Vet. Res. (2008) 39:59 DOI: 10.1051/vetres:2008036

© INRA, EDP Sciences, 2008

www.vetres.org

Original article

Bartonella species detection in captive, stranded and free-ranging cetaceans

Craig A. HARMS¹*, Ricardo G. MAGGI², Edward B. BREITSCHWERDT², Connie L. CLEMONS-CHEVIS³, Mobashir SOLANGI³, David S. ROTSTEIN⁴, Patricia A. FAIR⁵, Larry J. HANSEN⁶, Aleta A. HOHN⁶, Gretchen N. LOVEWELL⁶, William A. McLELLAN⁷, D. Ann PABST⁷, Teri K. ROWLES⁸, Lori H. SCHWACKE⁹, Forrest I. TOWNSEND¹⁰, Randall S. WELLS¹¹

¹ Center for Marine Sciences and Technology, Environmental Medicine Consortium, and Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, 303 College Circle, Morehead City, North Carolina 28557, USA

² Intracellular Pathogens Research Laboratory, Center for Comparative Medicine and Translational Research, and Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Veterinary Medicine, North Carolina State University, 4700 Hillsborough Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27606, USA

 ³ Institute for Marine Mammal Studies, 10801 Dolphin Lane, Gulfport, Mississippi 39503, USA
⁴ National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Center for Marine Animal Health, Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tennessee, 2407 River Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA

⁵ National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 219 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, South Carolina 29412, USA

⁶ National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Fisheries Science Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 101 Pivers Island Road, Beaufort, North Carolina 28516, USA

⁷ Biology and Marine Biology, University of North Carolina Wilmington, 601 South College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403, USA

⁸ National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,

1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910, USA

⁹ Hollings Marine Laboratory, National Ocean Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 331 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, South Carolina 29412, USA

¹⁰ Bayside Hospital for Animals, 251 Northeast Racetrack Road, Fort Walton Beach, Florida 34236, USA ¹¹ Chicago Zoological Society, c/o Mote Marine Laboratory, 1600 Ken Thompson Parkway, Sarasota,

Florida 34236, USA

(Received 6 April 2008; accepted 21 August 2008)

Abstract – We present prevalence of *Bartonella* spp. for multiple cohorts of wild and captive cetaceans. One hundred and six cetaceans including 86 bottlenose dolphins (71 free-ranging, 14 captive in a facility with a dolphin experiencing debility of unknown origin, 1 stranded), 11 striped dolphins, 4 harbor porpoises, 3 Risso's dolphins, 1 dwarf sperm whale and 1 pygmy sperm whale (all stranded) were sampled. Whole blood (n = 95 live animals) and tissues (n = 15 freshly dead animals) were screened by PCR (n = 106 animals), PCR of enrichment cultures (n = 50 animals), and subcultures (n = 50 animals). *Bartonella* spp. were detected from 17 cetaceans, including 12 by direct extraction PCR of blood or tissues, 6 by PCR of

^{*}Corresponding author: craig_harms@ncsu.edu

Article available at http://www.vetres.org or http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/vetres:2008036

enrichment cultures, and 4 by subculture isolation. *Bartonella* spp. were more commonly detected from the captive (6/14, 43%) than from free-ranging (2/71, 2.8%) bottlenose dolphins, and were commonly detected from the stranded animals (9/21, 43%; 3/11 striped dolphins, 3/4 harbor porpoises, 2/3 Risso's dolphins, 1/1 pygmy sperm whale, 0/1 dwarf sperm whale, 0/1 bottlenose dolphin). Sequencing identified a *Bartonella* spp. most similar to *B. henselae* San Antonio 2 in eight cases (4 bottlenose dolphins, 2 striped dolphins, 2 harbor porpoises), *B. henselae* Houston 1 in three cases (2 Risso's dolphins, 1 harbor porpoise), and untyped in six cases (4 bottlenose dolphin, 1 pygmy sperm whale). Although disease causation has not been established, *Bartonella* species were detected more commonly from cetaceans that were overtly debilitated or were cohabiting in captivity with a debilitated animal than from free-ranging animals. The detection of *Bartonella* spp. from cetaceans may be of pathophysiological concern.

Bartonella / cetacean / dolphin / porpoise

1. INTRODUCTION

Bartonellosis, a new emerging worldwide zoonotic disease [3, 7], can be caused by a spectrum of Bartonella spp. These microorganisms are Gram-negative aerobic bacilli, members of the alpha subdivision of the class Proteobacteria, comprised of at least 20 different species and subspecies. Best known of these are B. quintana (trench fever), and B. henselae (cat-scratch disease). Infection with Bartonella species is known to cause lymphadenopathy [17, 24, 31], neurological disorders [1, 22, 33], bacillary angiomatosis and bacillary peliosis [9, 23, 37], endocarditis [4, 5, 22, 24], hepatosplenic involvement, skin lesions, and vertebral osteomyelitis in domestic and wild animals and in humans [22, 23, 36].

Bartonella spp. have been isolated from wild and domestic animals including cats, dogs, deer, cattle, and rodent, among others [7, 11, 20, 24]. Because *Bartonella* spp. frequently induce persistent intravascular infections, attributing disease causation to *Bartonella* spp. infection in animal or in human patients has been difficult. Due to chronic *Bartonella* bacteremia, particularly in natural reservoir hosts, satisfying Koch's postulates remains difficult or impossible [21], requiring reliance on associational evidence.

Because conventional microbiological techniques lack sensitivity for *Bartonella* spp., bartonellosis is usually diagnosed by PCR amplification or serology [3, 6, 26, 29]. Recently, the development of a more sensitive isolation approach, using *Bartonella*

Alpha-Proteobacteria growth medium (BAPGM) followed by real time PCR has facilitated the molecular detection or isolation of Bartonella spp. from the blood of sick and healthy animals [8, 13, 29]. These improved techniques led to the first recognition of bloodborne Bartonella spp. infections in marine animals: stranded harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) [30] and clinically normal loggerhead sea turtles (Caretta caretta) [35]. Both of these reports from marine animals were based on molecular detection without positive culture of Bartonella spp., and neither included a comparison of debilitated and healthy animals. Here we present prevalence of Bartonella spp. for multiple cohorts of wild and captive cetaceans. We use a combination approach including PCR, PCR of enrichment cultures in BAPGM, and BAPGM subculture isolates [13].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Captive case description

A six-year-old captive-born female bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) housed with 13 other bottlenose dolphins in semiclosed pools at a facility in the Gulf Coast of the United States exhibited intermittent inappetance, recurrent leukocytosis, reticulocytosis, intermittent regenerative anemia and pneumonia, with a linear corneal opacity and a suspected mediastinal abscess. Treatment with antibiotics and antifungals would resolve the leukocytosis and anemia, but problems recurred following withdrawal of medications. Fungal serology (Cerodex Laboratories, Immuno-Mycologics, Inc., Norman, OK, USA) for *Candida, Aspergillus*,

Page 2 of 8 (page number not for citation purpose)

Bartonella species detection in cetaceans

Histoplasma, Coccidioides and Blastomyces were negative, except for a low Candida titer of 1:1. Serum heavy metal and toxicology screens were unremarkable (copper, iron, selenium, zinc, lead, and general pesticide screen, Okalahoma Animal Disease Diagnostic Laboratory, Center for Veterinary Health Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK, USA). Chlamydophila serology (Comparative Pathology Laboratory, Department of Pathology, University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA) was positive, at 1:10 on 24 January 2003, 1:50 on 16 April, and 1:25 on 12 December. Chlamydophila PCR of heparinized whole blood (University of Miami) on 5 March was negative. In August 2004, unidentified small extracellular bodies were observed on several blood smears. Serology for sarcosystis and toxoplasmosis (University of Miami) were negative. Whole blood in EDTA was submitted for vector-borne disease screening (Intracellular Pathogens Research Laboratory, North Carolina State University, College of Veterinary Medicine, Raleigh, NC, USA) by PCR for Rickettsia, Babesia, Leishmania, Ehrlichia, and Bartonella, of which all were negative except for Bartonella. Based on the positive PCR for Bartonella, the other 13 dolphins were sampled. No other captive Bartonella-positive dolphins exhibited clinical abnormalities. None of the captive Bartonella-positive dolphins subsequently expired, so there are no post-mortem findings for these cases.

2.2. Stranded and free-ranging animals

Following the detection of Bartonella in captive marine mammals, screening was expanded to stranded and free-ranging dolphins and porpoises. Stranded animals (n = 21) included 11 striped dolphins (Stenella coeruleoalba; WAM 612, 613, 614, 616, 617, 618, 620, 621, 622 and 623, all from a single mass stranding 22 August 2005, and BRF 141, 19 April 2007), four harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena, AAH 009, 23 March 2005 and MLC 001, 5 May 2005 [30], CALO 0601, 12 January 2006, and BRF 130, 17 March 2007), three Risso's dolphins (Grampus griseus, BRF 030, 23 June 2005, MML 0514A, 1 February 2006, and BRF 059, 23 March 2006), one bottlenose dolphin (BRF 028, 20 June 2005), one dwarf sperm whale (Kogia sima, BRF 108, 20 January 2007), and one pygmy sperm whale (K. breviceps, GNL 056, 22 March 2007). With the exception of one Risso's dolphin from Florida, all stranded animals were from North Carolina.

Free-ranging bottlenose dolphins (n = 71) were captured and released in the course of population biology and health assessment investigations by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the National Ocean Service (NOS) and Chicago Zoological Society's Sarasota Dolphin Research Program (CZS) [38]. Bottlenose dolphins were sampled from waters near Charleston, South Carolina (NOS, August 2005, n = 20), Beaufort, North Carolina (NMFS, April 2006, n = 14), Sarasota, Florida (CZS, June 2006, n = 19), and Port St. Joe, Florida (NMFS, July 2006, n = 18).

2.3. Blood collection

EDTA-anticoagulated blood was aseptically collected from 71 free-ranging, 14 captive and 10 stranded animals, either from the periarterial venous rete of the flukes (free-ranging, captive, and some stranded animals) or from the heart (sedated stranded animals at the time of humane euthanasia). Blood was chilled on ice and shipped overnight to the laboratory for processing. Hematology and serum chemistry values of the two *Bartonella*positive free-ranging dolphins were not outliers for any parameter and were within reference ranges for the species [18].

2.4. Tissue sampling

Tissues were collected from 15 live-stranded animals that subsequently died spontaneously or were euthanized. Animals were necropsied immediately post-mortem or were chilled and necropsied within 36 h. Tissues collected included brain, heart valves, lung, lymph nodes, spleen and bone marrow. Tissue samples were placed in cryogenic vials or plastic bags, chilled, and transported to the laboratory within 24 h of collection. Tissues were also saved in 10% neutral-buffered formalin for routine histological processing. Where available, postmortem findings of Bartonellapositive stranded animals included moderate, diffuse, nonsuppurative encephalitis in a Risso's dolphin, mild encephalitis, myocardial fibrosis and glomerulopathy in the three striped dolphins, and emaciation, lymphoid hyperplasia, and mild verminous pneumonia in one harbor porpoise.

2.5. Sample analysis

Molecular detection, cloning and bacterial isolation from blood and tissues were performed using

(page number not for citation purpose) Page 3 of 8

Table I. Summary of *Bartonella* positive results by species, group, sample and method of detection, out of 106 dolphins and porpoises (71 free-ranging, 14 captive, and 21 stranded). *Bartonella* genus and species determinations were performed by real-time PCR and sequencing (Bh SA2 = *Bartonella henselae* San Antonio 2, Bh H1 = *Bartonella henselae* Houston 1, Bh = *Bartonella henselae*, sequencing not done, *Bartonella* sp. = *Bartonella* species undetermined, ND = not done).

Species	Group	Sample	Direct extraction	Pre-enrichment	Subculture
	-		PCR	PCR	isolation
T. truncatus	Free-ranging	Blood	Bartonella sp.	Negative	Negative
T. truncatus	Free-ranging	Blood	Negative	Negative	Bartonella sp.
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Negative	Bh SA2	Negative
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Bh SA2	Bh	Bh SA2
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Negative	Bh SA2	ND
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Bh	Negative	Negative
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Bartonella sp.	Bartonella sp.	Bh SA2
T. truncatus	Captive	Blood	Bartonella sp.	ND	Negative
S. coeruleoalba	Stranded	Lung	Bartonella sp.	ND	ND
S. coeruleoalba	Stranded	Lung	Bh SA2	ND	ND
S. coeruleoalba	Stranded	Brain	Bh SA2	ND	ND
P. phocoena	Stranded	Blood	Negative	Bh SA2	Negative
P. phocoena	Stranded	Blood	Bh SA2	Negative	Negative
P. phocoena	Stranded	Serum	Negative	Bh H1	Negative
G. griseus	Stranded	Brain	Bh H1	ND	ND
G. griseus	Stranded	Blood	Bartonella sp.	Negative	Bh H1
		Cyamid	Bh H1	ND	ND
K. breviceps	Stranded	Brain	Bartonella sp.	ND	ND

previously-described methods [13, 26-29]. EDTAanticoagulated blood samples (n = 95 animals)and tissues (n = 15 freshly dead animals) were analyzed. Following DNA extraction real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was used to screen for the presence of Bartonella 16S-23S intergenic spacer region (ITS) partial DNA sequences in each sample (n = 106animals), with conventional PCR for the ITS region and phage-associated protein (Pap) 31 used to generate amplicons for sequencing to confirm RT-PCR results and typing [26, 27]. Tissue and ectoparasite samples were screened only by direct extraction PCR. For blood samples only, a pre-enrichment culture was established from the original sample using liquid BAPGM [29]; after a seven day incubation period a sample was removed for molecular screening using conventional and real-time PCR (n = 50animals). Finally, a BAPGM blood agar plate was subinoculated using the liquid pre-enrichment blood culture, maintained for at least three weeks,

at which time colony growth was again tested by conventional and real-time PCR (n = 50 animals). This combined approach has been shown to enhance the detection and isolation of *Bartonella* spp. in dog blood samples [13]. Negative PCR and un-inoculated pre-enrichment cultures were processed simultaneously to assess for laboratory contamination, and were routinely negative. Amplicons from conventional PCR were cloned using pGEM-T Easy Vector System (Promega[®], Madison, WI, USA) for sequencing by Davis Sequencing, Inc. (Davis, CA, USA). Sequence analysis and alignment with GenBank sequences were performed using AlignX software (Vector NTI Suite 6.0, InforMax, Inc., Frederick, MD, USA).

2.6. Statistical analysis

Prevalence of *Bartonella* detection from blood between free-ranging and captive groups was

Page 4 of 8 (page number not for citation purpose)

compared by Fisher's exact test (JMP 5.1.2, SAS Inc, Cary, NC, USA), considering (1) overall positive results from any laboratory method (direct extraction PCR, PCR of pre-enrichment cultures, and subculture isolation), and (2) by direct extraction PCR only.

3. RESULTS

A summary of positive results is presented in Table I. Bartonella spp. were detected from 17 cetaceans overall, including 12 detections by PCR following direct extraction of the blood sample, 6 by PCR of pre-enrichment cultures, and 4 by subculture isolation. Stranded animals included multiple species and both blood and tissue samples, whereas captive and free-ranging animals included only bottlenose dolphin blood samples, precluding statistical comparisons among all three groups. Bartonella spp. were more commonly detected by all methods combined from captive (6/14, 43%, 95% CI = 18-71%) than from free-ranging (2/71, 2.8%, 95% CI = 0.3-9.8%, both positives from North Carolina) bottlenose dolphins. Prevalence of detection from blood was significantly higher for captive versus free-ranging bottlenose dolphins by combined laboratory methods (p = 0.0002) and by only direct extraction PCR (p = 0.0022). Bartonella spp. were commonly detected from stranded cetaceans (9/21, 43%, 3/11 striped dolphins, 3/4 harbor porpoises, 2/3 Risso's dolphins and 1/1 pygmy sperm whale, 0/1 dwarf sperm whale, 0/1 bottlenose dolphin).

Real-time PCR and sequencing of the 16S-23S ITS region identified a *Bartonella* sp. most similar to *B. henselae* San Antonio 2 (SA2) in eight cases (4 bottlenose dolphins, 2 striped dolphins, and 2 harbor porpoises), *B. henselae* Houston 1 (H1) in 2 Risso's dolphin cases and 1 harbor porpoise case, and unidentified (unable to sequence) in 6 cases (4 bottlenose dolphins, 1 striped dolphin, and 1 pygmy sperm whale). The 16S-23S ITS region of the *B. henselae* SA2-like amplicons were 100% identical (679/679 bp) with *B. henselae* SA2 (Genbank accession no. AF369529) except for those from

the 2 harbor porpoises which were 99.7% (675/677) and 99.8% (676/677) similar [30]. The 16S-23S ITS region of the B. henselae H1-like amplicons were likewise 100% identical (648/648 bp) with B. henselae H1 (Genbank accession no. BX897699), except for that from Risso's dolphin BRF 030 which was 99.5% similar (645/648 bp, Genbank accession No. FJ010195). When successfully sequenced, Pap31 gene sequences (identical between H1 and SA2 strains) provided further confirmation of B. henselae detection from two bottlenose dolphins (subculture isolation) and two harbor porpoises (direct extraction PCR or pre-enrichment PCR detection), with 100% (544/544 bp) identity to sequence from B. henselae SA-2 phage 60457 (AF308168, DQ529248). Follow-up bartonellosis testing on the first captive bottlenose dolphin case was positive by PCR and subculture isolation 83 days after the initial sampling, and PCR-negative 20 months later.

4. DISCUSSION

In recent years, emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases with epizootic or zoonotic potential have been described in marine mammals [12, 16, 34]. These threats include morbilliviruses, brucellosis, toxoplasmosis, sarcocystosis, papillomavirus, and West Nile virus, some of which may be linked to anthropogenic factors [12, 19]. Like these diseases, bartonellosis may be a contributor to pathologies observed in marine mammals.

Difficulties associated with *Bartonella* spp. detection and isolation have compromised efforts to define their role in disease causation. Enhanced isolation efficiency through the use of an optimized medium such as BAPGM, aids in the evaluation of diagnostic assays and advances the understanding of the diversity, adaptation, and epidemiology of this genus [25, 29]. The combined approach employed here (direct extraction PCR, pre-enrichment PCR, and subculture isolation) has previously been shown to enhance the detection and isolation of *Bartonella* spp. from dog blood samples [13]. Results of the three methods do

(page number not for citation purpose) Page 5 of 8

not always coincide. Positive direct extraction PCR with negative pre-enrichment PCR and subculture suggests insufficient viable bacteria to grow in BAPGM. Negative direct extraction PCR with positive pre-enrichment PCR or subculture implies a low bacteremia. Positive subculture in the absence of positive pre-enrichment PCR may be explained by the larger volume of inoculated BAPGM subsampled. Based upon the recent use of BAPGM in our laboratory, it is the opinion of the authors that chronic infection with Bartonella spp. can contribute to very subtle clinical abnormalities or vague symptoms in companion and wild animals or in human patients. In 1999, angiomatosis, which is an important pathological manifestation of Bartonella infection in humans [17, 23, 31, 37], was newly described in bottlenose dolphins [34]. In addition, the involvement of Bartonella spp. in the development of neurologic disorders in animals and people [1,22,33], suggests that the association of this genus with stranding events should be investigated in the future. Of note in this regard is the encephalitis of unknown origin identified histologically in four of the stranded animals in the current study. Although current evidence suggests that Bartonella infection in the vasculature of reservoir hosts is a highly adaptive process that is generally not accompanied by pathology, severe stress, malnutrition, increased exposure to toxins and concurrent infection with other organisms may allow Bartonella spp. to become pathogenic.

This study was initiated by a request to use molecular diagnostic testing to evaluate blood from a single captive bottlenose dolphin experiencing a debility of unknown origin. Following detection and isolation of a B. henselae SA2-like strain from that animal. additional samples from that collection and from stranded and free-ranging wild dolphins and porpoises ensued. Detection of Bartonella spp. from five species of dolphins and porpoises under a range of circumstances (free-ranging, stranded, and captive), suggests that infection of odontocetes may occur commonly. Detection of Bartonella spp. DNA by PCR does not necessarily indicate an active infection, because the organisms detected may

not be viable. Subculture isolation, however, verifies the presence of viable *Bartonella* spp. organisms and an active infection. The four subculture isolations reported here are the first from marine mammals.

This study demonstrated a higher prevalence of Bartonella spp. from bottlenose dolphins in the affected captive facility than from free-ranging wild bottlenose dolphins. The magnitude of differences in prevalence between groups and the common detection of Bartonella spp. in stranded cetaceans are compelling and suggest circumstances in which Bartonella spp. infection may become evident, and possibly pathogenic, in marine mammals: cohabitation with a Bartonella-positive animal (captive situation) and severe debility leading to or associated with stranding. Although not all free-ranging wild dolphins sampled were considered completely diseasefree (12/20 of the free-ranging dolphins from near Charleston, South Carolina, were classified as unhealthy or possibly unhealthy [32], while the two Bartonella-positive dolphins from near Beaufort, North Carolina were considered healthy) they were considered healthier than the stranded near-dead animals. They also may not have experienced close contact with Bartonella-positive animals comparable to the captive animals.

In terrestrial animals, proven competent vectors of bartonellosis include lice, sand flies and fleas [2]. Interestingly, a cyamid amphipod ectoparasite, Isocyamus delphinii, from a healing skin wound of Risso's dolphin BRF 059 carried the same B. henselae H1-like strain as its host (unpublished data). Proving vector competence requires experimental reliable demonstration of transmission between the vector and the host, however, so while intriguing, this finding does not demonstrate a mode of transmission. *Bartonella* spp. can also be transmitted by animal bites and scratches, and Bartonella spp. DNA has been detected in dog saliva [14]. Raking (biting), such as occurs in conspecific and interspecific aggression in odontocetes, is therefore a possible mode of transmission. Transmission of B. henselae by cat bites and scratches, however, is thought most likely to occur as a

Page 6 of 8 (page number not for citation purpose)

Bartonella species detection in cetaceans

result of innoculating contaminated flea feces into the wound [15]. A marine analogue of this mechanism of transmission is not readily apparent.

Detection of *B. henselae*-like organisms in marine mammals may be of potential zoonotic concern for marine mammal handlers and pathologists. It is, however, but one of several pathogens of potential zoonotic concern found in marine mammals [10], and there is currently no indication that unusual precautions are warranted.

Acknowledgements. Samples were collected under National Marine Fisheries permits 998-1678-01, 779-1681-00, 932-1489-09 and 522-1785, and a NOAA Fisheries Marine Mammal Stranding Agreement. Partial funding for this study came from the NOAA Fisheries John H. Prescott Marine Mammal Rescue Assistance Grant program (NA 06NMF4390265 to CAH; NA 05NMF4391181 to WAM and DAP), NOAA Contract WC133C-05-SE-7220 (to CAH) and NOAA-NOS support (to EBB and RGM). Sarasota Bay bottlenose dolphin samples were collected with support provided by Dolphin Quest and NOAA Fisheries Service. We appreciate the contribution of the NMFS Protected Species Branch staff in Beaufort, NC, for conducting the NC captures. Samples from many of the NC stranded animals were collected by the NMFS staff, particularly B. Ferrier. Samples from stranded animals in Virginia were provided courtesy of M.L. Cook, W.J. Walton and the Virginia Aquarium Stranding Response Program. Samples from the stranded Risso's dolphin from Florida were provided courtesy of C.A. Manire and the Mote Marine Laboratory.

REFERENCES

[1] Angibaud G., Balagué J.P., Lafontan J.F., *Bartonella henselae* encephalopathy, Presse Med. (2005) 34:297–298 (in French).

[2] Billeter S.A., Levy M.G., Chomel B.B., Breitschwerdt E.B., Vector transmission of *Bartonella* species with emphasis on the potential for tick transmission, Med. Vet. Entomol. (2008) 22:1–15.

[3] Boulouis H.J., Chang C.C., Henn J.B., Kasten R.W., Chomel B.B., Factors associated with the rapid emergence of zoonotic *Bartonella* infections, Vet. Res. (2005) 36:383–410.

[4] Breitschwerdt E.B., Atkins C.E., Brown T.T., Kordick D.L., Snyder P.S., *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffi* and related members of the alpha subdivision of the *Proteobacteria* in dogs with cardiac arrhythmias, endocarditis, or myocarditis, J. Clin. Microbiol. (1999) 37:3618–3626. [5] Breitschwerdt E.B., Kordick D.L., Malarkey D.E., Keene B., Hadfield T.L., Wilson K., Endocarditis in a dog due to infection with a novel *Bartonella* subspecies, J. Clin. Microbiol. (1995) 33: 154–160.

[6] Brenner S.A., Rooney J.A., Manzewitsch P., Regnery R.L., Isolation of *Bartonella (Rochalimaea) henselae*: effects of methods of blood collection and handling, J. Clin. Microbiol. (1997) 35:544–547.

[7] Chang C.C., Chomel B.B, Kasten R.W., Heller R.M., Kocan K.M., Ueno H., et al., *Bartonella* spp. isolated from wild and domestic ruminants in North America, Emerg. Infect. Dis. (2000) 6:306–311.

[8] Chenoweth M.R., Somerville G.A., Krause D.C., O'Reilly K.L., Gherardini F.C., Growth characteristics of *Bartonella henselae* in a novel liquid medium: primary isolation, growth-phase dependent phage induction, and metabolic studies, Appl. Environ. Microbiol. (2004) 70:656–663.

[9] Clarridge J.E. 3rd, Raich T.J., Pirwani D., Simon B., Tsai L., et al., Strategy to detect and identify *Bartonella* species in routine laboratory yields *Bartonella henselae* from human immunodeficiency virus-positive patient and unique *Bartonella* strain from his cat, J. Clin. Microbiol. (1995) 33:2107–2113.

[10] Cowan D.F., House C., House J.A., Public health, in: Dierauf L.A., Gulland F.M.D. (Eds.), Marine Mammal Medicine, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 2001, pp. 767–778.

[11] Drancourt M., Birtles R., Chaumentin G., Vandenesch F., Etienne J., Raoult D., New serotype of *Bartonella henselae* in endocarditis and cat-scratch disease, Lancet (1996) 347:441–443.

[12] Dubey J.P., Zarnke R., Thomas N.J., Wong S.K., Van Bonn W., Briggs M., et al., *Toxoplasma gondii, Neospora caninum, Sarcocystis neurona*, and *Sarcocystis canis*-like infections in marine mammals, Vet. Parasitol. (2003) 116:275–296.

[13] Duncan A.W., Maggi R.G., Breitschwerdt E.B., A combined approach for the enhanced detection and isolation of *Bartonella* species in dog blood samples: Pre-enrichment liquid culture followed by PCR and subculture onto agar plates, J. Microbiol. Methods (2007) 69:273–281.

[14] Duncan A.W., Maggi R.G., Breitschwerdt E.B., *Bartonella* DNA in dog saliva, Emerg. Infect. Dis. (2007) 13:1948–1950.

[15] Finkelstein J.L., Brown T.P., O'Reilly K.L., Wedincamp J. Jr, Foil L.D., Studies on the growth of *Bartonella henselae* in the cat flea (Siphonaptera: Pulcidae), J. Med. Entomol. (2002) 39:915–919.

[16] Foster G., Jahans K.L., Reid R.J., Ross H.M., Isolation of *Brucella* species from cetaceans, seals and an otter, Vet. Rec. (1996) 138:583–586.

(page number not for citation purpose) Page 7 of 8

[17] Fournier P.E., Robson J., Zeaiter Z., McDougall R., Byrne S., Raoult D., Improved culture from lymph nodes of patients with cat scratch disease and geno-typic characterization of *Bartonella henselae* isolates in Australia, J. Clin. Microbiol. (2002) 40:3620–3624.

[18] Hall A.J., Wells R.S., Sweeney J.C., Townsend F.I., Balmer B.C., Hohn A.A., Rhinehart H.L., Annual, seasonal and individual variation in hematology and clinical blood chemistry profiles in bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) from Sarasota Bay, Florida, Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol. (2007) 148:266–277.

[19] Harvell C.D., Kim K., Burkholder J.M., Colwell R.R., Epstein P.R., Grimes D.J., et al., Emerging marine diseases–climate links and anthropogenic factors, Science (1999) 285:1505–1510.

[20] Heller R., Riegel P., Hansmann Y., Delacour G., Bermond D., Dehio C., et al., *Bartonella tribocorum* sp. nov., a new *Bartonella* species isolated from the blood of wild rats, Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1998) 48:1333–1339.

[21] Jacomo V., Kelly P.J., Raoult D., Natural history of *Bartonella* infections (an exception to Koch's postulate), Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. (2002) 9:8–18.

[22] Kelly P.J., A review of bacterial pathogens in *Ctenocephalides felis* in New Zealand, N. Z. Vet. J. (2004) 52:352–357.

[23] Koehler J.E., Quinn F.D., Berger T.G., LeBoit P.E., Tappero J.W., Isolation of *Rochalimaea* species from cutaneous and osseous lesions of bacillary angiomatosis, N. Engl. J. Med. (1992) 327:1625–1631.

[24] Kordick D.L., Swaminathan B., Greene C.E., Wilson K.H., Whitney A.M., O'Connor S., et al., *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* subsp. nov., isolated from dogs; *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *vinsonii*; and emended description of *Bartonella vinsonii*, Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. (1996) 46:704–709.

[25] La Scola B., Raoult D., Culture of *Bartonella quintana* and *Bartonella henselae* from human samples: a 5-year experience (1993–1998), J. Clin. Microbiol. (1999) 37:1899–1905.

[26] Maggi R.G., Breitschwerdt E.G., Potential limitations of the 16S-23S rRNA intergenic region for the molecular detection of *Bartonella* species, J. Clin. Microbiol. (2005) 43:1171–1176.

[27] Maggi R.G., Breitschwerdt E.B., Isolation of bacteriophage from *Bartonella vinsonii* subsp. *berkhoffii* and characterization of *Pap 31* gene sequences from bacterial and phage DNA, J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol. (2005) 9:44–51.

[28] Maggi R.G., Chomel B., Hegarty B.C., Henn J., Breitschwerdt E.G., A *Bartonella vinsonii berkhoffii* typing scheme based upon *16S-23S ITS* and *Pap31* sequences from dog, coyote, gray fox, and human isolates, Mol. Cell. Probes (2006) 20: 128–134.

[29] Maggi R.G., Duncan A.W., Breitschwerdt E.B., Novel chemically modified liquid medium that will support the growth of seven of *Bartonella* species, J. Clin. Microbiol. (2005) 43:2651–2655.

[30] Maggi R.G., Harms C.A., Hohn A.A., Pabst D.A., McLellan W.A., Walton W.J., et al., *Bartonella henselae* in porpoise blood, Emerg. Infect. Dis. (2005) 11:1894–1898.

[31] Raoult D., Drancourt M., Carta A., Gastaut J.A., *Bartonella (Rochalimaea) quintana* isolation in a patient with chronic adenopathy, lymphopenia, and a cat, Lancet (1994) 343:977.

[32] Reif J.S., Fair P.A., Adams J., Joseph B., Kilpatrick D.S., Sanchez R., et al., Evaluation and comparison of the health status of Atlantic bottlenose dolphins from the Indian River Lagoon, Florida, and Charleston, South Carolina, J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. (2008) 233:299–307.

[33] Rocha J.L., Pellegrino L.N., Riella L.V., Martins L.T., Acute hemiplegia associated with cat-scratch disease, Braz. J. Infect. Dis. (2004) 8:263– 266.

[34] Turnbull B.S., Cowan D.F., Angiomatosis, a newly recognized disease in Atlantic bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*) from the Gulf of Mexico, Vet. Pathol. (1999) 36:28–34.

[35] Valentine K.H., Harms C.A., Cadenas M.B., Birkenheuer A.J., Marr H.S., Braun-McNeill J., et al., *Bartonella* DNA in loggerhead sea turtles, Emerg. Infect. Dis. (2007) 13:949–950.

[36] Ventura A., Massei F., Not T., Massimetti M., Bussani R., Maggiore G., Systemic *Bartonella henselae* infection with hepatosplenic involvement, J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr. (1999) 29:52–56.

[37] Welch D.F., Pickett D.A., Slater L.N., Steigerwalt A.G., Brenner D.J., *Rochalimaea henselae* sp. nov., a cause of septicemia, bacillary angiomatosis, and parenchymal bacillary peliosis, J. Clin. Microbiol. (1992) 30:275–280.

[38] Wells R.S., Rhinehart H.L., Hansen L.J., Sweeney J.C., Townsend F.I., Stone R., et al., Bottlenose dolphins as marine ecosystem sentinels: Developing a health monitoring system, EcoHealth (2004) 1:246–254.