



CAVEATS

This argument map

1. is preliminary and incomplete (i.e. represents work in progress);
2. aggregates and simplifies results of a detailed argumentation-theoretic analysis;
3. is an interpretation of the controversy;
4. is neutral with respect to the assessment of the debate and does not say which theses are true or false;
5. provides templates for assessing climate engineering; specific CE methods must be substituted for the generic placeholder T.

Authors given in brackets don't necessarily maintain the corresponding argument.

ELEMENTS

Boxes with no filling contain central claims; arguments are represented by filled boxes (blue: pro CE, yellow: contra CE). Arrows indicate support- (green) and attack-relationships (red) between arguments and theses.

VERSION

2011-02-24

CITATION

Please refer to this map as

- Gregor Betz, Sebastian Cacean: The moral controversy about Climate Engineering – an argument map; Version 2011-02-24; Karlsruhe, KIT, URL: <http://digbib.ukba.uni-karlsruhe.de/volltexte/1000022371>

CONTACT

Please contact us for providing feedback, making suggestions and pinpointing arguments not yet covered at gregor.betz@at-kit.edu.