

You have downloaded a document from RE-BUŚ repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice

Title: Chemical potential as a detector of phase transitions in solids

Author: Michał Matlak, Gosławska E., B. Grabiec, Kh. Eid

Citation style: Matlak Michał, Gosławska E., Grabiec B., Eid Kh. (2000). Chemical potential as a detector of phase transitions in solids. "Acta Physica Polonica. A" (Vol. 97, nr 3 (2000), s. 365-368).



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



Biblioteka Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego Proceedings of the European Conference "Physics of Magnetism '99", Poznań 1999

CHEMICAL POTENTIAL AS A DETECTOR OF PHASE TRANSITIONS IN SOLIDS

M. MATLAK^{a*}, E. GOSLAWSKA^a, B. GRABIEC^a and Kh. EID^b

^aInstitute of Physics, Silesian University, Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland ^bPhysics Department, Faculty of Education, Ain-Shams University, Roxy, Cairo, Egypt

We show that the chemical potential exhibits small but distinct kinks at all critical temperatures as the evidence for phase transitions in the electronic system, structural phase transitions included. In the case of, at least, two kinds of interacting electrons average occupation numbers exhibit the same behavior.

PACS numbers: 74.70.-b, 75.30.Kz, 75.40.Cx

We consider phase transitions from ferromagnet to paramagnet (F-P), from superconductor to normal system (S-N) and we investigate phase transitions of reentrant type (R) using a generalization of the model investigated in Ref. [1].

The Hamiltonian of the model (three hybridized bands) can be written in the following form (grand canonical ensemble):

$$\overline{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{i,j} S_i \cdot S_j + \sum_{\alpha} t_0^{(\alpha)} \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma}^{(\alpha)} + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i \neq j,\sigma} t_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} c_{i,\sigma}^{+(\alpha)} c_{j,\sigma}^{(\alpha)}$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha < \beta} V^{(\alpha,\beta)} \sum_{i \neq j,\sigma} \left(c_{i,\sigma}^{+(\alpha)} c_{j,\sigma}^{(\beta)} + c_{j,\sigma}^{+(\beta)} c_{i,\sigma}^{(\alpha)} \right)$$
$$- \sum_{\alpha} \frac{g^{(\alpha)}}{2} \sum_i \left[S_i^+ c_{i,\downarrow}^{+(\alpha)} c_{i,\uparrow}^{(\alpha)} + S_i^- c_{i,\uparrow}^{+(\alpha)} c_{i,\downarrow}^{(\alpha)} + S_i^z \left(n_{i,\uparrow}^{(\alpha)} - n_{i,\downarrow}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right]$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i \neq j} R_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} c_{i,\uparrow}^{+(\alpha)} c_{j,\downarrow}^{(\alpha)} c_{i,\uparrow}^{(\alpha)} - \mu \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma}^{(\alpha)}, \tag{1}$$

the index α numbers the bands ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) and μ is the chemical potential. The Hamiltonian (1) describes the magnetic interaction (localized 4*f*-spins), three hybridized bands and magnetic interactions between band electrons and localized 4*f*-spins, as well as, the intersite Cooper pairs interaction (cf. e.g. [2]) between conduction electrons. To calculate the chemical potential μ we use the constraint $\sum_{\alpha} n^{(\alpha)} = \overline{n} \left(n^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{\sigma} \left\langle n^{(\alpha)}_{\sigma} \right\rangle \right)$, where \overline{n} is the average number of electrons per

^{*}e-mail:matlak@server.phys.us.edu.pl

magnetic Re-ion. Assuming the spin length s = 1/2 and performing the calculations similarly to Ref. [1] (MFA[†], Green's function method [3]) and using the exact formula for the density of states (sc lattice, see [4]), we can study the following phase transitions: (i) F-P ($R_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} = 0$), (ii) S-N ($J_0 = g^{(\alpha)} = 0$), (iii) R transitions with three critical temperatures T_{s_1} , T_c , and T_{s_2} ($R_{i,j}^{(\alpha)} \neq 0$, $J_0 \neq 0$, $g^{(\alpha)} \neq 0$). In all cases we have introduced a possibility of a structural phase transition (see e.g. [5, 6]) at $T = T_0$ assuming a small change of the bandwidth W at $T = T_0$ ($W_{T \leq T_0} = W + \delta$, $W_{T > T_0} = W$, where δ is very small).

In Fig. 1a-c we present the results $(T_0 = 6 \text{ K})$ for n = 3. The results for n = 2 (one empty band, $t^{(3)} = 100 \text{ eV}$) are qualitatively very similar to the case n = 3. We present a very interesting case n = 1 in Fig. 2a-c $(T_0 = 10 \text{ K})$. We see that all critical temperatures of the system can be well localized from



Fig. 1. Phase transitions for n = 3; upper plots: order parameters (magnetizations m_f and $m^{(\alpha)}$ and superconducting order parameters $\Delta^{(\alpha)}$) vs. temperature; lower plots: chemical potential μ [eV] and average occupation numbers $n^{(\alpha)}$ against temperature. Model parameters (Fig. 1c): $\overline{n} = 0.6$, $J_0 = \sum_j J_{i,j} = 0.0001 \text{ eV}$, $g^{(1)} = g^{(2)} = g^{(3)} = 0.075 \text{ eV}$, $t_0^{(1)} = 0$, $t_0^{(2)} = 0.075 \text{ eV}$, $t_0^{(3)} = 0.1 \text{ eV}$, $W^{(1)} = W = 0.55 \text{ eV}$, $\delta = 0.005 \text{ eV}$, $\delta_2 = W^{(2)}/W = 0.9$, $\delta_3 = W^{(3)}/W = 0.8$, $V^{(1,2)} = 0.07 \text{ eV}$, $V^{(1,3)} = 0.08 \text{ eV}$, $V^{(2,3)} = 0.075 \text{ eV}$, $R_0^{(1)} = 0.22 \text{ eV}$, $R_0^{(2)} = 0.19 \text{ eV}$, $R_0^{(3)} = 0.16 \text{ eV}$ ($R_0^{(\alpha)} = R_{i,j}^{(\alpha)}$; i, j - n.n.). In (a) we put $R_0^{(\alpha)} = 0$ and in (b) we put $J_0 = g^{(\alpha)} = 0$.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ We consider, for simplicity, the single-domain case with homogeneous magnetization.



Fig. 2. The same as in Fig. 1 but for n = 1 when $t_0^{(2)} = 200$ eV, $t_0^{(3)} = 100$ eV (two empty bands). The other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1a,b,c.



Fig. 3. The exact solutions of the model (2) (a) and (3) (b). Common model parameters: $\overline{n} = 0.6$, $t_{T \leq T_0} = 0.0825$ eV, $t_{T > T_0} = 0.082$ eV. (a) $J_0 = 0.003$ eV, g = 0.3 eV and (b) R = 0.011 eV.

the kinks in the temperature dependence of the chemical potential. The effect of the critical electron redistribution [7], present for n = 2, 3 cannot take place for n = 1 ($n^{(1)} = \overline{n} = \text{const}$). Let us also note that the lower plot in Fig. 2b is very similar to the experimentally measured temperature dependence of the chemical potential for YBa₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} high-T_c superconductor (see Fig. 2 in Ref. [8]).

Let us also consider two exactly solvable models (grand canonical ensemble)

$$\overline{H} = -a \sum_{i} S_{i}^{z} - \frac{g}{2} \sum_{i} \left[S_{i}^{+} c_{i,\downarrow}^{+} c_{i,\uparrow} + S_{i}^{-} c_{i,\uparrow}^{+} c_{i,\downarrow} + S_{i}^{z} (n_{i,\uparrow} - n_{i,\downarrow}) \right] -t \sum_{i,\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}^{+} c_{j,\sigma} - \mu \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma}, \qquad (i, j = 1, 2; \ a = m_{f} J_{0}),$$
(2)

$$\overline{H} = -t \sum_{i \neq j,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma} - R\Delta \sum_{i \neq j} \left(c_{j,\downarrow} \ c_{i,\uparrow} + c_{i,\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{j,\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right) - \mu \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i,\sigma}, \tag{3}$$

$$(i, j = 1, 2; \Delta = \langle c_{1,\downarrow} c_{2,\uparrow} \rangle = \langle c_{2,\downarrow} c_{1,\uparrow} \rangle).$$

 i,σ

 $i \neq j, \sigma$

To calculate μ we use the constraint $\langle n_{i,\uparrow} \rangle + \langle n_{i,\downarrow} \rangle = \overline{n}$ (i = 1, 2). The results $(T_0 = 10 \text{ K})$ are depicted in Fig. 3a (model (2)) and Fig. 3b (model (3)). Also in the case of exactly solvable models the critical temperatures can easily be identified from the kinks of the chemical potential which clearly suggest a possibility to use the chemical potential as a detector in a broad context of phase transitions in real solids.

References

- [1] E. Gosławska, M. Matlak, Phys. Status Solidi B 207, 469 (1998).
- [2] R. Micnas, J. Ranninger, S. Robaszkiewicz, Rev. Med. Phys. 62, 113 (1990).
- [3] A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gorkov, I.E. Dzyaloshinskii, Quantum Field Theoretical Methods in Statistical Physics, Pergamon Press, Oxford 1965.
- [4] R. Jelitto, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30, 609 (1969).
- [5] A.D. Bruce, R.A. Cowley, Structural Phase Transitions, Taylor & Francis, London 1981.
- [6] P.M. Woodward, Acta Crystallogr. B 53, 32, 44 (1997).
- [7] M. Matlak, M. Pietruszka, Physica C 311, 151 (1999).
- [8] G. Rietveld, N.Y. Chen, D. van der Marel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2578 (1992).

368