

Regional Climate Change Projections for the Eastern Mediterranean/Middle East: Expected Changes in Water Availability and Droughts

Harald Kunstmann, Andreas Heckl, Peter Suppan

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research IMK-IFU,
Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany


Alon Rimmer

Kinneret Limnological Laboratory, Israel

Motivation


- Water availability per capita in the Middle East one of the lowest worldwide ($150 \text{ m}^3/\text{a}$)
- Distribution of resource freshwater has high conflict potential
- Future availability may be further restricted by population pressure and **climate change**
- Specific hydrological focus: Upper Jordan catchment (\Rightarrow provides $1/3^{\text{rd}}$ of drinking water resources in Israel)






**Eastern Mediterranean/Near East:
is in between increasing and decreasing dominant
large scale patterns of DJF precipitation change**

Global Emission Scenarios



Emission scenarios: based on different assumptions on future GHG emissions



Momentum conservation


$$\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \vec{v} = -f \vec{k} \times \vec{v} - \nabla \Phi - \frac{1}{\rho_a} \nabla p_a + \frac{\eta_a}{\rho_a} \nabla^2 \vec{v} + \frac{1}{\rho_a} (\nabla \cdot \rho_a \mathbf{K}_m \nabla) \vec{v}$$

Energy conservation

$$\frac{\partial \theta_v}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) \theta_v = \frac{1}{\rho_a} (\nabla \cdot \rho_a \mathbf{K}_h \nabla) \theta_v + \frac{\theta_v}{c_{p,d} T_v} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{dQ_n}{dt}$$

Gas law

$$p = \frac{nR^*T}{V}$$



Air mass conservation

$$\frac{\partial \rho_a}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\vec{v} \rho_a) = 0$$

Conservation water mass

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) q_v &= \frac{1}{\rho_a} (\nabla \rho_a \mathbf{K}_h \nabla) q_v + R_{evap} - R_{cond} - R_{iini} - R_{idep/sub} \\ \frac{\partial q_c}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) q_c &= \frac{1}{\rho_a} (\nabla \rho_a \mathbf{K}_h \nabla) q_c + R_{cond} + R_{iini} + R_{idep/sub} - R_{aconv} - R_{accr} \\ \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla) q_r &= \frac{1}{\rho_a} (\nabla \rho_a \mathbf{K}_h \nabla) q_r - R_{evap} + R_{aconv} + R_{accr} - \frac{\partial V_f \rho_a g q_r}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

Energy conservation at land surface

$$\begin{aligned} L_v E + H + G &= SW_{net} + LW_{net} \\ &= (1 - \alpha) SW \downarrow + LW \downarrow - \epsilon \sigma_B T_{surf}^4 \end{aligned}$$

Soil temperature diffusion

$$C(\theta) \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[K_t(\theta) \frac{\partial T_s}{\partial z} \right]$$


Precipitation physics

$$R_{evap} (rain) = \frac{2\pi N_{0r} (S_w - 1)}{A_r + B_r} \left[\frac{0.78}{\Lambda_r^2} + 0.32 \left(\frac{a_r \rho}{\eta_a} \right)^{1/2} S_c^{1/3} \frac{\Gamma(5/2 + b_r/2)}{\Lambda_r^{5/2 + b_r/2}} \right]$$

Soil water infiltration


$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[D(\theta) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} \right] + \frac{\partial k(\theta)}{\partial z}$$

Example: The Mesoscale Meteorological Model MM5



Land Use Discretization

Soil Discretization





- Non-hydrostatic (\Rightarrow allows high resolutions!)
- Dynamic Downscaling of ECHAM4 with MM5
- 3 nests: $54 \times 54 \text{ km}^2$, $18 \times 18 \text{ km}^2$, $6 \times 6 \text{ km}^2$
- 26 Vertical Layers, Model Top: 100 mbar (ca. 17 km)
- Coupled OSU-Land-Surface Model

What do we expect from the High Resolution Simulations?

Control Runs: mean 1961-1975

Domain 1



Yearly Mean Precipitation 1961-1975

54km

18km



6km

... the finer the spatial resolution, the better the agreement with observation


First example: LONG TERM PROJECTIONS

ECHAM4, B2, 18km, 2070-99 vs. 1961-90

What are the expected changes in temperature?





What are the expected changes in precipitation?




ECHAM4 & MM5, 18 km, B2, 2070-2099 vs 1961-1990

How does seasonal precipitation change depend on the region?





For all subregions: Decreased winter, increased spring precipitation

How do precipitation intensities change?






Tendency towards decrease of precipitation intensity

Are drought risks changing? Analysis of effective drought index EDI




Subregion A: shift towards drier conditions & increased drought risks

Are drought risks changing? Analysis of effective drought index EDI



Subregion A: Increasing drought intensities, but “unchanging” max. drought durations


Long term vs. medium term: indications from GLOBAL CLIMATE MODELS



Second example: MEDIUM TERM PROJECTIONS


ECHAM4, A2 & B2, 54km, 1961-2050 transient

Regional Climate Change Eastern Mediterranean/Middle East



Precipitation

Control Run 1961-90




Scenario B2

Scenario A2


2021-50

Change [mm]






2070-99

Change [mm]






- Increase in precipitation
- Little differences between A2 + B2 till 2050
- **But:** significant decrease in 2070-99!

Changes in temperature and precipitation




Changes in temperature and precipitation



How does the expected atmospheric change translate into water availability in the of Upper Jordan River Catchment?

Example of joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis

Eastern Mediterranean/Near East (**EM/NE**) & Upper Jordan River Catchment




MM5

WaSiM

High resolution dynamical downscaling of global climate scenarios

Distributed hydrological modeling of surface and subsurface water balance in 90 m resolution


Joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis




Upper Jordan River catchment

Joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis

Runoff



Groundwater Recharge



Upper Jordan River Catchment

Summary & Conclusions

- Increase of temperatures in all scenarios (up to +4°C till 2100),
 Δt summer > Δt winter
- **Long term** projections of precipitation differ from **medium term** projections:
 - 1) precipitation & intensity increase till 2050 for scenarios A2 & B2 (transient)
 - 2) precipitation & intensity decrease till 2100 for scenario B2 (time slice)
- Little differences between A2 and B2 till 2050 in mean annual precipitation change
but significant differences in monthly changes


Upper Jordan River Catchment (long term projection)

- In spite increased spring precipitation, decreased spring runoff & recharge
- Significant reduction of snow
- **Significantly increased drought risks in long term (EDI)**


A blue-tinted image featuring a crown on a pedestal. The crown is ornate with multiple points and is set on a central column. The background consists of concentric, glowing blue rings that create a sense of depth and focus on the crown. The overall aesthetic is clean and professional.

Thank you for your attention

Joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis



The Upper Jordan Catchment



Area: 855 km²

Max. height: 2814 m.a.s.l. (Mount Hermon)

Min. height: 80 m.a.s.l. (Hula-Valley)

Complex hydrogeology & groundwater/surface water interactions

Precipitation:

750 mm/a: in the valleys

1200-1500 mm/a: top of Mt. Hermon

Cross-bordering: Lebanon, Syria, Israel, Golan Heights

Restricted and **limited data availability**

6 Gauges: Ayun, Snir, Banyas, Dan, Saar, Yoseph Bridge

Hydrological Model WaSiM-ETH

Physically based algorithms

for vertical water fluxes & groundwater:


- Evapotranspiration: soil and vegetation specific (Monteith)
- Flow through unsaturated zone (Richards)
- Suction head & hydraulic conductivity (van Genuchten)
- 2-dim groundwater model dynamically coupled to unsaturated zone

Conceptual approaches for lateral runoff aggregation

- Traveltime approach folded with linear storage
- Discharge routing: cinematic wave


Setup Upper Jordan River catchment

- spatial resolution: 90x90 m², temporal resolution: daily
- subdivision into 6 sub-catchments




Boundary Conditions for Groundwater Model

Maximum depth of unsaturated zone assumed:
= 100 m




Hydrological Simulations

Episode	Gauge	Banyas	Saar	Snir	Ayun	Yoseph Bridge
Validation (1998)	NSE-lin	0.8525	0.4066	0.3839	0.5527	0.7402
	NSE-log	0.7894	0.2997	0.6128	0.4098	0.5502
Calibration (1997)	NSE-lin	0.7187	0.5938	0.782	0.7311	0.8408
	NSE-log	0.4602	0.5377	0.69	0.3726	0.6472




Gauge Ayun



Gauge Saar

Joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis




1961-90

$Q_{tot} + ET:$	737mm	\Rightarrow	639 mm (-13%)
$Q_{tot}:$	375 mm	\Rightarrow	289 mm (-23%)

2070-99

Joint climate-hydrology simulation for hydrological impact analysis



Upper Jordan River catchment