

# KERNFORSCHUNGSZENTRUM

# KARLSRUHE

November 1977

KFK 2145

Institut für Neutronenphysik und Reaktortechnik Projekt Schneller Brüter

FANAC - A Shape-Analysis Program for **Resonance Parameter Extraction from** Neutron Capture Data for Light and Medium-Weight Nuclei

F. H. Fröhner



GESELLSCHAFT FÜR **KERNFORSCHUNG M.B.H.** 

KARLSRUHE

Als Manuskript vervielfältigt

Für diesen Bericht behalten wir uns alle Rechte vor

GESELLSCHAFT FÜR KERNFORSCHUNG M.B.H. KARLSRUHE KERNFORSCHUNGSZENTRUM KARLSRUHE

KFK 2145

Institut für Neutronenphysik und Reaktortechnik Projekt Schneller Brüter

FANAC - A Shape Analysis Program for Resonance Parameter Extraction from Neutron Capture Data for Light and Medium-Weight Nuclei

F.H. Fröhner

Gesellschaft für Kernforschung mbH., Karlsruhe

## Abstract

A least-squares shape analysis program is described which is used at the Karlsruhe Nuclear Research Center for the extraction of resonance parameters from high-resolution capture data. The FORTRAN program was written for light to medium-weight or nearmagic target nuclei whose cross sections are characterized on one hand by broad s-wave levels with negligible Doppler broadening but pronounced multi-level interference, on the other hand by narrow p-, d- ... wave resonances with negligible multi-level interference but pronounced Doppler broadening. Accordingly the Reich-Moore multi-level formalism without Doppler broadening is used for s-wave levels, and a single-level description with Doppler broadening for p-, d- ... wave levels. Calculated capture yields are resolution broadened. Multiple-collision events are simulated by Monte Carlo techniques. Up to five different time-of-flight capture data sets can be fitted simultaneously for samples containing up to ten isotopes. Input and output examples are given and a FORTRAN list is appended.

FANAC - Ein Multiniveau-Formanalysen-Programm zur Resonanzparameter-Bestimmung aus Neutroneneinfangdaten für leichte und mittelschwere Kerne

# Zusammenfassung

Ein nach der Methode der kleinsten Quadrate arbeitendes Formanalysenprogramm wird beschrieben, welches am Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe zur Bestimmung von Resonanzparametern aus Neutroneneinfangdaten hoher Auflösung verwendet wird. Dieses FORTRAN-Programm wurde für leichte bis mittelschwere oder fastmagische Kerne geschrieben, deren keV-Querschnitte charakterisiert sind einerseits durch breite s-Wellen-Resonanzen mit starker Multiniveau-Interferenz bei vernachlässigbarer Doppler-Verbreiterung, andererseits durch sehr schmale p-, d- ... Wellen-Resonanzen mit vernachlässigbarer Multiniveau-Interferenz bei starker Doppler-Verbreiterung. Dementsprechend dient der Reich-Moore-Vielniveau-Formalismus ohne Doppler-Verbreiterung zur Beschreibung der s-Wellen-Resonanzen, während die p-, d- ... Wellen-Resonanzen durch Einniveau-Formeln mit Doppler-Verbreiterung beschrieben sind. Die gerechneten Einfangausbeuten werden auflösungsverbreitert. Vielfachstöße werden mit Hilfe der Monte-Carlo-Methode simuliert. An Einfangdaten von bis zu fünf verschiedenen Flugzeitmessungen kann gleichzeitig angepaßt werden für Proben bestehend aus bis zu zehn verschiedenen Isotopen. Ein- und Ausgabebeispiele sowie eine FORTRAN-Liste sind beigefügt.

## 1. Purpose and Main Characteristics of the Code

# 2. Formulae

- 2.1 Cross sections for l = 0
- 2.2 Cross sections for l = 1
- 2.3 Capture yields
- 2.4 Monte Carlo calculation of multiple-collision capture yields
- 2.5 Detector efficienies
- 2.6 Resolution function
- 3. The Code
  - 3.1 Subroutines

CARDIN INDEX CONIJ MEV CONE PRY SEY MUY EFFI XSECT KMAT CHOBAN ADJ KEV YPLOT PAROUT SIMP VOIGT TGAUSS RANDOM

- 3.2 Input description
- 3.3 Output description
- 4. Example
- 5. References

Appendix

# 1. Main Characteristics of the Code

In the present report a computer program is described which was developped for shape analysis of data on neutron capture by structural materials. The program, FANAC, extracts resonance parameters from high-resolution capture yields measured with the time-of-flight method and calculates neutron capture cross sections corrected for experimental effects such as selfshielding, multiple scattering, instrumental resolution and detector efficiency. It permits determination of up to 20 cross section parameters by simultaneously fitting calculated capture yield curves to experimental data from up to 5 time-of-flight measurements that may differ with respect to sample thickness, flight path or other experimental characteristics. The measured and calculated capture yield data and the resulting cross sections are plotted with a general-purpose plotting subroutine in use at Karlsruhe (subroutine PLOTA, Ref. 1).

The methods employed are similar to those of the programs FANAL (for shape analysis of transmission data, Ref. 2 ) and TACASI (for single-level analysis of transmission areas, capture areas and self-indication ratios, Ref. 4 ). The main advantage over the area analysis code TACASI consists in the possibility to treat many resonances simultaneously, the more reliable interpretation of incompletely resolved multiplets and the multi-level cross section formalism which allows a better description of multiple scattering. FANAC fits obtained between 6 and 165 keV for various iron and nickel isotopes are shown e.g. in Ref. 3.

Normally one derives neutron resonance capture cross sections from capture yield data, measured with the time-of-flight method by detection of the prompt gamma radiation that is emitted after each capture event. The count rate observed in a narrow flight time interval with neutron flux  $\phi$ , after dead-time and background correction, can be written as

(1)

where y, called the capture yield, is the probability that an incoming neutron is captured, and  $\varepsilon$  is the detector efficiency. The data reduction consists of stripping off  $\phi$  and  $\varepsilon$  to get y and then to extract from y the capture cross section. For thin samples  $y \approx n\sigma_{\gamma}$ , where n is the sample thickness in atoms/b and  $\sigma_{\gamma}$  the radiative-capture cross section. The flux  $\phi$  can be measured with a reference sample having a sufficiently well known yield (e.g. gold); the efficiency (or efficiency ratio in case of a relative measurement) is determined by the detector characteristics.

In practice one measures the resolution-broadened capture yield

$$\bar{y}(E) = \int r(E,E')y(E')dE',$$
 (2)

where r(E,E')dE' is the probability that capture events induced by neutrons with energies E' in dE' are registered as if the energy were E.

A further complication arises especially for light- and medium weight nuclei from the fact that their scattering cross sections are very much larger than their capture cross sections. As a consequence self-shielding and multiple-scattering corrections are quite important for practical sample thicknesses and y cannot be taken as simply  $n\sigma_{\gamma}$ , but must be calculated as a more complicated functional of the total and capture cross sections.

Strictly speaking, the cross sections are Doppler-broadened by the thermal motion of the sample atoms. For light and mediumweight nuclides, however, Doppler broadening of typical s-wave resonances can be neglected. For the narrow p-, d- ... wave levels, on the other hand, Doppler broadening is important. Consequently, the FANAC program treats instrumental resolution according to Eq. (2) but neglects Doppler broadening for s-wave levels. It is therefore applicable only to resonance data where the Doppler width  $\Delta = \sqrt{4 \text{ EkT}/A}$  is much smaller than the width of the typical s-wave resonances. Narrow p-, d- ... wave levels are Doppler broadened.

The s-wave cross sections are parametrized with an R-matrix multi-level formula, whereas multi-level interference effects are neglected for narrow (p-, d-wave)levels. The program starts by calculating cross sections and capture yields from approximate values of the parameters. These starting values are then improved by application of the least-squares method (cf. e.g. Ref. 4,5). In order to make this method applicable the problem is linearized by Taylor expansion with respect to the cross section parameters and truncation after the linear terms. The solution of the linearized problem is thus an approximation which can be improved by iteration. The program iterates until the number of iterations reaches a prescribed limit or until the sum of squared deviations,  $\chi_k^2$ , differs by less than a given small fraction  $\epsilon$  from that of the preceding step,  $\chi_{k-1}^2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{x_k^2 - x_{k-1}^2}{x_k^2} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

(3)

where

$$\chi_{k}^{2} = \sum_{i} \left( \frac{\eta_{i} - \overline{y}_{i}^{(k)}}{\delta \eta_{i}} \right)^{2}; \qquad (4)$$

 $\eta_i$ : i-th measured capture yield,

The parameters to which  $\chi^2$  is most sensitive are

- resonance energies E<sub>o</sub>;
- radiation widths  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$  and neutron widths  $\Gamma_n$  of strongly scattering (typically s-wave) levels with  $\Gamma_n >> \Gamma_{\gamma}$ ;
- the capture peak area parameter  $g_J\Gamma_n\Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma$  for narrow (typically p-, d- or f-wave) levels with unknown  $g_J\Gamma_n$ . If  $g_J\Gamma_n$  is known one can get  $g_J\Gamma_\gamma$ .

# 2. Formulae

## 2.1 Cross sections for l = 0

In order to explain the approximations employed in FANAC the relevant cross section formalism is briefly reviewed in this section.

The total and reaction cross sections for a single nuclide and l = 0 (s-wave interactions) can be written as

$$\sigma_{\rm TO} = 2\pi \chi_{\rm n}^2 \sum_{\rm J} g_{\rm J} (1-{\rm Re} \ U_{\rm nn}^{\rm J}), \qquad (5)$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{O}} = \pi \chi_{\mathbf{n}}^{2} \sum_{\mathbf{J}} g_{\mathbf{J}} |\delta_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}} - U_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{J}}|^{2}$$
(6)

where  $2\pi \star_n$  is the neutron wave length in the center-of-mass system,  $\delta_{nx}$  the Kronecker symbol and  $g_J = (1/2)(2J+1)/(2I+1)$ the spin factor, with J and I the compound and target spin quantum numbers, respectively. The subscripts of the collision matrix elements  $U_{nn}^J$  and  $U_{nx}^J$  refer to reaction channels (n: elastic scattering, x: arbitrary reaction). For l = 0 the spin sum consists of only one or two terms  $(|I-1/2)| \le J \le I+1/2)$ . According to R-matrix theory (Ref. 6) one can write

$$U_{cc}^{J} = \Omega_{c} \left[ (1 - iK)^{-1} (1 + iK) \right]_{cc} \Omega_{c}, \qquad (7)$$

with

$$K_{cc'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda c}^{1/2} \Gamma_{\lambda c'}^{1/2}}{E_{\lambda}^{-E}}, \qquad (8)$$
$$\Omega_{c} = e^{-ik} c^{a} c , \qquad (9)$$

where  $a_{C}$  is the R-matrix channel radius and the level sum in Eq. (8) runs over all ( $\infty$ ) s-wave levels with spin J. The partial-width amplitudes

$$\Gamma_{\lambda c}^{1/2} = (2k_c a_c)^{1/2} \gamma_{\lambda c}$$
(10)

are real quantities and vary with energy as  $k_c^{1/2}$ , where  $k_c^2 = 1/\chi_c^2 = 2m_c(E-E_c)/\hbar^2$  (m<sub>c</sub>: reduced mass,  $E_c$ : reaction threshold,  $\gamma_{\lambda c}$ : energy-independent reduced width amplitude). The  $\Gamma_{\lambda c}^{1/2}$  are to be understood as having the sign of  $\gamma_{\lambda c}$ .

In practice the level sum in Eq. (8) must be restricted to a finite number of resonances, typically those in a given energy interval. The levels outside this interval (distant levels) give rise to a K-matrix component,  $K^{O}$  say, that is smooth inside the interval. We assume that direct reactions are negligible. In this case  $K^{O}$  is diagonal and one can account for the distant levels omitted in Eq. (8) by using, instead of Eqs. (9) and (10),

$$\Omega_{c} = \exp\left[-i\left(k_{c}a_{c}^{-}\operatorname{arc}\tan K_{cc}^{0}\right)\right]$$
(11)

$$r_{\lambda c}^{1/2} = (2k_{c}a_{c})^{1/2} \frac{\gamma_{\lambda c}}{|1 - iK_{cc}^{0}|}$$
(12)

with

$$K_{cc}^{O} = k_{c}a_{c}\sum_{\lambda}'\frac{\gamma_{\lambda c}^{2}}{E_{\lambda}-E} , \qquad (13)$$

the prime indicating that the sum contains only terms from outside the interval  $\Delta E$  (Ref. 6). Although the  $\gamma_{\lambda C}$  and  $E_{\lambda}$  outside  $\Delta E$  are mostly unknown one can estimate  $K_{CC}^{O}$  by means of level-statistical theory:

Introducing the optical-model pole strength,  $s_c = \langle \gamma_{\lambda c}^2 \rangle_{\lambda} / D_c$ ( $D_c$ : mean level spacing), one can replace the sum by an integration,

$$\sum_{\lambda}' \frac{\gamma_{\lambda C}^{2}}{E_{\lambda} - E} \approx P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE' \frac{s_{C}(E')}{E' - E} - P \int_{\overline{E} - \Delta E/2}^{\overline{E} + \Delta E/2} dE' \frac{s_{C}(E')}{E' - E}, \quad (14)$$

where  $P \int$  indicates Canchy's principal value, whereas  $\Delta E$  and  $\overline{E}$  are length and mid-point of the energy interval excluded from the sum. The first integral is the so-called distant-level parameter, usually denoted by  $R_{C}^{\infty}$ , that describes the influence of the energy variation of  $s_{C}$  (including the vanishing of  $s_{C}$  below the ground state energy). Furthermore one can neglect the energy variation of  $s_{C}$  and  $R_{C}^{\infty}$  in the interval if  $\Delta E$  is small compared to the spacing of optical-model size resonances ( $\sim 2$  MeV). Then

$$K_{CC}^{O}(E) \approx k_{C} a_{C} R_{C}^{\infty}(\overline{E}) + 2k_{C} a_{C} s_{C}^{C}(\overline{E}) ar \tanh \frac{E-\overline{E}}{\Delta E/2}$$
. (15)

The contribution of distant levels can thus be estimated from the level-statistical parameters  $R_c^{\infty}$  and  $s_c$  or, equivalently, from the effective nuclear radius

$$R_{c}^{\prime} = a_{c}^{\prime} (1 - R_{c}^{\infty})$$
 (16)

and the s-wave strength function

$$S_{co} = 2k_{c}a_{c}s_{c}\sqrt{\frac{1 \text{ eV}}{E-E_{c}}}.$$
 (17)

After thus reducing the number of levels to a manageable size one can reduce the dimensionality of the matrix K. Following Reich and Moore (Refs. 7,8) one applies the Teichmann-Wigner channel elimination prescription (Ref. 6) to the photon channels and then introduces the approximation

$$\sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Y}} \Gamma_{\lambda \mathbf{C}}^{1/2} \Gamma_{\mu \mathbf{C}}^{1/2} \approx \delta_{\lambda \mu} \sum_{\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{Y}} \Gamma_{\lambda \mathbf{C}} = \delta_{\lambda \mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \mathbf{Y}}, \qquad (18)$$

where the summation is over photon channels and  $\Gamma_{\lambda\gamma}$  is the total radiation width. This is justified by the usually large number of radiative transitions that can de-excite the compound state and by the fact that the  $\Gamma_{\lambda c}^{1/2}$  are symmetrically distributed around zero so that the cross terms in the sum tend to cancel, in contrast to the terms with  $\lambda=\mu$ . The result is the Reich-Moore prescription

$$U_{CC}^{J} = \Omega_{C} [(1-iK)^{-1}(1+iK)]_{CC} \Omega_{C}'$$
  
=  $\Omega_{C} [2(1-iK)^{-1}-1]_{CC} \Omega_{C}'$  (19)

$$K_{cc'} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda c}^{1/2} \Gamma_{\lambda c'}^{1/2}}{E_{\lambda}^{-E-i\Gamma_{\lambda \gamma}/2}}, \qquad (20)$$

valid inside the interval of explicitly given resonances  $(\vec{E}-\Delta E/2 < E_{\lambda} < \vec{E}+\Delta E/2)$  and for particle channels  $(c,c' \notin \gamma)$ . All cross sections except those for neutron capture can now be obtained from Eqs. (5), (6), while the capture cross sections must be calculated as

$$\sigma_{\gamma O} = \sigma_{TO} - \sum_{\mathbf{x} \notin \gamma} \sigma_{\mathbf{x}O} = \pi \star_{\mathbf{n}}^2 \sum_{\mathbf{J}} g_{\mathbf{J}} (1 - \sum_{\mathbf{x} \notin \gamma} |\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{x}}^{\mathbf{J}}|^2).$$
(21)

The last equation follows from the unitarity of the collision matrix. The Reich-Moore formalism as a genuine R matrix formalism guarantees this unitarity: The restriction (18) for the partial radiation widths affects neither the symmetry nor the reality of the K-matrix. The same is true for the approximation (11), (15) to the distant levels.

In the FANAC program s-wave cross sections are calculated according to the Eqs. (5), (6), (11), (15), (19), (20), (21). The number of particle channels is restricted to 2 (elastic channel plus at most one inelastic channel ), so that 1-iK is at most a 2x2 matrix which is easily inverted.

2.2 Cross sections for  $l \ge 1$ 

The cross sections for partial waves with  $l \ge 1$  are described in FANAC by

$$\sigma_{\rm T1} = \sum_{\lambda} (\sigma_0 \psi)_{\lambda} + 4\pi \chi_n^2 \cdot 3 \sin^2(k_n R_1^{\dagger} - \arctan k_n R_1^{\dagger}), \qquad (22)$$

$$\sigma_{x1} = \sum_{\lambda} \left( \frac{\Gamma_x}{\Gamma} \sigma_0 \psi \right)_{\lambda} \qquad (x=n,n',\gamma), \qquad (23)$$

where

$$\sigma_{0} = 4\pi \dot{x}_{n}^{2} g_{J} \frac{\Gamma_{n}}{r} , \qquad (24)$$

$$\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dE' \frac{\exp[-(E-E')^{2}/\Delta^{2}]}{\Delta\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma^{2}/4}{(E'-E_{0})^{2}+\Gamma^{2}/4} , \quad (25)$$
$$\Delta = \sqrt{\frac{4kTE_{0}}{A}} . \quad (26)$$

Thus levels associated with  $l \ge 1$  are treated as Doppler-broadened, non-interfering resonances. The total cross section  $\sigma_{T1}$  contains also the potential-scattering term for p-wave scattering. Potential scattering for  $l \ge 2$  and potential-resonance interference for  $l \ge 1$  is neglected. The quantity kT in Eq. (26) is the Lambcorrected (Ref. 9 ) sample temperature in energy units,  $E_0$  the resonance energy and A the nuclear mass devided by the neutron mass.

The cross sections are then

 $\sigma_{\rm T} = \sigma_{\rm TO} + \sigma_{\rm T1} \quad (27)$ 

$$\sigma_{x} = \sigma_{x0} + \sigma_{x1} \qquad (x=n,n') , \qquad (28)$$

$$\sigma_{\gamma} = \sigma_{T} - \sigma_{n} - \sigma_{n'}$$
 (29)

The cross section parameters are (for each isotope, spin and parity)

- the potential-scattering parameters
  R'\_\_\_\_\_\_ and S\_\_\_\_\_\_ for the s-wave channel(s) (cf. Eqs. (16), (17)),
  R'\_\_\_\_\_\_ for the p-wave (Eq. (22)),
- the resonance parameters  $E_{\lambda}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\lambda n}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\lambda n}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\lambda \gamma}$  and, if  $\Gamma_{n} \neq 0$ , the relative signs  $sgn(\Gamma_{\lambda n}^{1/2}\Gamma_{\lambda n}^{1/2}) = sgn(\gamma_{\lambda n}\gamma_{\lambda n})$ .

For non-monotopic samples the isotopic cross sections must, of course, be multiplied by the appropriate abundances (and, in the case of  $\sigma_{\gamma}$ , by the detection efficiencies) and summed over all isotopes present in the sample, as explained in the next section.

## 2.4 Capture yields

The capture yield can be written as a collision series,

$$y = y_0 + y_1 + y_2 + \dots$$
 (30)

where the subscripts 0, 1, 2,... refer to the number of scattering collisions after which capture occurs. In order to be more specific we need

- the probability  $exp(-n\sigma_T)$  for a neutron to traverse without interaction a layer of material of thickness n (atoms/b);
- the probability  $dn(d\sigma_n/d\Omega)d\Omega$  that scattering into a solidangle element  $d\Omega$  occurs within an infinitesimal sample layer dn;

- the corresponding probability dng, for capture in a layer dn.

We can then write, for a sample of uniform thickness n, and cross sections  $\sigma_{\rm T}$ ,  $d\sigma_{\rm n}/d\Omega$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm v}$  for the incident energy,

$$y_{0} = \int_{0}^{n - n'\sigma} dn'\sigma_{Y}$$

$$y_{1} = \int_{0}^{n - n'\sigma} dn' \int_{4\pi}^{\sigma} d\Omega \frac{d\sigma_{n}}{d\Omega} \int_{0}^{n + n'\sigma} dn' \int_{0}^{\sigma} d\sigma_{n}^{-n'\sigma} d\sigma_{n$$

etc.

where the subscripts 1,2,... refer to the number of preceding scattering collisions. The cross sections  $\sigma_{Tk}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma k}$ ,  $d\sigma_{nk}/d\Omega_k$ depend on the neutron energy after k scattering collisions, which in turn depends on the angles and nuclear masses involved in all preceding collisions. Similarly the maximum material thickness  $n_k$  that a neutron can traverse without interaction after the k-th scattering collision depends on the spatial and angular coordinates of all k preceding collisions.

Performing the last integration in each term  $y_k$  one finds

$$y_{0} = (1 - e^{-n\sigma_{T}}) \frac{\sigma_{Y}}{\sigma_{T}}$$

$$y_{1} = (1 - e^{-n\sigma_{T}}) \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{T}} \left\langle (1 - e^{-n1\sigma_{T1}}) \frac{\sigma_{Y1}}{\sigma_{T1}} \right\rangle_{1}$$

$$y_{2} = (1 - e^{-n\sigma_{T}}) \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{T}} \left\langle (1 - e^{-n1\sigma_{T1}}) \frac{\sigma_{n1}}{\sigma_{T1}} \left\langle (1 - e^{-n2\sigma_{T2}}) \frac{\sigma_{Y2}}{\sigma_{T2}} \right\rangle_{2} \right\rangle_{1}$$

etc.

(32)

(31)

where the brackets

$$\left\langle \dots \right\rangle_{k} \equiv \int_{0}^{n_{k}\sigma_{Tk}} \frac{e^{-n_{k}\sigma_{Tk}}}{1-e^{-n_{k}\sigma_{Tk}}} \int_{4\pi}^{d\Omega_{k}} \frac{d\sigma_{nk}}{\sigma_{nk}} \dots \quad (33)$$

denote averages over all possible scattering angles  $\theta_k$  and azimuths  $\phi_k$  ( $d\Omega_k = d(\cos\theta_k)d\phi_k$ ) and all possible places for the k-th collision, the frequency distributions on the right-hand side of Eq. (33) being properly normalized to unity. The upper limit  $n_k \sigma_{Tk}$ for the spatial distribution of interaction points along the neutron trajectory is just the maximum number of mean free paths that a neutron can traverse before the k-th collision, i.e. the distance between the (k-1)-th collision point (or, for k=1, the entrance point) and the sample surface. The quantities occuring in Eq. (32) can be interpreted as "thin sample" scattering and capture yields  $n_k \sigma_{nk}$  and  $n_k \sigma_{\gamma k}$ , corrected for beam attenuation (self-shielding) by the factors  $(1-\exp(-n_k \sigma_{Tk}))/(n_k \sigma_{Tk})$ .

Since each average  $\langle \dots \rangle_k$  implies three integrations as shown by Eq. (33) the dimensionality of the integrals to be calculated for  $y_k$  increases rapidly with k, and only the first-collision yield is a simple function of cross sections and sample thickness.

Already the second-collision yield looks rather awkward even in the simple case of infinite-slab geometry:

$$y_{1} = (1 - e^{-n\sigma_{T}}) \frac{\sigma_{n}}{\sigma_{T}} \int_{d\mu}^{1} p(\mu) (1 - \frac{1 - e^{t - t'}}{t - t'} \frac{t}{1 - e^{t}})$$
(34)

)

with

$$t \equiv sgn \mu \cdot n\sigma_{T}$$
,  $t' \equiv sgn \mu \cdot \frac{n\sigma_{T1}}{\mu}$  (35)

where  $\mu$  is the cosine of the scattering angle and  $p(\mu)d\mu$  the probability for  $\mu$  in  $d\mu$ .

Higher-order terms in the collision expansion are increasingly more complicated functionals of the cross sections  $\sigma_{\rm T}$ ,  $\sigma_{\rm n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma}$ .

The Monte Carlo method is best suited to calculate multidimensional integrals like those in Eqs. 32. In our case one has to simulate a sufficient number of multiple-collision events by sampling the neutron beam profile for the entry point and then, for successive collisions, the path length before the collision from

$$p(s')ds' = \frac{e^{-s'}}{1-e^{-s}}ds'$$
 (0

the c.m.s. scattering angle  $\theta_{c}$  from

$$p(\mu_c)d\mu_c = \frac{d\mu_c}{2} \qquad (-1 \leq \mu_c \equiv \cos\theta_c \leq 1), \quad (37)$$

the azimuth  $\phi_{C}$  from

$$p(\phi_{c}) d\phi_{c} = \frac{1}{2\pi} d\phi_{c} \qquad (0 \le \phi_{c} \le 2\pi) . \qquad (38)$$

In writing down Eq. 37 we assumed isotropic c.m.s. scattering. In this case the simplest sampling technique is applicable to all three distributions: One samples a distribution p(x) dxby generating a random number  $\rho$  between 0 and 1 and solving the equation

$$\rho = \int_{0}^{x} p(x') dx'$$
(39)

for x. From the sampled c.m.s. quantities  $\mu_c$  and  $\phi_c$  one gets the corresponding quantities in the lab system,

$$\mu = \frac{A\mu_{c} + 1}{\sqrt{A^{2} + 2A\mu_{c} + 1}} = \cos\theta, \qquad (40)$$

$$\phi = \phi_{\mathbf{C}} \tag{41}$$

and the new energy

$$E' = E \frac{A^2 + 2A\mu_c + 1}{(A+1)^2}$$
(42)

Although Eqs. 40-42 are valid only for target nuclei at rest and purely elastic scattering they are used in FANAC for target nuclei in thermal motion and also for inelastic collisions. Now Monte Carlo tests with the TACASI code (Ref. 4) showed that in the absence of inelastic processes the stationary-target approximation does not lead to significant errors in multiple-collision capture yield calculations even for very thick samples, except perhaps for very light sample nuclei (see Ref. 4). Application of Eqs. 40-42 to inelastic collisions, however, has no justification other than convenience and the fact that for light and medium-weight nuclei inelastic scattering in the keV region is usually much less probable than elastic scattering. The FANAC code must therefore be used with caution if both inelastic scattering and multiple collisions are important, i.e. if  $\sigma_n$ ,  $\gtrsim \sigma_n >> \sigma_\gamma$  and  $n\sigma_T \gtrsim 1$ .

Once the point of collision and the angles are established for the k-th collision one can calculate E' and find the corresponding cross sections  $\sigma_{Tk} = \sigma_T(E')$ ,  $\sigma_{nk} = \sigma_n(E')$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma k} = \sigma_{\gamma}(E')$ . The sample thickness  $n_k$  to the surface is obtained as follows: The polar angle 0 was introduced above as the lab scattering angle. This implies use of a reference frame which has its polar axis parallel to the pre-collision velocity of the neutron. For the calculation of  $n_k$ , however, a lab reference frame is needed in which the sample surface has a simple description. We therefore take as the lab system a reference frame which has its z-axis coincident with the axis of the cylindrical disc sample. The transformation between both reference frames can be established as follows.

Let the neutron directions before and after the collision be given by the unit vectors  $\vec{\Omega}$  and  $\vec{\Omega}'$ . In order to calculate the co-ordinates of  $\vec{\Omega}'$  from those of  $\vec{\Omega}$  and from the scattering angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  we introduce an intermediate reference frame which has its z-axis parallel to  $\vec{\Omega}$ , so that

$$\vec{\Omega} = (0, 0, 1),$$
 (43)

$$\overline{\Omega}' = (\sin\theta \, \cos\phi, \, \sin\theta \, \sin\phi, \, \cos\theta). \tag{44}$$

In the lab system we introduce polar co-ordinates by writing (see Fig. 1)

 $\vec{\Omega} = (\Omega_x, \Omega_y, \Omega_z) = (\sin \zeta \cos \eta, \sin \zeta \sin \eta, \cos \zeta)$  (45)

Now a unit vector can be brought from the position (0,0,1) into the position  $(\sin\zeta \cos\eta, \sin\zeta \sin\eta, \cos\zeta)$  by two successive rotations (Fig. 2):

(1) rotation about the y"-axis, through an angle  $\zeta$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{*} \\ \mathbf{y}^{*} \\ \mathbf{z}^{*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\zeta & \mathbf{o} & \sin\zeta \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ -\sin\zeta & \mathbf{0} & \cos\zeta \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}^{*} \\ \mathbf{y}^{*} \\ \mathbf{z}^{*} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (46)$$

(2) rotation about the z"-axis, through an angle  $\eta$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \eta & -\sin \eta & \mathbf{0} \\ \sin \eta & \cos \eta & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}' \\ \mathbf{y}' \\ \mathbf{z}' \end{pmatrix} .$$
(47)

Combining the two transformations and expressing the polar coordinates of  $\vec{\Omega}$  by its Cartesian coordinates,

$$\cos\zeta = \Omega_{z} , \quad \cos\eta = \frac{\Omega_{x}}{\sqrt{1-\Omega_{z}^{2}}} ,$$

$$\sin\zeta = \sqrt{1-\Omega_{z}^{2}} , \quad \sin\eta = \frac{\Omega_{y}}{\sqrt{1-\Omega_{z}^{2}}} ,$$
(48)

we find eventually the lab coordinates of  $\vec{\Omega}$ '

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega'_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \Omega'_{\mathbf{y}} \\ \Omega'_{\mathbf{z}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \Omega_{\mathbf{z}} (1 - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}^{2})^{-1/2} & -\Omega_{\mathbf{y}} (1 - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}^{2})^{-1/2} & \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} \\ \Omega_{\mathbf{y}} \Omega_{\mathbf{z}} (1 - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}^{2})^{-1/2} & \Omega_{\mathbf{x}} (1 - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}^{2})^{-1/2} & \Omega_{\mathbf{y}} \\ - (1 - \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}^{2})^{+1/2} & 0 & \Omega_{\mathbf{z}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sin\theta\cos\phi \\ \sin\theta\sin\phi \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix}$$
(49)

If  $\Omega_z^2 = 1$  this expression fails and is to be replaced by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega' \\ \mathbf{x} \\ \Omega' \\ \mathbf{y} \\ \Omega' \\ \mathbf{z} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin^{\theta} \hat{\cos} \phi \\ \sin^{\theta} \sin \phi \\ \cos^{\theta} \end{pmatrix} \Omega_{\mathbf{z}}.$$
 (50)

Now we can calculate  $n_k \sigma_{Tk}$ , the number of mean free paths in the direction  $\vec{\Omega}$  between the last collision point,  $(x_0, y_0, z_0)$ , and the sample surface. The equations of the neutron trajectory are

$$\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{o}}}{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{x}}} = \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{o}}}{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{y}}} = \frac{\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{o}}}{\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{z}}} , \qquad (51, 52)$$

Let the surfaces of the cylindrical-disc sample be given by

$$z = 0 \qquad (front face), \qquad (53)$$

$$z = n \qquad (back face), \qquad (54)$$

$$x^{2}+y^{2} = r^{2} \qquad (cylinder surface), \qquad (55)$$

.

where all distances are expressed in units of atoms/b. The point where the neutron trajectory intersects the cylinder is defined by the solution of Eqs. 51, 52 and 55,

with 
$$d_0 = \frac{\sqrt{b^2 + ac - b}}{a}$$
, (57)

and 
$$a = \Omega_x^2 + \Omega_y^2$$
, (58)

 $b = x_0 \Omega_x + y_0 \Omega_y, \qquad (59)$ 

$$c = r^2 - x_0^2 - y_0^2 . (60)$$

The quantity  $d_0$  is just the distance to the cylinder surface. This must be compared to the distance to the front face,

$$d_1 = -\frac{z_0}{\Omega_z} , \qquad (61)$$

if  $\Omega_z^{<0}$ , and to the distance to the back face,

$$d_2 = \frac{n - z_0}{\Omega_z} , \qquad (62)$$

if  $\Omega_z>0$ , the smaller quantity being the distance  $n_k$  (in atoms/b) that the neutron must traverse before it can escape from the sample:

$$n_{k} = \begin{cases} \min(d, d_{1}) & \text{if } \Omega_{z} < 0 , \\ d_{0} & \text{if } \Omega_{z} = 0 , \\ \min(d, d_{2}) & \text{if } \Omega_{z} > 0 . \end{cases}$$
(63)

One can now compute the quantities

$$[1-\exp(-n_k\sigma_{Tk})]\cdot\sigma_{nk}/\sigma_{Tk}$$
 and  $[1-\exp(-n_k\sigma_{Tk})]\cdot\sigma_{\gamma k}/\sigma_{Tk}$ 

and eventually the products

$$\begin{array}{c} k-1 \\ \Pi \\ j=0 \end{array} (1-e^{-n}j^{\sigma}Tj) \frac{\sigma_{nj}}{q_{Tj}} (1-e^{-n}K^{\sigma}Tk) \frac{\sigma_{\gamma}k}{q_{Tk}}$$
(64)

whose average over many such simulated multiple-collisions events constitutes the Monte Carlo estimate of  $y_k$ .

# 2.5 Detector efficiencies

For non-monotopic samples the cross sections from which the capture yields must be calculated are actually abundanceweighted sums over all isotopes present in the sample,  $\sigma_{\rm T} = \Sigma a_{\rm i} \sigma_{\rm Ti}, \sigma_{\rm x} = \Sigma a_{\rm i} \sigma_{\rm xi},$  with the exception of the capture cross section for which the weighting must also account for the usual isotope dependence of the capture detector efficiency (see e.g. Ref. 10). The required quantity is not the yield itself but rather the "observable yield",  $y \epsilon \equiv \Sigma y_{\dagger} \epsilon_{\dagger}$ . It is obtained if the cross section for detected capture,  $\sigma_{\gamma} \epsilon \equiv \Sigma a_{i} \sigma_{\gamma} \epsilon_{i}$ , is used instead of  $\sigma_{\gamma} = \Sigma a_i \sigma_{\gamma i}$ . For nuclei with low level density (in the light-to-medium mass range or near closed shells) capture  $\gamma$ -ray spectra fluctuate significantly from resonance to resonance. The efficiencies  $\varepsilon_i$  depend therefore on energy if the capture detector is sensitive to these fluctuations. To the extent that level-level interference may be neglected for the capture cross section one can assume a different  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum and hence a different efficiency associated with each resonance. The efficiency to be used at a given energy is then

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\lambda}{\sum_{\substack{\lambda \\ \lambda \\ \lambda \\ \gamma \lambda}}^{\Sigma \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathbf{i}\lambda} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{i}\lambda}}}, \qquad (65)$$

where each  $\sigma_{\gamma\,\lambda}$  is a single-level Breit-Wigner resonance term for the i-th isotope.

# 2.6 Resolution function

The resolution function can usually be taken as Gaussian,

$$r(E,E')dE' = \frac{1}{W\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-(E-E')^2/W^2} dE,$$
 (66)

$$W^{2} = E^{2} \left[ c_{1} \left( \frac{\Delta L}{L} \right)^{2} + c_{2} \left( \frac{\Delta t}{L} \right)^{2} E \right]$$
(67)

where  $\delta L$  is the thickness of the capture sample and  $\Delta t$  the observed half width (FWHM) of the  $\gamma$  peak in the time-of-flight spectrum resulting from photons produced in the accelerator target together with each neutron pulse. This form of the resolution function accounts for

- (1) finite burst width of the accelerator-pulsed neutron source ( $\Delta t$ )
- (2) finite channel width of the flight time analyzer ( $\Delta$ t),
- (3) flight path differences due to finite sample thickness ( $\Delta$ L),
- (4) effects of detector size such as path differences of capture gamma rays and scintillation photons ( $\Delta$ t),

(5) electronic time jitter ( $\Delta$ t). The constants  $c_1 = 2/3$  and  $c_2 = (60.259 \text{ MeV}^{1/2} \text{ ns m}^{-1})^{-2}$  guarantee the correct variance.

# 3. The Code

with

The modular structure of the FANAC code is shown in <u>Fig. 3.</u> The main program is essentially a series of CALL statements for the various subroutines, with a loop on iterations. The iterative least-squares procedure stops when either the maximum number of iterations specified in the input is completed or chi-squared remains essentially unchanged in successive iterations, as explained in Sect. 1, Eqs. 3,4. Then input for another similar job can be read in.

# 3.1 Subroutines

Although comments are generously used throughout the code (see Appendix) a brief description of the various subroutines may help to understand their functions.

#### CARDIN

CARDIN is called by the main program to read card input and to print sample and time-of-flight run specifications. Spin factors and compound spins are calculated. Then subroutine PAROUT (see below) is called to print the cross section parameter input. In the present version of the FANAC code CARDIN also calls a special subroutine, ETEC, that corrects truncation errors in channel energies caused by the data acquisition system. If the input energies are free of such errors one can eliminate subroutine ETEC and the associated CALL and WRITE statements in CARDIN (cards 2240 to 2390).

#### INDEX

This subroutine provides, for each isotope and compound spin, subscripts structuring the one-dimensional arrays containing the cross section parameters and their uncertainties:

- MP(I,J) is the subscript of the first of two potential-scattering parameters (the two are distant-level strength function and effective nuclear radius),
- MR(I,J) is the subscript of the first resonance parameter (there are four for each resonance,  $E_0$ ,  $\Gamma_n$ ,  $\Gamma_n$ , and  $\Gamma_v$ )

for the I-th isotope and the J-th compound spin. Cross section parameters are counted;

MX is their total number,

MA is the number of those which must be adjusted.

#### CONIJ

This subroutine calculates certain constants for each isotope (I):

D(I) is a constant which multiplied by 
$$\sqrt{E}$$
 gives  
the Doppler width  $\Delta$  (see Eq. 26),  
CT(I,J) and CG(I,J) give  $2\pi \chi^2 ag_J$  and  $\pi \chi^2 ag_J$  after  
division by the neutron energy (a: abundance,  
 $g_i$ : spin factor)

MEV

MEV converts energies and resonance widths from keV to MeV and calculates the adjusted quantities  $\Gamma_n^{1/2}$  and  $\Gamma_{n'}^{1/2}$  from the input quantities  $\Gamma_n$  and  $\Gamma_{n'}$ , taking over the signs so that sgn  $\Gamma_n^{1/2} = \operatorname{sgn} \Gamma_n$ , sgn  $\Gamma_{n'}^{1/2} = \operatorname{sgn} \Gamma_n$ .

# CONE

This subroutine, called by the main program, calculates for each iteration the coefficients

$$A_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i} \frac{Y_{i,\mu}}{\delta \eta_{i}} \frac{Y_{i,\nu}}{\delta \eta_{i}} , \qquad (69)$$

$$c_{\mu} = \sum_{i} \frac{Y_{i,\mu}}{\delta \eta_{i}} \frac{\eta_{i} - Y_{i}}{\delta \eta_{i}}$$
(70)

of the normal equations

$$\sum_{\nu} A_{\mu\nu} (\dot{x}_{\nu} - x_{\nu}) = c_{\mu}$$
(71)

for the linearized least-squares problem, where

Xov	is the initial approximation to the
•••	v-th adjusted parameter,
×v	the improved value to be calculated,
Y <sub>1</sub>	the i-th calculated capture yield
Y <sub>i,u</sub>	its derivative with respect to the $\mu$ -th
	adjusted parameter,
'n	the i-th observed capture yield and
δη <sub>i</sub>	its uncertainty.

The y<sub>i</sub> and y<sub>i,µ</sub> are calculated by numeric broadening of yields and yield derivatives obtained from the subroutines PRY, SEY and MUY. Strictly speaking the derivatives  $y_{i,µ}$  are taken as those of the primary yields because of the difficulty to get derivatives from Monte Carlo calculations. This is tantamount to neglect of errors and uncertainties in calculated multiple collision yields, so that  $(n_i - y_i)/\delta n_i = (n_{oi} - y_{oi})/\delta n_i$ . In other words, measured yields are reduced in each step to primary yields by subtraction of calculated multiple-collision contributions. The resulting primary yields are then fitted by parameter adjustment.

The required resolution function values come from the subroutines TGAUSS or CHISQ4. Numeric integration according to Simpson's rule is performed by subroutine SIMP. Furthermore CONE prints a table of measured and calculated yields (for details see Sect. 3.3 below).

### PRY

PRY produces unbroadened primary capture yields (y, in Eq. 32) and derivatives for the calling subroutine CONE. First an equidistant energy grid is established on the basis of the smallest resolution and Doppler width encountered. The grid is chosen so that for each incident energy E at least five integrand points are available between  $E-\Delta$  and  $E+\Delta$  for the resolution broadening,  $\Delta$  being the Doppler width. If the resolution width and/or the energy interval to be analysed is very much larger than  $\Delta$  it may happen that the number of grid points exceeds the available storage (201 points within six resolution widths, a total of 2048 grid points). In this case the Doppler width is artificially increased until the narrow resonances are sufficiently broad to allow an adequate description with the available number of grid points. This leaves s-wave results unaffected but p-wave results only to the extent that sample-thickness effects are small. It should be realized that such an artificial raise in temperature tends to increase the primary yield because the self-shielding factor  $(1-\exp(-n\sigma_m))/(n\sigma_m)$  across a narrow

resonance increases. On the other hand multiple-collision yields are reduced because the sample becomes more transparent in the peak region. Thus a partial compensation could be expected even in cases where the sample is not "thin"  $(n\sigma_T <<1)$  at resonance. For narrow levels, whose width is much smaller than the average neutron energy loss per collision  $(\Delta^2+(\Gamma/2)^2<(2E/A)^2)$ , the self-shielding effect predominates, however, and the peak area parameters  $g\Gamma_n\Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma$  calculated with artificially raised temperature are somewhat too small. If the limitation to 2048 internal grid points causes the difficulties one can avoid temperature readjustment by reducing the analysis interval, treating only one resonance at a time in the extreme case.

The internal grid extends beyond the boundaries of the analysis region in order to allow proper resolution broadening and multiple-collision treatment at these boundaries.

Ater having established the internal grid PRY calculates observable primary yields including efficiencies and their derivatives for all grid points. The required cross sections and cross section derivatives come from subroutine XSECT, efficiency weighting factors (Eq.65) from subroutine EFFI (see below).

# SEY

SEY, called from CONE, calculates secondary yields according to Eq. 34 for all internal grid points. Since Eq. 34 is approximate and neglects effects of lateral sample extension and higher-order collisions the results are only used as weights for importance sampling in the Monte Carlo subroutine MUY.

## MUY

MUY simulates multiple-collision events and calculates multiple-collision yields for all internal grid energies.

The principle of importance sampling is applied. This means that small capture yield contributions are computed with lower statistical accuracy (less neutron histories) than large contributions, in order to keep costs low. One tries to maximize the accuracy of  $\Sigma_k y_k$  with the constraint  $\Sigma_k N_k = N$ , where the subscript k=0,1,2,... gives the number of completed scattering collisions,  $N_k$  is the number of simulations for the k-th order capture yield  $y_k$  and N the total number of simulations.With the plausible assumption that the accuracy of  $y_k$  is proportional to  $1/\sqrt{N_k}$  one gets, by solving the extremum problem,

$$N_{k+1} : N_k = Y_{k+1} : Y_k$$
 (72)

Accordingly the initial number of histories for each grid point is taken as

$$N_1 = \frac{Y_1}{\max Y_1} N_0$$
 (73)

where  $N_0$ , the maximum number of simulations per grid point, is specified in the input and pertains to the energy point where  $y_1$  has its maximum (In order to get sufficient statistics  $N_1$  is set equal to 10 if Eq. 73 gives less). For higher-order collisions (k>1)  $N_{k+1}$  can be estimated with the geometric series approximation

$$y_{k+1} : y_k = y_k : y_{k-1}$$
 (74)

as

$$N_{k+1} = N_k \frac{Y_k}{Y_{k-1}}$$
, if  $Y_k \le Y_{k-1}$ . (75)

For  $y_k > y_{k-1}$  one encounters difficulties since not enough pre-collision angles, coordinates and energies are stored. In order to avoid "splitting" /Ref. 11/ we set

$$N_{k+1} = N_k$$
 , if  $y_k > y_{k-1}$  (75)

MUY starts the simulations of  $N_1$  multiple-collision events by sampling for each one the profile of the incident beam: The neutron density in the beam is taken as constant up to a certain fraction (EDGE) of the sample radius, as zero beyond. (In the present version EDGE is set equal to 0.8). After having thus established the points of incidence MUY samples coordinates and angles for  $N_1$  first collisions. Scattering is taken as isotropic in the center-of-mass system as mentioned before. The new energies (Eq. 42) and the corresponding cross sections are found next, the latter by linear interpolation between stored values. The new direction cosines (Eq. 40,41,49) and the number of mean free paths,  $n_1 \sigma_{m1}$ , between the collision points and the sample surface (Eq. 56-63) are then calculated. Finally the scattered and captured fractions of the incident neutrons can be computed. Averaging the latter over all  $N_1$  first collisions one gets the desired Monte Carlo estimate of y<sub>1</sub>.

After establishing, on the basis of  $y_1$ , the number  $N_1$  of second collisions to be simulated /Eq.74/ the computation proceeds in exact analogy to second, then to third etc. collisions until, after k collisions,  $N_{k+1}$  drops below 0.5, or until the 20th collision is completed.

For the relatively short flight paths used in Van-de-Graaff measurements the times spent by neutrons inside the sample may constitute noticeable fractions of the total registered flight times. Therefore MUY keeps track of these time delays and deposits calculated yields in correspondingly shifted energy bins, applying some additional spreading so that the statistical fluctuations of the Monte Carlo results are smoothed out to a certain degree.

A last point should be mentioned. For the multiple-collision simulation the atomic weight of all sample nuclei is taken as the same, namely as that of the first isotope in the input. The small errors introduced in lab scattering angles and energies (cf. Eqs. 41,43) are usually tolerable in view of the other approximations invoked. If hydrogen or other very light nuclei contribute significantly to multiple scattering, however, it may be necessary to take the predominant scatterer as the first isotope in the input.

For users of the TACASI code (Ref. 4) it may be pointed out that the method adopted in MUY corresponds to the "FS-mode" (finite sample, stationary target nuclei) in TACASI.

#### EFFI

Subroutine EFFI, called by PRY in case not all resonance efficiencies are equal, provides energy-dependent efficiencies according to Eq. 65.

#### XSECT

Subroutine XSECT, called by PRY, calculates the required cross sections, cross section derivatives and transmission values for a given energy. Cross sections for the pure first isotope are also calculated for purpose of impurity correction. The formalism utilized for partial waves with l=0 and  $l\geq 1$  is described in Sects. 2.1 and 2.2 above. The inverse of the matrix 1-iK ((cf. Eq. 19)) and its derivatives are furnished by the subroutine KMAT.

#### KMAT

Subroutine KMAT, called by XSECT, calculates K-matrix elements (Eq. 20), their derivatives and the elements of  $(1-iK)^{-1}$ .

#### CHOBAN

The solution of the system of normal equations (71) for the improved parameter vector requires inversion of the matrix  $(A_{\mu\nu})$ . This is accomplished by the subroutine CHOBAN. The method employed is that of Choleski and Banachiewicz as described e.g. in Ref. 12. The result is the covariance matrix  $(B_{\mu\nu})$ .

### ADJ

The improved parameter vector is calculated in subroutine ADJ by multiplication of the covariance matrix  $(B_{\mu\nu})$  into the deviation vector  $c_{\mu}$  (Eq. 70) and addition of the resulting adjustment vector to the unadjusted parameter vector. The uncertainties of the adjusted parameters are calculated as

$$\delta x_{\mu} = \sqrt{B_{\mu\mu} \frac{\chi^2}{N-M}}$$
(76)

where  $\chi^2$  is the sum of squared deviations over all N utilized data points and M the number of adjusted parameters.

For strong multiple-collision capture the convergence could be improved if the adjustment vector was reduced by 20-30 % in each step. In the present FANAC version the reduction factor (FUDGE) is set equal to 0.75 (see DATA statement).

## KEV

The subroutine KEV converts energies and resonance widths back to keV prior to printing and plotting.

## YPLOT

Plots of the results are prepared by subroutine YPLOT which calls a standard plotting subroutine PLOTA in use at the Karlsruhe nuclear research center (Ref. 1 ). The meaning of the arguements of PLOTA is explained on comment cards (see appendix) in order to facilitate replacement of PLOTA by equivalent plotting subroutines elsewhere.
#### PAROUT

At the start and after each iteration the cross section parameters and associated information such as abundances, atomic weigths, spin quantum numbers etc. are printed by PAROUT as illustrated in Sect. 3.3 below.

#### SIMP

The subroutine SIMP performs integrations according to Simpson's rule. It is utilized in the resolution-broadening calculation in CONE.

## VOIGT

The subroutine VOIGT is an adaptation of the subroutine PSIXI of the group-constant programm MIGROS (Ref. 13). It calculates the symmetric and **asymmetric** Doppler-broadened line shape functions (Voigt profiles)

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{x}'}{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'}{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)^2\right] \frac{1}{1+\mathbf{x}'^2} , \quad (77)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mathbf{x}'}{\beta} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'}{\beta}\right)^2\right] \frac{\mathbf{x}'}{1+\mathbf{x}'^2}$$
(77')

that are needed in subroutine XSECT for the description of narrow resonances as described in Sect. 2.2 above, and for calculation of the associated cross section derivatives. The calculation is based on the representation

$$\psi(\mathbf{x},\beta) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \ e^{-y^{2}} \frac{1+x^{2}+\beta^{2}y^{2}}{(1-x^{2}+\beta^{2}y^{2})^{2}+4x^{2}}, \quad (78)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{x},\beta) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dy \ e^{-y^{2}} \frac{1+x^{2}-\beta^{2}y^{2}}{(1-x^{2}+\beta^{2}y^{2})^{2}+4x^{2}}, \quad (78')$$

where  $y = (x'-x)/\beta$ . The numerical integration is performed according to the trapezoidal rule with  $\Delta y = 0.7$ . Unless

$$\beta < \frac{\Delta y}{\pi}$$
 and  $\frac{x^2 - 1}{\beta^2} + \frac{2\pi}{\beta \Delta y} > 25$ , (79)

which means unless Doppler broadening is very small  $(\Delta < 0.1 \ \Gamma)$  and the energy very far from the peak, the following correction terms are added:

$$\delta \Psi = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2-1}{\beta^2} - \eta\right) \frac{\cos\xi \left(e^{-\eta} - \cos\zeta\right) - \sin\xi \sin\zeta}{\left(e^{-\eta} - \cos\zeta\right)^2 + \sin^2\zeta}$$
(80)

$$\delta \phi = -\frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta} \exp(-\frac{x^2-1}{\beta^2} - \eta) \frac{\sin\xi (e^{-\eta} - \cos\zeta) + \cos\xi \sin\zeta}{(e^{-\eta} - \cos\zeta)^2 + \sin^2\zeta} \quad (80')$$

with 
$$\xi = \frac{2x}{\beta^2}$$
,  $\eta = \frac{2\pi}{\beta\Delta y}$ ,  $\zeta = \frac{2\pi x}{\beta\Delta y}$ . (81)

#### TGAUSS

This subroutine yields values of a truncated Gaussian distribution, normalized to unity, for the resolution-broadening calculation. The truncation limits are at  $\pm 2 \cdot \sqrt{2}$ standard deviations from the center.

#### CHISQ4

This subroutine is included in the present description to show the use of a skew resolution function, in this case of a  $\chi^2$ -distribution with four degrees of freedom. It was actually applied to certain Fe-56 data, as shown by the call statement in subroutine CONE (the atomic weight, 56, was used as the criterion). With the information given in the comments it is easy to change the subroutine so as to produce  $\chi^2$ -distributions with other degrees of freedom, i.e. different skewness.

#### RANDOM

This function calls a(pseudo-)random-number generator (RANDU for IBM installations, for instance) and yields random numbers between 0 and 1. If necessary, RANDU can be replaced by an equivalent random number generator.

## 3.2 Input description

All numeric input must be given as FORTRAN-readable floating-point numbers. The present version of the program uses card input. As a rule 10 card columns are reserved for each number (the exception being the last two items on "resonance cards", see below). Within this field the number can be placed arbitrarily in F format, for E format the usual rules apply. This structure permits complete ommission of resonances or of data points from the input. One can easily change the number of resonances or data points by simply adding or removing input cards. Isotopic or spin reassigment of a given resonance requires nothing but repositioning of one "resonance card" in the input deck.

All energies must be given in keV, all channel radii in fm, all flight-time increments in ns, all lengths (flight paths, sample dimensions) in m. If other units are preferred it is easy to change the corresponding input and output formats by means of FORTRAN scale factors (along with the units in the printed table headings).

Input formats are essentially the same as for the FANAL code (Ref. 2) so that the same "isotope", "potentialscattering" and "resonance cards" (see below) can be used for both codes. This has proved very convenient for parallel shape analysis of both capture and transmission data.

Each potential-scattering or resonance parameter is followed by an initial "uncertainty". If this uncertainty is set equal to zero the associated parameter is treated as constant, otherwise the parameter is adjusted in each iterative step.

The fact that the same input structure is used both in FANAL und FANAC is the reason that certain parameters such as distant-level strength functions or effective radii can be declared as adjustable although this is not practical in capture data analysis since capture yields depend on these quantities only weakly through self-shielding factors and multiple-collision yields.

The card input is structured as follows.

1st Card (Title Card)

Columns 1 - 80 Arbitrary alphameric text. This text appears on the print-out and also on the plots.

## 2nd Card (Analysis and Iteration Characteristics)

Columns	1 - 10	Lower boundary E <sub>min</sub> (keV),
19	11 - 20	upper boundary E <sub>max</sub> (keV) of the range
		of explicitly treated resonances, i.e.
		of the range for which the extracted
		parameters(including the distant-level
		strength function and the effective nuclear
		radius, Eqs. 15-17) are valid. Note that
		$\Delta E$ and $\overline{E}$ in Eq. 15 are calculated as
		$\Delta E = E_{max} - E_{min}$ , $\overline{E} = (E_{max} + E_{min})/2$ .
n	21 - 30	Effective temperature T(K), cf.Eq. 26,
		taken as the same for all isotopes.
u	31 - 40	Largest relative variation $\delta\chi^2/\chi^2$ between
		sucessive iterations (cf.Eq. 3) which is
		considered as sufficient to terminate the
		iterative process and to declare convergence
		achieved (values of order 1 to 5 % were
		found to be reasonable).
11	41 - 50	Maximum number of iterations to be
		followed through.

## 3rd Card (Isotope Card)

The isotope which contributes most to multiple-collision capture should come first, as its atomic mass is used to determine all energy losses and lab angles.

Columns 1 - 10 Isotopic abundance a of the first sample nuclide (i.e. fraction of sample atoms belonging to this nuclide).

Columns	11 - 20	Atomic mass of first sample nuclide divided
		by neutron mass (it is usually sufficient
		to use simply the nucleon number A).
11	21 - 30	Nuclear coin quantum number I of the first

21 - 30 Nuclear spin quantum number I of the first nuclide.

" 31 - 40 Effective nuclear radius R'<sub>1</sub> (fm) for p-wave scattering of the first nuclide (see Eq. 22).

## 4th Card (Potential-Scattering Card)

Columns	1 - 10	Effective s-wave strength function $S_{T+1/2}$
		of first nuclide. ( $S_{I+1/2}$ and $R'_{I+1/2}$
		determine the influence of distant levels
		on s-wave scattering, see Eqs. 15-17).
11	11 - 21	Initial uncertainty <sup>+)</sup> of S <sub>T+1/2</sub> .
11	21 - 30	Effective radius $R'_{1+1/2}(fm)$ of first nuclide
		(see Eq. 16).
11	31 - 40	Initial uncertainty <sup>+)</sup> of R' <sub>T+1/2</sub> .
11	41 - 50	Threshold $E_{T+1/2}$ (keV) for first inelastic
		channel, first isotope, compound spin
		I+1/2 (see text below Eq. 10).

5th Card (Resonance Card)

Columns	1 - 10	Resonance energy E (keV), lab system,
11	11 - 20	Initial uncertainty <sup>+)</sup> of $E_{o}$ ,
11	21 - 30	Neutron width $\Gamma_{n}$ (keV),
11	31 - 40	Initial uncertainly <sup>+)</sup> of E <sub>0</sub> ,
11	41 - 50	Partial width for inelastic scattering
		$\Gamma_n$ (keV) with the sign of $\gamma_n\gamma_n$ (see text after Eq. 10),
н	51 - 60	Initial uncertainty <sup>+)</sup> of $\Gamma_{n'}$ ,
U	61 - 70	Radiation width $\Gamma_{v}$ (keV).
н	71 - 75	Initial uncertainty <sup>+)</sup> of $\Gamma\gamma$ .

<sup>+)</sup> The preceding parameter is kept constant if the uncertainty is zero, otherwise it is adjusted.

Columns 76 - 80 Detection efficiency  $\varepsilon$  (see Eq. 65 where this quantity is denoted by  $\varepsilon_{i\lambda}$ ), may include gamma ray absorption, gamma spectrum fraction above detector threshold, intrinsic detector efficiency etc.

As a rule the smallest partial width should be varied (if necessary), otherwise convergence may be bad.

A similar "resonance card" follows for each s-wave resonance with spin I+1/2 belonging to the first isotope. If this isotope has non-zero ground state spin, s-wave neutrons can excite also resonances with spin I-1/2. For this second possible compound spin a similar set of cards must be prepared, consisting of at least a "potential-scattering card" and optionally a number of "resonance cards". If, for a given isotope and compound spin, no "resonance cards" are encountered, only the potential-scattering cross section is calculated.

So-called p-,d-,... wave levels, i.e. resonances which can only be excited by neutrons with  $1 \ge 1, 1 \ge 2, \ldots$ , must be treated as levels of additional (pseudo-) isotopes, with vanishing s-wave scattering  $(S_J = R'_J = 0)$ . For each occurring spin factor  $g_{\tau}$  there must be one pseudo-isotope, with I (ground state spin quantum number) set equal to zero and the abundance set equal to  $g_{J}a$  (a: true abundance). In Sect. 4 below this is illustrated for p- and d-wave levels of  $^{56}$ Fe+n  $(g_{J}=1,2 \text{ and } 3)$ . For most levels with  $l \ge 1$ , however, spins are unknown and only the combination  $g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_{\gamma} / \Gamma$  can be estimated from the capture data. One must then use average values for  $g_{T}$  and  $\Gamma_{v}$  to calculate the corresponding  $\Gamma_{n}$  and use these figures as input (see the example in Sect. 4). This is quite adequate if self-shielding and (multiple) scattering are unimportant ( $n\sigma_m$  << 1) or dominated by s-wave scattering ( $\sigma_{m1} << \sigma_{m0}$  , Eq. 27). In this case the capture yields depend

mainly on  $g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_\gamma / \Gamma$ , i.e. on the "unshielded" resonance area. For thick samples and relatively small s-wave cross sections, however, it can make a difference whether a resonance with given peak area is treated as narrow and high (large  $g_J$ ) or as broad and low (small  $g_T$ ).

If the capture sample contains other isotopes (sample impurities, oxygen in oxides, hydrogen in adsorbed moisture etc.) completely analogous input cards must be prepared for each nuclide: an "isotope card" followed by a "potential-scattering card" plus "resonance cards" (optional) for I+1/2, then for I-1/2 if I>O. Up to ten isotopes and pseudo-isotopes can be handled.

The cards specifying the cross section parameters are followed by other cards carrying information for up to five time-offlight runs. For each run one needs a "sample card", a "time-of-flight card", "capture yield data cards" and one blank card:

#### Sample Card

Columns	1	-	10	Sample	thi	Lckné	SS	n (at	Loms/1	c),	i.e.	total
				number	of	samp	le	atom	s per	bar	n.	
	11	-	20	Sample	rad	lius :	r	(atoms	s/b),	see	Eq.	55.

## Time-of-flight Card

Columns	1	-	10	Flight path L (m), to mid-plane of sample.
14	11	600	20	Sample thickness $\Delta L$ (m), see Eq. 67.
17	21	-	30	Full width at half maximum of gamma peak,
				$\Delta t$ (ns), see Eq. 67.
11	31	640	40	maximum number N <sub>o</sub> of Monte Carlo multiple-
				collision event simulations per energy point,
				see Eq. 73.
"	41	-	50	Time shift (ns) to correct for small
				time-zero channel differences between
				time-of-flight runs (can usually be left blank)

## Capture Yield Card

Columns	1	-	10	Energy E <sub>i</sub> (keV)
	11	6000	20	Capture yield divided by sample "thickness",
				η <sub>i</sub> /n (b).
	21	<b>G</b> 20	30	Uncertainty δη/n (b).
	31	tano	40	Energy E <sub>i+1</sub> (keV).
	41	-	50	Capture yield divided by sample "thickness",
				η <sub>i+1</sub> /n (b).
	51	1222	60	Uncertainty δη <sub>i+1</sub> /n (b).

Thus two data points including their uncertainties can be put on each "capture yield card". Up to 512 experimental points (total for all TOF runs) can be simultaneously fitted. It is to be noted that energies are given explicitly, i.e. regularity or equidistance on a time-of-flight scale is not required (in contrast to the FANAL code, Ref. 2). Pairs of data points not to be used for the fit can thus be taken out quite easily.

The last capture yield card from a given time-of-flight run (which may contain a single data point) must be followed by a blank card. Two blank cards signal the end of the whole input.

Input for other calculations may follow, i.e. problems can be stacked.

In addition to the card input there are a few quantities which are specified by DATA or other statements in the program:

- the adjustment reduction factor FUDGE in subroutine ADJ (DATA statement),
- the ratio of beam radius to sample radius, EDGE, in subroutine MUY (DATA statement),
- the minimum number of first collisions per grid energy in subroutine MUY (card no. 11830).
- The constants A,B,C in subroutine CHISQ4 refer to 4 degrees of freedom as explained on comment cards in CHISQ4.

## 3.3 Output description

The output consists of print-out and plots.

The print-out shows first the contents of "title", "isotope", "potential-scattering", "resonance" and "time-of-flight" cards and some additional derived information such as compound spins. This is followed by tables of measured and calculated capture cross section data (observed yields divided by sample "thickness" y/n and capture cross sections  $\sigma_{\gamma}$ ). These quantities are calculated for the sample composition and include detector efficiencies.Subsequently the values of the squared-error sum  $\chi^2$  and of Gauss' error adjustment factor  $\sqrt{\chi^2/(N-M)}$  are printed (N: number of data prints, M: number of adjusted parameters). For a good fit the error adjustment factor should be close to 1.

Ater that one gets a table with the adjusted and constant parameters and their uncertainties. The latter are the square roots of the corresponding diagonal elements of the covariance matrix, multiplied by the error adjustment factor. The covariance matrix elements result from the experimental uncertainties by normal error propagation (in linear approximation), the error adjustment factor characterizes the goodness of the fit.

If the maximum number of iterative steps exceeds 1 a similar printout (yield table plus improved parameters) is obtained for each completed step. If the number is set equal to 1 the cross section of the pure first isotope is also calculated. In this way the code can be used to generate and plot Dopplerbroadened cross sections corrected for all experimental effects such as sample impurities, instrumental resolution, detector efficiency, self-shielding, and multiple scattering.

-38-

For each iterative step a plot is produced which contains all experimental points and their error bars together with the calculated values in curve form. The text of the title card appears under each plot. If only one iteration is requested a second plot is obtained showing the Doppler-broadened capture cross section of the pure first isotope.

For the last set of parameters neither capture yield nor  $\chi^2$  values are calculated, printed or plotted. If the convergence criterion, Eq. 3, with reasonably chosen  $\varepsilon$  is satisfied there should be no significant change with respect to the preceding step.

## 4. Example

Fig. 4 shows the input cards for a realistic fitting problem which illustrates most features of FANAC:

Data from two measurements, taken with the same  $Fe_2O_3$  sample enriched to 99.7 % <sup>56</sup>Fe but with slightly different resolution, were to be analyzed between 21.6 and 41.1 keV (for experimental details see Ref. 3, 14). The range of explicitly treated <sup>56</sup>Feresonances with 1=0 (including a subthreshold level at -3.9 keV) was taken as -6 to 100 keV, the effective temperature as 300 K and the convergence threshold ( $\epsilon$  in Eq. 3) as 3 %.

The cards for s-wave levels are followed by those for narrow resonances with  $1 \ge 1$  which are represented as levels of three fictitious isotopes with target spin zero and abundance of  $0.997 = 99.7 \$  (for  $g_J=1$ ),  $2 \cdot 0.997 = 1.994$  (for  $g_J=2$ ) and  $3 \cdot 0.997 = 2.991$  (for  $g_J=3$ ), as explained in the input description (Sect. 3.2). Potential scattering for l=1 is represented by an effective nuclear radius of 5.4 fm of the first fictitious isotope.

-39-

The only important impurity was oxygen. Its smooth cross section is specified by a potential-scattering card without resonance cards following. Its relative abundance is 1.5 corresponding to the stoichiometric ratio in  $Fe_2O_3$ . This means that the sample thickness must be given in Fe-atoms per barn. Alternatively one could have given the total number of all (iron and oxygen)nuclei per barn in the sample along with relative abundances of  $(2/5) \cdot 0.997 \cdot g_T$  for <sup>56</sup>Fe and 3/5 for oxygen.

Sample, run and capture yield cards for the utilized two time-of-flight runs follow.

Fig. 5-7 show the cross section parameter input and the tables with measured and calculated yields and cross sections (inclusive of detector efficiencies) as they are printed by the computer.Fig.8 shows the corresponding plots. Convergence, i.e. less than  $5 \$  variation of  $\chi^2$ , was formally reached after four iterations. The final value  $\sqrt{\chi^2/(M-N)} = 1.288$  is close enough to unity to indicate that input data, input errors and mathematical model (cross section formalism, multiple-collision treatment, resolution function) are reasonably consistent with each other. A slightly better  $\chi^2$  might be achieved with a more exact resolution function, but no significant change in resonance parameters is expected.

A few words on input preparation may be appropriate. First estimates of the resonance energies were obtained directly from the capture data by inspection. The starting value for the radiation width of the broad s-wave resonance near 27.6 keV was taken from the "barn book" (Ref. 15). The peak area parameters  $g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_{\gamma} / \Gamma$  of the narrow levels were estimated from the data by equating the observed peak areas to the theoretical thin-sample expression,

$$\delta E \sum_{i} \frac{\eta_{i}}{n} = \frac{\bar{a}\varepsilon}{n} 2\pi \chi^{2} g_{J} \frac{\Gamma_{n} \Gamma_{\gamma}}{\Gamma}$$
(82)

-40-

where the sum extends over the peak,  $\delta E$  is the spacing of the data points near the peak,  $\chi^2$  is calculated at resonance and the other symbols have the same meaning as before (a: abundance,  $\varepsilon$ : detection efficiency for the resonance). From a Bayesian analysis of available values of  $g_J\Gamma_n$ ,  $g_J\Gamma_\gamma$  and  $g_J\Gamma_n\Gamma_\gamma/\Gamma$  for <sup>56</sup>Fe+n the radiation widths for p- and d- wave resonances were known to be close to 0.33 and 0.6 eV, respectively. The same type of analysis was used to select spins and parities by Monte Carlo sampling. The resulting  $\textbf{g}_{J}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{\gamma}$  were then used to calculate the input values of  $\Gamma_n$  from the estimated  $g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_{\gamma} / \Gamma$ . For each observed resonance the smaller of the two partial widths was adjusted. The efficiency factors assigned to individual resonances were estimated from observed capture gamma ray, spectra. They also include an estimated correction for quasiprompt capture of resonance-scattered neutrons in the immediate vicinity of the sample (cf.Refs. 3,10).

The print-out shows that the temperature was raised artificially to 6368 K in order to avoid difficulties with the equidistant internal energy grid as explained in Sect. 3.1 (subroutine PRY). One can treat the narrow resonances separately to avoid or at least reduce this automatic temperature change. Taking for example only the data between 22.1 and 23.2 keV, i.e. analyzing only the peak near 22.75 keV, one gets a much smaller change to only 1171 K. Nevertheless, the resulting  $g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_{\gamma} / \Gamma$  is essentially unchanged.

A similar insensitivity exists with respect to the correct spin factor: if all spin factors are set equal to 1 (i.e. all narrow levels are assigned to the same fictitious isotope with a = 0.997) the results are essentially unchanged. The reason is the same as before: In our example s-wave scattering of <sup>56</sup>Fe and <sup>16</sup>O predominates even near the peaks of the narrow levels. Therefore changes in  $g_J$  or in the temperature, which otherwise would affect the peak area through the beam-attenuation

-41-

factor  $(1-\exp(-n\sigma_T) / (n\sigma_T)$ , have no great influence. In other cases, however, where s-wave scattering does not predominate, spin and (unless  $\Gamma << \Delta$ ) temperature effects may be important.

Multiple-collision contributions in this example are seen to be of the order of few percent near the peaks of the narrow resonances but quite substantial near the broad peak near 27.6 keV and in the valleys between resonances.

The CPU time for the whole job (3 iterations, 12 adjusted parameters, a maximum of 30 Monte Carlo multiple-collision event simulations per grid point) was 3 min 19 sec on an IBM/370-168 computer. The memory space required was 476 k bytes.

- S. Heine and P. Tack, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe (1966), unpublished
- 2. F.H. Fröhner, report KFK 2129 (1976)
- 3. F.H. Fröhner, NBS SP 425, Nuclear Cross Sections and Technology, Washington D.C., 1975, vol. II, p. 929
- 4. F.H. Fröhner, report GA-6906 (1966)
- 5. J. Matthews and R.L. Walker, Mathematical Methods of Physics, New York-Amsterdam, 1965, p. 365
- A.M. Lane and R.G. Thomas, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>30</u> (1958) 257
- 7. C.W. Reich and M.S. Moore, Phys. Rev. <u>111</u> (1958) 929
- 8. D.R. Harris, Proc. Conf. on Neutron Cross Section Technology, Washington D.C., 1966, vol. 2, p. 823
- 9. W.E. Lamb, Phys. Rev. 55 (1939) 190
- 10. F.H. Fröhner, report KFK 2046, (1975), p.1
- 11. J.M. Hammersley and D.C. Handscomb, "Monte Carlo Methods", London-New York, 1964
- 12. R. Zurmühl, "Matrizen", Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1950
- 13. J. Broeders and B. Krieg (ed.), KFK 2388, February 1977
- 14. A. Ernst, F.H. Fröhner, D. Kompe, Nuclear Data for Reactors (Proc. Helsinki Conf.), Vienna 1970, vol.I, p. 633
- 15. S.F. Mughabghab and D.I. Garber, BNL 325, 3rd ed. vol. I (1973)



Figure 1. Neutron directions  $\vec{\Omega}$ ,  $\vec{\Omega}'$  (before and after collision, resp.) and angles  $\zeta$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\vartheta$ ,  $\varphi$ , and their positions relative to the laboratory system (x, y, z) and to the system S'' (x'', y'', z''), in which  $\vec{\Omega}$  has the coordinates (0, 0, 1).



Figure 2. Two-step rotation of a vector from the position (0, 0, 1) into the position  $(\sin\zeta \cos\eta, \sin\zeta \sin\eta, \cos\zeta)$ .

.

ŧ



Fig. 3 FANAC program structure

-46-

19.07       -0.00425 212.3       19.15       0.001579 456.3         100520       007       2.5       30.         100521       12       5.5       30.         100524       12       5.5       30.         12.03       0.00425 512.3       15.17       -0.00556 238.4         12.03       0.0025 8.       7.30.       30.         12.03       0.0025 8.       7.30.       30.         12.03       0.0001 1.       1.0055       1.0005         15.1       0.0001 1.       1.0005       1.07         25.97       5.       0.       1.0005         1.1       0.0001 1.       0.0005       1.07         1.294       5.       0.013       0.0005         1.1       0.0001       0.0005       1.07         1.294       5.4       0.0005       1.07         1.3       0.0005       .97         787.0       1.5       1.3       0.0005         1.5       0.0005       .97         787.5       1.24       0.0131       .97         787.6       1.24       0.0131       .97         787.7       1.1.4       0.01055       .97 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>blank card (end of job blank card</th><th>•) 1</th><th></th></t<>										blank card (end of job blank card	•) 1	
$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 &$	19.07	-0.003425	212 3	10 15	0 001570	EC 2		<u> </u>	- ·. ·	capture yield cards	2nd time-of-f	light run
$ \begin{array}{c} 105524 \\ 1055$	2.05	.007	2.	5.5	30.		·····			4		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	.009924	.12					-			time-of-flight card		
13.05       0.000516 319.6       19.17       -0.005696 298.4         100       2.       7.       30.         100       2.       7.       30.         100       2.       3.0.       6052.         1.5       16.       0.00001 1.       0.0006         24.5       1.       0.00011 1.       0.0006         28.3       1.       0.0013 1.       0.0006         28.4       1.       0.0013 1.       0.0003 1.         1.994       5.       5.4       0.0003 1.       0.0003 1.         7.997       56.       5.4       0.0003 1.       0.0003 1.         7.97       1.       1.4       0.0005       .97         7.97       5.4       0.0003 1.       .97         7.97       5.4       0.0003 1.       .97         7.97       1.       1.4       0.0003 1.       .97         7.97       5.24       0.00145 1.       .97         7.97       5.24       0.00145 1.       .97         7.97       1.5.4       0.00145 1.       .97         7.97       1.5.4       0.00145 1.       .97         7.97       5.24       0.0012       .97										sample card		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		ىرىپىيەتىرىغىنىكىنىيىرى ئۇرىپىيەتىرىغان ئۇرىرى	- Mariana and Andrews and A		aleane an en a		· · · ;		· ·	blank card		
2:65       .007       2:10       10:0509 2:20.7         0:0092       12       .00       .00       .00         0:0092       12       .00       .00       .00         2:4.5       1.       .00007       .00       .00         2:91       56.       .00007       .00007       .00006       .87         3:1.       .00007       .00007       .00006       .87         3:4.1       .0001       .00003       .0003       .97         3:5.6       .000       .0003       .0003       .97         3:6.6       .000       .0003       .97       .997       56.         27.7       .1       .4       .00013       .97         7.3.5       .52       .0013       .97         7.5.5       .52       .0013       .97         7.6.7       .5.4       .00063       .97         7.997       .5.6       .0003       .97         7.90       .5.4       .00062       .53         7.97       .5.4       .00013       .97         7.97       .5.4       .00013       .97         7.97       .5.4       .00013       .97	19.09	-0.003516	219 4	10 17	0.003/04/ 1	00.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			capture yield cards	1st time-of-fl	light run
<pre>//0092924 .12</pre>	/2.05	. 907	2.	7.	20.003636 2	.70.4				time_of_flight card		
0.       0.       5.3       0.       6052.         24.5       1.       00001       1.       .0005       .87         22.8       1.       00007       .00066       .87         28.91       56.       .00007       .00066       .87         38.1       .00007       .00066       .87         38.3       1.       .00013           36.6       1.       .001       .00033           36.6       1.       .001            36.6       1.             7.997       56.             7.977       1.       1.4            7.975              7.975              7.975               7.975                7.975	/.009924	.12								sample card		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	//0.	0.	5.3	0.	6052.					potential-scattering c	ard	2.4
	/1.5	16.	0.	5.3						isotope card		<i><sup>316</sup></i> 0
28.8       1.       .00067       1.       .0006       .87       presonance cards       121, g=2         38.3       1.       .0001       .00033       1.       .87         38.4       1.       .001       .00033       1.       .87         34.1       1.       .001       .00033       1.       .87         70.       0.       .0003       1.       .87         75.6       0.       .0003       .00033       1.       .87         797       56.       .0005       .97       .97       .97       .97         73.9       .54       .0005       .97 <t< td=""><td>//24.5</td><td>1.</td><td>.00001</td><td>1.</td><td></td><td></td><td>.0006</td><td></td><td>.87</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	//24.5	1.	.00001	1.			.0006		.87			
<sup>10</sup> / <sub>25.91</sub> 56. <sup>10</sup> / <sub>36.3</sub> 100013 1	//22.8	1.	.00007	1.	· .		.0006		.87	resonance cards	ر=g , ا <u>ح</u> ⊥ {	
<pre>//***********************************</pre>	//0.		0.							potential-scattering c	ard	
/38.3       1.       .00013       1.         34.1       1.       .0013       .67         /0.       0.       .00033       1.         /1.594       56.       .0003       183         /0.       0.       .00033       183         /0.       0.       .00033       183         /0.       0.       .00033       183         /0.       0.       .00033       183         /0.       0.       .00033       183         /0.54       .0005       .97         /1.399       .54       .00063       .93         /27.7       1.       1.4       .00145       .97         /100.0       300.       .05       5.       .0013       .97         /100.100.       300.       .05       5.       .0013       .97         /100.100.300.00755       5.00000755       .000000000000000000000000000000000000	//2.991	56.								(pseudo-)isotope card	)	
1       1       1000       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       10003       1       1000       1       10003       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1       1000       1	38.3	1.	00013	-1-			.0008		.03			
1:994       56.       0.	//34.1	1.	0				.00033	1.	.87	resonance cards	<b>1</b> <sup>1</sup> , g=2	56
56.5       1.       .003       .00023       1.       .23         0.       0.       0.       .0003       .0003       .23         1.997       56.       5.4       .0005       .97         73.92       .54       .00043       .97         73.92       .54       .00043       .97         73.92       .54       .00043       .97         73.92       .54       .00043       .97         73.92       .52       .0013       .97         70025       6.1       .97         .00025       .6.1       .97         .100.       300.       .05       5.         .100.       300.       .05       5.         .100.100.       300.       .05       5.         .110.101.101.101.101.101.101.101.101.10	1.994	56	0.				······			potential-scattering c	ard	Fe
0.       0.       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.       1.00000       1.00000       1.00000       1.00000       1.00000       1.00000       1.000000       1.00000       1.0000000       1.0000000       1.0000000       1.0000000       1.0000000       1.0000000       1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	//36.6	1.	. 003				00033	1	02	(pseudo-)isotope card	J	
-97       5.4         98       54         97.7       1.4         00063       .93         27.7       1.4         0005       .0013         .97       56.         .0005       .0013         .97       .97         .97.7       1.4         .0013       .97         .97.56.       .0013         .97       .97         .100.       300.         .05       .0013         .97       .97	//		0						.03	resonance card	<b>ک</b> <u>ا&gt;</u> 1, g=1	
33.6       1.3       .0005       .97         73.98       .54       .00063       .93         73.98       .54       .00145       .97         73.98       .54       .00145       .97         73.98       .52       .0013       .97         .00025       6.1       .0013       .97         .00025       6.1       .0013       .97         .00025       6.1       .0013       .97         .000025       6.1       .0013       .97         .000025       6.1       .00145       .97         .000005       .00       .00       .05       .0013         .100       100.0000000000000000000000000000000000	// 997	54		5 4						potential-scattering c	ard	
73.98       .54       .00063       .93         73.98       .54       .00163       .93         73.98       .52       .00145       .97         73.98       .52       .0013       .97         70.0025       .6.1       .0013       .97         .00025       .6.1       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000063       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .0009924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .000065       .0009924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .0000924       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .0000924       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .0000924       .000924       .000924       .000924       .000924         .0000924       .000924	102 65	JO.	1 2				0005		97 :	(pseudo-)isotope card	2	
27.7       1.       1.4       .00145       197         7-3.5       .52       .0013       .97         00025       6.1       .00145       .97         1.997       56.       .0013       .97         1.000.       300.       .05       .05         FE-56(N.GGMMMA).       0.009924 FE-AT./B. FANAC TEST PROBLEM, 11.5.78       analysis and iteration characteristics         0000001000000000000000000000000000000	72 90		54				00000	· · · · ·	63		]	
-3.5       .00143       197         -3.5       .0013       .97         .00025       6.1         .997       56.         -100.       100.       300.       05         .6.0032       .0003       .97         potential-scattering card       isotope card         analysis and iteration characteristics       title card         .0000001000000000000000000000000000000	57.7						00145	•	. 23	resonance cards	1=0	
	2 6	1.	52		·····		.00145	1.	.97			
.00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.1         .00025       5.5	00005						.0013	·····	• 71	U notontial controming a		
100.       100.       300.       .05       5.         FE-56(N,GRMMA): 0.009924 FE-AT./B, FANAC TEST PROBLEM, 11.5.78       analysis and iteration characteristics         000000000000000000000000000000000000	100025	<b>F</b> /	0.1							jootopo gord		<b>)</b>
Fig. 4	100	36.	200	05						analysis and iteration	characteristics	8
Image: Internet i	EF-56(N-		100024 EE	0T (P. F	ANAC TEST DOND	EM. 11 5	5 70			title card		•
0000000/00000000000000000000000000000	// =======	GHIMAN U.U	10 <i>332</i> 4 FE		ANHC IESI PRUD	II.J				divid Gard		
1       1	V ·									]		
000000000000000000000000000000000000		- 4 -	-	_								
111111111_11_11_111111111111111111111			****									
111111111111111111111111111111111111	1234562	នៃ « ហ » ឯ អ ចំ អ ហំ » នៃ		เหล็มมือมังม	3) 38 39 48 41 42 42 44 45 44 41 44 49 56	*****	ទីសតែខ្លួសសតទា	ផលកាកាចក	25 76 77 78 79 300			
22222222222222222222222222222222222222	1111111	11_11_11111	11111111	L_11_1111	_1_1111111111	1111111	1111111111	1111111	11111			
N 3333333_33_33333_33_33333333333333333	£ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	222_2222	2 2 2 2 2 _ 2 2 2 2	2222222 22222	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2222222222	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2			
+++++++++++===========================	N 3333333	_333333_33_33_3	333333333	33]]33]33	3 3 3 ] 3 ] 3 3 ] 3 3 3 3 3	_33_333_3	1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	3333333	3 3 3 3 3 3			
5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.5.	4444444	4444444444	4444]4444		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	44244444	4444444	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	4 4 4 4 4 4		-	
$\frac{3}{5}$	5_5_5_5	5 5 5 5 5 5 . 5 5 5 5 5	i 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 <u>]</u> 9	555555555	5 _ 5 5 5 5 _ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	5 _ 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 _	55555555	5 5 5 5 <b>5 5 5</b>	555555			
	S _666_666	6666666666666	6666666	56666666.	6566666666666	5 5 5 5 6 5 6 6 6	666666666	6666666	666668	<u>Fig. 4</u> Car	d input for	analysis of two sets
	ר <b>דררוו</b> י	וונווו <mark>ווןו</mark> [		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	<u>ורו ברורורוור</u> ו	וונווווו	וווווו <b>_ו</b> ו	דרוווו	דדדדד	of	capture yiel	o data taken with the
same Fe <sub>0</sub> sample enriched to 99.77 <sup>56</sup> Fe but slightly differing resolut <sup>56</sup> Fe text (Sect. 4) for further deta:	R 8 8 8 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5 8 5	8 8 8 8 8 <sup>14 15</sup> 8 8 <sup>18</sup> 8 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 3 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 2 3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	8 8 8 <sup>11</sup> 8 8 <sup>14</sup> 8 8 *	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	888 <u>7</u> 888 <u>9</u> 8 112224249749 9999999999	3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9	88888888 44 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1	888888 5677894 999999	sam 56 <sub>F</sub> See	e Fe <sub>2</sub> U3 samp e but slight text (Sect.	<ul> <li>le enriched to 99./ %</li> <li>ly differing resolution.</li> <li>4) for further details.</li> </ul>

FE-56 (N, GAMMA), 0.009924 FE-AT./8, FANAC TEST PROBLEN, 11.5.78

EXPLICIT-RESONANCE RANGE: -100.000 ... 100.000 KEV EFFECTIVE TEMPERATURE: 300.00 KELVIN MAXIMUM TOLERARLE CHI+2 CHANGE: 0.0500 (RELATIVE) MAX.NUMBER OF ITERATIVE STEPS: 5.

# SPECIFICATIONS OF UTILIZED MEASUREMENTS:

MHENT. NG.	SAMPLE THICKNESS (NUCLEI/B)	SAMPLE RADIUS (NUCLEI/B)	FLIGHT PATH (M)	SAMPLE THICKNESS (M)	CHANNEL WIDTH (NS)	FWHM Gamma Peak (NS)	MONTE CARLD HISTORIES	TIME SHIFT Correction (NS)
1	9.924E-03	1.200E-01	2.0500	0.0070	2.000	7.000	30.	0.0
2	9.924E-03	1.200E-01	2.0500	0.0070	2.000	5.500	30.	0.0

CROSS	SECTION	PARAMETERS:

ABUN- DANCE	ATOMIC WEIGHT	TARGET SP IN	P-WAVE RADIUS (FM)	COMP. SPIN	S−WAVE STRENGTH FUNCTION ∕UNCERT•	S-WAVE RADIUS (FM) /UNCERT•	INEL. THRESH. (KEV)	RESONANCE ENERGY (KEV) /UNCERT.	PARTI EL.SCATT. (KEV) /UNCERT.	AL WIDTHS FO INEL.SCATT. (KEV) /UNCERT.	R CAPTURE (KEV) /UNCERT.	DETEC1. EFFIC.
<b>0.997</b> 0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2•500E-04 0•0	6.100 0.0	0.0	-3.900 -0.0	5.200E-01 0.0	0.0 0.0	1.300E-03 0.0	0 .970
								27.700 1.000	1•400E+00 0•0	0.0 0.0	1.450E-03 0.100E+01	0.970
								73•980 0•0	5.4005-01 0.0	0 •0 0 •0	6 =300E -04 0 =0	0.930
								83.650 0.0	1.300E+00 0.0	0.0 0.0	5.000E-04 0.0	0.970
0.9970	56.u	0.0	5.400	0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0	0•0	36.600 1.000	3.000E-03 0.0	0.0 0.0	3-300E-04 0-100E+01	0.830
1.9940	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0	34.100 1.000	1.000E-03 0.0	0.0	3.300E-04 0.100E+01	0.870
								36.300 1.000	1.300E-04 0.100E+01	0 ±0 0 ±0	6.000E-04 0.0	0.850
2.99.0	56•N	C •O	0.0	0,5	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	22.800 1.000	7.000E-05 0.100E+01	0.0 0.0	6.000E-04 0.0	0.870
								24.500 1.000	1=000E-05 0+100E+01	0•0 0•0	6∎000E−04 U∎0	0.870
1.5000	.6.0	0.0	5.300	0.5	0.0 0.0	5.3. 6 0.0	052.000					

EFFECTIVE TEMFERATURE : 8349. DEG. KELVIN, RESULTING DOPPLER WIDTH: 31.133 EV AT 19.092 KEV

Fig. 5 - Beginning of FANAC print-out: content of input cards excepting capture yields. The last two lines are a warning that the effective temperature was raised artificially in order to reduce the number of internal grid points (see text).

SAMPLE TH	STEP 1, TIME-OF-FUIG	LET/B		
FLIGHT PA	TH 1 2.050E+00 H HEASURED YIELD-DYER-THICKNESS	CALCULATED VALUES	FROM HULT.	CALCULATED CRDSS SECTION
(REY) 19.092 19.165 19.238 19.312 19.386 19.461 19.637 19.764 19.861 19.861 19.861 19.918 19.9918 19.9918 20.074 20.153 20.232 ~311	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ATLUT = 0.044} \\ $	(MILL 1-6ARV) 0.820 0.837 0.837 0.857 0.857 0.950 0.902 0.906 0.906 0.906 0.922 0.946 0.951 1.033 1.0351 1.07 1.07	(PERCENT) 10.374 10.374 10.283 9.834 9.834 9.105 9.500 9.825 10.061 10.119 10.087 9.818 0.499	$\begin{array}{c} \text{INTLI-same} \\ \textbf{0.797} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.0} \\ \textbf{0.775} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.0} \\ \textbf{0.751} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.871} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.873} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.983} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.9744} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.9744} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.954} & \textbf{0.00} \\ \textbf{0.956} & 0$
$\begin{array}{c} 33 * . \\ 33 * $	$\begin{array}{c} 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\ 1,\\$		$\begin{array}{c} \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{2}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{2}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{2}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{3} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{1} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{1} \\ \delta \phi_{1}, \delta \phi_{2} \\ \delta \phi_{1},$	
ITERATION SAMPLE THI FLIGHT PAT NEUTRIM ENERGY KEV) 19.069 19.142 19.215	STEP 1, TIME-OF-FLI (CKNESSI 9,924E-03 NU M 2,050F+00 H MEASURED VIELD-0788-THICKNESS (NILLI-NARN) -3,425 4 7,210 -3,425 4 7,215 -3,522 7,123	SHT RUN NO, 2 LEI/R CALCULATED S VALUES (NILLI-RARH) 0.922 0.925 0.942	FROM HULT. COLLISIONS [PERCENT] 10,240 10,070 9,962	CALCULA TED CROSS SECTION (MILL-BARR) 0.743 ± 0.0 0.753 ± 0.0 0.755 ± 0.0
19.209 19.363 19.437 19.512 19.553 19.564 19.740 19.817 19.894 19.972 20.050 20.128 20.050 20.128 20.207 20.287 20.367 20.367	$\begin{array}{c} 11, 656 = 1 & 7,207\\ -0,300 \pm & 7,1036\\ -0,300 \pm & 6,4980\\ -4,501 \pm & 6,4980\\ -4,501 \pm & 6,4970\\ -4,501 \pm & 6,4970\\ -3,6551 \pm & 6,4570\\ -3,6550 \pm & 6,4570\\ -4,650 \pm & 6,450\\ -4,650 \pm & 6,45$	0.555 0.944 0.944 0.944 0.942 0.952 0.952 0.952 0.955 1.005 1.005 1.005 1.071 1.171 1.137	10,102 9,936 10,162 10,167 9,886 9,729 9,763 8,299 7,847 6,124 8,718 9,063 9,011 9,011 9,133	0.499 ± 0.0 0.499 ± 0.0 0.499 ± 0.0 0.499 ± 0.0 0.497 ± 0.0 0.0 0.497 ± 0.0 0.0 0.497 ± 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.497 ± 0.0
$\begin{array}{c} 35,, \\ 36, 212\\ 36, 403\\ 38, 456\\ 37, 105\\ 37, 105\\ 37, 351\\ 37, 351\\ 37, 37, 351\\ 37, 37, 351\\ 37, 37, 351\\ 33, 36, 36, 467\\ 38, 467\\ 38, 467\\ 39, 467\\ 3$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4697 \\ 1.4697 \\ 2.4975 \\ 3.54695 \\ 4.54697 \\ 4.$	7,14 7,104 7,104 24,417 24,417 3,003 3,000 3,000 3,000 3,000	$\begin{array}{c} -4.4, 6.62, \\ -4.4, 6.62, \\ -7, 0.64, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, \\ -3, -5, -5, \\ -3, -5, \\ -3, -5, \\ -3, -5, \\ -3,$	$\begin{array}{c} & 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ $

CHI SQARED: 1.103E+03 ERRDR ADJUSTMENT FACTGR: 1.8015+00 ITERATION STEP: 1

Fig. 6 - Print-out of measured and calculated apparent capture cross sections (capture yields divided by sample thickness) and true capture cross section for the first iterative step, i. e. prior to parameter adjustment. The last lines show  $\chi^2$  and the error adjustment factor  $\sqrt{\chi^2}/(I-M)$ , Eq. 76.

ABUN-	ATOMIC	TARG ET	P-WAVE	VE COMP.	S-WAVE	S-WAVE	INEL.	RESONANCE	ર	DETECT.		
DANCE	WEIGHT	SP IN	RADIUS (FM)	SPIN	STRENGTH FUNCTION /UNCERT.	RADIUS (FM) /UNCERT	THRESH. (KEV)	ENERGY (KEV) /UNCERT.	EL •SCATT • (KEV) /UNCERT •	INEL.SCATT. (KEV) /UNCERT.	CAPTURE (KEV) /UNCERT.	EFFIC.
0.9970	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2∍500E-04 0•0	6 <b>.10</b> 0 0.0	0.0	3•900 0•0	5•200E-01 0•0	0 • 0 • 0	1.300E-03 0.0	0.970
								27•478 0•038	1.400E+00 0.0	0• 0 • 0	1.224E-03 0.414E-04	0.970
								73•980 0•0	5.400E-01 0.0	0 • 0 0 • 0	6 • 3 0 0 E - 0 4 0 • 0	0 "930
								83∍650 0₀0	1.300E+00 0.0	0 • 0 0 • 0	5.000E-04 0.0	0 <b>•970</b>
<b>0 •997</b> 0	56.0	0.0	5.400	0.5	0•0 0•0	0.0 0.0	0.0	36•641 0•008	3.000E-03 0.0	0 •0 0 •0	3•366E-04 0•222E-04	0 <sub>e</sub> 8 30
1.9940	56 <u></u> €0	0 .0	0.0	0.5	00	0•0 0•0	0•0	34 <b>.118</b> 0.006	1.000E-03 C∞0	0•0 0•0	3•795E-04 0•238E-04	0 .870
								38 • 278 0 • 007	2.349E-04 0.185E-04	0 •0 0 •0	6°000E-04 0°0	0 <b>.</b> 850
<b>2.991</b> 0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0•0 0•0	0.0 0.0	00	22 <b>.754</b> 0 <b>.005</b>	6.705E-05 0.461E-05	0 •0 0 •0	6.000E-04 0.0	0.870
								24•488 0•037	7•365E-06 0•207E-05	0 •0 0 •0	6.000E-04 0.0	0_870
1.5000	16.0	0 •0	5.300	0•5	0.0	5•3 0•0	6051.988					

CONVERGENCE AFTER 3 ITERATION(S).

<u>Fig. 7</u> - Print-out of final parameters after convergence has been declared. It should be noticed that for the narrow resonances (with  $l \ge 1$ , members of level sequences with zero s-wave radius) only the product  $g\Gamma_{\Gamma}\Gamma_{\Gamma}/\Gamma$  (with g absorbed in the abundance as far as input is concerned) is meaningful, not  $\Gamma_{\Gamma}$  or  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$  separately.



Fig. 8 - Plots produced along with the print-out shown in Figs. 5-7 illustrating progress of fit. Top corresponds to input parameters. The two solid lines correspond to the two experimental runs with slightly different resolution.

ABB-00003 FE-SEIN-GANNAL, 0-003324 FE-AT-AB, FAVIC TEST PROBLEM, 11-S-

## APPENDIX

# Listing of FANAC code

.

000000000

0000 С Ċ C С

00000000

С 0000 С С С

С С C С С С С С С С C С С С

С С С С С С С С С С С С C

-

AC, MAIN PROGRAM		00001
NOTE TO NON-KEK USERS		100002
	,-	000004
PLOTA(X.Y.N.NT.NP.NH.	T-NS-NR.XHAX.XMIN.SX.YMAX.YMIN.SY.TEXT.TU)	0000
TS A STANDARD PLOTTER	SUBROUTINE IN USE AT KEK (KARLSBUHE)	0000+
WHICH MUST BE REPLACE	D BY AN EQUIVALENT PLOTTER PACKAGE	00007
ELSEWHERE THE ARGUME	NTS ARE EXPLAINED IN SUBROUTINE YPLOT.	COUDE
		0000
RANDU(IX.IY.RANDOM) F	MPLOYED IN SUBROUTINE MUY	001
TS & RANDOM-MUMBER GE	NERATOR (TEM SUBROUTINE) YTELDING	60.03
VARTATE VALUES INTEDE	MIY DISTRIBUTED IN THE INTERVAL C	00032
AND MUST BE REPLACED	BY AN EDUTVALENT TE HNAVATLABLE.	00012
	St hat equilibre the showing expects	00014
		00019
EANAC SUMMARY:		00016
I MILLE DOT TRACT		00010
VERSTON	NOVEMBED 1977	00011
PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE	FORTRAN TV	00014
PHRPASE	SHAPE ANALYSIS OF NEUTRON CADIURE DATA	00013
	STRACTON OF RECONSIDE DARAGES	00020
	CALCHEATTON OF THE COOSS SECTION	200021
METHOD	STABLE TANEDUS I DAST-SOUARES ETT TO	00022
HEIHOD .	STHOLTANEOUS LEAST-SQUARES FIT ID	00021
	TAKEN E C WITH DISCOPTING ANDLES OF	0002-
	CIANEN ESCO MILL DIFFENCINI SAMPLES UN Eltout ditusi	00021
E OBMALTEM	FLIGHT PAINSIN MUTTI FREE D MATDIX CODMULA UTTU	00020
FORMALISM :	S FULLITEVEL REMAININ FURMULA WITH	0002
	L ELASTIC AND I INELASTIC NEUTRON	00020
	CHANNEL PER COMPOUND SPIN AND PARITY.	0002
	CAPIUKE CHANNELS AKE ELIMINATED WITH	0003
	FEICHMANN-WIGNER REDUCTION METHOD	0005
CODDECTIONS	FULLUWING REICH AND MUUKE.	0003
CORRECTIONS	FUEL SEGUENCES WITH ZEDO E UNVE DADIUS	0003.
	(D- D- MAVE LEVELS) AS EANAG MAS	60034
	(PT,DTWAVE LEVELS) AS FANAU WAS	00031
	DEVELOPPED FOR STRUCTURAL MATERIALS	00050
	FUR WHICH DUPPLER BRUADERING OF STWAVE	0003
	VIELDS IN NEGLIGIBLE.	00030
	TIELDS INCLUDE SELF-SHIELDING AND	0003
	POLITICETSUATICKING CURRECTIONS: Descinten beganentne uttu causetan	00041
	NEBULUTION DRUADENING MITH GAUSSIAN No cut_connee ofstetenton te course th	2004
	AN RESIGNED EVENING ON BE ADDITED TO	00042
	AN EFFLUIENUT FAULOR LAN DE APPLIEU 10 Each desonance to take account of campa	0004:
	SPECTRUM FLUCTHATIONS	00044
	SPECINON FLUCIOATIONS.	0004:
O GCORENTATION -	• FØ DØ ERUEDNER, KEPUKL NER 2143 (1973)	00040
SUBSED TOT CONVENTSON.		- CCC4
T (MAY JON LAND CONTRACTOR	DIN FANAL.	-00040
I (MAX D) AT	LOUIDESS STAS	00045
J UNANG ZJ	COMPOUND AMINAY MEASURED DATA DOINTS	
	MTABUNED PALA PUINIS;	00051
K (MAX - 2046)	N E SUNANUES 🕈	0005
K (MAX 5 2045) ** L (MAX 5 48) **	CODER RECTION DADAWETEDC	
K (MAX 2048) ** L (MAX 48) ** M (MAX 200) **	CROSS SECTION PARAMETERS	00053
K (MAX 5 2046) ** L (MAX 6 48) ** M (MAX 6 200) **	CRDSS SECTION PARAMETERS (FOTH FIXED AND ADJUSTED),	00054
К (MAX = 1948) ** L (MAX = 40) ** M (MAX = 200) ** N (MAX = 5) **	CRDSS SECTION PARAMETERS (FOTH FIXED AND ADJUSTED), TIME-OF-FLIGHT MEASUREMENTS.	00054 00054 00055
K (MAX = 1048) ** L (MAX = 40) ** M (MAX = 200) ** N (MAX = 5) **	CROSS SECTION PARAMETERS (FOTH FIXED AND ADJUSTED), TIME-OF-FLIGHT MEASUREMENTS:	00054 00054 00055

FORTRAN IV GI	RELEASE 2.C	MAIN	DATE = 73179	17/31/24		PAGE CCC
	C AND.	IF P-,DWAVE LE	VELS ARE PRESENT,		000590	
	C I ISG	TOPE WITH ZERO	S-WAVE PADIUS FOR NON-S	-WAVE LEVELS *	000600	
	C THE L	ATTER ARE TREATED	WITHOUT MULTI-LEVEL OR	POTENTIAL/	600616	
	C RESON	ANCE INTERFERENCE .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		05 6 2 0 0 0	
	C THIS	APPROXIMATION MAY	FAIL ABOVE 300-400 KEV.		600630	
	C				000640	
0.001	COMMON				CO C 6 5 C	
_	1HI.GI.ZI1	•Z21•Z22•IZ•CHIS0•	CHIS00.		000660	
	21 • IX • J • JX	(11) • KX(5) • L • LX(1)	• 2) • M• MA • MX • N • N X • K K X • MP	(11.2).MR(11.2).	000670	
	STITLE(20)	•ZIT•EPS•E1•E2•TEF	F+H(11)+AG(11)+SPIN(11)	.R#(11).	036000	
	4G(:1+2)+C	S(11.2) + X (200) + DL)	(200).FS(11.2).FFF(11.2	-200)	600 50	
	5EP(5).0LF	P(5).TC(5).TE(5).7	H(5) . FF(5 2) . Y(512) . DLY	(5.2).	00700	
	6XN (6) • XR (	6).TMX.AL(10).D(10	)).CT(10.2).CG(10.2).M1.	M2 • M0 •	000710	
	7DLE • EMNL•S	T(2048). PLST(2048)	•DST(20)•SG(2048)•DLSG(	20481.056(20).	000720	
	8SC(2048).	T(204a).YY(2048).T	YY(2048,20) - 77(512) - 7(5)	12).07(20).EFC.	000720	
	9E(201).RE	(201)		227,02(207)2:07	000740	
0.002	COMMON ZA	BC/ A(20.20).B(20.	20).0(20)		00.0750	
0.003	DOUBLE PR	ECISION A.B	20,,0(20)		000760	
0004	COMMONZE	Z SGT1(2048) • DLSGT	1 (2043)		600770	
0.005	COMPLEX H	T-GT-711-721-722-5	711.0721.0722		000720	
0000	C	.,,			000700	
0.006	1  CHISQ=C				008000	
0.007	CALL CARD	TN			000810	
0 008	CALL IND=	x			600820	
0 0 0 9	CALL CONT	J			000830	
0010	17 X =7 1 T	•			606840	
0011	DG ? IZ=1	• TZ X			000850	
0 612	CHISO 0=CH	I SQ			000860	
0 013	CHISQ=0.				000870	
0014	CALL MEV				000880	
0 01 5	CALL CONE	<u>*</u>			000890	
0 016	CALL CHUB	AN(MA+A+B)			000900	
0017	CALL ADJ(	IZ+MX+MA+KX(NX)+CH	IISQ • X • DLX • B • C )		00910	
0018	CALL KEV				000920	
0019	CALL YPLO	т			000930	
0.020	CALL PARO	ŮT			000940	
0021	VCHISO=((	CHISO-CHISOU)/CHIS	Q) <b>*</b> ≈2		000950	
0022	DLCHS Q=SQ	RT(VCHISQ)	_		000960	
0 0 2 3	IF (DLCHSQ	.LT.EPS)GO TO 3			COC 970	
0 024	WRITE(6.1	00)IZ			069300	
0 0 2 5	OC FORMAT(//	AFTER . IS. ITER	ATION(S) NO CONVERGENCE	YET .!//)	000990	
0 0 2 6	2 CONTINUE				0000000	
0027	GO TO 1				001010	
0.028	3 WRITE (6.1	01)12			001020	
0 029	101 FOR MAT(//	. CONVERGENCE AFTE	R*+13+* ITERATION(S)-*/	<b>Z</b> )	01030	
0 030	SO TO 1				001040	
0.031	END				001000	

FORTRAN	ΙV	Gi	RELEASE	2.0

MAIN

	ſ	(0)040
106.0	SUBBOLITINE CARDIN	001020
0.001		001070
		002030
	C CARDIN RHADS THE INPOT FROM CARDS	001090
		001100
0.002	CUMMUN	01210
	LHI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQ9,	001120
	2I,IX,J,JX(11),KX(5),L,LX(11,3),M,MA,MX,N,NX,KKX,MP(11,2),MR(11,2),	001130
	3TITLE(20),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SPIN(11),RP(11),	CO 114C
	4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(200),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	001156
	5FP(5),DLFP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),EE(512),Y(512),DLY(512),	00±16C
	6XN(6),XR(6),TMX,AL(10),D(10),CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1,M2,MD,	CO1176
	7DLE,EMN,ST(2C48),DLST(2C48),DST(2C),SG(2C48),DLSG(2C48),DSG(2C),	001180
	8SC(2048),T(2048),YY(2048),DYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(20),EFC,	001190
	9F(201),RF(201)	001200
0 00 3	DIMENSION TS(5)	001210
0.004	COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	CO 1220
	c	001230
0.005	I=G	001240
0 006	N=0	00 (2.50
0007	MX=0	001260
0 0 0 8	$HI=()$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ $\bullet$ $5$	001270
0.009		001280
0010	1  BEAD(5, 100-5ND=999)  TTLE	001260
0.011		001200
0.012		001310
0.013		001310
0.014		001320
0015		001360
0016		601350
0017		001340
0017		001370
	21 EEECTIVE TEMPERATURE - 'FEGALF' + EE'T + EE'T	001310
	2 UT LOTIVE TEMPERATORUS 21 MAYIMIM TOLEDADI E CUTY+2 CHANCES I ECA I COLLATIVE MA	003300
	3' MAX MUNDER OF TERATIVE STEDE: 'FROM', (RELATIVE)'/	001390
0.01.9	4" MAKA NUMBER UF ITERATIVE STEPS: "FCGU) 3 T-T-1	001400
0.019		001410
-	C READ ISINGPE CAED (ITH ISUTERE)	001420
	C $H(1)$ = ABUNDANCE,	001430
	C AG(1) = Alomic Weight,	001440
	C SPIN(I) FARGET SPIN	001450
0.010	C RP(I) = EFFECTIVE RADIUS FOR P-WAVE SCATTERING (FM).	001460
0.019	READ(5)104/H(1),AG(1),SP(N(1),KP(1)	00:470
0.20	U4 FURMA (4E105)	01480
0021	3 G(1,1)=.5*(1.+1/(2.*SFIN(1)+1))	CC1496
0022	$G(1,2) = 1_0 - G(1,1)$	001500
0023	1 + (3 + 1) + (3 + 0) + (3 + 0) + (3 + 1) +	601516
0024	$JF(SPIN(I) \circ GT_{2} \circ \circ )JX(I) = 2$	001520
0025	CS(1, 1) = SPIN(1) + .5	001530
0026	CS(1, 2) = SPIN(1) - 5	001540
0.027		CO 1550
0.028		C01560
0.029	MN=4X + 1	001570
0 C30	MX=MN+1	052100
	C READ POTENTIAL-SCATTERING CARD (I-TH ISCTOPE, J-TH SPIN)	001590
	C X(MN) : S-WAVE STRENGTH FUNCTION,	001600
	C DLX(MN): INITIAL UNCERTAINTY OF X(MN),	001610
	C X(MX) : EFFECTIVE RADIUS FOR S-WAVE SCATTERING (FM).	001620

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	CARDIN	DATE = 78179	17/31/24		PAGE 0002
	C C C	DLX( ES(I NOTE: P	MX): INITIAL UNCER ,J): 1ST INELASTIC UT INITIAL UNCERTA	TAINTY OF X(MX), THRESHOLD (KEV). INTY =C. FOR FIXED,		CC163C CC163C CC163C	
	С			>0. FOR ADJUSTED	PARAMETERS .	00.660	
0.032	105	READ(5,105	() (X(M),DLX(M),M≃MN 0 5)	•MX)•ES(1•J)		001610	
0 0 3 3	4	- L=L+1				601690	
0034		MN=MX+1				001700	
0 035		MX = MN + 3				00 710	
	C	- READ RE	SONANCE CARD			601720	
	с С	X (MN X (MN	IJ : RESUNANCE ENE	(KEV),		001740	
	č	X (MN	1+2): PARTIAL WIDTH	FOR INELASTIC SCATTER	R (KEV)	001750	
	C	X (MX	() : RADIATION WID	TH (KEV)		001760	
	С	DLX (	(M) : INITIAL UNCER	TAINTY OF X(M)		001770	
000/	С	FFF(	I,J,L): CAPTURE DE	TECTION EFFICIENCY		001780	
0035	10.6		) (X (M) ; ) LX (M ) ; M=MN 0 - 5 - 255 - 2)	•MXJ•EFF(I•J•L)		001790	
0.021	C 100	CHECK C	ARD TYPE			001810	
0 038		IF(X(MX) _N	IE.C.) GO TO 4			001820	
0 039		IF(J.LT.JX	(I)) GO TO 5			CO 1830	
0 040		IF (DLX(MN)	•GE•1•) GO TO 6			001840	
0041	C	LAST CA	D WAS POTENTIAL-S	CATTERING CARD		001850	
0042	5	5 LX(I,J)≃L-	-1	CRITERING CARD		01870	
0043		MX = MX - 2	-			001880	
0044		J=2				501890	
0045		ES(I,J)=X(	MN+2)			001900	
0046						001910	
0047	с		RD WAS ISOTOPE CAR	D		001920	
0048	6	LX(I,J)=L-	-1	5		01940	
0 049		MX = MX - 4				001950	
0 0 5 0		I=I+2				001960	
0 051		H(J) = X(	(MN)			C0 3976	
0.052		AG(I) = 0L	MN+1			001980	
0 054		SP(I) = DL	X(MN+1)			002000	
0055		GO TO 3				002010	
	С	LAST CA	ARD WAS SAMPLE CARD	F		002020	
	C C		I): SAMPLE THICKNES	S (NUCLEI/B)		002030	
0.056	ι 7	XK(N 	-1 SAMPLE RADIUS	(NULLEI/B)		002040	
0 0 5 7	,	MX=MX-4	-			002060	
0 058		IX=I				002070	
0 05 9		N=11+1			,	002080	
0 0 0 0		XN(N) = X(MN)	l)			02090	
0.062			, MIN )			002100	
0(02	С	READ SE	PECIFICATIONS DE N-	TH MEASUREMENT		002120	
	c	FP (N	) : FLIGHT PATH (	M)		02130	
	С	DLFP	(N): SAMPLE THICKN	ÆSS (M)		002140	
	С	TCIN	<pre>I) : CHANNEL WIDTH</pre>	(NS)		002150	
	C C	TE (N	VI - : FWHM OF GAMMA	, PEAK (NS) Te ciglo atetories pri	DENERCY	002160	
	c	2010	I) : TIME SHIFT IN	S) TO COMPENSATE ZERO	-TIME ERRORS	002170	
0063	12	2 READ(5,107	)FP(N),DLFP(N),TC(	(1), $TB(N)$ , $ZH(N)$ , $TS(N)$	TINE ENGINE	002390	
0064	107	FORMAT(6E)	LC.5)			002200	

- 56

FORTRAN IV GI	RELEASE	2.0	CARDIN	DA	TE = 78179	17	/31/24		PAGE	6003
0 065	8	KN=KH+1						CO 22 1C		
0 066		KH=KN+1						002220		
	С	READ D	ATA CARD					002230		
	С	EE (	K) : EXPERIMENTA	AL ENERGY (K	EV)			00 22 40		
	С	Υ(Κ	) : EXPERIMENTA	AL YIELD				C02250		
	С	DLY	(K): EXPERIMENTA	AL YIELD UNC	ERTAINTY (%	)		02260		
0067		READ(5,10	8)(EE(K), Y(K),D	DLY(K),K=KN,	кн)			002270		
C (68	108	FORMAT(65	10.5)					CO 228C		
	C	WAS TH	IS LAST DATA CAP	RD?				002290		
	Ĺ	NUTE:	LAST DATA CARU J	IS FULLOWED	SY A BLANK	CARD (1 PO	R EACH	002300		
	L C		MCASUKEMENIJ. A TNDUT COD ANDTUI	ZNU BLANK (	AKU SIGNALS	END UP PR	UBLEM.	002310		
0.049	ι,		INPUT FUR ANUTH:	ак ркорцем м	AY FULLOW.			002320		
0.039			INNEDUCIOU IU Q. . Kui					002350		
0.071			1 -NE-0-160 TO 9					002340		
0072		KX(N) = K - 7	/					002360		
0073		GO TO .O						02370		
0 074	9	CONTINUE						002380		
0075	10	IF(KX(N).	EQ.KH-2)GU TO 11	Ĺ				C02390		
0 076		READ(5,10	9 DUMMY					002400		
0077	109	FOR MAT(E1	0.5)					C02410		
0 078	11	N=N+1						002420		
0079		READ(5,11	0)XN(N),XR(N)					002430		
0 0 8 0	110	FORMAT(2E	10.5)					C0244C		
1800	c	KH=KX (N-1						002450		
0.000	L		E INPUT?					002460		
0.082			61. C. 160 10 12					002470		
0.083	c		ATE ABONINTE EDD	00				602460		
0.084	C	KH=KX (NX)	ATE ADJOEDTE ENA					002490		
0.085		DO 13 K=1	• KH					002510		
0 086		DLY(K)=DL	Y(K)*ABS(Y(K))*.	.01				002520		
0087	13	CONTINUE						00 25 30		
	С	CHECK	WHETHER ALL CAP	PTURE EFFICI	ENCIES ARE	EQUAL		002540		
0088		LL=C						002550		
0 689		EFC=1.						002560		
0090		00 14 1=1	•1X					00 25 70		
0091		JH=JX(1)						002580		
0092		JU 15 J=1	JE ALCO TO LE					002590		
0.094			1 JECONDO IO ID.					002000		
0.095		D0 16 = 1	/ •1년					002620		
0.096		11=11+1	12.1					002630		
0 097		IF(LL .EQ.	1)EFC=EFF(1,J,L)	)				002640		
0098		IF(LL.GT.	I .AND .EFF (I, J, L)	.NE_EFC)EFC	=0.			002650		
0 099	16	CONTINUE						00 26 60		
0100	15	CONTINUE						CO 26 TC		
0+101	14	CONTINUE						602680		
	С	CORRE	CT TRUNCATION EF	RORS OF ENE	RGIES AND P	RINT MEASU	RED DATA	002690		
	C C		•					002700		
	i c	·		,				002720		
0.10.2	Ļ	WKIIE WRITE(( 1	KUN INFURMATIUN 721	v				002750		
0102	:15		10///45H SPECTETC		TTI TZED MEA	SUBEMENTS.	1	C02750		
0100	-15		4.H ========			222222222222	/// 1268	602760		
		I MMENT.	SAMPLE SAM	1PLE FI	IGHT S	AMPLE	CHANNEL	002770		
		2 FWHM	MONTE	TIME SHI	FT		/126H	02780		
		3 NO.	THICKNESS RAD	CIUS PA	тн т	HICKNESS	WIDTH	002790		

-

57

FORTRAN <sup>'</sup> IV G1	RELEASE 2.0	CARDIN	DATE = 78	179	17/31/24		PAGE GG04
	4 GAM	MA PEAK CARLO	CORRECTION		/126H	002860	
	5	(NUCLEI/B) (NUC	LEI/B) (M)	(M)	(NS)	002810	
	6 (NS	) HISTORIES	(NS)		7)	002820	
0104	DO 51 N	=1,NX				002830	
0105	WRITE(6	,114)N, XN(N), XR(N),	FP(N), DLFP(N), TC(	N), TB(N), ZH	(N), TS(N)	002840	
0 106	5° CONTINU	E				002850	
0107	114 FORMAT(	I3,1PE16.2,1PE12.3,	0PF10.4,F12.4,F10	-3,F12.3,F1	2.0,F12.3)	002860	
	C WRI	TE CRUSS SECTION PA	RAMETERS			002870	
	С					002880	
0108	CALL	PAROUT				002890	
	С					002900	
0109	IF(IX <sub>*</sub> G	T=10+0R=NX+GT=5+0R+	KX(NX),GT.512)WRI	TE(6,115)I)	.,NX,KX(NX)	0029 0	
0110	115 FORMAT(	IH // TOO MUCH INP	UT:", 16, " ISOTO	IPES, 1/		602920	
	1	•	•, I6, • MEASU	REMENTS , 1/		002930	
	2	•	*, 16, * DATA	POINTS.")		002940	
0111	IF(IX	•GT. 10)IX = 1	0			602956	
0112	IF(NX	•GT₂ 5)NX =	5			002960	
0113	IF(KX(N	X).GT.512)KX(NX)=51	2			002970	
C 114	RETURN					002980	
0115	999 STGP					002990	
0116	END					003000	

- 58 -

	C	003010
0001	SUBROUTINE ETEC(EE,Y,DLY,FP,TS,KX,NX)	00 30 20
	C	003030
	C ETEC CORRECTS NONLINEARITIES OF THE TIME-OF-FLIGHT SCALE	003040
	C CAUSED BY TOD FEW DIGITS USED FOR THE INPUT ENERGIES	003050
	C BY A LINEAR LEAST-SQUARES FIT TO THE FLIGHT TIMES.	CO3060
	C EE: ENERGIES (KFV), FIRST TRUNCATED, THEN CORRECTED	003070
	C Y: CAPTURE YIELD DATA (P)	603030
		003050
	C EP: FIIGHT PATH	603100
		003110
		003100
		003120
		003150
0.000	C DIMENSION FERENCE VIEND DIVISION FRIEN KAREN	003140
	UNTERNITUM EE(512), ((512), (012), (512), (513), (5), (3(5), (3(5)))	003150
003		003160
0 004	LOG FURMATCHOZZY INPUT DATA TO BE FITTED: Z	003170
		003180
	2" TRUNCATED CORRECTED CAPTURE YIELD"/	003190
	3' EN ERGY ENERGY DATA 1/	003200
	41 (KEV) (KEV) (B) 1)	603210
1005	KH=0	003220
1006	DO 3 N=I,NX	003230
0007	KN=KH+1	003240
008	KH=KX (N)	003250
029	$CG = TS(N) / (72 = 3 \neq FP(N) \neq SQRT(1000 = ))$	003260
010	WRITE(6.701)	003270
1011		003280
012		003290
012		003200
014		003300
014		002320
1016		003320
017		003330
	DU I KEKNYKH	003340
8100		003350
5019	E[A=1.57SQK](Ec.(K))	003380
020	A11=A11+1.	003370
021	A32=A32+FK	003380
022	A2 2=A22+FK**2	003390
023	C1 =C1+ETA	003400
024	C2 =C2+ETA*FK	003410
)025	1 CONTINUE	C03420
026	DET=A11*A22-A12*A12	603430
0027	B11= A22/DET	03440
0 028	P12=-A12/DET	003450
1029	B22= A11/DET	CO 346C
030	X1 = B7 3 *C1 + B1 2 *C2 + C 0	003470
0.031	X2=B12+C1+B22AC2	003450
1032		002490
2022		003500
0000		003510
0025		003910
0030		003520
0035		02520
JC37	WR1FE(6,102)ER,EE(K),Y(K),DLY(K)	003540
038	C2 FDRMAT(F8.3,F <sup>2</sup> 2.3,F <sup>2</sup> 2.6,2H+-,F8.6)	003550
0039	2 CONTINUE	003560
0040	3 CONTINUE	003570
0041	RETURN	003580
0 0 4 2	END	CO 35 90

FORTRAN	IV G1	RELEASE 2	.0	MAIN		DATE = 781	.79 1	7/31/24		PAGE	0001
		C C							003600		
0001		Š	UBROUTINE P	AROUT					003610		
		С							003620		
		С	P AROUT F	RINTS THE C	RGSS SECT:	ION PARAMETE	:RS		003630		
		С							003640		
0 CO 2		C	OMMON						003650		
		.H	HI,GI,Z11,Z2	21, <b>Z22,IZ,C</b> H	ISQ,CHISQ	3,			003660		
		21	,IX,J,JX(1]	L),KX(5),L,L	X(11,2),M,	MA,MX,N,NX,	KKX, MP(11,2),	MR(11,2),	003670		
		31	ITLE(20),Z]	IT, EPS, E1, E2	,TEFF,H(1)	.),AG(11),SP	IN(11),RP(11)	<b>,</b>	03680		
		4G	5(11,2),CS(1	1,2),X(200)	,DLX(200),	ES(11,2),EF	F(11,2,200),		003690		
		51	-P(5);ULFP(:	<b>THY AL (10)</b>	5), ZH(5),	E(512), Y(51	(2), ULY(512), (3)		003700		
		07		01 P(X # AL ( 10 ) # 204 8   D   ST ( 2		0929966(109	2);M1;M2;M0; 	356 (201)	003710		
		85	C[2049].T(	2040] JULJILZ	9457555172 91. DVY1264	8.201.77151	21.7/5121.57	201.550.	003730		
		0.5 0,5	(20) .RE(20	13)	01,011120-	-0,20,,20,,221,01	2192(312)902	20792.09	003740		
0 003		Ċ	OMPLEX HI.G		22. DZ11. DZ	21 .DZ22			003750		
		c							003760		
0 204		N	1H=MX						003770		
0005		W	RITE(6,99)						CC 37 SC		
0 006		99 F	ORMAT(1H1/	/* CROSS SEC	TION PARAM	1ETERS:1/			CO3790		
		2		• =========		======*/)			003860		
0 607		h	RITE (6,100)						0038:0		
0.008		100 F	ORMAT (	127H ABUN-	ATOMIC	TARGET P-W	IAVE COMP.	S-WAVE	003820		
		1	S-WAVE	INEL.	RESUNANCE	PARII	AL WIDTHS FUR		003830		
		2		TUPESU	WEIGHT	SPIN KAD	THEL COATT	CADTURE	003840		
		2	ESETC .	10Kcone (1974	ENERGI	CLEOUAIIe /CN	INCLOSUAL IS	EUNCTION	003850		
			(FM).	(KEV)	(KEV)	(KEV)		(KEV)	603870		
		ر ۸	1.11	1:274		(NCV)			003886		
		7	AUNCERT.	<b>4</b>	/UNCERT.	ZUNCERT.	ZUNCERT .	ZUNCERT.	003890		
		8		()					002900		
0 009		N	1X=0						003910		
0010		D	O I I=1,IX						003920		
0011		J	]=1						003930		
0 012		נ	(F(LX(I,1).	EQ.0)GO TO 2					003940		
0013		Ņ	1N=MX + 1						003950		
0014	,	M	1X = MN + 5						003960		
0 015		, H	RITE (6,101)	H(I),AG(I),	SPIN(I),RE	P(I),CS(I,J)	• X (MN) • X (MN+	L),ES(I,J)	003970		
0.01/		-7	X (MN+2),X (M	1N+3),X(MN+4	),X(MX),E	-F(1,J,1),(U	)LX(M),M=MN,M)		003980		
0016		101 F		-10 -1	'Ye3; F3+1;1	19512 • 3 • 09 F8	• 3, F7 • 3, F14 • :	3,1P3E12+3	003990		
0.017		÷,	0 TO 3	=======================================	7X,F1200,3	SE12.3/ )			004000		
0018		ט כ							004010		
0019		2 P	1X = MN + 1						004030		
0020			IRTTE (6.102)	H(T).AG(T).	SPIN(T) .RE	(T).CS(T.1)	• X (MN) • X (MX	).ES(T.1)	004040		
		L,	DLX(MN),DL)	((MX)		,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,_,	004050		
0021		02 F	ORMAT (F7.4	F8=1,F7-1,F	9-3, F8-1,	PE12.3,0PF8	.3,F9.3/39X,3	912.3,F8.3	004060		
		1/	()					-	004070		
0022		G	0 TO 4						0040SC		
0 023		3 I	F(LX(I,J).	.E.1)GO TO 4					004090		
0024		L	MX=LX(I,J)						004100		
0 025		C	0 5 L=2,LM)	<					604110		
0 02 6		м	N = MX + T						004120		
0027		۰۹	1X = MN + 3						004130		
0.028		W	RIP: (6,103)	H(X(M),M=MN,	MX), FFF(I	J.L),(DLX(M	(),M=MN,MX)		004146		
0.029		103 F	UP.MAIL68X,	-12-3,1P3E12	رE∎8⊐40,c•	68X,F12.3,3	3E12.3/1		004150		
0690		5 0	URLINUE						004160		

-

.

-- 60 --

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE 2	0.0	PAROUT	DATE = 78179	17/31/24		PAGE	CCOZ
0031	4 1	[F(J₂E0•JX(I))60	тац			004170		
0032		J=2				004130		
0 033	]	[F(LX(1,2).EQ.0)	GO TO 6			004190		
0 034	M	1N = MX + 1				004230		
0035	2	1X=MN+5				004210		
0.036	h	RITE (6,104) CS (1	.2),X(MN),X(	MN+1), ES(I,2), X(MN+2), X(	MN+3),X(MN+4),	004220		
	1>	((MX), 2FF(I,J,1)	,(DLX(M),M=M	N+MX)	· · · · · ·	004230		
0 03 7	104 F	ORMAT(31X.FS.1.	1PE12.3.CPF8	.3. F9.3. F12.3. 1P3E12.3.0	PF8.3/39X.612.	004240		
	13	3.F3.3.9X.F12.3.	3512.3/)	· · · · · · · ·	• - · -	004250		
0 038		50 TO 3				004260		
0 039	6 M	4N = MX + 1				CO 4270		
0040	h	1X = MN + 1				004280		
0 041	Ь	RITE (6,105)CS(1	.2),X(MN),X(	MX), ES(I,2), DLX(MN), DLX(	MX)	004290		
0042	105 F	ORMAT(31X, F8,1,	1PE12.3.0PF8	3, F9.3/39X, E12.3, F8.3/)		004300		
0043	10	CONTINUE	-			004310		
C 044	- N	1X = MH				004320		
0045	1	(F(IZ_GT_O)RETUR	M			004330		
0046	R	RETURN				004340		
0 047	E	END				004350		

17/31/24 PAGE 000:

	C	004360
0.003	SUBROUTINE INDEX	604370
	C	0043?0
	C INDEX PROVIDES. FOR FACH ISOTOPE AND COMPOUND SPIN. SUBSCRIPTS	004390
	C STRUCTURING THE CROSS SECTION PARAMETER ARRAYS X AND DLX-	604400
	C MP(I.J): BEGIN DE POTENTIAL-SCATTERING PARAMETERS.	004410
	C MR(I+J): BEGIN OF RESONANCE PARAMETERS	004420
	C FOR THE T-TH ISCTOPE AND THE J-TH COMPOUND SPIN.	004430
	C MX: TOTAL NUMBER OF PARAMETERS.	604446
	C MA: NUMBER OF ADJUSTED PARAMETERS.	604450
	C	004460
0 0 2	COMMON	00 4470
	1HI.GI.711.721.722.17.CHIS0.CHIS00.	004480
	21, IX, J, JX (I1), KX (5), L, LX (11, 2), M, MA, MX, N, NX, KKX, MP (11, 2), MR (11, 2),	004490
	3TITLE(20).ZIT.EPS.E1.E2.TEFF.H(11).AG(11).SPIN(11).RP(11).	CO 45 0 C
	4G(1,1,2),CS(11,2),X(200),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	004510
	5FP (5), DL FP (5), TC (5), TB (5), ZH (5), EE (512), Y (512), DLY (512),	C04520
	6XN (6), XR (6), TMX, AL (10), D(10), CT (10, 2), CG (10, 2), M1, M2, M0,	004530
	7DLE, EMN, ST (2048), DLST (2048), DST (20), SG (2048), DLSG (2048), DSG (20),	004540
	8SC(2043),T(2048),YY(2048),DYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(20),EFC,	CO 45 5C
	9F(201), RF(201)	004560
0 00 3	COMPLEX HI,GI,Z  Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	004570
	c	004580
0.004	MSUM= 1	00 45 90
0 005	DO 1 I=1,IX	004660
0 0 0 6	(I) XL=HL	004610
0 007	DO I J=1,JH	004620
0 00 8	MP(I,J)=MSUM	004630
0 009	MR(I,J)=MSUM+2	CO 46 40
0 0 1 0	1 MSUM=MR(I,J)+4*LX(I,J)	004650
0 011	MX = MS UM - I	004660
0 012	MA = 0	00 4670
0013	DO 2 M=1,MX	004660
0 014	IF (DLX(M).GT.C.)MA=MA+1	004690
0015	2 CONTINUE	004700
0 016	IF (MX .GT.200.0R.MA.GT.20)WRITE(6,100)MX,MA	004710
0 017	100 FORMAT(1H//* TOD MANY PARAMETERS:*,16,* ALL TOGETHER,*/	004720
	• •,I6,• ADJUSTED ONES.•)	004730
0 018	IF(MX +GT+200)MX=200	004740
0°C19	IF (MA .GT . 20)MA= 20	604750
0020	RETURN	004760
0021	END	004770

ය |

	С		004750
	С		
0001		SUBROUTINE MEV	04790
	С		04810
	С	MEV CONVERTS ENERGIES FROM KEV TO MEV	004810
	С		004820
0 002		CONMON	004830
		1HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQC,	004840
		21, IX, J, JX(11), KX(5), L, LX(11,2), M, MA, MX, N, NX, KKX, MP(11,2), MR(11,2),	004850
		<pre>3TITLE(20),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SPIN(11),RP(11),</pre>	CO 4860
		4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(200),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	004870
		5FP(5),DLFP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),EE(5)2),Y(512),DLY(512),	004880
		6XN(6),XR(6),TMX,AL(10),D(10),CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1,M2,M0,	004890
		7DLL, EMN, ST (2043), DLST (2048), DST (20), SG (2048), DLSG (2048), DSG (20),	C04900
		<pre>SSC(2048),T(2045),YY(2048),DYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(2C),EFC,</pre>	004910
		9F(201),RF(201)	604926
0 003		COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	004930
	С		004940
σ :04		IF(IZ_GT_1)G0 TO 1	00 49 50
0 0 0 5		E1=E1*.001	04900
0006		E2=E2*•001	004970
0 007		DO 2 I=1,IX	004980
0008		(I) XL=HL	004990
0009		DD 2 J=1,JH	005000
0 0 1 0		ES(I,J)=ES(I,J)*+001	005010
0 011		IF(LX(I,J).EQ.C)GO TO 2	005020
0012		M1=MR(I,J)	005030
0013		M2=MR(I,J)+4*LX(I,J)-4	005040
0.014		DD 2 M=M1,M2,4	005050
0 015		$X(M) = X(M) \neq eOCL$	005060
0016		X(M+1)=SIGN(SQRT(.001*ABS(X(M+1))),X(M+1))	005070
0 0 1 7		X(M+2)=SIGN(SQRT(.COI*ABS(X(M+2))),X(M+2))	005080
0018		X(M+3)=X(M+3)*•CC1	005090
0019	2	CONTINUE	005100
0 020		KH=KX (NX)	005110
0 021		DO 3 K=1,KH	005120
0 022	3	↓ EE(K) = .001*EE(K)	005130
0 023		IF(IZ_EQ+1)RETURN	005140
0 0 2 4		EMN=EMN*sOCI	005150
0 025		DLE=DLE*_CC1	C05160
0 0 2 6		RETURN	005170
0027		END	005180

- 64 -

17/31/24

	C		605190
0 001	L	SU5ROUTINE CONIJ	005200
	С		005210
	С	CONIJ YIELDS CONSTANTS FOR THE CROSS SECTION CALCULATION	00 52 20
	С		05230
0002		COMMON	005240
		HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z21,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQ0,	005250
		2I, IX, J, JX(11), KX(5), L, LX(11,2), M, MA, MX, N, NX, KKX, MP(11,2), MR(11,2),	005260
		3TITLE(20),ZIT, EPS, E1, E2, TEFF, H(11), AG(11), SPIN(11), RP(11),	005270
		4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(200),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	005280
		5FP(5),DLFP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),EE(512),Y(512),DLY(512),	005290
		6XN (6), XR (6), TMX, AL (10), D(10), CT (10,2), CG (10,2), M1, M2, M0,	005300
		7DLE,EMN,ST(2048),DLST(2048),DST(20),SG(2048),DLSG(2048),DSG(20),	605310
		8SC(2048),T(2048),YY(2048),CYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(20),EFC,	CO 53 20
		9F(201),RF(201)	005330
0 003		COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	005340
	С		005350
0.004		DO 1 I=1,IX	005360
0 005		AL(I)=1+1./AG(I)	605370
0006		CO=H(I)*AL(I)**2	005330
0 0 0 7		D(I)=SQRT(344.68*TEFF/AG(I))*.000001	005390
0008		(I) XL=HL	C054C0
0 009		DO 2 J=1,JH	005410
0 C10		CT(I,J)=C0*G(I,J)	005420
0011		$CG(I_{+}J) = \varepsilon 5 \times CT(I_{+}J)$	005430
0 012	2	2 CONTINUE	005440
0013	1	1 CONTINUE	00 54 50
0014		RETURN	005460
0 0 1 5		END	005470

.

MAIN
65 

	C 005-	480
0.001		
0.01		+>0
		500
	C CONE VIELDS THE COEFFICIENTS OF THE NORMAL EQUATIONS COST	>10
	C 0055	520
0.002	COMMON COS	53C
	1HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQ0, 005	540
	21,IX,J,JX(11),KX(5),L,LX(11,2),M,MA,MX,N,NX,KKX,MP(11,2),MR(11,2), 005	550
	3TITLE(20),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SPIN(11),RP(11), C05	560
	4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(200),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200), 005	570
	5FP(5),DLFP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),EE(512),Y(512),DLY(512), 005	5 60
	6XN(6),XR(6),TMX,AL(10),D(13),CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1,M2,M0, 005	590
	7DLE;EMN,ST(2048);DLST(2048);DST(20);SG(2043);DLSG(2048);DSG(20); 0050	500
	8SC(2048),T(2048),YY(2048),DYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(26),EFC, C050	61C
	9F(201),RF(201) 0050	520
0 0 0 3	COMMON / ABC/ A(20, 20), B(20, 20), C(20) 0050	530
0 994	DOUBLE PRECISION A,B C054	540
0 005	COMMON/SEYCO/YS(2048) 005	650
0006	COMPLEX HI+GI+Z1+Z2+DZ1+DZ22+DZ22 0050	660
0 0 07	DIMENSION $FS(201) \cdot DYC(201 \cdot 20)$	670
	C 00.54	680
		690
0 008		700
6,009		710
0010		720
0.011		730
0.012		740
0 012		760
0.013		760
0015		770
	C GET SET ANTA SECONDART AND MOLTIFEL OBELISION THEEDS CON	750
0.014		700
0.015		9 00
0.016		500 610
0010		510 510
		020
0 01 <b>7</b>	C CONSTANTS FOR RESULUTION WIDTH COST	3 30
0.012	V 4 - (VLFF(N/) / F 2/ ● 0 003/ V 4 - (VLFF(N/) / F 2/ ● 0 003/ V 5 - (VLFF(N/) / F 2/ ● 0 003/ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	340 0 E O
0.019		320
0.010	U WRITE FABLE HEADING UDD	350
0.019	WRITE(0,101)12,N,XN(N),FF(N) GUD	570
0.020	101 FURMATURE VIEW AND STEP - 201 MICH FURMERUN NU. 1377 007	380
	(18H SAMPLE THICKNESS:, PEIG.3, 9H NUCLEI/S / COS	850
	218H FLIGHI PATH :, IP210, 3, 2H M /) 005	900
0021	WRITE (6,102) 005	910
0 022	102 FORMAT ( CO5	920
	1. NEUTRON MEASURED CALCULATED FROM MULT. CALC CO5	930
	2ULATED // 0051	940
	3' ENERGY YIELD-OVER-THICKNESS VALUES COLLISIONS CROS 005	950
	4S SECTION!/ CC5	960
	5" (KEV) (MILLI-BARN) (MILLI-BARN) (PERCENT) (MIL CO5	970
	6LI-BARN)•/) CO 5	780
	C BEGIN ENERGY LOOP 005	990
0 0 2 3	KN=KH+1 006(	000
0 C24	KH=KX (N) 006	010
0 025	DO 3 KK=KN,KH C06	0 Z C
0 0 2 6	XL=(EE(KK)-EMN)/OLE+1. L06(	030
0027	L=XL 0060	040

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	CONE	DATE = 78179	17/31/24		PAGE 0002
0 028		DD=XL-FLGAT(L)				006050	
0029		RW=EE (KK) * SORT (	V1+V2≭EΞ(KK))			006060	
0.030		QD=DLE/RW				006070	
0 631		KR=3./QD				030600	
0 032		STL = ST(L) + D	D*(ST(L+1) = -ST(L)	)		006090	
0.033		SGL = SG(L) + D	D*(SG(L+1) - SG(L))	)		006100	
0.034		DLSGI = DLSG(I) + D	D*(DLSG(L+1)-DLSG(	111		006110	
0.035		$T_{1} = T(1) + D$	D*(T(1+1)) - T(1)			006120	
0.001	r	SELE-SHIELD	ING EFFICT ON MEAN	PATH LENGTH TH SAMPL	F	006:30	
0.036	Ū.	DELXI = [2 / (XNIN)]	$\frac{1}{3}$	-T[))*D[FP(N)/FP(N)*F	F(KK)ZDLE	006140	
0.037		XI = XI + DEI XI				206150	
0.038						006160	
0.039		DD = Xt - FI DAT(L)				006170	
0.040		IE(KS+I-CL-KKX)	KR = KK X + 1	,		006190	
0.041		TE (KR . GT . 1 GO) WR	TTE(A, 100)I7.KR			006190	
0.042	201	FORMAT(7H T2.	17H. ITERATION. KR	= TA TREAD UISTED TO	1001	006200	
0.043	200	TE (KR _GT_100)KR	=100	-9109 100000110 10	100 1	606210	
0015	c	PREPARE INT	EGRANDS FOR RESOLU	TION BROADENING		006220	
0.044	Ũ	K1=10*-KB		FIGH BROADERTING		006230	
0.045		$K_{2} = 10.1 + K_{R}$				006240	
0012	c	10 10 10 10				006250	
0.046	C	TELAG(2) -NE-5	6-1			000200	
0040			D_PE_K2)			006270	
0.047		IE(AC(T).ED.S	6.1			006290	
0011						006290	
	c		byict yich y			006290	
0.048	Ŭ	DD 4 K=K1-K2				006310	
0.049		K=1+K-101				006320	
0050		E(K) = BE(K) * (XX)	(1 K ) + D 2 ( YY ( ) K + 7 ) -	YY (1 K ) ) )		006330	
0.051		$EC(K) = E(K) \times (V)$	(1K)+0D*(YS(1K+1)-			006340	
0.052			1007100-(10100127	1 S (EK/)		000340	
0.53		$DXC(K \cdot M) = BE(K) \times$	$(\Gamma Y Y (F K - M) + D D * (D Y Y)$	([K+1.M)=DVV([K.M]))		006360	
0.054	4	CONTENUE				006370	
•	c.	RESOLUTION	BROADENING:			006320	
	č	RESDECTION	5107 511100			006390	
0.055	Ũ	CALL STMPLE .	K) - K2 - Z (KK) )			006400	
0.056		CALL STMP(ES.	KT - KT - 7 5 )			006410	
00.0	C	0/22 01/11/259				006420	
0.057	0	ZS = ZS / Z(KK)				006430	
0058		Z(KK) = Z(KK)/XN(	N )			006440	
0 (59		ZDEV = (Y(KK) - Z(K))	K))/ULY(KK)			006450	
	C	RESOLUTION	BEDAD: NING DE DERT	VATIVES		006460	
0 060		DO 5 M=1.MA				006470	
	С					006430	
0 C61	-	CALL SIMP(DYC	(1.M) .K1 .K2 .D7 (M))			006490	
	С					00.65.00	
0.062		DZ(M) = DZ(M)/DLY	(RK)ZXN(N)			C0651C	
	с	COEFFICIENT	S OF THE NORMAL ED	UATIONS		u06520	
0 063		C(M)=C(M)+DZ(M)	*ZDEV			006530	
0064		DO 5 MM=1,M				006540	
0 065	5	A(M,MM) = A(M,MM)	+AZ(M)*AZ(MM)			006550	
0 066		CHISQ=CHISQ+ZDE	V <b>≭</b> ≯ _			006560	
-	С	PRINT TABLE	ENTRY			L06570	
0 067	-	WRITE(6,103)EE(	KK),Y(KK),DLY(KK).	Z(KK),ZS,SGL,DLSGL		006580	
0 068	103	FORMAT( . , 3PF7	+3,F '+',F8_3	,F13.3,2PF13.3,3PF13.	3, 1 + 1, FS.3)	006590	
0 069		WRITE(6,104)				006600	
0 0 70	104	FORMAT( ++ ,13X .	* _ *, 47 X, * *)			006610	
0071	Э	CONTINUE				006620	

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE 2.0	CONE	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	PAGE COUS
J <b>J7</b> 2	C END DF 2 CONTINUE C END DF	ENERGY LOOP LOOP OF MEASUREMENTS		006630 006640 006650	
0073 0074	RETURN END			006860 006670	

	c	006680
2021		A 14 4 6 5
1001	SOBROOTINE PRA	000090
		200700
	C PRI TIELUS THE PRIMARY TIELD, ITS DERIVATIVES AND THE	300713
		000720
		000750
	C REENERGY-GRID LABEL	000740
കരം		325753
0002	COMMEN NUT CI TIL TOL TOL TO CUIDO CUIDO	000100
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	000770
	21 j 1 k j J k l 1 j j k k j J j L j L k l 1 j 2 j j r j m k j m k j n k j n k j m k j l j 2 j j m k (1 1 j 2 j j r j m k j l j k k j k j k j k j k j k j k j k	000700
		000190
		000000
	$\frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p_{1}}$	000010
	CANTCH + ATTOCAS + DETTOCAS + D	000020
	10E;EMN;SI(2347);9E3(2347);9T(2372);9E3(2347);9E3(2347);9E3(2347);9E3(237); 9C6(2343);T(2343);V(2343);DV(2343,234);7E(23);T(532);D(236);2E(23);	000000
	55672345771123457711234577112346730771234672077224572277227723740212077EFC4	0000000
3.333	75/2011/585/2011 Common (Abol Alo) 23/ 8120 23/ 6125/	0000000
2000	DOINDE = DOC (STON A R)	000000
0004	COMPANY CONTRACT DESCRIPTION AND	000010
0005		000000 034002
0008	COMPLEX H1,01,211,221,222,0211,1221,0222	004000
0007		000900
5001		000910
0000		000720
0009		000955
6310		200740
0010		340930 334041
0012	$\Sigma$ MAATELINDJ V1 - (DIED(N)/ED(N)) ** 2/1 5	000900
0012	V1-(DEFF(0))/FF(0))+**2/100 V2-(TQ(N))/(4) - 500+EP(N))/##2	000719
0015		336900
0014		0007000
0010	ET=2: ***** * ****************************	007010
0017		007020
3017	ENVERNAVES SOUTET	007030
5015	C IS VALIDITY INTERVAL WIDE ENDIGH?	007040
0019	TWARNEN	007050
0020		337360
0021	EBAB=5*(EMX+EMN)	007070
0022	IE(EI) = EBAR - HINT)GO TO 22	057080
0023		296766
9924	FI=FRAR-HINT	387199
0025	22 IE(E2.GE_EBAR+HINT)GO TO 23 -	067110
0026	Twa BN=1	007120
0027	E 2= EBAR + HINT	507130
0028	23 IF(IWARN, E0.1) WRITE(6.101)E1.E2	027140
JJ29	131 FORMAT(///	007150
	1' WARNING: VALIDITY RANGE READJUSTEE, //	527165
	2' PARAMETERS ARE NOW VALID FROM', 3PF8.3, ' TG', 3PF8.3, ' KEV'/)	007170
	C TAKE INTERNAL GRID INTERVAL (DLE) AS HALF THE SMALLEST DOPPLER	367185
	C WIDTH, IF MORE THAN 2048 INTERNAL GRID PUINTS RESULT. OR IF	007195
	C MORE THAN 151 GRID POINTS FALL WITHIN THRICE THE LARGEST	367235
	C RESCLUTION WIDTH, THE DOPPLER WIDTH (I. E. THE TEMPERATURE)	337215
	C MUST BE INCREASED.	007220
0030	DLZ=•5*D(1)=SQRT(ZMIN)	007230
Û031	DLE3=DLE	007240

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE 2.0	PRY	DATE = 78131	11/36/14		PAGE DU
0.332	DI - 1 = 3. *	9371			107253	
1.133	DI 52-15M	へきえ エノ こう 又二章をは 3 人 つう 人 古			ق فر ے 1 کہ لیا	
2224						
JJJJ4 JJJJ4		INULTO:ULEI;ULEI;			201212	
0000		F.ULE0150 10 2			221282	
0036	Q1=L1=70				017294	
0037	+ +	F*(J1)#*2			007300	
0038	DO 1 I=1	,IX			007310	
0039	1 D(I)=D(I	)≠QD			017320	
0040	DW=D(1)*	SQRT(EMIN_)≈100000	0.		JC7330	
0041	E1( 39=EM	IN#1060.			007340	
0042	WRITE(6,	100)TEFF,DW,E1000			027350	
0043	100 FORMAT(2	SHOEFERCTIVE TEMPE	RATURE : . E7. 0.13H DEG. K	CELVIN.	007360	
	1/ 2	SH RESULTING DOPPL	ER WINTH: E7.3. 6H EV AT.	E9 3.4H KEV/)	997375	
3.344	2 66 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	-EMN1/01241	a widding (1997 of Ly Ar,	i ji ji m ke vi j	0720	
0.044		-EBRITULETI.			901303	
A	5551	N ENERGY LOUP			007390	
0045	E=EMN-DL				JS14-JC	
JU46	DU 11 K=	1,KKX			JC7410	
JU47	E=E+DLE				007420	
	C CALC	ULATE CROSS SECTIO	NS AND DERIVATIVES		507430	
	С				207443	
0048	CALL X	SECT(E,K)			007450	
	C				00746£	
	C TRAN	SMISSION:			007470	
0340	TIVIEYD	(			001470	
0047		ADV VIELDA			001400	
1000	C PRIM	ART TIELD:			337495	
2020	YY(K) = [1]	-1(K))*SG(K)/SI(K	.)		JC756J	
	C DER I	VATIVES:			367510	
0051	DD 3 M=1	,MA			007520	
0052	DYY (K, M)	=YY(K)≈{DSG(M)/SG(	K)-DST(M)/ST(K))		007530	
	1 + XN (	N)#SG{K)#T(K)#DST(	M)/ST(K)		007540	
0053	3 CONTINUE				007550	
	C CALC	ULATE CROSS SECTIO	N ERRORS		007560	
0054	VST=).				207570	
0.055	VSG= ).				0.07580	
0056	TE(T7 =0	1100 TO 4			007500	
00000					001390	
0057					007600	
JJJ58	())] 4 ML=	1, MA			007610	
0059	VST = VST +	DST(MM)*B(MM,ML)*C	IST(ML)		307623	
0060	V SG = V SG +	DSG(MM)*B(MM,ML)*D	ISG(ML)		607630	
<b>70</b> 61	4 CONTINUE				JJ7640	
6362	5 CONTINUE				007650	
0063	6 DLST(K) =	SORT(VST)	· · · · · · · · ·		027668	
0064	DISG(K) =	SORT(VSG)			307673	
	C TREA	T EIRST ISOTODE SE	DARATELY		007690	
0.365	VSGTI-C	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ANALEET		307600	
0000	V 3011-1.	1100 70 13			007090	
6000	18112.826	·IJGU IU IL			007700	
0067	1H=1				007714	
0068	IF(F(2).	EQ.H(1))IH=2			307723	
0069	JH=JX(IH	3			007730	
DC70	$M \times 1 = MR(I)$	H,JH)+4*[X(IH,JH)-	-1		0.7740	
2071	M A 1 = 3				JJ775C	
0072	00 7 M=1	• MX 1			037760	
0073	TELOLY	1.GT			017770	
0074		A ROUNCE AND			307700	
5614 2076		1			307789	
0075	DU 9 MM=	1 9 MAL			907790	
9976	' DO 8 ML=	1,MA1			007800	
0)77	VSGII=VS	GI1+DSG(MM)≭8(MM,∀	IL)#DSG(ML)		JJ781)	

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE 2.0	PRY	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	PAGE 0003
Ú079	9 CONTINUE			(ئ	07830
0080	10 DESGII(K)	=SGRT(VSGI1)/H(1)		01	0784C
0081	11 CONTINUE			e:	07850
0082	RETURN			<u>ن</u> و	7860
0683	ENO			21	57873

~

•

	C		7830
	ĉ		
1 از اف ن		SUBRDUTINE XSECT(2,K)	J-769-
	Ç		JG790L
	C	XSECT CALCULATES CROSS SECTIONS AND DERIVATIVES.	J27910
	С	E: NEUTRON ENERGY	Ju7920
	C	K: ENERGY GPID SUBSCRIPT (INTERNAL DR "FINE" GRID)	107931
	C	S-WAVE CROSS SECTIONS ARE CALCULATED WITH THE REICH-MODRE	207940
	С	FURMALISM WITHOUT DOPPLER BROADENING.	JÚ795)
	С	HIGHER-CRDER PARTIAL-WAVE CROSS JECTIONS ARE CALCULATED	317960
	C	VITH DOPPLER-BRICADENED SINGLE-LEVEL EXPRESSIONS WITHOUT	007970
	С	RESCNANCE/POTENTIAL INTERFERENCE.	007930
	С		137992
039 <b>2</b>		COMMON	008000
		1HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQ0,	008010
		2I,IX,J,JX(11),KX(5),L,LX(11,2),M,MA,MX,N,NX,KKX,MP(11,2),MR(11,2),	0:8020
		3TITLE(2),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SPIN(11),RP(11),	008030
		4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(2(1),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	008040
		5FP(5),DLFP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),FE(512),Y(512),CLY(512),	228550
		6XN(6),XR(6),TMX,AL(1.),D(10),CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1,M2,M0,	008060
		7DLE,EMN,ST(2048),DLST(2048),DST(20),SG(2048),DLSG(2048),DSG(20),	368073
		8SC(2)48),T(2'48),YY(2)48),DYY(2)48,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(2C),EFC,	008080
		9F(201), RF(201)	208090
-0003		CCMMEN/GQSR/DR11(20),0821(20),DR22(20)	068104
3 Jû4		CONMCN/11/ SGI1(2.45), DLSGI1(2048)	008110
u005		COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,CZ21,CZ23	008120
0006		CUMPLEX DR11, DR21, DR22, U11, DU11(20), U21, DU21(2)), EX1, 777	008130
	С	FUNCTION DEFINITION	008140
3337		ABSC(ZZZ) = REAL(ZZZ) = +AIMAG(ZZZ) = + +2	008152
	С		008160
0008	-	M=0	Úð8170
ບໍ່ເຜັອ		ST (K) = 3 .	008180
0610		SG(K)=7.	468195
0011		SC(K) = 0.	0.8200
3012		DQ 50 II=1.MA	068210
0013		DST(II) = 0.2	008220
2014		DSG(II) = 0	008230
0015		50 CONTINUE	008243
3016		2102=1-3019/F	108250
	С	POTENTIAL-SCATTERING PHASE FACTOR, PREPARATION:	008261
ð917		AB1 = (2 + E - E - E - E ) / (E - E )	368273
0018		A81=A81+J.95	068280
0019		$ATGH = .5 \times ALCG((1 + AR))/(1 - AR))$	008295
0020		AB2=SGBT(1-E6*E)*ATGH	008300
0021		DD = 1 + IX	008310
	С		108324
3322	-	DW = D(I) * SQET(E)	008333
	С	CALCULATE POTENTIAL SCATTERING FCP P-WAVE	01834
0023	· ·	$X \times 1 = 21959 \pm 9081 (E) / 41 (I)$	008351
2324		$X \subseteq X \times 1^{*} \in \mathbb{P} (I)$	008360
1025		$x_1 = x_2 - ATAN(x_3)$	308376
0.026		SP=H(I)*PLC2*A((I)**2*6.**SIN(X1)**2	308381
0.27		ST(K)=ST(K)+SP	508395
0028			008406
0029		00 2 J=1+JH	368410
0131		M = M = (T + 1) + 2	018420
1121		$M_2 = MP(T, 1) - 2 + 4 \times 1 \times (1, 1)$	1:843.:
	C.	S- DS P-WAVE LEVEL SPOLENCE?	158445
	~		220112

FORTRAN	IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	XSECT	DATE = 78	181 1	1/36/14		PAGE	0002
0032		C	IF(X(M1-1	).EQ.JAND.DLX(M1-1)	.EQ.0.JGD TO	7		008450		
0022		L.	3-WAV	1 + DL 02				008460		
0034				1 = 0102				008490		
0.754		ſ	X3-00(1)3	1-11 6.82				10.8490		
0.035		0	CALL KM	AT (E)				000490		
0036				ETTE K EEK)				008510		
2350		C						508523		
		č	POTEN	TIAL-SCATTERING PHASE	EACTER:			008520		
0037		Ū	AR3=X(M1-	2)*ΔR2	ACTON			0(854)		
0038			X11=-XK1*	X(M1-1)+ATAN(AR3)				208550		
0039			EX1=CEXP(	2.≠GI*X11)				868560		
		С	COLLI	SICN MATRIX ELEMENTS:				608570		
0040			U11=EX1*(	2.*Z11-1.)				008580		
0041			U21=	2 <b>. ☆</b> Z21				3(859)		
		Ċ	TOTAL	AND CAPTURE CPOSS SE	CTION			008600		
0042			ST(K)=ST(	K)+XT*(1,-REAL(U11))				068616		
0043			SC3=XG*(1	AB SQ (U11) AB SQ (U21)	)			008620		
0044			SC(K)=SC(	K)+SCO				008630		
0045			SG(K) = SG(	K)+SCO*EFK				008640		
3046			IF(SC(K).	$LT_{\bullet}1_{\bullet}E-7 \times ST(K))SC(K) =$	1.E-7*ST(K)			008650		
0047			IF(SG(K).	LT.1.E-7*ST(K))SG(K)=	1.E-7#ST(K)			008660		
		С	DERIV	ATIVES WITH RESPECT T	0 POTENTIAL-S	CATTERING PAR	AMETERS	008670		
0048			MO=M					008680		
0049			IF(DLX(M1	-2).EQ.).IGO TO 8				008690		
0050			M = M + 1					038703		
0051			ABL= AR2/	(1.+AR3*#2)				008710		
0052			DU11(M) = 2	•*GI*ABL*U11				008725		
0053		8	IF(DLX(M1	-1).EQ.Q.)GU TO 9				008730		
0054			M = M + 1					068740		
0055			ABL=-XK1					008750		
0056			DU11(M) = 2	•*GI*ABL*U11				008760		
0057		9	IF(LX(I,J	).EQ.0.)GO TO 13				008770		
		C	DERIVA	TIVES WITH RESPECT TO	RESUNANCE PA	RAMETERS		008789		
0058			DU II MM=	MI,MZ,4				008790		
0059			DU II ML=	1,4				008803		
0060				1 1 15 3 103 To 11				008815		
0061			IFIULAIMK	1.15.J.160 10 II				008820		
0062			M= M+1	1-1711++2+0011111110 -	114771600311	V1,701++0+000		008830		
0044			DU21(M)=7	1*(211***2*0811(3)*2**	211*221*0R211	* J + Z Z L + + Z + UKZ	.21MJJ+EXI	008840		
0.064			DU21(M)=2	217211~URIL(M/T(221** 1171/N/WACT	2+211+222)+08	21191+221+222	.+UKZZ(M)	000000 n <b>c</b> occ		
0005		11		021(17)51				100000		
0067		10	TE(N EO N	0160 TO 2				000010		
0068		10		0,60,10 2				368893		
6069			DD 12 MM=	MI _ M				008930		
0073			DST(MM) = -	XTAREAL (DULL) (MM))				008910		
0071			DSG(MM) = -	XTAREAL (DULL (MM) #CCNI	G (U11) + 0U21 (M	X1*CON IG(1121)	)*==K	388024		
0072		12	CONTINUE	ATTREACTOOTIENT CONS	010111.005110		19 21 1	008935		
0073			GO TO 2					n59945		
		С	P-WAV	E LEVELS:				008950		
0074		- 7	IF(LX(I.J	J.EQ.2)GO TO 2				068963		
0075		·	CT1=CT(I.	J)*PLQ2*2.				008973		
P076			L=3					058980		
-		С	BEGIN	RESONANCE LOOP				008990		
0077			D-0 3 MM=M	1, M2,4				209000		
0078			L=L+1					009010		
0079			GN=X(MM+1	)***2		· .		009020		

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.9	XSECT	DATE = 78131	11/36/14	F	AGE JUC3	
0680		GX=X(MM+2) **2				009030		
0081		GT=GN+GX+X(MM+3	)			009640		
C 98 2		QGT=8FF(1,J,L) *	X(MM+3)/GT			JJ9950		
0083		MC=N				099960		
0084		IF(CLX(MM ).NE	• 3• ) M = M + 1			009070		
0085		IF(DLX(MM+1).NE	• J• JM=M+1			039083		
ມປວບ 3.387		IFICER(MM+2) NE				-1919-		
.,	C.		1/5-WIDIH OF DOP	PLER BROADENED RESON	ANCE	50911.		
0088	Ũ	HW=SORT(DW*+2+G	T##2/2.77259)		ANUL	469120		
	С	IS LEVEL FA	R AWAY AND HENCE	NEGLIGIBLE?		009130		
6689		IF(ABS(E-X(MM))	•GT.6.#H₩)G0 TO 3	3		009140		
0090		XV=2.*(E-X(MM))	/GT			389153		
0091		BV=2.*DW/GT				009160		
2.202	C		OV DOT DUTA			009170		
0092	C I	CALL VUIGICXV	,BV,PS1,PHI)			009180		
6093	Ľ,	S0=CT1*CN/CT				009190		
0094		ST1=S0#PST				369299		
0095		SG1=ST1#QGT				009220		
	С	CROSS SECTI	CNS			009230		
0096		ST(K) = ST(K) + ST1				J39243		
0097		SG(K) = SG(K) + SG1				009250		
0098	~	SC(K) = SC(K) + SG1	/EFF(I,J,L)			009260		
((00	C	ANY DERIVAT	IVES NEEDED?			009270		
0100		M-MC	0.3			609286		1
0101		40=-4.//GT*8V**	21			009299		1
0102		$\Lambda 1 = \Lambda = \Lambda = \Lambda = \Lambda = \Lambda$	V*PSI)			009210		73
0103		A2=A)*(1PSI-X	V*PHI)			009320		
	С	DERIVATIVES				009336		
0104		IF(DLX(MM ).EQ	.U.)GO TU 6			009340		
0105		M=M+1				009350		
0106		US1(4)=SU#A1	<b>CT</b>			069360		
0107	6	- DSG(M)=DS1(M)A3 TE(D)V(MM+1) E0				530300		
0109		M=M+1	•3•100 10 2			009300		
0110		DST(M)=SC*(2.*P	ST/GN+A2) *X(MM+1)			009435		
9111		DSG(M)=DST(M)*Q	GT-2.*SG1+X(MM+1)	/GT		009410		
0112	5	IF(DLX(MM+2).EQ	•0•)GO TO 4			009420		
0113		M=M+1				009430		
0114		DST(1)=S(*A2*X(	MM+2)	107		ÚJ9440		
0115	6		GI-Z.*SGI*X(MM+Z)	/GT		009450		
3117	4		• ]• ]0] 10 5			009450		
0118		DST(M)=S0#A2*.5				019487		
0119		DSG(4)=DST(M)*0	GT+(ST1*EFF(I,J,U	)-SG1)/GT		009490		
0120	3	CONTINUE				GC9500		
	С	(END OF PES	ONANCE LOOP)			039510		
0121	2	CONTINUE				209520		
0100	C		N LUUP) N AC(IN EQ AC(IN)	SOT1 (K) = SC(K) (H(1))		009530		
0122	1	CONTINUE	D.AG(I).EU.AG(I)	SGII(K) = SC(K)/H(I)		0.9540		
0160	c 1	LEND OF ISO	TUPE LOOP)			0099550 009550		
0124	U	RETURN				009570		
0125		END				009580		
			-					

- 74

1

-

	C	J () 959 ()
1001	SUBRUUTINE KMATTET	JQ9600
	C	009613
	C KMAT CALCULATES THE ELEMENTS OF THE MATRIX R=2*K	009620
	C AND INVERTS THE MATRIX 1-I*K = 1-I*R/2.	009633
	C	© <u></u> 2964∋
00 <b>02</b>	COMMON	209650
	1HI.GI.Z11.721.Z22.IZ.CHISQ.CHISCO.	089660
	21.1X.J.JX(11).KX(5).L.IX(11.2).M.MA.MX.N.NX.KKX.MP(11.	2) MR (11.2) . 339673
	3TITIE(23).7IT.EPS.E1.E2.TEEE.H(11).AE(11).SPIN(11).BP(	11). 009683
	46(11.2). (S(11.2). (200). 0(X(200). ES(11.2). EEE(11.2.2)	1. 029693
	$\frac{1}{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^$	1. 010701
	$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i$	77
	$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}$	
	(DEC)20(0) T(2040) (DEC)20(0) DV(12)(0) DV(12)	
	85C(2448),1(2348),YY(2348),DYY(2348,23),22(512),2(512),	U2(20),EFC, J09733
	9F(201),RF(201)	JB9740
0003	COMMEN/GOSR/DR11(20),DR21(20),DR22(20)	009753
0394	CDMPLEX H1,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	009760
	1,FE,F1,F2,EX1,EX2,U11,U21,DU11(2),CU21(20),DET,R11,R2	1,R22 009770
0005	COMPLEX OR11, DR21, DR22	C39780
	С	009793
0006	R11=(3)	009800
0007	$R_{21} = (\hat{Q}_{22}, \hat{Q}_{23})$	518935
0008	P22=(0, -3, 1)	209821
6669	$\frac{1}{1} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} \right) + \left( \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1$	000020
		0.0000
6 J 1 3	MOLIN MOLIN 2 IF MERE ARE NO RESUMANCES	009040
5011		10492.1
0011	$1 + (DLX(MI - 2) \cdot NE \cdot 0) \cdot M = M + 1$	019860
QQ12	1 F ( DL X ( M1-1 ) • NG • D • ) M=M+1	009870
0013	DU 3 $MM = M1, M2, 4$	79880
0014	SQ1=(E/ABS(X(MM)))**•25	009890
0015	IF(E.LE.E.S(I,J))SQ2=0.	009900
0016	IF(E.GT.ES(I,J))SQ2=((E-ES(I,J))/ABS(X(MM)-ES(I,J)))**	•25 0€991Ŭ
0017	FE=1•/(X(MM)-E-HI#X(MM+3))	ພໍ່ມີ992ປີ
9918	W1=SQ1*X(MM+1)	009930
0019	₩2=S02*X(YM+2)	009940
3020	Fl=kl*FE	00995 <b>0</b>
0021	F2=W2☆FE	009960
0.022	211=211+F1*W1	689970
0 3 2 3	R 21 = 3 21 + F 2 * 61	009980
3024		00000
0125		200000
6.324	THE CONTRACT OF THE TRACE AND	01010
0.020		510000
0027		010020
0.028	$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$	د د د بال
0029	$DR22(M) = -F2 \times F2$	010049
0030	4 IF(DLX(MM+1),LE,Q.)GD TO 5	010050
0031	M = M + 1	010060
ĴĴĴ32	$DR11(M) = F1 \pm SQ1 \pm 2$	013373
J033	DR21(M)=F2*SQ1	610080
0034	DK22(M)=0.	010093
0035	5 IF(CLX(MM+2),EQ.0,)G0 TO 6	010173
0136		e10115
0037	O(R) = 2	216125
C 0 2 9		010120
		010100
0009		210140
1943	O IFTULXTWW+3J.LE.J.JUJ TU 3	-01c150

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	КМАТ	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	
0041		M= M+1			21	0160
0042		DR11(M)=HI3F1*F	1		01	0170
0.043		DR21(M)=HI*F2*F	1		1 ن	0180
0044		DR22(M)=HI*F2*F	2 .		01	3190
0045	3	CONTINUE			01	0200
0046		M = MC			01	0210
J047	2	DET=(1HI*R11)	*(1HI*R22)-(HI*R	21)**2	01	0220
<u>0348</u>		Z11=(1HI*P22)	/DET		01	0230
0049		Z21=( HI≁R21)	/DET		Ü1	0240
0050		Z22=(1HI*R11)	/DET		01	ú25u
0051		RETURN			01	0260
0052		END			01	02 <b>7</b> 0

• 2	D CONTINUE	27775
+6	M=MC	010210
7	2 DET=(1HI≭R11)*(1HI≭R22)-(HI≭R21)**2	010220
8	Z11=(1HI*R22)/DET	010230
i9	Z21=( HI*R21)/DET	010240
50	Z22=(1HI*R11)/DET	010250
51	RETURN	010260
52	END	010270

FORTRAN IV GI	RELEASE	2.)	MAIN	DATE = 78181	11/36/14		PAGE 0001
	c					910280	
0001	Č	SUBROUT IN	NE SEET(E.K.EEK)			010290	
• • • •	C					013320	
	č	FEFT	YIELDS THE AVERAGE	DETECTOR REFICIENCY (FR	- K 1	010310	
	č	EUR	THE T-TH ISOTOPE AN	ID THE J-TH COMPOUND SPIN	đ	610326	
	č					010330	
0002	-	COMMON				010346	
		1HI.GI.71	1.Z21.Z22.IZ.CHISG.	CHISOD.		010350	
		21. IX. J. J	x(11).Kx(5).L.Lx(1)		11.2) • MR (11.2) •	010360	
		3T I TL 5 ( 2 )	.ZIT.EPS.E1.E2.TFF	F.H(11).AG(11).SFIN(11).	RP(11).	010370	
		46(11.2).	CS(11.2).X(200).DL)	((200).FS(11.2).FFF(11.2	2001.	010386	
		5FP (5) , 0 LE	FP(5),TC(5),TB(5),Z	H(5), EE(512), Y(512), DLY(	512).	610393	
		6XN(6), XR	(6),TMX,AL(13),D(10	)).CT(10,2).CG(10,2).M1.M	2.MQ.	010400	
		7DLE . EMN . S	ST (2048) - DL ST (2048)	+DST(20)+SG(2048)+DLSG(2	2948) DSG(2C) .	010410	
		8SC(2)48)	T(2048),YY(2048).E	YY (2048,20), ZZ (512), Z (51	2).DZ(2)).FFC.	010420	
		9F(201), RF	F(201)			010430	
0003		COMPLEX H	HI • GI • Z11 • Z21 • Z22 • D	DZ11.CZ21.CZ22		518443	
	С					010450	
	С	ANY F	RESCNANCES?			010460	
0004		IF(LX(1,	J).EQ.C)RETURN			010470	
0005		EFK=EFC				010480	
	С	SAME	EFFICIENCY FOR ALL	RESENANCES?		010490	
0006		IF(EFC.N	E.O.)RETURN			310500	
0907		SUM=).				010510	
9 CO (		SOM=).				010520	
JC 6 9		L=0				010530	
2010		M1=MP(I,	j)+2			010540	
0011		M2=MP(I,	J)-2+4≭LX(I,J)			010550	
0012		DC 1 MM=M	M1,M2,4			313565	
0013		L≖L+1				010570	
0014		GN=X{MM+]	l)**2*SQRT(E/ABS(X(	(MM))))		010580	
0015		GX=X(MM+2	2)**2			010590	
0016		GT=GN+GX+	+X(MM+3)			010600	
0017		TM=GN#X(N	MM+3)/(4.≍(E−X(MM))	**2+GT**2)		010619	
0018		SUM=SUM+1	TM¥EFF(I,J,L)			010620	
0019	1	SOM=SOM+1	тм			010635	
0020		EFK=SUM/S	SOM			010640	
0021		RETURN				010650	
0022		END				010660	

PAGE 0002

11/36/14

	C	610670
0001	C SUBROUTINE VOIGT(X,B,PSI,PHI)	<u></u>
	C C	010690
	C CALCULATION DE SYMMETRIC VOIGT PROFILE	919700
	C X : DEVIATION FROM RESIDNANCE ENERGY IN UNITS OF HALF	610715
		010720
	C B DOPPLER WIDTH IN THE SAME UNITS	510720
	C PSI - SYMETRIC VOIGT PROFILE	010743
		010740
		010760
	U VY=VAY, CF=(2×01V/SOPT(PI))×FXP(-VV) CIVEN FOR DIV=0 7	010770
		0107793
		513795
0.002	DIMENSION VV(R) CE(R)	010990 010905
0002	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0$	010000
0005	DATA = 117 + 771 + 707 + 717 + 907 + 12 + 271 + 107 + 274 + 175 + 517	010010
0004		010020
0006		610650
0000	0ATA CI/+334435/+C2/+22201//+C3/3+344435/	010040
6031		010000
0000		010800
0.007		210070
0000		010880
0009		010890
0010		010000
0011		010910
0012		010923
0915		010930
0014	$P_{2} = (1 + XX - YBB) + Gr(N) / A G$	010945
0015		010950
0016		010960
9017		010975
0018	IF(B) LE (C2) RETURN	919983
0019	$E   A = 2 \cdot V(C \ge 4 \cdot B)$	010990
0020	$E \times P = (X \times -1 \cdot )/BB + E   A$	011000
9921 -	IF(EXPU-GI-25-) KETURN	011010
0022	X1=2.**X/BB	011020
0023		911030
0024	$\Delta CUS = CUS(XI)$	011040
0025	RSIN=SIN(XI)	011050
0026	CUS=EXP(-ETA)-CUS(ZETA)	011063
0027	USIN=SIN(ZETA)	011370
0028	F=C3*EXP(-EXPO)/((CCUS**2+DSIN**2)*8)	011080
0029	$PSI = PSI + F \neq (\Delta COS \neq CCOS = PSIN \neq DSIN)$	011090
2030	PHI=PHI-F*(BSIN*CCOS+ACOS+OSIN)	011100
0031	RETURN	311110
0032	END	011120

	C C	C1113J
	C	
0001	SUBROUTINE SEY	011140
	c	011150
	C SEY VIELDS THE SECONDARY CAPTURE VIELD FOR AN INFINITE SLAB	011160
	C THE TARGET NUCLEI ARE TAKEN TO BE AT REST.	G11173
	C C	511186
0002		011195
	1H1,G1,Z11,Z21,Z22,1Z,CH1SQ,CH1SQD,	011200
	21, 12, 13, 13, 111, 12, 12, 12, 111, 21, 12, 13, 14, 14, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15, 15	211216
	3111L2(23), 211, EPS, E1, E2, TEFF, H(11), AG(11), SPIN(11), RP(11),	011220
	46(11,2); c3(11,2); x(2(3); D(x(2(3)); eS(11,2); eF((11,2); 2);	011233
	5 + P(5), D(+P(5), T(5), P(5)), P(5), P(	011240
	5XN(5),XX(5), MX,AL(10), D(10), C(10), 21, C(10), 21, M1,M2,M0,	011250
	70LE,EMN,ST(2348),DEST(2348),DST(20),SG(2348),DESG(2348),DSG(23),	011260
	S5C(2345), ((2048), YY(2048), UYY(2048, 20), 22(512), 2(512), D2(20), EFC,	J1127J
20.20	9 + (2 + 1) + (2 + 1)	C11280
1000		011295
9004 1005	COMPENTS EX HIT FS (2348), PG(2348), PN(2048), FX,AA	011300
00-00 5 8 6 4		
0206	01MENS(UN 0(5),W(5)	011320
9997 0000	UNIA U/ 0JIDU40/201400301/10100292215102835023010442552283/	011530
1008	0414 4/ • 0333356 (2,• 3/4/256/5,•109543181,•13463336,•14//6211/	011349
		011350
0.000	C SECUNDARY CAPTORE YIELD (INFINITE SLAB APPROXIMATION)	
2009		011370
0010		011380
0011		011390
0312		011400
0013		011410
0014		011420
0010		011455
0010		011440
3319	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	011400
9010	(2-EART-30A) NIECOATION RY 13-DOINT CAUSSIAN DUADDATUDE	011405
6.010	DO DI L-1 2	21147C
0.32.1		011400
0020	00 - 2 - 1 + 3 yy = (1 - 1) + 5 + 6 + 7 + 1 + 7 + 1 + 7 + 2 + 1 + 7 + 1 + 1 + 7 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1	011490
0021	AAU-41-0(1))+FUUAT(J-1)+0(1)+FUUAT(2-3) AAU-41(A)	011500
0322 5022	4 NU- ANIN 6 CO-COT(AC(1)++2-1 ++2++2)	011510
0.020		011020
3325	E A - E - L A A T S & F + Z / A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	011550
0020	$X = \{X \in Y \setminus \{0\} \in Y \setminus \{0\} \in Y \}$	011550
0027		011560
0021 0028		011570
0029		011580
0030		£1159.)
0031		011650
0032	4  DIFE A MOD(X + 1)	.11410
0.033	ST = ST (1) + D F F + (ST (1 + 1) - ST (1))	511620
0034	$SG = SG(1) + DIFE \times (SG(1+1) - SG(1))$	51163)
0135	5 GILLWAYSGUSST	011643
1036		011650
0637	$I = (GX - XN(N) * T \Delta O_{2} SO_{2} O_{2}) G(1 - T O_{2})$	011665
0138	$G_{2} = \{F_{2} G_{1} \land H_{2} \land H_{2}$	011670
1020		611686
0040	$1 \supset G2 = SXP(-ANI) \times TAD$	011690

FORTRAN IV G	1 FELEASE	2.)	SEY	CATE = 78131	11/36/14	PAGE
0041	7.7	S1=S1+G1*W[I]				511700
0042		S2=S2+G2*w(I)				911715
0043		IF(ANU.50.3.)60	TO 2			01172
0144		4NU=).				011730
0045		X X = -X X				011740
2746		GD T-1 6				011750
0047	2	CONTINUE				011760
	c –	SECONDARY C	APTURE YIEL	D		011770
0048		SNI=ST(K)-SC(K)				011780
0049		FS(K) = XN(N) = SNI	/2.*((1	T2)/GX#S1-S2)		011790
205.)	1	IF(FS(K).GT.FX)	FX=FS(K)			011800
0051	-	I=ISOT				011810
0052		RETURN				011820
0053		END				011830

J002

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEA	SE 2.0	MAIN	DATE = 78181	11/36/14		PAGE	0061
	C					011840		
പ്രവ	C	SUBBRITIS				611950		
0001	c	3031070711				011865		
	č	MUY	T-105 THE MULTIPLE.	COLLISTEN CONTRIBUTION	TO THE	011870		
	č	CAPTI	IRE YIELD. THERMAL I	MATICN OF THE TARGET NUM	TEL IS	011880		
	č	NEGLE	CTED. THE SAMPLE T	S TAKEN AS A CYLINDRICAL	DISK-	511891		
	č	тнн	INCIDENT BEAM IS AS	SUMED COAXIAL WITH THE S	SAMPIS.	011907		
	č	DE CO	INSTANT FLUX DENSIT	AND WITH THE RADIUS FO		011915		
	č	EDGE	XR(N) (XE(N) IS TH	- SAMPLE RADIUS).		01192.0		
	č					011930		
9092	-	COMMEN				011945		
		1HI.GI.Z11	., 721, 722, 12, CHISO, 0	CHISCO.		011950		
		2I.IX.J.J	(11) • KX(5) • L • LX(11	2) • M • MA • MX • N • NX • KKX • MPI	(11,2),MR(11,2),	011960		
		STITLE(20)	.ZIT.EPS.F1.E2.TEF	-H(11) - AG(11) - SPIN(11)	•FP(11).	011970		
		4G(11,2),0	S(11.2).X(200).DLX	(200), ES(11,2), EFF(11,2)	.205).	J1198C		
		SEP(5), DLF	P(5), TC(5), TB(5), ZI	H(5), EE(512), Y(512), DLY	(512),	011990		
		6XN(6), XR	(6),TMX,AL(10),D(10)	,CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1.	M2,M0,	012000		
		7DLE, EMN, S	T(2048), DLST(2048)	DST(20), SG(2048), DLSG(	2048), DSG(23),	012010		
		8SC(2043)	T(2)49),YY(2048),D'	Y(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(5)	12), DZ(20), EFC,	012020		
		9F(201),Rf	F(201)			012030		
00)3		COMMEN/SE	FYCC/YS(2948)			312040		
0104 0104		COMMON/SE	EYM/FS(2048),PG(204)	8),PN(2)48),FX,AA		012050		
0005		COMPLEX H	HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,D	X11, CZ21, CZ22		012060		
0006		DIMENSIC	NC(2048)			012070		
0007		DIMENSIC	I EM(5(3),WG(500),WI	4(500),U(500),V(500),W(5	50J),	012080		
		1XM(500),	(M(503),ZM(500),SK(	500)		012090		
0008		DATA EDGE	=/.5/			012100		
	С					012110		
0009		JSPIN=J				012120		
	С	. CALCU	JLATE NEEDED FUNCTI	INS		012130		
0010		DÓ 11 K=1	L,KKX			012140		
<b>ƏÖll</b>		YS(K)=€.				012150		
0012		PG(K) = SG(	K)/ST(K)			012160		
0013		11 PN(K)=1	-SC(K)/ST(K)			012170		
	С	BEGIN	N ENERGY LOOP			012185		
0014		E=EMN-DLE	• • •			012190		
0015		DO 50 KE:	=1,KKX			312200		
0016		E=E+DLE				012210		
0017	_	WN)=(1	(KE))#PN(KE)			012220		
	С	INIT	AL NUMBER OF HISTO	RIES (IMPORTANCE SAMPLI	NGJ	312230		
0018		NH=ZH(N)	FS(KE)/FX+.5			012240		
0019		NHX = ZH(N)	)			012250		
0020		IF(NH.GT.	NHX)NH=NHX			012260		
0021		IFINH.LI.	. 10)NH=10			01227		
0022		CK=2.FUL	-P(1)/FP(1)*E/DLE/X	N(1)		012280		
0023		00 I3 J=1	L, NH			012290		
0024		EM(J)=E				012300		
0025	~	WN(J)=WN				012310		
A (1) 1	C C	1011	LAL UIRECTION;			312323		
0026	~	W(J)=1.				012330		
	L	AUDI	LIUNAL PATH LENGTH			012340		
0027	c	SKIJI=J.				12350		
	ن د	CUOFI	JINALES UP FIRST CO			012360		
	Ĺ	(BEAM	1 RADIUS SMALLER TH	AN SAMPLE RADIUS)		012370		
0028		XM(J)=XR	INJ#SCRI(RANDUM(D))	FEDGE		012380		
0029		• ↓= (L) MY	0241 (1) (0) (0) (0)			012393		
してつつ		L3 ZM(J)=−AL	TOP(II*-MU/C/HN(KE)*5)	NNUU MID}]/SI[KE]		012400		

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	MU <b>Y</b>	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	F
D031		FKU=YY	(KE)			012412
0032		SOM=).				012420
	С	BEC	SIN COLLISION LOOP			<b>1243</b> 8
JJ33		DÚ 14 M	<=1,21			012440
0034		YH=VH				01245÷
	С	BEC	SIN HISTORY LOOP			012460
Ju35		00 15 .	J=1,NH			12476
	С	COS	SINE OF CMS SCATTERING	ANGLE		012480
0036		CTHC=2	*RANCOM(D)-1.			212493
0037	_	$Q_{Q} = 1_{\bullet} + 2$	AG(1)**2+2.*AG(1)*CTHC			312500
	C.	LA	3 SCATTERING ANGLE			012510
0038			+AG(I)≈CIHC)/SQRI(QQ)			312529
0039	<u> </u>	SIH=SQE	(((1C) H <sup>22</sup> 2)			012530
33/31	L	NEV	A LAB ENERGY			012540
1443	c	EM(J)=:	TOTAL CROSE SECTION	SCATTERING AND CAD		12550
0041	ι,		I TUTAL CRUSS SECTION,	SCATTERING AND CAP	TURE PRUBABILITY	312560
0041 0047			JJFERNI/DECTI. NT 1 501100 TC 14			1125/0
0042			1) - 1 - 4 / 1   GU   U   O			012009
0040		DDM-DNI	1)			0122990
3045		PPG=PGI	1)			£1263.5
1046			9			012623
0.047	16	! = X				312633
0048	10					012640
0049		SST=ST	1)+DD=(ST(1+1)-ST(1))			012650
0.050		PPN=PN	L)+DP×(PN(L+1)-PN(L))			212660
0051		PPG=PG	L) + DE = (PG(L+1) - PG(L))			012670
	С	AZ	MUTH			012680
0052	19	PHI=6.2	28313*RANCOM(0)			012690
0053		CPH=COS	S(PHI)			J12700
0054		SPH=SIM	(PHI)			312710
	С	NEV	DIRECTION COSINES			012720
0 <b>0</b> 55		IF(W(J)	!∻*2.LT.0.9999)GD TO 21			012730
3656		U(J)=S	[H≍CPH≍∀【J】			012743
2057		V (J)=S	「H本SPH本W(J)			012750
0058		₩{J}=C1	"⊢≭W(J)			012760
0059		GC TO 2	22			012773
060	21	RH0=SQ	?T(1W(J)*≈2)			012780
0061		UNEW=C1	H*U(J)+STH≠(W(J)≍U(J)	*CPH-V(J)*SPH)/RHO		012790
0062		V(J)=C	「H☆V(J)+STH☆(V(J)☆W(J)	*CPH+U(J) ≤SPH)/FHO		012800
0063		W(J) = C	H*W(J)-SIH*CPH*KHU			012813
0064	6	U(J)=U	NEW	6 F		J12825
	L C	013	STANLE TO SAMPLE SURFA	LE		012830
	C C	110	DISTANCE 'U LYLINDER			012840
3.) / F	С. Э.Э.		DISTANCE IU PLANE			012850
0000	22	00-00	(R (N)			012865
0000			IT -1 1100-	-78(1) /871)		012076
0007		TEINIJI	• L   • TJ • Q ~ ↓ I ) D P ~ (YNIN)   GT • 1 2 (↓ 1 ) D P ~ (YNIN)	-2M(J) /W(J)		01200U 712000
(169		TE(u(.t)		T_+0_9959]60 TO 23		01200
3171		B1=H(3)	☆☆2+V(  )☆☆2			512915
0071		B2=U(.1)	(L) M(L) + V (L) × Y M(L)			1292.1
0072		B3=XR(1	i} ≠≤2−XM{J}≈≤2−YM[J]≈*	2		31293
0073		IF(B2-0	E. 1. )DC=93/(H2+SORTIR	- 2**2+B1+83))		012946
0074		IF(82-)	T.).)DC=(SORT(B2*#2+B	1*B3)-32)/B1		012950
0075	23	DIS=AM	IN1(DC,DP)			612963
0076		DS=AMIN	1(DIS#SST,6].)			012970
<b>0€77</b>		IF(DS.	T.1.E-07) 0S=1.E-07			012980

FORTRAN IV GI	RELEASE	2.0	MUY	04TF = 78151	11/36/14		PAGE 0.13
	С	INTER	CTING FRACTION			.1299.	
J78		₩1=1EXF	(-02)			.13.5.	
-79		₩G{J}=wN(.	J)≈WI×PPG			013010	
C6 ):		WN(J) = WN(	1) = 41 ≭PP(1			013322	
	С	KY: S	JASCRIPT OF GRID PO	INT WHERE YS IS TO BE	ALD D. TO YY	13131	
14 <b>3</b> 1		$KY = Kr = 1 \Lambda T$	(UK + (SK(.1) + (1.+DS+D	S/WT1/SST1+_51		312041	
	C	SMOOT	HING THE MONTH CARLO			01305	
0082	0	DEL SET.		000000000000000		113665	
1083		TECS IT :	A 10-15-05# 2887			012070	
106.84		- K1 - CK-OFLS	C/CCT10(25-05-200)			313010	
0.04			21 23 1 7 28 2			010000	
+.00 ∧(p)			21 KI = 3 (1 N 14 KI 14 KI 24 KI 4 1 1 1			01309	
0188		WGY=WG(J)	((YHMFLUAT(2*K1+1))			13132	
3087		$K \ge = KY + KI$				913110	
0.058		K1=KY-K1				013120	
- 189		IF(K2+LT+	L•"R•K1•GT•KKX)GD T	U 15		513135	
1190		IF(K1.LT.	1) K1 = 1			013140	
::091		IF(K2.GT.	< K ¥ ) K 2 = K K X			013150	
JJ92		DD 12 KY=	<1,K2			013160	
1093	12	YS(KY)=YS	(KY)+WGY			013176	
	С	CORRE	INATES OF NEXT COLL	ISICN		013180	
CL94		$S = -4 \pm 0G(1)$	-wI#RANOOM(D))/SST			113193	
0095		XM(J) = XM(J)	J)+S=U(J)			013200	
0096		YM( 1) = YM(	(1) \\= \\$			013210	
1097		7 M ( 1) = 7 N (	1) + Sarwel ( 1)			010210	
0098		D.3-S12T(Y	d ( 1) * * 2 + V × ( 1) * * 2 )			012020	
0,000			ACCIENCE TO 24			915253	
0099	c		AR (01165 - 10 - 24 Et 2005 stolt - tolung at	TON STRONG		013242	
2.1.2.5	۱.	CURRE	I PUSSIBLE IRUNUAT	IUN ERRUPS		013250	
9100		$X \land \{J\} = X \land \{.$	11/03+3-99999			J1326J	
9131		YM(J)=YM(.	J)/00¥?.99999			013270	
0192		IF(ZM(J).(	GT•XN(N))ZM(J)≒J•99	999*XN(N)		013280	
0103	24	SK(J)=SK(,	1)+5			J1329J	
0104	15	CONTINUE				J1330)	
	С	END OF	E HISTORY LOOP			01331)	
0105		SUM=).				J13320	
0106		DU 29 J=1;	NH			013330	
0107	29	SUM=SUM+W(	G(J)			J13340	
	С	AVERAG	GE CAPTURE PROBABIL	ITY		013350	
3103		FK=SUM/YH				0133AC	
0109		SOM=SOM+E	4			113371	
0110		NC(KE)=K+				013381	
9110	~	NEW NI	Нивыр ор цтотортес			013203	
5111	~	NL N NL N N NNL - 7 J N N N	FRAND/FRA/FRA F			515295	
0113			* F N * * デレノ F N U / * み * # ジー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・			010400	
0112						01341.3	
0113		1 H L A H + L I + .	L)60 16 5.			1342	
0114		FKU=FK				J1343J	
0115	14	CENTINUE				(1344)	
	С,	END OF	F CHELISICN LOOP			013450	
0116	53	CENTINUE				013460	
	C	END DR	EMERGY LUUP			013473	
0117		DO 3 K=1,+	(KX			213480	
0113	3	YY(K) = YY(H)	<)+YS(K)			21349.)	
0119	-	J=JSPIN				313527	
0120		RETIISN				013510	
6121		END				010010	
× * C T		6				010020	

- 81 ---

~

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	MAIN	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	PAGE 0001
	C					今13530
00.11	C C	EUNCTION	PANDOM/PREP			1354
5051	С	· une l'Ich				013550
	č	( PS EU	150-)RANDOM NUMBER (	GENERATOR.		013560
	С					13570
0002		DATA IY/3	2767/			013580
5003	1	IX=IY				J13590
<u>99</u> 04		CALL RAND	U(IX,IY,RANDOM)			013600
6005		IF(RANDOM	I.GT.O.JRETURN			013610
6096		IY=32767				013628
0007		GO TJ 1				013630
3008		SND				J13640

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	MAIN	CATE = 78181	11/36/14		PAGE 0001	
	С					013650		
	С							
000 I	<u>^</u>	SUBRO	UTINE CHISQ4(X,Y,K)			<b>013660</b>		
	C					J1367C		
	C	C	HISON YIELUS VALUES OF A	CHI-SCUARED DISTRIBUT	ION WITH N	313688		
	C	0	EGREES OF FREEDOM			013690		
	C	Х	: INCREMENT OF INDEPENDE	NT VARIABLE DIVIDED BY		013700		
	C		SQRI(2*VARIANCE)			013710		
	C	Y	: CEPENDENT VARIABLE MUL	TIPLIED BY INCREMENT		13720		
	C	К	: SUBSCRIPT AT UPPER LIM	IT (SUBSCRIPT AT CENTE	R OF GRAVITY	313730		
	C		IS 101)			013740		
	C	A	: N/2			613750		
	Ĺ	5	: SQRI (N) 年X			013760		
	Ĺ	C	: EXP(-N/2)/GAMMA(N/2)%3			013770		
	C					013780		
0302		DIMEN	SIUN Y(291)			013790		
		A=2.				013800		
0004		9=2.4	X			013810		
0005		د ا •= ا	53353*B			013820		
0.0006		D=EXP	(8)			013830		
0907	~	t≃i•/				013840		
	C C					01385C		
a.a.a.a	L	G	D FPOM CENTER OF GRAVITY	TEWARDS SMALLER J		J13860		
0008		X = 4 -	5			013876		
0009						313885		
0010		00 I	J=IJI,K			013890		
0011		X1 = X1	+8			013900		
2012	-					013910		
0013	۱ ۲	Y(J)=	F#X1##(A-1.) O FROM CENTER OF RRANITY			013920		
	L C	6	U FRUM CENTER UM GRAVITY	ILWARDS LARGER J UNIT.	L DISTRIBUTION	13930		
2014	ι,	TT - A /	RUPS IN ZERU			J13940		
0014			в			013959		
5015		X1=A C=C				013963		
0010			I – 1 I I			013970		
2010		UU Z	1 - 1 <b>,</b> 1 1			013980		
0010		J=I.I.	-1			013992		
0019		Y 1 ≤ Y 1.	- 5			014000		
	2	F - F - D	F			014010		
9621	<u>د</u>	1131-	EMPLETE BY ETHIAMO HITH	750050		014020		
1)22	L	11-T)	UMPLETE DI FILLING WITH	ZERUES		014036		
JJ22 3323		11=11	* 1 7 ~ 7			014040		
1022 1024		12-1-	1 ( 1 1 - 1 ) T D			14.05		
0024		1-1-01	1			51400J		
3322	2		- <b>T</b>			J14J1U		
0220	2	9 GT 11 9				14000		
0.21		END	N .			014090		
0020		UNU -				314103		

- 82 --

- 83 -

	C	314113
0001	U SUPROUTINE TGAUSS(X,Y,K) C C C TGAUSS YIELDS VALUES OF A TRUNCATED GAUSSIAN, NORMALIZED TO 1 C IF TRUNCATED AT TWICE THE 1/E-WIDTH (K#X=2) C X: INCKEMENT OF INDEPENDENT VARIABLE DIVIDED BY 1/E-WIDTH, C Y: DEPENDENT VARIABLE TIMES INCREMENT, C K: SUBSCRIPT AT UPPER LIMIT (SUBSCRIPT AT MEDIAN IS 100)	014125 714135 514145 614155 514167 514178
0002 0003 0004 0005 0006 0007 0008 0008 0008 0009 0010 0011	C DIMENSIGN Y(201) Y(1C1)=566342*X F1=EXP(-X*X) F2=F1*F1 D7 1 I=102,K Y(I)=Y(I+1)*F1 J=202-I Y(J)=Y(I) 1 F1=F1*F2 PETION	014180 01420 01420 014220 014220 014230 014230 014250 014250 014260 014260 014260 014280
JJ12	END	014290

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.3	MAIN	DATE = 78181	11/36/14	PAGE 3301
	C C					014310
0001	C	SUBRUUT	INE SIMP(Y,M,N,SUM)			014320 014330
	с с	SIM	PSON'S RULE:			014340 014350
0002		DIMENSI	CN Y(201)			014360
0003		SUM=⊖.				014370
0004		K1=M+1				014380
0505		K2=N-1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			014390
0006		DO 1 K=	K1,K2,2			314436
2907	I	SUM=SUM	*Y(K-1)+4.*Y(K)+Y(K+1)			514410
J 3 3 8		SUM=SUM	/3.			614420
3009		RETURN				ŷ1443 <b>0</b>
-9518		END				014440

.

	C C	<b>01445</b> 0
0001	SUBROUTINE CHOBAN(MX, A, B)	€14473
	c	314480
	C MATRIX INVERSION BY THE "SQUARE-ROOT" METHOD OF	014490
	C CHOLESKI AND BANACHIEWICZ,	014500
	C VX: RANK	014513
	C A: URIGINAL MATRIX	014520
	C B: INVERTED MATRIX	J1453J
	c · · ·	014540
0002	DOUBLE PRECISION A(20,20),B(20,20),U(20,20),SUM	014550
	C CONSTRUCT "SQUARE-ROOT" MATRIX U	014560
0003	100 2 N=1,MX	014570
Ü004	DO = M = N, MX	U14580
0005	SUM=3.	014590
0006	DO 1 K=1,N	Ú1460Ú
0007	1 IF(K.LT.N)SUM=SUM+U(M,K)×U(N,K)	014610
0008 0008	$IF(N, \exists Q, N) \cup \{N, N\} = DSQRT(A(N, N) - SUM)$	01462)
6009	$2 \text{ IF}(M \cdot GT \cdot N) \cup (M, N) = \{A(M, N) - SUM\} / U(N, N)$	014630
	C CALCULATE INVERSE B	014640
0010	DC 4 NN=1, MX	014650
0011	$N = M X \rightarrow NN + 1$	014660
0012	DO 4 MM=NN,MX	J1467C
0013	M = M X - M M + 1	014680
0014	SUM=0.	014690
0015	DJ 3 K=M,MX	014700
0016	3 IF(K.GT.M)SUM=SUM−U(K,M)*B(K,N)	014710
0017	$IF(M \cdot EQ \cdot N)SUM = SUM + 1 \cdot / U(M, M)$	01472)
9018	B(M,N) = SUM/U(M,M)	014730
0019	4 IF(M.NF.N)B(N,M)=B(M,N)	014740
0020	RETURN	014750
0021	END	@14760

	C	014772				
0001	C SUBROUTINE ADJ(IZ,MX,MA,K,CHISQ,X,DLX,B,C) C C C CALCULATION OF IMPROVED CROSS SECTION PARAMETERS BY C MUTIPLICATION OF COVARIANCE MATRIX B INTO DEVIATION VECTOR C.					
0002 9003	C DIMENSION X(200),DLX(200),C(20) DOUBLE PRECISION B(20,20)	014820 014830 014840				
0004	DATA FUCGE/-75/ C CALCULATE GAUSS' ERROR ADJUSTMENT FACTOR	014850				
0005 0006	CF=SQRT(CHISQ/FLDAT(K-MA)) WRITE(6,1JC)CHISQ,CF,IZ	014870				
0007	100 FORMAT(1H //' CHI SQARED: ',1PE10.3/ 1 ' ERROR ADJUSTMENT FACTER:',1PE10.3/	014890				
<b>6330</b>	2 ' ITERATION STEP: ',I10//)	014910				
0009	DG 2 MM=1,MX	014920 014930				
0010 0011	IF(CLX(MM).LE.J.)GO TO 2 M=M+1	014940 014950				
0012 0013	XM=C. DO 1 MN=1,MA	014960 014970				
0014 0015	1 XM=XM+B(M,MN)*C(MN) XM=XM*FUDGE	014980 014990				
0016 0017	X(MY)=X(MM)+XM DLX(MM)=DSQRT(B(M,M))	015000				
C 018 0019	DLX(MM)=DLX(MM)*CF 2 CONTINUE	015020				
0020 0021	RETURN END	015040				

	c		015060
0001	L	SUBROUTINE KEV	015070
	С		015080
	Ċ	KEV CONVERTS ENERGIES FROM MEV TO KEV	015090
	Ċ		015100
0002		COMMON	315110 -
		1HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,IZ,CHISQ,CHISQ0,	015120
		2I, IX, J, JX(11), KX(5), L, LX(11,2), M, MA, MX, N, NX, KKX, MP(11,2), MR(11,2),	015130
		3TITLE(20),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SP1N(11),RP(11),	615140
		4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(2(0),DLX(200),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,200),	೧1515ರ
		5FP(5),D1FP(5),TC(5),TB(5),ZH(5),EE(512),Y(512),DLY(512),	015160
		6XN(6),XR(6),TMX,AL(10),D(10),CT(10,2),CG(10,2),M1,M2,M0,	015170
		7DLE,EMN,ST(2048),DLST(2048),DST(20),SG(2048),DLSG(2048),DSG(20),	J15180
		8SC(2348),T(2348),YY(2948),DYY(2048,20),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(20),EFC,	J15193
		9F(2C1),RF(2C1)	015200
0003		COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,CZ22	015210
	С		015220
0004		DD 1 I=1,IX	015230
0005		(I)XL=HL	015240
0006		DO 1 J=1,JH	015250
0007		ES(I,J)=ES(I,J)*1000.	015260
0008		IF(LX(I,J).EQ.0)GO TO 1	015270
0009		M1=M<(I,J)	915280
0010		M2=MR(I,J)+4*LX(I,J)-4	015290
0011		PC 1 M=M1,M2,4	015300
0012		X(M)=X(M)*1000.	015310
0 <b>013</b>		X(M+1)=X(M+1)≉ABS(X(M+1))≉1000.	015320
ÜƏ14		X(M+2)=X(M+2)*ABS(X(M+2))*1000.	015330
0015		X(M+3)=X(M+3)*1300.	015340
0016		IF(DLX(M ).GT.J.)DLX(M )=DLX(M )+1000.	015350
0017		IF(DLX(M+1).GT.0.)DLX(M+1)=DLX(M+1)*SQRT(ABS(X(M+1))*.001)*2000.	015360
0018		IF(DLX(M+2).GT.D.)DLX(M+2)=DLX(M+2)*SQRT(ABS(X(M+2))*.001)*2000.	015370
0019		IF(DLX(M+3).GT.0.)CLX(M+3)=DLX(M+3)*1000.	015383
0020	1	CONTINUE	015390
0021		KH = KX(NX)	015400
0022	-	DG 2 K=1,KH	015413
0023	2	$EE(K) = EE(K) \times LUOU$	015420
J024		EMN=1030.FEMN	015430
0025		DLE=1300.**DLE	915440
026		KETURN	015450
0027		E ND	015460

-

015470

	C		0 <b>1547</b> 0
2001	6	SUBR DUT INE YPLOT	015480
	С		ÿ1549¢
	С С	YPLOT PREPARES PLOTS OF MEASURED AND CALCULATED CAPTURE YIELDS	315500
	č	AND, FOR IZ-J, A CR333 SUCTION FEDEFOR THE FORE IS: ISCHOPE,	015520
	С	PLOTA(X,Y,N,NT,NP,NH,I,NS,NR,XMAX,XMIN,SX,YMAX,YMIN,SY,TEXT,IC)	015530
	C	IS A KEK PLOT SUBROUTINE WITH THE FOLLOWING ARGUMENTS:	015540
	c	1. X ARRAY UF ABSCISSAE	015560
	С	2. Y ARRAY OF ORDINATES	015570
	C	3. N NUMBER OF CC-ORDINATE PAIRS	015580
	c c	4. NIEL PLUI PUINI SYMBOLS =2 CRAW IINF	015600
	č	=3 DRAW LINE WITH POINT SYMBOLS	015610
	С	5. NP CHOOSE NP-TH POINT SYMBOL (FROM A LIST) IF NT=1 OR 3	015620
	C C	5. NH=1 HEIGHLOF POINT SYMBOL 0.12 IN. =2 HEIGHT OF POINT SYMBOL 0.14 IN	015630
	č	=3 HEIGHT OF POINT SYMBOL J.24 IN.	015653
	C	7. I=1 LINEAR INTERPOLATION (FOR NT=2 OR 3)	<b>01566</b> ជ
	C	=2 QUADRATIC '' (FOR NT=2 OR 3)	015670
	c	8. NS SPACING: EVERY NS-TH PCINT IS TO BE MARKED	015690 015690
	С	(FOR NT=3)	315703
	C	9. NR=C DRAW (NTO EXISTING PLOT	015710
	с С	$= 1  \text{Begin New Plui, (XMAX-XMIN)/(YMAX-YMIN)} = 1 \\ = 2  - 1! -  = 2$	015720 -
	č	=3 -*'- = 3	015740
	C	=4 -11- = 4	015750
	с С	>=5 +*'- = 1.5 (NH.YMAY.YMIN.SY.YMIN.SY.TEXT NEED NOT BE	015760 015770
	č	SPECIFIED FOR NR=u)	015780
	С	10. XMAX MAXIMAL ABSCISSA	315793
, ,	С С	11. XMIN MINIMAL ABSCISSA 12. SX – Y-INCREMENT CORRESPONDING TO 70 C1 IN	015800
	č	13. YMAX MAXIMAL ORDINATE	015820
	С	14. YMIN MINIMAL ORDINATE	315830
	C	15. SY Y-INCREMENT CORRESPONDING TO 0.01 IN. 16. Text ficule caption of alphameric characters	015840
	c	17. ID FIGURE NUMBER	015850
κ.	С		015870
0002		COMMON	015884
		21, IX, J, JX(11), KX(5), L, LX(11, 2), M, MA, MX, N, NX, KKX, MP(11, 2), MR(11, 2).	015900
		<pre>3TITLE(23),ZIT,EPS,E1,E2,TEFF,H(11),AG(11),SPIN(11),RP(11),</pre>	015910
		4G(11,2),CS(11,2),X(2)),DLX(2)),ES(11,2),EFF(11,2,2)),	015920
			015930
		7DLE, EMN, ST(2048), DLST(2048), DST(20), SG(2048), DLSG(2048), DSG(20),	015950
		SSC(2348),T(2348),YY(2048),DYY(2348,25),ZZ(512),Z(512),DZ(23),EFC,	015960
0003		96(201),ME(201) COMMON/I1/ SGI1(2048),DESGI1(2048)	915975 015980
0004		DIMENSION EF(2), YF(2), EK(2348)	015990
0-00-5	~	COMPLEX HI,GI,Z11,Z21,Z22,DZ11,DZ21,DZ22	016000
	с С	ENERGY RANGE DE PLOTS (SAME FOR 1ST AND 2ND PLOTE	016010
6-006	C	KH=KX(1)	016033
			-

FURTRAN IV GI	RELEASE 2.0	YPLOT	CATE = 78181	11/36/14	PAGE 0002
0007	EMIN=EE(	1)		016540	Ĵ
008	EMAX=EE(	кн)		016050	-
0009	IF(NX.EC	.1)GN TO 2		016060	•
0010	00 1 N=2	, NX		016076	
0611	KN=KX[N-	1)+1		216386	2
0012	KH=KX(N)			016096	5
9013	EMIN=AMI	N1(EMIN,EE(KN))		016130	2
0014	Ξ MA X= 4 M A	X1(EMAX,EE(KH))		01611	ڎ
J015	1 CONTINUE			91612	3
0016	2 EBER=(EM	AX-EMIN)*1.001		01613(	2
0017	ILG=4LOG	1. (EBER)		016145	3
0018	IF(EBER.	LT.1.)ILG=ILG-1		01615:	2
0019	DEK=13.0	i≱≉ILG		01616	J
0020	EBER=EBE	R/DEK		01617;	1
0021	BER=13.			01618.	)
0322	IF(EBER.	LE.5. JBER=5.		516191	j
0023	IF(EBER.	LE.2.5 )BER=2.5		016200	
0024	IF(EBER.	LE.1.25)8ER=1.25		016210	ز
JČ25	BER=3ER⇒	DEK		01622:	2
0026	EMIN=FLC	AT(INT(25. *EMIN/BER)	)*BER/25.	01623:	)
0327	EMAX=EMI	N+BER		016240	)
UJ28	SE=C.Ceg	5*BER		016250	3
	C CAPT	URE YIELD RANGE OF 1	ST PLOT:	016260	)
0329	YMAX=).			01627	5
0030	KH=2			91628	)
0031	DU 4 N=1	•NX		Ú16293	)
0032	KN = KH + 1			016301	, ,
0033	KH = KX(N)			01631	ý
0034	DO 3 KK=	KN•KH		01632	,
0035	IF (Y(KK)	+DIY(KK).GT.YMAX)YMA	X ≕ X ( K K ) + D1 X ( K K )	01633/	, )
0036	3 CONTINUE			016346	, ,
0337	4 CONTINUE			01635	, }
0038	YBER=YMA	X*22./25.*1.2		01636	, 1
0.039	TLG=ALOG	10 (YBER)		016373	ĺ
0343	IE (YBER.	$ T_1  =  T_1$		01638	5
0041	DEK=1.3.C	ire in the set of the		01639.	1
0.042	YBER=YBE	RIDEK		-1641 	· ·)
0343	BER=11			01641	,
1044	IE (YAER.	1 E. 5. 5) BEB = 5. 5		11642	,
0045	IF (Y3FR.	1 F 4 4) BER=4 4		01643	, ,
2046	IE (YBER.	1E_2_2)BER=2_2		01644	ĵ
0847	TE (YBER	1F-1-1)BER=1-1		C1645-	1
0048	BER=BER*	DEK		01646	3
0049	YMIN=-BE	8*3./22.		B1647	
0050	YMAX= BE	R		01648	}
0051	SY=0-001	#BER#25./22.		11649	<u>,</u>
0052	KH=8			31650	, 1
10053	00 9 N=1	- N X		21651	, )
5054	KN=KH+1			01652	1
0.055	KH = KX(N)			01653	``````````````````````````````````````
	C NP * N	HMBER DE POINTS TO R	E PLOTTED	01654	, 1
<u>0056</u>	NP=KH-KN	(+) (+)		01455	5
		CALCHLATED YTHIDS A	S CHEVE AIST PLOTA	01000	
0:157	TE(N E)		7	21000 MAX.FMIN.SE. 314570	`
	IVMAX_VMT	N.SY.TITLE.17)		01452: 01452:	, 1
0058	TEIN.GT.	1)CALL PLOTATERIKNI.	7 (KN) . NP. 2. 3. 1. 3. 5. 6. 8.	MAX.EMIN.CE. DIASO	•
		NISYITITE. IT)		nikko: 1440.	ń
		' ΜΕΔΟΗΡΕΛ ΡΠΙΝΤΟ (15	ТРИПТИ		÷
	- rLUi		A A CONTRACT	01001	<u>م</u>

FORTRAN IV G1	RELEASE	2.0	YPLOT	DATE = 78131	11/36/14		PAGE 0003		
0359		IF(N.LE.	3) N S=N-1			0 <b>1</b> 6620			
0060		IF(N.E).	2) NS=4			01663)			
۩61		IF(N.GE.4)NS=N+3 316643							
JJ62	CALL PLOTA(EC(KN),Y(KN),NP,1,NS,1,),),J,HAX,EMIN,SE,ZMAX,ZMIN,SZ								
		17,3)				016660			
	С	PLOT	ERROR BARS OF MEASI	URED POINTS (1ST PLOT	)	016670			
0063		DO 5 KK=	KN,KH			01668J			
0064		EF(1)=EE	(KK)			016695			
0365		EF(2) = EE	(KK)			316730			
2266		$Y \in \{1\} = Y \{$	KK)+DLY(KK)			016710			
0067		YF(2)=Y(	KK)-DLY(KK)			516725			
9068		IF(YF(2)	<pre>.LT.YMIN)YE(2)=YMIN</pre>			01673)			
3069		CALL PLC	TA(EF,YF,2,2,0,1,1,	C, G, EMAX, EMIN, SE, YMAX	,YMIN,SY,0,0)	016742			
0070	5	CONTINUE				016750			
0071	8	CONTINUE				016763			
0072		IF(ZIT.G	E.1.)RETURN			016770			
	С	PLOT	CAPTURE CROSS SECT	ION OF PURE FIRST ISO	TOPE (2ND PLOT)	016780			
	С	CRUS	S SECTION RANGE OF :	2ND FLOT:		216790			
0073		EK(1)=éM	И			J16803			
0074		DO 6 K=2	*KKX			016810			
0075		EK(K) = EK	(K-1)+DLE			016825			
0076	6	CONTINUE				016830			
0077		K1=(≅MIN	-EK(1))/DLE+2.			016843			
0078		K2={EK(K	KX)-EMAX)/DLE			216850			
0079		К2=ККХ-К	2-1			016860			
6080		IF(K1.LT	• 1)Kl= 1			016870			
0081		IF(K2.GT	•KKX)K2=KKX			016880			
0082		NP=K2-K1	+1			J1689U			
ð(·83		SMIN=3.				016900			1
0084		SMAX=].				016910			ł
0085		DO 7 K=K	1,K2			016920			89
わた86		IF(SGI1(	<pre>k).GT.SMAX)SMAX=SGI</pre>	1(K)		016930			1
0087	7	CONTINUE				016940			1
0088		SBER=SMA	X*1.2			016950			
0089		ILG=ALUG	10(SBER)			016960			
090		IF(SBER.	LT.1.)ILG=ILG-1			016970			
0091		DEK=10₊C	≠≠ ILC			016983			
0092		SBER=SBE	RIDEK			016990			
r 393		BER=12.5				017000			
CC94		IF(SBER.	LE.6.25)BER=6.25			017010			
0C95		IF(SBER.	LE.5. )BER=5.			017020			
C Ü 96		IF(SBER.	LE.2.5 )BER=2.5			017030			
2097		IF(SBER.	LE.1.25)BER=1.25			017040			
C098		SMAX=BER	*DEK			017050			
0099		SS=3.001	≠SMAX			017060			
0100		CALL PLC	T4(EK(K1),SGI1(K1),	NP,2,0,1,3,0,2,EM4X,E	MIN,SE,	617075			
		ISMAX, SMI	N,SS,TITLE,IZ)			017080			
3101		RETURN				317090			
0102		END				017180			