

RESEARCH OUTPUTS / RÉSULTATS DE RECHERCHE

Trypanosoma infection favors Brucella elimination via IL-12/IFN-dependent pathways

Machelart, Arnaud; Van Vyve, Margaux; Potemberg, Georges; Demars, Aurore; De Trez, Carl; Tima, Hermann Giresse; Vanwalleghem, Gilles; Romano, Marta; Truyens, Carine; Letesson, Jean Jacques; Muraille, Eric Published in:

Frontiers in Immunology

DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00903

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (HARVARD):

Machelart, A, Van Vyve, M, Potemberg, G, Demars, A, De Trez, C, Tima, HG, Vanwalleghem, G, Romano, M, Truyens, C, Letesson, JJ & Muraille, E 2017, 'Trypanosoma infection favors Brucella elimination via IL-12/IFN-dependent pathways', *Frontiers in Immunology*, vol. 8, no. JUL, 903, pp. 903. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00903

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Trypanosoma Infection Favors *Brucella* Elimination *via* IL-12/IFNγ-Dependent Pathways

Arnaud Machelart¹, Margaux Van Vyve¹, Georges Potemberg¹, Aurore Demars¹, Carl De Trez², Hermann Giresse Tima³, Gilles Vanwalleghem⁴, Marta Romano³, Carine Truyens⁵, Jean-Jacques Letesson¹ and Eric Muraille^{1,5*}

¹ Unité de Recherche en Biologie des Microorganismes, Laboratoire d'Immunologie et de Microbiologie, NARILIS, Université de Namur, Namur, Belgium, ²Department of Molecular and Cellular Interactions, Vlaams Interuniversitair Instituut voor Biotechnologie, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium, ³Service Immunology, Scientific Institute for Public Health (WIV-ISP), Brussels, Belgium, ⁴Laboratory of Molecular Parasitology, IBMM, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Gosselies, Belgium, ⁵Laboratoire de Parasitologie, Faculté de Médecine, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Bruxelles, Belgium

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Amy Rasley, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (DOE), United States

Reviewed by:

Cynthia Baldwin, University of Massachusetts Amherst, United States Renee M. Tsolis, University of California, Davis, United States

> *Correspondence: Eric Muraille emuraille@hotmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbial Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 08 May 2017 **Accepted:** 14 July 2017 **Published:** 31 July 2017

Citation:

Machelart A, Van Vyve M, Potemberg G, Demars A, De Trez C, Tima HG, Vanwalleghem G, Romano M, Truyens C, Letesson J-J and Muraille E (2017) Trypanosoma Infection Favors Brucella Elimination via IL-12/IFNy-Dependent Pathways. Front. Immunol. 8:903. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.00903 This study develops an original co-infection model in mice using Brucella melitensis, the most frequent cause of human brucellosis, and Trypanosoma brucei, the agent of African trypanosomiasis. Although the immunosuppressive effects of T. brucei in natural hosts and mice models are well established, we observed that the injection of T. brucei in mice chronically infected with B. melitensis induces a drastic reduction in the number of B. melitensis in the spleen, the main reservoir of the infection. Similar results are obtained with Brucella abortus- and Brucella suis-infected mice and B. melitensis-infected mice co-infected with Trypanosoma cruzi, demonstrating that this phenomenon is not due to antigenic cross-reactivity. Comparison of co-infected wild-type and genetically deficient mice showed that Brucella elimination required functional IL-12p35/IFNy signaling pathways and the presence of CD4⁺ T cells. However, the impact of wild type and an attenuated mutant of T. brucei on B. melitensis were similar, suggesting that a chronic intense inflammatory reaction is not required to eliminate *B. melitensis*. Finally, we also tested the impact of T. brucei infection on the course of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Although T. brucei strongly increases the frequency of IFNy+CD4+ T cells, it does not ameliorate the control of *M. tuberculosis* infection, suggesting that it is not controlled by the same effector mechanisms as Brucella. Thus, whereas T. brucei infections are commonly viewed as immunosuppressive and pathogenic, our data suggest that these parasites can specifically affect the immune control of Brucella infection, with benefits for the host.

Keywords: infection control, Trypanosoma cruzi, Trypanosoma brucei brucei, Brucella melitensis, Brucella abortus, brucellosis, Mycobacterium tuberculosis

INTRODUCTION

In natural populations, individual resistance to infection is remarkably diverse. This has been linked to many factors [reviewed in Ref. (1)]. Among them, persistent infection can enhance the ability to control unrelated pathogens, a phenomenon termed the "Mackaness effect" in reference to the seminal work of Mackaness (2, 3) demonstrating cross-protection between *L. monocytogenes*,

1

Brucella abortus, and Mycobacterium tuberculosis infections in mice. Since this pioneering work, numerous examples of the Mackaness effect have been reported. For example, Herpes virus infection can provide beneficial protection against *L. monocytogenes* and Yersinia pestis (4). On the contrary, the lethal synergism between Influenza virus and certain bacteria, particularly Streptococcus pneumoniae, is well documented (5). As multiple unrelated infections in the same host are probably more common than single infections, it would be interesting to better understand the mechanisms underlying these crossprotections or cross-aggravations.

Brucellae (alpha-proteobacteria) are facultative intracellular Gram-negative coccobacilli that infect mammals and cause brucellosis. Human brucellosis is a zoonotic infection transmitted mainly through ingestion and inhalation (6). Without prolonged antibiotic treatment it causes a severe and debilitating chronic disease (7, 8). Despite significant progress, the incidence of human brucellosis remains very high in endemic areas, such as North Africa, the Mediterranean basin, and South America (9), and is considered to be largely underestimated (10). There is still no available safe and protective vaccine for humans (11, 12). Brucella melitensis is the most frequent cause of human brucellosis (8). Whole-body imaging of mice infected with high doses of bioluminescent B. melitensis has confirmed that the mouse infection model parallels human infection and identified major sites of bacterial growth and persistence, such as the spleen (13). Although the precise mechanisms of protective immunity against Brucella remain largely unknown, the role of IFNy-producing CD4⁺ T cells (Th1) in the control of Brucella growth in the spleen of infected mice is well established (14-16).

Trypanosoma brucei is a strictly extracellular parasitic protozoan hemoflagellate that causes African trypanosomiasis, also known as sleeping sickness in humans and nagana in animals. The mammalian bloodstream forms of T. brucei are remarkable for their variant surface glycoprotein coats that undergo antigenic variation, thus enabling persistent escape from host adaptive immunity and chronic host infection [for a review, see Ref. (17)]. Wild-type C57BL/6 mice infected with T. brucei were characterized by an initial parasitemic surge inducing an intense IFNy inflammatory response followed by subsequent cyclic parasitemic waves of smaller amplitude than the first peak. During chronic infection, T. brucei causes immunosuppression by various mechanisms. In particular, T. brucei induces the loss of various B-cell populations by apoptosis and thus abrogates the vaccine-induced protective response to a non-related pathogen (18). It also suppress the T-cell response by $IFN\gamma/nitric$ oxidedependent and -independent pathways (19, 20).

Based on bibliographic data, we hypothesize that infection with *T. brucei* may affect the control of primary *B. melitensis* infection and the development of protective memory. To test these hypotheses, we develop an original co-infection experimental model. *B. melitensis*-infected mice were infected with *T. brucei* at early and later time points. Surprisingly, co-infection induced a rapid and drastic reduction in the number of *B. melitensis* in the spleen and often its complete elimination. This phenomenon appeared to be dependent on IFNγ and CD4⁺ T cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

The procedures used in this study and the handling of the mice complied with current European legislation (directive 86/609/ EEC) and the corresponding Belgian law "Arrêté royal relatif à la protection des animaux d'expérience du 6 avril 2010 publié le 14 mai 2010." The Animal Welfare Committee of the Université de Namur (UNamur, Belgium) reviewed and approved the complete protocol (Permit Number: 12-188).

Mice and Reagents

Wild-type C57BL/6 mice were acquired from Harlan (Bicester, UK). IL1R^{-/-} C57BL/6, CD3 $\varepsilon^{-/-}$ C57BL/6, and TCR- $\delta^{-/-}$ C57BL/6 mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). IL-12p35^{-/-} C57BL/6 mice (21) were acquired from Dr. B. Ryffel (University of Orleans, France). TAP1^{-/-} C57BL/6 mice (22) and MHCII^{-/-} C57BL/6 mice (23) were acquired from Jörg Reimann (University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany). CD11c-DTR C57BL/6 mice were obtained from Dr. G. Holdenhove (Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium) and injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 500 ng of diphtheria toxin (DT) (Sigma) in PBS or with PBS alone (control). All wild-type and deficient mice used in this study were bred in the animal facility of the Gosselies campus of the Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB, Belgium).

Brucella Infection

We used wild-type B. melitensis 16M and strains stably expressing a rapidly maturing variant of the red fluorescent protein DsRed (mCherry-Br) (24), the mCherry protein (mCherry-Br), under the control of the strong Brucella spp. promoter, PsojA. Construction of the mCherry-Br strains has been described previously in detail (25). We also used B. abortus 2308 and Brucella suis 1330. All Brucella strains were grown in biosafety level III laboratory facilities. Cultures were grown overnight with shaking at 37°C in 2YT medium (Luria-Bertani broth with double quantity of yeast extract) and were washed twice in RPMI 1640 (Gibco Laboratories) $(3,500 \times g, 10 \text{ min})$ before inoculation of the mice. The mice were anesthetized with a cocktail of xylazine (9 mg/kg) and ketamine (36 mg/kg) in PBS before being inoculated intranasally (i.n.) with 2×10^4 CFU of *B. melitensis*, *B. abortus*, and *B.* suis in 30 µl of PBS [described in Ref. (25)]. For the i.p. infection with *B. melitensis*, the mice received 2×10^4 CFU/500 µl of PBS. Control animals were inoculated with the same volume of PBS. The infectious doses were validated by plating serial dilutions of the inocula. The mice were sacrificed at the selected time after infection by cervical dislocation. Immediately after sacrifice, spleen cells were collected for bacterial count and flow cytometry analyses.

M. tuberculosis Infection

C57BL/6 mice were infected with 50–100 CFU of virulent M. *tuberculosis* H37Rv using a nose-only inhalation

exposure system (CHTechnologies, Inc., Westwood, NJ, USA). The *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv strain used was grown for 2 weeks as a surface pellicle on Sauton medium and stored frozen in aliquots at -80° C and is transformed with the reporter plasmid pSMT1, which expresses the *Vibrio harveyi luxAB* genes under the control of the BCG hsp60 promoter (26). The number of bioluminescent organisms [determined as relative light units (RLU)] in spleen homogenates was determined by a bioluminescence assay with a Modulus luminometer (Turner Biosystems) and 1% *n*-decanal in ethanol as a substrate. Data are expressed as log10 mRLU values per organ per mouse. All *M. tuberculosis* infections were performed in a BSL3 facility at the Scientific Institute of Public Health (WIV-ISP) according to rules established by the ethics committee of the WIV-ISP and CODA-CERVA (permit 060202-02).

Trypanosoma Infection

The pleomorphic AnTat 1.1E (EATRO 1125 stock) *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* (18) and a dominant-negative adenylate cyclase (DNac) mutant (27) were used in this study. *T. brucei* infection is characterized by multi-wave parasitemic development, in which every wave represents a parasite population of different antigenic type. DNac mutant parasitemia is considerably lower and displays no peak of infection (27). The mice were infected by i.p. injection of 5,000 parasites/mouse. Every 2–3 days, the number of parasites present in the blood was estimated using a counting chamber and a light microscope. For *T. brucei* elimination, the mice were treated by i.p. injection of Berenil (diminazene aceturate, 14 mg/kg, Sigma-Aldrich) in 200 µl of distillated water (28). A parasite lysate was obtained by three freeze/thaw cycles as described in Ref. (29).

For *Trypanosoma cruzi*, we used the Tulahuen strain (genotype TcVI). The mice were infected by i.p. injection of 1,000 blood trypomastigotes as previously described (30). Blood parasitemia was evaluated regularly by microscopic examination.

Antibiotic Treatment

Antibiotic treatment was administered to both immunized and control mice for 2 weeks. The oral treatment was a combination of rifampicin (12 mg/kg) and streptomycin (450 mg/kg) [adapted from Ref. (31)] prepared fresh daily and given in the drinking water. To ensure that the antibiotic treatment was effective, some mice from each group were sacrificed 1 week prior to the challenge, and the colony-forming unit counts were evaluated in the spleen.

Brucella Counting

Spleens were crushed and transferred to PBS/0.1% X-100 Triton (Sigma-Aldrich). We performed successive serial dilutions in RPMI to obtain the most accurate bacterial count and plated them onto 2YT medium. The colony-forming units were counted after 5 days of culture at 37°C.

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Brucella-specific murine IgM and IgG isotypes were determined by ELISA. Polystyrene plates (Nunc 269620) were coated with heat-killed *B. melitensis* (10⁷ CFU/ml). After incubation overnight at 4°C, the plates were blocked for 2 h at room temperature (RT) with 200 μ l of PBS-3.65% casein. The plates were then incubated overnight at 4°C with 50 μ l of serial dilutions of the serum in PBS-3.5% casein. The sera of unimmunized mice were used as the negative control. After four washes with PBS, isotypespecific goat anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase conjugates were added (50 μ l/well) at appropriate dilutions (hIgM from Sigma; LO-MG2a-9 HRPO). The plates were incubated for 2 h at RT and washed four times in PBS before adding 100 μ l of substrate solution (BD OptEiA) to each well. After 10 min of incubation at RT in the dark, the enzyme reaction was stopped by adding 25 μ l/well of 2 N H₂SO₄, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm.

Cytofluorometric Analysis

As described previously (16), spleens were harvested, cut into small pieces and incubated for 30 min at 37°C with a mix of DNAse I fraction IX (Sigma-Aldrich) (100 µg/ml) and 1.6 mg/ml of collagenase (400 M and l U/ml). The spleen cells were washed and filtered, and then incubated with saturating doses of purified 2.4G2 (anti-mouse Fc receptor, ATCC) in 200 µl PBS 0.2% BSA 0.02% NaN₃ (FACS buffer) for 20 min at 4°C to prevent antibody binding to the Fc receptor. Various fluorescent mAb combinations in FACS buffer were used to stain $3-5 \times 10^6$ cells. We acquired the following mAbs from BD Biosciences: phycoerythrin (PE)-coupled HL3 (anti-CD11c), FITC-coupled 145-2C11 (anti-CD3E), FITC-coupled M1/70 (anti-CD11b), PE-coupled RM4-5 (anti-CD4), allophycocyanin (APC)-coupled 1-A/1-E (anti-MHCII), and APC-coupled XMG1.2 (anti-IFN_γ). Purified M-19 (rabbit polyclonal IgG anti-NOS2; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was stained with Alexa Fluor 647 goat anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes). The cells were analyzed on a FACScalibur cytofluorometer. Dead cells and debris were eliminated from the analysis according to size and scatter.

Statistical Analysis

We used a (Wilcoxon–)Mann–Whitney test provided by the GraphPad Prism software to statistically analyze our results. Each group of deficient mice was compared to the wild-type mice. We also compared each group with each other and displayed the results when required. Values of p < 0.05 were considered to represent a significant difference. *, **, and *** denote p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively.

RESULTS

B. melitensis Persists in CD11c⁺ Reservoir Cells in the Spleen and Is Able to Resist to Protective Memory Immune Response


The identification of reservoir cells allowing for the persistence of *Brucella in vivo* constitutes a crucial step in our understanding of how *Brucella* escapes the immune system. Following i.n. administration of 2×10^4 CFU of *B. melitensis*, we have shown previously (16) that the bacteria persist in the lungs up to 12 days postinfection and the spleen and liver are colonized starting 5 days postinfection. At 28 days postinfection, *B. melitensis* is


only detected in the spleen by CFU analysis. At that time, it is not detected in the lungs, liver, brain, ovaries, heart, thigh muscle, and tissues of the tail (data not shown). Microscopic analysis has demonstrated that during the chronic phase of infection of highly susceptible IL-12p40^{-/-} BALB/c mice, *B. melitensis* resides in specific reservoir cells expressing a particular phenotype (CD11c⁺CD11b⁻CD205⁺arginase⁺) (32). Due to the low number of *B. melitensis* persisting in the spleen of resistant mice (10³-10⁴ CFU/spleen, less than 1 infected cell per 10⁴ spleen cells), *in situ* microscopic analysis of the phenotype of the infected cells is not possible. To solve this problem, we chose to use CD11c⁻DTR C57BL/6 mice that express the DT receptor under the control of the CD11c promoter. As previously reported (33), injection of DT in DTR mice induced the transitory elimination of CD11c⁺ cells.

To determine if the *B. melitensis* reservoir cells express CD11c, DTR mice infected for 26 or 48 days with 2×10^4 CFU

of mCherry-*B. melitensis* received 500 ng of DT in 500 μ l of PBS or PBS alone (control group). Two days later, the mice were sacrificed and the spleens were harvested. Flow cytometry analysis showed that DT induced a depletion of CD11c^{high} cells in control and *Brucella*-infected DTR mice but not in wild-type mice (**Figure 1A**). Elimination of the CD11c⁺ cells in DTR mice led to a drastic reduction in the number of bacteria compared to the mice treated with PBS alone (**Figure 1B**). Note that, as expected, we observed no significant impact of DT administration on the control of *Brucella* infection in wild-type C57BL/6 mice (Figure S1 in Supplementary Material). These results suggest that *Brucella* persists in CD11c⁺ reservoir cells in wild-type C57BL/6 mice.

We have previously shown that i.p. (31) or i.n. (16) *Brucella* infection in wild-type C57BL/6 mice induces a protective memory T cell response able to control and completely eliminate a secondary *Brucella* infection in a majority of mice. To determine whether





the activation of memory T cells during a secondary infection is able to eliminate *Brucella* from the first infection persisting in splenic reservoir cells, we compared the CFU levels of nonfluorescent (wild-type) *B. melitensis* and mCherry-*B. melitensis* in the spleens of five groups of mice. The precise treatment of each group is described in detail in **Figure 2A**. As expected, both the i.p. and the i.n. mCherry *Brucella* challenge was controlled well in mice chronically infected with wild-type *Brucella* (**Figure 2B**),





FIGURE 2 | *Brucella* reservoir cells were resistant to the protective memory response. Wild-type C57BL/6 mice were infected i.n. with 2 × 10⁴ CFU of wild-type *Brucella melitensis* and challenged i.p. or i.n. with 2 × 10⁴ CFU of mCherry-*B. melitensis*. The mice were euthanized at the selected time, and the spleen was harvested, as described in panel (**A**). The data in panels (**B,C**) represent the number of colony-forming units per gram of spleen for each group of mice. Gray bars represent the median. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group (****p* < 0.001). These results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

thus confirming the presence of a protective memory response in these mice. In striking contrast, the CFU levels of wild-type *Brucella* were similar in unchallenged mice and in the i.p. and i.n. challenged groups (**Figure 2C**). This demonstrates that the memory response is able to eliminate the challenge strain but not the *Brucella* strain that has settled in the reservoir cells. To the best of our knowledge, this observation has never been reported before and suggests that the reservoir cells protect *Brucella* against the *Brucella*-specific memory response.

T. brucei Infection Reduces the Number of *Brucella* Persisting in the Spleen

Co-infection could positively or negatively affect the control of ongoing infection [reviewed in Ref. (1)]. Wild-type C57BL/6 mice were infected i.n. with PBS or 2 × 10⁴ CFU of mCherry-B. melitensis and then received an i.p. injection of PBS or 5,000 parasites at 7 or 45 days post Brucella infection (as indicated in Figure 3A). At the selected time point following T. brucei infection, the number of parasites was measured in the blood (Figure 3B). No significant impact of Brucella infection on the course of T. brucei was detected. In the same experiment, at a selected time point following Brucella infection, the mice were sacrificed, the spleens were harvested, and the Brucella CFUs in the spleen were counted by plating (Figures 3C,D). Our results showed that both early (day 7, Figure 3C) and late (day 45, Figure 3D) infection with T. brucei induced a rapid decrease in the CFU count of *B. melitensis* in the spleen. At 5 days post T. brucei infection (Figure 3C), the CFU level was already decreased by >1 log in the co-infected mice compared to the mice infected with B. melitensis alone. Twenty-one days following early and late *T. brucei* infection (Figures 3C,D), the CFU level appeared to be reduced by approximately 3 log, and the B. melitensis CFU count was below the detection threshold (10 CFU/spleen) in the majority of the co-infected mice. Similar results were obtained in the i.p. model of B. melitensis infection (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material). These results suggest that the immune response induced by T. brucei infection is able to eliminate Brucella in its reservoir cells. It is important to remark that this effect is not due to depletion of the entire CD11c⁺ spleen cell population, like in CD11c-DTR mice treated with DT, as the frequency of CD11c⁺MHCII⁺ cells is not significantly reduced in the spleen of T. brucei co-infected mice compared to the spleen of Brucella-infected mice (Figure S3 in Supplementary Material).

To determine whether the elimination of *B. melitensis* observed after *T. brucei* infection could be the consequence of antigenic cross-reactivity, we tested whether *T. brucei* can affect the growth of two other *Brucella* species able to infect humans, *B. abortus* and *B. suis*, in the spleen (**Figures 4A,B**), and whether i.p. *T. cruzi* infection also reduces the level of *B. melitensis* (**Figure 4C**). *T. cruzi* is an intracellular parasite displaying different infection kinetics and does not share an antigen with *T. brucei*. We observed that *T. brucei* infection leads to a 10-fold reduction of *B. abortus* and *B. suis* CFUs in the spleen and that *T. cruzi* infection also induces a drastic reduction of *B. melitensis* in the spleen of infected mice. These results strongly suggest that elimination of *Brucella* following *Trypanosoma* infection is not due to shared antigens between the pathogens.




used to measure the number of *Brucella* CFUs in the spleen. Gray bars represent the median. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). These results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

Elimination of *Brucella* by *T. brucei* Requires Functional II-12/IFNγ Signaling Pathways

IFN γ -producing CD4⁺ T cells are key players in the protective immune response against *B. melitensis* (16). When comparing

the impact of *T. brucei* infection on *B. melitensis* in wild-type and various deficient mice, we observed that *T. brucei*-induced elimination of *B. melitensis* is strongly reduced in CD3^{-/-} (1-fold reduction of colony-forming unit), MHCII^{-/-} (6-fold), and IL-12p35^{-/-} mice (8-fold) but not in TAP1^{-/-} (767-fold), TCR- $\delta^{-/-}$ (1,935-fold), and IL1R^{-/-} mice (418-fold) (**Figure 5A**) when



compared to wild-type mice (503-fold). These results suggest that the mechanism underlying the elimination of *B. melitensis* by *T. brucei* is indeed dependent on the host adaptive immune response and partially requires functional IL-12/IFN γ signaling pathways and CD4⁺ T cells. Elimination of *B. melitensis* following co-infection with *T. cruzi* is also dependent on IL-12 (Figure S2 in Supplementary Material). Note that depletion of CD4⁺ or CD8⁺ T cells by injection of depleting antibodies to confirm the data obtain with MHCII^{-/-} and TAP1^{-/-} mice is not feasible in this model. Indeed, CD11c⁺ cells constitute a potential *Brucella* reservoir cells, and it is well known that some subpopulations of splenic CD11c⁺ dendritic cells express CD4 or CD8 receptors.

As nitric oxide produced by iNOS/NOS2 is widely known to be produced in response to IFNy in B. melitensis (34) and T. brucei (35) models and to negatively affect B. melitensis growth in the spleen (34), we analyzed by flow cytometry the frequency of IFNy- and iNOS-producing cells in the spleen of wild-type and various deficient mice infected with B. melitensis, T. brucei or coinfected with both pathogens. Our results showed that T. brucei induced a strong increase in the frequency of IFN_γ (Figure 5B) and iNOS-producing cells (Figure 5C) in the spleen. IFNy is mainly produced by CD3+CD4+ T cells and iNOS is produced by CD11b⁺ cells (data not shown). As expected, the absence of IL-12p35 significantly reduces the frequency of IFN γ^+ and iNOS⁺ cells. Interestingly, there was no significant difference between the frequency of IFNy and iNOS-producing cells in the spleen of TAP1^{-/-} (deficient in CD8⁺ T cells) and MHCII^{-/-} (deficient in CD4⁺ T cells) mice, demonstrating that the absence of T. bruceiinduced B. melitensis control in MHCII^{-/-} mice is indeed due to


the absence of CD4+ T cells and not only to the lack of IL-12/IFN γ signaling pathways.

T. brucei Infection Does Not Affect the Course of *M. tuberculosis* Infection in Mice

Brucella melitensis and M. tuberculosis are both facultative intracellular bacteria able to chronically colonize the spleen of infected mice. It is clearly established that IFNy production (36, 37) and activated CD4+ T cells (38) participate in the protective immune response against *M. tuberculosis* in mice, suggesting that bystander activation of these effector mechanisms by T. brucei could promote the control of M. tuberculosis. Thus, we tested the impact of i.p. T. brucei infection on the course of C57BL/6 mice previously infected by aerosol with M. tuberculosis, as described in Figure 6A. Surprisingly, we observed that, despite the enhanced frequency of IFNy-producing cells in the spleen of co-infected mice (Figure 6B), T. brucei did not affect M. tuber*culosis* infection in the spleen either earlier (36 days, **Figure 6C**) or later (91 days, Figure 6D). This result demonstrates that T. brucei infection specifically impacts B. melitensis infection and that these effects cannot be generalized to all other intracellular bacterial infections.

An Attenuated *T. brucei* Mutant Is Able to Reduce *Brucella* Infection

Our previous experiments showed that *T. brucei* induces a strong inflammatory response mediated by IFN γ^+ CD4⁺ T cells in infected




mice and that this response is indispensable to the reduction of Brucella infection in co-infected mice. To determine whether the intensity and duration of the IFNy-mediated response are key parameters, we compared a wild-type T. brucei and a DNac T. brucei mutant (27), as described in Figure 7A. Infection with the DNac mutant induced a considerably lower parasitemia and a smaller inflammatory immune response (27). We observed that both wild-type and DNac T. brucei induced similar elimination of *B. melitensis* in co-infected mice (Figure 7B). The kinetics of elimination were very similar, except for the early time point of co-infection (day 12). The slower impact of the DNac mutant on Brucella could be due to the fact that it induces IFNy less rapidly in spleen as demonstrated by the reduced frequency of IFNyproducing cells in the spleen of DNac T. brucei-infected mice early in co-infection compared to wild-type T. brucei-infected mice (Figures 7C,D). Like for wild-type *T. brucei*, *Brucella* elimination by DNac *T. brucei* was impaired in IL-12p35^{-/-} mice (Figure S4 in Supplementary Material). On the whole, these results suggest that

even lower persistence of parasite associated with lower levels of IFN γ is able to mediate *B. melitensis* elimination. However, live parasite seems to be required as we failed, in the same experiment, to reduce *B. melitensis* infection by three repeated injection (once per week) of a lysate of *T. brucei* (Figure S5 in Supplementary Material). The lysate has been realized, approximately, from a number of cultivated parasites corresponding to the number of parasites detected in the blood of infected mice at the peak of infection.

Transient *T. brucei* Infection Does Not Alter Development of the Protective Memory Response against *B. melitensis*

Trypanosoma brucei infection has been described to deeply affect the protective humoral immune memory against unrelated pathogens (18). Thus, we planned to analyze the impact of *T. brucei* infection on the specific protective immune memory



infection, and the spleen was harvested, as described in panels (A,B). The data represent the frequency of IFN γ -producing cells in the spleen as determined by flow cytometry analysis. (C,D) The data represent the number of mRLU/g of spleen. Gray bars represent the median. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group (***p < 0.001). These results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

following *B. melitensis* infection. We have shown previously that humoral immunity-mediated control of secondary infection with *B. melitensis* is dependent on the route of infection. In the i.p. model, bacteria disseminate by the blood, and the humoral immune response is indispensable to efficiently control secondary infection (31). In contrast, bacteria disseminate slowly after i.n. infection, are undetectable in the blood, and the absence of B cells does not affect the control of secondary infection (16). We therefore chose to analyze the effects of *T. brucei* in both i.p. and i.n. *B. melitensis* infection models.


Mice were i.p. infected with wild-type *B. melitensis* for 28 days, treated with antibiotic and then infected (Sec *Br/T. brucei* group) or not (Sec *Br* cont group) with *T. brucei*, as described

in Figure 8A. Both groups of mice were treated with Berenil, an antiparasitic drug (28). After a resting period, the mice were i.p. challenged with mCherry B. melitensis. A group of naive mice, treated with antibiotic and Berenil, was also i.p. infected with mCherry B. melitensis and was used as the internal control (pri Br cont group). Surprisingly, T. brucei infection did not reduce the ability of B. melitensis immunized mice to control an i.p. Brucella challenge in the blood (Figure 8B) or the spleen (Figure 8C), despite a drastic reduction in the frequency of B cells (Figure S6A in Supplementary Material) and Brucella-specific IgM and IgG2a (Figures S6B,C in Supplementary Material). The same experiment in the B. melitensis i.n. infection model (i.n. infection and i.n. challenge with *B. melitensis*) gave similar results (Figure 8D). Thus, although T. brucei infection reduces the specific humoral response against B. melitensis, it does not impair the protective memory response controlling secondary B. melitensis infection in either the i.p. or i.n. infection model.

DISCUSSION

Immunology arose from the will and the need to ameliorate vaccination. During the 20th century, the molecular biology revolution led immunologists to develop highly reductionist experimental models, far removed from reality. For many years, the most studied immunological models were based on the injection of proteins combined with adjuvants. Much of our understanding of how the immune system works derives from studies of these models. We must not forget that our perception of reality results from the experimental model in which we study it. It may be time to remember that the first successful vaccination actually derives from a cross-reaction between smallpox and the cowpox virus and that multiple unrelated infections in the same host are probably more common than single mono-species infections. The impact of past or chronic unrelated infections on the ability of the host to control infection is well documented [reviewed in Ref. (1)], but the mechanisms remain largely unknown. In this study, we developed an original experimental model of coinfection to study the impact of T. brucei infection on the course of chronic infection with *B. melitensis* in mice.

Over the course of evolution, Brucella has acquired specific stealth strategies that allow it to interfere with its recognition by the immune system and neutralize immune effector mechanisms. For example, after phagocytosis, Brucella controls the intracellular trafficking of its vacuole to avoid degradation by phagolysosomes [reviewed in Ref. (39)]. We have shown previously in a mice model that following i.p. (15) or i.n. infection (16) the spleen is stably and durably colonized by Brucella and constitutes one of the major reservoirs of the infection. In the spleen of highly susceptible IL-12p40^{-/-} BALB/c mice, Brucella persists within specific CD11c+CD205+arginase1+ myeloid cells displaying high levels of lipids (32). In this study using CD11c-DTR C57BL/6 mice, we demonstrated that specific elimination of CD11c⁺ cells reduced colony-forming unit counts of Brucella in the spleen, suggesting that Brucella survives in CD11c⁺ reservoir cells in resistant C57BL/6 mice. Our results showed that these reservoir cells constitute a niche that hides Brucella from the primary immune response and even against the protective



Where infected int. With 2 x 10^o CPO of microlery-*Brucella mentensis* and, at *T* days positified to 1, received an 1, *p*. Injection of 5,000 wild-type *T*. *Brucel* of attendated DNac mutant of *T*. *brucel* in 200 µl of PBS or PBS alone. The mice were euthanized at 12, 19, and 28 days post *Brucella* infection and the spleen was harvested, as described in panel (**A**,**B**). The data represent the number of colony-forming units per gram of spleen. Gray bars represent the median. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group. (**C**,**D**) The data represent the mean of the frequency of IFN_γ-producing cells (**C**) and CD3+CD4+ IFN_γ-producing cells (**D**) in the spleen from five individual spleens as determined by flow cytometry analysis for each group. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group (**p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001). These results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

Brucella-specific memory response. Indeed, in mice chronically infected since 45 days with wild-type *B. melitensis*, a new infection with mCherry *B. melitensis* challenge strain is completely neutralized. But this specific memory response appears to be unable to eradicate the established wild-type *Brucella* strain. Thus, during chronic infection phase, *Brucella* appears to be extremely well equipped to escape the IFN γ -mediated protective immune response (16) and persist in the host. It is therefore really surprising and unexpected, in view of the well documented immunosuppressive effects of many trypanosomes (40), that both *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* infection lead to an almost 2–3 log reduction of the *B. melitensis* load in the spleen of co-infected mice. *T. brucei* is known to suppress both the T-cell (19, 20) and B-cell (18) response in mice. *T. cruzi* infection reduces the humoral immune response against sheep erythrocytes in humans (41). To the best of our knowledge, neither *T. brucei* nor *T. cruzi* infection has been previously associated with an increased response to unrelated pathogens. A study by Lowry et al. (42) compared the course of *B. abortus* S19 in control and *Trypanosoma musculi*infected mice. In striking contrast with our results, Lowry et al. showed that *T. musculi* favor *B. abortus* infection by suppressing the IFN γ response. The difference between Lowry's co-infection




FIGURE 8 | *Trypanosoma brucei* co-infection did not affect the protective memory against *Brucella melitensis*. As described in panel **(A)**, wild-type C57BL/6 mice were infected i.p. or i.n. with 2×10^4 CFU of wild-type *B. melitensis* and treated with antibiotic at 28 days postinfection for 15 days. At 65 days, the mice received an i.p. injection of 5,000 *T. brucei* in 200 µl of PBS (Sec *Br/T. brucei* group) or PBS alone (Sec *Br* cont group) were treated with Berenil at 86 days and were challenged at 102 days with i.p. or i.n. injection of 2×10^4 CFU of mCherry-*B. melitensis*. The pri *Br* cont group received only PBS, antibiotic, and Berenil until the *Brucella* challenge. **(B,C)** i.p. model: the data represent the number of colony-forming units per milliliter of blood or colony-forming units per gram of spleen at the selected time post challenge, as indicated. **(D)** i.n. model: the data represent the number of colony-forming units per gram of spleen. Gray bars represent the median. *n* denotes the number of mice used for each group (**p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001). These results are representative of at least two independent experiments.

model and our model may be due to the different timing of co-infection and *Trypanosoma* species used. Especially, *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* induce a greater inflammatory response in mice than does *T. musculi*.

The fact that two species of *Trypanosoma* displaying completely different antigens, infectious cycles and dynamics are both able to favor the elimination of three different species of *Brucella* (*melitensis*, *suis*, and *abortus*) suggests that the underlying mechanism has very little chance of being based on antigenic cross-reactivity. The comparison of various deficient mice has demonstrated that CD4⁺ T cells, but not CD8⁺ T cells or $\gamma\delta^+$ T cells, are indispensable to *Brucella* elimination, and thus that the immune response is directly implicated in this phenomenon. We also observed that functional IL-12p35/IFN γ signaling pathways are required. Taken together, these results suggest that the strong inflammatory IFN γ -mediated response induced by *T. brucei* and *T. cruzi* infection is responsible for the elimination of *Brucella*. Interestingly, in the absence of CD4⁺ T cells

(MHCII-/- mice), T. brucei induced a strong IFNy response mediated by CD8⁺ T cells but was not able to reduce the level of Brucella persistence in the spleen, thus suggesting that IFNy production is necessary but not sufficient to eliminate Brucella and that CD4⁺ T cells are key actors in this process. Infection with a strongly attenuated T. brucei mutant, but not repeated injection of a T. brucei lysate, was able to eliminate Brucella in the spleen, suggesting that the level of inflammation is not a limiting factor but that a living parasite is required. B cell deficient mice have been shown to display enhanced control of Brucella infection (43), and B cells have been reported to act as reservoir cells for Brucella in the spleen (44). As T. brucei is well known to induce B cell apoptosis (18), we cannot exclude that Brucella elimination by T. brucei could in part be a consequence of B cell depletion by T. brucei. However, T. brucei infection does not completely eliminate B cells in our model (Figure S5 in Supplementary Material). In addition, the colony-forming unit count reduction induced by T. brucei is already observed after 5 days, while no impact on the frequency of B cells in the spleen is detected at the same time [data not shown and Ref. (18)].

The fact that T. brucei infection affects B. melitensis, B. suis, and B. abortus, but not early or late phases of M. tuberculosis infection in the spleen, demonstrates that the mechanism implicated is specific to Brucella species but is not effective against all intracellular bacterial infections. However, the results obtained with *M. tuberculosis* are unexpected given the strong available evidence that a deficiency of IFNy responses is associated with increased susceptibility to M. tuberculosis and non-tuberculous mycobacterial infections in animal models and humans (45, 46). In addition, Sakai et al. (47) recently nicely confirmed the protective role of IFNy-producing CD4⁺ T in the control of extra-pulmonary M. tuberculosis infection. In our experimental model, we observed decreased IFNy production in vitro in response to known MHC class II epitopes of M. tuberculosis antigens in splenocytes isolated from mice co-infected with M. tuberculosis and T. brucei compared to the responses measured in splenocytes from mice infected with M. tuberculosis (data not shown). This suggests that co-infection with T. brucei could have a negative impact on IFNy responses by M. tuberculosis specific CD4⁺ T cells and that direct recognition of infected cells by specific CD4+ T cells rather than the presence of high levels of IFNy may be essential to restricting the growth of M. tuberculosis. In addition, we cannot exclude that the beneficial impact of IFNy production may be counterbalanced by some immunosuppressive effects associated to T. brucei infections.

Finally, as *T. brucei* is especially known for its ability to suppress vaccine-induced protective humoral memory (18), we tested the ability of *T. brucei* to neutralize *Brucella*-induced protective memory in i.p. and i.n. infection models. As expected, we observed a significant decrease in the B cell count in the spleen and a reduction of *Brucella*-specific IgM and IgG2a levels in the serum of co-infected mice compared to control *Brucella*-infected mice. Interestingly, similar results have been reported in cattle infected with either *Trypanosoma congolense* or *Trypanosoma vivax* that display suppressed humoral immune responses to attenuated *B. abortus* injected subcutaneously (48). Despite this reduction of the *Brucella*-specific humoral response, we did not observe a

reduced ability of the humoral response to neutralize *Brucella* in the blood or to impair *Brucella* persistence in the spleen.

On the whole, our results show that both T. brucei and T. cruzi infection are able to positively improve the immune control of Brucella infection in the spleen. This demonstrates that non-antigen specific effector mechanisms could be more efficient at eliminating stealth pathogens like Brucella than antigen specific immune effectors. This finding opens up new perspectives for research on brucellosis as well as for other stealth pathogens. This co-infection model also offers a unique opportunity to identify the effector mechanisms expressed by CD4⁺ T cells involved in the elimination of Brucella reservoir cells and could be further used to discover new therapeutic strategies for brucellosis without antibiotic treatment. As mice are not a natural host for *B. melitensis* and T. brucei, extrapolation of these results to natural hosts must be done carefully. However, the geographic distribution of these pathogens is largely overlapping, and all of them infect cattle and humans. Thus, co-infection of the natural host with Brucella and Trypanosoma, although not documented, should not be uncommon, and these effects deserve to be studied in nature.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The procedures used in this study and the handling of the mice complied with current European legislation (directive 86/609/ EEC) and the corresponding Belgian law "Arrêté royal relatif à la protection des animaux d'expérience du 6 avril 2010 publié le 14 mai 2010." The Animal Welfare Committee of the Université de Namur (UNamur, Belgium) reviewed and approved the complete protocol (Permit Number: 12-188).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EM wrote the article. AM, MV, GP, AD, and HT performed the experiments. CDT, GV, MR, CT, and J-JL provided biological materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Fabienne Jurion (WIV-ISP) for her expert technical assistance and Aurore Lison and Alain Wathelet-Depauw for their helpful contribution.

FUNDING

This work was supported by grants from the Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique (FNRS) (convention FRSM FNRS 3.4.600.06.F, Belgium) and by the Interuniversity Attraction Poles Programme initiated by the Belgian Science Policy Office. EM is a Senior Research Associate from the FRS-FNRS (Belgium). AM holds FRIA PhD grants from the FRS-FNRS (Belgium).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2017.00903/ full#supplementary-material.

T brucei Infection Increases Brucella Control

REFERENCES

- 1. Muraille E. The unspecific side of acquired immunity against infectious disease: causes and consequences. Front Microbiol (2016) 6:1525. doi:10.3389/ fmicb 2015 01525
- 2. Mackaness GB. The immunological basis of acquired cellular resistance. J Exp Med (1964) 120:105-20. doi:10.1084/jem.120.1.105
- 3. Blanden RV, Lefford MJ, Mackaness GB. The host response to Calmette-Guérin bacillus infection in mice. J Exp Med (1969) 129:1079-107. doi:10.1084/ jem.129.5.1079
- 4. Barton ES, White DW, Cathelyn JS, Brett-McClellan KA, Engle M, Diamond MS, et al. Herpesvirus latency confers symbiotic protection from bacterial infection. Nature (2007) 447:326-9. doi:10.1038/nature05762
- 5. Morens DM, Taubenberger JK, Fauci AS. Predominant role of bacterial pneumonia as a cause of death in pandemic influenza: implications for pandemic influenza preparedness. J Infect Dis (2009) 198:962-70. doi:10.1086/591708. Predominant
- Godfroid J, Cloeckaert A, Liautard JP, Kohler S, Fretin D, Walravens K, et al. 6. From the discovery of the Malta fever's agent to the discovery of a marine mammal reservoir, brucellosis has continuously been a re-emerging zoonosis. Vet Res (2005) 36:313-26. doi:10.1051/vetres:2005003
- 7. Colmenero JD, Reguera JM, Martos F, Sánchez-De-Mora D, Delgado M, Causse M, et al. Complications associated with Brucella melitensis infection: a study of 530 cases. Medicine (Baltimore) (1996) 75(4):195-211. doi:10.1097/00005792-199607000-00003
- 8. Corbel MJ. Brucellosis: an overview. Emerg Infect Dis (1997) 3:213-21. doi:10.3201/eid0302.970219
- 9. Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, Christou L, Tsianos EV. The new global map of human brucellosis. Lancet Infect Dis (2006) 6:91-9. doi:10.1016/ \$1473-3099(06)70382-6
- 10. Seleem MN, Boyle SM, Sriranganathan N. Brucellosis: a re-emerging zoonosis. Vet Microbiol (2010) 140:392-8. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.06.021
- 11. Ficht TA, Kahl-McDonagh MM, Arenas-Gamboa AM, Rice-Ficht AC. Brucellosis: the case for live, attenuated vaccines. Vaccine (2009) 27:D40-3. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.08.058
- 12. Oliveira SC, Giambartolomei GH, Cassataro J. Confronting the barriers to develop novel vaccines against brucellosis. Expert Rev Vaccines (2011) 10:1291-305. doi:10.1586/erv.11.110
- 13. Rajashekara G, Glover DA, Krepps M, Splitter GA. Temporal analysis of pathogenic events in virulent and avirulent Brucella melitensis infections. Cell Microbiol (2005) 7:1459-73. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2005.00570.x
- 14. Murphy EA, Sathiyaseelan J, Parent MA, Zou B, Baldwin CL. Interferon-g is crucial for surviving a Brucella abortus infection in both resistant C57BL/6 and susceptible BALB/c mice. Immunology (2001) 103:511-8. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2567.2001.01258.x
- 15. Vitry M-A, De Trez C, Goriely S, Dumoutier L, Akira S, Ryffel B, et al. Crucial role of gamma interferon-producing CD4+ Th1 cells but dispensable function of CD8+ T cell, B cell, Th2, and Th17 responses in the control of Brucella melitensis infection in mice. Infect Immun (2012) 80:4271-80. doi:10.1128/ IAI.00761-12
- 16. Hanot Mambres D, Machelart A, Potemberg G, De Trez C, Ryffel B, Letesson J-J, et al. Identification of immune effectors essential to the control of primary and secondary intranasal infection with Brucella melitensis in mice. J Immunol (2016) 196:3780-93. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1502265
- 17. Stijlemans B, Caljon G, Van Den Abbeele J, Van Ginderachter JA, Magez S, De Trez C. Immune evasion strategies of Trypanosoma brucei within the mammalian host: progression to pathogenicity. Front Immunol (2016) 7. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00233
- Radwanska M, Guirnalda P, De Trez C, Ryffel B, Black S, Magez S. 18. Trypanosomiasis-induced B cell apoptosis results in loss of protective anti-parasite antibody responses and abolishment of vaccine-induced memory responses. PLoS Pathog (2008) 4:e1000078. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000078
- 19. Sternberg J, McGuigan F. Nitric oxide mediates suppression of T cell responses in murine Trypanosoma brucei infection. Eur J Immunol (1992) 22:2741-4. doi:10.1002/eji.1830221041
- Beschin A, Brys L, Magez S, Radwanska M, De Baetselier P. Trypanosoma 20. *brucei* infection elicits nitric oxide-dependent and nitric oxide-independent suppressive mechanisms. J Leukoc Biol (1998) 63:429-39.

- 21. Carrera L, Gazzinelli RT, Badolato R, Hieny S, Muller W, Kuhn R, et al. Leishmania promastigotes selectively inhibit interleukin 12 induction in bone marrow-derived macrophages from susceptible and resistant mice. J Exp Med (1996) 183:515-26. doi:10.1084/jem.183.2.515
- 22. Van Kaer L, Ashton-Rickardt PG, Ploegh HL, Tonegawa S. TAP1 mutant mice are deficient in antigen presentation, surface class I molecules, and CD4-8+ T cells. Cell (1992) 71:1205-14. doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(05)80068-6
- 23. Cosgrove D, Gray D, Dierich A, Kaufman J, Lemeur M, Benoist C, et al. Mice lacking MHC class II molecules. Cell (1991) 66:1051-66. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(91)90448-8
- 24. Shaner NC, Campbell RE, Steinbach PA, Giepmans BNG, Palmer AE, Tsien RY. Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from Discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein. Nat Biotechnol (2004) 22:1567-72. doi:10.1038/nbt1037
- 25. Copin R, Vitry M-A, Hanot Mambres D, Machelart A, De Trez C, Vanderwinden J-M, et al. In situ microscopy analysis reveals local innate immune response developed around Brucella infected cells in resistant and susceptible mice. PLoS Pathog (2012) 8:e1002575. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002575
- 26. Snewin VA, Gares MP, Ó Gaora P, Hasan Z, Brown IN, Young DB. Assessment of immunity to mycobacterial infection with luciferase reporter constructs. Infect Immun (1999) 67:4586-93.
- 27. Salmon D, Vanwalleghem G, Morias Y, Denoeud J, Krumbholz C, Lhomme F, et al. Adenylate cyclases of Trypanosoma brucei inhibit the innate immune response of the host. Science (2012) 337:463-6. doi:10.1126/science.1222753
- 28. Kuriakose S, Muleme HM, Onyilagha C, Singh R, Jia P, Uzonna JE. Diminazene aceturate (Berenil) modulates the host cellular and inflammatory responses to Trypanosoma congolense infection. PLoS One (2012) 7:e48696. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0048696
- 29. Rifkin MR, Landsberger FR. Trypanosome variant surface glycoprotein transfer to target membranes: a model for the pathogenesis of trypanosomiasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A (1990) 87:801-5. doi:10.1073/pnas.87. 2.801
- 30. Mjihdi A, Truyens C, Detournay O, Carlier Y. Systemic and placental productions of tumor necrosis factor contribute to induce fetal mortality in mice acutely infected with Trypanosoma cruzi. Exp Parasitol (2004) 107:58-64. doi:10.1016/j.exppara.2004.03.016
- 31. Vitry M-A, Hanot Mambres D, De Trez C, Akira S, Ryffel B, Letesson J-J, et al. Humoral immunity and CD4+ Th1 cells are both necessary for a fully protective immune response upon secondary infection with Brucella melitensis. J Immunol (2014) 192:3740-52. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1302561
- 32. Mambres DH, Machelart A, Vanderwinden JM, De Trez C, Ryffel B, Letesson JJ, et al. In situ characterization of splenic Brucella melitensis reservoir cells during the chronic phase of infection in susceptible mice. PLoS One (2015) 10:e0137835. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0137835
- 33. Van Blijswijk J, Schraml BU, Sousa CR. Advantages and limitations of mouse models to deplete dendritic cells. Eur J Immunol (2013) 43:22-6. doi:10.1002/ eji.201243022
- 34. Copin R, De Baetselier P, Carlier Y, Letesson J-J, Muraille E. MyD88dependent activation of B220-CD11b+LY-6C+ dendritic cells during Brucella melitensis infection. J Immunol (2007) 178:5182-91. doi:10.4049/jimmunol. 178.8.5182
- 35. Bosschaerts T, Guilliams M, Stijlemans B, Morias Y, Engel D, Tacke F, et al. Tip-DC development during parasitic infection is regulated by IL-10 and requires CCL2/CCR2, IFN-y and MyD88 signaling. PLoS Pathog (2010) 6:e1001045. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1001045
- 36. Feng CG, Jankovic D, Kullberg M, Cheever A, Scanga CA, Hieny S, et al. Maintenance of pulmonary Th1 effector function in chronic tuberculosis requires persistent IL-12 production. J Immunol (2005) 174:4185-92. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.174.7.4185
- 37. Dalton DK, Pitts-Meek S, Keshav S, Figari IS, Bradley A, Stewart TA. Multiple defects of immune cell function in mice with disrupted interferon-g genes. Science (1993) 259:1739-42. doi:10.1126/science.8456300
- 38. Caruso AM, Serbina N, Klein E, Bloom BR, Flynn JL, Caruso AM, et al. Mice deficient in CD4 T cells have only transiently diminished levels of IFN-y, yet succumb to tuberculosis. J Immunol (1999) 162:5407-16.
- 39. Charbit A, Gavrilin MA, Zughaier SM, Liu Z-F, Ahmed W, Zheng K. Establishment of chronic infection: Brucella's stealth strategy. Front Cell Infect Microbiol (2016) 6:303330-89. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2016.00030

- Sztein MB, Kierszenbaum F. Mechanisms of development of immunosuppression during *Trypanosoma* infections. *Parasitol Today* (1993) 9:424–8. doi:10.1016/0169-4758(93)90053-I
- Cunningham DS, Grogl M, Kuhn RE. Suppression of antibody responses in humans infected with *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Infect Immun* (1980) 30:496–9.
- Lowry JE, Leonhardt JA, Yao C, Belden EL, Andrews GP. Infection of C57BL/6 mice by *Trypanosoma musculi* modulates host immune responses during *Brucella abortus* cocolonization. J Wildl Dis (2014) 50:11–20. doi:10.7589/2013-03-080
- Goenka R, Parent MA, Elzer PH, Baldwin CL. B cell-deficient mice display markedly enhanced resistance to the intracellular bacterium *Brucella abortus*. *J Infect Dis* (2011) 203:1136–46. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiq171
- Goenka R, Guirnalda PD, Black SJ, Baldwin CL. B lymphocytes provide an infection niche for intracellular bacterium *Brucella abortus. J Infect Dis* (2012) 206:91–8. doi:10.1093/infdis/jis310
- O'Garra A, Redford PS, McNab FW, Bloom CI, Wilkinson RJ, Berry MPR. The immune response in tuberculosis. *Annu Rev Immunol* (2013) 31:475–527. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-095939
- Filipe-Santos O, Bustamante J, Chapgier A, Vogt G, de Beaucoudrey L, Feinberg J, et al. Inborn errors of IL-12/23- and IFN-γ-mediated immunity: molecular, cellular, and clinical features. *Semin Immunol* (2006) 18(6):347–61. doi:10.1016/j.smim.2006.07.010

- 47. Sakai S, Kauffman KD, Sallin MA, Sharpe AH, Young HA, Ganusov VV, et al. CD4 T cell-derived IFN-γ plays a minimal role in control of pulmonary *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection and must be actively repressed by PD-1 to prevent lethal disease. *PLoS Pathog* (2016) 12:1–22. doi:10.1371/journal. ppat.1005667
- Rurangirwa FR, Tabel H, Losos GJ, Tizard IR. Suppression of antibody response to *Leptospira biflexa* and *Brucella abortus* and recovery from immunosuppression after Berenil treatment. *Infect Immun* (1979) 26:822–6.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Machelart, Van Vyve, Potemberg, Demars, De Trez, Tima, Vanwalleghem, Romano, Truyens, Letesson and Muraille. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.