



You have downloaded a document from  
**RE-BUŚ**  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

**Title:** Nonsingular bilinear forms on direct sums of ideals

**Author:** Beata Rothkegel

**Citation style:** Rothkegel Beata. (2015). Nonsingular bilinear forms on direct sums of ideals. "Mathematica Slovaca" (2013, no. 4, s. 707-724), doi 10.2478/s12175-013-0130-5



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

# NONSINGULAR BILINEAR FORMS ON DIRECT SUMS OF IDEALS

BEATA ROTHKEGEL

(Communicated by Stanislav Jakubec)

ABSTRACT. In the paper we formulate a criterion for the nonsingularity of a bilinear form on a direct sum of finitely many invertible ideals of a domain. We classify these forms up to isometry and, in the case of a Dedekind domain, up to similarity.

©2013  
Mathematical Institute  
Slovak Academy of Sciences

## 1. Introduction

The theory of bilinear forms over commutative rings is a natural generalization of the theory of bilinear forms over fields. In both of these theories (in particular in the construction of the Witt ring) the notion of a nonsingular bilinear form plays an important role.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module. A symmetric bilinear form  $\alpha: M \times M \rightarrow R$  is said to be *nonsingular* if the adjoint homomorphism  $\hat{\alpha}: M \rightarrow M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  defined by

$$\hat{\alpha}(m)(n) = \alpha(m, n) \quad \text{for all } m, n \in M,$$

is an isomorphism of the module  $M$  and the module  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)$  of all linear functionals  $f: M \rightarrow R$ . When the form  $\alpha$  is nonsingular, the bilinear space  $(M, \alpha)$  is said to be *nonsingular* or an *inner product space* over  $R$ .

Similarly as in the case of a bilinear space over a field, if the module  $M$  is free, then  $\alpha$  is nonsingular if and only if its matrix in any basis of  $M$  is invertible. But unlike a space over a field, in general the module  $M$  has not a basis. Therefore

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11E81.

Keywords: nonsingular bilinear form, isometry, similarity.

we should formulate a necessary and sufficient condition for the nonsingularity of  $\alpha$  for any finitely generated projective module  $M$ , not necessarily free.

In [2] such a condition is given for an invertible fractional ideal of a domain. In our paper, in Section 2, we prove a criterion for the nonsingularity of  $\alpha$  for a direct sum  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n$  of  $n \geq 1$  invertible ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$ . For example, every finitely generated projective module  $M$  of rank  $n$  over a one-dimensional noetherian domain has such a form (cf. [7: Chapter I, Prop. 3.4, 3.5];  $M \cong I \oplus R^{n-1}$  for some invertible ideal  $I$  of the ring  $R$ ).

In Section 3 we classify all nonsingular bilinear forms on a module  $I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n$  up to isometry. Assuming  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, in Section 4 we classify these forms up to similarity.

Throughout the paper  $R^*$  denotes the group of invertible elements of the ring  $R$ .

## 2. Nonsingularity

In the paper [2] the following theorem is proved.

**THEOREM 2.1.** ([2: Thm. 2.5]) *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $K$  its field of fractions. Let  $I$  be a fractional ideal in  $K$ . The ideal  $I$  admits a nonsingular bilinear form if and only if  $I^2$  is a principal ideal.*

The next theorem describes all nonsingular bilinear forms on  $I$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** ([2: Thm. 3.1]) *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $K$  its field of fractions. Let  $I$  be a fractional ideal in  $K$  and assume  $I^2 = pR$  for some  $p \in K$ ,  $p \neq 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is a nonsingular bilinear form on  $I$ , there exists a unique element  $u \in R^*$  such that for all  $x, y \in I$  we have*

$$\alpha(x, y) = \frac{u}{p}xy. \quad (1)$$

*Conversely, if  $u \in R^*$ , then the map  $\alpha: I \times I \rightarrow R$  defined by (1) is a nonsingular bilinear form on  $I$ .*

We describe all nonsingular bilinear forms on a direct sum of finitely many fractional ideals.

We use the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.3.** ([7: Chapter I, Prop. 3.5]) *Let  $R$  be a domain and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R$ . If  $I$  is invertible, then it is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module of rank 1 and conversely, each finitely generated projective  $R$ -module of rank 1 is isomorphic to some invertible ideal of  $R$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $K$  its field of fractions. Let  $M$  be a direct sum of finitely many fractional ideals in  $K$ . Then  $M$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module of rank  $n \geq 1$  if and only if there exist invertible ideals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  of the ring  $R$  such that*

$$M \cong I_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus I_n.$$

**Proof.**

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This implication is obvious. Since every ideal  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module of rank 1, the module  $M$  is finitely generated, projective and

$$\text{rank } M = \text{rank } I_1 + \cdots + \text{rank } I_n = n.$$

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let

$$M = J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus J_k$$

for some fractional ideals  $J_1, \dots, J_k$  in the field  $K$ . We prove that  $k = n$ .

Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . There exists an element  $0 \neq d_j \in R$  such that

$$d_j J_j \triangleleft R.$$

The map  $\psi_j: J_j \rightarrow d_j J_j$  defined by

$$\psi_j(x) = d_j x \quad \text{for all } x \in J_j$$

is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Then

$$M \cong d_1 J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus d_k J_k.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal in the ring  $R$  and  $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the localisation of the module  $M$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then

$$M_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong (d_1 J_1)_{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus \cdots \oplus (d_k J_k)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Since  $d_j J_j$  is a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module, the ideal  $(d_j J_j)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a finitely generated projective  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module. Therefore  $(d_j J_j)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a free module (cf. [3: Chapter I, 2.4 Cor.]), so  $(d_j J_j)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a principal ideal, i.e.

$$\text{rank}_{\mathfrak{m}} (d_j J_j)_{\mathfrak{m}} = 1.$$

Then  $\text{rank} (d_j J_j) = 1$ , so

$$n = \text{rank } M = \text{rank} (d_1 J_1) + \cdots + \text{rank} (d_k J_k) = k.$$

Finally, we have

$$M \cong d_1 J_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus d_n J_n,$$

where  $d_1J_1, \dots, d_nJ_n$  are finitely generated projective  $R$ -modules of rank 1. Therefore by Lemma 2.3 there exist invertible ideals  $I_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , of the ring  $R$  such that  $d_jJ_j \cong I_j$ , so

$$M \cong I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n.$$

□

We give a necessary condition for the existence of a nonsingular bilinear form on  $I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$ . In order to do that, we use the following properties and theorem of Steinitz.

**LEMMA 2.5.** ([7: Chapter I, Lemma 3.1, Prop. 3.5]) *Let  $R$  be a domain and let  $I$  be an invertible ideal in  $R$ . Then*

- (1)  $I^{-1} \cong I^*$ ,
- (2)  $I \otimes_R J \cong IJ$  for any fractional ideal  $J$ ,
- (3)  $I \otimes_R I^* \cong R$ .

**THEOREM 2.6** (Steinitz). ([4: I.1.6]) *Let  $R$  be a domain and let  $K$  be its field of fractions. If  $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_k, \mathfrak{b}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}_l$  are nonzero ideals in  $R$  and the  $R$ -modules  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{a}_k$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{b}_l$  are isomorphic, then there is an element  $c \in K$  such that*

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_k = c\mathfrak{b}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{b}_l.$$

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be invertible ideals in  $R$ . If the module  $I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$  admits a nonsingular bilinear form, then  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2$  is a principal ideal.*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow R$  be a nonsingular bilinear form on the module

$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$ . Then the map  $\hat{\alpha}: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j\right)^*$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules, so

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j\right)^*.$$

But

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j\right)^* \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j^*$$

and by Lemma 2.5  $I_j^* \cong I_j^{-1}$ . Therefore

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j^{-1}.$$

Obviously

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n) \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \cong (I_1 \cdots I_n) \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j^{-1},$$

so

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n ((I_1 \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n ((I_1 \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j^{-1}). \quad (2)$$

By Lemma 2.5

$$(I_1 \cdots I_j \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j \cong I_1 \cdots I_j^2 \cdots I_n.$$

Moreover,

$$(I_1 \cdots I_j \cdots I_n) \cong (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1} \cdot I_{j+1} \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (I_1 \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j^{-1} &\cong (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1} \cdot I_{j+1} \cdots I_n) \otimes_R I_j \otimes_R I_j^{-1} \\ &\cong (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1} \cdot I_{j+1} \cdots I_n) \otimes_R R \\ &\cong I_1 \cdots I_{j-1} \cdot I_{j+1} \cdots I_n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2) it follows that

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^n (I_1 \cdots I_j^2 \cdots I_n) \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^n (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1} \cdot I_{j+1} \cdots I_n).$$

By theorem of Steinitz there exists an element  $c \in K$  such that

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n+1} = c(I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n+1} \cong (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n-1}.$$

Of course

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n)^{-(n-1)} \otimes_R (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n+1} \cong (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{-(n-1)} \otimes_R (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{n-1},$$

so by Lemma 2.5

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cong R.$$

Therefore  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2$  is a free  $R$ -module, i.e. it is a principal ideal. □

Now we describe any symmetric, not necessarily nonsingular, bilinear form on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ .

For every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  let us denote

$$S_{jj} := (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1})^2 \cdot (I_{j+1} \cdots I_n)^2$$

(if  $n = 1$ , then  $S_{11} = R$ ). Moreover, for  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $j \neq k$ , put

$$S_{jk} := (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1})^2 \cdot I_j \cdot (I_{j+1} \cdots I_{k-1})^2 \cdot I_k \cdot (I_{k+1} \cdots I_n)^2.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR$  for some  $0 \neq p \in R$ . A map  $\alpha: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow R$  is a*

*symmetric bilinear form on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  if and only if there exist uniquely determined elements  $a_{jk} \in S_{jk}$ ,  $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$ ,  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that*

$$\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k$$

*for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ .*

**Proof.**

( $\Leftarrow$ ) The bilinearity of  $\alpha$  is obvious. It suffices to notice that for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  we have

$$a_{jj} \in S_{jj} \implies \underbrace{a_{jj} x_j y_j}_{\in I_j^2} \in (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR \implies \frac{a_{jj}}{p} x_j y_j \in R$$

and for  $j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j \neq k$

$$a_{jk} \in S_{jk} \implies \underbrace{a_{jk} x_j y_k}_{\in I_j I_k} \in (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR \implies \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k \in R,$$

so

$$\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) \in R$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ .

( $\implies$ ) Fix  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $j \neq k$ . We prove that there exists a uniquely determined  $a_{jk} \in S_{jk}$  such that

$$\alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0)) = \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k$$

for all  $x_j \in I_j$ ,  $y_k \in I_k$ .

Let  $x_j \in I_j$ ,  $y_k \in I_k$  and  $K$  be the field of fractions of the ring  $R$ . Then

$$\alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), \cdot) |_{I_k} \in I_k^*.$$

By [2: Lemma 2.3] there exists an element  $c_j \in K$  such that

$$\alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0)) = c_j y_k$$

for (all)  $y_k \in I_k$ . Similarly,

$$\alpha((0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0), \cdot) |_{I_j} \in I_j^*,$$

so there exists  $c_k \in K$  such that

$$\alpha((0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0)) = c_k x_j.$$

for (all)  $x_j \in I_j$ . Since  $\alpha$  is symmetric,  $c_j y_k = c_k x_j$ . Hence for all  $x_j \in I_j \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $y_k \in I_k \setminus \{0\}$  the ratio

$$\frac{c_j}{x_j} = \frac{c_k}{y_k} =: d_{jk} \in K$$

is a constans. Moreover,

$$d_{jk} x_j y_k = c_j y_k = \alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0)) \in R.$$

The elements  $x_j y_k$  generate the ideal  $I_j I_k$ , so

$$d_{jk} p \in d_{jk} (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \subseteq d_{jk} I_j I_k \subseteq R.$$

Denoting  $a_{jk} := d_{jk} p$ , we finally get

$$\alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0)) = \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k$$

for all  $x_j \in I_j, y_k \in I_k$ .

The uniqueness of  $a_{jk}$  follows from the cancelation property in a domain. Since  $\alpha$  is symmetric,  $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$ . It suffices to prove that  $a_{jk} \in S_{jk}$ .

Because

$$\frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k \in R \quad \text{for all } x_j \in I_j, y_k \in I_k,$$

so

$$a_{jk} x_j y_k \in pR = (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \quad \text{for all } x_j \in I_j, y_k \in I_k.$$

Hence

$$a_{jk} I_j I_k \subseteq (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1})^2 \cdot I_j^2 \cdot (I_{j+1} \cdots I_{k-1})^2 \cdot I_k^2 \cdot (I_{k+1} \cdots I_n)^2.$$

Multiplying by  $I_j^{-1} \cdot I_k^{-1}$  we obtain

$$a_{jk} R \subseteq S_{jk},$$

i.e.  $a_{jk} \in S_{jk}$ .

In an analogous way we prove that for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a uniquely determined  $a_{jj} \in S_{jj}$  such that

$$\alpha((0, \dots, x_j, \dots, 0), (0, \dots, y_j, \dots, 0)) = \frac{a_{jj}}{p} x_j y_j$$

for all  $x_j, y_j \in I_j$ .

The bilinearity of  $\alpha$  gives the thesis. □



We formulate a necessary and sufficient condition for the nonsingularity of  $\alpha$ .

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR$  for some  $0 \neq p \in R$ . Let  $\alpha: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow R$  be a symmetric bilinear form on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  defined by the formula*

$$\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k,$$

where  $a_{jk} = a_{kj} \in S_{jk}$ ,  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The form  $\alpha$  is nonsingular if and only if

$$\det(a_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = p^{n-1} \cdot u$$

for some invertible element  $u \in R^*$ .

*Proof.* We know that

$$\det(a_{jk}) = \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)} \pm a_{1k_1} \cdots a_{nk_n},$$

where  $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence

$$\det(a_{jk}) \in \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)} S_{1k_1} \cdots S_{nk_n}.$$

But

$$S_{1k_1} \cdots S_{nk_n} = (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{2(n-1)} = p^{n-1} \cdot R,$$

so

$$\det(a_{jk}) = p^{n-1} \cdot u \tag{3}$$

for some element  $u \in R$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a maximal ideal in  $R$  and  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n (I_j)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \alpha_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$  be the localisation of the space  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha\right)$  at  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The ideals  $(I_1)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, (I_n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  are finitely generated projective  $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules. From [3: Chapter I, 2.4 Cor.] it follows that  $(I_1)_{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, (I_n)_{\mathfrak{m}}$  are free modules, so they are principal ideals. Let

$$(I_1)_{\mathfrak{m}} = g_1 R_{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, (I_n)_{\mathfrak{m}} = g_n R_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

for some  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then

$$g_1^2 \cdots g_n^2 R_{\mathfrak{m}} = ((I_1)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cdots (I_n)_{\mathfrak{m}})^2 = p R_{\mathfrak{m}},$$

so

$$g_1^2 \cdots g_n^2 = pv \tag{4}$$

for some invertible element  $v \in R_m^*$ . Observe that the form  $\alpha_m$  has the following matrix

$$A = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \cdot g_1^2 & a_{12} \cdot g_1 g_2 & \cdots & a_{1n} \cdot g_1 g_n \\ a_{21} \cdot g_2 g_1 & a_{22} \cdot g_2^2 & \cdots & a_{2n} \cdot g_2 g_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} \cdot g_n g_1 & a_{n2} \cdot g_n g_2 & \cdots & a_{nn} \cdot g_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in the basis

$$\mathcal{B} = ((g_1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, g_j, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, g_n))$$

of the free  $R_m$ -module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n (I_j)_m$ .

( $\implies$ ) We show that  $u$  is invertible.

Since by the assumption  $\alpha$  is nonsingular, from [1: (1.4) Prop.] it follows that  $\alpha_m$  is nonsingular. Hence there exists an invertible element  $\nu \in R_m^*$  such that

$$\nu = \det A = \frac{1}{p^n} \cdot g_1^2 g_2^2 \cdots g_n^2 \cdot \det (a_{jk}).$$

Therefore by (3) and (4)

$$\nu = v \cdot u,$$

so  $u = \nu \cdot v^{-1} \in R_m^*$ . Hence  $u$  is invertible in  $R$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By the assumption  $u \in R^*$ , so  $u \in R_m^*$ . Therefore  $\det A = v \cdot u \in R_m^*$ , so  $\alpha_m$  is nonsingular. From [1: (1.4) Prop.] it follows that the form  $\alpha$  is nonsingular.  $\square$

*Example.* Let  $R$  be a domain and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $I_1^2 = q_1 R, \dots, I_n^2 = q_n R$  for some  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . For every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  let  $\alpha_j : I_j \times I_j \rightarrow R$  be a symmetric bilinear form on the ideal  $I_j$ . By Proposition 2.8 for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a unique element  $a_j \in R$  such that

$$\alpha_j(x, y) = \frac{a_j}{q_j} xy \quad \text{for all } x, y \in I_j.$$

Let

$$\left( \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha \right) = (I_1, \alpha_1) \perp \cdots \perp (I_n, \alpha_n)$$

be an orthogonal direct sum of the spaces  $(I_1, \alpha_1), \dots, (I_n, \alpha_n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \alpha_1(x_1, y_1) + \alpha_2(x_2, y_2) + \dots + \alpha_n(x_n, y_n) \\ &= \frac{a_1}{q_1}x_1y_1 + \frac{a_2}{q_2}x_2y_2 + \dots + \frac{a_n}{q_n}x_ny_n \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j b_j}{p} x_j y_j \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ , where  $p := q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$ ,  $b_j := q_1 \dots q_{j-1} q_{j+1} \dots q_n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . We show the geometrically obvious fact that the space  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha\right)$  is nonsingular if and only if the space  $(I_j, \alpha_j)$  is nonsingular for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Observe that

$$(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = q_1 \cdots q_n R = pR.$$

Moreover, for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have

$$a_{jj} := a_j b_j \in b_j R = (I_1 \cdots I_{j-1})^2 \cdot (I_{j+1} \cdots I_n)^2 = S_{jj}$$

and for  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $j \neq k$ ,

$$a_{jk} := 0 \in S_{jk}.$$

By Theorem 2.9

$$\alpha \text{ is nonsingular} \iff \det(a_{jk}) = p^{n-1} \cdot u \text{ for some } u \in R^*$$

$$\iff \det \begin{pmatrix} a_1 b_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 b_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n b_n \end{pmatrix} = p^{n-1} \cdot u$$

$$\text{for some } u \in R^*$$

$$\iff a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = u \text{ for some } u \in R^*$$

$$\iff a_j \in R^* \text{ for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

$$\iff \alpha_j \text{ is nonsingular for every } j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

### 3. Isometry

Now we classify nonsingular bilinear forms on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  up to isometry. For  $k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $k \neq r$ , let us denote

$$T_{kr} := (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cdot I_k^{-1} \cdot I_r.$$

We describe all automorphisms of the module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR$  for some  $0 \neq p \in R$ . Assume that  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  admits a nonsingular bilinear form. A map  $\varphi: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  is an automorphism of the module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  if and only if there exists a matrix*

$$C = \frac{1}{p} \cdot (c_{kr})_{1 \leq k, r \leq n}, \quad c_{rr} \in pR, \quad c_{kr} \in T_{kr}, \quad k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad k \neq r,$$

such that  $\det C$  is an invertible element in  $R$  and

$$\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \cdot C \quad \text{for all } (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j.$$

**Proof.**

( $\implies$ ) Let  $\alpha: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \times \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow R$  be a nonsingular bilinear form on  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  defined by

$$\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k, \quad a_{jk} \in S_{jk},$$

for all  $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ . For every  $r = 1, \dots, n$  let  $f_r := \pi_r \circ \varphi$ , where  $\pi_r$  is a projection map,

$$\pi_r(z_1, \dots, z_r, \dots, z_n) = z_r$$

for all  $(z_1, \dots, z_r, \dots, z_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ . Of course

$$\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (f_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, f_n(y_1, \dots, y_n))$$

and the maps  $f_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ , are linear functionals on the ideal  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ . Since  $\alpha$  is nonsingular, there exist elements  $(x_{1r}, \dots, x_{nr}) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ , such that

$$\alpha((x_{1r}, \dots, x_{nr}), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = f_r(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

for all  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk} x_{jr} \right) y_k = f_r(y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

for all  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ . Denote  $c_{kr} := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk} x_{jr}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n c_{kr} y_k = f_r(y_1, \dots, y_n), \quad r = 1, \dots, n$$

for all  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= (f_1(y_1, \dots, y_n), \dots, f_n(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \\ &= (y_1, \dots, y_n) \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (y_1, \dots, y_n) \cdot C. \end{aligned}$$

We show that  $c_{rr} \in pR$ ,  $c_{kr} \in T_{kr}$  for  $k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $k \neq r$ .

Fix  $k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . From the definition of  $f_r$  it follows that

$$\frac{1}{p} \cdot c_{kr} \cdot y_k = f_r(0, \dots, y_k, \dots, 0) \in I_r \quad \text{for all } y_k \in I_k.$$

Hence

$$c_{kr} \cdot y_k \in p \cdot I_r \quad \text{for all } y_k \in I_k,$$

so

$$c_{kr} \cdot I_k \subseteq p \cdot I_r \tag{5}$$

Assume  $k = r$ . Then

$$c_{rr} \cdot I_r \subseteq p \cdot I_r.$$

Multiplying by  $I_r^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$c_{rr} \cdot R \subseteq p \cdot R,$$

i.e.  $c_{rr} \in pR$ .

Assume  $k \neq r$ . By (5)

$$c_{kr} \cdot I_k \subseteq (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cdot I_r.$$

Hence

$$c_{kr} \cdot R \subseteq (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cdot I_k^{-1} \cdot I_r = T_{kr},$$

so  $c_{kr} \in T_{kr}$ .

We have

$$\det C = \det \frac{1}{p} \cdot (c_{kr}) = \frac{1}{p^n} \cdot \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_n)} \pm c_{1r_1} \cdots c_{nr_n},$$

where  $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $c_{ii} \in pR = (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2$  and  $c_{ir_i} \in T_{ir_i}$ ,  $i \neq r_i$ , it is easy to observe that

$$c_{1r_1} \cdots c_{nr_n} \in (I_1 \cdots I_n)^{2n} = p^n R.$$

Therefore  $\det C \in R$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an automorphism,  $\det C \in R^*$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $y_k \in I_k$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{p} c_{kr} y_k \in I_r.$$

Indeed, let  $k = r$ . Then

$$c_{rr} \in pR \implies \frac{1}{p} c_{rr} \in R \implies \frac{1}{p} c_{rr} y_r \in I_r.$$

If  $k \neq r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{kr} \in T_{kr} &= (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cdot I_k^{-1} \cdot I_r \\ \implies c_{kr} y_k &\in (I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 \cdot I_r = pI_r \\ \implies \frac{1}{p} c_{kr} y_k &\in I_r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \left( \frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n c_{k1} y_k, \dots, \frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n c_{kn} y_k \right) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j. \quad (6)$$

Obviously  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules. We prove that  $\varphi$  is bijective.

Fix  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  and consider the system of  $n$  equations

$$\frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n c_{kr} Y_k = z_r, \quad r = 1, \dots, n. \tag{7}$$

Since the matrix of (7) is equal to  $C^T$  and

$$\det C^T = \det C \neq 0,$$

the system (7) has a unique solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in K^n$ , where  $K$  is the field of fractions of the ring  $R$ . For every  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  replacing the  $k$ th column of the matrix  $C^T$  with  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)^T$  we obtain

$$y_k = \det \frac{1}{p} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & c_{21} & \dots & pz_1 & \dots & c_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{1k} & c_{2k} & \dots & pz_k & \dots & c_{nk} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{1n} & c_{2n} & \dots & pz_n & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\det C)^{-1}.$$

Using the fact that  $z_r \in I_r$ ,  $c_{ii} \in pR$  and  $c_{ir_i} \in T_{ir_i}$ ,  $i \neq r_i$ , for  $r, i, r_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we show that the determinant in the numerator is an element of the ideal  $I_k$ . Moreover,  $\det C \in R^*$ , so  $y_k \in I_k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , i.e.  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$ .

Finally by (6), for every  $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  there is a unique element

$(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  such that

$$\varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_n),$$

i.e.  $\varphi$  is an automorphism. □

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a domain and  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR$  for some  $0 \neq p \in R$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be nonsingular bilinear forms on the module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k, \\ \beta((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{b_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k, \end{aligned} \quad a_{jk}, \quad b_{jk} \in S_{jk}.$$

NONSINGULAR BILINEAR FORMS ON DIRECT SUMS OF IDEALS

Then the inner product spaces  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha\right)$  and  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta\right)$  are isometric if and only if there exists a matrix  $C$  such as in Proposition 3.1 and

$$(a_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = C \cdot (b_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \cdot C^T.$$

**Proof.** Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha\right) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta\right) \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{ there exists an automorphism } \varphi: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \text{ such that} \\ & \alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \beta(\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n), \varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n)) \\ & \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{ there exists an automorphism } \varphi: \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \text{ such that} \\ & (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot (a_{jk}) \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \\ & = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot (b_{jk}) \cdot \varphi(y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \\ & \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{ there exists a matrix } C \text{ such as in Proposition 3.1 and} \\ & (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot (a_{jk}) \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \\ & = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \cdot C \cdot (b_{jk}) \cdot C^T \cdot (y_1, \dots, y_n)^T \\ & \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j \\ \Leftrightarrow & \text{ there exists a matrix } C \text{ such as in Proposition 3.1 and} \\ & (a_{jk}) = C \cdot (b_{jk}) \cdot C^T. \end{aligned}$$

□



### 4. Similarity

Let  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \beta)$  be inner product spaces over a domain  $R$ . We say that  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \beta)$  are *similar*, if there exist metabolic spaces  $(M_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(N_1, \beta_1)$  such that the spaces  $(M, \alpha) \perp (M_1, \alpha_1)$  and  $(N, \beta) \perp (N_1, \beta_1)$  are isometric.

The spaces  $(M, \alpha)$  and  $(N, \beta)$  are similar if and only if their similarity classes  $\langle M, \alpha \rangle, \langle N, \beta \rangle$  in the Witt ring  $W(R)$  of the ring  $R$  are equal.

If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain and  $K$  its field of fractions, then by Knebusch's theorem the natural homomorphism  $\phi: W(R) \rightarrow W(K)$  defined by

$$\phi(\langle M, \alpha \rangle) = \langle K \otimes_R M, \alpha' \rangle,$$

where

$$\alpha'(x \otimes y, z \otimes t) = xz \cdot \alpha(y, t) \quad \text{for all } x \otimes y, z \otimes t \in K \otimes_R M,$$

is injective (cf. [5: p. 93]). Hence

$$\langle M, \alpha \rangle = \langle N, \beta \rangle \text{ in } W(R) \iff \langle K \otimes_R M, \alpha' \rangle = \langle K \otimes_R N, \beta' \rangle \text{ in } W(K).$$

We classify nonsingular bilinear forms on a module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  up to similarity in the case when  $R$  is a Dedekind domain.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $K$  its field of fractions,  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $R$  such that  $(I_1 \cdots I_n)^2 = pR$  for some  $0 \neq p \in R$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be nonsingular bilinear forms on the module  $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  defined by*

$$\alpha((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{a_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k,$$

$$\beta((x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{b_{jk}}{p} x_j y_k,$$

$a_{jk}, b_{jk} \in S_{jk}$ . Then the inner product spaces  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha\right)$  and  $\left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta\right)$  are similar if and only if there exists a matrix

$$C = (c_{kr})_{1 \leq k, r \leq n}, \quad c_{kr} \in K, \quad k, r \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

such that  $\det C$  is an invertible element in  $R$  and

$$(a_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} = C \cdot (b_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} \cdot C^T.$$

NONSINGULAR BILINEAR FORMS ON DIRECT SUMS OF IDEALS

Proof. The forms  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  have the following matrices

$$(pa_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}, \quad (pb_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$$

in the basis

$$\mathcal{B} = (1 \otimes (p, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, 1 \otimes (0, \dots, p, \dots, 0), \dots, 1 \otimes (0, \dots, 0, p))$$

of the linear space  $K \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j$  over the field  $K$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha \right\rangle = \left\langle \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta \right\rangle &\iff \left\langle K \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha' \right\rangle = \left\langle K \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta' \right\rangle \\ &\iff \left( K \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \alpha' \right) \cong \left( K \otimes_R \bigoplus_{j=1}^n I_j, \beta' \right) \\ &\text{over } K \text{ (by [6: Thm. 13.1.3]; } \text{char } K \neq 2) \\ &\iff \text{there exists a matrix } C = (c_{kr}), \ c_{kr} \in K, \\ &\text{such that } \det C \neq 0 \text{ and} \\ &\quad (pa_{jk}) = C \cdot (pb_{jk}) \cdot C^T \\ &\iff \text{there exists a matrix } C = (c_{kr}), \ c_{kr} \in K, \\ &\text{such that } \det C \in R^* \text{ and} \\ &\quad (a_{jk}) = C \cdot (b_{jk}) \cdot C^T. \end{aligned}$$

The last implication “ $\implies$ ” follows from the following observation. Since

$$\det(a_{jk}) = (\det C)^2 \cdot \det(b_{jk}),$$

by Theorem 2.9

$$p^{n-1} \cdot u = (\det C)^2 \cdot p^{n-1} \cdot v$$

for some invertible elements  $u, v \in R^*$ . Then

$$u = (\det C)^2 \cdot v$$

and  $(\det C)^2 \in R^*$ . But  $R$  is integrally closed, so  $\det C \in R^*$ . □

REFERENCES

- [1] BAEZA, R.: *Quadratic Forms Over Semilocal Rings*. Lecture Notes in Math. 655, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.
- [2] CIEMALA, M.—SZYMICZEK, K.: *On the existence of nonsingular bilinear forms on projective modules*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **32** (2005), 1–13.

BEATA ROTHKEGEL

- [3] MARSHALL, M. A.: *Bilinear Forms and Orderings on Commutative Rings*. Queens Papers in Pure and Appl. Math. 71, Queen's University Kingston, Ontario, Canada, 1985.
- [4] MILNOR, J.: *Introduction to Algebraic K-Theory*. Ann. of Math. Stud. 72, Princeton University Press/University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.
- [5] MILNOR, J.—HUSEMOLLER, D.: *Symmetric Bilinear Forms*. Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3) 73, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [6] SZYMICZEK, K.: *Bilinear Algebra: an Introduction to the Algebraic Theory of Quadratic Forms*. Algebra Logic Appl. Ser. No. 7, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 1997.
- [7] WEIBEL, Ch.: *An introduction to algebraic K-theory*.  
<http://www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0105/>.

Received 3. 1. 2011

Accepted 19. 4. 2011

*Institute of Mathematics*

*University of Silesia*

*Bankowa 14*

*PL-40-007 Katowice*

*POLAND*

*E-mail: brothkegel@ux2.math.us.edu.pl*