

This is a repository copy of *Thermoregulation in rapid growing broiler chickens is compromised by constraints on radiative and convective cooling performance.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/140659/

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Tickle, PG orcid.org/0000-0003-0389-3580 and Codd, JR (2019) Thermoregulation in rapid growing broiler chickens is compromised by constraints on radiative and convective cooling performance. Journal of Thermal Biology, 79. pp. 8-14. ISSN 0306-4565

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.11.007

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/

1	Thermoregulation in rapid growing broiler chickens is compromised by constraints on
2	radiative and convective cooling performance.
3	
4	Peter G. Tickle ^a and Jonathan R. Codd ^b
5	
6	^a School of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, UK
7	
8	^b School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine & Health, University of
9	Manchester, UK
10	
11	Corresponding author:
12	
13	Dr. Jonathan Codd
14	School of Biological Sciences
15	Faculty of Biology, Medicine & Health
16	University of Manchester
17	Manchester
18	M13 9PL
19	UK
20	+44 0161 275 5474
21	jonathan.codd@manchester.ac.uk
22	
23	
24	
25	

26 ABSTRACT

Broiler chickens are selected to undergo a rapid six-week hatch-to-slaughter growth phase to attain large body and muscle mass. Broilers have relatively high resting and locomotor metabolic costs suggesting that adaptive thermoregulatory mechanisms are required to dissipate excess heat. Using thermal imaging in the growing broiler we characterised the trajectory of radiative and convective cooling in still air across broiler development. Scaling of head, tarsus and toe surface area did not deviate from body mass^{2/3} while torso area increased with positive allometry, body mass^{0.82}, reflecting increased feather coverage and/or disproportionate abdominal/thoracic growth. Despite relatively increased area, the body became less effective for heat transfer presumably due to increasing feather coverage. Conversely, the magnitude of heat exchange from the distal hindlimbs was improved in larger birds. Overall capacity to transfer heat by convection and radiation in still air was attenuated over development, since the proportion of resting metabolic rate accounted for decreased in standing and sitting postures. This physiological constraint could be ameliorated by increased latent heat transfer or provision of environmental ventilation, which we modelled according to industrial guidelines. Based on models, higher airspeeds coincided with improved convective cooling that assisted in maintaining the proportion of RMR accounted for by convective and radiative heat transfer. These data highlight the potentially adverse thermoregulatory effects of rapid growth rate and body mass increases, which may contribute to the increased sedentary resting and decreased locomotor behaviour observed in large broilers.

48 Key words: broiler, development, thermoregulation, air velocity, thermal imaging

1. INTRODUCTION

Modern broiler chickens are the product of ongoing artificial selection for rapid growth, high feed conversion efficiency and large meat yield. While highly desirable for efficient meat production, these characteristics are concomitant with health problems, such as cardiorespiratory disease (Wideman, et al., 2007) and leg pathologies (Bradshaw, et al., 2002). The lag between organ and skeletal development related to muscle growth are thought to drive the underlying health related issues (Havenstein, et al., 2003b; Schmidt, et al., 2009; Tickle, et al., 2014). Despite the physiological challenges that have accompanied selection for rapid growth, unlimited access to food to satisfy metabolic demand coupled with closely-controlled environmental conditions ensure that broiler production is profitable and sustained with only limited losses due to disease and premature mortality. The energy balance of broilers is different when compared to other galliform species, with resting metabolic rate (RMR) and the cost of locomotion unusually high across development (Tickle, et al., 2018). Resting posture in birds has a pronounced effect on the magnitude of RMR, and this effect is magnified as body mass increases (Tickle, et al., 2018). Particularly pertinent to broilers is that an increased cost of breathing may account for this observation since the metabolic requirements of respiratory muscles to power movements of the increasing heavy sternal mass are likely to parallel the sternal-weight gain (Tickle, Paxton, Rankin, Hutchinson and Codd, 2014; Tickle, et al., 2010).

The elevated energetic costs of normal physiological functions in the broiler indicate that dissipation of excess heat to the environment is likely to play an increasingly important role for maintaining normal body temperature. Development of large body size and breast muscles (Tickle, Paxton, Rankin, Hutchinson and Codd, 2014) and elevated metabolic rate (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018) indicates that broilers may struggle to maintain thermoregulatory balance as they grow because the surface area available for heat exchange

via convection, radiation and conduction is expected to increase with body mass^{2/3} (Walsberg and King, 1978). In the commercial farming of broilers, careful control of environmental conditions is required to optimise broiler development, since growth rate and feed efficiency are affected by temperature (Deaton, et al., 1996; Deeb and Cahaner, 2002; Donkoh, 1989; May and Lott, 2000), relative humidity (Yahav, 2000; Yahav, et al., 1995) and airflow (May, et al., 2000; Simmons, et al., 2003; Yahav, et al., 2004; Yahav, et al., 2001). The importance of maintaining optimal environmental conditions is highlighted by the limited broiler energy budget (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018), which gives minimal scope for increasing resting metabolic rate to conserve the energetic resources available for growth when thermoregulatory costs are increased. The capacity for maximal metabolic heat dissipation (Speakman and Krol, 2010) may therefore impose a limit on energy utilisation to prevent hyperthermia in broilers. Previous studies have considered the contribution of thermoregulatory mechanisms in

birds, highlighting the role of evaporative (Dawson, 1982), radiative (Greenberg, et al., 2012; Yahav, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004), convective (Giloh, et al., 2012) and conductive (Van Sant and Bakken, 2006) cooling. Here, we quantify the changing profile of two mechanisms of heat transfer (radiation and convection) under normal environmental conditions across a size range of broilers and consider the potentially significant effect of resting posture on thermal biology. We hypothesise that sensible heat loss becomes less effective in larger broilers, and that artificial airflow becomes an essential compensatory mechanism. Our data provides a novel perspective on the thermal challenges faced by developing broilers and underscores the coupled importance of physiological constraints and environmental airflow on energetics and behaviour.

- 2. METHODS

2.1 Animals

Commercial broilers (Cobb® 500) were obtained from a local supplier and housed in pens with *ad libitum* access to poultry pellets and water. Daily recordings of body mass (M_b) and gait (Kestin 1992) were made to monitor development and welfare thereby ensuring that only healthy birds were included in thermal imaging analysis. The sex ratio of hatched broiler chickens has a slight female bias (55% female, 45% male) (Zakaria and Omar, 2013). Experimental procedures and methods were carried out under ethical approval from the University of Manchester Ethics Committee in accordance with the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, covered by a Home Office project licence (40/3549) held by Dr. Codd.

2.2 Thermal Imaging

Experiments were conducted on birds (N = 18) from 2 to 6 weeks old (body mass (M_b)) range: 256g – 2903g). Single measurements were made using 6 birds whereas multiple (3 or fewer) measurements were conducted on 12 birds across the developmental period. Individual birds were removed from their housing pen and placed in an experimental set-up that consisted of an open-sided Perspex box (volume 61L) resting on a plastic floor. A FLIR i7 (FLIR Systems Inc., Wilsonville, OR, USA) thermal imaging camera ($< 0.1^{\circ}$ c precision; $\pm 2\%$ accuracy) was used to photograph the lateral perspective of each bird resting in sitting and standing posture. Thermal images (Fig. 1) were recorded when the birds were seen to rest quietly in a particular posture (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018). A portable combined temperature and relative humidity (RH) meter ($\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ c / 1% RH) was used to record ambient conditions during each trial. Temperature and humidity (Fig. 2) were controlled in line with industry guidelines (Cobb-Vantress® "Broiler Management Guide" revised 2012) and therefore assumed to fall within

the thermoneutral zone for broilers (Donkoh, 1989; Meltzer, 1983). Air speed was not measured but assumed to be minimal because the birds were enclosed within a laboratory. Consequently, no measure of evaporative or conductive heat transfer was made in this study so an estimate of total heat transfer is not possible. Rather, the contribution of two principal thermoregulatory mechanisms under favourable environmental conditions are presented. Comparison of sensible heat loss with RMR allows an estimation of the magnitude of combined evaporative and conductive heat loss which we assume accounts for the remainder.

Linear measurements (mm) of the head, body, tarsus and toes 1-4 were taken after each trial, enabling calculation of surface area by assuming each segment's geometry was equivalent to three-dimensional shapes (Yahav, et al., 2005). The head was modelled as a sphere, the body (i.e. thorax and abdomen) as a prolate spheroid and the legs and toes as open-ended cylinders (to account for surface area only, and therefore disregarding the internal anatomy that would otherwise be included). Linear regression on log-transformed data was used to calculate scaling relationships for surface area over development.

Thermal images were processed in the FLIR Tools program (version 5.11). Photographs were analysed by overlaying 2-dimensional shapes to each body component (e.g. an oval was fitted to the torso). The mean temperature within each shape was calculated in the FLIR Tools program. Metabolic heat loss (Watts, W) was estimated as the sum of radiative and convective heat transfer.

2.3.1 Calculated Radiative Heat Transfer

2.3 Calculation of Heat Transfer

Radiative heat transfer $(q_{rad}; W)$ from the bird to the environment was calculated for each body segment as: $q_{rad} = A\sigma\varepsilon_a (T_b^4 - T_a^4)$ (1)where A is body segment surface area (m²), σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant (relates radiative energy emittance to absolute temperature; 5.67 x 10⁻⁸ W m⁻² K⁻¹), ϵ_a is emissivity of bird feathers (assumed as 0.95; (Ward, et al., 1999)), T_b is the segment mean surface temperature and T_a is ambient air temperature (K). 2.3.2 Modelled Convective Heat Transfer A temperature gradient between the bird and surrounding air underlies the heat transferred by convection $(q_{conv}; W)$ and can be modelled as: $q_{conv} = Ah_c(T_b - T_a)$ (2)where h_c is the convective heat transfer coefficient (W m⁻² K⁻¹): $h_c = \mathrm{Nu}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ (3) and Nu is the dimensionless Nusselt number, k is the thermal conductivity of air (W m⁻¹ K⁻¹) and d is the characteristic dimension of each body segment (Mitchell, 1976). The Nusselt

421 422		
423 424	175	number can be considered a function of the dimensionless Reynolds (Re) and Prandtl (Pr)
425 426	176	numbers:
427 428 420	177	
429 430 431	178	$Re = \frac{ud}{v} $ (4)
432 433	179	
434 435 436	180	where <i>u</i> is airspeed (ms ⁻¹) and <i>v</i> is the kinematic viscosity of air (m ² s ⁻¹).
430 437 438	181	
439 440	182	$\Pr = \frac{v}{k} \tag{5}$
441 442 442	183	
443 444 445	184	Nu is determined according to Re and Pr as:
446 447	185	
448 449	186	Nu = d (Pr x Re) (6)
450 451	187	
452 453	188	Convective heat transfer was therefore calculated for each body segment based upon its
454 455 456	189	specific geometry (Yahav, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2005). Given the effective lack of air
457 458	190	movement during imaging, heat loss was modelled for birds according to ventilatory guidelines
459 460	191	produced for broiler farms rearing Cobb 500 broilers (Cobb-Vantress® "Broiler Management
461 462	192	Guide" revised 2012). Implementation of airflow guidelines contributes to establishing
463 464	193	environmental conditions that allow for optimal broiler production. Airspeed was modelled as
465 466	194	0.300, 0.500, 0.875 ms ⁻¹ for birds with measured body mass as expected for ages between 0-
467 468 469	195	14, 15-21 and 22-28 days, respectively. A range of simulated airspeeds was implemented for
409 470 471	196	older, heavier birds according to the suggested ventilation conditions (1.750-3.00 ms ⁻¹)
472 473	197	provided in the Broiler Management Guide. Convective heat transfer was also calculated as by
474 475	198	free convection, i.e. in still air. In this case, Nu is a function of the Grashof number (Gr):
476 477	199	
478 479		8

481 482		
483	200	$Gr = agd^3 (T_b - T_a) $
484 485	200	$\frac{1}{v^2}$ (5)
486 487	201	
488 489	202	where <i>a</i> is the coefficient of thermal expansion (K^{-1}) and <i>g</i> is acceleration due to gravity (9.81)
490 491	203	m s ⁻²). The relationships between Nu, Gr and the geometry of body segments were taken into
492 493	204	account when estimating convective heat exchange (Monteith and Unsworth, 1990; Morgan,
494 495 496	205	1975).
497 498	206	
499 500	207	2.4 Resting metabolic rate
501 502	208	
503 504	209	Comparable broiler RMR data (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018) was transformed from
505 506	210	$V \square_{CO2}$ (ml min ⁻¹) to metabolic power (W) using the thermal equivalent in Brody (1945),
507 508	211	assuming a respiratory exchange ratio (RER) of 0.85. Scaling analyses were completed by
509 510 511 512 512	212	fitting ordinary least-squares regression to log-transformed RMR, q_{rad} and q_{conv} data. Statistical
	213	analyses were completed in SPSS v.24 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0.0.1
514 515	214	Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and PAST v.2.17c (Hammer, et al., 2001). Details of linear and
516 517	215	polynomial regression equations and statistics are provided in the Supplementary Material.
518 519	216	
520 521	217	3. RESULTS
522 523	218	
524 525	219	3.1 Morphology
526 527	220	
520 529 530	221	Head, tarsus and toe surface areas scaled geometrically, i.e. $M_b^{0.67}$ (Table 1). In contrast,
531 532	222	body surface area developed with positive allometry $(M_b^{0.82})$ which was indicative of a
533 534	223	disproportionate increase in thoracic and/or abdominal size and/or plumage volume over
535 536 537 538	224	development. Regression on M_b (kg) indicated that the proportional surface area (M_b =
539		

225 2.635*body + 80.002; $r^2 = 0.463$, F = 23.268, P < 0.001) accounted for by the body surface 226 accounted for 80.6% in a 0.25kg bird rising to 87.5% in a 2.90kg bird (Supplementary Material). 227 Therefore, proportion of overall surface area accounted for by unfeathered skin was reduced 228 over development.

230 3.2 Heat loss

Mean toe surface temperature increased with body mass, body surface temperature decreased, and head and tarsus temperature were unchanged (Figs. 1, 2a & 2c). The difference between ambient and body surface temperature reduced as birds grew larger, while the inverse relationship was detected for head, tarsus and toes (Fig. 2b & 2d). Total sensible heat loss in still air decreased as a proportion of RMR over development (Fig. 3 & Table 2), indicating that convection and radiation were not adequate to maintain a neutral energy balance in the sitting bird in still air (Fig. 4a). In contrast, radiative and convective cooling in a standing posture was sufficient to dissipate total metabolic heat production until the birds weighed in excess of approximately 1.00kg (Fig. 4b). Developmental trajectories of radiative and convective heat loss were similar (comparison of regression slopes by ANCOVA: F = 0.507; P = 0.480) in the sitting and standing bird (Fig. 3c), although the magnitude of heat exchange was higher during standing (comparison of intercepts by ANCOVA: F = 20.570; P < 0.001).

Simulated air movement improved convective heat transfer in sitting (Fig. 4a) and standing birds (Fig. 4b). Comparison of the scaling relationship between body mass and total sensible heat transfer indicated that the magnitude of heat loss increases with airspeed and allows the proportion of sensible heat transfer to remain constant over development (Table 2). In still air, heat transfer scales with negative allometry indicating that the risk of heat stress increases as birds grow.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, we have identified how convective and radiative cooling mechanisms contribute to heat exchange in the broiler over development, and the potentially important thermoregulatory role of posture. Our hypothesis that heavier birds have impaired radiative and convective cooling mechanisms was supported by comparison of thermal imaging and respirometry data, and posture appears to exert a determining role in the magnitude of heat loss. Large body size coupled with a high resting metabolic rate present a significant challenge to the thermal physiology of the modern broiler and this restriction on adequate heat loss may constrain other functions including locomotor activity.

There was a change in the spatial distribution of surface temperature (Cangar, et al., 2008) over development (Figs. 1 & 3). As birds grew larger, body surface temperature decreased while toe temperature increased (Figs. 3). In accordance with earlier research (Cangar, Aerts, Buyse and Berckmans, 2008) and regardless of resting posture, the disparity between ambient and surface temperature reduced for the body while the difference increased for head, tarsus and toes, indicating a switch in the relative importance of thermoregulatory surfaces over development. Sensible heat loss in still air was inadequate to maintain adequate thermoregulation over development (Fig. 4), consistent with a reduction in proportional surface area (Table 1) and increased feathering to provide greater thermal insulation in older birds (Herreid and Kessel, 1967). Postural-dependent thermoregulation was inferred because sitting contributes to reduced heat loss (Fig. 4a) when compared to standing (Fig. 4b), a finding consistent with previous reports of RMR in birds (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018; Tickle, et al., 2012; van Kampen, 1976). It is striking that resting in a sitting position is used for proportionately longer periods of the day given that heavier birds, which are potentially subject

to greater thermal stress, tend to sit for longer than lighter birds (Bokkers and Koene, 2003; Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018). A number of factors, including leg pathologies (Paxton, et al., 2014) and restriction on daily metabolic rate (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018) are likely to determine resting posture, but a limit on heat dissipation by convection and radiation due to morphological changes may constrain overall energy expenditure so that heavier broilers are unable to undergo exertion, or else risk hyperthermia (Speakman and Krol, 2010; Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018), especially if the cooling capacity of other heat exchange mechanisms is insufficient. Specific guidelines for ventilation in commercial production facilities (Cobb-Vantress® "Broiler Management Guide" revised 2012) highlight the necessity of applying engineering solutions to this biological problem. Older, heavy broilers are unable to dissipate heat at the required rate in still air using convection and radiation alone (Figs. 4 & 5) and require formation of convection currents to ensure adequate thermoregulation and weight-gain performance. Elevated mortality due to high ambient temperature coupled with inadequate ventilation (Knezacek, et al., 2010; Warriss, et al., 2005) illustrates the critical function of airflow to thermoregulation in large broilers. An unknown variable in this study is the contribution of latent heat transfer, which is expected to increase in importance when convection and radiation become less effective (Richards, 1970). Evaporative cooling via panting can be used to supplement other thermoregulatory mechanisms when broilers are placed under considerable heat stress (Borges, et al., 2004) and is therefore highly likely to have occurred in the broilers used in this study. While we did not observe panting/gular flutter during experiments, the possibility of increased evaporative cooling cannot be dismissed; rather, it is likely that this process was progressively upregulated in larger birds due to the greater disparity between RMR and measured heat transfer in still air (Fig.4). It is important to note that for optimal body mass gain a significant contribution of evaporative cooling should be avoided in broilers due to the energetic expenditure associated with panting that may reduce

the efficiency of heat transfer (Yahay, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004) Interestingly, in light of recent findings we speculate that this important function may be compromised in broilers due to the relatively slow development of musculoskeletal components of the respiratory system. A relatively reduced muscle mass in larger birds indicates that the power available to maintain increased respiratory rate during panting may be reduced over development (Tickle and Codd, 2009; Tickle, Paxton, Rankin, Hutchinson and Codd, 2014). Our results show that environmental ventilation to improve convective cooling is necessary for heat dissipation (Yahay, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004), becoming more significant as the broilers reach slaughter-weight of approximately 2.5 - 3.0kg (Fig. 5). In line with existing data (Yahav, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004), the magnitude of convective heat transfer is related to airspeed (Fig. 5) thereby enabling the overall proportion of RMR accounted for by sensible heat loss to remain effectively constant (at least in sitting birds, Fig. 5a) over development, under controlled conditions (Fig. 5). Interestingly, airflow alone did not prevent a reduction in the relative contribution of sensible heat transfer during standing in the largest broilers (Fig. 5b) indicating that an alternative thermoregulatory strategy was necessary e.g. evaporative cooling (Borges et al., 2004). This finding corresponds to previous reports of reduced activity in larger broilers (Bokkers and Koene, 2003; Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018) and provides evidence for a thermoregulatory constraint on posture and locomotion.

The contribution to sensible heat loss from each body segment varied across development. As expected, there was a proportional decrease in the heat transfer from head, body and tarsus relative to body mass over development (Table 2), consistent with a reduction in relative surface area available for thermoregulation (Table 1). However, toe q_{conv} increased with positive allometry (Table 2), indicating that, in still air, cooling via the toes is important for heat transfer and may partially compensate for the less effective cooling from other body

segments. Analysis of the scaling relationship between sensible heat loss and body surface area indicated a reducing magnitude of sensible heat loss via the head and body over development, while cooling via the tarsus and toes increased at a faster rate than surface area (Table 3), highlighting the importance of the distal hindlimb as a conduit for heat transfer (Macleod and Hocking, 1993; Steen and Steen, 1965). The negatively allometric relationship between surface area and heat loss from the head and body is potentially due to increasing feather coverage providing better surface insulation (Wolf and Walsberg, 2000), and highlights the reduction in thermoregulatory capacity via sensible heat transfer from these relatively large anatomical components as the birds grow.

An improvement in convective cooling with airspeed suggests that there is potential for an adverse effect on thermoregulation as birds grow and effective stocking density increases. High stocking density is associated with increased body and surface temperatures and relatively poor broiler performance (Abudabos, et al., 2013), which may be due in part to an increased thermoregulatory burden. Birds become more closely spaced with increasing body size potentially causing a reduction in circulating airflow and therefore compromising the efficacy of convective heat transfer. Behavioural changes, including a shift in favoured resting posture (Bokkers and Koene, 2003; Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018) may therefore be used by broilers to balance metabolic heat production and dissipation.

Our analyses considered the effects of simulated rather than experimentally modified ventilation, so no consideration was given to the effect of airspeed on radiative heat transfer, although no significant effect on radiative cooling performance was expected in light of existing work showing this mechanism to be insensitive to airflow (Yahav, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004). Furthermore, our assumption that surface temperature was unaffected by ventilation is a potential source of error since relatively small changes (<10%) in leg surface temperature are related to air velocity up to 3.1ms⁻¹ in broilers (Furlan, et al.,

2000). Nevertheless, our data confirm previous reports (Yahav, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2005; Yahav, Straschnow, Luger, Shinder, Tanny and Cohen, 2004) that identified the significance of convective and radiative thermoregulatory mechanisms in broilers. Following the end of experiments, thermal imaging often revealed localised heat transfer via conduction to the substrate (i.e. elevated surface temperature of substrate), but we were unable to quantify the magnitude of this transfer because broiler surface area in contact with the ground proved difficult to measure accurately. While heat transfer by conduction contributed to broiler thermoregulation in our experiments (Gerken, et al., 2006), the substrates (e.g. wood shavings, rice husks etc.) on which broilers are routinely reared are unlikely to confer a favourable surface on account of low thermal conductivity, meaning that any heat conduction is minimal. Despite the unknown components of evaporative and conductive heat transfer, broiler sensible heat loss in still air accounts for between 52-100% of RMR measured during standing (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018). Clearly, a margin of error is implicit in our calculation of sensible heat loss since addition of unmeasured latent and conductive heat transfer would result in total heat exchange in excess of RMR (Fig. 4). Measurement of RMR while birds underwent thermal imaging may have reduced the level of this error because broilers with seemingly impossible sensible heat values greater than 100% RMR potentially had a relatively elevated RMR compared to expected values (Tickle, Hutchinson and Codd, 2018). Nevertheless, a comparable study in canaries (Serinus canaria) quantified sensible heat loss in a standing posture as 77% of RMR (Ward and Slater, 2005), highlighting that despite considerable disparity in M_b (canary M_b : 21.5±1.5g) and morphology, sensible heat loss in birds is the main thermoregulatory mechanism under normal conditions. Interestingly, broilers with relatively low M_b can transfer a greater proportion of total metabolic heat production via sensible heat loss when compared to the canary, and this is likely a consequence of incomplete feather development (Wolf and Walsberg, 2000).

The data presented in this paper highlight the effectiveness of thermal imaging techniques for understanding animal behaviour and the relationship with the environment. Using this approach, we have presented evidence for a thermoregulatory constraint on broiler physiology and this may be a determining factor for reducing activity levels over development. 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS We acknowledge Cobb-Vantress and PD Hook for providing broiler hatchlings and Agnès Lewden for assistance with image processing. This research was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (Grant No: BB/I021116/1). 6. REFERENCES Abudabos, A. M., et al. 2013. Impacts of stocking density on the performance and welfare of broiler chickens. Ital J Anim Sci 12. doi (ARTN e11) 10.4081/ijas.2013.e11 Bokkers, E. A. M., and P. Koene. 2003. Behaviour of fast- and slow growing broilers to 12 weeks of age and the physical consequences. Appl Anim Behav Sci 81:59-72. doi 10.1016/S0168-1591(02)00251-4 Borges, S. A., et al. 2004. Physiological responses of broiler chickens to heat stress and dietary electrolyte balance (sodium plus potassium minus chloride, milliequivalents per kilogram). Poultry Science 83:1551-1558. doi 10.1093/ps/83.9.1551 Bradshaw, R. H., et al. 2002. A review of the aetiology and pathology of leg weakness in broilers in relation to welfare. Avian Poult Biol Rev 13:45-103. doi 10.3184/147020602783698421 Brody, S. 1945. Bioenergetics and growth, with special reference to the efficiency complex in domestic animals. Reinhold, New York. Cangar, O., et al. 2008. Quantification of the spatial distribution of surface temperatures of broilers. Poultry Science 87:2493-2499. doi 10.3382/ps.2007-00326 Dawson, W. R. 1982. Evaporative losses of water by birds. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology A 71:495-509. doi 10.1016/0300-9629(82)90198-0 Deaton, J. W., et al. 1996. The effect of brooding temperature on broiler performance. Poultry Science 75:1217-1220. doi 10.3382/ps.0751217 Deeb, N., and A. Cahaner. 2002. Genotype-by-environment interaction with broiler genotypes differing in growth rate. 3. Growth rate and water consumption of broiler progeny from weight-selected versus nonselected parents under normal and high ambient temperatures. Poultry Science 81:293-301. doi 10.1093/ps/81.3.293

Donkoh, A. 1989. Ambient temperature - a factor affecting performance and physiological response of broiler chickens. Int J Biometeorol 33:259-265. doi 10.1007/Bf01051087 Furlan, R. L., et al. 2000. Air velocity and exposure time to ventilation affect body surface and rectal temperature of broiler chickens. J Appl Poultry Res 9:1-5. Gerken, M., et al. 2006. Adaptive behaviour in chickens in relation to thermoregulation. Arch Geflugelkd 70:199-207. Giloh, M., et al. 2012. Skin surface temperature of broiler chickens is correlated to body core temperature and is indicative of their thermoregulatory status. Poultry Science 91:175-188. doi 10.3382/ps.2011-01497 Greenberg, R., et al. 2012. Heat loss may explain bill size differences between birds occupying different habitats. PLoS ONE 7:e40933. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0040933 Hammer, Ø., et al. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontologica Electronica 4:9pp. Havenstein, G. B., et al. 2003b. Carcass composition and yield of 1957 versus 2001 broilers when fed representative 1957 and 2001 broiler diets. Poultry Science 82:1509-1518. Herreid, C. F., and B. Kessel. 1967. Thermal conductance in birds and mammals. Comp Biochem Phys 21:405-414. doi 10.1016/0010-406x(67)90802-X Knezacek, T. D., et al. 2010. Temperature gradients in trailers and changes in broiler rectal and core body temperature during winter transportation in Saskatchewan. Can J Anim Sci 90:321-330. doi Doi 10.4141/Cjas09083 Macleod, M. G., and P. M. Hocking. 1993. Thermoregulation at high ambient-temperature in genetically fat and lean broiler hens fed ad-libitum or on a controlled-feeding regime. Brit Poultry Sci 34:589-596. doi 10.1080/00071669308417614 May, J. D., and B. D. Lott. 2000. The effect of environmental temperature on growth and feed conversion of broilers to 21 days of age. Poultry Science 79:669-671. doi 10.1093/ps/79.5.669 May, J. D., et al. 2000. The effect of air velocity on broiler performance and feed and water consumption. Poultry Science 79:1396-1400. doi 10.1093/ps/79.10.1396 Meltzer, A. 1983. Thermoneutral zone and resting metabolic rate of broilers. Brit Poultry Sci 24:471-476. doi 10.1080/00071668308416763 Mitchell, J. W. 1976. Heat transfer from spheres and other animal forms. Biophys J 16:561-569. doi 10.1016/S0006-3495(76)85711-6 Monteith, J. L., and M. H. Unsworth. 1990. Principles of environmental physics. 2nd edition. ed. Edward Arnold. Morgan, V. T. 1975. The overall convective heat transfer from smooth circular cylinders. Advances in Heat Transfer 11:199-264. Paxton, H., et al. 2014. Anatomical and biomechanical traits of broiler chickens across ontogeny. Part II. Body segment inertial properties and muscle architecture of the pelvic limb. PeerJ 2:e473. doi 10.7717/peerj.473 Richards, S. A. 1970. Physiology of Thermal Panting in Birds. Ann Biol Anim Bioch 10:151-+. doi DOI 10.1051/rnd:19700614 Schmidt, C. J., et al. 2009. Comparison of a modern broiler line and a heritage line unselected since the 1950s. Poultry Science 88:2610-2619. doi 10.3382/Ps.2009-00055 Simmons, J. D., et al. 2003. The effects of high-air velocity on broiler performance. Poultry Science 82:232-234. doi 10.1093/ps/82.2.232

1021		
1022	150	
1024	456	Speakman, J. R., and E. Krol. 2010. Maximal heat dissipation capacity and hyperthermia risk:
1025	437	10 1111/j 1265, 2656 2010 01689 v
1026	438 450	10.1111/J.1303-2030.2010.01007.X
1027	439	Acta Physiol Scand 63.285-291 doi 10 1111/i 1748-1716 1965 th04054 v
1029	461	Tickle P. G. and I. R. Codd. 2009. Ontogenetic development of the uncinate processes in
1030	462	the domestic turkey (<i>Meleagris gallonayo</i>) Poultry Science 88:179-184 doi
1031	463	10.3382/Ps.2008-00349
1032	464	Tickle, P. G., et al. 2018. Energy allocation and behaviour in the growing broiler chicken. Sci
1033	465	Rep-Uk 8. doi (ARTN 4562) 10.1038/s41598-018-22604-2
1034	466	Tickle, P. G., et al. 2012. Barnacle geese achieve significant energetic savings by changing
1036	467	posture. PLOS ONE 7:e46950. doi 10.1371/journal.pone.0046950
1037	468	Tickle, P. G., et al. 2014. Anatomical and biomechanical traits of broiler chickens across
1038	469	ontogeny. Part I. Anatomy of the musculoskeletal respiratory apparatus and changes in
1039	470	organ size. PeerJ 2:e432. doi 10.7717/peerj.432
1040	471	Tickle, P. G., et al. 2010. Load carrying during locomotion in the barnacle goose (Branta
1041	472	leucopsis): The effect of load placement and size. Comp Biochem Phys A 156:309-317. doi
1043	473	10.1016/J.Cbpa.2010.01.022
1044	474	van Kampen, M. 1976. Activity and energy expenditure in laying hens. 3. The energy cost of
1045	475	eating and posture. J Agr Sci 87:85-88.
1046	476	Van Sant, M. J., and G. S. Bakken. 2006. Thermoregulation on the air-water interface - II:
1047	477	Foot conductance, activity metabolism and a two-dimensional heat transfer model. J Therm
1040	478	Biol 31:491-500. doi 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2006.05.002
1050	479	Walsberg, G. E., and J. R. King. 1978. Relationship of external surface area of birds to skin
1051	480	surface area and body mass. J Exp Biol 76:185-189.
1052	481	Ward, S., et al. 1999. Heat transfer from starlings Sturnus vulgaris during flight. J Exp Biol
1053	482	
1054	483	Ward, S., and P. J. B. Slater. 2005. Heat transfer and the energetic cost of singing by canaries
1055	484	Serinus canaria. Journal of Comparative Physiology a-Neuroethology Sensory Neural and
1057	485	Benavioral Physiology 191:953-964. doi 10.1007/s00359-005-0022-4
1058	480	of broiler chickons during transport and lairage. Brit Doultry Sci 46:647, 651, doi
1059	487	01 DFOHEF CHICKERS OUTINg transport and failage. Brit Poultry Sci 40:047-051. 001
1060	400 180	Wideman R. E. et al. 2007. An inadequate nulmonary vascular canacity and suscentibility to
1061	489	nulmonary arterial hypertension in broilers. Poultry Science 86:984-998
1062	491	Wolf B O and G F Walsberg 2000 The role of the plumage in heat transfer processes of
1064	492	birds. Am Zool 40:575-584. doi 10.1093/icb/40.4.575
1065	493	Yahay, S. 2000. Relative humidity at moderate ambient temperatures: its effect on male
1066	494	broiler chickens and turkeys. Brit Poultry Sci 41:94-100. doi 10.1080/00071660086475
1067	495	Yahay, S., et al. 1995. Physiological responses of chickens and turkeys to relative humidity
1068	496	during exposure to high ambient temperature. J Therm Biol 20:245-253.
1009	497	Yahav, S., et al. 2005. Sensible heat loss: the broiler's paradox. World's Poult. Sci. J. 61:419-
1071	498	434. doi 10.1079/Wps200453
1072	499	Yahav, S., et al. 2004. Ventilation, sensible heat loss, broiler energy, and water balance
1073	500	under harsh environmental conditions. Poultry Science 83:253-258.
1074	501	Yahav, S., et al. 2001. Air velocity alters broiler performance under harsh environmental
1075	502	conditions. Poultry Science 80:724-726. doi 10.1093/ps/80.6.724.
1070		
1078		
1079		18

1081 1082 1083 50 1085 50 1086 50 1087 50 1088 1089 1090 1091 1092 1093 1094 1095 1096 1097 1098 1099 1001 1101 1102 1103 1104 1105 1106 1107 1108 1109 1110 1111 1120 1121 1121 1122 1123 1124 1120 1123 1121 1122 1123 1124 1120 1131 1121 1122 1123 1130 1131 1132 1132 1133 1134 135 1135 1136 1137 1138	Zakaria, A. H., and O. H. Omar. 2013. Egg laying pattern, egg weight, body weight at hatch, and sex ratio bias relative to oviposition time of young-and mid-age broiler breeders. An. Repro. Sci. 141: 80-85. doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2013.07.005.	
1139 1140	19	}



Figure 1: Representative thermal images of low and high body mass broilers.

511 Typical thermal images of broilers in standing and sitting postures. Compare the high surface 512 temperature of the smaller (0.26kg) bird (a, b) with a larger (2.0kg) broiler (c, d) that has 513 better feather insulation. Ambient temperature/relative humidity at time of imaging were (a & 514 b: 26.0° c / 51° ; c & d: 22.0° c / 55°).

Figure 2: Ambient conditions during thermal imaging. 30 -0 0 relative humidity temperature (°c) 0 0 50 🛞 : ٠. • 2.5 0.0 0.5 2.0 3.0 1.0 1.5 body mass (kg) Ambient temperature during thermal imaging was reduced over the growing period (y = -1.272x+24.461; F = 23.209; $R^2 = 0.445$; P < 0.001) while there was a simultaneous non-significant trend for increasing relative humidity (y = 2.309x + 52.935; F = 3.319; R² = 0.103; P = 0.079).



1321		
1322		
1323	537	
1324	557	
1325	520	areas/dot dash line; combined toos (surface term surfue; standing; $\mathbf{D} = 0.010$; A
1326	338	cross/doi-dash line: combined loes (surface temperature: standing: $P = 0.010$; 21
1327	520	(1) (1
1328	539	temperature: standing: $P < 0.001$)
1329		
1330		
1331		
1332		
1334		
1335		
1336		
1337		
1338		
1339		
1340		
1341		
1342		
1343		
1344		
1345		
1340		
1348		
1349		
1350		
1351		
1352		
1353		
1354		
1355		
1356		
1357		
1300		
1360		
1361		
1362		
1363		
1364		
1365		
1366		
1367		
1368		
1309		
1371		
1372		
1373		
1374		
1375		
1376		
1377		
1378		
1379		
1380		



1441			
1442 1443 1444	543	The contribution of total radiative (triangles; dot-dash line) and convective (crosses; dotted line)	le)
1445 1446	544	cooling in the sitting (a) and standing (b) broiler. Polynomial curves ($P < 0.001$) are plotted for	or
1447 1448	545	radiative (triangles; dot-dash line), convective (crosses; dotted line) and combined heat transfe	er
1449 1450	546	(circles; dashed line). Metabolic rate for broilers as measured using respirometry (Tickle et al	.,
1451 1452 1453	547	2018) is plotted as the solid line. Sensible heat loss in still air as a proportion of RMR across	s
1454 1455	548	development (c) highlights the decreasing contribution over development of $q_{rad}+q_{conv}$ is	n
1456 1457	549	standing (filled triangles, solid line: $R^2 = 0.492$; $P < 0.001$) and sitting (open triangles, dashe	d
1458 1459	550	line: $y = R^2 = 0.428$; P = 0.001). Each marker represents an individual measurement	
1460 1461			
1462 1463			
1464			
1465 1466			
1467			
1468			
1469			
1470			
1472			
1473			
1474			
1475			
1476			
1477			
1479			
1480			
1481			
1482			
1483			
1484			
1486			
1487			
1488			
1489			
1490			
1491 1402			
1493			
1494			
1495			
1496			
1497			
1498 1700		2	5
1500			-





			т	с	95%	CI	R ²	F	Р	
	Head		3.686	0.644	0.512 - (0.775 0	.781	100.129	< 0.00	1
	Torso		4.869	0.824	0.734 - (0.915 0	.925	347.49	< 0.00	1
	Tarsus Toe1		3.626	0.653	0.569 - (0.737 0	.901	253.92	< 0.00	1
			2.800	0.595	0.505 - 0	0.684 0	.869	184.984	< 0.00	1
	Toe2		3.072	0.628	0.542 - (0.714 0	.888	222.385	< 0.00	1
	Toe3		3.32	0.651	0.575 - (0.728 0	.916	304.416	< 0.00	1
	Toe4		3.100	0.574	0.476 - (0.671 0	.833	145.59	< 0.00	1
5(0	Sum of t	oes	3.716	0.620	0.559 - (0.682 0	.938	426.423	< 0.00	1
562 563 564 565 566 567 568 569 570	as described form y = my	l by regre «°	ession ana	lysis perfé	ormed on	log-transf	ormed o	lata. Eq	uations ar	e in
570 571				m	С	95% (R ²	F	
	Sitting	qconv	Head	0.399	0.710	0.512 - 0	.909 ().747	56.201	< (
			Body	0.224	0.436	0.294 - 0	.578 (0.685	41.225	< (
		qrad	Head	0.787	0.427	0.230 - 0	.624 ().521	20.653	< (
	- · · · ·		Body	0.389	0.601	0.460 - 0	.741 (0.809	80.480	< (
	Standing	qconv	Head	-0.416	0.757	0.614 - 0	.900 ().819	118.852	< (
			Body	0.201	0.351	0.157 - 0	.544 ().544	13.91	0
			Tarsus	0.040	0.043	0.700-0	.700 (745 (J.050	70 75 2	
		arad	Hood	-0.221	1.410	1.007 - 1	.745 (506 ()./59	11 292	
		qruu	Rody	-0.000	0.527	0.147 - 0	.300 (704 (0.304	28 277	0.
			Tarsus	-0.485	0.320	0.332 0	.704 (980 (1 862	156 256	< (
				-0 736	1.350	1 074 - 1	.700 (626 (0.002	101 455	< (
572 573 574 575 576 577 578 579 580 581 582 583 584	Table 2: De developmen	velopme it. Regres	ntal chang sion (y =	ge in mag mx ^c)was	nitude of performed	heat trans d on log-ti	afer (W) ansforn	with bo	ody mass .	(kg)

1621										
1622 1623 1624					m	с	95% CI	R ²	F	Р
1625		Sitting	q _{conv}	Head	2.009	1.041	0.931 - 1.152	0.953	389.223	< 0.001
1626		U U		Body	0.819	0.523	0.382 - 0.664	0.760	60.236	< 0.001
1627			q _{rad}	Head	0.833	0.701	0.551 - 0.852	0.834	95.387	< 0.001
1628				Body	1.204	0.717	0.596 - 0.838	0.889	152.772	< 0.001
1629		Standing	q _{conv}	Head	2.117	1.096	0.964 - 1.228	0.921	291.756	< 0.001
1631		U		Body	0.703	0.444	0.242 - 0.647	0.449	20.365	< 0.001
1632				Tarsus	3.063	1.275	1.111 - 1.438	0.912	258.574	< 0.001
1633				Toes	4.246	1.943	1.434 - 2.451	0.712	61.915	< 0.001
1634			G _{rad}	Head	0.503	0.568	0.370 - 0.766	0.583	34.961	< 0.001
1636				Body	1.107	0.653	0.486 - 0.820	0.723	65.152	< 0.001
1637				Tarsus	2.568	1.287	1.155 - 1.420	0.941	401.263	< 0.001
1638				Toes	3.555	1.866	1.440 - 2.293	0.764	81.078	< 0.001
1639 1640 1641 1642 1643 1644 1645 1646 1647 1648 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653 1654	585 586 587 588 589 590 591 592	Table 3: De developmen the tarsus a isometry, i.e conduits for	velopmen it. Regress nd toes in e. $c = 1$). I sensible l	tal change sion ($y = n$ ncreases a n contrast heat transf	e in magn nx ^c) was p at a great t, the head fer as surf	itude of h performed er rate th l (q _{rad}) an face area	heat transfer (W) I on log-transform an surface area d body (<i>q_{conv}</i> and increases.	with surf ned data. (95% CI <i>q_{rad}</i>) bec	ace area (m ² Heat transfe does not o ome less eff	²) over er from overlap fective