

Multiple Mechanistically Distinct Modes of Endocannabinoid Mobilization at Central Amygdala Glutamatergic Synapses

Teniel S. Ramikie^{1,3}, Rita Nyilas⁴, Rebecca J. Bluett^{1,3}, Joyonna C. Gamble-George^{1,3}, Ken Mackie⁶, Masahiko Watanabe⁷, István Katona⁴, and Sachin Patel^{1,2,3*}

Departments of ¹Psychiatry and ²Molecular Physiology & Biophysics, and the ³Vanderbilt Brain Institute

Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN

⁴Momentum Laboratory of Molecular Neurobiology, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary

⁶Gill Institute and Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN

⁷Department of Anatomy, Hokkaido University Graduate School of Medicine, Sapporo, Japan

Short title: Endocannabinoid Signaling in the Central Amygdala

*Correspondence:

Sachin Patel, MD, PhD

Assistant Professor

Departments of Psychiatry and

Molecular Physiology & Biophysics

Robinson Research Building, Rm 724B

Vanderbilt University Medical Center

Nashville, TN 37232

Email: sachin.patel@vanderbilt.edu

Phone: (615) 936-7768

Fax: (615) 322-1462

SUMMARY

The central amygdala (CeA) is a key structure at the limbic-motor interface regulating stress-responses and emotional learning. Endocannabinoid (eCB) signaling is heavily implicated in the regulation of stress-response physiology and emotional learning processes; however, the role of eCBs in the modulation of synaptic efficacy in the CeA is not well understood. Here we describe the subcellular localization of CB₁ cannabinoid receptors and eCB synthetic machinery at glutamatergic synapses in the CeA, and find that CeA neurons exhibit multiple mechanistically and temporally distinct modes of postsynaptic eCB mobilization. These data identify a prominent role for eCBs in the modulation of excitatory drive to CeA neurons and provide insight into the mechanisms by which eCB signaling could regulate stress-responses and emotional learning processes.

HIGHLIGHTS

- 1) eCB signaling components are expressed at CeA glutamatergic synapses.
- 2) Activation of the CB₁ receptor suppresses glutamate release in the CeA.
- 3) CeA neurons express calcium-dependent and receptor-driven eCB signaling.
- 4) CeA muscarinic receptors drive temporally distinct multimodal eCB release.

INTRODUCTION

The central amygdala (CeA) plays a key role in emotional learning processes (Ehrlich et al., 2009; Pape and Pare, 2010). Perhaps most well-studied is the role the CeA plays in unconditioned and conditioned fear generation (Ciocchi et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Tye et al., 2011), fear extinction and conditioned inhibition (Amano et al., 2010), as well as conditioned orienting responses to emotionally salient stimuli (El-Amamy and Holland, 2007; Groshek et al., 2005). The CeA is a subcortical structure, mainly composed of GABAergic neurons, and can be largely divided into a lateral (CeAL) and medial (CeAM) subdivision (Cassell et al., 1999). CeAL neurons share significant morphological, cytoarchitectural and phenotypic homology to striatal medium-spiny neurons (MSNs) whereas CeAM neurons are considered to be pallidal-like in nature (Cassell et al., 1999). Thus, in analogy to the striato-pallidal circuitry of the basal ganglia, the CeAL-CeAM pathway appears to conform to this fundamental functional motif of forebrain organization. Specifically, the CeAL acts as the primary input nucleus of the CeA and receives strong glutamatergic drive from cortical (McDonald et al., 1999), thalamic (Li and Kirouac, 2008), as well as intra-amygdala and brainstem sources (Dong et al., 2010), and projects GABAergic axon terminals to the CeAM (Sun et al., 1994). The CeAM, in turn, projects to downstream regions involved in the expression of fear and arousal responses to salient stimuli (Davis, 1997). Functional studies have recently shown that activation of the CeAL strongly inhibits CeAM output neurons and reduces behavioral fear and anxiety responses (Ciocchi et al., 2010; Li et al., 2013; Tye et al., 2011). Thus, enhanced inhibitory control of CeAM neurons by elevated activity of certain CeAL inputs may serve to constrain conditioned fear and anxiety (Amano et al., 2010;

Ciocchi et al., 2010). Taken together, these data suggest that understanding the synaptic mechanisms regulating excitatory drive to CeAL neurons could provide significant insight into the mechanisms regulating the expression of fear and anxiety.

Endocannabinoids are a class of bioactive lipids produced by neurons and glia in the central nervous system (Kano et al., 2009). 2-arachidonoylglycerol (2-AG) is the primary eCB that mediates eCB retrograde synaptic signaling at central synapses (Castillo et al., 2012). 2-AG is post-synaptically synthesized via diacylglycerol lipase α (DAGL α) via two primary mechanisms. The first is a calcium-dependent mechanism, prototypically elicited by postsynaptic depolarization, which activates L-type calcium channels and enhances the conversion of diacylglycerol to 2-AG via DAGL α activity (Ohno-Shosaku et al., 2005). The second is a Gq-protein-coupled receptor (GqPCR) driven pathway mediated via the activation of PLC β under basal intracellular calcium levels ($[Ca^{2+}]_i$). Under these conditions, PLC β activation increases diacylglycerol and 2-AG levels, the latter by a DAGL α -dependent process. (Hashimotodani et al., 2007). Given that PLC β activity is increased by a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ (Hashimotodani et al., 2005), a combinatory mechanism also exists whereby depolarization-induced calcium influx can facilitate Gq-receptor driven 2-AG release by enhancing PLC β activity (Hashimotodani et al., 2005; Ohno-Shosaku et al., 2012). In contrast to 2-AG, the mechanisms regulating synaptic AEA signaling are not well understood, but can involve GqPCR activation (Chavez et al., 2010; Grueter et al., 2010; Huang and Woolley, 2012).

Despite the prominent role of eCB signaling in the regulation of fear, anxiety and stress responses (Hill et al., 2010; Lutz, 2007; Ramikie and Patel, 2011; Riebe et al., 2012), the role of eCB signaling in the modulation of CeA circuitry has been relatively

under-investigated. This may, in part, be due to previous anatomical studies that demonstrated very weak CB₁ receptor immunoreactivity within the CeA (Kamprath et al., 2011; Katona et al., 2001), especially relative to the high CB₁ receptor levels in the basolateral amygdala (BLA). In contrast to earlier studies, we utilized high affinity antibodies to demonstrate pre- and postsynaptic localization of CB₁ receptors and DAGL α , respectively, at glutamatergic synapses within the CeAL. Subsequently, we elucidated several mechanistically distinct modes of postsynaptic eCB mobilization in CeAL neurons, which underlie temporally dissociated forms of eCB-mediated synaptic depression of CeAL glutamatergic neurotransmission.

RESULTS

Localization of endocannabinoid signaling machinery in the CeAL

The anatomical localization and functional significance of eCB signaling in the BLA has been well studied (Katona et al., 2001; Ramikie and Patel, 2011; Yoshida et al., 2011). In contrast, eCB signaling in the CeA has remained largely unexplored. One reason for the relative lack of experimental attention stems from early anatomical data in which CB₁ receptor expression remained under detection threshold in the CeA (Katona et al., 2001). However, these early studies utilized an anti-CB₁ receptor antibody with relatively lower affinity, and which primarily recognized CB₁ receptors on BLA GABAergic interneurons that express very high levels of CB₁ receptors.

Our detailed examination of CB₁ receptor expression within the CeA began with *in situ* hybridization studies that revealed a weak CB₁ *in situ* signal within the CeA, and much stronger expression levels in the BLA of wild-type, but not CB₁ knockout (KO;

CB₁^{-/-}), mice (Figure 1A-C). The presence of CB₁ mRNA in the majority of BLA neurons indicates that BLA cells, which project glutamatergic afferents to the CeAL, might also express CB₁ receptors (Figure 1C). Therefore, we employed a new high affinity anti-CB₁ antibody to probe the localization of CB₁ receptors in the CeA (Yoshida et al., 2011). Using this antibody, CB₁ receptors were clearly detected at high levels in both the CeAL and CeAM of wild type, but not CB₁^{-/-} mice (Figure 1D-F). Additionally, electron microscopic (EM) examination revealed CB₁ receptor expression in presynaptic boutons forming asymmetric synapses onto dendritic shafts and spines within the CeAL (Figure 1G₁-G₂, I).

Considering that 2-AG is the primary ligand mediating eCB retrograde signaling at central synapses, we next examined the expression of the 2-AG synthesizing enzyme, DAGL α , in the CeA. *In situ* hybridization confirmed the expression of DAGL α in both the BLA and CeA (Figure 2A-C). Immunohistochemistry, using an anti-DAGL α antibody whose specificity in the forebrain has been confirmed in DAGL α ^{-/-} mice (Ludanyi et al., 2011), uncovered a punctate staining pattern throughout the CeAL (Figure 2D-E). Double immunofluorescence labeling and confocal microscopy revealed DAGL α -positive puncta in close apposition to MAP2 labeled dendritic shafts in the CeAL (Figure 2F-H), suggestive of DAGL α localization in pre- or postsynaptic compartments. To differentiate between these two possibilities, we performed immunoperoxidase labeling and utilized EM to visualize DAGL α at the synaptic level. We found that DAGL α was indeed localized postsynaptically in dendritic shafts and spine heads forming asymmetric synapses in the CeAL (Figure 2I-L). Taken together

these data demonstrate the presence of eCB signaling elements at glutamatergic synapses in the CeAL.

CB₁ receptors modulate glutamate release onto CeAL neurons

To determine the functional significance of CB₁ receptor expression in the CeAL, we conducted whole-cell voltage-clamp electrophysiological recordings in the presence of picrotoxin (25-50 μ M) to isolate glutamatergic currents. Consistent with the localization of CB₁ receptors on excitatory axon terminals in the CeAL, we found activation of CB₁ receptors with the cannabinoid agonist CP55940 (5 μ M) significantly depressed eEPSC amplitudes to 52% \pm 4% of baseline in CeAL neurons from wild-type (WT) mice; an effect absent in cells from CB₁^{-/-} mice (WT 52.47 \pm 3.94% vs. CB₁^{-/-} 114 \pm 8%; t(8)=7.18; p<0.0001; Figure 3A-B). No significant effect on PPR was observed following 5 μ M CP55940 application to WT or CB₁^{-/-} cells (WT normalized PPR 1.06 \pm 0.06 vs. CB₁^{-/-} 0.89 \pm 0.06; t(8)=2, p=0.08; Figure 3C). However, analysis of spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) revealed a selective effect of 5 μ M CP55940 to reduce sEPSCs frequency (vehicle 4.35 \pm 0.92 Hz vs. CP55940 1.59 \pm 0.27 Hz; U=50.00, p=0.008), but not amplitude (vehicle 20.99 \pm 1.00 pA vs. CP55940 22.80 \pm 2.20 pA; U=9, p=0.32; Figure 3D-F), strongly suggesting a presynaptic locus of synaptic depression.

Although the selective effect of CP55940 on frequency, but not amplitude, of sEPSCs suggests a presynaptic locus of action, the lack of effect on PPR was surprising. Therefore, we evaluated the effects of 2-AG-ether, a metabolically stable analog of the eCB 2-AG and putative eCB with agonist activity at the CB₁ receptor (Hanus et al., 2001), to better elucidate the mechanisms by which eCB signaling, rather than a synthetic agonist, modulates glutamate release. Indeed, 2-AG-ether caused robust synaptic

depression (baseline $100.3 \pm 1.2\%$ vs. 2-AG-ether $49.1 \pm 9.5\%$; $t(3)=6.13$ $p < 0.01$; Figure 3G) that was associated with a significant increase in PPR ($t(3)=3.9$ $p < 0.05$; Figure 3G inset). These data suggest that CB₁ receptors function to suppress glutamate release onto CeAL neurons.

Since CB₁ receptors in other brain regions robustly modulate GABAergic transmission (Castillo et al., 2012; Kano et al., 2009), we tested the effects of CP55940 ($5\mu\text{M}$) on GABAergic currents in the CeAL recorded in the presence of CNQX ($20\mu\text{M}$) and AP-5 ($50\mu\text{M}$). Generally consistent with our previous report (Katona et al., 2001) and with the electron microscopic observation that only a few GABAergic terminals were CB₁-positive in the CeAL (Figure 1G₁-G₂, H), the effects on GABAergic transmission were small (baseline $100.0 \pm 0.0\%$ vs. $76.1 \pm 9.3\%$, $t(7)=2.6$ $p < 0.05$; Figure 3H). When compared to the effects of CP55940 ($5\mu\text{M}$) on glutamatergic transmission (from CeAL cells depicted in Fig. 3B), CP55940-induced depression of GABAergic transmission showed a significantly greater variance compared to effects on glutamate release (F-test to compare variances, $p < 0.05$; Figure 3I). These data suggest that the major role of CB₁ signaling in the CeAL is to broadly regulate glutamatergic transmission, while synapse- or cell-type specific effects on GABAergic transmission may also occur to a lesser degree.

Ca²⁺-driven eCB release in the CeAL

We next examined whether CeAL glutamatergic synapses express depolarization-induced suppression of excitation (DSE), a Ca²⁺-DAGL α -dependent form of 2-AG-mediated eCB retrograde signaling (Ohno-Shosaku et al., 2012). Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of DSE (depolarization) and postsynaptic depolarization duration (Figure 3J-L). Post-hoc Sidak's analysis revealed depolarization of CeAL neurons from

-70 mV to 0 mV resulted in a transient depression of eEPSC amplitude that was significantly different from corresponding baseline values after 5 ($p < 0.001$) and 10 seconds ($p < 0.001$) of postsynaptic depolarization. One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's post hoc analysis revealed CeAL 10 second DSE was blocked by the CB₁ receptor antagonist, SR141716 (control $77.65 \pm 2.06\%$ vs. $5 \mu\text{M}$ SR141716 $95.84 \pm 4.84\%$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 3M-O) and absent in CB₁^{-/-} mice (CB₁^{-/-} $102.5 \pm 3.84\%$, $p < 0.0001$; Figure 3O). DSE was also blocked by the DAGL inhibitor THL ($10 \mu\text{M}$ THL $91.20 \pm 2.13\%$, $p < 0.05$; Figure 3O), and postsynaptic calcium chelation with 40mM BAPTA (BAPTA $92.07 \pm 1.46\%$, $p < 0.05$; Figure 3O), indicating that Ca²⁺-driven short-term eCB mobilization at CeAL glutamatergic synapses is mediated by 2-AG activation of CB₁ receptors. Intracellular loading of BAPTA alone did not affect frequency or amplitude of eEPSCs in CeAL neurons (control frequency 4.35 ± 0.9 Hz vs. BAPTA 4.1 ± 1.0 Hz, $p > 0.05$ by t-test; control amplitude 21.0 ± 1.0 pA vs. BAPTA 25.1 ± 2.6 , $p > 0.05$ by t-test).

Ca²⁺-assisted-mACh-receptor driven eCB release in the CeAL

In addition to Ca²⁺-dependent eCB release, Gq-receptor-driven eCB mobilization is a common feature of central synapses (Katona and Freund, 2012). For example, in the hippocampus, activation of Gq-coupled M₁/M₃ muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs) has been shown to mobilize eCB signaling in a calcium-independent manner (Kim et al., 2002; Straiker and Mackie, 2007). Importantly, mAChRs are also highly expressed in the CeAL (Roosendaal et al., 1997; van der Zee et al., 1997), which is innervated by cholinergic projection neurons originating in the basal forebrain (Heckers et al., 1994). To determine whether activation of mAChRs drives eCB mobilization in the CeAL, we first sought to examine the functional effects of mAChR activation on CeAL

glutamatergic transmission. Experimental results from CeAL field potential recordings demonstrated that bath application of the mAChR agonist, Oxo-M (1 μ M), reduced the amplitude of fEPSPs to 44.40 \pm 3.69% of baseline (baseline 100.90 \pm 1.18% vs. maximal Oxo-M-induced depression 44.40 \pm 3.69%, p <0.0001; Figure 4A), an effect that reversed following drug washout (baseline 100.90 \pm 1.18% vs. post Oxo-M washout 96.14 \pm 7.13%, p =0.79; Figure 4A). To test whether this Oxo-M induced depression was mediated by mAChR activation we bath applied 1 μ M atropine, a non-selective mAChR antagonist, prior to and during Oxo-M (1 μ M) application. Atropine application completely blocked the effect of 1 μ M Oxo-M on fEPSPs (baseline 100.3 \pm 0.79% vs. atropine+Oxo-M 96.47 \pm 4.38%; p =0.87; Figure 4A). Using whole-cell recordings we found that Oxo-M also caused robust depression of eEPSC amplitude that was reduced by the M₁-preferring antagonist, pirenzepine (1 μ M; p <0.0001) and eliminated by the M₃-preferring antagonist 4-DAMP (500 nM, p <0.0001; Figure. 4B). Oxo-M-induced synaptic depression was associated with a large increase in PPR, which was reduced by pirenzepine (p <0.001) and blocked by 4-DAMP (p <0.0001; Figure 4C), suggesting Oxo-M induced synaptic depression is mediated by M_{1/3} receptor activation and expressed presynaptically. Importantly, neither pirenzepine nor 4-DAMP exerted any effects on glutamatergic transmission when applied alone to control CeAL slices (Supplementary Figure 1). Additionally, our immunofluorescence confocal microscopy data revealed a moderate expression of the M₁ receptor subtype throughout the CeAL (Figure 4E1-E2). At high magnification, M₁ staining appears as tiny puncta closely apposed to, but not overlapping with, MAP2-positive dendrites and perikarya. Together, these data demonstrate the presence of functional M_{1/3} mAChRs at glutamatergic synapses in the CeAL.

Because M_1 mAChRs are primarily somatodendritically located at the ultrastructural level just like DAGL α (Figure 2I-L) (Yamasaki et al., 2010), we next evaluated the presence of mAChR-driven postsynaptic eCB release at excitatory synapses within the CeAL. It has been previously reported that DSE is effectively enhanced by the coincidental activation of Gq-coupled receptors, such as M_1/M_3 receptors, via a mechanism involving Ca^{2+} enhancement of PLC β activity (Hashimotodani et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2002; Narushima et al., 2006). Consistent with the presence of Ca^{2+} -assisted-Gq-receptor driven eCB mobilization, our results revealed that Oxo-M (1 μ M) pre-incubation significantly enhanced 10s DSE as compared to DSE similarly performed under control ACSF conditions (control DSE $84.8\pm 3.0\%$ vs. Oxo-M DSE $57.16\pm 2.56\%$ $t(18)=4.1$ $p<0.001$; Figure 4F and H). DSE under control and Oxo-M conditions were both associated with increases in PPR ($p<0.05$ and $p<0.01$ respectively by paired t-test; Oxo-M DSE PPR is significantly greater than control DSE PPR $p<0.05$ by unpaired t-test; Figure 4G).

We next investigated the mechanisms of Oxo-M mediated enhancement of DSE in the CeAL. One-way ANOVA revealed that Oxo-M (1 μ M)-mediated DSE enhancement was reduced in both $CB_1^{-/-}$ CeAL cells (Oxo-M-WT $57.16\pm 2.56\%$ vs. Oxo-M- $CB_1^{-/-}$ $87.03\pm 3.77\%$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 4 I and L) and CeAL cells pretreated with 10 μ M THL for at least 60 minutes (Oxo-M $57.16\pm 2.56\%$ vs. THL+ Oxo-M $86.08\pm 2.73\%$, $p<0.0001$; Figure 4I and L). These results suggest that the simultaneous activation of mAChRs and postsynaptic depolarization results in the facilitation of 2-AG release at excitatory synapses within the CeAL. We next examined the muscarinic subtypes involved in the depolarization-induced enhancement of Oxo-M-mediated 2-AG release.

Application of the M₁- or the M₃-preferring antagonists, 1 μM pirenzepine or 500nM 4-DAMP respectively, significantly reduced the 1 μM Oxo-M-dependent DSE enhancement (Oxo-M 57.16%±2.56% vs. Oxo-M+pirenzepine 73.92±3.92%, *p*<0.01; Oxo-M 57.16±2.56% vs. Oxo-M+4-DAMP 75.32±4.75%, *p*<0.01, Figure 4J-K). Collectively, these results suggest that both M₁ and M₃ receptors play a role in the mAChR-mediated enhancement of CeAL DSE. Interestingly, in CB₁^{-/-} mice 10 second depolarization in the presence of Oxo-M elicited a small residual DSE (baseline 100.0±0.0% vs. 87.03±3.77% *p*<0.01), suggesting possible CB₁ independent residual effects induced by depolarization in the presence of Oxo-M.

Acute mAChR-driven eCB signaling in the CeAL

To determine whether acute application of Oxo-M can induce eCB release at CeAL glutamatergic synapses in the absence of depolarization, we applied Oxo-M for ~20 minutes and assessed eCB release during this period using pharmacological and genetic approaches (Figure 5A). Our results revealed that Oxo-M application dose-dependently suppressed eEPSC amplitude with maximal depression observed with 1 μM Oxo-M (baseline 99.77±0.54% vs. Oxo-M 34.61±1.36%, *p*<0.0001; Figures 5B-D and K). We next explored the contribution of CB₁ receptor activation to Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression in the CeAL. In the presence of the CB₁ receptor antagonist, SR141716 (5 μM, ≥ 60 minute pretreatment), Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression was significantly attenuated at 0.3 μM and 1 μM Oxo-M concentrations relative to Oxo-M+vehicle experimental conditions (Figure 5B-D and K). Maximal Oxo-M-mediated depression was significantly attenuated in the presence of SR141716 following either 0.3 μM Oxo-M (Oxo-M 46.24±4.25% vs. Oxo-M+SR141716 59.93±2.81%; *t*(8)=2.69

p<0.05) or 1 μ M Oxo-M application (Oxo-M 34.61 \pm 1.36% vs. Oxo-M+SR141716 53.11 \pm 2.73%; t(17)=5.98; p<0.001; See Figure 5C-D and K).

We also examined the effects of SR141716 on Oxo-M induced elevation in PPR and found that SR141716 pretreatment significantly attenuated the 1 μ M Oxo-M-induced increase in PPR (p<0.0001; Figure 5E). Importantly, the residual Oxo-M depression in SR141716-treated slices was associated with a residual significant increase in PPR (p<0.001; Figure 5E), indicating that the non-CB₁ component of Oxo-M induced depression is also presynaptic in nature. Given that Oxo-M-induced synaptic depression is only partially CB₁-dependent, we sought to confirm these findings using CB₁^{-/-} mice. Oxo-M induced synaptic depression was significantly attenuated in CB₁^{-/-} mice (WT Oxo-M 37.91 \pm 2.83% vs. CB₁^{-/-} Oxo-M 55.94 \pm 5.32% t(12)=3.0 p<0.05; Figure 5F). The maximal 1 μ M Oxo-M-mediated increase in PPR was also significantly attenuated in CB₁^{-/-} mice (p<0.001; Figure 5G). Collectively, these data indicate that Gq-receptor driven eCB mobilization can be initiated by mAChR activity in the CeAL, which in turn, contributes to Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression of CeAL glutamatergic transmission.

Acute mAChR-driven eCB release occurs via a Ca²⁺-DAGL-PLA2 independent mechanism

In light of previous studies, the roles of intracellular Ca²⁺ and DAGL in Gq-receptor driven eCB release remain uncertain (Edwards et al., 2006; Hashimoto et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2002; Tanimura et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). Therefore, we next examined the requirement for Ca²⁺ and DAGL activity in acute mAChR-driven eCB mobilization in the CeAL. First, we tested whether Oxo-M mediated eCB release requires increases in intracellular Ca²⁺ concentrations [Ca²⁺]_i. Postsynaptic loading of the fast Ca²⁺ chelator,

BAPTA (20mM), did not affect 1 μ M Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression and the maximal Oxo-M induced depression did not differ significantly from those observed under control conditions ($p>0.05$; Figure 5H and K). Similarly, THL pretreatment (10 μ M, \geq 60 minutes pretreatment) did not inhibit 1 μ M Oxo-M-mediated depression of eEPSC amplitude ($p>0.05$; Figure 5I and K). Lastly, since recent studies have suggested PLA2 may be required for 2-AG synaptic signaling in the cerebellum (Wang et al., 2012), we tested for the involvement of PLA2 in Oxo-M synaptic depression as an alternate mechanism by which Oxo-M could release 2-AG. However, the PLA2 inhibitor, AACOCF3 (10 μ M), did not significantly affect Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression ($p>0.05$; Figure 5J and K). These data suggest that acute mAChR-driven eCB release within the CeAL occurs independently of increases in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and does not require DAGL or PLA2 activity.

Prolonged mAChR activation drives Ca^{2+} - and DAGL-dependent eCB release

The lack of calcium and THL sensitivity of Oxo-M-mediated acute synaptic depression was somewhat surprising in light of recent studies strongly implicating calcium and DAGL α in Gq-receptor driven eCB release (Castillo et al., 2012; Hashimoto et al., 2013; Katona and Freund, 2012). To exclude the possibility that the non-CB $_1$ -dependent component of Oxo-M induced acute depression was potentially confounding our analysis, we took an alternate approach aimed at selectively evaluating CB $_1$ -dependent synaptic effects of Oxo-M. To do this we pretreated slices with 1 μ M Oxo-M for \geq 60 minutes and subsequently performed whole-cell patch clamp experiments where, after obtaining a stable baseline, we bath applied 5 μ M SR141716 in the continued presence of 1 μ M Oxo-M (see Figure 6A for experimental design). We reasoned that, if prolonged mAChR

activation induces tonic eCB release and activation of CB₁, which depress glutamatergic transmission, then bath application of a CB₁ receptor antagonist should progressively relieve this tonic eCB inhibition and cause an apparent synaptic potentiation. Thus, this experimental design would allow us to isolate eCB-CB₁ mediated synaptic effects induced by prolonged mAChR activation by Oxo-M. Consistent with this hypothesis, SR141716 (5μM) wash-on significantly increased eEPSC amplitude in slices pretreated with 1μM Oxo-M relative to control no Oxo-M conditions (Figure 6B-D). Maximal potentiation induced by SR141716 in the presence of continuous 1μM Oxo-M was 143.20±6.59% compared to 113.30±4.09% under control conditions ($p<0.001$; Figure 6B-D). Interestingly, unlike acute Oxo-M-driven eCB release following brief application, continuous mAChR activation appeared to promote eCB mobilization through a THL- and a Ca²⁺-dependent mechanism as pretreatment with 10μM THL for ≥ 60 minutes or 20mM intracellular BAPTA completely abolished SR141716-induced synaptic potentiation ($p<0.0001$ for each; Figure 6C-E). Maximal SR141716-induced enhancement after 10μM THL pretreatment (vehicle 113.30±4.09% vs. THL + Oxo-M 107.60±7.54%, $p=0.88$; Figure 6C-D) or 20mM BAPTA postsynaptic loading (vehicle 113.30±4.09% vs. BAPTA+ Oxo-M 99.25±6.10%, $p=0.34$; Figure 6C-D) was not significantly different from SR141716-induced synaptic potentiation under control (no Oxo-M) conditions. These data suggest a possible temporal switch from a Ca²⁺- and DAGL-insensitive to a Ca²⁺- and DAGL-sensitive mAChR-receptor-driven eCB release following prolonged mAChR stimulation.

Acute mAChR activation drives synaptic AEA release

Several recent studies have indicated that Gq-coupled receptors can mobilize AEA signaling (Chavez et al., 2010; Grueter et al., 2010). As such, we sought to investigate whether the calcium and DAGL independent effects of acute Oxo-M application on eCB-mediated synaptic depression might be mediated via AEA, rather than 2-AG, signaling. To examine this possibility we determined the effects of inhibiting AEA degradation, with the fatty acid amide hydrolase (FAAH) inhibitor PF-3845, on Oxo-M mediated acute synaptic depression (see Figure 7A for experimental design). PF-3845 (5 μ M) pretreatment partially attenuated Oxo-M mediated synaptic depression at both 0.3 μ M ($p < 0.01$) and 1 μ M Oxo-M concentrations ($p < 0.01$; Figure 7B-D). We also tested the effects of the monoacylglycerol lipase (MAGL) inhibitor, JZL-184 (2 μ M), on Oxo-M-induced synaptic depression to further rule out a role for 2-AG in acute Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression. Consistent with the lack of BAPTA and THL sensitivity, prolonged MAGL blockade did not significantly affect subsequent Oxo-M-mediated acute synaptic depression at either 0.3 or 1 μ M Oxo-M concentration ($p > 0.05$ for each; Figure 7B-D). Together these data indicate that interfering with AEA, but not 2-AG, degradation modifies acute Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression, however the direction of effect was somewhat unexpected. Specifically, if acute Oxo-M application causes release of AEA, blocking AEA degradation would be expected to increase Oxo-M synaptic depression rather than decrease it. The lack of enhancement was not due to a floor effect since both maximal and sub-maximal concentrations of Oxo-M showed reduced efficacy in the presence of FAAH, but not MAGL, inhibition.

An alternate explanation for our results is that the acute effects of Oxo-M are occluded by PF-3845, but not JZL-184. If this were the case PF-3845 would be expected

to cause synaptic depression alone, which would then occlude subsequent AEA-mediated synaptic depression initiated by acute Oxo-M application. Consistent with this hypothesis, PF-3845 produced a CB₁-dependent synaptic depression alone (PF-3845+vehicle 83.31%±5.34% vs. PF-3845+SR141716 102.80%±3.91%, $t(14)=2.79$; $p<0.05$; Figure 7E-F). These data, combined with the lack of occlusion of acute Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression by the MAGL inhibitor JZL-184 described above, strongly implicate AEA, rather than 2-AG, as the eCB ligand subserving synaptic depression by acute Oxo-M application. However, it is possible the lack of occlusion by MAGL inhibition could be due to the fact that JZL-184 alone did not produce synaptic depression. To exclude this possibility and strengthen the support for an AEA-mediated process, we tested the ability of JZL-184 to produce synaptic depression alone. Consistent with our hypothesis, JZL-184 produced a CB₁ dependent synaptic depression of glutamatergic signaling (JZL-184+ vehicle 74.29%±4.24% vs. JZL-184+SR141716 96.38%±2.97%, $t(9)=4.1$; $p<0.01$; Figure 7G-H). Taken together, these data provide converging evidence that acute Oxo-M mediated synaptic depression causes synthesis/release of AEA that acts on CB₁ receptors to reduce glutamate release, and that prolonged Oxo-M stimulation of mAChRs enhances DSE and causes tonic CB₁-mediated synaptic depression via release of 2-AG through the canonical calcium-DAGL-dependent pathway (Figure 7I-J).

Lack of Oxo-M-mediated acute or tonic eCB signaling in striatum

Thus far, our data indicate that acute Oxo-M activation of mAChRs drives AEA release and subsequent depression of glutamatergic signaling via CB₁ activation. In contrast, prolonged mAChR activity results in 2-AG-mediated tonic CB₁ activation and enhancement of DSE. Since this is the first demonstration, to the best of our knowledge,

of temporally dissociated AEA and 2-AG release by the same stimulus, we wanted to examine whether this was a generalizable phenomenon. Therefore, we tested this phenomenon in the striatum given the strong morphological, hodological, and cytoarchitectural similarities between the striatum and the CeA (McDonald, 1982). Acute application of Oxo-M (1 μ M) caused robust presynaptic depression in the striatum, however this depression was not affected by SR141716 pretreatment ($p > 0.05$; Supplemental Figure 2A-C). Similarly, SR141716 failed to produce synaptic potentiation in the presence or absence of continuous prolonged Oxo-M (1 μ M) pre-treatment (Supplemental Figure 2D-E). In contrast, prolonged Oxo-M (1 μ M) application was able to enhance DSE relative to control ACSF ($p < 0.01$; Supplemental Figure 2F), which was blocked by SR141716 ($p < 0.0001$). These data indicate that Oxo-M (1 μ M) is able to enhance DSE in the striatum, but that mAChRs do not trigger acute AEA or tonic 2-AG release to regulate glutamatergic transmission in this region. Thus, mAChR-driven multimodal eCB release is not likely a generalized feature of central synapses, but is expressed by CeAL neurons.

DISCUSSION

The molecular architecture of eCB signaling at CeAL glutamatergic synapses

Here we report the distribution and subcellular localization of CB₁ receptors and the 2-AG synthetic enzyme, DAGL α , in the CeAL. CB₁ receptors are localized to presynaptic terminals forming asymmetric synapses on postsynaptic dendrites and dendritic spines. In contrast, DAGL α is expressed within postsynaptic CeAL neurons at the mRNA and protein level, with ultrastructural studies demonstrating clear localization within dendritic

spine heads and dendritic shafts adjacent to asymmetric synapses. Overall, these data support the well-established anatomical substrate for retrograde eCB signaling at central synapses (Katona and Freund, 2012). The present findings also extend earlier work in which CB₁ receptor-immunoreactivity level remained under detection threshold in this region (Katona et al., 2001). However, these earlier studies were conducted with anti-CB₁ receptor antibodies that preferentially labeled CB₁ receptors localized on GABAergic axon terminals due to the much higher level of CB₁ receptor expression on GABAergic terminals relative to glutamatergic terminals. Subsequent generation of higher affinity antibodies has now allowed for the detection of CB₁ receptors on glutamatergic axon terminals in several brain regions (Katona et al., 2006; Uchigashima et al., 2007). Using these reagents, we can now provide clear anatomical evidence for strong CB₁ expression on CeAL glutamatergic terminals. It is noteworthy that Kamprath and co-workers recently showed sparse CB₁ labeling in the CeAM using an antibody that recognizes CB₁ primarily on GABAergic terminals (Kamprath et al., 2011). In contrast, we show high levels of CB₁ expression in the CeAM in addition to CeAL, suggesting that a significant fraction of CB₁ expression in the CeAM, as in the CeAL, is likely found on glutamatergic terminals.

Consistent with our anatomical data, we found that activation of CB₁ receptors reliably reduced eEPSC amplitude, while effects on GABAergic transmission were more variable (Katona et al., 2001). Interestingly, the cannabinoid agonist CP55940 reduced eEPSC amplitude without clear effect on PPR. In contrast, the metabolically stable 2-AG analog, 2-AG-ether, and DSE both cause synaptic depression associated with an increase in PPR. Although our data that CP55940 affected the frequency, but not amplitude of

sEPSCs, suggest a presynaptic site of action, the lack of effect on PPR was unexpected and inconsistent with the effects of DSE and 2-AG-ether. Although previous studies have shown presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release in the CeAL in the absence of changes in PPR (Delaney et al., 2007), the discrepancy between different CB₁ ligands is more difficult to explain. One possibility could be related to ligand-directed signaling at the CB₁ receptor (Hudson et al., 2010), which would imply multiple presynaptic mechanisms downstream of receptor activation could result in reduced glutamate transmission. Specifically, eCB ligands such as 2-AG, and its stable analog 2-AG-ether, could activate signaling cascades downstream of CB₁ to reduce glutamate release probability, while CP55940 could cause changes in the number of release sites (Delaney et al., 2007). Further studies will clearly be required to test this hypothesis.

Multiple modes of postsynaptic eCB mobilization by CeAL neurons

Based on our studies demonstrating eCB signaling elements at CeAL excitatory synapses and CB₁ receptor mediated depression of glutamatergic signaling, we next examined the mechanisms regulating postsynaptic eCB release from CeAL neurons. Given substantial evidence that 2-AG acts as the primary eCB mediating retrograde synaptic signaling (Tanimura et al., 2010), as well as the expression of DAGL α at CeAL glutamatergic synapses, we next focused on the elucidation of mechanisms regulating 2-AG mobilization from CeAL neurons. In line with previous data in the hippocampus (Chiu and Castillo, 2007) and cerebellum (Kreitzer and Regehr, 2001), CeAL neurons expressed DSE mediated via a calcium-dependent, THL-sensitive, and CB₁-dependent mechanism. These data are consistent with depolarization-dependent activation of calcium channels initiating synthesis and release of 2-AG, which activates CB₁ receptors

to transiently depress glutamate release. These studies add to a recent demonstration of DSE in the CeAM (Kamprath et al., 2011), and tonic eCB release at CeAM GABAergic synapses (Roberto et al., 2010).

We next examined the mechanisms by which Gq-coupled mAChRs induced eCB signaling at CeAL glutamatergic synapses. Our data indicate that acute application of Oxo-M causes a robust presynaptic depression that is partially mediated via activation of CB₁ receptors. These data are consistent with findings in the periaqueductal grey (Lau and Vaughan, 2008), where Oxo-M-induced depression of glutamatergic transmission is partially eCB-mediated. Mechanistically, this acute CB₁-dependent depression does not require elevations in intracellular calcium, is *THL-insensitive*, and does not require PLA2 activity. Moreover, inhibition of 2-AG degradation with JZL-184 did not affect Oxo-M-mediated acute synaptic depression. Taken together, these data appear to exclude 2-AG as the eCB ligand mediating the acute CB₁-sensitive synaptic depression induced by short-term mAChR activation. We next tested the hypothesis that another eCB ligand, namely AEA, mediates eCB-mediated synaptic depression induced by acute mAChR activation. We found that FAAH inhibition, which caused a CB₁-dependent synaptic depression alone, partially occluded acute Oxo-M-mediated synaptic depression, implicating AEA in this process. Huang and Woolley (2012), who showed that estrogen-induced depression of GABAergic transmission in the hippocampus was occluded by FAAH inhibition, but not MAGL inhibition, reached similar conclusions (Huang and Woolley, 2012). Although, clear delineation of the biosynthetic pathways for synaptic AEA synthesis and the development of pharmacological tools to probe this system will

be required to conclusively assign AEA as the eCB ligand mediating acute Oxo-M driven eCB release.

In contrast to the putative AEA-mediated synaptic depression induced by acute mAChR activation, prolonged activation of mAChR increases 2-AG-mediated signaling processes. First, after prolonged Oxo-M incubation, DSE is enhanced in an $M_{1/3}$ -dependent, THL-sensitive, and CB_1 -dependent manner, consistent with findings in other brain regions (Kano et al., 2009). Interestingly, our SR141716 wash-on studies revealed strong synaptic potentiation by CB_1 blockade in slices incubated with Oxo-M but not vehicle. These data suggest tonic eCB release can be induced by prolonged mAChR activity. This synaptic potentiation required increases in intracellular calcium and was THL-sensitive strongly suggesting that this tonic Oxo-M-induced eCB signal is mediated by 2-AG synthesized by the canonical calcium-DAGL-dependent pathway (Kano et al., 2009). These findings are consistent with recent studies in MAGL knock-out mice which suggest that 2-AG can also act as a tonic eCB retrograde messenger (Pan et al., 2011). Overall, these data indicate that CeAL neurons can mobilize multiple forms of eCB signaling to modulate afferent glutamatergic transmission.

Temporally dissociated multimodal eCB release from CeAL neurons

Previous studies have demonstrated that some cells can produce both AEA and 2-AG that act as retrograde eCBs signals (Huang and Woolley, 2012; Kim and Alger, 2010; Lerner and Kreitzer, 2012; Mathur et al., 2013; Puente et al., 2011). Similarly, several studies have demonstrated that activation of Gq-coupled receptors can induce 2-AG and also AEA release in several brain regions (Chavez et al., 2010; Grueter et al., 2010; Hashimoto et al., 2013; Lerner and Kreitzer, 2012; Maccarrone et al., 2008).

However, to the best of our knowledge, our data are the first to provide experimental evidence that AEA and 2-AG can be released by the same cell in response to activation of the same Gq-coupled receptor depending only on the duration of Gq-receptor stimulation. Specifically, acute mAChR activation causes short-lived AEA-mediated synaptic depression, while prolonged mAChR stimulation causes 2-AG-mediated tonic eCB depression of glutamatergic transmission. Thus, in CeAL neurons, but not dorsal striatal neurons, mAChR stimulation can initiate multimodal eCB signaling depending only on the duration of Gq-receptor stimulation.

What possible mechanisms could underlie this temporally dissociated eCB release by CeAL neurons? $M_{1/3}$ receptor activation has been demonstrated to couple to signal transduction pathways important for 2-AG synthesis including PLC and increases in intracellular calcium (Mangoura et al., 1995; Sandmann et al., 1991; Schmidt et al., 1995a). $M_{1/3}$ receptors also couple to PLD (Mangoura et al., 1995; McKenzie et al., 1992; Schmidt et al., 1995b), which could be important for AEA synthesis (Leung et al., 2006). Interestingly, *in vitro* cellular studies show that $M_{1/3}$ coupling to PLD undergoes rapid desensitization within minutes (McKenzie et al., 1992; Schmidt et al., 1995b); in contrast $M_{1/3}$ coupling to PLC does not desensitize in response to prolonged agonist activation, and in fact shows a progressive sensitization (Schmidt et al., 1995b). These findings provide support for the hypothesis that the mechanistic basis for the temporal “switch” from Oxo-M induced AEA release to 2-AG release following prolonged exposure, is facilitated by the rapid desensitization of the PLD signaling and sensitization of the PLC signaling by Oxo-M. Functionally, this model predicts that upon $M_{1/3}$ receptor stimulation there is a burst of AEA release that dampens afferent glutamatergic drive to CeAL

neurons, while prolonged and continuous receptor activation causes a rapid desensitization of this pathway and termination of AEA signaling. In contrast, during prolonged $M_{1/3}$ receptor activation, the sensitizing PLC signaling results in tonic generation of diacylglycerol, which is hydrolyzed by DAGL α to generate 2-AG and subsequent tonic and long lasting CB $_1$ -mediated suppression of glutamatergic drive to CeAL neurons.

Functional implications of multimodal eCB signaling

Recent studies have begun to highlight the dissociable roles of AEA and 2-AG signaling on multiple levels. For example, in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, AEA mediates long-term synaptic depression, while 2-AG mediates short-term depression (STD) in the form of DSE (Puente et al., 2011). In contrast, in the striatum different forms of associative neural activity can elicit both AEA- and 2-AG-mediated long-term depression (Lerner and Kreitzer, 2012). More recently, different inhibitory synapses in the striatum have been shown to release AEA and 2-AG in a state-dependent manner (Mathur et al., 2013). Thus, AEA and 2-AG are clearly not “redundant” signaling molecules and have distinct duration-, activity-, and synapse-dependent effects. Here we add to this eCB ligand diversity by demonstrating temporally-dissociated mobilization of AEA and 2-AG signaling in response to Gq-receptor activity. Thus, activity-dependent multimodal eCB signaling could exert powerful modulation of synaptic transmission on different time scales (LTD vs. STD), at distinct synapses, and in response to different patterns and durations of neural stimulation. Continued investigation of multimodal eCB signaling could provide insight into the activity-dependent mechanisms sculpting synaptic efficacy.

Here we show that eCB signaling suppresses afferent glutamatergic transmission onto CeAL neurons. Cannabinoid-mediated inhibition of excitatory drive to the CeAL could represent a previously unrecognized synaptic mechanism contributing to the well-known effects of cannabinoids on stress and anxiety-related behaviors (Hill et al., 2010; Patel et al., 2009), and on emotional learning processes (Lutz, 2007). Further elucidating the cell-type and synapse-specific effects of multimodal eCB signaling in the CeAL could provide insight into the cellular and circuit-level mechanisms by which eCBs modulate motivational states and emotional learning.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Anatomical experiments

In situ hybridization, immunoperoxidase and immuno-electron microscopy experiments were conducted as previously described (Peterfi et al., 2012) and detailed in supplemental experimental procedures.

Electrophysiology

Whole-cell voltage clamp and field potential electrophysiological experiments were carried out in 4-5 week old male ICR mice as described previously (Patel et al., 2009; Sumislawski et al., 2011) and delineated in the supplemental experimental procedures. Briefly, mice were sacrificed by transcardial perfusion with ice-cold high sucrose, low Na⁺ containing ACSF under isoflurane anesthesia and coronal slices prepared using a vibratome (Leica Microsystems, Bannockburn, IL). For whole-cell voltage clamp electrophysiological experiments, eEPSCs were recorded from CeAL neurons via local microstimulation, ~100 μ m from the cell soma.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

These studies were supported by NIH Grants MH090412 and MH100096 (S.P.), DA011322 and DA021696 (K.M.), the Momentum Program of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences (LP2013-54), and by the European Research Council Grant 243153 (I.K.). I.K. is the recipient of a Wellcome Trust International Senior Research Fellowship. The authors are also indebted to Erika Tischler and Balázs Pintér for their excellent technical assistance. The authors also wish to thank Mr. László Barna, the Nikon Microscopy Center at IEM, Nikon Austria GmbH and Auro-Science Consulting Ltd for kindly providing microscopy support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

- Amano, T., Unal, C.T., and Pare, D. (2010). Synaptic correlates of fear extinction in the amygdala. *Nature neuroscience* 13, 489-494.
- Cassell, M.D., Freedman, L.J., and Shi, C. (1999). The intrinsic organization of the central extended amygdala. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 877, 217-241.
- Castillo, P.E., Younts, T.J., Chavez, A.E., and Hashimoto, Y. (2012). Endocannabinoid signaling and synaptic function. *Neuron* 76, 70-81.
- Chavez, A.E., Chiu, C.Q., and Castillo, P.E. (2010). TRPV1 activation by endogenous anandamide triggers postsynaptic long-term depression in dentate gyrus. *Nature neuroscience* 13, 1511-1518.
- Chiu, C.Q., and Castillo, P.E. (2007). Input-specific plasticity at excitatory synapses mediated by endocannabinoids in the dentate gyrus. *Neuropharmacology*.
- Ciocchi, S., Herry, C., Grenier, F., Wolff, S.B., Letzkus, J.J., Vlachos, I., Ehrlich, I., Sprengel, R., Deisseroth, K., Stadler, M.B., *et al.* (2010). Encoding of conditioned fear in central amygdala inhibitory circuits. *Nature* 468, 277-282.

Davis, M. (1997). Neurobiology of fear responses: the role of the amygdala. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 9, 382-402.

Delaney, A.J., Crane, J.W., and Sah, P. (2007). Noradrenaline modulates transmission at a central synapse by a presynaptic mechanism. *Neuron* 56, 880-892.

Dong, Y.L., Fukazawa, Y., Wang, W., Kamasawa, N., and Shigemoto, R. (2010). Differential postsynaptic compartments in the laterocapsular division of the central nucleus of amygdala for afferents from the parabrachial nucleus and the basolateral nucleus in the rat. *The Journal of comparative neurology* 518, 4771-4791.

Edwards, D.A., Kim, J., and Alger, B.E. (2006). Multiple mechanisms of endocannabinoid response initiation in hippocampus. *Journal of neurophysiology* 95, 67-75.

Ehrlich, I., Humeau, Y., Grenier, F., Ciocchi, S., Herry, C., and Luthi, A. (2009). Amygdala inhibitory circuits and the control of fear memory. *Neuron* 62, 757-771.

El-Amamy, H., and Holland, P.C. (2007). Dissociable effects of disconnecting amygdala central nucleus from the ventral tegmental area or substantia nigra on learned orienting and incentive motivation. *The European journal of neuroscience* 25, 1557-1567.

Groshek, F., Kerfoot, E., McKenna, V., Polackwich, A.S., Gallagher, M., and Holland, P.C. (2005). Amygdala central nucleus function is necessary for learning, but not expression, of conditioned auditory orienting. *Behavioral neuroscience* 119, 202-212.

Grueter, B.A., Brasnjo, G., and Malenka, R.C. (2010). Postsynaptic TRPV1 triggers cell type-specific long-term depression in the nucleus accumbens. *Nature neuroscience* 13, 1519-1525.

Hanus, L., Abu-Lafi, S., Fride, E., Breuer, A., Vogel, Z., Shalev, D.E., Kustanovich, I., and Mechoulam, R. (2001). 2-arachidonyl glyceryl ether, an endogenous agonist of the cannabinoid CB1 receptor. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 98, 3662-3665.

Hashimotodani, Y., Ohno-Shosaku, T., and Kano, M. (2007). Ca²⁺-assisted receptor-driven endocannabinoid release: mechanisms that associate presynaptic and postsynaptic activities. *Current opinion in neurobiology* 17, 360-365.

Hashimotodani, Y., Ohno-Shosaku, T., Tanimura, A., Kita, Y., Sano, Y., Shimizu, T., Di Marzo, V., and Kano, M. (2013). Acute inhibition of diacylglycerol lipase blocks endocannabinoid-mediated retrograde synaptic suppression: evidence for on-demand biosynthesis of 2-arachidonoylglycerol. *The Journal of physiology*.

Hashimotodani, Y., Ohno-Shosaku, T., Tsubokawa, H., Ogata, H., Emoto, K., Maejima, T., Araishi, K., Shin, H.S., and Kano, M. (2005). Phospholipase C β serves as a coincidence detector through its Ca²⁺ dependency for triggering retrograde endocannabinoid signal. *Neuron* 45, 257-268.

Heckers, S., Ohtake, T., Wiley, R.G., Lappi, D.A., Geula, C., and Mesulam, M.M. (1994). Complete and selective cholinergic denervation of rat neocortex and hippocampus but not amygdala by an immunotoxin against the p75 NGF receptor. *J Neurosci* 14, 1271-1289.

Hill, M.N., Patel, S., Campolongo, P., Tasker, J.G., Wotjak, C.T., and Bains, J.S. (2010). Functional interactions between stress and the endocannabinoid system: from synaptic signaling to behavioral output. *J Neurosci* 30, 14980-14986.

Huang, G.Z., and Woolley, C.S. (2012). Estradiol acutely suppresses inhibition in the hippocampus through a sex-specific endocannabinoid and mGluR-dependent mechanism. *Neuron* 74, 801-808.

Hudson, B.D., Hebert, T.E., and Kelly, M.E. (2010). Ligand- and heterodimer-directed signaling of the CB(1) cannabinoid receptor. *Molecular pharmacology* 77, 1-9.

Kamprath, K., Romo-Parra, H., Haring, M., Gaburro, S., Doengi, M., Lutz, B., and Pape, H.C. (2011). Short-term adaptation of conditioned fear responses through endocannabinoid signaling in the central amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36, 652-663.

Kano, M., Ohno-Shosaku, T., Hashimotodani, Y., Uchigashima, M., and Watanabe, M. (2009). Endocannabinoid-mediated control of synaptic transmission. *Physiol Rev* 89, 309-380.

Katona, I., and Freund, T.F. (2012). Multiple functions of endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. *Annual review of neuroscience* 35, 529-558.

Katona, I., Rancz, E.A., Acsady, L., Ledent, C., Mackie, K., Hajos, N., and Freund, T.F. (2001). Distribution of CB1 cannabinoid receptors in the amygdala and their role in the control of GABAergic transmission. *J Neurosci* 21, 9506-9518.

Katona, I., Urban, G.M., Wallace, M., Ledent, C., Jung, K.M., Piomelli, D., Mackie, K., and Freund, T.F. (2006). Molecular composition of the endocannabinoid system at glutamatergic synapses. *J Neurosci* 26, 5628-5637.

Kim, J., and Alger, B.E. (2010). Reduction in endocannabinoid tone is a homeostatic mechanism for specific inhibitory synapses. *Nature neuroscience* 13, 592-600.

Kim, J., Isokawa, M., Ledent, C., and Alger, B.E. (2002). Activation of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors enhances the release of endogenous cannabinoids in the hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 22, 10182-10191.

Kreitzer, A.C., and Regehr, W.G. (2001). Retrograde inhibition of presynaptic calcium influx by endogenous cannabinoids at excitatory synapses onto Purkinje cells. *Neuron* 29, 717-727.

Lau, B.K., and Vaughan, C.W. (2008). Muscarinic modulation of synaptic transmission via endocannabinoid signalling in the rat midbrain periaqueductal gray. *Molecular pharmacology* 74, 1392-1398.

Lerner, T.N., and Kreitzer, A.C. (2012). RGS4 is required for dopaminergic control of striatal LTD and susceptibility to parkinsonian motor deficits. *Neuron* 73, 347-359.

Leung, D., Saghatelian, A., Simon, G.M., and Cravatt, B.F. (2006). Inactivation of N-acyl phosphatidylethanolamine phospholipase D reveals multiple mechanisms for the biosynthesis of endocannabinoids. *Biochemistry* 45, 4720-4726.

Li, H., Penzo, M.A., Taniguchi, H., Kopec, C.D., Huang, Z.J., and Li, B. (2013). Experience-dependent modification of a central amygdala fear circuit. *Nature neuroscience*.

Li, S., and Kirouac, G.J. (2008). Projections from the paraventricular nucleus of the thalamus to the forebrain, with special emphasis on the extended amygdala. *The Journal of comparative neurology* 506, 263-287.

Ludanyi, A., Hu, S.S., Yamazaki, M., Tanimura, A., Piomelli, D., Watanabe, M., Kano, M., Sakimura, K., Magloczky, Z., Mackie, K., *et al.* (2011). Complementary synaptic distribution of enzymes responsible for synthesis and inactivation of the endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol in the human hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 174, 50-63.

Lutz, B. (2007). The endocannabinoid system and extinction learning. *Molecular neurobiology* 36, 92-101.

Maccarrone, M., Rossi, S., Bari, M., De Chiara, V., Fezza, F., Musella, A., Gasperi, V., Prosperetti, C., Bernardi, G., Finazzi-Agro, A., *et al.* (2008). Anandamide inhibits metabolism and physiological actions of 2-arachidonoylglycerol in the striatum. *Nature neuroscience* 11, 152-159.

Mangoura, D., Sogos, V., Pelletiere, C., and Dawson, G. (1995). Differential regulation of phospholipases C and D by phorbol esters and the physiological activators carbachol and glutamate in astrocytes from chicken embryo cerebrum and cerebellum. *Brain research Developmental brain research* 87, 12-21.

Mathur, B.N., Tanahira, C., Tamamaki, N., and Lovinger, D.M. (2013). Voltage drives diverse endocannabinoid signals to mediate striatal microcircuit-specific plasticity. *Nature neuroscience* 16, 1275-1283.

McDonald, A.J. (1982). Cytoarchitecture of the central amygdaloid nucleus of the rat. *The Journal of comparative neurology* 208, 401-418.

McDonald, A.J., Shammah-Lagnado, S.J., Shi, C., and Davis, M. (1999). Cortical afferents to the extended amygdala. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 877, 309-338.

McKenzie, F.R., Seuwen, K., and Pouyssegur, J. (1992). Stimulation of phosphatidylcholine breakdown by thrombin and carbachol but not by tyrosine kinase receptor ligands in cells transfected with M1 muscarinic receptors. Rapid desensitization of phosphocholine-specific (PC) phospholipase D but sustained activity of PC-phospholipase C. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 267, 22759-22769.

Narushima, M., Hashimoto, K., and Kano, M. (2006). Endocannabinoid-mediated short-term suppression of excitatory synaptic transmission to medium spiny neurons in the striatum. *Neuroscience research* 54, 159-164.

Ohno-Shosaku, T., Hashimoto, Y., Maejima, T., and Kano, M. (2005). Calcium signaling and synaptic modulation: regulation of endocannabinoid-mediated synaptic modulation by calcium. *Cell calcium* 38, 369-374.

Ohno-Shosaku, T., Tanimura, A., Hashimoto, Y., and Kano, M. (2012). Endocannabinoids and retrograde modulation of synaptic transmission. *The Neuroscientist : a review journal bringing neurobiology, neurology and psychiatry* 18, 119-132.

Pan, B., Wang, W., Zhong, P., Blankman, J.L., Cravatt, B.F., and Liu, Q.S. (2011). Alterations of endocannabinoid signaling, synaptic plasticity, learning, and memory in monoacylglycerol lipase knock-out mice. *J Neurosci* 31, 13420-13430.

Pape, H.C., and Pare, D. (2010). Plastic synaptic networks of the amygdala for the acquisition, expression, and extinction of conditioned fear. *Physiol Rev* 90, 419-463.

Patel, S., Kingsley, P.J., Mackie, K., Marnett, L.J., and Winder, D.G. (2009). Repeated homotypic stress elevates 2-arachidonoylglycerol levels and enhances short-term endocannabinoid signaling at inhibitory synapses in basolateral amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 34, 2699-2709.

Peterfi, Z., Urban, G.M., Papp, O.I., Nemeth, B., Monyer, H., Szabo, G., Erdelyi, F., Mackie, K., Freund, T.F., Hajos, N., and Katona, I. (2012). Endocannabinoid-mediated long-term depression of afferent excitatory synapses in hippocampal pyramidal cells and GABAergic interneurons. *J Neurosci* 32, 14448-14463.

Puente, N., Cui, Y., Lassalle, O., Lafourcade, M., Georges, F., Venance, L., Grandes, P., and Manzoni, O.J. (2011). Polymodal activation of the endocannabinoid system in the extended amygdala. *Nature neuroscience* 14, 1542-1547.

Ramikie, T.S., and Patel, S. (2011). Endocannabinoid signaling in the amygdala: anatomy, synaptic signaling, behavior, and adaptations to stress. *Neuroscience* 204, 38-52.

Riebe, C.J., Pamplona, F., Kamprath, K., and Wotjak, C.T. (2012). Fear relief-toward a new conceptual frame work and what endocannabinoids gotta do with it. *Neuroscience*.

Roberto, M., Cruz, M., Bajo, M., Siggins, G.R., Parsons, L.H., and Schweitzer, P. (2010). The endocannabinoid system tonically regulates inhibitory transmission and depresses the effect of ethanol in central amygdala. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 1962-1972.

Roosendaal, B., van der Zee, E.A., Hensbroek, R.A., Maat, H., Luiten, P.G., Koolhaas, J.M., and Bohus, B. (1997). Muscarinic acetylcholine receptor immunoreactivity in the amygdala--II. Fear-induced plasticity. *Neuroscience* 76, 75-83.

Sandmann, J., Peralta, E.G., and Wurtman, R.J. (1991). Coupling of transfected muscarinic acetylcholine receptor subtypes to phospholipase D. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 266, 6031-6034.

Schmidt, M., Bienek, C., van Koppen, C.J., Michel, M.C., and Jakobs, K.H. (1995a). Differential calcium signalling by m2 and m3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptors in a single cell type. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's archives of pharmacology* 352, 469-476.

Schmidt, M., Fasselt, B., Rumenapp, U., Bienek, C., Wieland, T., van Koppen, C.J., and Jakobs, K.H. (1995b). Rapid and persistent desensitization of m3 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor-stimulated phospholipase D. Concomitant sensitization of phospholipase C. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 270, 19949-19956.

Straiker, A., and Mackie, K. (2007). Metabotropic suppression of excitation in murine autaptic hippocampal neurons. *The Journal of physiology* 578, 773-785.

Sumislawski, J.J., Ramikie, T.S., and Patel, S. (2011). Reversible gating of endocannabinoid plasticity in the amygdala by chronic stress: a potential role for

monoacylglycerol lipase inhibition in the prevention of stress-induced behavioral adaptation. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 36, 2750-2761.

Sun, N., Yi, H., and Cassell, M.D. (1994). Evidence for a GABAergic interface between cortical afferents and brainstem projection neurons in the rat central extended amygdala. *The Journal of comparative neurology* 340, 43-64.

Tanimura, A., Yamazaki, M., Hashimoto, Y., Uchigashima, M., Kawata, S., Abe, M., Kita, Y., Hashimoto, K., Shimizu, T., Watanabe, M., *et al.* (2010). The endocannabinoid 2-arachidonoylglycerol produced by diacylglycerol lipase α mediates retrograde suppression of synaptic transmission. *Neuron* 65, 320-327.

Tye, K.M., Prakash, R., Kim, S.Y., Fenno, L.E., Grosenick, L., Zarabi, H., Thompson, K.R., Gradinaru, V., Ramakrishnan, C., and Deisseroth, K. (2011). Amygdala circuitry mediating reversible and bidirectional control of anxiety. *Nature* 471, 358-362.

Uchigashima, M., Narushima, M., Fukaya, M., Katona, I., Kano, M., and Watanabe, M. (2007). Subcellular arrangement of molecules for 2-arachidonoylglycerol-mediated retrograde signaling and its physiological contribution to synaptic modulation in the striatum. *J Neurosci* 27, 3663-3676.

van der Zee, E.A., Roozendaal, B., Bohus, B., Koolhaas, J.M., and Luiten, P.G. (1997). Muscarinic acetylcholine receptor immunoreactivity in the amygdala--I. Cellular distribution correlated with fear-induced behavior. *Neuroscience* 76, 63-73.

Wang, D.J., Yang, D., Su, L.D., Xie, Y.J., Zhou, L., Sun, C.L., Wang, Y., Wang, X.X., Zhou, L., and Shen, Y. (2012). Cytosolic phospholipase A2 α /arachidonic acid signaling mediates depolarization-induced suppression of excitation in the cerebellum. *PLoS one* 7, e41499.

Yoshida, T., Uchigashima, M., Yamazaki, M., Katona, I., Yamazaki, M., Sakimura, K., Kano, M., Yoshioka, M., and Watanabe, M. (2011). Unique inhibitory synapse with particularly rich endocannabinoid signaling machinery on pyramidal neurons in basal amygdaloid nucleus. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108, 3059-3064.

Zhang, L., Wang, M., Bisogno, T., Di Marzo, V., and Alger, B.E. (2011). Endocannabinoids generated by Ca^{2+} or by metabotropic glutamate receptors appear to arise from different pools of diacylglycerol lipase. *PLoS one* 6, e16305.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. CB_1 receptors are present on excitatory terminals in the CeAL.

(A) *In situ* hybridization reveals the presence of CB_1 mRNA in both the CeA and the BLA of wild type mice. (B) The specificity of the riboprobe is confirmed by using $\text{CB}_1^{-/-}$ animals. (C) The very high levels of CB_1 mRNA observed in a few scattered neurons in

the BLA likely correspond to GABAergic interneurons. The vast majority of BLA neurons express moderate levels of CB₁. In contrast, CB₁ expression in the CeA was only slightly above detection threshold. (D-E) Immunoperoxidase staining demonstrates the presence of the CB₁ protein in both the CeA and BLA, which was confirmed in our CB₁^{-/-} samples. (F) Higher magnification light micrograph reveals the dense CB₁ labeling in the neuropil throughout the CeAL. Asterisks depict CB₁-immunonegative cell bodies, whereas CB₁-immunopositive labeling appears as punctate staining indicating the compartmentalized distribution of the protein. (G₁-G₂) Serial electron micrographs illustrate the selective presynaptic accumulation of CB₁ in boutons (b+), which form mainly asymmetric (flanked by black arrowheads) and sometimes symmetric (white arrowheads) synapses with dendrites (d) and spine heads (s). CB₁ staining remained under detection threshold in a few axon terminals (b-), which highlights quantitative differences in CB₁ expression between terminal types innervating the CeAL. (H-I) The anatomical nature of the synapse type is illustrated at higher magnification. Note the lack or presence of postsynaptic density at symmetric (H) or asymmetric (I) connections, respectively. Scale bars: A,B,D,E are 200 μm; C is 50 μm; F is 20 μm; G₁,G₂, H,I are 100 nm.

Figure 2. DAGLα is a postsynaptic enzyme in the CeAL.

(A-B) *In situ* hybridization demonstrates the expression of DAGLα mRNA in both the BLA and CeA. AS and S depicts experiments performed by antisense or sense riboprobes, respectively. (C) Expression of DAGLα mRNA is notably higher in the BLA compared to the CeA. (D) However, at the protein level there is less difference between the two regions. (E) High magnification of the boxed region in D reveals that granular DAGLα

immunoreactivity (labeled by arrows) is present in the neuropil among cell bodies. (F-H) Confocal immunofluorescence analysis shows that DAGL α immunoreactivity (red puncta indicated by white arrows) outlines MAP2-positive dendritic profiles (green). (I-L) Electron micrographs provide ample evidence for the postsynaptic concentration of DAGL α Immunoreactivity represented by the black diaminobenzidine (DAB) precipitate was often present in dendrites (d+) and spine heads (s+), but never in boutons (b). Black arrowheads sign the edge of the asymmetric synapses. Scale bars: A-B are 200 μ m; C-D are 50 μ m; E is 20 μ m; F is 5 μ m; G-H are 2.5 μ m; I-L are 100 nm.

Figure 3. CB₁ receptors modulate glutamate release in the CeAL.

(A-C) CP55940 depresses eEPSC amplitude in WT but not CB₁^{-/-} mice, but does not affect PPR. (D-F) CP55940 reduces sEPSC frequency (E) but not amplitude (F). (G) 2-AG-ether depresses eEPSC amplitude and increases PPR (inset). (H) CP55940 decreases eIPSC amplitude. (I) Comparison of CP55940 effects on eIPSC and eEPSC amplitude. (J-L) Effects of postsynaptic depolarization on eEPSC amplitude; DSE in representative cell (J-K), and summary data of DSE after 2, 5 or 10 seconds of postsynaptic depolarization relative to corresponding baseline (L). (M-N) Effects of SR141716 on DSE after 10- second depolarization. (O) Summary data showing effects of SR141716, CB₁ deletion, THL, and intracellular BAPTA loading on DSE magnitude relative to control 10 second DSE. Control group in (O) represents the same data set as 10 second depolarization in (L). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Numbers of tested cells are indicated in bars for this and subsequent figures. Calibration scale in (A): 200pA, 25ms. Calibration bars for sEPSCs (D) at lower magnification (10pA, 100ms) and higher magnification (10pA, 20ms). All other scale bars: 10ms, 100pA.

Figure 4. mAChRs modulate glutamate release and enhance DSE

(A) 1 μ M Oxo-M depresses fEPSP amplitude, which is blocked in the presence of atropine. (B) Oxo-M-induced eEPSC depression is blocked by pirenzepine and 4-DAMP. (C) Oxo-M increases PPR, which is blocked by pirenzepine and 4-DAMP. (D) Representative traces of Oxo-M-induced eEPSC depression under vehicle, pirenzepine and 4-DAMP conditions. (E) Distribution of M_1 receptor (red) and the dendritic marker MAP2 (green) in the CeAL at low magnification; higher magnification shows punctate M_1 staining in close apposition to MAP2 positive dendritic shafts (arrows in inset) (E1; bar 100 μ m, E2; bar 5 μ m, inset 7.5 μ m). (F) 1 μ M Oxo-M enhances DSE induced by 10 second depolarization. (G) PPR is increased by 10 second depolarization in both control and Oxo-M conditions. (H) Representative traces of control and Oxo-M DSE. (I) DSE in the presence of Oxo-M is attenuated by THL and in $CB_1^{-/-}$ mice. (J-K) Effects of pirenzepine and 4-DAMP on DSE in the presence of 1 μ M Oxo-M; grey faded line represents Oxo-M only DSE condition from (I) for visual comparison purposes. (L) Summary data of the effects of THL, CB_1 deletion, pirenzepine, and 4-DAMP on 10 second DSE in the presence of Oxo-M. ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$. Scale bars: 10ms, 100pA.

Figure 5. Acute mAChR activity drives Ca^{2+} - and DAGL-independent eCB release.

(A) Diagram of experimental design. (B-D) Oxo-M induced eEPSC depression is partially blocked by SR141716 at 0.3 and 1 μ M Oxo-M conditions. (E) 1 μ M Oxo-M-induced increase in PPR is attenuated by SR141716; residual depression in the presence of SR141716 is associated with a residual increase in PPR. (F) 1 μ M Oxo-M induced eEPSC depression is attenuated in $CB_1^{-/-}$ mice. (G) The increase in PPR after Oxo-M

application is attenuated in CB₁^{-/-} mice. (H-K) Effects of intracellular 20mM BAPTA (H), THL (10μM) (I), and the PLA2 inhibitor, AACOCF3 (10μM) (J) on 1μM Oxo-M-induced eEPSC depression. (K) Summary data depicting the effects of SR141716, THL, BAPTA, and AACOCF3 on 1μM Oxo-M-mediated maximal eEPSC depression. *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Scale bars: 10ms, 100pA.

Figure 6. Persistent mAChR activity drives Ca²⁺- and DAGL-dependent eCB release.

(A) Diagram of experimental design. (B-C) Representative cells and group data showing that in the continuous presence of Oxo-M, SR141716 causes synaptic potentiation relative to vehicle-incubated conditions. (C) Co-incubation of THL and Oxo-M prevents SR141716-induced synaptic potentiation, as does intracellular BAPTA loading. (D) Summary data showing the effects of SR141716 under vehicle, Oxo-M, Oxo-M+THL and Oxo-M+BAPTA pre-treatment conditions. (E) Representative traces of summary data in (D). ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001. Scale bars: 10ms, 100pA.

Figure 7. Acute mAChR receptor activity drives synaptic AEA signaling.

(A) Experimental design for B-D. (B-D) Oxo-M-induced acute synaptic depression (0.3 μM and 1 μM) is partially occluded by the FAAH inhibitor PF-3845, but not the MAGL inhibitor JZL-184; time-course for 1μM Oxo-M condition shown in (B). (E-F) Effects of PF-3845 on synaptic depression under control or CB₁ antagonist pretreatment conditions. (G-H) Effects of JZL-184 on synaptic depression under control or CB₁ antagonist pretreatment conditions. (I) Diagrammatic representation of differences between acute vs. prolonged mAChR stimulation with Oxo-M. Acute Oxo-M application induces a short-lived “burst” of AEA to reduce afferent glutamate release, while prolonged mAChR stimulation causes a tonic calcium- and DAGL-dependent 2-AG release. (J) During

prolonged mAChR stimulation tonic 2-AG release continues and calcium-assisted mAChR-driven 2-AG release is induced by co-incident postsynaptic depolarization (i.e. DSE enhancement in the presence of continuous Oxo-M). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$. Scale bars: 10ms, 100pA.