

University of Nebraska - Lincoln
DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Papers and Publications in Animal Science

Animal Science Department

2009

Thirty-Seven Additional Microsatellite Loci in the Pacific Lion-Paw Scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) and Cross-Amplification in Other Pectinids

Jessica Lynn Petersen

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, jessica.petersen@unl.edu


Ana M. Ibarra

Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Noroeste S.C

Bernie May

University of California - Davis

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscifacpub>

 Part of the [Aquaculture and Fisheries Commons](#), [Biodiversity Commons](#), [Genetics Commons](#), [Marine Biology Commons](#), [Population Biology Commons](#), and the [Zoology Commons](#)

Petersen, Jessica Lynn; Ibarra, Ana M.; and May, Bernie, "Thirty-Seven Additional Microsatellite Loci in the Pacific Lion-Paw Scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) and Cross-Amplification in Other Pectinids" (2009). *Faculty Papers and Publications in Animal Science*. 806.
<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscifacpub/806>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Papers and Publications in Animal Science by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Thirty-seven additional microsatellite loci in the Pacific lion-paw scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) and cross-amplification in other pectinids

Jessica L. Petersen · Ana M. Ibarra ·
Bernie May

Received: 12 May 2009 / Accepted: 14 May 2009 / Published online: 29 May 2009
© The Author(s) 2009. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract We characterized 37 new microsatellite markers in the Pacific lion-paw scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) and tested for cross-amplification in four other species. Genetic diversity was estimated using 24 individuals from the Lagoon Ojo de Liebre, B.C.S., Mexico. Allelic richness varied from 5 to 27 alleles per locus and the average expected heterozygosity was 0.76. Ten loci exhibited significant departure from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium likely due to the presence of null alleles. Sixteen of these markers cross-amplified in closely related *N. nodosus*, while little or no amplification was observed in three *Argopecten* species.

Keywords *Argopecten* · Ojo de Liebre · Oligos · STR

Prior work identified 35 polymorphic microsatellite loci in the Pacific lion-paw scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) (Ibarra et al. 2006) for use in conservation and population genetics of this large pectinid. Aggregations of this species are found around the coast of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico, where they are both fished and cultured for human consumption. Like many marine species, populations have recently declined due to harvest pressures. In addition to characterizing the genetic diversity within and between populations, the development of microsatellite

markers will allow for genetic mapping of the genome and eventually the discovery of the genetic basis of traits such as fitness and growth. With 19 chromosomes (N), and only 35 markers identified prior to this work, these additional markers are necessary to enable genetic mapping of this species and will also be valuable for continued population level investigations in the wild and in aquaculture.

Clones ($n = 480$) enriched for the repeats (TAGA)_n, (TGAC)_n, (TACA)_n, and (ATC)_n from the mixed scallop-crayfish library described in Ibarra et al. (2006) were sequenced. These sequences were aligned with previously identified *N. subnodosus* microsatellites using Sequencher v4.7 (Gene Codes) to avoid duplication. Novel sequences were input into mReps (Kolpakov et al. 2003) to determine repeat regions and primers flanking the repeats were designed with Primer 3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000).

Because the enriched library was created from two species, the primers were first screened for amplification using genomic DNA of four *N. subnodosus* and four Shasta crayfish (*Pacifastacus fortis*) individuals. PCR reactions for the initial screening were identical to those in Ibarra et al. (2006). Thermalcycler conditions consisted of 3 min at 94°C followed by 31 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 30 s, 72°C for 30 s, and a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated and visualized as described in (Ibarra et al. 2006).

Of the 106 designed primer pairs, 37 (34.9%) amplified and were polymorphic in *N. subnodosus*. For population screening and cross-amplification tests, the forward primer of each pair was either labeled with a 5' fluorophore, or one of four 5' modifications were added (universal primers: T7T, T7P, M13, SP6) using the method of (Schuelke 2000). In this method, the “tail” consisting of the universal primer sequence is incorporated into the template during early rounds of PCR. An additional third labeled oligo then

J. L. Petersen (✉) · B. May
Department of Animal Science, University of California, One
Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, USA
e-mail: jlpetersen@ucdavis.edu

A. M. Ibarra
Aquaculture Genetics Laboratory, Centro de Investigaciones
Biológicas del Noroeste S.C, A.P. 128, 23000 La Paz, BCS,
Mexico

Table 1 Characterization of 37 microsatellite loci in the Pacific lion-paw scallop (*Nodipecten submodosus*) from the Lagoon Ojo de Liebre, B.C.S., Mexico. Shown are locus names, GenBank accession number, repeat motif, primer sequences, label system and PCR profile used in screening, number scored (N), number of alleles observed (A), allele size range, expected and observed heterozygosities (H_e and H_o , respectively), and P -value for tests of deviation from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE_{pv})

Locus & GenBank Accession	Repeat Motif ^a	Forward Primer (5'-3')	Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Primer Label ^b , PCR Profile ^c	N	A (Range)	H_e	H_o	HWE _{pv}
<i>Nsub2A/A06</i>	(ATAG) ₅ AGG(TAGA) ₇ TA	TTTCTTTTCGCCAAAACATC	GCCTCTGAACCAAAACACCCAT	IV	24	10 (164–220)	0.87	0.96	0.753
FI986332	(ATCT) ₉ ATC	TCCCGCTAACTGAGAGACT	AAGCTTGCACGATAAAGCGTA	IV	19	12 (170–268)	0.82	0.47	0.008
<i>Nsub2A/C06</i>	(TCTA) ₃ TCT...C ₁₈ ...(TC) ₇ (AC) ₇ ...(CA) ₉	CTATTTGTGGTTGCGGGTGT	TGTGGTTAACGGTGAATGA	I	6	5 (369–376) ^d	0.79	0.67	0.018
FI986333	(TATC) ₁₀ (CA) ₁₅ (TACA) ₆ T	GACAAACA AAAAGGGCTGAT	ACACGTGGGTTTGAAGGAAG	III	21	25 (476–550)	0.97	0.67	0.000*
<i>Nsub2A/D12</i>	(ATCT) ₇ AC(CTAT) ₆ ...(CTGC) ₄ CTG(TCTG) ₃ (CCTG) ₄ CCT	CGCACAGAGTCATTGACCAC	AAGGCTTTTCAATGCTTCCA	IV	22	23 (233–320)	0.96	1.00	0.483
FI986336	(TCAT) ₈ TCA	TGAGGCCGGATCGTAATAAAG	TTTTGCATCGATTTGACACG	IV	17	5 (369–413)	0.70	0.18	0.000*
<i>Nsub2A/H03</i>	(GAT) ₉	CGGCCAGTAAACGGTAGAT	CATCTCTCCATGCCCTGT	II	24	13 (254–313) ^d	0.88	0.58	0.002*
<i>NsubA/A01</i>	(ATGG) ₃ ATG...(GAT) ₆ (TGA) ₆ T	AGCAGAGGAAGCAAGTCTCTT	TTCGATACGAATGGGCTACT	III	22	20 (217–292)	0.92	1.00	0.707
FI986338	(CAT) ₁₄	CTAGGACGGAAGGAAGATGG	TGTGTATTTCTTATCACAAAGGTGAA	II	24	15 (193–232) ^d	0.93	0.96	0.947
<i>NsubA/B09</i>	(TCC) ₄ T(N) ₆ (CAT) ₇ C(GTC) ₅ GT	GCTGGCATAACGTGTTTTTCA	CCTCGTAGCACATGGTTGAA	III	24	24 (233–320)	0.96	1.00	0.313
FI986340	(TCA) ₈ TC	TTCCATAGTTTGTCTTATGTTTTCA	AAAAGAGGGAAAACCCCAATG	III	22	18 (171–209)	0.94	0.68	0.001*
<i>NsubA/C02</i>	(ATC) ₁₃ A(ACA) ₃ AC	CTATAACCCCTCGCACCATC	AGCCTCGGGTATCTCTCTC	I	22	21 (287–376) ^d	0.95	0.68	0.000*
<i>NsubA/C07</i>	(TGA) ₁₂ T	AGGCGAAATAATCGAGTCTCTG	CATCTCTCCATGCCCTGT	II	24	11 (359–402) ^d	0.86	0.67	0.076
FI986343	(TGA) ₈ TG	ACTGCACCAACAACAATGGA	CAGTTGCATCCTCCTCCTTC	I	20	6 (472–493) ^d	0.76	0.80	0.667
<i>NsubA/C12</i>	(TGA) ₈ T	CCGCCAGGCTCATGTTCACT	AAACGGAAACAATCCGCTAGA	III	21	8 (144–166)	0.85	0.48	0.000*
FI986345	(ATG) ₉ AT	ACAATGTGGCAAATGATGACG	TCATCCATAAGCATCCACCA	IV	23	11 (148–185)	0.69	0.57	0.083
<i>NsubA/D10</i>									
FI986346									
<i>NsubA/F03</i>									
FI986347									

Table 1 continued

Locus & GenBank Accession	Repeat Motif ^a	Forward Primer (5'-3') Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Primer Label ^b , PCR Profile ^c	N	A (Range)	H _e	H _o	HWE _{pv}
<i>NsubA1F04</i>	(TGA) ₁₀ (N) ₆ (TGA) ₄	AAGACCGGCACCTCATCAT AAATCATCGGGTTGTCTTC	I	24	18 (277–363) ^d	0.92	0.79	0.036
FI986348	(GAT) ₇ (N) ₆ (GAT) ₅ G	TGTGTAATGAAATACCAATGACGAT CACCTCACCAATCAAAAATCA	II	24	18 (172–264) ^d	0.93	0.83	0.116
<i>NsubA1F07</i>	(GAT) ₁₁ GA(N) ₅ (GAT) ₈ G	GATGCCAAAACATCGCAAG GCTTCTTTAATCATCAITTTCTTAITGG	II	24	17 (203–287) ^d	0.91	0.92	0.858
FI986350	(CAT) ₉ C	GCTATCTGCTGTGTGGACAA TGGGGAATCCTTCCATGT	IV	24	14 (342–372)	0.91	0.92	0.867
FI986351	(ATC) ₂₀ A	AAGGAAGACAATTTATGACTCGTG AATGGTTGCAAGTGCCAGAT	IV	24	13 (386–454)	0.87	0.92	0.186
<i>NsubA1H09</i>	(ATG) ₁₀	GGTTATGGTGTGCTTCCCTGA CCGTTCACTGACCGAGAGAT	IV	24	14 (483–532)	0.90	0.88	0.822
FI986352	(TGA) ₁₃ (CGA) ₁₀ CG	AGAGGTTTGGTAAAGGCAAGAT GGAATGTTTGTTCAGGCTCA	IV	22	21 (249–363)	0.94	0.91	0.476
FI986353	(TCA) ₄ T	TTCACACTAGACACACGCACA CCGATGATATGATGGCTGCT	I	21	5 (201–273) ^d	0.56	0.57	0.284
<i>NsubA2D10</i>	(CGT) ₄ TT(TCA) ₂₁ TC	ATTGGTTCGTTGTCAATCGT GCTTCTTAATGCGTGTGACG	I	24	17 (136–186) ^d	0.91	0.92	0.561
FI986354	(TGA) ₇	CGATGACGCTACCAGGAAAGT GCAAAGCTTCTTAGCGTGGAG	IV	22	10 (322–346)	0.86	0.50	0.001*
<i>NsubA2F08</i>	(ATG) ₄ ATA(ATG) ₇ A	GCTAATGGCACAGGCTAAGG GTGCGTGCATATGTGATTCC	III	24	9 (394–431)	0.83	0.88	0.925
FI986355	(CATA) ₇ C(ACAT) ₁₇	CATCCCTCCATCCAATCAGT GTGATCTCCCAGAGCAGGAG	IV	19	14 (211–268)	0.88	0.79	0.031
<i>NsubA2F04</i>	(GT) ₁₂ GAGG(GTAT) ₁₀	CCTGTGATCAGCCACTTCAA GCACCGAGTATTCCGATTTC	I	20	19 (377–462) ^d	0.95	0.95	0.576
FI986356	(TATG) ₄ C(ATGT) ₁₅ ATG(ATGT) ₁₃ ATG	ACAGGTCCTGACGTTTCTGC CCTGGATTGGCAAGTCAAAT	II	24	27 (188–299) ^d	0.96	0.50	0.000*
<i>NsubB1C07</i>	(ATAC) ₁₃ ...(ATAC) ₄ (N) ₇ (CGTG) ₅ C	TACGTACGCCCACCACTACA TGGCACCATGTAAAGACAGACA	II	21	22 (304–499) ^d	0.95	1.00	0.547
FI986361	(ACCT) ₄ AC(ATAC) ₆ (CATA) ₁₅ CA (ACAT) ₃ ACA	GCTATTTTTGGTGGCTGTGTG AAGAGGAATGTCCTGTGTGT	I	21	16 (313–443) ^d	0.91	0.52	0.000*
<i>NsubB1E11</i>	(GTAT) ₆ A(TATG) ₁₄ T	TTGCATGCACTGTAATTTTCG TATGCCACGCTCAATAATCA	IV	19	17 (289–410)	0.94	0.89	0.361
FI986362								
<i>NsubB1F02</i>								
FI986363								
<i>NsubB1G03</i>								
FI986364								

Table 1 continued

Locus & GenBank Accession	Repeat Motif ^a	Forward Primer (5'-3') Reverse Primer (5'-3')	Primer Label ^b , PCR Profile ^c	N	A (Range)	H _e	H _o	HWE _{pv}
<i>NsubB207</i>	(ACAT) ₇ ACA...(CT) ₅ C	CGAAAATACGCCAATCGGAGT	III	24	13	0.50	0.46	0.095
<i>FJ986365</i>		GGACAACAGGTGTCATGTCTG			(375–459)			
<i>NsubB2B05</i>	(ACAT) ₅ ACA(ATAC) ₁₁	TGATGACTGGCAGTAAAG	I	21	15	0.90	0.90	0.180
<i>FJ986366</i>		TCGGCTAGACCAACGACTCT			(157–249) ^d			
<i>NsubB2C04</i>	(CATA) ₉ ...(ATAC) ₁₅ AAATG (CATA) ₁₆	TGGTGCATGACCTGGATTG	I	21	22	0.94	0.81	0.002*
<i>FJ986367</i>		ATGCTCGCTACTGGCAGGT			(210–412) ^d			
<i>NsubB2C05</i>	(CTCA) ₆ CT	TGTACATGTATTATCGCTATCAACTCG	III	24	19	0.92	0.79	0.081
<i>FJ986368</i>		ACGGCGGTGTCAAAATAATC			(267–334)			

* Significant after sequential Bonferroni correction

^a Spaces in repeat motif indicate a stretch of non-repetitive genomic sequence

^b Forward primers of markers with profiles I and II were tailed while those with profiles III and IV were 5' end-labeled with either 6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET

^c (I) 9 cycles at 68 (TD -2%/cycle) followed by 21 cycles at 50°C; (II) 28 cycles at 56°C followed by 10 cycles at 53°C; (III) 31 cycles, 56°C; (IV) 31 cycles, 52°C

^d Size range includes the M13, SP6, T7T, or T7P tail

targets these tails, incorporating the fluorophore and allowing for visualization of the fragment. The four tails were each labeled with a different fluorophore (T7T = PET, T7P = NED, SP6 = VIC, M13 = 6-FAM) allowing for multiplexing of PCR reactions.

Twenty-four *N. subnodosus* collected from the Lagoon Ojo de Liebre on the Pacific coast of the Baja California Peninsula, Mexico, were genotyped at all 37 markers. Amplification was attempted for three individuals each of *Argopecten irradians* (USA, west Atlantic), *Argopecten purpuratus* (Chile, east Pacific), *Argopecten ventricosus* (Mexico, east Pacific), and *Nodipecten nodosus* (Venezuela, west Atlantic) to assess cross-amplification success.

For primers directly labeled with 5'- 6-FAM, VIC, NED, or PET, 10 µl PCR reactions included 5–10 ng of genomic DNA, 1X buffer (Roche), 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Promega), 10 pmol each primer, and 0.4U FastStart Taq DNA polymerase (Roche). The thermal cycler profile was identical to the initial screen, except annealing temperatures varied depending upon the marker (Table 1).

PCR reactions for tailed primers differed by using 7.5 pmol tailed forward primer, 22.5 pmol reverse primer, and 1.5 pmol of fluorescently labeled oligo. The thermal cycler profile for tailed primers consisted of 5 min at 94°C followed by a marker dependent number of cycles of 94°C for 45 s, T_a for 90 s, and 72°C for 1 min, additional cycles of 94°C for 45 s, T_a for 90 s, and 72°C for 1 min, and a 10 min final extension at 72°C (see Table 1 for T_a and cycle number).

PCR products were diluted with 70 µl nanopure water and 1.5 µl was added to 8.8 µl of highly deionized formamide (Gel Company) and 0.2 µl of LIZ600 size standard (ABI). The samples were denatured for 3 min at 95°C before electrophoresis on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer. Fragments were scored using GeneMapper 4.0 (ABI). GDA (Lewis and Zaykin 2001) was used to calculate observed and expected heterozygosities, allelic richness, and to check for deviations from Hardy–Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) using Fisher's exact test with 10,000 permutations.

The 37 loci showed high genetic diversity in *N. subnodosus* with 5–27 alleles per locus (avg = 15.3) and average expected heterozygosity of 0.76 (Table 1). Ten loci deviated significantly from HWE, all in the direction of heterozygote excess. Though other explanations are possible this is likely due to null alleles, prevalent in marine mollusks (Hedgecock et al. 2004; McGoldrick et al. 2000; Reece et al. 2004), and observed in prior parentage analysis of this species (Petersen et al. 2008). Cross amplification was not observed in *A. ventricosus* but was successful at 16 loci in *N. nodosus* and five and two loci, respectively, in *A. irradians* and *A. purpuratus* (Table 2).

Table 2 Results of cross-amplification (N = 3 individuals) showing only the loci where amplification was observed

Locus	<i>A. irradians</i>	<i>A. purpuratus</i>	<i>N. nodosus</i>
<i>Nsub2A1B12</i>	–	–	2 (166, 174)
<i>Nsub2A1D12</i>		1 (255)	6 (540–595)
<i>Nsub2A1F01</i>	U	–	5 (202, 294)
<i>NsubA1A01</i>	–	–	U
<i>NsubA1B04</i>	2 (515, 517)	–	6 (321–381)
<i>NsubA1B09</i>	–	–	2 (211, 234)
<i>NsubA1B12</i>	–	–	4 (202–294)
<i>NsubA1C07</i>	–	–	2 (136, 145)
<i>NsubA1G09</i>	2 (460, 479)	–	–
<i>NsubA1H09</i>	–	–	1 (300)
<i>NsubA2C01</i>	U	–	U
<i>NsubA2D10</i>	–	–	1 (271)
<i>NsubA2F04</i>	U	–	–
<i>NsubA2H05</i>	–	–	4 (415–426)
<i>NsubB1F02</i>	–	–	U
<i>NsubB1G03</i>	–	–	2 (323, 335)
<i>NsubB207</i>	–	3 (361–396)	4 (361–399)
<i>NsubB2C05</i>	–	–	4 (334–368)

Given are the number of alleles observed and their size range. No amplification was present in *A. ventricosus* (data not shown)

– indicates no amplification

U indicates unspecific amplification

Acknowledgements Funding: 2007 UCMEXUS Dissertation Grant to JLP; CONACYT 2005, grant 48443 and SEMARNAT S0010-2006-1, grant 23397 to AMI. Samples from other species were obtained from Amy Wilbur, Iker Uriarte, and Cesar Lodeiros. John Pedroia assisted with data collection and Melinda Baerwald provided helpful comments on the manuscript.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- Hedgecock D, Li G, Hubert S, Bucklin K, Ribes V (2004) Widespread null alleles and poor cross-species amplification of microsatellite DNA loci cloned from the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. J Shellfish Res 23:379–385
- Ibarra AM, Petersen JL, Famula TR, May B (2006) Characterization of 35 microsatellite loci in the Pacific lion-paw scallop (*Nodipecten subnodosus*) and their cross-species amplification in four other scallops of the pectinidae family. Mol Ecol Notes 6:153–156
- Kolpakov R, Bana G, Kucherov G (2003) mreps: efficient and flexible detection of tandem repeats in DNA. Nucleic Acid Res 31:3672–3678
- Lewis PO, Zaykin D (2001) Genetic data analysis: computer program for the analysis of allelic data. <http://lewis.eeb.uconn.edu/lewis/home/software.html>
- McGoldrick DJ, Hedgecock D, English LJ, Baoprasertkul P, Ward RD (2000) The transmission of microsatellite alleles in Australian and North American stocks of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*): selection and null alleles. J Shellfish Res 19:779–788
- Petersen JL, Ibarra AM, Ramirez JL, May B (2008) An induced mass spawn of the hermaphroditic lion-paw scallop, *Nodipecten subnodosus*: genetic assignment of maternal and paternal parentage. J Hered 99:337–348
- Reece KS, Ribeiro WL, Gaffney PM, Carnegie RB, Allen SK Jr (2004) Microsatellite marker development and analysis in the Eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*): confirmation of null alleles and non-Mendelian segregation ratios. J Hered 95:346–352
- Rozen S, Skaletsky H (2000) Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawetz S, Misener S (eds) Methods in Molecular Biology. Humana Press, Totowa, p 365
- Schuelke M (2000) An economic method for the fluorescent labeling of PCR fragments. Nat Biotechnol 18:233–234