

## Original citation:

Carter C., Bray J., Read K.(2019). The Admiral Nurse Competency Framework: Encouraging Engagement and Putting It Into Practice. Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing, 50(5) 205-210. doi: 10.3928/00220124-20190416-06

#### Permanent WRaP URL:

https://eprints.worc.ac.uk/7936/

#### Copyright and reuse:

The Worcester Research and Publications (WRaP) makes this work available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRaP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

### Publisher's statement:

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in The Journal of Continuing Education in Nursing. The final authenticated version is available online at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20190416-06">https://doi.org/10.3928/00220124-20190416-06</a> © Copyright 2019, SLACK Incorporated

#### A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRaP URL' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact wrapteam@worc.ac.uk

1	
2	Abstract
3	Background: Admiral Nurses undertake complex work with families living with dementia. Dementia
4	UK commissioned the Association for Dementia Studies to refresh the Admiral Nurse Competency
5	Framework and enable Admiral Nurses to articulate and critically reflect on their own practice
6	progression. The Admiral Nurses were involved throughout the process to refresh the framework to
7	ensure it was evidence based.
8	Method: To encourage engagement with the framework, the Association for Dementia Studies worked
9	with the Admiral Nurses during a roll-out phase. An exercise was developed to initiate critical
10	reflective discussion. Critiquing a colleague's practice is a skill, provoking defensiveness if not
11	facilitated thoughtfully.
12	Results: An exercise combining art cards with case study analysis worked well, promoting critical
13	reflective dialogue between Admiral Nurses as peers. Engagement and feedback was positive, and the
14	neutrality of the exercise provided a safe environment with the flexibility to allow in-depth and
15	meaningful discussions.
16	Conclusion: This technique could benefit work-based learning, facilitating creative critical reflection
. –	

17 within practice.

18 The Admiral Nurse Competency Framework: Encouraging engagement and putting it into practice Introduction 19 **Background to the Admiral Nurse Competency Framework** 20 Dementia UK provides support for families living with dementia through their Admiral Nurse 21 22 Service. Admiral Nurses are specialist dementia nurses in the UK who generally work with people on a long-term basis with no fixed discharge time. They work independently and often sit outside Mental 23 Health or social care teams, but may work for a variety of host organisations in different care settings. 24 The work of Admiral Nurses is complex and they have to be experienced practitioners functioning at 25 an advanced level of practice. Consequently, they require a broad range of clinical, practical and 26 27 psychological skills, as well as a high level of emotional intelligence and resilience. 28 The Admiral Nurse Competency Framework (ANCF) (Dewing & Traynor, 2003) was created 29 early in the development of the Admiral Nurse Service to provide guidance for the Admiral Nurses, 30 with a review taking place in 2011 (Hibberd, 2012). Dementia UK also has practice development 31 processes in place to further support the Admiral Nurses in their role, helping them to maintain and 32 enhance their expertise and knowledge. 33 In 2015 the Association for Dementia Studies was commissioned by Dementia UK undertake 34 a second review of the ANCF and refresh it in recognition of the increasingly wide variety of practice contexts of Admiral Nurses and the progressive complexity of their role. The desired outcome was a 35 36 succinct framework that would enable Admiral Nurses to articulate and critically reflect on their own 37 practice progression. 38 The Association for Dementia Studies is committed to the person-centred ethos of the Admiral Nurse Service (Kitwood, 1997; Brooker & Latham, 2016) and its focus on working with the 39 whole family. Consequently, when refreshing the ANCF it was key to involve the Admiral Nurses 40 themselves in the process to ensure that the refreshed framework was an accurate representation of the 41 complexities of the role and could be embedded in their work and specifically in their practice 42 development processes. The aim was therefore to develop the new ANCF with the Admiral Nurses 43

44 rather than *for* them. This was reflected in the methodology of the development process which

45 ensured that all Admiral Nurses were aware that the refresh was taking place and were able to

46 contribute their views and feedback in an iterative fashion. Different combinations of Admiral Nurses
47 were drawn in at specific points in the process using a range of methodologies, including focus groups
48 and telephone interviews. This engagement with the Admiral Nurses was vital in terms of enabling
49 them to influence the content and style of the refreshed ANCF, as well as ensuring that it was both
50 evidence-based and practice-based.

The outcome of this process was the production of the ANCF – Resource for Practice (ANCF-RFP) (Carter et al., 2016) which contains six overarching competencies, each with six associated elements that capture the complexity and uniqueness of the Admiral Nurse role. Within the competencies there are three levels of progression – 'Specialist', 'Enhanced Specialist' and 'Advanced Specialist' – designed in conjunction with the Admiral Nurses to provide clarity around the skills required to be classed as practicing at a particular level. These levels enable the Admiral Nurses to measure their current practice and support their ongoing professional development.

The ANCF-RFP was made available to the Admiral Nurses as a printed document and also as an online PebblePad Workbook. PebblePad is a live online tool which can be easily updated, and was chosen as it was already being used within Dementia UK and by Admiral Nurses attending a Masterslevel module at the University of Worcester. The ANCF-RFP was implemented in PebblePad in a simple but clearly-defined structure to ensure consistency between the portfolios of different Admiral Nurses. PebblePad enables supporting evidence of practice to be captured in one central location, but linked to multiple competencies in a simple way that does not require additional effort from the user.

65

# Findings from the development phase

While the ANCF-RFP development process is described elsewhere (Carter et al., 2018), it
was found that there was a lot of variation in terms of how well Admiral Nurse engaged with the
previous ANCF, with part of the reason for this being due to the way that it was introduced and
promoted to the Admiral Nurses. There was a lot of inconsistency in this area, with some Admiral
Nurses saying that when they started in their role they were "encouraged to start using it on day one"
while others felt like they were "supposed to know what it was" but not actually told about it.
When Admiral Nurses have engaged with the ANCF, some found it useful to have support

73 from someone else and "work through things together rather than by yourself". In particular, Admiral

Nurses who have been supported to use the ANCF at a more in-depth level on the Masters-level
module feel "much more confident" with it.
It therefore became clear that in addition to involving Admiral Nurses during the refresh

process, further work was required to improve engagement with the ANCF-RFP and maximise its
chances of becoming fully embedded in practice. Three key areas would need to be addressed:
There should be an overarching process relating to the introduction and promotion of the ANCF-

80 RFP, which is consistent and involves all Admiral Nurses to ensure sign-up and a shared81 understanding;

82 2. Admiral Nurses need an appreciation of the benefits of using the ANCF-RFP as a vehicle to both

83 support and develop their practice, and to gather evidence for their Nursing and Midwifery

84 Council revalidation and appraisals. This requires the ANCF-RFP to be seen as a living entity that85 is regularly updated:

Admiral Nurses need a process to support regular engagement with the ANCF-RFP to enhance
their practice through critical reflection. This ongoing support requires dedicated time to consider
evidence collected from practice and the opportunity to do this collaboratively rather than in
isolation.

90 If the ANCF-RFP was truly to guide reflections on practice and progression, the processes by
91 which this would be achieved would need to be developed alongside the framework itself. This article
92 describes the process implemented by the Association for Dementia Studies to address how the
93 Admiral Nurses engaged with the ANCF-RFP and promote its use in daily practice.

94

# Method for improving engagement with the ANCF-RFP in practice

Early in the process for refreshing the ANCF, the remit for the Association for Dementia
Studies was extended to work with Dementia UK and the Admiral Nurses to promote and roll-out the
ANCF-RFP into practice and address the points identified previously. Consequently, two main

98 activities were undertaken to support the roll-out phase.

**Launching the ANCF-RFP at the annual Admiral Nurse Forum.** Dementia UK facilitates
 and funds the attendance of all Admiral Nurses at an annual Admiral Nurse Forum. The
 comprehensive attendance of Admiral Nurses and senior Dementia UK staff made this the ideal event

to launch the ANCF-RFP. A keynote presentation by the Association for Dementia Studies ensured
that all attendees received the highlights of the ANCF-RFP simultaneously and directly, and
appreciated that it was a priority for Dementia UK.

The Association for Dementia Studies also facilitated a more in-depth workshop at the 105 106 Forum, which was repeated throughout the day to enable all Admiral Nurses to attend. For the first part of each workshop, the Association for Dementia Studies' team created a number of case studies 107 108 and associated examples of evidence which an Admiral Nurse might collect from their practice. The workshop generated an opportunity for groups of Admiral Nurses to use the case studies and 109 simulated evidence to engage with the elements within an overarching competency, and also to 110 111 introduce them to the concept of levels of progression using a structured but exploratory approach. The second part of each workshop provided the Admiral Nurses with an introduction to 112 113 PebblePad, and demonstrated the online version of the ANCF-RFP. It highlighted the clear, intuitive 114 structure, and the simplicity of uploading evidence on Pebblepad. It also aimed to provide 115 encouragement to any Admiral Nurses who were less confident with technology. Feedback from the 116 Admiral Nurses indicated that having the ANCF-RFP as an electronic PebblePad Workbook was appreciated, as it provided an easy way of recording evidence in a structured format, making it more 117 118 user-friendly.

Working with the ANCF-RFP at Practice Development days. As part of its support to
Admiral Nurses, Dementia UK facilitates monthly Practice Development days for groups in every
region. Every Admiral Nurse is aligned with a group and attends for supervision and group
development. Following the launch of the ANCF-RFP, the Association for Dementia Studies
embarked on a series of roll-out sessions attending a Practice Development day for each of the groups
across the country. In theory, this process should have reached all Admiral Nurses including any who
were unable to attend the annual Admiral Nurse Forum.

The intention of the roll-out sessions was to build on the workshop activities from the annual Admiral Nurse Forum: promoting engagement with the ANCF-RFP competencies, elements and levels, but also to introduce the concepts of peer support with critical reflection as an integral part of the process. Feedback from the Admiral Nurses captured during the ANCF-RFP refresh phase made it

clear that, from their perspective, measuring progression depends upon having an underlying peer
process of critical review in order to be truly objective. This led the Association for Dementia Studies
to explore the concept of peer support as a mechanism to foster fuller engagement with the ANCFRFP.

134 The peer support process is used in other professional groups such as General Practitioners and primary care (Royal College of General Practitioners, 2014), and it was proposed by the 135 136 Association for Dementia Studies that it would provide a more structured way of incorporating the ANCF-RFP into Practice Development days. Peer support refers to initiatives where colleagues meet 137 in person or online as equals to give each other support on a reciprocal basis. It commonly refers to an 138 139 initiative consisting of trained supporters and involves colleagues providing knowledge, experience, 140 and emotional, social or practical help and advice to each other. Effective peer support requires 141 participants to have the ability and willingness to learn from others in order to enable the development of a structured approach to learning (Kelsey & Hayes, 2015). Within the Admiral Nurse context, the 142 143 Association for Dementia Studies recommended that the support would create opportunities for 144 critically-reflective conversations and discussions of the evidence gathered by individual Admiral 145 Nurses. This non-hierarchical, supportive process aims to capitalise on skills which are apparent 146 within Admiral Nursing as a profession, such as the high level of communication skills, collaborative 147 working and the ability to be open, reflective and discursive.

The roll-out session delivered to each Practice Development group was therefore structured to promote understanding of the ANCF-RFP, but also to begin the process of helping the Admiral Nurses to deepen each other's engagement through supportive shared critical reflection. When developing the roll-out session, the Association for Dementia Studies was therefore mindful of the role of reflective practice in continuing professional development to enable the Admiral Nurses to make improvements, and tried to incorporate appreciative reflection into the approach (Ghaye & Lillyman, 2014).

The Association for Dementia Studies planned and delivered a session which lasted
approximately two hours to fit into the existing Practice Development structure and followed a similar
format for each group to ensure consistency. Following a short introduction and information recap to

ensure all Admiral Nurses understood the broad outline of the ANCF-RFP and its structure, the coreof the session was divided into three interactive exercises.

*Exercise 1 – Sharing perspectives.* Given the very real expectations that Admiral Nurses
 have of themselves as specialist reflective practitioners, it was judged to be important that the first
 exercise freed up thinking around critical reflection in an engaging and non-threatening way. There is
 evidence within education that art, and specifically visual art, is effective in developing creative and
 critical thinking (Kisida et al., 2016), so an arts-based exercise was devised for the Admiral Nurses.

It was set up as an exercise for pairs of Admiral Nurses, using elements of art appreciation as a neutral medium to enable the Admiral Nurses to experience how it felt to be asked to justify their opinions, extend their ideas, and have their interpretation questioned, but also how it felt to support, challenge and offer alternative opinions to colleagues. Working in pairs was a key part of the process as establishing a partnership to facilitate the sharing of understanding and perceptions, even within a confidential forum, has previously been demonstrated to be an important factor for participants in a peer support process (Purnell & Monk, 2012).

Each pair was given a pre-prepared image of a painting, and one Admiral Nurse was asked to give their interpretation of the painting. Their partner was responsible for encouraging them to justify and expand on what they were saying, and offered an alternative perspective that challenged each other's thinking. The Admiral Nurses then swapped roles and repeated the process using a second image.

Once both Admiral Nurses had discussed their image, a debrief of the exercise was facilitated by X. This debrief explored how the Admiral Nurses had felt in each role and expanded the concept to see whether the Admiral Nurses would find it more difficult to critique or defend an image in the same way if the topic under discussion was a piece of their own work. This was designed to get the Admiral Nurses to start thinking about how a similar process could be applied to their own evidence, which led to a second more practice-orientated exercise.

*Exercise 2 – Starting critically reflective conversations.* In this exercise the Admiral Nurses
 were encouraged to become more familiar with the ANCF-RFP and use the skills developed in the
 first exercise in a more realistic situation. In small groups of up to four, the Admiral Nurses were

given one of the case studies developed for the workshop at the annual Admiral Nurse Forum, and a specific competency that it related to. Based on the outcomes from the Forum, the Association for Dementia Studies had identified where diametrically opposing views had been expressed in terms of the level to which specific pieces of evidence were felt to be best related. Different members within each small group were then asked to justify or explain the case for the evidence being assigned to a particular level, with other group members challenging the points raised.

192 Exercise 3 – Exploring the ANCF-RFP levels. This final exercise again used the outcomes 193 from the Forum workshops, and presented each small group of Admiral Nurses with a case study and 194 a piece of evidence where there had been consensus regarding the level of practice it related to. The 195 Admiral Nurses were asked to discuss what additional evidence or information would need to be 196 captured to reflect a higher level of practice. The aim of this exercise was therefore to encourage the 197 Admiral Nurses to consider progression through the levels and potential ways of enhancing their own 198 practice, while at the same time sharing examples from practice which could inspire their peers.

199

## Findings from the roll-out activities

## 200 Reaction to the ANCF-RFP launch at the Admiral Nurse Forum

The Forum enabled all Admiral Nurses to gain a common understanding of the ANCF-RFP and appreciate how it related directly to their practice through the case study exercise. It provided a solid foundation for the roll-out sessions by ensuring that the Admiral Nurses were familiar with the structure and format of the ANCF-RFP. Additionally, the case study work undertaken during the workshops provided evidence-based examples to use during the roll-out exercises.

206 Reaction to the Practice Development day activities

The response from the Admiral Nurses. Apprehension was initially voiced by some of the Admiral Nurses to the art exercise, feeling that they didn't know anything about art and they were reluctant to take part, possibly inwardly questioning the relevance of the exercise to them. However once the exercise and its purpose had been explained, and also having been reassured that prior knowledge of art was not necessary, all of the Admiral Nurses engaged. The art exercise was used as a way of getting the Admiral Nurses to begin think about critical reflection and self-reflection outside of their usual clinical experience. Although they found the exercise enjoyable, many of the Admiral Nurses also voiced surprise at how difficult the exercise had been and the feelings it initiated whilstundertaking it.

There is an expectation that Admiral Nurses have a high level of communication skills which 216 are required within their clinical role working with complex family situations. Interestingly the roll-217 218 out sessions revealed that applying those communication skills to themselves and their colleagues or peers proved more difficult and at times more uncomfortable to do than expected. Undertaking the 219 220 initial art exercise was therefore beneficial as it helped to address this issue in a neutral, safe situation. It also began to build relevant communication and reflection skills within the session, helped the 221 Admiral Nurses to feel relaxed, and provided a good opening to build a rapport quickly with peers. 222 223 As the membership of the Practice Development groups was unknown to X, so were the 224 group dynamics. It was found that the groups could be very varied with mixed levels of Admiral 225 Nurses, all with different levels of experience both academically and clinically. The art exercise 226 therefore acted as both a warm-up exercise and a means of setting the scene by providing an equal 227 footing which didn't focus upon each other's clinical work and skills. This made it less personal and 228 hopefully avoided a defensiveness which may have emerged if the first exercise around critical 229 reflection had gone straight into using clinical case studies.

230 A number of studies have indicated that using case studies promotes active learning, 231 facilitates problem solving, and develops critical thinking skills (Popil, 2011). However, case studies can also create other challenges in teaching; if students disagree with them and feel that they do not 232 233 reflect what they would have done in that situation or that not enough information is provided, it can 234 deflect from their intended purpose of being a method of facilitating critical reflective discussion. This potential problem was acknowledged and anticipated by X, and where this was raised by the Admiral 235 Nurses it was possible to refer back to the art exercise as a way of getting them to focus upon the 236 skills and communication they had used previously. This avoided the possibility of getting side-237 tracked by discussions about the accuracy of the case studies. 238

The exercises encouraged working in small groups as well as whole group discussions, and these helped some of the Admiral Nurses to explore their practice more widely. They were able to share ideas, explore different ways of working and think about other types of evidence that they could

capture to demonstrate their practice. They took inspiration from what their peers were doing, as well
as being more able to appreciate the value of their own practice rather than just seeing it as 'what we
do'. Some Admiral Nurses had a tendency to underrate their own skills or assume that everyone
worked in a similar way, so being given time to reflect on their practice and get a peer perspective
could be a positive and reaffirming experience.

247 The educator perspective. As facilitators of the sessions, the challenges for the Association 248 for Dementia Studies included having limited time to engage with a group with which they had no 249 prior relationship. It was therefore important to break down initial barriers quickly, instil trust, and 250 develop a level of engagement which would produce meaningful critical self-reflective discussions. 251 The opening art exercise changed the mood in the room from one of apprehension and a level of 252 formality to a more relaxed mood creating a lighter atmosphere more conducive with learning and 253 discussion.

254 The facilitators were aware that some Admiral Nurses had previous experience with earlier 255 versions of the ANCF, and the reluctance by some to use it, either through lack of explanation or 256 understanding of it, may affect how they felt about the roll-out session. There was also the pressure of 257 running a session within the wider context of a Practice Development day which was out of the 258 control of X. To a certain extent, the facilitators were going in blind and having to adapt quickly, but 259 the art exercise and case studies were flexible enough to work in this context. By requiring the 260 Admiral Nurses to work in small groups of two or three, the exercises were suitable for groups of any size, and by adopting a practical, hands-on, interactive approach they fit well with a post-lunch slot 261 262 following a morning session that may have focused more on listening to a lot of information.

263

#### Discussion

Involving the Admiral Nurses in the development of their ANCF-RFP was previously found to work well, creating an evidence-based and practice-based resource that Admiral Nurses have a sense of 'ownership' about (Carter et al., 2018). However, this is only part of the wider engagement process. To promote the ANCF-RFP and embed it into practice, the Admiral Nurses also need to be engaged in a roll-out phase. The development and promotion were two parts of a connected process for fully engaging with the ANCF-RFP.

270 The roll-out phase undertaken by the Association for Dementia Studies was well-received, 271 enabling the Admiral Nurses to expand their communication skills. The combination of the art exercise followed by more detailed clinical case study analysis worked well as it allowed the Admiral 272 Nurses to develop a critical reflective dialogue with each other as peers, regardless of grade or 273 274 experience. Their engagement and feedback was good, especially the discussions around their feelings of the exercises. The interactive nature and neutrality of these exercises provided a platform with 275 which to create rapport quickly with groups and enabled the Admiral Nurses to explore critical 276 refection in a safe and meaningful way which was flexible enough to allow creative and in-depth 277 discussions. 278

To be meaningful and improve the likelihood of embedding change into practice, pro-active 279 280 engagement of professionals should not be limited to the initial development of a resource such as the 281 ANCF-RFP. It should instead incorporate a roll-out aspect to enable professionals to appreciate how the resource relates to and supports their practice. The flexibility provided by the approach used 282 during the roll-out phase could be of benefit in a wide range of work-based learning and clinical 283 284 teaching opportunities, especially when time is limited and facilitating critical reflection within 285 practice is the aim. While the focus of this work related to specialist dementia nursing, the underlying 286 principles of the engagement approach and the neutrality of the art exercise make it applicable to a 287 wide range of audiences in different professional disciplines.

288

289

#### References

- Brooker, D. & Latham, I. (2016) *Person Centred Dementia Care 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition: Making services better with the VIPS framework*. Jessica Kingsley Publications, London.
- 292 Carter, C., Bray, J., Read, K., Harrison-Dening, K., Thompson, R. & Brooker, D. (2018). Articulating
  293 the unique competencies of Admiral Nurse Practice. Working with Older People,
- 294 DOI:10.1108/WWOP-02-2018-0007
- 295 Carter, C., Read, K., Bray, J., Dutton, G. & Brooker, D. (2016). *The Admiral Nurse Competency*
- 296 *Framework: A Resource for Practice*. University of Worcester, Worcester.

- 297 Ghaye, T. & Lillyman, S. (2014). *Reflection: Principles and Practices for Heathcare Professionals*298 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Quay Books, London.
- Hibberd, P. (2012). Admiral Nurse Competency Framework. Dementia UK.
- Kelsey, C. & Hayes, S. (2015). Frameworks and models scaffolding or strait jackets?
  Problematising reflective practice. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 15(6), 393-396.
- 302 Kisida, B., Bowen, D. & Greene, J. (2016). Measuring Critical Thinking: Results From an Art
- 303 Museum Field Trip Experiment. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness*, 9(S1),
  304 171–187.
- 305 Kitwood, T. (1997). Dementia Reconsidered: The Person Comes First. Open University Press.
- Popil, I. (2011). Promotion of critical thinking by using case studies as teaching method. *Nurse Education Today*, 31(2), 204-207.
- Purnell, L. & Monk, C. (2012). Embedding a peer supported development scheme: Overcoming
  challenges in engaging staff and students in continuing professional development. *Procedia Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 46, 3830-3836.
- 311 Royal College of General Practitioners (2014). Special Measures Peer Support Programme.
- 312 Traynor, V. & Dewing, J. (2003). *The Admiral Nurses' Competency Framework*. Dementia UK.