

FASCAPLYSIN as a Specific Inhibitor for CDK4: Insights from Molecular Modelling

Muhammad Imtiaz Shafiq^{1,2}, Thomas Steinbrecher^{3*}, Ralf Schmid^{1*}

1 Department of Biochemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester, United Kingdom, **2** Institute of Chemistry, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan, **3** Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Abteilung für Theoretische Chemische Biologie, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Universität Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany

Abstract

Cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) play a key role in the cell cycle and are important anti-cancer drug targets. The natural product faspaplysin inhibits CDK4 with surprising selectivity ($IC_{50}=0.4\ \mu\text{M}$) compared to the close homolog CDK2 ($IC_{50}=500\ \mu\text{M}$). Free energy calculations of the positively charged faspaplysin and an uncharged iso-electronic derivative in the CDK2 and CDK4 inhibitor complexes indicate that the positive charge of faspaplysin is crucial for selectivity. This finding will guide further improvements in the design of faspaplysin-based selective inhibitors for CDK4.

Citation: Shafiq MI, Steinbrecher T, Schmid R (2012) FASCAPLYSIN as a Specific Inhibitor for CDK4: Insights from Molecular Modelling. *PLoS ONE* 7(8): e42612. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612

Editor: Heinrich Sticht, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany

Received: February 10, 2012; **Accepted:** July 10, 2012; **Published:** August 14, 2012

Copyright: © 2012 Shafiq et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: MIS was supported by a PhD studentship provided by the Higher Education Commission (commonly known as HEC) Pakistan (www.hec.gov.pk/). The authors acknowledge support for open access publishing by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Open Access Publishing Fund of Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: thomas.steinbrecher@kit.edu (TS); R.Schmid@le.ac.uk (RS)

Introduction

Cyclin dependent kinases (CDKs) are a group of protein kinases which regulate different stages of the eukaryotic cell cycle [1–4]. CDKs are also involved in the control of gene transcription, the processes that integrate extracellular and intracellular signals for the coordination of the cell cycle in response to environmental change, and apoptosis [2,5,6]. Activation of CDKs usually occurs via phosphorylation of specific threonine residues by the CDK-activating kinase and binding to a cyclin protein. CDK4 plays a central role in the regulation of the G_0 – G_1 phase of the cell and is required for the G_1 /S phase transition. CDK4 inactivates the retinoblastoma protein (pRb) by phosphorylation. pRb is a negative regulator of the E2F family of transcription factors [7], hence phosphorylation of pRb results in the release of transcription factors which activate the expression of the S-phase genes. This process enables the cell to pass through the restriction point and results in the onset of the S-phase [7–9]. Cell cycle regulators are frequently mutated in human cancers and due to their central role in G_1 regulation CDKs offer attractive targets for therapeutic inhibition [10–12]. The work of Yu *et al.* [13] and Landis *et al.* [14] suggests that inhibition of CDK4 might benefit patients with ErbB-2 initiated breast cancers [12]. The CDK4/CyclinD1 complex as an anti-cancer drug target has been further validated in MCF-7 breast cancer cells [15].

More than 20 small molecule inhibitors for CDKs are in clinical trials (for recent reviews see [16–19]). For example, Flavopiridol (Alvocidib) is in clinical development for the treatment of different metastatic cancers [20–22]. R-Roscovitine (Seliciclib, CYC202) inhibits CDK2, CDK7 and CDK9 [23] and is also in clinical trials. To avoid side effects, high selectivity is desirable, though difficult to achieve as the ATP binding site of the human kinome is well conserved [24,25]. Recently, selective inhibitors for CDK4 have gained substantial interest [26,27]. For example the orally

active small molecule PD0332991, which induces G_1 arrest in primary myeloma cells, prevents tumor growth by specific inhibition of CDK4/6 and is now in Phase 2 clinical trials [28,29]. The natural compound faspaplysin (Figure 1), originally isolated from the sponge *Faspaplysinopsis Bergquist* [30], is a kinase inhibitor with enticing selectivity for CDK4 ($IC_{50}\sim 0.4\ \mu\text{M}$) relative to the close homolog CDK2 (45% sequence identity, $IC_{50}\sim 500\ \mu\text{M}$), and also shows approximately eightfold selectivity over CDK6 (68% sequence identity, $IC_{50}\sim 3.4\ \mu\text{M}$) [31]. Approximating the dissociation constant K_D with IC_{50} and using the relation $\Delta G^0 = -RT\ln K_D$, the difference in the free energy of binding between the CDK4/faspaplysin and CDK2/faspaplysin complexes can be calculated to 4.2 kcal/mol. Considering the close structural similarity of the active sites of CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6, and the relatively small size ($M_w = 306.75$) and rigid structure of faspaplysin, the observed selectivity is remarkable. Chemically, faspaplysin is a planar, aromatic compound with no freely rotatable single bonds. It comprises five condensed rings, the central ring includes a positively charged iminium nitrogen. An indol-NH and a carbonyl can act as H-bond donor and H-bond acceptor, respectively. The H-bond donor and H-bond acceptor in faspaplysin are oriented in parallel spaced at $\sim 2.6\ \text{Å}$, a feature shared with other kinase inhibitors. The faspaplysin framework has been used to synthesise a series of selective CDK4 inhibitors [31–37], though in most cases selectivity was partially lost in the redesign process. So what are the features that could explain the remarkable selectivity of faspaplysin? There is a considerable amount of structural information on CDKs available to help addressing this question. More than 100 CDK2 structures in complex with small molecules are deposited in the protein databank. However, compared to CDK2, structural information on CDK6 and CDK4 with inhibitors bound is scarce, in fact the first CDK4 structures have only been published recently [38,39].

Most residues in the active sites of CDK2, CDK4 and CDK6 are remarkably conserved (Figure 2). A key difference is the presence of a histidine residue in CDK4/6 (His95^{CDK4} and His100^{CDK6}) while CDK2 comprises a phenylalanine (Phe82) in the equivalent position. The His95^{CDK4}/His100^{CDK6} side-chain is in a position where it potentially can donate or accept a H-bond from an inhibitor. Other differences are in Val96^{CDK4} and Val101^{CDK6} corresponding to Leu83^{CDK2}. This residue is capable of forming H-bonds to inhibitors with both backbone NH and carbonyl group, but as its side chain is pointing away from the binding site and is not in direct contact with inhibitors the Val/Leu variation appears to be less relevant for selectivity. Other differences in the binding site are residues Thr120^{CDK4} and Thr107^{CDK6}, these threonines correspond to Lys89^{CDK2}. The negatively charged residues Asp97^{CDK4} and Asp102^{CDK6} have His84^{CDK2} in the equivalent position, and finally glutamate Glu144^{CDK4} is corresponding to Gln131^{CDK2} and Gln149^{CDK6} – the latter being the only position where CDK4 and CDK6 have different residues. Interestingly, in all three of these positions CDK4 gains a negative charge relative to CDK2. The potential role of charge as a determinant of CDK4 inhibitor specificity has been pointed out originally by McInnes et al. [40] and more recently by Mascarenhas et al. [41]. In this work, we have studied this example of charge-determined protein-ligand interactions using a variety of methods from the molecular modelling and drug design fields.

The binding of inhibitors to protein receptors with high affinity and specificity is central to structure-based drug design applications. The quest for the calculation of binding affinities remains one of the main goals of modern computational biophysical methods [42–48]. The most accurate methods for calculating binding free energies are based on molecular dynamics simulations which predict the physical properties of the protein-ligand complexes based on atomistic structural models. The energetic consequences of small structural changes in inhibitor complexes have been successfully studied using thermodynamic integration

[49–54]. An added benefit of TI calculations, as compared to empirical ligand docking algorithms is that the former include accurate estimates of binding entropy as well as enthalpy, based on rigorous statistical thermodynamics. In this work, we specifically address the contribution of the positive charge of fascaplysin (FAS, see Figure 1A) to selectivity by applying thermodynamic integration calculations. *In silico*, fascaplysin can be modified easily by the iso-electronic substitution of the positively charged nitrogen to a charge neutral carbon atom, resulting in a compound, which for clarity and simplicity we refer to as carbofascaplysin (CRB, indolo-[1,2-*c*]-fluorene-9-one, see Figure 1B). By calculating the energetic effect of this substitution for the protein-inhibitor complexes of both CDK2 and CDK4, we can quantify the impact of the positive charge of fascaplysin on its specificities towards CDK2 and CDK4.

Methods

Structure preparation, homology modelling and ligand docking

The X-ray crystal structure of CDK2 in its active form (PDB-ID: 1FIN [55]) was used as starting structure for molecular modelling. For CDK4 ligand docking, molecular dynamics simulations and free energy calculations a different strategy was employed. As the five published X-ray structures for CDK4 [38,39] have sections missing and are in an inactive state, a ‘hybrid’ model was constructed in modeller v9.1 [56]. In this ‘hybrid’ model the core of the structure is based on the experimentally solved CDK4 structure 2W96, but information from CDK2 (PDB-ID: 1FIN) is used for missing regions and the positioning of the activation loop. ProSa-web [57] and WhatCheck [58] were used for model validation. The coordinates of the ‘hybrid model’ are provided as supporting information (File S1). The inhibitor fascaplysin (FAS) and the hypothetical compound ‘carbofascaplysin’ (CRB) were built in Hyperchem 8.0 [59], and energy minimised prior to docking. Ligand docking calculations were performed in GOLD v4.1 [60] using the ChemScore scoring function with the binding site defined with a radius of 12 Å around the backbone-N of Leu83 for CDK2 and Val96 for CDK4. PyMOL and VMD were used for molecular visualisation. [61,62]

Molecular dynamics simulations

All molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the Amber 10 package [63] with the ff99SB [64] force field for proteins and GAFF [65] for ligands. RESP partial charges for the two ligands FAS and CRB were derived using GAUSSIAN03 [66] at the HF/6-31G* theory level and the antechamber program. CDK2 and CDK4 were solvated in a cubic solvent box so that the distance between every solute atom and the box boundary was at least 12 Å and neutralised by adding counter ions. Water molecules were treated using the TIP4P-Ew water model, a re-parameterization of TIP4P [67] with Ewald summation [68]; five buried crystal waters for CDK2 (PDB-ID 1FIN) and four crystal waters present in equivalent positions in CDK4 were kept in the simulations. Before the simulations the systems were energy minimized, initially by steepest descent followed by conjugate gradient minimization. Then the energy-minimised complexes were equilibrated by 50 ps heating from 0 K to 300 K followed by 50 ps density equilibration, both with weak restraints for all protein residues (2.0 kcal/mol Å⁻²) and 500 ps constant pressure equilibration. Production runs were run for 5 ns with 2 fs time steps. For all simulations the SHAKE algorithm was used to constrain bonds between hydrogens and heavy atoms [69], Langevin dynamics were used for temperature control. Amber




Figure 1. Molecular structures of fascaplysin (FAS) and “carbofascaplysin” (CRB). Fascaplysin (A) is a natural product from the sponge *Fascaplysinopsis Bergquist*. Isoelectronic substitution of the positively charged nitrogen with a carbon atom leads to an electrically neutral derivative (B), which for the ease of discussion we refer to as “carbofascaplysin”.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g001




Figure 3. Thermodynamic scheme for calculating the contribution of inhibitor charge to the free energy difference in CDK4/fascaplysin and CDK2/fascaplysin complexes. The energetic contribution of inhibitor charge to specificity is calculated as difference from two independent steps, the transformation of the CDK4/carbofascaplysin complex to CDK4/fascaplysin and the transformation of the CDK2/carbofascaplysin complex to CDK2/fascaplysin. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g003

[38,39] and none of the as yet published structures contains a small molecule inhibitor in the ATP binding site. Before experimentally determined CDK4 structures became available, CDK4 homology models based on experimentally determined structures of CDK 2 and/or CDK6 were commonly used for computational studies such as ligand docking e.g. [34,75–77] and molecular dynamics simulations [78–80]. Most small molecule CDK4 inhibitors are competitive inhibitors for ATP [81] and target the active form of CDK4. Hence, CDK4 homology models representing the active form still have been used in recent ligand docking studies, despite the availability of experimentally determined CDK4 structures. [41,82] To take advantage of the new X-ray structures we opted for a ‘hybrid model’ strategy for studying the binding behaviour and selectivity of fascaplysin. The core of the ‘hybrid model’ for CDK4 was built using the CDK4 structure 2W96 as template, but the modelling strategy also made use of an active form CDK2 structure (PDB-ID: 1FIN) for modelling the T-loop and to impose an active conformation on the C-helix of CDK4. ProSa-Web Z-scores for the ‘hybrid model’ and the CDK4 and CDK2 templates are -7.84 , -7.96 and -7.12 , respectively, indicating that the modelling strategy has not introduced any significant packing problems. The rmsd between the active form ‘hybrid model’ and the experimentally determined CDK4 structure (PDB-ID: 2W9F) is 1.5 \AA , this is close to the 1.2 \AA found for comparing the active (PDB-ID: 1FIN) and inactive form (PDB-ID: 2R3I) of CDK2. FAS and CRB were docked into both, CDK2 and CDK4, using the GOLD package. GOLD treats ligands as fully flexible and allows the user to assign flexibility to a limited set of receptor residues. The best-scoring docking poses for all four systems investigated show key features known from experimentally determined CDK2/inhibitor X-ray structures such as hydrogen bonding in the hinge region. FAS and CRB docking poses are characterised by two H-bonds involving the backbone NH and carbonyl of the hinge residues Leu83^{CDK2} and Val96^{CDK4}. No significant difference was found in the docking scores of both compounds with both CDK2 and CDK4 (ChemScores are in the range of 29 to 31 for CDK2, and 31 to 34 for CDK4, respectively). So while the ligand docking study generates typical kinase inhibitor binding poses, it can not explain why fascaplysin preferably binds to CDK4 rather than CDK2.

A key difference between the CDK2 and CDK4 poses involves the equivalent residues His95^{CDK4} and Phe82^{CDK2}. In principle three different ‘species’ of His95^{CDK4} have to be considered: His95^{CDK4} could have a positively charged imidazole side chain and there are two uncharged species with either N δ or N ϵ of the imidazole ring bearing a hydrogen. We did not consider a positively charged imidazole side chain as this would unfavourably

interact with the positively charged fascaplysin. However, alternative positioning of hydrogens in His95^{CDK4} (N δ -H and N ϵ -H) was considered in the ligand docking process. ChemScores were by a small margin higher for the His95^{CDK4}-N δ -H/fascaplysin complex (33.4 compared to 31.3 for His95^{CDK4}-N ϵ -H/fascaplysin complex) indicating a slight preference for the side-chain conformation in which the N δ -hydrogen of the imidazol ring forms an additional H-bond to the carbonyl of FAS and CRB, respectively. This conformation is different from the His95 conformation found in the experimentally determined CDK4 structures, but such a conformational change could occur upon ligand binding, when the alternative His95^{CDK4} side chain conformation is stabilised by the interaction with the inhibitor (Figure 4A). The idea of His95 as a key player for CDK4 specificity is supported by the notion that CDK6 also has a histidine residue in the equivalent position. Any energetic contribution of the additional His95-N δ H-bond to the free energy of binding should also feature in CDK6, and indeed the IC₅₀ of CDK6/fascaplysin is, while being ~ 8 times higher than CDK4/fascaplysin, still ~ 100 times lower than CDK2/fascaplysin. However, there is a problem with this notion, as if correct, the interaction in question should occur for most inhibitors, essentially for any ligand that forms a H-bond with the backbone NH of Val96^{CDK4}. If His95^{CDK4} was indeed the key to the observed fascaplysin CDK4 specificity we would expect this to be rather generic feature, rendering most CDK inhibitors more specific for CDK4 as CDK2. This is however not the case and hence it is unlikely that the difference between His95^{CDK4} and Phe82^{CDK2} can account fully for the differential binding of fascaplysin.

The inaccuracy of docking scoring functions for estimating free energies of binding is a major short coming of typical ligand docking approaches [83–85]. To obtain more accurately calculated values for free energies of binding thermodynamic integration was used. A key feature of fascaplysin is its positive charge. Docking scoring functions are limited in accounting for long-range electrostatic interactions; Thermodynamic Integration however describes long-range electrostatic interactions more accurately as the Particle Mesh Ewald method for calculating electrostatic energy terms also incorporates orientation polarisation effects (conformational response to charge). The Thermodynamic Integration approach was used to specifically address the role of charge as a determinant of CDK4 inhibitor selectivity comparing the charge stabilisation in CDK2/CRB->CDK2/FAS and His95 N ϵ -H CDK4/CRB->CDK4/FAS complexes [40,41]. To better account for protein flexibility in response to inhibitor binding a series of six 5 ns molecular dynamics simulation was performed. The comparison between runs with all four inhibitor-protein complexes, FAS and CRB as inhibitors, and CDK2 and CDK4 (both His95 conformers) as receptors, allows the investigation of conformational change in response to changes of charge of inhibitors.

Molecular dynamics simulations

Before endeavouring on TI runs the systems corresponding to the $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$ endpoints, *i.e.*, CDK2/fascaplysin and CDK2/carbofascaplysin, CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-N ϵ -H/carbofascaplysin and CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-N ϵ -H/fascaplysin, and for comparison CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-N δ -H/fascaplysin and CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-N δ -H/carbofascaplysin were tested for stability (Figure 5). The average rmsd (compared to the energy minimised starting structure) over 5 ns CDK2 simulations is less than 2 \AA for both runs, the average rmsd for the respective CDK4 simulations is slightly higher. This higher value is not unexpected as the CDK4 structure used for simulations is the ‘hybrid model’ as described in the materials




Figure 4. Predicted binding modes for the fascaplysin/CDK4 and the fascaplysin/CDK2 complexes. The predicted binding modes for CDK4 (A) and CDK2 (B) show two hydrogen bonds between NH and carbonyl groups of fascaplysin and the backbone carbonyl and NH of Val96 in CDK4 and of Leu83 in CDK2, respectively. In the CDK4/fascaplysin binding mode an additional hydrogen bond between the Nδ-H of the His95^{CDK4} imidazole side chain and fascaplysin is possible.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g004

and methods section while an experimentally determined high resolution X-ray structure was used for CDK2. It is however lower or similar to rmsds that have been reported in MD simulations using CDK4 homology models previously [41,78–80]. Also, in comparison to CDK2 the CDK4 structure contains a flexible poly-Glycin loop comprising seven glycines (residues 42–48) not present in CDK2. These residues display relatively high C α -RMSF values (Figure 5C) and contribute to the higher average rmsd.

Buried waters are often a concern in molecular dynamics simulations. If they are not transferred from an experimental structure they are often missed when generating the water box. Nine water molecules from the CDK2 X-ray structure (PDB ID: 1FIN) were kept for the MD simulations based on their “conservation” across a set of 21 CDK2 inhibitor structures with a resolution of 1.8 Å or better. Inherently, such an approach is more difficult for the CDK4 hybrid model, but based on the CDK4 structures solved by Day et al. [38] four buried water molecules were included in the CDK4 simulations. Compared to preliminary simulations which were not using waters from the experimental structures, the inclusion of these waters enhanced the stability of the simulations for both, CDK2 and CDK4 simulations (data not shown).

The ligand docking poses for fascaplysin and carbofascaplysin in CDK2 and CDK4 suggest that all four binding modes are rather similar with both ligands forming two hydrogen bonds to backbone carbonyl and NH of Val96^{CDK4} and Leu83^{CDK2}, respectively. Molecular dynamics simulations allow studying these binding poses over time to give a dynamic picture. (Figure 6). The two H-bonds to the backbone are present in 97%, 100% and 100% of the simulation snapshots in CDK2/FAS, CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-Nδ-H/FAS, and CDK4-His95^{CDK4}-Nε-H/FAS, respectively. The six simulations also allow addressing the question of the involvement of specific residues in the selectivity of fascaplysin to CDK4 by comparing residues which are different in CDK2 and CDK4 in the four simulations. The substitution of Phe82^{CDK2} with His95^{CDK4} in the equivalent position of CDK4 is one of the key differences in the active site. Ligand docking suggests that the side chain of His95^{CDK4} can form an additional polar interaction between with FAS and CRB, while Phe82^{CDK2} cannot play such a role. Monitoring the H-bonding between in CDK4/FAS and CDK4/CRB, reveals that indeed this takes

place, but only in approximately 46% (CDK4/FAS) and 28% (CDK4/CRB) of the simulation time (Figure 6E).

Other residues of interest for CDK4 selectivity, originally proposed by McInnes et al, are Thr102^{CDK4} and Glu144^{CDK4} where the corresponding CDK2 residues are Lys89^{CDK2} and Gln131^{CDK2} in CDK2 [40]. For both residues the formal charge in CDK2 is increased by one. The positive charge of Lys89 in CDK2 could have a destabilising effect on binding of FAS in CDK2, and hence contribute to the different binding properties of CDK2 and CDK4. Interestingly, the average distances between Lys89-NZ and FAS-N2, and Lys89-NZ and CRB-C0 are 11.0 Å and 9.7 Å, respectively. The approximately 1.3 Å shorter distance in the CDK2/CRB complex indicates a conformational response of Lys89^{CDK2} to the positive fascaplysin charge (Figure 6F). Similarly, for the negatively charged residues Glu144^{CDK4} and Asp97^{CDK4} (corresponding to His84^{CDK2}) conformational change to minimize the distance between FAS and the negatively charged side chains could occur. Monitoring the distances between OE1 and OE2 of Glu144^{CDK4}, OD1 and OD2 of Asp97^{CDK4}, and N2 and C0 of FAS and CRB, in all four CDK4 simulations does not support this idea. While the six molecular dynamics simulations allow monitoring structural differences potentially associated with differential binding, they do not provide quantitative information for this phenomenon.

Thermodynamic Integration

Despite substantial progress in ligand docking one of the major limitations remains the inaccuracy of the scoring functions used for estimating binding energies. For a quantitative treatment of binding energies, computationally more accurate (and therefore computationally more expensive) methods are required. A method particularly well suited to calculate differences rather than absolute values of free energies of binding is thermodynamic integration. TI is best used in situations where small changes in structure correlate with relatively substantial changes in the free energy of binding. The preferential binding of fascaplysin to CDK4 with roughly 4.2 kcal/mol difference in the free energies of binding between the CDK4/fascaplysin and CDK2/fascaplysin complexes studied in this work clearly falls into this category. The role of positive charge on inhibitors for CDK4 specificity relative to CDK2 has been emphasized by McInnes et al. based on a two-unit increase in the formal charge of the binding pocket of CDK2 relative to CDK4




Figure 5. 5 ns MD simulations for CDK4 and CDK2 complexed with fascaplysin and carbofascaplysin. (A) Comparison of backbone rmsd (relative to the energy minimised starting structure) as a function of time for the CDK4/fascaplysin (red) and CDK4/carbofascaplysin (black) simulations (B) Comparison of rmsd (relative to the energy minimised starting structure) for the CDK2/fascaplysin (red) and CDK2/carbofascaplysin (black) simulations. (C) C α RMSF values for the simulations shown in (A). The peak for residues 42–48 corresponds to a flexible poly-glycine region not present in CDK2 (D) C α RMSF values for the in the simulations shown in (C). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g005

[40]. Such electrostatic interactions are long ranged and sensitive to large scale conformational motions, therefore extensive MD simulations need to be conducted to accurately capture their effect. To avoid these difficulties, TI studies are often limited to charge neutral transformations [71,86]. In order to specifically quantify the effect of the positive charge of fascaplysin on differential binding to CDK2 and CDK4, the ‘energetic cost’ of mutating a neutral carbon atom ($\lambda=0$) into a positively charged nitrogen ($\lambda=1$) was calculated in the inhibitor complexes with CDK2 (ΔG^0_{CDK2}) and CDK4 (ΔG^0_{CDK4}) using thermodynamic integration. The difference ($\Delta\Delta G^0$) of these two TI calculations, ΔG^0_{CDK2} and ΔG^0_{CDK4} , quantifies the energetic contribution for selectivity that can be attributed to the positive fascaplysin charge (Figure 3). The His95-N ϵ -H conformer was chosen for the CDK4 TI simulations, so we do not account for any contribution of a possible His95-N δ -H hydrogen bond to fascaplysin and its potential effect on selectivity in these simulations. Hence, the change in free energy we derive from our TI Δ simulations is a reflection of the differential stabilisation of the positive fascaplysin charge. The TI simulations were run for 19 values of λ for 5 ns each. These runs combined results from 25 data points (representing 200 ps windows each) for both, ΔG^0_{CDK4} and ΔG^0_{CDK2} , respectively (Figure 7). The free energy for the transformation of

CRB into FAS in the CDK2 and CDK4 complexes is subject to fluctuations, but both the curves are clearly separated all the time. Total ΔG^0_{CDK4} , the free energy for the CRB to FAS transformation in the CDK4 complex is 23.2 ± 0.4 kcal/mol compared to 24.6 ± 0.4 kcal/mol for ΔG^0_{CDK2} in the CDK2 complex (errors from badge averaging). The effect of the positive charge in fascaplysin (or more precisely, the isoelectronic substitution of a neutral carbon with a positively charged nitrogen) is different in CDK2 and CDK4. In relative terms the accommodation of the positive charge is less costly in CDK4 than in CDK2. The positive charge on fascaplysin contributes with a $\Delta\Delta G^0$ of 1.4 ± 0.6 kcal/mol to preferential binding to CDK4, corresponding to a factor of ca. 10 in terms of K_D . While this result is not fully explaining the extraordinary difference in binding properties, it is clear that the positive inhibitor charge contributes substantially to the selectivity of fascaplysin to CDK4. This has important implications for the design of fascaplysin derived CDK4 inhibitors, a positive charge should be kept in derivatives. Interestingly, the highly specific CDK4 inhibitor PD0332991 [27] bears a tertiary amine and hence also a positive charge. It may achieve, at least partially, its specificity also via differential stabilisation of the positive charge.




Figure 6. Binding of fascaplysin and carbofascaplysin in CDK4 and CDK2. (A) Distances between the carbonyl oxygen (black), and amide hydrogen (red) of V96^{CDK4} and the indoyl hydrogen and carbonyl oxygen of FAS, respectively. (B) Distances between the carbonyl oxygen (black) and amide hydrogen (red) of V96^{CDK4} and the indoyl hydrogen and carbonyl oxygen of CRB, respectively. (C) Distances between the carbonyl oxygen (black) and amide hydrogen (red) of L83^{CDK2} and the indoyl hydrogen and carbonyl oxygen of FAS, respectively. (D) Distances between the carbonyl oxygen (black) and amide hydrogen (red) of L83^{CDK2} and the indoyl hydrogen and carbonyl oxygen of CRB, respectively. (E) Distances between the His95^{CDK4} Nδ-H and the carbonyl oxygen of FAS (red) and CRB (black), respectively. (F) Distances between Lys89^{CDK2} amine-N and the N+/C of FAS (red) and CRB (black), respectively.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g006




Figure 7. Thermodynamic Integration. Each point (200 ps window) represents the free energy “cost” of the carbofascaplysin to fascaplysin transformation in the CDK4 and CDK2 complex calculated from 19 values for λ . The difference ($\Delta\Delta G^0$) between the two plots quantifies the energetic contribution for selectivity that can be attributed to the positive fascaplysin charge.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042612.g007

Conclusions

The molecular modelling study in this work addresses the remarkable selectivity of fasclaplysin for CDK4. We have established a 'hybrid model' approach for CDK4 as a suitable starting point for ligand docking and molecular dynamics studies. Thermodynamic integration focussing on the effect of the positive charge on fasclaplysin demonstrates that this charge significantly contributes to fasclaplysin selectivity, while additional factors such as the polar interaction with His95^{CDK4} may also play a role. Molecular dynamics simulations indicate that the molecular basis of this effect may be due to an unfavourable interaction with Lys89^{CDK2}. Our study suggests that there is a significant gain in specificity to be made by incorporating/maintaining a positively

charged functional group when designing inhibitors selective for CDK4.

Supporting Information

File S1 PDB-file for the CDK4 hybrid model. The model was generated as described in the methods section. (PDB)

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: TS RS. Performed the experiments: MIS TS. Analyzed the data: MIS TS RS. Wrote the paper: MIS TS RS.

References

- Harper JW, Adams PD (2001) Cyclin-dependent kinases. *Chem Rev* 101: 2511–2526.
- Morgan D (1997) Cyclin-dependent kinases: Engines, Clocks, and Microprocessors. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 13: 261–291.
- Morgan DO (1995) Principles of CDK regulation. *Nature* 374: 131–134.
- Norbury C, Nurse P (1992) Animal cell cycles and their control. *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 61: 441–468.
- Malumbres M, Barbacid M (2005) Mammalian cyclin-dependent kinases. *Trends in biochemical sciences* 30: 630–641.
- Murray AW (2004) Recycling the cell cycle: cyclins revisited. *Cell* 116: 221–234.
- Sherr CJ (1996) Cancer Cell Cycles. *Science* 274: 1672–1677.
- Dyson N (1998) The regulation of E2F by pRB-family proteins. *Genes Dev* 12: 2245–2262.
- Attwooll C, Lazzarini Denchi E, Helin K (2004) The E2F family: specific functions and overlapping interests. *EMBO J* 23: 4709–4716.
- Malumbres M, Barbacid M (2001) To cycle or not to cycle: a critical decision in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* 1: 222–231.
- Ortega S, Malumbres M, Barbacid M (2002) Cell Cycle and Cancer: The G1 Restriction Point and the G1/S Transition. *Current Genomics* 3: 245–263.
- Malumbres M, Barbacid M (2006) Is Cyclin D1-CDK4 kinase a bona fide cancer target? *Cancer Cell* 9: 2–4.
- Yu Q, Scisinska E, Geng Y, Ahnstrom M, Zagodzko A, et al. (2006) Requirement for CDK4 kinase function in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 9: 23–32.
- Landis MW, Pawlyk BS, Li T, Scisinski P, Hinds PW (2006) Cyclin D1-dependent kinase activity in murine development and mammary tumorigenesis. *Cancer Cell* 9: 13–22.
- Grillo M, Bott MJ, Khandke N, McGinnis JP, Miranda M, et al. (2006) Validation of cyclin D1/CDK4 as an anticancer drug target in MCF-7 breast cancer cells: Effect of regulated overexpression of cyclin D1 and siRNA-mediated inhibition of endogenous cyclin D1 and CDK4 expression. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 95: 185–194.
- Wesierska-Gadek J, Maurer M, Zulehner N, Komina O (2011) Whether to target single or multiple CDKs for therapy? That is the question. *J Cell Physiol* 226: 341–349.
- Lapenna S, Giordano A (2009) Cell cycle kinases as therapeutic targets for cancer. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* 8: 547–566.
- Malumbres M, Pevarello P, Barbacid M, Bischoff JR (2008) CDK inhibitors in cancer therapy: what is next? *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences* 29: 16–21.
- McInnes C (2008) Progress in the evaluation of CDK inhibitors as anti-tumor agents. *Drug Discovery Today* 13: 875–881.
- Lin TS, Fischer B, Blum KA, Andritsos LA, Jones JA, et al. (2007) Preliminary results of a phase II study of flavopiridol (Alvocidib) in relapsed chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL): Confirmation of clinical activity in high-risk patients and achievement of complete responses (CR). *Blood* 110: 913a–913a.
- Lin TS, Heerema NA, Lozanski G, Fischer B, Blum KA, et al. (2008) Flavopiridol (Alvocidib) Induces Durable Responses in Relapsed Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia (CLL) Patients with High-Risk Cytogenetic Abnormalities. *Blood* 112: 23–24.
- Carvajal RD, Tse A, Shah MA, Lefkowitz RA, Gonen M, et al. (2009) A Phase II Study of Flavopiridol (Alvocidib) in Combination with Docetaxel in Refractory, Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer. *Pancreatol* 9: 404–409.
- Lacrima K, Valentini A, Lambertini C, Taborelli M, Rinaldi A, et al. (2005) In vitro activity of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor CYC202 (Seliciclib, Roscovitine) in mantle cell lymphomas. *Ann Oncol* 16: 1169–1176.
- Bain J, Plater L, Elliott M, Shpiro N, Hastie CJ, et al. (2007) The selectivity of protein kinase inhibitors: a further update. *Biochem J* 408: 297–315.
- Davies SP, Reddy H, Caivano M, Cohen P (2000) Specificity and mechanism of action of some commonly used protein kinase inhibitors. *Biochem J* 351: 95–105.
- Finn RS, Dering J, Conklin D, Kalous O, Cohen DJ, et al. (2009) PD 0332991, a selective cyclin D kinase 4/6 inhibitor, preferentially inhibits proliferation of luminal estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer cell lines in vitro. *Breast Cancer Res* 11: R77.
- Fry DW, Harvey PJ, Keller PR, Elliott WL, Meade M, et al. (2004) Specific inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 by PD 0332991 and associated antitumor activity in human tumor xenografts. *Mol Cancer Ther* 3: 1427–1438.
- Schwartz GK, Lorusso PM, Dickson MA, Randolph SS, Shaik MN, et al. (2011) Phase I study of PD 0332991, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, administered in 3-week cycles (Schedule 2/1). *Br J Cancer* 104: 1862–1868.
- Finn RS (2011) A randomized phase II study of PD 0332991, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) 4/6 inhibitor, in combination with letrozole for first-line treatment of patients with postmenopausal, estrogen receptor (ER)-positive, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)-negative advanced breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 29: (suppl; abstr TPS100).
- Roll DM, Ireland CM, Lu HSM, Clardy J (1988) Fasclaplysin, an unusual antimicrobial pigment from the marine sponge *Fasclaplysinopsis* sp. *J Org Chem* 53: 3276–3278.
- Jenkins PR, Wilson J, Emmerson D, Garcia MD, Smith MR, et al. (2008) Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of new tryptamine and tetrahydro-beta-carboline-based selective inhibitors of CDK4. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 16: 7728–7739.
- Aubry C, Jenkins PR, Mahale S, Chaudhuri B, Marechal JD, et al. (2004) New fasclaplysin-based CDK4-specific inhibitors: design, synthesis and biological activity. *Chemical Communications*: 1696–1697.
- García MD, Wilson AJ, Emmerson DPG, Jenkins PR, Mahale S, et al. (2006) Synthesis, crystal structure and biological activity of beta-carboline based selective CDK4-cyclin D1 inhibitors. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry* 4: 4478–4484.
- Aubry C, Wilson AJ, Jenkins PR, Mahale S, Chaudhuri B, et al. (2006) Design, synthesis and biological activity of new CDK4-specific inhibitors, based on fasclaplysin. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry* 4: 787–801.
- Mahale S, Aubry C, James Wilson A, Jenkins PR, Maréchal J-D, et al. (2006) CA224, a non-planar analogue of fasclaplysin, inhibits Cdk4 but not Cdk2 and arrests cells at G0/G1 inhibiting pRB phosphorylation. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 16: 4272–4278.
- Mahale S, Aubry C, Jenkins PR, Maréchal J-D, Sutcliffe MJ, et al. (2006) Inhibition of cancer cell growth by cyclin dependent kinase 4 inhibitors synthesized based on the structure of fasclaplysin. *Bioorg Chem* 34: 287–297.
- Aubry C, Wilson AJ, Emmerson D, Murphy E, Chan YY, et al. (2009) Fasclaplysin-inspired diindolyls as selective inhibitors of CDK4/cyclin D1. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 17: 6073–6084.
- Day PJ, Cleasby A, Tickle IJ, O'Reilly M, Coyle JE, et al. (2009) Crystal structure of human CDK4 in complex with a D-type cyclin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106: 4166–4170.
- Takaki T, Echalié A, Brown NR, Hunt T, Endicott JA, et al. (2009) The structure of CDK4/cyclin D3 has implications for models of CDK activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106: 4171–4176.
- McInnes C, Wang S, Anderson S, O'Boyle J, Jackson W, et al. (2004) Structural determinants of CDK4 inhibition and design of selective ATP competitive inhibitors. *Chemistry & Biology* 11: 525–534.
- Mascarenhas NM, Bhattacharyya D, Ghoshal N (2010) Why pyridine containing pyrido[2,3-d]pyrimidin-7-ones selectively inhibit CDK4 than CDK2: insights from molecular dynamics simulation. *J Mol Graph Model* 28: 695–706.
- Reddy MR, Erion MD, Agarwal A (2000) Free energy calculations: Use and limitations in predicting ligand binding affinities. *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*, Vol 16 16: 217–304.
- Wang W, Donini O, Reyes CM, Kollman PA (2001) Biomolecular simulations: Recent developments in force fields, simulations of enzyme catalysis, protein-ligand, protein-protein, and protein-nucleic acid noncovalent interactions. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure* 30: 211–243.
- Lazaridis T (2002) Binding affinity and specificity from computational studies. *Current Organic Chemistry* 6: 1319–1332.
- Simonson T, Archontis G, Karplus M (2002) Free energy simulations come of age: Protein-ligand recognition. *Accounts of Chemical Research* 35: 430–437.

46. Foloppe N, Hubbard R (2006) Towards predictive ligand design with free-energy based computational methods? *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 13: 3583–3608.
47. Jorgensen W, Thomas L (2008) Perspective on free energy perturbation calculations for chemical equilibria. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 4: 869–876.
48. Steinbrecher T, Labahn A (2010) Towards Accurate Free Energy Calculations in Ligand Protein-Binding Studies. *Current Medicinal Chemistry* 17: 767–785.
49. Chipot C, Rozanska X, Dixit SB (2005) Can free energy calculations be fast and accurate at the same time? Binding of low-affinity, non-peptide inhibitors to the SH2 domain of the src protein. *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design* 19: 765–770.
50. Jorgensen WL, Ruiz-Caro J, Tirado-Rives J, Basavapathruni A, Anderson KS, et al. (2006) Computer-aided design of non-nucleoside inhibitors of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 16: 663–667.
51. Kim JT, Hamilton AD, Bailey CM, Domoal RA, Wang L, et al. (2006) FEP-guided selection of bicyclic heterocycles in lead optimization for non-nucleoside inhibitors of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 128: 15372–15373.
52. Steinbrecher T, Case DA, Labahn A (2006) A multistep approach to structure-based drug design: Studying ligand binding at the human neutrophil elastase. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 49: 1837–1844.
53. Steinbrecher T, Hrenn A, Dormann KL, Merfort I, Labahn A (2008) Bornyl (3,4,5-trihydroxy)-cinnamate—an optimized human neutrophil elastase inhibitor designed by free energy calculations. *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry* 16: 2385–2390.
54. Zeevaert JG, Wang L, Thakur VV, Leung CS, Tirado-Rives J, et al. (2008) Optimization of azoles as anti-human immunodeficiency virus agents guided by free-energy calculations. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 130: 9492–9499.
55. Jeffrey PD, Russo AA, Polyak K, Gibbs E, Hurwitz J, et al. (1995) Mechanism of CDK activation revealed by the structure of a cyclinA-CDK2 complex. *Nature* 376: 313–320.
56. Marti-Renom MA, Stuart AC, Fiser A, Sanchez R, Melo F, et al. (2000) Comparative protein structure modeling of genes and genomes. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure* 29: 291–325.
57. Wiederstein M, Sippl MJ (2007) ProSA-web: interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35: W407–W410.
58. Hoof RWW, Vriend G, Sander C, Abola EE (1996) Errors in protein structures. *Nature* 381: 272–272.
59. Hypercube Inc (2008) HyperChem(TM) Professional 8.014 Hypercube, Inc., 1115 NW 4th Street, Gainesville, Florida 32601, USA.
60. Verdonk ML, Cole JC, Hartshorn MJ, Murray CW, Taylor RD (2003) Improved protein-ligand docking using GOLD. *Proteins* 52: 609–623.
61. DeLano WL (2002) The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System DeLano Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, USA.
62. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K (1996) VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* 14: 33–38.
63. Case DA, Cheatham TE, Darden T, Gohlke H, Luo R, et al. (2005) The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 26: 1668–1688.
64. Duan Y, Wu C, Chowdhury S, Lee MC, Xiong G, et al. (2003) A point-charge force field for molecular mechanics simulations of proteins based on condensed-phase quantum mechanical calculations. *J Comput Chem* 24: 1999–2012.
65. Wang JM, Wolf RM, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA, Case DA (2004) Development and testing of a general amber force field. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 25: 1157–1174.
66. Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA (2004) Gaussian 03, Revision B.03. Wallingford, CT 06492 USA: Gaussian, Inc.
67. Jorgensen WL, Chandrasekhar J, Madura JD, Impey RW, Klein ML (1983) Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 79: 926–935.
68. Horn HW, Swope WC, Pitera JW, Madura JD, Dick TJ, et al. (2004) Development of an improved four-site water model for biomolecular simulations: TIP4P-Ew. *J Chem Phys* 120: 9665–9678.
69. Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC (1977) Numerical-integration of cartesian equations of motion of a system with constraints - molecular-dynamics of n-alkanes. *Journal of Computational Physics* 23: 327–341.
70. Gouda H, Kuntz ID, Case DA, Kollman PA (2003) Free energy calculations for theophylline binding to an RNA aptamer: MM-PBSA and comparison of thermodynamic integration methods. *Biopolymers* 68: 16–34.
71. Steinbrecher T, Mobley DL, Case DA (2007) Nonlinear scaling schemes for Lennard-Jones interactions in free energy calculations. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 127: 214108.
72. Darden T, Pearlman D, Pedersen LG (1998) Ionic charging free energies: Spherical versus periodic boundary conditions. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 109: 10921–10935.
73. Grossfield A, Ren PY, Ponder JW (2003) Ion solvation thermodynamics from simulation with a polarizable force field. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 125: 15671–15682.
74. Steinbrecher T, Joung I, Case DA (2011) Soft-Core Potentials in Thermodynamic Integration: Comparing One- and Two-Step Transformations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 32: 3253–3263.
75. Rossi KA, Markwalder JA, Seitz SP, Chang CH, Cox S, et al. (2005) Understanding and modulating cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor specificity: molecular modeling and biochemical evaluation of pyrazolopyrimidinones as CDK2/cyclin A and CDK4/cyclin D1 inhibitors. *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design* 19: 111–122.
76. Tsou HR, Otteng M, Tran T, Floyd MB, Reich M, et al. (2008) 4-(phenylaminomethylene)isoquinoline-1,3(2H,4H)-diones as potent and selective inhibitors of the cyclin-dependent kinase 4 (CDK4). *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 51: 3507–3525.
77. Mascarenhas NM, Ghoshal N (2008) Combined ligand and structure based approaches for narrowing on the essential physicochemical characteristics for CDK4 inhibition. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling* 48: 1325–1336.
78. Li AX, Barbault F, Maurel F, Delamar M, Wang BS (2008) Interaction mode and selectivity of the 2PU inhibitor with the CDK4 and CDK2 cyclin-dependent kinases: A molecular dynamics study. *Journal of Molecular Structure-Theochem* 849: 62–75.
79. Jiang YJ, Zou JW, Gui CS (2005) Study of a ligand complexed with Cdk2/Cdk4 by computer simulation. *Journal of Molecular Modeling* 11: 509–515.
80. Park H, Yeom MS, Lee S (2004) Loop flexibility and solvent dynamics as determinants for the selective inhibition of cyclin-dependent kinase 4: Comparative molecular dynamics simulation studies of CDK2 and CDK4. *Chembiochem* 5: 1662–1672.
81. Buolamwini JK (2000) Cell cycle molecular targets in novel anticancer drug discovery. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 6: 379–392.
82. Horiuchi T, Nagata M, Kitagawa M, Akahane K, Uoto K (2009) Discovery of novel thieno[2,3-d]pyrimidin-4-yl hydrazone-based inhibitors of cyclin D1-CDK4: synthesis, biological evaluation and structure-activity relationships. Part 2. *Bioorg Med Chem* 17: 7850–7860.
83. Plewczynski D, Lazniewski M, Augustyniak R, Ginalski K (2011) Can We Trust Docking Results? Evaluation of Seven Commonly Used Programs on PDBbind Database. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* 32: 742–755.
84. Kellenberger E, Rodrigo J, Muller P, Rognan D (2004) Comparative evaluation of eight docking tools for docking and virtual screening accuracy. *Proteins-Structure Function and Bioinformatics* 57: 225–242.
85. Warren GL, Andrews CW, Capelli AM, Clarke B, LaLonde J, et al. (2006) A critical assessment of docking programs and scoring functions. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* 49: 5912–5931.
86. Shirts MR, Pitera JW, Swope WC, Pande VS (2003) Extremely precise free energy calculations of amino acid side chain analogs: Comparison of common molecular mechanics force fields for proteins. *Journal of Chemical Physics* 119: 5740–5761.