

KERNFORSCHUNGSZENTRUM

KARLSRUHE

Oktober 1969

KFK 1027

Institut für Angewandte Kernphysik

High-Precision Measurement of the Total n-p Scattering Cross Section in the Energy Range 0.7-32 MeV

S. Cierjacks, P. Forti, G.J. Kirouac, D. Kopsch, L. Kropp, J. Nebe



GESELLSCHAFT FUR KERNFORSCHUNG M.B.H.

KARLSRUHE

.

13 October 1969

HIGH-PRECISION MEASUREMENT OF THE TOTAL n-p SCATTERING CROSS SECTION IN THE ENERGY RANGE 0.7-32 MeV

S. Cierjacks, P. Forti, * G. J. Kirouac, D. Kopsch, L. Kropp, and J. Nebe Institut für Angewandte Kernphysik, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Federal Republic of Germany (Received 7 August 1969)

The total n-p cross section has been measured using the neutron time-of-flight spectrometer at the Karlsruhe isochronous cyclotron. The measurements span the energy range from 0.7 to 32 MeV with statistical accuracy which varies from 0.2 to 1.0% and an energy resolution of 0.7 keV at 0.7 MeV and 200 keV at 30 MeV. No evidence was found for the recently reported oscillations in the total n-p scattering cross section.

It was recently reported¹ that the total n-p scattering cross section shows small but statistically significant oscillations as a function of energy. These oscillations were attributed to the manifestation of the charge-exchange process in neutron-proton scattering.² In this paper we describe a new precision measurement of the total n-p cross section performed with the high-resolution time-of-flight spectrometer³ at the Karlsruhe isochronous cyclotron (KIC). The objectives of this investigation were to search for the reported structure and to provide additional highprecision data in the region 1-30 MeV.

The total n-p cross section was determined by transmission measurements using high-purity polyethylene and H₂O samples. The polyethylene samples were provided by Badische Anilin & Soda Fabrik, Ludwigshafen. Graphite samples with the same number of carbon atoms per cm² were placed in the open beam position to eliminate the effects of neutron interactions with the carbon. The oxygen cross section previously measured with our spectrometer was subtracted from the observed H₂O cross section. Normalization difficulties for the sample-in and sampleout measurements were eliminated by alternat-

ing the sample in the neutron beam on a 250-sec cycle. The polyethylene samples had thicknesses of 1.2167 and 0.19295 hydrogen atoms/b and the H₂O sample had a thickness of 0.64706 atoms/b. The hydrogen concentrations were calculated from measured densities and carbon-to-hydrogen ratios. The ratios were determined by chemical analysis. The flight path was 57.399 m and the neutron detector was a proton-recoil liquid scintillator NE 213, 9 cm diam and 1 cm thick. The time-of-flight spectrum was accumulated in 8000 data channels of a LABEN UC-KB digital time analyzer. The channel width was ~1 nsec, which, folded with a 1.5-nsec neutron burst and 1.8-nsec overall electronic resolution, was equivalent to an energy resolution of 0.72 keV at 0.7 MeV and 200 keV at 30 MeV. Since the cross section was found to be smoothly varying with energy, some channel grouping was employed after the measurements to further improve counting statistics. The LABEN time analyzer can accept up to four counts in the $8-\mu$ sec clock rundown period without counting loss. Residual dead-time effects were accouted for in the final cross-section calculation.

The total n-p scattering cross section from 0.7

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

13 October 1969




FIG. 1. (a) The *n-p* cross section in the energy range 0.7-32 MeV. (b) The difference $\Delta \sigma_{np}$ between experimental and theoretical *n-p* cross sections. The expression for σ_{np} (theo) was derived by fitting the Gammel formula to our data in the entire energy range shown. Error bars representing statistical uncertainties are shown on some of the points.

to 32 MeV is shown in Fig. 1. Between 4.5 and 32 MeV the data are shown with two-channel grouping of the original spectra; from 4.5 to 1.5 MeV and 1.5 to 0.7 MeV groups of four and 16 channels were taken, respectively. The total uncertainty in the absolute cross section is less than 2%. This value includes estimates of errors in the dead-time correction ($\leq 1.5\%$), uncertainty in the carbon compensation or oxygen crosssection subtraction (0.2-1.0%), and uncertainty in the various sample thicknesses (0.2%). The latter two uncertainties are small because independent measurements were made with two polyethylene samples and one H₂O sample. A systematic study of the energy dependence of the n-ptotal cross section was made by calculating the difference $\sigma_{np}(\text{expt}) - \sigma_{np}(\text{theo}) = \Delta \sigma_{np}$.

This quantity is shown in Fig. 1(b). The expression for σ_{np} (theo) was derived by least-squares fitting of the five-parameter equation given by Gammel⁴ to our data. No periodic oscillations in the energy dependence of σ_{np} are apparent in Fig. 1, although Hrehuss and Czibók

have reported oscillations of 50-150 mb in the energy range 0.8-5.2 MeV. The magnitude of $\Delta \sigma_{nn}$ in Fig. 1(b) is typically ±5 mb and all differences from zero appear to be statistical. We have made a statistical analysis of the deviations of $\Delta \sigma_{np}$ from zero. The analysis was a significance or t test of unpaired means. We have assumed that oscillations in the cross section are described by the term $K \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\pi \alpha/E_n^{1/2})$, with α = 24.6 $MeV^{1/2}$ as given by Hrehuss and Czibók. This equation predicts 25 fluctuations between 0.7 and 32 MeV. The amplitudes of the presumed oscillations in $\Delta \sigma_{np}$ have been represented by an average over the energy range ΔE_n , where the term $K \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}\pi\alpha/E_p^{1/2})$ exceeds 90% of its extreme values. The t test was applied to the deviations of these mean values from the average value of $\Delta \sigma_{np}$ over one full cycle. The *t* values and corresponding degrees of freedom are given in Table I. Clearly none of the deviations approach the 95% confidence level ($t \approx 2$). At a confidence level of 95% this analysis also yields values for the minimum detectable fluctuation ampli-

VOLUME 23, NUMBER 15

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

13 October 1969

Table I. Statistical analysis of the total *n-p* cross section. The first column lists the energies of the oscillation peaks predicted by Hrehuss and Czibók, with $\alpha = 24.6 \text{ MeV}^{1/2}$; K_{\min} is the smallest oscillation detectable at the 95% confidence limit in our data. The third column contains the actual *t* values and corresponding degrees of freedom obtained from our analysis.

PREDICTED		K _{min} (mb)	t-VALUE	NUMBER DEGREES
OSCILLATION En(MeV)		95 % CONFIDENCE		FREEDOM
MAX.	MIN.			
<u></u>	17.222	0,60	0.31	64
12.653		0.81	1.22	74
	9.687	1.24	0.39	59
7.654		1.35	1.30	67
	6,200	2.02	0.61	50
	4.305	3.11	0.30	37
3.699		3.64	0.81	32
	3,163	4.12	0.75	33
2,756		5.59	0.87	27
	2.422	4.69	1.33	27
2.145		5.10	0.58	26
	1.914	3.97	0.15	30
1.717		4.40	0.30	35
	1,550	4.72	0.46	30
1.406		4.96	0.66	35
	1.281	6.44	0.87	31
1.172		8.46	0.68	26
	1.076	7.86	0.90	30
0.992		9.40	0.99	31
	0.917	10.50	0.40	29
0.850		11.20	0.79	39
	0.791	15.50	0.45	30
0.737		17.20	0.60	32

tude K_{\min} allowed by the statistics of our data. These values range from 0.6 mb at 17 MeV to 17 mb at 0.7 MeV and are about $\frac{1}{10}$ the size of the predicted oscillations. Therefore, at an energy of 17 MeV there is only a 5% probability that a peak 4.7 MeV wide has a peak amplitude higher than 0.6 mb.

We conclude that our data show no evidence for oscillations in the energy dependence of the n-p total cross section between 0.7 and 32 MeV.⁵ With our counting statistics and energy resolution we should easily have observed fluctuations with amplitudes as small as 0.5% of the smoothly varying cross section.

We believe that the absolute cross section reported in this work is accurate within less than 2%. Our data compare very favorably with the recommended *n-p* scattering cross section⁶ above 1.0 MeV. In the energy range 0.7-1.0 MeV a small but significant difference of about 2% exists between our data and the recommended curve. Our fitted curve is 100 mb lower at 0.7 MeV and this difference decreases to 1.0 MeV. We note however that below 1.0 MeV there have been only a few data points on which to base a recommended curve.

*Now at Laben Laboratory, Milan, Italy.

 1 G. Hrehuss and T. Czibók, Phys. Letters <u>28B</u>, 585 (1969).

²S. De Benedetti, <u>Nuclear Interactions</u> (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964), Sec. 3.15; and G. Hrehuss and T. Czibók, Central Research Institute of Physics, Budapest, Hungary, Report No. KFKI 19/ 1968 (unpublished).

³S. Cierjacks, B. Duelli, P. Forti, D. Kopsch, L. Kropp, M. Lösel, J. Nebe, H. Schweickert, and H. Unseld, Rev. Sci. Instr. <u>39</u>, 1279 (1968).

⁴J. L. Gammel, in <u>Fast Neutron Physics</u>, edited by J. B. Marion and K. L. Fowler (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1963), Pt. II, Chap. V.T.

⁵At the completion of our analysis we became aware of a search for oscillations in the n-p cross section done by R. B. Schwartz, R. A. Schrack, and H. T. Heaton, private communication. This work showed no evidence for the reported oscillations either.

⁶A. Horsley, Nucl. Data <u>2A</u>, 243 (1966).

. • .