

Prototype active silicon sensor in LFoundry 150nm HV/HR-CMOS technology for ATLAS Inner Detector Upgrade

Centre de Physique des Particules de Marseille:

M. Barbero, P. Breugnon, S. Godiot, J. Liu, P. Pangaud, A. Rozanov,

A. Wang

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology:

I. Peric

University of Bonn:

L. Gonella, T. Hemperek, T. Hirono, F. Hügging, H. Krüger,

P. Rymaszewski, N. Wermes



Outline



- Introduction
- Description of the design
- Measurement results
- Next prototypes
- Summary

Requirements for pixel layers





				ATLAS-HL-LHC	
		ILC		Outer	Inner
Timing [ns]	20 000	350	25	25	25
Particle rate [kHz/mm ²]	10	250	1000	1000	10000
Fluence [n _{eq} /cm²]	> 10 ¹³	10 ¹²	2x10 ¹⁵	10 ¹⁵	2x10 ¹⁶
Ion. Dose [Mrad]	0.7	0.4	80	50	>500

Monolithic CMOS

Not decided

CMOS Demonstrator Program





Depletion depth



ATLAS requires fast and radiation tolerant sensor with good SNR, which makes wide depletion zone desirable.

universität**bonr**

Depletion width $\mathbf{d} \propto \sqrt{U_{bias} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{substrate}}$

- $\mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{collected}} \propto d$
- $C_{det} \propto \frac{1}{d}$ (important for CSA)
- Wider depletion zone → more drift, less diffusion

TCAD simulation assuming the same bias voltage and 20µm of Si.

Technology and designed sensors



LFoundry CMOS3E:

- 150nm CMOS
- 2kΩcm p-type bulk
- Deep NWell available
- HV process
- Thinning and back size metallization possible



Technology and designed sensors



LFoundry CMOS3E:

- 150nm CMOS
- 2kΩcm p-type bulk
- Deep NWell available
- HV process
- Thinning and back size metallization possible

Sensors:

Version A

Larger fill factor , larger sensor capacitance





Version B

Smaller fill factor , smaller sensor capacitance



In-pixel electronics



- All pixels have the same electronics
- Pixels can be tuned using:
 - Local DAC for Th
 - Global DACs and bias voltages (CSA current, comparator current, output signal amplitude, etc.)



In-pixel electronics



- All pixels have the same electronics
- Pixels can be tuned using:
 - Local DAC for Th
 - Global DACs and bias voltages (CSA current, comparator current, output signal amplitude, etc.)



In-pixel electronics



- All pixels have the same electronics
- Pixels can be tuned using:
 - Local DAC for Th
 - Global DACs and bias voltages (CSA current, comparator current, output signal amplitude, etc.)



Pixel matrix





- Pixel size is 33μm × 125μm (FEI4 pixels are 50μm × 250μm)
- Pixels organised into groups of 6
- Each group is connected to 2 pixels of FEI4
- Distinction between pixels in group through amplitudes of output signals

Pixel matrix







- Pixel size is 33μm × 125μm (FEI4 pixels are 50μm × 250μm)
- Pixels organised into groups of 6
- Each group is connected to 2 pixels of FEI4
- Distinction between pixels in group through amplitudes of output signals

- Chip size is 5mm × 5mm
- Configuration of global DACs and pixels done via shift register
- Pixels can be readout with R/O chip or standalone using the shift register
- Output of each pixel's CSA and comparator can be monitored (one pixel at a time)
- Two chip versions (one for each sensor type) with different flavours of pixels
- Submitted in September 2014

Pixel matrix – versions A and B





Single pixel gain and noise

universität**bonn**



Noise and gain were comparable with the simulation results.

TWEPP 2015

Gain and noise maps of version B



- Measured with pulse injection (250 mV \approx 3000 e^{-})
- No significant difference between flavors in terms of gain
- Pixels with resistor bias are noisier (before irradiation)



Charge spectra (3.2 GeV e⁻ beam)





Depletion width





- Version A matched to the calculation of a simple p-n silicon diode of $2k\Omega$ cm
- Depletion of Version B behaves differently because of its complex structure

Time walk measurement





 Time walk of version B was measured using 3.2 GeV electrons

Time walk measurement





Radiation tolerance (TID)



- Gain and noise of readout measured before and 1.2 after X-ray (~60keV) irradiation 1.1 Normalized gain 1.0 TID: 50Mrad (outer layer of ATLAS-HL-LHC) 0.9 0.8 Flavors of CSA feedback (version A) 0.7 0.6 Normal (L=0.9µm) 0.5 Long (L= $1.5\mu m$) ELT (W≈2.4µm, L≈0.16µm) 2.5 Vormalized noise 2.0 Readout works after irradiation Gain degraded to 70-80% 1.5 Approx. twice larger noise 1.0 No significant differences between flavors
- More irradiation studies are coming (24GeV p⁺)



TWEPP 2015



Two more prototypes based on experience gained with CCPD-LF are planned:

- LF-CPIX an improved version of CCPD-LF
- Fully monolithic design

LF-CPIX

universität**bonn**

Project's overview:

- Common design effort: CEA/IRFU, CPPM, University of Bonn
- Pixel size of 50µm × 250µm (no subpixel encoding)
- Matrix composed out of few different pixel types (complying with CMOS Demonstrator guidelines):
 - Passive pixels
 - "Analog-only" pixels (diode + front-end)
 - "Analog-digital" pixels (diode + front-end + comparator)
- Main improvements over the first prototype
 - New CSA (faster rise time)
 - Reduction of comparator's threshold dispersion
 - Reduction of parasitic coupling to sensitive nets
 - Optimization of sensor and guardrings layout



Preliminary chip layout plan

Monolithic design



- Aiming at ATLAS outer layers moderate occupancy and radiation damage
- Combination of LF-CPIX sensor and analog FE with digital readout (column drain architecture, similar to FEI3)



 Main challenge is keeping low noise operation while distributing, storing and reading time and token information along the column

Monolithic design



- Junction capacitance between charge collecting DNW and PWell of digital part O(100fF)
- Worst case scenario PWell not isolated from DGND
 - $dV_{PW} = 1mV, C_{PW-DNW} = 100 fF \rightarrow Q_{crosstalk} = 624e^{-1}$



Monolithic design





- Worst case scenario PWell not isolated from DGND
 - $dV_{PW} = 1mV$, $C_{PW-DNW} = 100 fF$ → $Q_{crosstalk} = 624e^{-1}$
- Low noise circuits (e.g. CS-CMOS) and very careful layout needed

SRAM 199

SRAM 198

LE199

RE199 -LE198 -RE198 -





- A prototype CCPD was designed in LFoundry 150nm HV CMOS process and manufactured on a HR wafer
- Chip was tested and measurement results are in agreement with simulation predictions
- Radiation tolerance and readout with FEI4 tests are starting now
- Based on gained experience two more prototypes are being designed now:
 - LF-CPIX an improved, larger version of CCPD-LF to be submitted in October 2015
 - Fully monolithic design still in early stage, submission planned for the end of this year or beginning of next year



Thank you





Backup



TWEPP 2015

Concepts & classification of silicon detectors



- **G** Standard Hybrid Pixels:
 - Planar or 3D sensor, fully depleted
 - Mixed signal r/o chip
- □ Hybrid Pixels with "smart" diodes:
 - HR- or HV-CMOS as a sensor (8")
 - Standard r/o chip
 - CCPD (HVCMOS) with FE-I4
- □ CMOS Active Sensor + Digital R/O chip
 - HR- or HV-CMOS sensor + CSA (+Discriminator)
 - Dedicated "digital only" FE chip
- □ Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS)
 - usually on epi substrate
 → diffusion signal, not suited for HL-LHC
 - HR- material (charge collection by drift) → fully Depleted MAPS (DMAPS)



Particle detectors





	Hybrid	Depleted Monolithic
charge collection time	fast (drift)	fast (drift)
cost	high	low
material	high	low
pixel size	medium	small
signal	high	high
possible readout complexity	high	low/medium

Pixel capacitance



MAPS Pixel Capacitance

- Large fill factor MAPS total pixel capacitance Cd = Ca + Ca' + Cp+Csw
 - Collecting node has a double junction
 - Capacitance to backplane $C_a \approx \varepsilon_r \frac{A}{d}$
 - Area capacitance between deep n-well and p-well Ca' $\approx \varepsilon_r \frac{A_r}{d}$
 - Sidewall capacitance between deep n-well and p-well Csw
 - Capacitance to neighbor pixels C_p



CCPD-LF matrix layout







• IV curves (Version A)

1.8V on collection well negative high voltage on "Back bias"





Breakdown = -114V

0V

Measurement results – breakdown voltage

• IV curves (Version B)

positive high voltage on collection well, "HV" HV and electronics are coupled with C

+HV





High voltage was applied without breaking the capacitor



In-pixel comparator and output stage





Crosstalk between pixels

universität**bonn**





- Measured on a submatrix of 3 × 3 pixels
- Pixel in the middle is injected (1V \approx 12 k e^{-}), Th = 1V, BL = 0.75V
- Crosstalk is very small and comparable between versions A and B

Threshold scan

universität**bonn**



CSA – old and new





universität**bonn**

 Comparator's architecture remained the same, but re-scaling transistors allowed to significantly reduce threshold dispersion



CPPM (S. Godiot, S. Kipfer, P. Pangaud)

SRAM – other kinds



Standard CMOS SRAM

- Smallest area
- No control over slew rate

Current steering CMOS (CS-CMOS)

- Nearly constant current consumption
- Lower noise due to slew rate control with mirror bias
- Each cell consumes constant current (1μA)
- Twice bigger area than standard CMOS

CMOS SRAM with source follower

- SF drives bit line (big, common to all pixels), not the memory element itself
- Only two current mirrors ($\approx 20\mu A$) per column



