brought to you by I CORE





University of Dundee

Daylight photodynamic therapy

McLellan, L. J.; O'Mahoney, P.; Logan, S.; Yule, S.; Goodman, C.; Lesar, A.

Published in: British Journal of Dermatology

DOI:

10.1111/bjd.17920

Publication date: 2019

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): McLellan, L. J., O'Mahoney, P., Logan, S., Yule, S., Goodman, C., Lesar, A., ... Eadie, E. (2019). Daylight photodynamic therapy: patient willingness to undertake home treatment. *British Journal of Dermatology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjd.17920

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Download date: 24. Nov. 2019

Research letter

Daylight photodynamic therapy: patient willingness to undertake home treatment

DOI: 10.1111/bjd.17920

DEAR EDITOR, In the U.K., almost one in four individuals over 60 years are affected by actinic keratoses (AKs), ¹ and this is a cause of significant morbidity in an ageing population, with risk of progression to squamous cell carcinoma. ² Daylight photodynamic therapy (dPDT) is an effective and simple treatment for field change AK, with similar efficacy to conventional PDT. ³ Commonly, skin surface preparation is performed in a dermatology clinic prior to dPDT. However, a recent German study by Karrer and colleagues investigated dPDT as a patient-applied home-delivered treatment for face and scalp AK and reported that patients who undertook this self-administered treatment had high levels of efficacy, tolerance and patient satisfaction. ⁴

We surveyed patients who had previously received dPDT at Ninewells Hospital, Dundee to ascertain their experience of treatment, whether they would have liked dPDT sooner, whether they would consider doing the treatment themselves and what type of support they would require (https://www.researchgate.net/project/Smart-PDT). A questionnaire was sent to 56 patients and 35 were returned. An engagement event was also held, inviting nine survey participants of differing viewpoints (five attended) and six members of staff to discuss the questionnaire further and investigate potential service improvements (focusing on improving the current service and the potential for home treatment). Formal advice on the construction and content of the questionnaire, and on the organization, content and focus of the engagement event was provided by the National Health Service (NHS) Tayside improvement team, which is part of the Academic Health Science Partnership in Tayside. Approval of the use of the questionnaire and engagement event was obtained through the NHS Tayside local clinical governance process.

Consistent with previous published studies, ⁵ most respondents experienced no problems during dPDT (63%) and 90% felt that clearance rates were the same as (32%) or better than (58%) other treatments that they previously underwent for AK. In this secondary care setting, most of our respondents had previously received several types of treatment prior to dPDT (61% 5-fluorouracil, 52% diclofenac, 51% surgery, 45% cryotherapy, 36% imiquimod). A total of 54% of patients reported that dPDT was better

tolerated and 27% stated similar tolerance to other AK treatments; although poor weather had caused difficulties for some (low temperature – six responses and rain – five responses). Respondents ranked minimal pain/discomfort, disease clearance, convenience of outdoor treatment and good cosmetic outcome as important factors for dPDT, with 82% being happy or very happy with the service.

It is apparent from our results and published studies that dPDT is a preferred and well-tolerated treatment for AKs.³ It has also been reported that there is poor adherence with other forms of AK treatment.¹ Currently, dPDT is only available in a limited number of locations in the U.K. and often these are secondary healthcare providers. Our respondents would have appreciated the opportunity to have treatment sooner in a non-hospital setting (73%), with 12% preferring dPDT via general practitioner surgeries, 15% could be persuaded to undertake self-treatment, 34% said they would be happy to self-treat if adequate support was available and 12% would like to control and have some ownership of their own treatment.

Respondents were also asked their opinion on using a smartphone application to perform treatment at home and the support they would require using such an application. In total, 50% of respondents said they owned a smartphone or tablet and in order to use the application to perform dPDT at home 78% of respondents would want contact details of a dPDT nurse, while 61% wanted weather predictions and 61% required a step-by-step written guide. Half of respondents wanted the ability to send secure messages to a doctor or nurse, 44% wanted instructional videos (i.e. prodrug application and aftercare) and the same number required the ability to send pictures to the doctors or nurses. Only 11% would want audio instructions, with 17% selecting 'other' and highlighting the desire for accurate dosage reports throughout treatment. From the engagement event it was further clarified that it would be essential for the app to be intuitive and easy to use. The clear message from this event was that patients wanted choice - to have local treatment available or for selfdelivery at home.

To our knowledge, it has never previously been demonstrated that there is a willingness within the AK patient population to undertake dPDT treatment at home and to use smart technology to assist in such an endeavour. Our findings highlight the importance to patients of the availability of well-tolerated, effective, convenient treatment for AKs, as well as the high value of including patient opinion in clinical service development.

2 Research letter

¹School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, U.K. ²The Scottish Photodynamic Therapy Centre, and ³Photobiology Unit, NHS Tayside, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, U.K. E-mail: l.j.y.mclellan@dundee.ac.uk

L.J. McLellan 1 D
P. O'Mahoney 1,2,3 D
S. Logan 2
S. Yule 2
C. Goodman 1,2
A. Lesar 3

L. Fullerton³
S. Ibbotson^{1,2,3}

E. EADIE^{2,3} (D)

References

- 1 de Berker D, McGregor JM, Mohd Mustapa MF et al. British Association of Dermatologists' guidelines for the care of patients with actinic keratosis 2017. Br J Dermatol 2017; 176:20–43.
- 2 Morton CA, Szeimies R-M, Sidoroff A, Braathen LR. European guidelines for topical photodynamic therapy part 1: treatment delivery and current indications – actinic keratoses, Bowen's disease, basal cell carcinoma. J Eur Acad Dermatology Venereol 2013; 27:536—44.

- 3 Sotiriou E, Evangelou G, Papadavid E et al. Conventional vs. daylight photodynamic therapy for patients with actinic keratosis on face and scalp: 12-month follow-up results of a randomized, intra-individual comparative analysis. J Eur Acad Dermatology Venereol 2018; 32:595–600.
- 4 Karrer S, Aschoff RAG, Dominicus R et al. Methyl aminolevulinate daylight photodynamic therapy applied at home for non-hyperkeratotic actinic keratosis of the face or scalp: an open, interventional study conducted in Germany. J Eur Acad Dermatology Venerol 2019; 33:661–6.
- 5 Cordey H, Valentine R, Lesar A et al. Daylight photodynamic therapy in Scotland. Scott Med J 2017; 62:48–53.

Funding sources: L.J.M.'s salary is supported by an Innovate U.K. award. The P.O.'M. and S.Y. salaries are supported by the Medical Laser Research Fund (registered charity SC037390).

Conflicts of interest: S.I. has received honoraria and travel expenses from Galderma and Spirit Healthcare.