Solid Earth
Discussions

1   A new theoretical interpretation of Archie's saturation exponent

2   Paul W.J. Glover[1]

3   [1]School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK

4   *Correspondence to*: Paul W.J. Glover (P.W.J.Glover@Leeds.ac.uk)

5   **Abstract.** This paper describes the extension of the concepts of connectedness and conservation of connectedness that underlie

6   the generalised Archie`s law for n phases to the interpretation of the saturation exponent. It is shown that the saturation

7   exponent as defined originally by Archie arises naturally from the generalised Archie's law. In the generalised Archie`s law

8   the saturation exponent of any given phase can be thought of as formally the same as the phase (i.e., cementation) exponent,

9   but with respect to a reference subset of phases in a larger n-phase medium. Furthermore, the connectedness of each of the

10   phases occupying a reference subset of an n-phase medium can be related to the connectedness of the subset itself by

11   $G_i = G_{ref} \, S_i^{n_i}$. This leads naturally to the idea of the term $S_i^{n_i}$ for each phase i being a fractional connectedness, where the

12   fractional connectednesses of any given reference subset sum to unity in the same way that the connectednesses sum to unity

13   for the whole medium. One of the implications of this theory is that the saturation exponent of any phase can be now be

14   interpreted as the rate of change of the fractional connectedness with saturation and connectivity within the reference subset.

15   1 Introduction

16   Currently, there is no well-accepted physical interpretation of the saturation exponent other than qualitatively as some measure

17   of the efficiency with which electrical flow takes place within the water occupying a partially saturated rock. Some might say

18   that the meaning is not important as long as one can reliably obtain the water saturation of reservoir rocks with sufficient

19   accuracy to calculate reserves. According to the 2016 BP Statistical Review of World Energy (BP, 2016), the world had proved

20   oil reserves at the end of 2015 of 1.6976 trillion (million million; Tbbl.) barrels, slightly down on the value at the end of 2014

21   (1.7 Tbbl.) and significantly above the respective values at the end of 1995 (1.1262 Tbbl.) and 2005 (1.3744 Tbbl.). The same

22   source lists proven natural gas reserves of 186.9 trillion cubic metres (Tcm) at the end of 2015, slightly lower than at the end

23   of 2014 (187.0 Tcm) and significantly and progressively higher than the values at the end of 1995 (119.9 Tcm) and 2005

24   (157.3 Tcm). This represents combined oil and gas reserves of approximately 78.4 trillion US dollars combined at end

25   December 2015 prices (using WTI crude and Henry Hub).

26   Even a tiny uncertainty of, say, 0.01 in a saturation exponent of 2 (i.e., 0.5% or 2±0.01) would result in an error in the

27   reserves of about ±254.36 billion US dollars; the equivalent of 82 Queen Elizabeth class aircraft carriers or one mission to

28   Mars. This calculation has been carried out by calculating the percentage change in hydrocarbon saturation resulting from an

29   error of 2±0.01 in the value of the saturation exponent. Since the calculated change in hydrocarbon saturation also depends on

30   other parameters in Archie's equations. Typical representative values for these parameters have had to be used, and these are

31   $R_T = 500\ \Omega.\text{m}, R_w = 1\ \Omega.\text{m}, \phi = 0.1$ and $m = 2$. When these values are used with $n=2\pm0.01$ a change of ±0.3245% was calculated

32   for the hydrocarbon saturation, allowing the change in global reserves to be calculated.

33   Within the hydrocarbon industry it is extremely common to assume that the saturation exponent is about 2 for most rocks.

34   However, it is worthwhile thinking about the 254 billion dollar global shortfall in revenue if it really is equal to 2.01 instead.

35   These frightening large financial values make it extremely important that the physical interpretation of the saturation exponent

36   in the classical Archie's law is well understood. This paper attempts to provide a new theoretical and physical interpretation.

37   The classical Archie`s laws (Archie, 1942) link the electrical resistivity of a rock to its porosity, to the resistivity of the water

38   saturating its pores, and to the fractional saturation of the pore space with the water. They have been used for many years to

39 calculate the hydrocarbon saturation of the reservoir rock and hence hydrocarbon reserves. The classical Archie's laws contain

40 two exponents, *m* and *n*, which Archie called the cementation exponent and the saturation exponent, respectively. The

41 conductivity of the hydrocarbon saturated rock is highly sensitive to changes in either exponent.

42   Like the cementation exponent, and despite its importance to reserves calculations, the physical meaning of the saturation

43 exponent is difficult to understand from a physical point of view, which leads to petrophysicists not giving it the respect it

44 deserves. It is common, for example, to hear that, in the absence of laboratory measurements, the saturation exponent has been

45 taken to be equal to 2, which it has just been noted is bound to lead to gross errors. While it is true that there seems to be a

46 strong preference for values of saturation exponent near 2±0.5 for most water-wet rocks, oil-wet rocks show much higher

47 values (4-5) (Montaron, 2009; Sweeney and Jennings, 1960), and there is evidence that the saturation exponent changes with

48 saturation, with the type of rock microstructure and with saturation history, leading to hysteresis in the plot of resistivity index

49 as a function of water saturation.

50   When a saturation exponent is derived from laboratory measurements, it is commonly done by fitting a straight line to

51 resistivity data where the y-axis is the logarithm of the measured rock resistivity divided by the resistivity of the saturating

52 water and the x-axis is the logarithm of the water saturation. The problem is that the saturation exponent varies with water

53 saturation, becoming significantly smaller at low saturations, leading to an uncertainty in which value to use. This observation

54 also gives us the first hint that it is the connectedness of the water phase that is controlling the saturation exponent just as it

55 did for the phase exponent in the generalised Archie's law.

56   It is clear that the physical understanding of the saturation exponent needs to be improved. The purpose of this paper is to

57 investigate the elusive physical meaning of the saturation exponent, where it is shown that the saturation exponents are

58 intimately linked to the phase exponents in the generalised Archie's model.

59 **2 Traditional interpretations**

60 Considering the classical form of Archie's laws; the first Archie law relates the formation factor F, which is the ratio of the

61 resistivity of a fully saturated rock ρ_o (R_o) to the resistivity of the fluid occupying its pores ρ_f (R_w), to the rock porosity ϕ and

62 a parameter he called the cementation exponent m, where the symbols in parentheses are those traditionally used in the

63 hydrocarbon industry. Archie's first law can be expressed as $F = \rho_o/\rho_f = \phi^{-m}$ using resistivities (Archie, 1942), or as

64 $G = \sigma_o/\sigma_f = \phi^{+m}$ using conductivities. In the latter case G is called the conductivity formation factor or the connectedness

65 (Glover, 2009). It can easily be seen that the effective resistivity and effective conductivity of the fully saturated rock can be

66 expressed as $\rho_o = \rho_f \phi^{-m}$ and $\sigma_o = \sigma_f \phi^{+m}$ using resistivities or conductivities, respectively. It should be noted that this work

67 does not consider the form of Archie's law which includes the so-called 'tortuosity factor' a, which was developed by Winsauer

68 et al. (1952). The role of this parameter is discussed fully in Glover (2016).

69   Archie's second law considers that the rock is not fully saturated with a conductive fluid, but is partially saturated with a

70 fractional water saturation S_w. It relates the resistivity index I, which is the ratio of the resistivity of a partially saturated rock

71 ρ_{eff} to the resistivity of the fully saturated rock ρ_o, to the water saturation S_w and a parameter he called the saturation exponent

72 n. Archie's second law can be expressed as $I = \rho_{eff}/\rho_o = S_w^{-n}$ using resistivities, or $1/I = \sigma_{eff}/\sigma_o = S_w^{+n}$ using

73 conductivities.

74   The two laws may be combined to give $\rho_{eff} = \rho_f \phi^{-m} S_w^{-n}$ using resistivities, and $\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi^{+m} S_w^{+n}$ if conductivities are

75 used. In reserves calculations, the resistivity of the partially saturated rock, the resistivity of the pore water, the porosity of the

76 rock and the two exponents are "known" from logging or laboratory measurements. This enables the water saturation S_w and

77 hence the hydrocarbon saturation $S_h = (1 - S_w)$ and, consequently, the reserves to be calculated.

78   Archie's laws require that both the rock matrix and all but one of the fluid phases that occupy the pores to have infinite

79   resistivity. Hence, it is a model for the distribution of one conducting phase (the pore water) within a rock sample consisting

80   of a non-conducting matrix and other fluids which also have zero or negligible conductivity. Problems arise when there are

81   other conducting phases in the rock, such as clay minerals. These problems have generated a huge amount of research in the

82   past (e.g., Waxman and Smits, 1968; Clavier *et al.*, 1984), which are reviewed in Glover (2015). The classical Archie`s laws

83   were based upon experimental determinations, however, progressive theoretical work (Sen *et al.*, 1981; Mendelson and Cohen,

84   1982; Glover et al., 2000a; Glover, 2009) arising from the need to have versions of Archie's law which were valid for a

85   conductive matrix (Glover et al., 2000b) has underpinned these initially empirical relationships, culminating in a generalised

86   Archie`s law which was published in 2010 (Glover, 2010).

87   **3 The generalised Archie's law**

88   The generalised Archie's law (Glover, 2010) extends the classical Archie's law to a porous medium containing *n* phases. It is

89   based on the same concept of connectedness that was introduced in the author's previous interpretation of the cementation

90   exponent (Glover, 2009). In the 2009 paper the connectedness was defined as

91
$$G \equiv \frac{\sigma_o}{\sigma_w} = \frac{1}{F} = \phi^m \; , \tag{1}$$

92   where F is the formation factor. The connectedness of a given phase is a physical measure of the availability of pathways for

93   conduction through that phase. The connectedness is the ratio of the measured conductivity to the maximum conductivity

94   possible with that phase (i.e., when that phase occupies the whole sample). This implies that the connectedness of a sample

95   composed of a single phase is unity. Connectedness is not the same as connectivity. The connectivity is defined as the measure

96   of how the pore space is arranged in its most general sense as that distribution in space which makes the contribution of the

97   specific conductivity of the material express itself as a different conductance (see Glover, 2010). The connectivity is given by

98   $\chi = \phi^{m-1}$ and depends upon the porosity and the classical Archie's cementation exponent *m*. It should be noted that the

99   connectedness is also given by

100
$$G = \phi\chi \; , \tag{2}$$

101   and then it becomes clear that the connectedness depends both upon the amount of pore space (given by the porosity) and the

102   arrangement of that pore space (given by the connectivity).

103   The generalized Archie's law was derived by Glover (2010) and is given by

104
$$\sigma = \sum_i \sigma_i \phi_i^{m_i} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \sum_{i=1} \phi_i = 1 \; , \tag{3}$$

105   where there are *n* phases, each with a conductivity σ_i, a phase volume fraction ϕ_i and an exponent m_i. The porosity and

106   cementation exponent in the classical Archie's law are the same as the pore space phase volume fraction and pore space phase

107   exponent in the generalized Archie's law, respectively. However, the pore space and the matrix may be subdivided into any

108   number of other phases as required. Indeed, the generalized Archie's law will not contain a term that represents the pore space

109   unless the pore space is only occupied by a single phase.

110   In the generalized law the phase exponents can take any value from zero to infinity. Values less than unity represent a

111   phase with an extremely high degree of connectedness, such as that for the solid matrix of a rock. Connectedness decreases as

112   the phase exponent increases. Phase exponents that tend towards 1 are associated with a highly connected phase which is

113   analogous to the low cementation exponents occurring in the traditional Archie's law for networks of high aspect ratio cracks.

114   Phase exponents about 2 represent the degree of connectedness that one might find when the phase is partially connected in a

115   similar way to which the pore network in a sandstone is connected, and which is, again, analogous to that scenario in the

116 traditional Archie's law. By extension, higher values of phase exponents represent lower phase connectedness, such as that in

117 the traditional Archie's law for the pores in a vuggy limestone.

118   It is clear that the classical and generalized laws share the property that the exponents modify the volume fraction of the

119 relevant phase with respect to the total volume of the rock. However the exponents in the generalized law differ from the

120 classical exponent because some of them have values which are not measureable because their phases are composed of

121 materials with negligible conductivity. Despite this, each phase has a well-defined exponent providing (i) it has a non-zero

122 volume fraction and (ii) the other phases are well-defined.

123   It should be noted that higher phase exponents tend to be related to lower phase fractions, although this relationship is not

124 implicit in the generalized Archie's law as it is currently formulated.

125   It is important to consider Equation 1 and Equation 4 together to develop a fuller understanding of the model. There is an

126 infinite number of solutions to Equation 4 even in the most restrictive 2 phase system. However, there is only a small subset

127 of solutions if both Equation 1 and Equation 4 are to be fulfilled together, as the model requires. The problem of having enough

128 degrees of freedom is not problematic for 3 phases or more, and is trivial for one phase. Consequently, if there is to be a

129 problem with the Glover (2010) model, it should be clearest for a two phase system.

130   Considering a two phase system. Equation 1 gives $\phi_1 = 1 - \phi_2$ while Equation 4 can be written as $\phi_1^{m_1} + \phi_2^{m_2} = 1$.

131 Substituting we obtain either $(1 - \phi_2)^{m_1} + \phi_2^{m_2} = 1$ or $(1 - \phi_1)^{m_2} + \phi_2^{m_1} = 1$. These equations are formally the same.

132 They each have trivial solutions when each of the volume fractions tends to unity, the other volume fraction consequently

133 tending to zero. Another solution occurs when $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, which is the simple parallel conduction model. Only one other

134 solution exists for the general case where the volume fractions are variable, and that requires $m_1 > 1$ when $m_2 < 1$ or vice versa.

135 Consequently the non-trivial solution for a 2-phase medium falls into one of the following classes:

136   (i) $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, the phases, whatever their volume fractions, are arranged in parallel and both have a unity exponent.

137   (ii) $m_1 > 1$ and $m_2 < 1$. This implies that Phase 1 has a path across the 3D medium that is less connected than a parallel

138   arrangement of that phase. Since we have a two phase medium Phase 2 must have a path across the medium which

139   is more connected than a parallel arrangement, hence forcing $m_2 < 1$.

140   (iii) $m_1 < 1$ and $m_2 > 1$. Since the system is symmetric. This scenario is formally the same as (ii) above, but with the

141   phase numbers switched around.

142 Consequently, for a two-phase medium, defining the porosity and connectedness (or exponent) of one of the phases

143 immediately fully defines the other phase. For higher numbers of phases, there are more solutions, but if the porosity and

144 connectedness (or exponent) of n-1 of the phases is known, the nth phase is also fully defined in the same way. The logical

145 extension of this idea is that both the sum of the volume fractions of the n-phases is unity and the sum of the connectednesses

146 of the n-phases is also unity, or that both volume fraction and connectedness are conserved in a three-dimensional n-phase

147 mixture. Another, more intuitive way of looking at this is as follows. It has already been shown that the connectedness of a

148 system that contains only one phase is unity as a result of Equation 1, i.e., if there is one phase $\phi = 1$ and hence $G = 1$. Let us

149 imagine that a second phase is introduced. Intuitively, it seems reasonable that as the phase fraction of the new phase increases,

150 its connectedness will increase, and that when this happens both the volume fraction and connectedness of the first phase will

151 decrease. The same would be true if any number of new phases were introduced – all the phases would compete for a fixed

152 amount of connectedness, its increase for one phase being balanced by a decrease in at least one of the other phases. In other

153 words there is a fixed maximum amount of connectedness possible in a three-dimensional sample, expressed by Glover (2010)

154 as

155 $$\sum_i \phi_i^{m_i} = \sum_i G_i = 1 .\tag{4}$$

156   In summary, both the sum of the volume fractions and the sum of the connectednesses of the phases composing a 3D

157   medium is equal to unity. The corollary is that connectedness is conserved; if the connectedness of one phase diminishes, there

158   must be an increase in the connectedness of one or more of the other phases to balance it.

159   **4 Origin of the saturation exponent**

160   Within the framework of the classical Archie's laws it is possible to envisage the cementation exponent as controlling how the

161   porosity is connected within the rock sample volume, and to envisage the saturation exponent as controlling how the water is

162   connected within that porosity. The cementation exponent is defined relative to the total volume of the rock, while the

163   saturation exponent is defined relative to the pore space, which is a subset of the whole rock. This is an important concept for

164   what follows.

165   The water is one of two phases within the porosity, while that porosity is one of two phases within the rock. Hence, there

166   exists a three phase system to which the generalised Archie's law can be applied. In fact, the generalised Archie's law can be

167   used to show that the saturation exponents arise naturally and have a physical meaning: they are defined in the same way as

168   the phase exponents but are expressed relative to the pore space instead of the whole rock.

169   By writing the generalized law (Equation 4) for three defined phases; let's say matrix, water and hydrocarbon gas, and

170   assuming that neither the matrix nor the gas is conductive, i.e., $\sigma_m = 0$ and $\sigma_h = 0$, but allowing the pore space to be partially

171   saturated with water such that $\phi_h \neq 0$, it is possible to obtain $\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi_f^{m_f}$. Since $\phi_h \neq 0$, the pore space is partially saturated

172   with hydrocarbon and partially saturated with water. It is also possible to write $\phi_f = \phi S_w$, and hence obtain

173    $$\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi^{m_f} S_w^{m_f} \ . \qquad \textbf{(5)}$$

174   Comparison with the classical Archie`s laws, which can be written as $\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi^m S_w^n$ (Tiab and Donaldson, 2004) shows

175   structural similarity. However, the exponent m_f in Equation 5 is expressed relative to the whole rock because it is the phase

176   exponent for the fluid that appears in Equation 4. By contrast, the cementation exponent m in the classical second Archie`s

177   law is expressed relative to the whole rock because it is defined in Archie's first law, the saturation exponent n is expressed

178   relative to a subset of the whole rock called the pore space. This idea can be tested easily by imagining whether the saturation

179   exponent is independent of any changes one might make to the rock matrix: It is possible to see that the saturation exponent is

180   independent of the rock matrix, and is only sensitive to changes occurring within the pore space.

181   Both equations provide a valid measure of the effective rock conductivity, so they may be equated, hence obtaining

182    $\phi^m = \phi^{m_f} S_w^{(m_f - n_f)}$, which can be rearranged to give $G_f = G_{pore} S_w^{n_f}$. Here it can be recognized that the classical Archie

183   saturation exponent refers to saturation with water and is hence renamed as n_f. Since the system is symmetric this equation for

184   the gas phase (and any other phase that may be present) can be written as

185    $$G_i = G_{ref} S_i^{n_i} \ , \qquad \textbf{(6)}$$

186   with the pore connectedness being relabeled as the reference connectedness because the equation is valid not only for multiple

187   phases that fill the porosity, but multiple phases composing any other phase.

188   Equation 6 gives the connectedness of the ith phase in an n-phase 3D medium as depending on both its fractional saturation

189   S_i within a larger volume which has a connectedness G_{ref} and that reference connectedness. The distribution of that saturation

190   is taken into account by the exponent n_i, which will have a general functional form.

191 If one considers the whole 3D n-phase medium (i.e., one where $\sum_i \phi_i = 1$), Equation 1 states that the connectedness of

192 each phase is the volume fraction of that phase raised to the value of its phase exponent, and Equation 4 states that the sum of

193 the connectednesses is unity.

194 If a subset of a whole n-phase medium (i.e., one where $\sum_i \phi_i < 1$) is considered, and labelled the reference subset, the

195 reference subset will have a connectedness $G_{ref} = \phi_{ref}^{m_{ref}}$ relative to the whole rock, and the connectedness of any phase which

196 partially occupies the reference subset (e.g., water within the pore space, clay within the rock matrix etc.) is equal to the

197 connectedness of the reference phase multiplied by the volume fraction of the phase within the reference subset (i.e., the

198 saturation relative to the reference subset) raised to the value of its saturation exponent.

199 The definition above is somewhat complex due to the requirement to be both completely general and precise, and that there

200 are two reference frames here. The first is the whole 3D n-phase medium. The second is the 3D reference subset which may

201 contain between 2 and n-1 phases. Conversion between the two reference frames can be carried out using the relationship

202
$$\phi_i^{m_i - n_i} = \phi_{ref}^{m_{ref} - n_i} ,$$
 (7)

203 It can also be shown that (Glover, 2010)

204
$$\sum_i S_i^{n_i} = 1,$$
 (8)

205 where the sum is carried out over all the phases within the reference subset.

206 It should be noted that Equation 8 is formally the same as Equation 4 except that Equation 7 is valid for the reference subset

207 of phases, while Equation 4 is valid for the whole n-phase medium. Hence it is possible to use $S_i = \phi_i / \phi_{ref}$ to write both

208 Equation 4 and Equation 8 as

209
$$\sum_i \left(\frac{\phi_i}{\phi_{ref}} \right)^{m_i} = 1 .$$
 (9)

210 • For a whole n-phase medium $\phi_{ref} = 1$ and Equation 9 becomes equal to Equation 4.

211 • For a subset of the n-phase medium $\phi_{ref} < 1$ and Equation 9 becomes equal to Equation 8.

212 The distinction between the phase exponent and saturation exponent becomes trivial; they each control how connected the

213 phase is relative to the reference volume fraction. In other words, the transformation $1 \leftrightarrow \phi_{ref}$ leads to $\phi_i \leftrightarrow S_i$ and

214 $m_i \leftrightarrow n_i$.

215 There is of course the possibility that the whole n-phase medium is itself a subset of a larger medium with more phases. In

216 this case Equation 9 still holds, but with $\phi_{ref} > 1$.

217 Hence, both the phase (cementation) exponent and the saturation exponent control how the phase is connected. The phase

218 exponent does this with reference to the whole rock, while the saturation exponent does it with reference to a subset of the

219 whole rock. The underlying physical meaning of the saturation exponent is the same as that of the phase (cementation)

220 exponent, it is only the reference frame that changes. The implication is that the general Archie's law replaces both of the

221 classical Archie's laws. For an application to a sandstone gas reservoir, one would use a 3 phase generalized Archie law.

222 Equation 6 is easily transformed to provide a calculable value for the saturation exponent

223
$$n_i = \frac{\log(G_i) - \log(G_{ref})}{\log(S_i)} = \frac{m_i \log(\phi_i) - m_{ref} \log(\phi_{ref})}{\log(\phi_i) - \log(\phi_{ref})} .$$
 (10)

5 Fractional connectedness

The connectedness G is the inverse of the Archie's formation factor and is central to the generalized Archie's law. The inverse of the Archie's resistivity (saturation) index $1/I = S_w^n$ is also rather important. It relates the connectednesses of each phase with respect to the whole rock to the connectedness of the reference subset in Equation 6, and when summed over all the phases that occupy the reference subset it produces unity as in Equation 8. In this paper the inverse of the Archie's resistivity (saturation) index has been given the symbol H_i and defined as

$$H_i \equiv S_i^{n_i}. \tag{11}$$

Just as the saturation of any given phase S_i is the ratio of the volume fraction of the phase to that of the all the phases making up any reference set of phases, H_i is the ratio of the connectedness of the phase to that of the all the phases making up any reference set of phases. The parameter H_i is in fact a fractional connectedness.

Following the approach of Glover (2009) in the analysis of the cementation exponent, and accepting the deep symmetry between phase fractions and saturations and between phase exponents and saturation exponents, it is then possible to write

$$n_i = \frac{d}{d\psi}\left(\frac{dH_i}{dS}\right) \tag{12}$$

where ψ is the connectivity of the phase with respect to the reference subset of phases, where

$$\psi = S_i^{n_i - 1}, \tag{13}$$

In this case the rate of change of fractional connectedness with saturation is the product of the saturation exponent and the connectivity with respect to the reference subset

$$\frac{dH_i}{dS_i} = n_i \psi_i, \tag{14}$$

and the fractional connectedness is the product of the saturation and the connectivity with respect to the reference subset $H_i = S_i \psi_i$.

Hence, the saturation exponents obey the same laws as the phase (cementation) exponents, but whereas the phase exponents are defined relative to the whole rock, the saturation exponents are defined relative to some subset of the rock. Table 1 shows the relationships of the generalised Archie's law expressed relative to the whole rock and with respect to a reference subset of the whole rock.

For petrophysicists the reference subset has been the porosity and there has only been one conducting phase that partially saturates that porosity – the pore water. Now we are not restricted to that model. The reference subset could be, for example, the solid matrix in which a number of separate mineral phases can be defined, one of which might be, say, a target ore.

6 Conclusions

The main conceptual steps in this paper are summarised as:

- The classical Archie saturation exponent arises naturally from the generalised Archie's law.
- The saturation exponent of any given phase can be thought of as formally the same as the phase (i.e., cementation) exponent, but with respect to a reference subset of phases in a larger n-phase medium.
- The connectedness of each of the phases occupying a reference subset of an n-phase medium can be related to the connectedness of the subset itself by $G_i = G_{ref} S_i^{n_i}$.

258 • The sum of the connectednesses of a 3D n-phase medium is given by $\sum_i \phi_i^{m_i} = 1$, mirroring the relationship for phase

259 volumes $\sum_i \phi_i = 1$.

260 • Connectedness is conserved in a 3D n-phase medium. If one phase increases in connectedness the connectedness of one

261 or more of the other phases must decrease to compensate for it, just as phase volumes are conserved with the decrease in

262 one leading to the increase of another phase.

263 • The sum of the fractional connectednesses (saturations) of an n-phase medium is given by $\sum_i S_i^{n_i} = 1$.

264 • Fractional connectedness is conserved in a 3D n-phase medium.

265 • The saturation exponent may be calculated using the relationship $n_i = \dfrac{m_i \log(\phi_i) - m_{ref} \log(\phi_{ref})}{\log(\phi_i) - \log(\phi_{ref})}$.

266 • The connectivity of any phase with respect to the reference subset is given by $\psi_i = S_i^{n_i - 1}$.

267 • The connectedness of a phase with respect to a reference subset (also called the fractional connectedness) is given by

268 $H_i = S_i \psi_i$ and depends upon the fractional volume of the phase divided by that of the reference subset (i.e., its saturation)

269 and the arrangement of the phase within the reference subset (i.e., its connectivity with respect to the reference subset).

270 • The rate of change of fractional connectedness with saturation $\dfrac{dH_i}{dS_i} = n_i \psi_i$ depends upon the connectivity with respect

271 to the reference subset ψ_i and the saturation exponent n_i.

272 • Hence, the saturation exponent is interpreted as being the rate of change of the fractional connectedness with saturation

273 and connectivity within the reference subset, $n_i = \dfrac{d^2 H_i}{d\psi_i dS_i}$.

Solid Earth
Discussions

Table 1. Comparison of all the parameters in the classical and generalised Archie's laws.

Parameter	Generalised Archie's law		Classical Archie's law	
	With respect to the whole medium	**With respect to a reference subset of the whole medium**	**First law**	**Second Law**
Phase volume fraction	ϕ_i $\phi_i = \phi_{ref}\, S_i$	S_i $S_i = \phi_i/\phi_{ref}$	ϕ $V_f = V_{pore}\, S_w$	S $S_w = V_f/V_{pore}$
Exponent	$m_i = \dfrac{d}{d\chi}\left(\dfrac{dG_i}{d\phi}\right)$ $m_i = \dfrac{\log(\sigma_i) - \log(\sigma_f)}{\log(\phi_i)}$	$n_i = \dfrac{d}{d\psi}\left(\dfrac{dH_i}{dS}\right)$ $n_i = \dfrac{m_i \log(\phi_i) - m_{ref}\log(\phi_{ref})}{\log(\phi_i) - \log(\phi_{ref})}$	m $m = \dfrac{\log(\sigma_{eff}) - \log(\sigma_f)}{\log(\phi)}$	n $n = \dfrac{\log(\sigma_{eff}) - \log(\sigma_{100})}{\log(S_w)}$
Connected-ness	$G_i \equiv \phi_i^{m_i}$ $G_i = \phi_i \chi_i$ $G_i = 1/F_i$ $G_i = G_{ref}\, H_i$	$H_i \equiv S_i^{n_i}$ $H_i = S_i \psi_i$ $H_i = 1/I_i$ $H_i = G_i/G_{ref}$	undefined	undefined
Connect-ivity	$\chi = \phi_i^{m_i - 1}$	$\psi = S_i^{n_i - 1}$	$\chi = \phi^{m-1}$	undefined
Rate of change of connected-ness	$\dfrac{dG_i}{d\phi_i} = m_i \chi_i$	$\dfrac{dH_i}{dS_i} = n_i \psi_i$	undefined	undefined
Sum of phases	$\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}\phi_i = 1$ $\displaystyle\sum_i S_i > 1$	$\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}\phi_i < 1$ $\displaystyle\sum_i S_i = 1$	$\phi_{pore} + \phi_{matrix} = 1$	$S_w + S_o + S_g = 1$
Sum of connected-nesses	$\displaystyle\sum_i \phi_i^{m_i} = \sum_i G_i = 1$ $\displaystyle\sum_i\left(\dfrac{\phi_i}{\phi_{ref}}\right)^{m_i} = 1$ The transformation $1 \leftrightarrow \phi_{ref}$ leads to $\phi_i \leftrightarrow S_i$ and $m_i \leftrightarrow n_i$	$\displaystyle\sum_i S_i^{n_i} = \sum_i H_i = 1$	undefined	undefined
Effective conduct-ivity	$\sigma_{eff} = \displaystyle\sum_i \sigma_i \phi_i^{m_i}$	$\sigma_{eff} = \displaystyle\sum_i \sigma_i \phi_{ref}^{m_i} S_i^{m_i}$	$\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi^m$	$\sigma_{eff} = \sigma_f \phi^m S_w^n$

275

Data availability

This work is entirely theoretical and contains no data.

References

Archie, G. E.: The electrical resistivity log as an aid in determining some reservoir characteristics, Trans. AIME, 146, 54–67,
280   1942.

Belasco, A.: The cost of Iraq, Afghanistan, and other global war on terror operations since 9/11, Congressional Research
Service, Report for Congress. 7-5700, RL33110, 2009.

British Petroleum: BP Statistical Review of World Energy, June 2016. British Petroleum. 48 pp., 2016.

Clavier, C., Coates, G. and Dumanoir, J.: Theoretical and experimental bases for the dual-water model for interpretation of
285   shaly sands. SPE Journal. 24(2). 153-168, 1984.

Glover, P. W. J.: What is the cementation exponent? A new interpretation, The Leading Edge, 82–85, doi: 10.1190/1.3064150,
2009.

Glover, P. W. J.: A generalised Archie's law for *n* phases, Geophysics, 75(6), E247-E265, doi: 10.1190/1.3509781, 2010.

Glover, P. W. J.: Geophysical properties of the near surface Earth: Electrical properties, Treatise on Geophysics, 11, pp. 89-
290   137, 2015.

Glover, P. W. J., Hole, M. J. and Pous, J.: A modified Archie's law for two conducting phases, Earth and Planetary Science
Letters, 180, 369–383, 2000a.

Glover, P. W. J., Pous, J., Queralt, P., Muñoz, J.-A., Liesa, M. and Hole, M. J.:Integrated two dimensional lithospheric
conductivity modelling in the Pyrenees using field-scale and laboratory measurements, Earth and Planetary Science Letters,
295   178(1-2), 59-72, 2000b.

Mendelson, K. S. and Cohen, M. H.: The effects of grain anisotropy on the electrical properties of sedimentary rocks,
Geophysics, 47, 257-263, 1982.

Montaron, B.: A quantitative model for the effect of wettability on the conductivity of porous rocks, SPE 105041, 2009.

Sen, P. N., Scala, C., Cohen, M. H.: Self-similar model for sedimentary rocks with application to the dielectric constant of
300   fused glass beads, Geophysics, 46, 781-795, 1981.

Sweeney, S. A. and Jennings, H. Y., The electrical resistivity of preferentially water-wet and preferentially oil-wet carbonate
rock, Producers Monthly, 24(7), 29-32, 1960.

Tiab, D. and Donaldson, E. C.: Petrophysics, Theory and practice of measuring reservoir rock and fluid transport properties.
Gulf Publishing Company, 1996.

305   Waxman, M. M. and Smits, L. J. M., Electrical conductivity in oil-bearing shaly sand, Soc. Pet. Eng. J., 8, 107 – 122, 1968.

Winsauer, W. O., Shearin, H. M., Masson, P. H., and Williams, M.: Resistivity of brine-saturated sands in relation to pore
geometry, AAPG Bulletin, 36, 253–277, 1952.