



EUROfusion

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

Verification of different Monte Carlo approaches for the neutronic analysis of a stellarator

¹A. Häußler, ¹U. Fischer, ²F. Warmer

andre.haeussler@kit.edu

¹Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute for Neutron Physics and Reactor Technology (INR), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany ²Max Planck Institute for Plasma Physics (IPP), 17491 Greifswald, Germany

Objective

The Helical-Axis Advanced Stellarator (HELIAS) is a demonstration power reactor based on D-T fusion with 3000 MW of fusion power. The objective of this work is to check the suitability of three different approaches for neutronic design

analyses of HELIAS based on the Monte Carlo (MC) particle transport simulation technique with the code MCNP.

CAD Geometry

Three different approaches to generate a CAD based MCNP geometry:

- Traditional CSG: "Geometry translation approach" with KIT's CAD to MCNP conversion tool McCad
- Faceted Solid: Direct tracking of particles in CAD geometry by using DAG-MCNP (DAG = Direct Accelerated Geometry)
- 3. Unstructured Mesh: Tracking of particles on unstructured mesh geometry using MCNP6

Blanket modules incl. support structure and shield Vacuum vessel – shield Vacuum vessel – shield Vacuum vessel – shield

CAD Verification Geometry

Simplified geometry model to check and verify the

three investigated methods.

Volumetric 14 MeV neutron source in plasma chamber and reflecting boundary conditions.

Homogeneous material layers to represent breeder blanket, back support structure and vacuum vessel plus shielding at inboard and outboard.

Red line indicates region of mesh tally used for







verification calculations.

Methodology	Results
 CAD geometry processed differently for the thr investigated approaches. Mesh tally for neutron flux in 1 x 1 x 1 cm³ resoluti along the x-axis. MCNP 6 used for traditional CSG and Unstructur Mesh geometry, and MCNP5 used for DAGMC. 	 Results show the neutron flux profile over the inboard and outboard regions. All three investigated methods give identical results within the statistical uncertainty.



Geometry shown in CSG, in Unstructured Mesh and in DAGMC representation.

Conclusion and Outlook

Three different approaches for MC based nuclear analyses successfully tested on a simplified geometry model.

The three approaches give comparable results despite the differences in geometry set-up.

Methods can be used for neutronic design analyses of HELIAS based on a suitable CAD model.

KIT – University of the State of Baden-Wuerttemberg and National Research Center of the Helmholtz Association



www.kit.edu