



University of St Augustine for Health Sciences  
SOAR @ USA

---

Physical Therapy Collection

Faculty and Staff Research

---

2-2014

## Muscle Activity During the Star Excursion Balance Test in Healthy Adults

Kunal Bhanot

*University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, kbhanot@usa.edu*

Navpreet Kaur

*University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, nkaur@usa.edu*

Lori Thein Brody

*Rocky Mountain University of Health Professions*

Jennifer Bridges

*Saginaw Valley State University*

David C. Berry

*Saginaw Valley State University*

Joshua J. Ode

*Saginaw Valley State University*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://soar.usa.edu/pt>



Part of the [Physical Therapy Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Bhanot, Kunal; Kaur, Navpreet; Brody, Lori Thein; Bridges, Jennifer; Berry, David C.; and Ode, Joshua J., "Muscle Activity During the Star Excursion Balance Test in Healthy Adults" (2014). *Physical Therapy Collection*. 31.

<https://soar.usa.edu/pt/31>

This Conference Proceeding is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty and Staff Research at SOAR @ USA. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physical Therapy Collection by an authorized administrator of SOAR @ USA. For more information, please contact [soar@usa.edu](mailto:soar@usa.edu), [erobinson@usa.edu](mailto:erobinson@usa.edu).

# Hip and Trunk Muscle Activity during the Star Excursion Balance Test in Healthy Adults

Presented by

Kunal Bhanot<sup>1</sup>, PT, PhD, MTC,

<sup>1</sup>University of St. Augustine for Health Sciences, Austin, TX

Navpreet Kaur<sup>1</sup>, PT, DPT, PhD, MTC, Lori Thein Brody<sup>2</sup>, PT, PhD, SCS, ATC,  
Jennifer Bridges<sup>3</sup> PhD, David C. Berry<sup>3</sup> PhD, AT, ATC, Joshua J. Ode<sup>3</sup> PhD


<sup>2</sup>Rocky Mountain University of Health Professions, Provo, Utah

<sup>3</sup>Saginaw Valley State University, Saginaw, MI

## Purpose

To determine electromyographic (EMG) activity of the hip and the trunk muscles during the Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) performance in 8 reach directions.


The Star Excursion Balance Test  
Stance leg: Left leg



## SEBT: A Clinical Tool

- Thorpe et al (2008) observed that collegiate athletes performed better than non-athletes on the SEBT.
- Plisky et al (2006) were able to predict LE injuries among high school basketball players using the SEBT.
- The SEBT was able to identify injury deficits for participants with a h/o CAI and ACL injury (Hertel et al 2006, Herrington et al 2009).
- The SEBT performance was improved after neuromuscular training in athletes and patients with CAI (Valovich et al 2009, Hale et al 2007).

Muscle activity during the SEBT in Healthy Adults



Stance Leg: **Left Leg**

Earl et al 2001,  
Norris et al 2011

## Theoretical Rationale

- LE muscles are direction dependent in both the healthy adults and the participants with CAI (Ahn et al 2011).
- The SEBT can be used as a functional tool to rehabilitate specific muscle group.
- Clinicians may be able to choose the reach directions during rehabilitation of the specific muscles of the LE.

## Theoretical Rationale

To our knowledge only one study has measured the hip muscle activity in only 3 reach directions of the SEBT and no study has measured the trunk muscle activity during the 8 reach directions of the SEBT.

## Methods

### Inclusion Criterion

- Healthy adults (males and females) between the ages of 18-40.
- Very lean to fair body composition (% body fat), *ACSM's Guidelines* (Escamilla et al 2006).
  - Male: 4.2 to 21.3%
  - Female: 9.8 to 24.6%

## Methods

### Independent Variable

Reach direction of the SEBT (8 levels)

### Dependent Variable

RMS muscle activity presented as %MVIC of the:

Erector spinae (ES), External oblique (EO),  
Rectus abdominis (RA) Gluteus medius (GMed),  
Gluteus maximus (GMax)

## Research Design

- The study was descriptive, cross sectional, and within subject repeated measures.
- Power of the study was
- N=22
  - 11 males; 23.5 ± 4.2 years,
  - 11 females; 23.0 ± 3.6 years

## Methods

### Exclusion Criterion

- History of CAI of the stance leg (determined using All),
- UE and LE injury within last 6 months,
- History of UE (within last 6 months), LE, neck, and back surgery, head injury, or any other disorder affecting their balance.
- Currently experiencing pain anywhere in the body.
- Difficulty maintaining single leg stance for 10 seconds on either leg,
- Visible contra-lateral pelvic drop during single leg stance.

## Methods

### Data Processing

- RMS values were used after processing the raw data.
- Mean RMS value was calculated during the 2 second eccentric phase of the each SEBT trial.
- The RMS value of the eccentric phase of the three trials were averaged for each muscle to be normalized to its respective MVIC value

## Methods

### Data Analysis


- ❖ 2-way repeated-measure ANOVA to determine interaction between muscles (8 levels) and reach directions (8 levels).
- ❖ A separate 1-way repeated measures ANOVAs were run on each muscle tested to compare the normalized EMG values across 8 excursion directions.
- ❖ Post-hoc comparisons using Sidak test.

## 2 way repeated measures ANOVA


- The test was statistically significant.
- Trunk and hip muscles activity changed with change in the reach direction of the SEBT.

## Results


Highest EMG activity in AL direction




Highest EMG activity in M direction



Highest EMG activity in A direction




Highest EMG activity in A and AM direction




## Results

Highest EMG activity in PL direction




Highest EMG activity in PM direction




## Results


Highest EMG activity in P direction



Highest EMG activity in M direction



## Muscle activity during the SEBT in Healthy Adults



## Clinical use of the study results

- The SEBT can be used as a functional tool to rehabilitate specific muscle group.
- Clinicians will be able to choose the reach directions during rehabilitation of the specific muscles of the trunk and the hip muscles.

## References

- Thorpe JL, Ebersole KT. Unilateral balance performance in female collegiate soccer athletes. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 2008;22(5):1429-1433.
- Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, Underwood FB. Star excursion balance test as a predictor of lower extremity injury in high school basketball players. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2006;36(12):911-919.
- Norris B, Trudelle-Jackson E. Hip- and thigh-muscle activation during the star excursion balance test. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2011;20(4):428-441.
- Earl JE, Hertel J. Lower-extremity muscle activation during the star excursion balance tests. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2001;10(2):93-104.
- Ahn CS, Kim HS, Kim MC. The effect of the EMG activity of the lower leg with dynamic balance of the recreational athletes with functional ankle instability. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* 2011;23(4):579-583.
- Valovich McLeod TC, Armstrong T, Miller M, Sauer J. Balance improvements in female high school basketball players after a 6-week neuromuscular-training program. *J. Sport Rehabil.* 2009;18(4):465-481.
- Herrington L, Hatcher J, Hatcher A, McNicholas M. A comparison of Star Excursion Balance Test reach distances between ACL deficient patients and asymptomatic controls. *Knee.* 2009;16(2):149-152.
- Hale SA, Hertel J, Olmsted-Kramer LC. The effect of a 4-week comprehensive rehabilitation program on postural control and lower extremity function in individuals with chronic ankle instability. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2007;37(6):303-311.
- Hertel J, Braham RA, Hale SA, Olmsted-Kramer LC. Simplifying the star excursion balance test: Analyses of subjects with and without chronic ankle instability. *J. Orthop. Sports Phys. Ther.* 2006;36(3):131-137.
- Escamilla RF, Babb E, DeWitt R, Jew P, Kelleher P, Burnham T, Busch J, D'Anna K, Mowbray R, Imamura RT. Electromyographic analysis of traditional and non traditional abdominal exercises: Implications for rehabilitation and training. *Phys. Ther.* 2006;86(5):656-671.

Muscles	Directions	Mean % ± SD %	95% CI
IEOB	AL	44.5 ± 38.4	27.5 – 61.5
	A	40.1 ± 35.0	24.6 – 55.6
cEOB	M	52.3 ± 40.8	34.2 – 70.3
	AM	47.3 ± 31.7	33.2 – 61.3
	PM	41.3 ± 33.7	26.3 – 56.3
	A	40.8 ± 35	25.3 – 56.3
IES	PL	46.4 ± 20.2	37.4 – 55.3
	L	43.0 ± 18.9	34.6 – 51.4
GMED	M	54.6 ± 26.1	43.0 – 66.2
	AL	47.0 ± 25.7	35.6 – 58.4
	AM	44.4 ± 22.5	34.4 – 54.3
	P	44.3 ± 22.4	34.4 – 54.2
PM	PM	43.9 ± 20.6	34.7 – 53.0
	A	42.9 ± 22.8	32.8 – 53.0