive profiles, both having 16q-, evidence 176 of i(17q) and a tight focal amplification of 20p, findings which, although similar to 177 conjunctival melanoma²², may suggest that primary orbital melanomas have their 178 own characteristic changes. To exclude cross contamination, the analysis was 179 repeated and confirmed the similarity of the genetic changes in these two cases. 180

It is important to also consider the locality of these primary orbital melanomas. 181 Posterior UM, in particular those affecting the ciliary body, are more likely to have 182 M3.¹⁷⁻²⁰ In this study Case 1, with both M3 and loss of BAP1 nuclear expression, 183 was located in the posterior orbit towards the apex. In contrast cases 5 and 6 had 184 relatively anterior locations compared to the other cases, and both had the anterior 185 aspect of the tumour biopsied which abutted the conjunctiva. For these orbital 186 melanomas, the mutational signature of NRAS and TERTp is shared with 187 conjunctival and skin melanoma.^{22, 23} It is tempting to speculate whether proximity of 188 the primary orbital melanoma to the conjunctiva, or anterior orbit, imparts a 189 conjunctiva-type genetic signature, possibly mediated via light exposure; compared 190 to the posteriorly located orbital melanomas, which would be relatively unexposed to 191 light and more UM-like in their genetic profile. This possibility could only be tested by 192 mapping different parts of a primary orbital melanoma to assess whether it was 193

made up of a mixture of conjunctival melanoma-like and UM-like genetic signatures. 194 On this point however, it is worth noting that all of the 3 cases with a more UM-like 195 genetic signature (cases 1, 2 and 3) showed a benign precursor lesion whereas the 196 other 3 cases did not; although 2 of these latter cases were biopsies which did not 197 sample the background non-tumour tissue. In the remaining case, the melanoma did 198 not show a benign background precursor. This may indicate a genuine absence of a 199 precursor or effacement of a precursor lesion by the melanoma. Ocular 200 melanocytosis is a risk factor for UM but not conjunctival melanoma.³⁰ Although 201 speculative, the presence of a benign precursor lesion may be a surrogate marker of 202 one of the two genetic subgroups for primary orbital melanoma suggested by the 203 study. 204

Genetic changes are powerful prognostic biomarkers for UM, but far less so for 205 conjunctival melanoma. Poor prognosis for UM can be assigned by the presence of 206 M3, 8q+ and 1p-, whilst 6p+ is usually associated with a better outcome and 207 mutations of *SF3B1* and BAP1 loss also associate with metastasis.^{15, 17, 18, 20} Given 208 these associations Case 1 has all the classic features of a poor prognosis UM (1p-, 209 M3 8q+, and absent BAP1 nuclear staining), and it is not perhaps surprising under 210 these circumstances that the patient died from associated hepatic metastases. The 211 other 2 cases with a more UM-like profile (2 and 3), had no metastases at the point 212 of study, but did have some characteristic indicators of poor prognosis; including 213 those that may predispose to metastasis over a longer period.^{7, 13, 20, 25, 31, 32} 214 Extended observation may clarify the association. For cases 4, 5 and 6, there was 215 no consistent biomarker that related to the development of metastasis, and just as 216 with conjunctival melanoma, further biomarkers would be advantageous. A recent 217 study found mutations present in the SF3B1 gene in 4/12 orbital melanomas and 218

suggests these mutations are associated with a better outcome in this tumour type.
However, this study was limited to analysis of chromosomes 1, 3, 6 and 8 and
therefore correlations to a non-UM profile could not be made from this series. ³³

222

In summary, we have presented the genetic profiles of 6 cases of primary orbital 223 melanoma, which suggests that there may be two potential genetic groups, one of 224 which may associate with melanocytosis / benign precursors. However, the study is 225 limited by the analysis of 6 cases. Studying a larger cohort of cases will hopefully 226 allow a prognostic stratification based on clinical, histological and molecular features, 227 similar to current prognostic strategies for UM.³⁴ Secondly, patients with ocular 228 melanocytosis who develop proptosis should be imaged urgently to rule out primary 229 230 orbital melanoma.

231

232 Acknowledgments

We'd like to thank Dr Satiavani Ramasamy, and Prof Bernie Chang (Leeds Teaching Hospital NHS Trust, Leeds UK) for providing clinical follow up data for one of the cases. We are grateful to Dr Naomi Guppy (HSL Advanced Diagnostics Laboratories London) for providing us the protocol for Sox10 immunohistochemistry.

- **Figure 1 Histology images and immunohistochemistry findings.**
- A-Haematoxylin and Eosin (H&E) stained section showing a spindle cell rich area of
- 239 primary orbital melanoma (Case 1).
- B- An epithelioid cell rich area (Case 2).
- 241 C-Focal clear cell changes seen in cases 4 and 6.
- 242 D-The melanoma (bottom) abutting extraocular muscle (top).
- E- Sox 10 nuclear positivity of primary orbital melanoma.
- 244 F-Background benign pigmented melanocytes present in background orbital soft
- tissue around and beyond some cases of primary orbital melanoma (Case 2).
- G-Case 1: immunohistochemistry with BAP1, showing absent nuclear staining and
- some staining of the cytoplasm (Case 1).
- H-Case 2: immunohistochemistry with BAP1, showing nuclear staining (Cases 2 to 6
- showed this pattern of staining).
- 250

Figure 2 Array CGH profiles form 6 orbital melanomas, segregated on the basis of mutational signatures and copy number aberrations.

The cases were broadly divided into those melanomas that had mutations common to UM and those with mutations more frequent amongst conjunctival and cutaneous melanoma. Cases 1, 2 and 3, either had a *GNAQ*, *GNA11* or a *SF3B1* mutation and / or chromosome alterations commonly associated with UM such as M3 and 8q+ (often specifically in the form of an i(8q) as likely in case 3). Cases 4, 5 and 6, had mutations reported for conjunctival melanomas and chromosome changes less frequent in UM, but sometime reported for conjunctival melanoma.

260

261

Reference

262

263 Shields JA, Bakewell B, Augsburger JJ, Flanagan JC. Classification and incidence of space-264 1. 265 occupying lesions of the orbit: a survey of 645 biopsies. Archives of ophthalmology 1984;102(11):1606-11. 266 267 2. Tellado M, Specht CS, McLean IW, et al. Primary orbital melanomas. Ophthalmology 268 1996;103(6):929-32. 269 Rose AM, Luthert PJ, Jayasena CN, et al. Primary Orbital Melanoma: Presentation, 3. 270 Treatment, and Long-term Outcomes for 13 Patients. Frontiers in oncology 2017;7:316. 271 Salawu A, Ul-Hassan A, Hammond D, et al. High quality genomic copy number data from 4. 272 archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded leiomyosarcoma: optimisation of universal linkage system 273 labelling. PloS one 2012;7(11):e50415. 274 5. Mudhar HS, Doherty R, Salawu A, et al. Immunohistochemical and molecular pathology of 275 uveal melanocytoma: evidence for somatic GNAQ mutations. British Journal of ocular 276 Ophthalmology 2013:bjophthalmol-2013-303291. 277 6. Van Raamsdonk CD, Griewank KG, Crosby MB, et al. Mutations in GNA11 in uveal melanoma. 278 New England Journal of Medicine 2010;363(23):2191-9. 279 7. Fabian ID, Thaung C, AlHarby L, et al. Late Solitary Extraocular Recurrence From Previously 280 Resected Iris Melanoma. American Journal of Ophthalmology 2017;181:97-105. 281 8. Dono M, Angelini G, Cecconi M, et al. Mutation frequencies of GNAQ, GNA11, BAP1, SF3B1, 282 EIF1AX and TERT in uveal melanoma: detection of an activating mutation in the TERT gene promoter 283 in a single case of uveal melanoma. British journal of cancer 2014;110(4):1058. 284 9. Jin Y, Shima Y, Furu M, et al. Absence of oncogenic mutations of RAS family genes in soft 285 tissue sarcomas of 100 Japanese patients. Anticancer research 2010;30(1):245-51. 286 Wu RC, Ayhan A, Maeda D, et al. Frequent somatic mutations of the telomerase reverse 10. 287 transcriptase promoter in ovarian clear cell carcinoma but not in other major types of gynaecological 288 malignancy. The Journal of pathology 2014;232(4):473-81. 289 11. Harbour JW, Roberson ED, Anbunathan H, et al. Recurrent mutations at codon 625 of the 290 splicing factor SF3B1 in uveal melanoma. Nature genetics 2013;45(2):133-5. 291 12. Schilling B, Bielefeld N, Sucker A, et al. Lack of SF3B1 R625 mutations in cutaneous 292 melanoma. Diagnostic pathology 2013;8(1):87. Yavuzyigitoglu S, Koopmans AE, Verdijk RM, et al. Uveal Melanomas with SF3B1 Mutations: 293 13. 294 A Distinct Subclass Associated with Late-Onset Metastases. Ophthalmology 2016;123(5):1118-28. 295 14. Koopmans AE, Vaarwater J, Paridaens D, et al. Patient survival in uveal melanoma is not 296 affected by oncogenic mutations in GNAQ and GNA11. British journal of cancer 2013;109(2):493-6. 297 Staby KM, Gravdal K, Mørk SJ, et al. Prognostic impact of chromosomal aberrations and 15. 298 GNAQ, GNA11 and BAP1 mutations in uveal melanoma. Acta Ophthalmologica 2017. 299 16. Vader M, Madigan M, Versluis M, et al. GNAQ and GNA11 mutations and downstream YAP 300 activation in choroidal nevi. British Journal of Cancer 2017;117(6):884-7. 301 Sisley K, Rennie IG, Parsons MA, et al. Abnormalities of chromosomes 3 and 8 in posterior 17. 302 uveal melanoma correlate with prognosis. Genes, Chromosomes and Cancer 1997;19(1):22-8. 303 Bornfeld N, Prescher G, Becher R, et al. Prognostic implications of monosomy 3 in uveal 18. 304 melanoma. The Lancet 1996;347(9010):1222-5. 305 19. Hammond DW, Al-Shammari NS, Danson S, et al. High-Resolution Array CGH Analysis 306 Identifies Regional Deletions and Amplifications of Chromosome 8 in Uveal MelanomaChromosome 307 8 Changes in UM. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 2015;56(6):3460-6. 308 20. Kilic E, Naus NC, van Gils W, et al. Concurrent loss of chromosome arm 1p and chromosome 3 predicts a decreased disease-free survival in uveal melanoma patients. Investigative 309 310 ophthalmology & visual science 2005;46(7):2253-7. 311 Speicher MR, Prescher G, du Manoir S, et al. Chromosomal gains and losses in uveal 21. melanomas detected by comparative genomic hybridization. Cancer research 1994;54(14):3817-23. 312

313 22. Griewank K, Westekemper H, Murali R, et al. Conjunctival melanomas harbor BRAF and 314 NRAS mutations and copy number changes similar to cutaneous and mucosal melanomas. Clinical 315 Cancer Research 2013:clincanres. 0163.2013. Griewank K, Murali R, Schilling B, et al. TERT promoter mutations in ocular melanoma 316 23. 317 distinguish between conjunctival and uveal tumours. British journal of cancer 2013;109(2):497. 318 24. Mudhar HS, Smith K, Talley P, et al. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation (FISH) in histologically 319 challenging conjunctival melanocytic lesions. British Journal of Ophthalmology 2013;97(1):40-6. 320 25. Aalto Y, Eriksson L, Seregard S, et al. Concomitant loss of chromosome 3 and whole arm 321 losses and gains of chromosome 1, 6, or 8 in metastasizing primary uveal melanoma. Investigative 322 ophthalmology & visual science 2001;42(2):313-7. Sisley K, Parsons M, Garnham J, et al. Association of specific chromosome alterations with 323 26. 324 tumour phenotype in posterior uveal melanoma. British journal of cancer 2000;82(2):330. 325 27. Parrella P, Sidransky D, Merbs SL. Allelotype of posterior uveal melanoma. Cancer research 326 1999;59(13):3032-7. 327 28. van den Bosch T, Kilic E, Paridaens D, de Klein A. Genetics of uveal melanoma and cutaneous 328 melanoma: two of a kind? Dermatology research and practice 2010;2010. 329 29. van Poppelen NM, Vaarwater J, Mudhar HS, et al. Genetic background of iris melanomas and 330 iris melanocytic tumors of uncertain malignant potential. Ophthalmology 2018;125(6):904-12. 331 30. Kaliki S, Shields C. Uveal melanoma: relatively rare but deadly cancer. Eye 2017;31(2):241. 332 Trolet J, Hupé P, Huon I, et al. Genomic profiling and identification of high-risk uveal 31. 333 melanoma by array CGH analysis of primary tumors and liver metastases. Investigative 334 ophthalmology & visual science 2009;50(6):2572-80. 32. van den Bosch T, van Beek JG, Vaarwater J, et al. Higher Percentage of FISH-Determined

32. van den Bosch T, van Beek JG, Vaarwater J, et al. Higher Percentage of FISH-Determined
Monosomy 3 and 8q Amplification in Uveal Melanoma Cells relate to Poor Patient
PrognosisMonosomy 3 and 8q Amplification Relate to Poor Prognosis. Investigative ophthalmology
& visual science 2012;53(6):2668-74.

339 33. Rose AM, Luo R, Radia UK, et al. Detection of mutations in SF3B1, EIF1AX and GNAQ in
340 primary orbital melanoma by candidate gene analysis. BMC Cancer 2018;18(1):1262.

34. DeParis SW, Taktak A, Eleuteri A, et al. External validation of the Liverpool uveal melanoma
prognosticator online. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science 2016;57(14):6116-22.

343

Gene	Exon	Forward Primer Sequence 5'-3'	Reverse Primer Sequence 5'-3'	Reference
GNAQ	5	AGAAGTAAGTTCACTCCATTCCC	TTCCCTAAGTTTGTAAGTAGTGC	5
GNAQ	4	TCTTTTTCTCCCACCCCTTGC	TTGTTTTGAAGCCTACACATGATTCC	6
GNA11	5	CGCTGTGTCCTTTCAGGATG	CCTCGTTGTCCGACT	5
GNA11	4	GTGCTGTGTCCCTGTCCTG	GGCAAATGAGCCTCTCAGTG	6
BRAF	15	TCATAATGCTTGCTCTGATAGGA	GGCCAAAAATTTAATCAG	5
NRAS	2	CGGTGTTTTTGCGTTCTCTAGTC	TCCGACAAGTGAGAGACAGGAT	9
NRAS	3	TTGAGGGACAAACCAGATAGGC	CCTTCGCCTGTCCTCATGTATT	9
SF3B1	15	TGATTATGGAAAGAAATGGTTGAAG	CATGTTCAATGATTTCAACTAAACTTC	8
EIF1AX	1	GAAAAGCGACGCAAAGAGTC	CTGGGTGACCTGCAATCTAC	8
TERT	promoter	GTCCTGCCCCTTCACCTT	GCTTCCCACGTGCGCA	10

Table 1. Primer sequences used in study

Case	Sex	Laterality	Presentation	Radiology	Post biopsy treatment	Post surgical treatment	Clinical course
1	M	L	Reduced VA and pain 2/52; 4 mm proptosis and slight upward globe displacement. RAPD	MRI: Posterior 22mm MD left fusiform mass abutting medial rectus with compression of optic nerve. Body PET-clear.	SSOE	Post-op orbital radiotherapy	No local recurrence. Miliary type liver metastases and epigastric lymphadenopathy 24 months after orbit surgery. Died 36 months after orbital diagnosis
2	М	R	Puffiness around R eye; inferotemporal 6mm proptosis	MRI-Equatorial 44mm MD supero-nasal mass above superior and medial rectus. No extrorbital spread. Body PET- clear	SSOE	Post-op orbital radiotherapy	No local recurrence and no metastases to date. Well and alive.60 months post-surgery
3	F	L	Left proptosis and left sub conjunctival haemorrhage VA 6/6 ; left 6th nerve palsy	MRI: Posterior 26mm MD well- defined mass around lateral rectus and adjacent to lacrimal gland. Body PET-all clear.	SSOE	Post-op orbital radiotherapy	No local recurrence and no metastases to date. Well and alive 36 months post-surgery.
4	F	L	3/12 proptosis	CT-extensive homogeneous orbital mass and multiple liver and bone metastases	nil	No treatment. Systemic palliative support.	Died 8 weeks after orbital biopsy from multiple bone and liver metastases.
5	М	L	Painless loss of vision; RAPD, proptosis; restricted eye movements	CT and MRI- left fusiform mass abutting medial rectus mass. CT whole body-no masses	nil	No treatment. Systemic palliative support	Died 6 weeks after orbital biopsy from cerebral metastases.
6	М	R	Supero-temporal mass. Diplopia on R gaze	CT- Anterior 26mm MD supero- lateral ovoid mass overlying insertions of superior rectus, superior oblique and lateral rectus. Separate from lacrimal gland. CT whole body-all clear	SSOE	No local treatment	No local recurrence and no metastases. Died of unrelated causes 48 months post-surgery.

Table 2 Summary of clinical and radiological features of the 6 cases

M (male); L(left); R(right); VA (visual acuity); RAPD (relative afferent pupillary defect; MD (maximum dimension); SSOE (Skin sparing orbital exenteration)

Case	histology	Melanocytosis?	BAP1
number		_	immunohistochemistry
case 1	Exenteration: Posterior melanoma invading EOM; Central Nec with	Yes-melanocytosis of choroid, sclera,	Absent nuclear
	melanophages; mostly Sp cells & some Ep cells. No LVS; No PN ;	episclera and orbit soft tissue.	expression
	HMB45+ MelanA+ Sox10+. No conjunctival or uveal melanoma.		
case 2	Exenteration: Superior equatorial melanoma; Sp &E cells; packeted	Yes-scattered benign spindle cells in	nuclear expression
	architecture; vascular invasion; No PN; Melan A+HMB45+ Sox10+.	orbit soft tissue around melanoma.	
	No conjunctival or uveal melanoma.		
case 3	Exenteration: Posterior orbital melanoma; Sp cells; Nec; No LVS; No	Yes-scattered benign spindle cells in	nuclear expression
	PN; Melan A+, HMB45+ Sox10+;	orbit soft tissue adjacent and distant	
	No conjunctival or uveal melanoma.	from melanoma	
case 4	Incisional biopsy (taken from anterior orbit): Melanoma; Sp & Ep cells	Not assessable histologically	nuclear expression
	with focal balloon cell change; packeted architecture. Melan A+,		
	HMB45+, Sox10+		
case 5	Incisional biopsy (taken from anterior medial orbit) : Melanoma; Sp 🔧	Not assessable histologically	nuclear expression
	&Ep cells Melan A, HMB45 Sox10+		
case 6	Exenteration: Anterior orbital melanoma; Ep cell rich; balloon cell	No	nuclear expression
	change; No LVS; No PN; Melan A+HMB45+; Sox10+; No 🤇		
	conjunctival or uveal melanoma.		

 Table 3 Summary of the histological findings.

Key: EOM-extraocular muscle; Nec-necrosis; Sp-spindle; Ep-epithelioid; LVS-lymphovascular space invasion; PN-perineural invasion;

case no.									
	GNAQ	GNA11	SF3B1	EIF1AX	BRAF	NRAS	TERT	gain of chromosomal copy number	loss of chromosomal copy number
1								8q (partial)	3, 1p (partial)
2								6, 8q, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 21	19
3								1p (focal), 6p (partial), 17q(partial), 20q (focal)	1p (partial), 4q (partial), 8p (partial), 9p (partial)
4								6p (partial), 7p (focal), 8	none
5								1p (partial), 6, 13q (partial), 17q, 20p (focal)	16p (focal), 16q (partial), 17p, 20q (focal)
6								6p, 17q, 20p (focal)	9 (focal), 10, 16 (partial), 17p, 20q (focal), 21

* focal losses and gains not reported in table were identified as CNVs due to unmatched control DNA used for aCGH

** where wildtype reported for GNAQ, GNA11 and NRAS, indicates wildtype for all mutational sites analysed as outlined in table 1



GNAQ (R183) GNA11 (Q209)

NRAS (G12)

TERT (p.146)

wildtype

failed

CERTER



C
5 CENTRAL CONTRACTOR STATE
0.00000000003
2 THE REPORT OF THE 3
CERTIFICATE STREET, 3
£
CI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
2 CILCULATIONS
STREET, STREET
4 ¹² (1800 - 111
2 8 0 1 1 1 1 1 1
a and a second a s
a and a second s
2 CT11_2 CT11_1 T11_1
CELEBRATIC CELEBRATIC S
CITERING CERED 2
in the second se
B CHINERINED
10 3
a manana ana ana ana a
6 demonstration and the
Call Hanalanda &
and the second s
CTTE DE TOTAL 2
arianiana ariana ari

Highlights

The study presents the genetic profiles of 6 primary orbital melanomas. The data suggests there are 2 subgroups: A uveal-like signature and a conjunctival-like signature, with the uveal-like group possibly associated with benign precursors.