Lean Aerospace Engineering

Earll M. Murman MIT Ford Professor of Engineering Emeritus

William Littlewood Memorial Lecture 46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting January 8, 2008

MIT Studies on Industrial Productivity



1989

Identified sources of major weaknesses in US productivity, including commercial aircraft & education.



1990

Identified Lean, based upon Toyota Production System, as a successor to mass production.



2002

Translated Lean principles to aerospace context.

F/A-18E/F Super Hornet "An Evolving Lean Enterprise"

Requirements

- 25% greater payload
- 3 times greater ordnance bringback
- 40% increase in unrefueled range
- 5 times more survivable
- Designed for future growth
- Replace the A-6, F-14, F/A-18 A/B/C/D
- Reduced support costs
- Strike fighter for multi-mission effectiveness
 Won 1999 Collier Trophy

Program Execution/Outcomes

- Completed on budget \$4.88B
- Completed on schedule 8.5 years from "go-ahead" to IOC
- Program was never re-baselined
- Aircraft 1029 lbs underweight
- Deemed "operationally effective and suitable" after Operational Evaluation
- Day/Night All Air Fighter Aerial Close Air Air Defense Reconnaissance Weather Precision Refueling Suppression Superiority Escort Support Strike Attack Highly capable across the full mission spectrum

Hypothesis: The application of Lean Thinking principles, practices and tools to *engineering* can lead to superior aerospace product development results and happier more satisfied engineers.

Littlewood Lecture Outline

- Introduction to Lean Thinking
- Lean Thinking and Aerospace
- Lean Engineering framework
- Tailoring Lean Engineering
- Lean Engineering challenges

Caveat: This talk uses primarily civil aviation examples in keeping with the scope of the Littlewood Lecture. The principles introduced are equally applicable to other aerospace domains, and experience from these domains is included in the body of knowledge used.



Lean Thinking

Lean emerged from post-WWII Japanese automobile industry as a fundamentally more efficient system than *mass* production.

	Craft	Mass Production	Lean Thinking
Focus	Task	Product	Customer
Operation	Single items	Batch and queue	Synchronized flow and pull
Overall Aim	Mastery of craft	Reduce cost and increase efficiency	Eliminate waste and add value
Quality	Integration (part of the craft)	Inspection (a second stage after production)	Inclusion (built in by design and methods)
Business Strategy	Customization	Economies of scale and automation	Flexibility and adaptability
Improvement	Master-driven continuous improvement	Expert-driven periodic improvement	Worker-driven continuous improvement

Lean thinking is the dynamic, knowledge-driven, and customerfocused process through which all people in a defined enterprise continuously eliminate waste and create value.



Five Lean Thinking Fundamentals

- Specify value: Value is defined by customer in terms of specific products and services
- Identify the value stream: Map out all end-to-end linked actions, processes and functions necessary for transforming inputs to outputs to identify and eliminate waste
- Make value flow continuously: Having eliminated waste, make remaining value-creating steps "flow"
- Let customers *pull* value: Customer's "pull" cascades all the way back to the lowest level supplier, enabling just-in-time production
- Pursue perfection: Pursue continuous process of improvement striving for perfection

What Moves In a Value Stream?



In manufacturing... material flows

In design & services...information flows





In human services...people flow

Value Added and Non Value Added

Value Added Activity

- Transforms or shapes material, information or people
- And it's done right the first time
- And the customer wants it

Non-Value Added Activity – Necessary Waste

- No value is created, but cannot be eliminated based on current technology, policy, or thinking
- Examples: project coordination, regulatory, company mandate, law



Non-Value Added Activity - Pure Waste

- Consumes resources, but creates no value in the eyes of the customer
- Examples: idle/wait time, inventory, rework, excess checkoffs

Eliminat

Emphasiz

Minimiz

Waste Exists in Engineering



Effort is wasted

- 40% of PD effort "pure waste", 29% "necessary waste" (workshop opinion survey)
- 30% of PD charged time "setup and waiting" (aero and auto industry survey)

Time is wasted

- 62% of tasks idle at any given time (detailed member company study)
- 50-90% task idle time found in Kaizentype events

Brief History of Lean Thinking in Aerospace

- Aeronautical organizations before about late 1950s exhibited many Lean Thinking behaviors.
- By the 1980s, aerospace was a non-lean craft industry with a mass production mentality
- 1980's Lean journey begins with Total Quality Management approaches
- 1990 The Machine that Changed the World published
- 1993 Lean Aircraft Initiative started at MIT
- 1990s Most aerospace companies implemented some form of lean in manufacturing
- 2000s Enterprise implementation of Lean, including engineering. Government agencies implement lean

Aerospace lean journey has some déjà vu!

Lean Aerospace Initiative (LAI) Formed in 1993

- Industry
 - Airframe, engine, avionics, missile and space companies
- Government
 - Air Force agencies, system program offices, and headquarters
 - Army, Navy
 - Department of Defense
- Academia
 - MIT Schools of Engineering and Management
 - Educational Network (2003)
- Lean Advancement Initiative (2007)
 - Members & applications beyond aerospace

A national consortium for research, implementation and diffusion of lean practices



Some Aerospace Enterprise Lean Programs

- Industry
 - Boeing Lean+
 - Lockheed Martin LM21
 - Textron Textron Six Sigma
 - Pratt and Whitney ACE
 - Raytheon R6σ
- DoD
 - US Air Force AFSO21
 - NAVAIR AIRSpeed
- NASA
 - Faster, Better, Cheaper was not based upon Lean Thinking principles, practices and tools



Lean Electronics Rockwell Collins



The LAI Educational Network January 2008

AFIT AZ State U Cal Poly SLO Cranfield (UK) DAU Embry-Riddle Georgia Tech Indiana State Univ Jacksonville Univ Loyola College, MD Loyola Marymount Macon State Col MIT **Old Dominion Univ** North Carolina State Purdue Univ St. Louis Univ, MO San Jose State Univ

Example 2 Lean Academ



Tecnológico de Monterrey (MX) Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla (MX) U of AL. Huntsville U of Iowa U of Michigan **U MO Rolla** USC U of Bath (UK) U of South Florida U of Tenn. Knoxville U of New Orleans U of Louisiana, Lafavette University of VA U of Warwick (UK) Wichita State Univ Wright State Univ **WPI**

Introductory Course

Lean Engineering Framework Based On...



Synthesized from observations of practice

Source citations given in written paper

Murman Littlewood Lecture - 14 © 2008 Massachusetts Institute of Technology



Lean Engineering Framework



- Capable People
 - Engage Customer Early & Often
 - Organize for Lean Behavior
 - Strive for Perfect Coordination
 - Pursue Excellence and Continuous Improvement
- Effective & Efficient *Processes*
 - Implement Integrated Product and Process Development
 - Design for Lifecycle Value
 - Assure Smooth Information Flow
 - Optimize Process Flow
 - Manage all Risks
- Adaptable Tools
 - Utilize Integrated Engineering Tools
 - Employ Lean Process Improvement Methods

Eleven interrelated actionable practices

Engage The Customer Early and Often



- Identify external & internal customers
- Establish customer value
- Listen carefully for customer priorities
- Be willing to challenge customer's assumptions
- Look for unspoken requirements & future needs
- Understand the operational environment
- Involve customer frequently during design & development

Organize for Lean Behavior



Source: LAI Lean Academy® People Module, V6.0

- Have Chief Engineer integrate development from start to finish
- Identify and involve all relevant stakeholders
- Use co-located IPTs with capable leaders to balance functional expertise with cross-functional integration
- Make decisions at lowest appropriate level with flowdown of Responsibility, Accountability and Authority (RAA)
- Integrate suppliers early into design and development
- Promote teamwork at all levels
- Overcome narrow specialization



Safety

SWA Shared Goals

•On-time departure

Satisfied customer

Strive for Perfect Coordination

Coordination vs Performance - Airlines



Source: Hoffer-Gittell, Jody, The Southwest Airlines Way, McGraw-Hill 2005

- Align organization with shared goals
- Develop relationships based on mutual trust and respect
- Make processes and activities visible to all stakeholders
- Practice open, honest, frequent and timely communication
- Use simple, efficient communication techniques
- Hire and train employees for communication and coordination

Pursue Excellence & Continuous Improvement



2007 Welliver Faculty Fellowship Program Photo provided by The Boeing Company

The Boeing Welliver Faculty Fellowship Program

Objective: To provide faculty with a better understanding of the practical industry application of engineering, manufacturing, information technology and business skills.

http://www.boeing.com/educationrelations/facultyfellowship/index.html

- Develop towering technical competence in all engineers
- Get hands-on experience. Go see for yourself Genchi genbutsu
- Standardize what can be, to free resources for innovation
- Share lessons learned across programs
- Challenge all assumptions
- Treat failure as an opportunity for learning
- Continuously improve process and tool capability

Implement Integrated Product and Process



Change Ratio - The average number of changes made to each drawing after it is released by design

Development

Source: Hernandez, C., "Challenges and Benefits to the Implementation of IPTs on Large Military Procurements". MIT Sloan School SM Thesis, June 1995

- Adopt systems engineering tailored to program
- Form Integrated Product Teams (IPTs) involving all relevant stakeholders, including suppliers & regulatory reps
- Assure continuity of IPTs during transitions in project phases
- Use integrated design tools *adapted* to fit project & people
- Exploit rapid and/or virtual prototyping to maximize learning
- Track Key Performance Parameter metrics during design, development, production and sustainment



- Front load the process while maximum flexibility exists
- Use system engineering requirements flowdown to Design for X (DFX), where X = safety, quality, environment, production, testing, reliability, maintainability, human factors, operability, support, disposal & lifecycle costs (LCC)
- Exploit commonality and design reuse
- Design in supply chain strategy
- Build in flexibility for upgrade and change during product lifecycle

Assure Smooth Flow Of Information

Most frequent example of info waste for 25 organizations



Source: Slack, Robert A., "Application of Lean Principles to the Military Aerospace Product Development Process," Masters thesis in Engineering and Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, December 1998.

- Align organization with simple visual communication
- Use intranets for ubiquitous access to data and documents
- Employ common or interoperable databases
- Minimize documentation: assuring traceability and visibility
- Co-locate physically or virtually

Optimize Process Flow



Fig.1 Schematics of Lean Product Development Flow

Source: Oppenhiem, B., "Lean Product Development Flow", INCOSE J of Systems Engineering, Vol 7, No 4, pp 352-376, 2004

- Use customer-defined value to separate value added from waste
- Eliminate unnecessary tasks/streamline remaining tasks
- Synchronize flow with integration events (stand up meetings, virtual reality reviews, design reviews, etc.)
- Minimize handoffs to shorten cycle time and avoid rework
- Maximize horizontal flow, minimize vertical flow
- Iterate early minimize "downstream" iterations



- Take unproven technology off the critical path separate research from design & development
- Look for simple solutions avoid unnecessary elegance
- Consistently use a tailored risk management approach for identification, assessment, mitigation and tracking
- Keep management reserves at program level
- Balance stability and adaptability

Utilize Integrated Engineering Tools

F/A-18 E/F is 25% larger but has 42% fewer parts than C/D due to DFMA



Source: LAI Lean Academy® Lean Engineering Module, V5.3

- Preliminary design methods supporting uncertainty in specifications.
- Design for Six Sigma (DFSS) methods, including variability reduction & key characteristics
- Integrated software/hardware design tools
- Multidisciplinary design and optimization for fluids, structures, controls, dynamics, et al.
- Design for manufacturing and assembly (DFMA)
- Product lifecycle management (PLM) tools
- Production and system simulations
- Verification and validation mockups, prototypes, tests

Employ Lean Process Improvement Methods

Lean improvements to spacecraft environmental testing

Category	Before	After	Reduction
Test Cycle Time	14.7 Days	8.6 Days	41%
Labor	\$1,687,908	\$701,564	58%
Material	\$554,304	\$132,864	76%
Travel Distance	85,560 Feet	7,200 Feet	92%

Critical Path System Test Cycle Time Reduced By 6 Days

Source: Lockheed Missiles and Space



- Value Stream Mapping and Analysis
- Rapid Process Improvement Events
- 5S
- Root cause analysis/corrective action
- Pareto and PICK charts
- Visual displays in a "big room" Obeya
- Fever charts

Principles of Lean Engineering Are Not New

Lean engineering practices are evident in ...



B52 Stratofortress



F-117A Nighthawk





DC-9



Gulfstream IV

F16 Fighting Falcon



B-777

... but few programs apply them consistently.

Tailor Lean Engineering To Fit Program

- Apply Lean Thinking to identify Value Added Lean Engineering
- One size does not fit all

Program Type	Possible Lean Engineering Approach
Advance R&D	 Small focused co-located team in "protected"
X-vehicle	environment – aka a Skunk Works® type organization. Rapid design-build-fly cycles for learning, risk reduction,
Prototype	tool calibration and lifecycle experience.
New product development Major upgrade Derivative	 Direct involvement of customer throughout design. Strong focus on lifecycle value and IPPD with integrated digital and Product Lifecycle Management tools. Utilize "lessons learned" from past programs. Avoid unneeded reinvention, risky technology, unproven tools and unnecessarily elegant solutions.
Engineering	 More standardized tasks and lower engineering risk
testing & support	allows direct adoption of many lean practices and tools
Product support	used in manufacturing. Continuous improvement through Value Stream Mapping
Small upgrades	and Analysis.

Skunk Works® is a registered trademark of the Lockheed Martin Corporation

Advanced R& D Example: HondaJet

Overview

- 4-6 pax Advanced VLJ
- Large cabin volume
- 1,180 nm range
- 420 KTAS @ 30K ft.
- Jan 1998 program start
- Dec 2003 first flight

People

- Customer engaged one year before program launch.
- Chief Engineer driven
- Small co-located team: 25 engineers, 10 techs
- Flat organization
- Engineers did design, production, testing
- Genchi genbutsu
- Vision aligned the team



Processes

- Customer needs drove technical design
- DFX for choices aircraft "will live with"
- IPPD
- New technology only where needed.
- Co-location, no walls
- Rapid communication & decisions, no meetings
- 2 yr risk reduction study

Value Delivered

- Technology proven for:
 - Laminar flow wing
 - Laminar flow fuselage
 - Engine installation
- Product launched July 2006 for \$3.65M VLJ
- Over 100 orders

Tools

- Rapid simulation tools for early studies
- Rapid prototyping wind tunnel models
- Simple/partial mockups for engineer learning
- SOA computational simulation & testing
- Obeya big room

Sources:Warwick, G., "Opening doors: Car maker Honda's aircraft research and development facility gears up for the HondaJet", Flight International, Dec 1, 2007. Personal communication with M. Fujino, Dec. 2007

New Product Example: Cessna Citation X

Overview

- 8-12 pax business jet
- 3300 nm range @ M=.82
- Low noise, fuel burn
- Glass cockpit
- Fastest civil at M=0.92
- Dec 90 Jun 96 (cert)
- Adopted TQM

People

- Proactive Customer Advisory Council
- Prog Mgr as Chief Eng
 - On the floor during build
- Integrated Design Teams
 - Co-located near hardware
 - Flowdown of RAA
- Genchi genbutsu
 - Engineers can install their parts on prototype
- New employee mentoring



Processes

- Designed for:
 - Safety
 - Quality
 - Manufacture & Assembly
 - Maintenance hours
- Open, frequent meetings
- Suppliers as partners
- Risk reduction
 - Prototype
 - Design for simplicity
 - Test for verification
 - Limit % of new employees

Value Delivered

- Over 260 units delivered
- Production increased by 65% for 2006-2010
- Excellent safety record
- Collier Trophy winner
- Experienced, satisfied engineers

Tools

- CATIA & CFD
- Prototype for learning
- Common databases
 with good IT support
- Lessons learned widely available
- Simple process based management tools
- Schedule used to synch flow

Sources: Denis, A., Freuler, P.N., Robinson, T., Serrano, M., Vatz, M.E., "Citation X: A Case Study", MIT 16.885 Aircraft Systems Engineering, Dec 9, 2003. Personal communication P. Kalberer, R. Curtis, Jan 2008

Engineering Support Example: F-16 Lean Build-To-Package Support Center



Lean Thinking Outcome Multifunctional team colocated "at the spot" responds "on demand" to customer with process achieving single piece flow using standard work.

value Delivered			
Category	Reduction		
Cycle-Time	75%		
Process Steps	40%		
No. of Handoffs	75%		
Travel Distance	90%		
849 BTP packages			

Value Dellucered

Source: "F-16 Build-T- Package Support Center Process", Gary Goodman, Lockheed Martin Tactical Aircraft Systems LAI Product Development Team Presentation, Jan 2000

Engineering Support Example - AEDC Engine Ground Test Throughput in ASTF



Finding: Further improvement dependent on stakeholder alignment across multiple government and industry enterprises.

Sources: Smith, V.K. and Kraft, E., "A Lean Enterprise Approach to Test and Evaluation in Turbine Engine Development and Sustainment", Arnold Engineering and Development Center. Unpublished.

Lean Engineering Challenges

- Implementation is not simple or quick
 - Different culture than found in Cold War aerospace
 - Engineers are skeptical involves the "soft stuff"
 - May span enterprises hard to align stakeholders
- Body of Knowledge based on observation
 - Principles compared to laws of science
 - Best Practices compared to formulae and graphs
 - Holistic compared to reductionist thinking
- Inclusion in college level curriculum
 - Faculty lack experience and knowledge
 - Curriculum constrained need to look for synergy
 - Needs industry-university partnerships

Hypothesis: The application of Lean Thinking principles, practices and tools to *engineering* can lead to superior aerospace product development results and happier more satisfied engineers.

Lean Aerospace Engineering Is....

- Not some new fangled approach ignoring accepted engineering body of knowledge
- Not "Less Aerospace Engineers Needed"
- The right amount of engineering at the right time for the right objective
- A smart combination of
 - "Traditional" engineering based upon principles and tools of science and mathematics
 - "Process" engineering based upon Lean Thinking principles derived from engineering practice
- Excellent Aerospace Engineering!